

**stichting
mathematisch
centrum**



AFDELING ZUIVERE WISKUNDE
(DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS)

ZW 121/78

DECEMBER

H.A. WILBRINK & A.E. BROUWER

A (57,14,1) STRONGLY REGULAR GRAPH DOES NOT EXIST

2e boerhaavestraat 49 amsterdam

Printed at the Mathematical Centre, 49, 2e Boerhaavestraat, Amsterdam.

The Mathematical Centre, founded the 11-th of February 1946, is a non-profit institution aiming at the promotion of pure mathematics and its applications. It is sponsored by the Netherlands Government through the Netherlands Organization for the Advancement of Pure Research (Z.W.O).

A $(57,14,1)$ strongly regular graph does not exist

by

H.A. Wilbrink & A.E. Brouwer

ABSTRACT

We show that a strongly regular graph with parameters

$$n = 57, \quad k = 14, \quad \lambda = 1, \quad \mu = 4$$

($(0,1)$ -eigenvalues: $1 \cdot 14, \quad 38 \cdot 2, \quad 18 \cdot (-5)$;

$(1,-1)$ -eigenvalues: $1 \cdot 28, \quad 38 \cdot (-5), \quad 18 \cdot 9$) does not exist.

KEY WORDS & PHRASES: *Strongly regular graph.*

1. TWO LEMMAS

LEMMA 1. Let G be a strongly regular graph with parameters n, k, λ, μ . Let H be an induced subgraph with N points, M edges and degree sequence d_1, \dots, d_N .

Then

$$(kN - 2M) - \left(\lambda M + \mu \binom{N}{2} - M - \sum_{i=1}^N \binom{d_i}{2} \right) \leq n - N$$

and equality holds iff exactly $(kN - 2M) - (n - N)$ points in $G \setminus H$ are adjacent to precisely two points of H , while the remaining points in $G \setminus H$ are adjacent to precisely one point of H .

PROOF. Let there be x_i points in $G \setminus H$ adjacent to i points of H . We have

$$\sum x_i = n - N,$$

$$\sum ix_i = kN - 2M,$$

$$\sum \binom{i}{2} x_i = \lambda M + \mu \binom{N}{2} - M - \sum_{i=1}^N \binom{d_i}{2}.$$

Since $\sum \binom{i}{2} x_i - \sum ix_i + \sum x_i = x_0 + \sum_{i=3}^N \binom{i-1}{2} x_i \geq 0$ this proves the lemma. \square

LEMMA 2. Let G be a strongly regular graph with parameters n, k, λ, μ . Let s be the smallest eigenvalue of the $(0,1)$ -adjacency matrix of G , i.e., the negative root of the equation $x^2 + (\mu - \lambda)x + \mu - k = 0$. Then if S is a coclique in G we have

$$v := |S| \leq \frac{n \cdot (-s)}{k - s}$$

and equality holds iff each point outside S is adjacent to exactly

$$K := \frac{k \cdot v}{n - v}$$

points in S . In this case we find a $2 - (v, K, \mu)$ design with point set S and blocks $B_z = \{y \in S \mid y \text{ adjacent to } z\}$ for $z \in G \setminus S$.

PROOF. Let there be x_i points in $G \setminus S$ adjacent to i points of S . We have

$$\sum x_i = n - V,$$

$$\sum ix_i = k \cdot V,$$

$$\sum \binom{i}{2} x_i = \mu \cdot \binom{V}{2},$$

so that

$$\sum (i-k)^2 x_i = \mu V(V-1) + kV - \frac{k^2 V^2}{n-V} \geq 0.$$

Writing $x = \frac{kV}{V-n}$ and simplifying (using $0 < V < n$) we see that this inequality is equivalent with

$$x^2 + \left(\mu \cdot \frac{n-1}{k} - k+1\right)x + \mu - k \leq 0$$

which is exactly the desired inequality (- note that the largest possible V corresponds to the smallest possible x , and that the middle coefficient equals $\mu - \lambda$ since $n = 1 + k + k(k-1-\lambda)/\mu$). \square

