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ABSTRACT
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## 0. INTRODUCTION

Let $V$ be a vector space of dimension $2 n \geq 8$ over a field $K$ equipped with a non-degenerate quadratic form $Q$ with maximal Witt index (so totally singular subspaces of dimension $n$ exist). Let $M$ denote the collection of maximal totally singular subspaces of $V$. If we define the relation $x \approx y$, for $x, y \in M$, if and only if $\operatorname{dim}_{K} x / x \cap y$ is even, then it is well-known that $\approx$ is an equivalence relation with two equivalence classes. Let $P$ denote one of these classes. Let $L$ be the collection of totally singular subspaces of $V$ with linear dimension $n-2$. Then ( $\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{L}, \subseteq \cup \supseteq$ ) is an incidence structure known as $D_{n, \max }(K)$ or $D_{n, n}(K)$. The purpose of this paper is to characterize these incidence structures. This extends part of Theorem B of [4]. As an application of our results, in sections 5 and 6 we obtain another proof of Cameron's characterization of the dual polar spaces of type $D_{n}$.

## 1. DEFINITION AND NOTATION

(1.1) DEFINITION. By an incidence structure here we will mean a pair of disjoint sets $P$ and $L$ whose members we call points and $l_{\text {ines }}$ respectively, together with a symetric relation between them, such that each line is incident with at least two points. If every line is incident with at least three points then we say ( $\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{L}$ ) is thick.
(1.2) DEFINITION. An incidence structure ( $\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{I}$ ) is a partial linear space (pls) if two points lie on at most one line.

When ( $\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{I}$ ) is a pls then no two lines are incident with the same points. Then we may identify a line with the points it is incident to and replace $I$ with symmetrized inclusion. We will do this throughout this paper, and drop the relation $I$.
(1.3) DEFINITION. The point-groph of ( $\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{L}$ ) is the graph ( $\mathrm{P}, \Gamma$ ) with vertex set $P$ and edge set consisting of pairs of points which are collinear.
(1.4) NOTATION. If $(P, \Gamma)$ is the point-graph of $(P, L)$, then $x^{\perp}=$ $\{x\} \cup\{y:\{x, y\} \in \Gamma\}$.
If $X \subseteq P, X^{\perp}=\bigcap_{X \in X} X^{\perp}$, and $\operatorname{Rad}(X)=X \cap X^{\perp}$.
(1.5) DEFINITION. If $(P, \Gamma)$ is a graph and $x, y \in P$, then a path of of length $n$ from $x$ to $y$ is a sequence $x=x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}=y$ with $\left\{x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right\} \in \Gamma$ for $i=0,1, \ldots, n-1$. If such a path exists, then the distance from $x$ to $y$, denoted $d(x, y)$, is the length of the shortest path from $x$ to $y$ (such a path is called a geodesic or $g$ - path). If no path connects $x$ and $y$, then we write $d(x, y)=+\infty$.
$(P, L)$ is connected if for each pair $x, y \in P, d(x, y)<\infty$, and in this case $\operatorname{diam}(P, \Gamma)=\sup \{d(x, y): x, y \in P\}$. If $X, Y \subseteq P$, then $d(X, Y)=\min \{d(x, y): x \in X, y \in Y\}$.
(1.6) NOTATION. If $(P, \Gamma)$ is a graph, $x \in P$, then $\Gamma_{k}(x)=\{y \in P: d(x, y)=k\}$. In [10] D.G. Higman introduced the notion of a garma space. This notion is generalized in [3] to
(1.7) DEFINITION. An incidence structure ( $\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{L}$ ) with point graph ( $\mathrm{P}, \Gamma$ ) is a strong gamma space if whenever $x \in P, \ell \in L$ with $d(x, \ell)=k$, then either $\ell \subseteq \Gamma_{k}(x)$ or $\left|\ell \cap \Gamma_{k}(x)\right|=1$.
(1.8) DEFINITION. ( $\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{L}$ ) an incidence structure with point-graph ( $\mathrm{P}, \Gamma$ ). A subset $X$ of $P$ is a subspace if whenever a line $\ell$ meets $X$ in a least two points, then $\ell$ is contained in $X . X$ is a singular subspace if $X$ is a clique. The rank of a singular subspace X , denote $\mathrm{rk}(\mathrm{x})$, is defined to be the length of a maximal chain of properly ascending subspaces. For example the rank of a point os 0 , of a line 1 . We will call singular subspaces of rank two planes. By convention the empty set has rank -1 .
(1.9) NOTATION. If (P,L) is an incidence structure, and $K$ some collection of subspaces, and $X \subseteq P$, then $K_{X}=\{K \in K: X \subseteq K\}$ and $K(X)=\{K \in K: K \subseteq X\}$. We denote the collection of all subspaces of by Sub, planes by $\underline{\underline{V}}$, and singular subspaces by Sing.
(1.10) DEFINITION. For $X \subseteq P,<X>$ will denote the subspace spanned by $X,\left\langle X>=U_{S \in \underline{\text { Sub }}} X\right.$.
(1.11) DEFINITION. A polar space is an incidence structure ( $\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{L}$ ) such that for any point-1ine pair $x, \ell$, either $X$ is collinear with one or all points of $\ell$ [alternatively a (strong) gamma space in which $d(x, \ell) \leq 1]$. The polar
space is non-degenerate if $\operatorname{Rad}(P)=\emptyset$. The theorems of BUEKENHOUT and SHULT, [1], TITS [5] and VELDKAMP [7] classify the non degenerate polar spaces all of whose singular subspaces have finite rank. Then $\operatorname{rk}(P, L)=$ $=\max \{r k M: M \in \underline{\underline{\text { Sing}}}\}+1$.

