

## A COMBINATORIAL IDENTITY ARISING FROM COBORDISM THEORY

D. GIJSWIJT AND P. MOREE

*Dedicated to the memory of Alexander Reznikov*

ABSTRACT. Let  $\underline{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^m$ . Let  $\underline{\alpha}_{i,j}$  be the vector obtained from  $\underline{\alpha}$  by deleting the entries  $\alpha_i$  and  $\alpha_j$ . Besser and Moree [1] introduced some invariants and near invariants related to the solutions  $\underline{\epsilon} \in \{\pm 1\}^{m-2}$  of the linear inequality  $|\alpha_i - \alpha_j| < \langle \underline{\epsilon}, \underline{\alpha}_{i,j} \rangle < \alpha_i + \alpha_j$ , where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes the usual inner product and  $\underline{\alpha}_{i,j}$  the vector obtained from  $\underline{\alpha}$  by deleting  $\alpha_i$  and  $\alpha_j$ . The main result of Besser and Moree [1] is extended here to a much more general setting, namely that of certain maps from finite sets to  $\{-1, 1\}$ .

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $m \geq 3$ . Let  $\underline{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^m$  and suppose that there is no  $\underline{\epsilon} \in \{\pm 1\}^m$  satisfying  $\langle \underline{\epsilon}, \underline{\alpha} \rangle = 0$ . Let  $1 \leq i < j \leq m$ . Let  $\underline{\alpha}_{i,j} \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{m-2}$  be the vector obtained from  $\underline{\alpha}$  by deleting  $\alpha_i$  and  $\alpha_j$ . Let

$$S_{i,j}(\underline{\alpha}) := \{ \underline{\epsilon} \in \{\pm 1\}^{m-2} : |\alpha_i - \alpha_j| < \langle \underline{\epsilon}, \underline{\alpha}_{i,j} \rangle < \alpha_i + \alpha_j \}.$$

Define  $N_{i,j}(\underline{\alpha}) = \sum_{\underline{\epsilon} \in S_{i,j}(\underline{\alpha})} \prod_{k=1}^{m-2} \epsilon_k$ . Theorem 2.1 of [1] states that the reduction of  $\#S_{i,j}(\underline{\alpha}) \pmod 2$  depends only on  $\underline{\alpha}$  and that in case of  $m$  odd,  $N_{i,j}(\underline{\alpha})$  depends only on  $\underline{\alpha}$ . In particular it was shown that for  $m \geq 3$  and odd we have

$$(1) \quad N_{i,j}(\underline{\alpha}) = -\frac{1}{4} \sum_{\underline{\epsilon} \in \{\pm 1\}^m} \operatorname{sgn}(\langle \underline{\epsilon}, \underline{\alpha} \rangle) \prod_{k=1}^m \epsilon_k.$$

From (1) we of course immediately read off that if  $m \geq 3$  is odd,  $N_{i,j}(\underline{\alpha})$  does not depend on the choice of  $i$  and  $j$ .

**Example 1.1.** We take  $\underline{\beta}_m = (\log 2, \dots, \log p_m)$ , where  $p_1, \dots, p_m$  denote the consecutive primes and put  $Q = p_1 \cdots p_m$ . Then it is not difficult to show that, for  $1 \leq i < j \leq m$ ,

$$N_{i,j}(\underline{\beta}_m) = (-1)^m \sum_{\substack{\sqrt{Q/p_i} < n < \sqrt{Q} \\ \gcd(n, p_i p_j) = 1, P(n) \leq p_m}} \mu(n),$$

---

Received February 16, 2004.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 15A39; Secondary 11B99.

