

**ma
the
ma
tisch**

**cen
trum**

AFDELING ZUIVERE WISKUNDE
(DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS)

ZN 75/77

MEI

C.L. STEWART

A NOTE ON THE FERMAT EQUATION

Preprint

amsterdam

1977

**stichting
mathematisch
centrum**



AFDELING ZUIVERE WISKUNDE
(DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS)

ZN 75/77

MEI

C.L. STEWART

A NOTE ON THE FERMAT EQUATION

Preprint

2e boerhaavestraat 49 amsterdam

Printed at the Mathematical Centre, 49, 2e Boerhaavestraat, Amsterdam.

The Mathematical Centre, founded the 11-th of February 1946, is a non-profit institution aiming at the promotion of pure mathematics and its applications. It is sponsored by the Netherlands Government through the Netherlands Organization for the Advancement of Pure Research (Z.W.O).

A note on the Fermat equation *)

by

C.L. Stewart

ABSTRACT

Let x, y, z and n denote positive integers with $x < y < z$ and $(x, y, z) = 1$. We prove that if $y-x$ is small in comparison to z there are at most finitely many positive integers n for which the Fermat equation,

$$x^n + y^n = z^n$$

admits solutions.

KEY WORDS & PHRASES: *Diophantine equations, Fermat, linear forms in logarithms.*

*) This report will be published in *Mathematika*.

Let x, y, z and n denote positive integers with $x < y < z$ and $(x, y, z) = 1$. The purpose of this note is to prove two theorems, the first of which is

THEOREM 1. *If $y - x < C_0 z^{1-(1/\sqrt{n})}$ for some positive number C_0 , and if*

$$(1) \quad x^n + y^n = z^n,$$

then n is less than C , a number which is effectively computable in terms of C_0 .

Thus if $y - x$ is small in comparison to z there are at most finitely many positive integers n for which the equation (1) admits solutions. We remark that the function $1/\sqrt{n}$ in the exponent of z above was chosen for neatness; it may be replaced by a function which tends to 0 more rapidly with n . The proof of Theorem 1 depends upon a straightforward application of a lower bound, due to Baker [3], for certain linear forms in logarithms. It yields a value for C of $S^2(4 \log S)^6$ where $S = 32^{401} + \log C'_0$ and $C'_0 = \max\{e, C_0\}$. Sharper numerical bounds can certainly be obtained for C , however, by reworking the argument of [3] for the case of the particular linear form which arises in the proof of Theorem 1. We note for comparison that Wagstaff [7] has shown that equation (1) has no solutions for n in the range $3 \leq n \leq 10^5$.

That (1) has only a finite number of solutions x, y and z with $y - x < C_0$ for n a fixed odd prime was proved by Everett [5] by means of the Thue-Siegel-Roth theorem. Recently Inkeri (see Theorem 4 of [6]) generalized the work of Everett. He used estimates due to Baker [2] for the size of solutions of the hyperelliptic equation to show that if $n \geq 3$, (1) holds and either $y - x$ or $z - y$ is less than C_0 , then x, y and z are less than a number which is effectively computable in terms of n and C_0 only. It follows from Theorem 1 that if $y - x < C_0$ then n is bounded

in terms of C_0 . Applying the result of Inkeri we see that in this case x, y and z are also bounded in terms of C_0 . Therefore we have

THEOREM 2. *If $n \geq 3$, $y - x$ is less than a positive number C_0 and*

$$x^n + y^n = z^n,$$

then x, y, z and n are all less than C , a number which is effectively computable in terms of C_0 .

Thus, in principle, all the solutions of (1) such that x and y differ by a given number may be explicitly determined. The bound for C in Theorem 2 depends upon the estimates obtained in [2], however, and is so large that a direct computation of the solution set for a given C_0 does not seem feasible. We remark, see below, that Theorem 2 remains valid if the condition $y - x < C_0$ is replaced by $2 < z - y < C_0$. If $z - y = 1$, when the problem is related to Abel's conjecture (see §3 of [6]), or if n is even and $z - y = 2$, then the argument given here does not apply.

Before beginning the proof of Theorem 1 I should like to thank M. Maclaure for suggesting to me, at the Journées Arithmétique in Caen, that the methods of Baker might be applicable in this context.

Since $(x, y, z) = 1$ we may deduce from [4] or Lemma 1 of [1] that if (1) holds then for some positive integers a and b ,

$$(2) \quad z - x = 2^{\varepsilon_1} d_1^{-1} a^n \quad \text{and} \quad z - y = 2^{\varepsilon_2} d_2^{-1} b^n,$$

where ε_1 , similarly ε_2 , is either 0 or 1 and where d_1 and d_2 are positive divisors of n . (Both ε_1 and ε_2 are zero if n is odd.) From (2) we see that if $z - y > 2$ then it is necessarily also $\geq 2^n/n$ and so if $2 < z - y < C_0$ then n is bounded in terms of C_0 . Therefore, by [6], Theorem 2 holds with this condition in place of $y - x < C_0$. Subtracting $z - y$ from $z - x$ gives

$$(3) \quad 2^{\varepsilon_1} d_1^{-1} a^n - 2^{\varepsilon_2} d_2^{-1} b^n = y - x.$$

We shall now assume that the conditions of Theorem 1 apply, so that (1) holds and

$$(4) \quad y - x < C_0 z^{1-(1/\sqrt{n})}$$

and we shall prove that this implies n is bounded in terms of C_0 . Further we shall assume that $C_0 \geq e$ and that $n > 4^6 (\log C_0)^2$; clearly this involves no loss of generality.