It is our goal in this paper to characterize incidence structures ( $\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{L}$ ) with point graph ( $\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{\Gamma}$ ) which satisfy the following axioms
(D1) (P,L) is thick and connected, ( $\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{\Gamma}$ ) is not complete;
(D2) For $d(x, y)=2,\left(\{x, y\}^{\perp}, L\left(\{x, y\}^{\perp}\right)\right)$ is a thick non-degenerate polar space of rank three. If $x, \ell$ is a point line pair with $\ell \subseteq \Gamma_{2}(x)$, then $x^{\perp} \cap \ell^{\perp}$ is a singular subspace maximal in $\{x, y\}^{\perp}$ for each $y \in \ell$.
(D3) ( $\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{L}$ ) is a strong gamma space. If $\ell \subseteq \Gamma_{k}(x)$ with $k \geq 3$, then $\emptyset \neq \ell^{\perp} \cap \Gamma_{k-1}(x) \in \underline{\underline{\text { Sing }}}$.

We now describe the typical example:
Let V be a vector space of dimension $2 \mathrm{n} \geq 8$ ever a field K and Q a nondegenerate quadratic form on $V$ with maximal with index (i.e. so that there exists subspaces $U$ of dimension $n$ with $Q(U)=\{0\}$ ). Let $M$ be the collection of such subspaces. Define $U_{1} \approx U_{2}$, for $U_{1}, U_{2} \in M$ if $\operatorname{dim} U i U_{1} \cap U_{2}$ is even. Then it is well known that $\approx$ is an equivalence relation with two equivalence classes. Let $P$ be either of these classes. We will define a set of lines on $P$ : for $U_{1}, U_{2} \in P$ we define $U_{1}$ and $U_{2}$ to be collinear if $\operatorname{dim} U_{i} / U_{1} \cap U_{2}=2$ and then $\ell\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right)=\left\{U \in P: U \geq U_{1} \cap U_{2}\right\}$. Define $L=\left\{\ell\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right): U_{1}, U_{2}\right.$ collinear\}. Then we denote $(P, L)$ by $D_{n, n}(K)$.

In [4] it is remarked that $D_{n, n}(K)$ arises as a Lie incidence structure and satisfies (D1) and (D2). By [3] it follows that $D_{n, n}(K)$ is a strong gamma space, we next prove
(1.13) PROPOSITION. (P,L) satisfies (D3).