where  $P(n)$  denotes the largest prime factor of  $n$  and  $\mu$  the Möbius function. For  $m \geq 2$  put

$$g(m) = \frac{(-1)^{m+1}}{4} \sum_{d|p_1 \cdots p_m} \operatorname{sgn}\left(\frac{d^2}{p_1 \cdots p_m} - 1\right) \mu(d),$$

where  $\operatorname{sgn}$  denotes the sign function. The fundamental theorem of arithmetic ensures that there is no  $\underline{\epsilon} \in \{\pm 1\}^m$  satisfying  $\langle \underline{\epsilon}, \underline{\beta}_m \rangle = 0$ . By (1) we then infer that if  $m \geq 3$  is odd,  $N_{i,j}(\underline{\beta}_m) = g(m)$  and so it does not depend on the choice of  $i$  and  $j$ . By Remark 2.5 of [1] we have  $g(m) = 0$  for  $m \geq 2$  and even. The first non-trivial values one finds for  $g(m)$  are given in the table below.

$m$	3	5	7	9	11	13	15	17	19	21	23
$g(m)$	1	-1	3	-8	22	-53	158	-481	1471	-4621	14612

(The value given for  $m = 15$  corrects the value at p. 471 of [1]. For a computer program to evaluate these values see [2].)

**Example 1.2.** Put  $Q(n) = \sum_{d|n, d \leq \sqrt{n}} \mu(d)$ .

The sequence  $\{Q(0), Q(1), Q(2), \dots\}$  is the sequence A068101 of OEIS [3].

Let  $n > 1$  be a squarefree integer having  $k$  distinct prime divisors  $q_1, \dots, q_k$  with  $k \geq 2$ .

Note that in the previous example we used only that  $p_1, \dots, p_m$  are distinct primes. If we replace them by  $q_1, \dots, q_k$  we infer, proceeding as in the previous example, that

$$g_n(k) := \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{4} \sum_{d|n} \operatorname{sgn}\left(\frac{d^2}{n} - 1\right) \mu(d)$$

is an integer that equals zero if  $k$  is even. On using that  $\sum_{d|n} \mu(d) = 0$  it is seen that  $g_n(k) = \frac{(-1)^k}{2} Q(n)$ , whence the following result is inferred:

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $n > 1$  be a squarefree number having  $k$  distinct prime divisors. Then*

$$Q(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is a prime;} \\ 0 & \text{if } k \text{ is even;} \\ \text{even} & \text{if } k \geq 3 \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

## 2. GENERAL SETUP

We consider a more general quantity  $N_\sigma(a, b)$  similar to  $N_{i,j}(\underline{\alpha})$  so that the latter is a special case of the former.

Let  $X$  be a finite set. Suppose that we have a map  $\sigma : 2^X \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$  such that  $\sigma(X \setminus A) = \sigma(A)$  for all  $A \subseteq X$ . We will call such a map  $\sigma$  *even*. Let  $u, v \in X$  with  $u \neq v$ . Define

$$(2) \quad N_\sigma(u, v) := \sum_{\substack{A \subseteq X, u \in A, v \notin A \\ \sigma(A) = \sigma(A+v)}} \sigma(A),$$

where the summation is over all subsets  $A$  of  $X$  such that  $u \in A$ ,  $v \notin A$  and  $\sigma(A) = \sigma(A + v)$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\sigma$  be an even map from  $X \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ . Then*

$$N_\sigma(u, v) = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{A \subseteq X} \sigma(A)$$

and thus in particular  $N_\sigma(u, v)$  does not depend on the choice of  $u$  and  $v$ .

*Proof.* We have

$$\begin{aligned} 2N_\sigma(u, v) &= \sum_{\substack{A \subseteq X, u \in A, v \notin A \\ \sigma(A) = \sigma(A+v)}} (\sigma(A) + \sigma(A + v)) = \sum_{\substack{A \subseteq X \\ u \in A, v \notin A}} (\sigma(A) + \sigma(A + v)) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{A \subseteq X \\ u \in A}} \sigma(A) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{A \subseteq X \\ u \in A}} (\sigma(A) + \sigma(X \setminus A)), \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{\substack{A \subseteq X \\ u \in A}} \sigma(A) + \sum_{\substack{A \subseteq X \\ u \notin A}} \sigma(A) \right) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{A \subseteq X} \sigma(A), \end{aligned}$$

where we used that there is a bijection between the sets containing  $u$  and those not containing  $u$ , the bijection being taking complementary sets.  $\square$