2. THE NONEXISTENCE OF (57,14,1)

Let G be a strongly regular graph with parameters $n = 57$, $k = 14$ and $\lambda = 1$. Then $\mu = 4$ and the smallest eigenvalue of the $(0,1)$ -adjacency matrix of G is $s = -5$. By Lemma 2 a coclique in G can have at most 15 points. We first derive a contradiction under the assumption that G contains a coclique of size 15, and then under the opposite assumption.

2.1. G has a 15-coclique

Let S be a 15-coclique in G . If we identify a point z not in S with the set $B_z = \{y \in S \mid y \sim z\}$ (where \sim denotes adjacency) then the points of G are the points and blocks of a 2- $(15,5,4)$ design (S, \mathcal{B}) . Choose a block B_0 , and investigate the intersection numbers

$$x_i := x_i(B_0) := \#\{B \in \mathcal{B} \mid |B \cap B_0| = i\}.$$

Obviously, since $\lambda, \mu \leq 4$ we have $x_5 = 1$, i.e., there are no repeated blocks.

Since $\lambda = 1$, each edge is in a unique triangle, and each point is incident with 7 triangles. Of the seven triangles incident with B_0 , five contain a point of S and two consist of blocks only. But if a triangle consists of three blocks, these blocks must be mutually disjoint, because $\lambda = 1$. This proves $x_0 \geq 4$.

We have the equations

$$\begin{aligned}x_0 + x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 &= 41, \\x_1 + 2x_2 + 3x_3 + 4x_4 &= 5 \cdot 13 = 65, \\x_2 + 3x_3 + 6x_4 &= \binom{5}{2} \cdot 3 = 30.\end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$x_0 + x_3 + 3x_4 = 6.$$

Since $x_0 \geq 4$ it follows that $x_4 = 0$ and thus $x_0 + x_3 = 6$. But this soon leads to a contradiction:

Let B_0, B_1, B_2 and B_0, B_3, B_4 be two triangles containing B_0 . Since intersections of size 4 do not occur we may suppose $|B_3 \cap B_1| = 3$, and then $|B_4 \cap B_2| = 3$. Let B_1, B_5, B_7 be another triangle containing B_1 . W.l.o.g. $|B_5 \cap B_0| = 3$. Let B_2, B_6, B_8 be another triangle containing B_2 . W.l.o.g. $|B_6 \cap B_0| = 3$. Finally, let B_3, B, B' be another triangle containing B_3 . W.l.o.g. $|B \cap B_0| = 3$.

Since $x_3 \leq 2$ and $B_5 \neq B_6$, B must coincide with either B_5 or B_6 . But then B and B_0 have at least five common neighbours: B_1 or B_2 , B_3 , and the three points in $B \cap B_0$. Contradiction, for $\lambda, \mu \leq 4$.

2.2. G does not contain a 15-coclique

LEMMA. G does not contain a regular subgraph H with 6 points and valency 3 (i.e., $K_{3,3}$ or the prism).

PROOF. Apply Lemma 1 with $N = 6$, $M = 9$, $d_1 = \dots = d_6 = 3$.

We find $66 - 15 \leq 51$. Since equality holds, exactly 15 points outside H are connected with two points in H . If z is a point in $G \setminus H$ adjacent to two points of H , then let H_z be the graph induced by G on $H \cup \{z\}$. Again apply Lemma 1, now with $N = 7$, $M = 11$, $d_1 = 2$, $d_2 = d_3 = d_4 = d_5 = 3$, $d_6 = d_7 = 4$. We find $76 - 26 \leq 50$. Since equality holds again, no point in $G \setminus (H \cup \{z\})$ is adjacent to three points in $H \cup \{z\}$. It follows that if S is the set of 15 points adjacent to two points in H , then S is a 15-coclique.