PROOF. Let $\ell \in L, X \in P$ with $\ell \subseteq \Gamma_{k}(x), k \geq 3$. We must show $\ell^{\perp} \cap \Gamma_{k-1}(x) \neq \emptyset$ a singular subspace. Let $y \in \ell$, and $z \in y^{\perp} \cap \Gamma_{k-1}(x)$. We assert that $\mathrm{z} \geq \mathrm{y} \cap \mathrm{x}$. If not, then there is linear three-subspace, N , contained in $z \cap x$, with $y \cap N=\emptyset$. Then $z \cap y \subseteq N^{\prime} \cap y$ (here $N^{\prime}$ is the collection of all vectors of $V$ orthogonal to $N$ ), but $\operatorname{dim} z \cap y=n-2$, $\operatorname{dim} N^{\prime} \cap y=n-3$, so we
have a contradiction. Thus our assertion follows.
Now set $U=y \underset{\epsilon}{\dagger} \ell$, so $U$ is a totally singular $n-2$ subspace of $V$. Since $\ell \subseteq \Gamma_{k}(x), \operatorname{dim} x / x \cap y=2 k$ for each $y \in \ell$. Then we must have $\operatorname{dim} U \cap x$ $n-1-2 k$ and $\operatorname{dim} U \cap x=n+1-2 k$, so that there is a subspace $A$ of dimension two in $U^{\prime} \cap x$ complimenting $U \cap x$. Set $M=U \oplus A, N=M \cap x$. Note that $M \in M \backslash P$. Let

$$
\Delta=\left\{z=\left(M \cap W^{\prime}\right)+W: W \subseteq x, W \geq M \cap x, \operatorname{dim}{ }^{W} / M \cap x=1\right\}
$$

Then clearly $\Delta$ is a singular subspace of ( $\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{L}$ ) with rank $2 \mathrm{k}-2$, and $\Delta \subseteq \ell^{\perp} \cap \Gamma_{k-1}(x)$. Thus to prove the proposition it suffices to prove $\ell^{\perp} \cap \Gamma_{k-1}(x) \subseteq \Delta$.

Let $z \in \ell^{\perp} \cap \Gamma_{k-1}(x)$. Then from the very beginning of the proof $z \supseteq<y \cap x: y \in \ell>=U^{\prime} \cap x=M \cap x$. Now since $\operatorname{dim} z \cap x=n+2-2 k$, if $W=z \cap x$, then $W$ contains $M \cap x$ as a hyperplane. Now $z$ must equal $\left(W^{\prime} \cap y\right)+W$, for each $y \in \ell$. But $\left(W^{\prime} \cap y\right)+W=\left(M \cap W^{\prime}\right)+W$ and $z \in \Delta$ as desired.

The main result of this paper is
(1.14) THEOREM. Let (P,L) be an incidence stmucture whose maximal singular subspaces all have finite rank, and satisjies (D1)-(D3). Then either (P,L) is a thick, non-degenerate polar space of rank 4 or for some $k \geq 5$ and field $\mathrm{K},(\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{L})$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{K})$.

## 2. PRELIMINARY LEMMAS

(2.1) LEMMA. Let $\mathrm{y} \in \Gamma_{2}(\mathrm{x})$. Then $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})=\left\langle\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y},\{\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}\}^{\perp}\right\rangle$ is a polar spce of rank four. Moreover, if $x^{\prime}, y^{\prime} \in S(x, y)$ with $y^{\prime} \notin\left(x^{\prime}\right)^{\perp}$, then $S\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)=$ $S(x, y)$.

PROOF. See (3.9) and the corollary to (3.11) in [4].
(2.2) NOTATION. The subspaces $\left.S(x, y)=\langle x, y\}^{\perp}\right\rangle$, where $d(x, y)=2$, will be called Symplectons or Symps. We denote the collection of all symps by Symp.
(2.3) LEMMA. If $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{P}, \ell \in \mathrm{L}$ with $\ell \subseteq \mathrm{x}^{\perp} \backslash\{\mathrm{x}\}$, then there is an $S \in$ Symp,

PROOF. See (3.12) of [4].
(2.4) COROLLARY. If $M \in$ Sing, then ( $M, L(M)$ ) is a Desarguesion projective space.

PROOF. By VEbLEN and YOUNG [6], we need only prove the result if
$M=\langle\ell, x\rangle$ with $x \in P, \ell \in L, \ell \subseteq x^{\perp} \backslash\{x\}$. However, this case follows from (2.3) and Tits' classification of polar spaces [5] .
(2.5) NOTATION. $V$ is the subset of Sing of singular subspaces which contain lines as maximal subspaces. We call elements of $\underline{\underline{V}}$ planes.
(2.6) LEMMA. If there exists a pair $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{w} \in \mathrm{P}$ with $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{w})=2$ and for each $l \in L_{x}, l \cap \Gamma(\mathrm{w}) \neq \emptyset$, then $(\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{L})$ is a thick, nondegenerate polar space of rank 4.