**Remark.** In case the cardinality of  $X$  is odd, we can alternatively consider a map  $\tau : 2^X \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$  such that  $\tau(X \setminus A) = -\tau(A)$  for all  $A \subseteq X$ . Then the map  $\sigma$  defined by  $\sigma(A) = (-1)^{\#A} \tau(A)$  is even and the conditions of Proposition 1 are satisfied.

### 3. EXAMPLES

We present three applications of Theorem 1.

**Example 3.1.** Suppose  $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$  and  $m \geq 3$ . Let  $f$  be a map such that  $f(x_j) = \pm 1$  for  $1 \leq j \leq m$ . Consider the map  $\sigma : 2^X \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$  defined by  $\sigma(A) = \prod_{a \in A} f(a)$  for  $A \subseteq X$ . Let us assume that  $\prod_{x \in X} f(x) = 1$  (so that  $\sigma$  is an even map). Theorem 1 then gives that

$$N_\sigma(u, v) = \begin{cases} 2^{\#X-2} & \text{if } f(x_j) = 1 \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq m; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**Example 3.2.** We reprove the main result from [1] which is reproduced in the present note as (1), where we now drop the requirement that  $\alpha_j > 0$  for  $1 \leq j \leq m$ . Let  $X = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m\}$  be a set of cardinality  $m$  consisting of real numbers such that there is no  $\underline{\epsilon} \in \{\pm 1\}^m$  satisfying  $\langle \underline{\epsilon}, \underline{\alpha} \rangle = 0$ . Let  $A$  be any subset of  $X$ . To  $A$  we associate  $\underline{\epsilon} = (\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_m)$ , where  $\epsilon_j = -1$  if  $\alpha_j \in A$  and  $\epsilon_j = 1$  otherwise. Let  $\sigma(A) = \text{sgn}(\langle \underline{\epsilon}, \underline{\alpha} \rangle) \epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_m$ . By assumption  $\langle \underline{\epsilon}, \underline{\alpha} \rangle \neq 0$  and hence  $\sigma(A) \in \{-1, 1\}$ . Let  $i \neq j$ . We evaluate  $N_\sigma(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)$  according to the definition (2). We obtain that

$N_\sigma(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) = \sum' \text{sgn}(\langle \underline{\epsilon}, \underline{\alpha} \rangle) \prod_{k=1}^m \epsilon_k$ , where the dash indicates that we sum over those  $\underline{\epsilon} \in \{\pm 1\}^m$ , where  $\epsilon_i = -1$ ,  $\epsilon_j = 1$  and

$$-\text{sgn}(\langle \underline{\epsilon}_{i,j}, \underline{\alpha}_{i,j} \rangle - \alpha_i + \alpha_j) = \text{sgn}(\langle \underline{\epsilon}_{i,j}, \underline{\alpha}_{i,j} \rangle - \alpha_i - \alpha_j).$$

Note that the latter condition is satisfied iff  $\alpha_i - |\alpha_j| < \langle \underline{\epsilon}_{i,j}, \underline{\alpha}_{i,j} \rangle < \alpha_i + |\alpha_j|$ . If  $\underline{\epsilon} \in \{\pm 1\}^m$  satisfies the latter inequality,  $\epsilon_i = -1$  and  $\epsilon_j = 1$ , then

$$\text{sgn}(\langle \underline{\epsilon}, \underline{\alpha} \rangle) \prod_{k=1}^m \epsilon_k = -\text{sgn}(\alpha_j) \prod_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq i,j}}^m \epsilon_k.$$

We infer that

$$N_\sigma(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) = -\text{sgn}(\alpha_j) \sum_{\substack{\underline{\epsilon} \in \{\pm 1\}^{m-2} \\ \alpha_i - |\alpha_j| < \langle \underline{\epsilon}, \underline{\alpha}_{i,j} \rangle < \alpha_i + |\alpha_j|}} \prod_{k=1}^{m-2} \epsilon_k.$$

In case  $m$  is odd,  $\sigma$  is even and Theorem 1 can be applied (note that  $N_\sigma(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) = -\mathcal{N}_{i,j}(\underline{\alpha})$ ) to give the following corollary.