We first observe that $z - x > 2$. For if $z - x = 2$ then

$$x^n + (x+1)^n = (x+2)^n,$$

hence certainly $2 < (1+2/x)^n$; and since $\log(1+r) < r$ for $r > 0$, we have $\log 2 < 2n/x$ and thus $x < 3n$. But for $n > 6$ there exist, by Theorems 1 and 5 of [4], primes p_1, p_2 and p_3 congruent to 1 (mod n) which divide $x, x+1$ and $x+2$ respectively and therefore $x > 3n$ giving a contradiction. Thus $z - x > 2$ and as a consequence $a \geq 2$. Furthermore since $x < y < z$ we have $2x^n < z^n$ and thus $x < 2^{-1/n} z$ whence, since $n > 4^6$, $z - x > (1-2^{-1/n}) z > z/2n$. From (4) we deduce that

$$y - x < 2n C_0 (z-x)^{1-(1/\sqrt{n})}$$

and since $n - (\log n / \log a) > \frac{1}{2}n$ for $n > 8$, we have from (2) that,

$$(5) \quad (y-x)/(z-x) < 2n C_0 a^{-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{n}}.$$

Since $a \geq 2$ and $n > 4^6 (\log C_0)^2$ we find that $(y-x)/(z-x) < \frac{1}{2}$. Further, from (2) and (3) we have

$$(6) \quad 1 - (y-x)/(z-x) = 2^{\varepsilon} 2^{-\varepsilon} 1(d_1/d_2)(b/a)^n.$$

Therefore using the inequality $|\log(1-r)| < 2r$, which is valid for $0 < r < \frac{1}{2}$, with $r = (y-x)/(z-x)$ we conclude from (5) and (6) that

$$|\log s + n \log(b/a)| < 4n C_0 a^{-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{n}},$$

where $s = 2^{\varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_1} d_1/d_2$. Denoting the left hand side of the above inequality by T and taking logarithm yields

$$(7) \quad \log T < \log 4n C_0 - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{n} \log a.$$

Recently Baker [3] proved that if b_1 and b_2 are integers with absolute values at most B (≥ 4), if a_1 and a_2 are rational numbers the numerators and denominators of which are in absolute value at most A_1 (≥ 4) and A_2 (≥ 4) respectively and if $b_1 \log a_1 \neq -b_2 \log a_2$ then

$$(8) \quad \log |b_1 \log a_1 + b_2 \log a_2| > -C_1 \log B \log A_1 \log A_2 \log \log A_2,$$

for $C_1 = 32^{400}$. Since $y - x > 0$ we have $\log s \neq -n \log(b/a)$ and thus we may use (8) to obtain a lower bound for $\log T$. Putting $a_1 = b/a$, $a_2 = s$, $b_1 = n$ and $b_2 = 1$ we conclude from (8), since $B = n$, $A_1 \leq \max\{4, a, b\}$ and $A_2 \leq 2n$, that

$$\log T > -2C_1 (\log n)^3 \log(\max\{a, b\}).$$

By (6) we have $(a/b)^n > d_1/2d_2 \geq 1/2n \geq 2^{-n}$ from which it follows that $2a > b$.

Therefore

$$(9) \quad \log T > -4C_1 (\log n)^3 \log a.$$

Comparing (7) and (9) we find

$$\sqrt{n} \log a < 8C_1 (\log n)^3 \log a + 2 \log 4nC_0$$

and thus, recall that $C_1 = 32^{400}$ and $n > 4^6 (\log C_0)^2$,

$$\sqrt{n} (\log n)^{-3} < 32^{401} + \log C_0.$$

On setting the right hand side of the above inequality equal to S we conclude that

$$n < S^2(4 \log S)^6$$

as required. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

Theorem 2 follows as a consequence of Theorem 1.

REFERENCES

- [1] Artin, E., *The orders of the linear groups*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 8 (1955), pp. 355-366.
- [2] Baker, A., *Bounds for the solutions of the hyperelliptic equation*, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 65 (1969), pp. 439-444.
- [3] Baker, A., *The theory of linear forms in logarithms*, Transcendence Theory: Advances and Applications (Academic Press, London and New York, 1977).
- [4] Birkhoff, G.D. & H.S. Vandiver, *On the integral divisors of $a^n - b^n$* , Ann. of Math. (2), 5 (1904), pp. 173-180.
- [5] Everett, C.J., *Fermat's conjecture, Roth's theorem, Pythagorean triangles and Pell's equation*, Duke Math. J. 40 (1973), pp. 801-804.
- [6] Inkeri, K., *A note on Fermat's conjecture*, Acta Arith. 29 (1976), pp. 251-256.
- [7] Wagstaff, S.S., *Fermat's last theorem is true for any exponent less than 100,000*, Notices A.M.S. 23 (1976), p. A-53.