Contradiction. \square

In the previous section we considered G as a $2 - (15, 5, 4)$ design; now we shall consider G as a $GD[4, 3, 2; 14]$ group divisible design: Let ∞ be some fixed point, $\Gamma := \Gamma(\infty)$ the set of its neighbours and Δ the set of its non-neighbours. Then $|\Gamma| = 14$ and $|\Delta| = 42$. G induces on Γ a regular graph with valency $\lambda = 1$, so that we find seven disjoint pairs in Γ , the *groups*. For each point $z \in \Delta$ we find a *block* $B_z = \{x \in \Gamma \mid x \sim z\}$ of size $\mu = 4$. One verifies immediately that Γ with these groups and blocks is a group divisible design $GD[4, 3, 2; 14]$ (in HANANI's notation).

(A) Let T be the union of two groups in Γ . The set R of the six points in Δ not joined to any point of T is a 6-coclique.

PROOF. For $u \in R$, let $x_i := x_i(u) := \#\{z \in \Delta \mid z \sim u \text{ and } |\Gamma(z) \cap T| = i\}$. Then

$$x_0 + x_1 + x_2 = k - \mu = 10$$

and

$$x_1 + 2x_2 = \mu \cdot |T| = 16$$

so that $x_2 - x_0 = 6$. Suppose that $u, v \in R$ and $u \sim v$. Then $x_0 \geq 1$, so $x_2 \geq 7$ and hence both u and v have at least 7 neighbours in the set (of size 12) of points with two neighbours in T . But then they must have at least two common neighbours. Contradiction with $\lambda = 1$. \square

(B) Let $U = U(B)$ be the union of the three groups that do not intersect B . Let $x_i := x_i(U) := \#\{z \in \Delta \mid |\Gamma(z) \cap U| = i\}$. Then

$$x_0 + x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = |\Delta| = 42,$$

$$x_1 + 2x_2 + 3x_3 = |U| \cdot (k-2) = 72,$$

$$x_2 + 3x_3 = 12 \cdot (\mu-1) = 36,$$

so that $x_0 + x_3 = 6$.

Let $y_i := y_i(B) := \#\{z \in \Delta \mid z \sim B \text{ and } |\Gamma(z) \cap U(B)| = i\}$. Then

$$y_0 + y_1 + y_2 + y_3 = k - \mu = 10$$

and

$$y_1 + 2y_2 + 3y_3 = \mu \cdot |U| = 24.$$

From (A) it follows that $y_0 = y_1 = 0$ and hence $y_2 = 6, y_3 = 4$. We can identify these four neighbours of B intersecting U in three points: they are the blocks B_p where $p \in N$ and $p B B_p$ is a triangle.

[For: suppose B_p intersects U in less than three points. Then there is a second group $\{r, s\}$ intersecting both B and B_p . Of course $r \in B \cap B_p$ is impossible since $\lambda = 1$, so we would have $r \in B$ and $s \in B_p$. But now we find a prism on the set $\{B, B_p, p, r, s, \infty\}$. Contradiction.]

There are 42 blocks, but only $\binom{7}{4} = 35$ sets of 4 groups. Therefore, there must be two blocks, say B' and B'' , intersecting the same four groups (i.e., $U = U(B') = U(B'')$). Now $x_0(U) \geq 2$ and $x_3(U) \geq y_3 = 4$, so $x_3(U) = y_3(B') = y_3(B'') = 4$: the four blocks intersecting U in three points are common neighbours of B' and B'' , so $B' \cap B'' = \emptyset$ since $\mu = 4$.

But for $p \in B'$ the block B'_p intersects $\Gamma \setminus U$ only in the point p , i.e., $B'_p \neq B''_q$ for $p \in B', q \in B''$. Contradiction.

Hence no graph G exists.

ONTVANGEN 1 8 JAN. 1979