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $\underline{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m$  and suppose that there is no  $\underline{\epsilon} \in \{\pm 1\}^m$  satisfying  $\langle \underline{\epsilon}, \underline{\alpha} \rangle = 0$ . Let  $1 \leq i < j \leq m$ . Put*

$$\mathcal{S}_{i,j}(\underline{\alpha}) := \{\underline{\epsilon} \in \{\pm 1\}^{m-2} : \alpha_i - |\alpha_j| < \langle \underline{\epsilon}, \underline{\alpha}_{i,j} \rangle < \alpha_i + |\alpha_j|\}.$$

Define  $\mathcal{N}_{i,j}(\underline{\alpha}) = \text{sgn}(\alpha_j) \sum_{\underline{\epsilon} \in \mathcal{S}_{i,j}(\underline{\alpha})} \prod_{k=1}^{m-2} \epsilon_k$ . If  $m \geq 3$  and  $m$  is odd, then

$$\mathcal{N}_{i,j}(\underline{\alpha}) = -\frac{1}{4} \sum_{\underline{\epsilon} \in \{\pm 1\}^m} \text{sgn}(\langle \underline{\epsilon}, \underline{\alpha} \rangle) \prod_{k=1}^m \epsilon_k = h(\underline{\alpha}),$$

does not depend on  $i$  and  $j$ . If one of the entries of  $\underline{\alpha}$  is zero, then  $h(\underline{\alpha}) = 0$ .

In case  $\underline{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^m$  it is not immediately clear that this result implies (1). To see that this is nevertheless true it suffices to show that under the conditions of Corollary 1 we have  $\mathcal{N}_{i,j}(\underline{\alpha}) = N_{i,j}(\underline{\alpha})$ . If  $\alpha_j \leq \alpha_i$  this is obvious, so assume that  $\alpha_j > \alpha_i$ . Notice that  $\underline{\epsilon} \in \{\pm 1\}^{m-2}$  is in  $\mathcal{S}_{i,j}(\underline{\alpha}) \setminus \mathcal{S}_{i,j}(\underline{\alpha})$  iff  $\alpha_i - \alpha_j < \langle \underline{\epsilon}, \underline{\alpha}_{i,j} \rangle < \alpha_j - \alpha_i$ . But if  $\underline{\epsilon}$  satisfies the latter inequality, so does  $-\underline{\epsilon}$  and both are counted with opposite sign in  $\mathcal{N}_{i,j}(\underline{\alpha}) - N_{i,j}(\underline{\alpha})$  and consequently  $\mathcal{N}_{i,j}(\underline{\alpha}) = N_{i,j}(\underline{\alpha})$ .

**Example 3.3.** Corollary 1 can be generalised to a higher dimensional setting. Instead of numbers  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$  we can consider points  $\underline{\alpha}_1, \dots, \underline{\alpha}_m$  with  $\underline{\alpha}_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $n \geq 2$ . We assume that  $\pm \underline{\alpha}_1 \pm \dots \pm \underline{\alpha}_m \neq \underline{0}$ . Let us define  $B$  to be the matrix with  $\underline{\alpha}_j$  as  $j$ th row for  $1 \leq j \leq m$ . Choose a hyperplane  $H$  through the origin not containing any of the points  $\pm \underline{\alpha}_1 \pm \dots \pm \underline{\alpha}_m$  (the assumption that  $\pm \underline{\alpha}_1 \pm \dots \pm \underline{\alpha}_m \neq \underline{0}$  ensures that this is possible). Let  $\underline{n} \notin H$  be on the normal of this hyperplane. Let  $A$  be any subset of  $X$ . To  $A$  we associate  $\underline{\epsilon} = (\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_m)$ , where  $\epsilon_j = -1$  if  $\underline{\alpha}_j \in A$  and  $\epsilon_j = 1$  otherwise. Let  $\sigma(A) = \text{sgn}(\langle \underline{n}, \underline{\epsilon} B \rangle) \epsilon_1 \dots \epsilon_m$ . The assumption on  $H$  implies that  $\langle \underline{n}, \underline{\epsilon} B \rangle \neq 0$  and hence  $\sigma(A) \in \{-1, 1\}$ . Choose two points  $\underline{\alpha}_i$  and  $\underline{\alpha}_j$ ,  $i \neq j$ . Let  $V$  be the hyperplane with normal  $\underline{n}$  containing  $\underline{\alpha}_i - \underline{\alpha}_j$  and  $W$  be the hyperplane with normal  $\underline{n}$  containing  $\underline{\alpha}_i + \underline{\alpha}_j$ . We define the

weight  $w(\underline{\alpha})$  of a point  $\underline{\alpha}$  of the form  $\underline{\alpha} = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq m \\ k \neq i, k \neq j}} \epsilon_k \underline{\alpha}_k$  with  $\epsilon_{i,j} \in \{\pm 1\}^{m-2}$  to be  $\prod_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq m \\ k \neq i, k \neq j}} \epsilon_k$ . Note that our choice of  $\underline{n}$  ensures that none of these points is in  $V$  or  $W$ . Then let  $M(i, j)$  be the sum of the weights of all points  $\sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq m \\ k \neq i, k \neq j}} \epsilon_k \underline{\alpha}_k$  that are in between  $V$  and  $W$  and for which  $\epsilon_{i,j} \in \{\pm 1\}^{m-2}$ . If  $m \geq 3$  is odd, then  $\sigma$  is an even map. It is not difficult to show that  $N_\sigma(\underline{\alpha}_i, \underline{\alpha}_j) = \pm M(i, j)$ , where the sign is independent of  $i$  and  $j$ . Theorem 1 applies and we infer that  $M(i, j)$  is independent of the choice of  $i$  and  $j$ .

**Acknowledgement.** We thank Tony Noe for pointing out a typo in [1] and for providing us with the table given in this note.

This note has its source in a question posed by the late Alexander Reznikov to Amnon Besser and the second author in the summer of 1997, whilst all three of them were enjoying the hospitality of the MPI in Bonn. Reznikov came to this question on the basis of computations (together with Luca Migliorini) in the cobordism theory of the moduli space of polygons. The second author remembers Alexander Reznikov as a very original and creative mathematician and an intriguing and interesting personality.

The research of the second author was made possible thanks to Prof. E. Opdam's PIONIER-grant from the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO).

#### REFERENCES

1. Besser A. and Moree R., *On an invariant related to a linear inequality*, Arch. Math. **79** (2002), 463–471.
  2. Noe T., *Sequence A086596*, *On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences*, <http://www.research.att.com/~njas/sequences/>.
  3. Quet L., *Sequence A068101*, *On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences*, <http://www.research.att.com/~njas/sequences/>.
- D. Gijswijt, Korteweg-de Vries Institute, Plantage Muidergracht 24, 1018 TV Amsterdam, The Netherlands, *e-mail*: [gijswijt@science.uva.nl](mailto:gijswijt@science.uva.nl)
- P. Moree, Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik, Vivatsgasse 7, D-53111 Bonn, Germany, *e-mail*: [moree@mpim-bonn.mpg.de](mailto:moree@mpim-bonn.mpg.de)