PROOF. See (3.13) of [4].

## 3. INCIDENCE STRUCTURES INDUCED AT A POINT

In this section we induce an incidence structure at a point, called the residue of the point and identify its structure. Thus, let $x \in P$. The points of the residue are the lines on $x, L_{x}$, the lines are the planes on $x, V_{x}$, with ordinary inclusion as incidence. Thus, if $\ell, m \in L_{x}, \ell, m$ will be collinear in the residue if and only if $m \subseteq \ell^{\perp}$, and then the line on $\ell$ and $m$ is $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{x}}(\langle\ell, \mathrm{m}\rangle)$. For $\ell \in \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{x}}, \Gamma_{\mathrm{x}}(\ell)=\left\{\mathrm{m} \in \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{x}}\left(\ell^{\perp}\right)-\{\ell\}\right\}$. We first prove
(3.1) LEMMA. ( $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{x}}, \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{x}}$ ) is a thick, gamma space whose point graph ( $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{x}}, \Gamma_{\mathrm{x}}$ ) has diameter two and satisfies
(A1) It $l, m \in L_{x}$ and $m \notin \Gamma_{\mathrm{x}}(\ell)$, then $\Gamma_{\mathrm{x}}(\ell) \cap \Gamma_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{m})$, together with its lines, is a non-degenerate generalized quadrangle and
(A2) If $\mathrm{V} \in V_{=\mathrm{x}}$, $\ell \in \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{x}}$ such that $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{V}) \cap \Gamma_{\mathrm{x}}(\ell)=\emptyset$, and $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{V}, \ell)=<\mathrm{m} \in \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{x}}: \ell, \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{V}) \subseteq \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{m})>\epsilon{\underset{\mathrm{V}}{\mathrm{X}}}$.

PROOF. Clearly ( $L_{x}, \underline{V}_{x}$ ) is thick. We first show ( $L_{x}, N_{x}$ ) is a gamma space. Let $l \in L_{x}, V \in V_{x}^{V}$ and suppose $\left|\Gamma_{x}(l) \cap L_{x}(V)\right| \geq 2$. Then there are $m_{1}, m_{2} \in L_{x},(V)$ such that $m_{1}, m_{2} \subseteq \ell^{\perp}$. Then $V=\left\langle m_{1}, m_{2}\right\rangle \subseteq \ell^{\perp}$, and hence
$L_{x}(V) \subseteq \Gamma_{x}(\ell)$.
Next suppose $\ell=x a, m=x b \in L_{x}, m \notin \Gamma_{x}(\ell)$. Then $d(a, b) \geq 2$. Since $x \in\{a, b\}^{\perp}, d(a, b)=2$. Then $\{a, b\}^{\perp}$ is a polar-space of rank 3, in particu$\operatorname{lar}\{a, b\}^{\perp} \cap x^{\perp} \neq \emptyset$. If $c \in\{a, b\}^{\perp} \cap x^{\perp}$, then $x c \in \Gamma_{x}(l) \cap \Gamma_{x}(m)$, so diam $\left\{L_{x}, \Gamma_{x}\right\}=2$. Also see that $\Gamma_{x}(\ell) \cap \Gamma_{x}(m)=L_{x}\left(\{a, b\}^{\perp}\right)$, and so is a non-degenerate generalized quadrangle. Therefore (Al) is satisfied.

Finally, suppose $V \in \underline{V}_{x}$, $\ell \in L_{x}, \Gamma_{x}(\ell) \cap L_{x}(V)=\emptyset$. Let $k \in L(V) \backslash L_{x}$, $a \in \ell \backslash\{x\}$. Then $a^{\perp} \cap m^{\prime}=\emptyset$. However, $a^{\perp} n m^{\perp} \neq \emptyset$, since $x \in a^{\perp} n m^{\perp}$. Therefore $a^{\perp} n m^{\perp} \epsilon \underset{=}{V}$. It is clear to see that $C_{x}(V, \ell)=$ $=a^{\perp} \cap \mathrm{m}^{\perp}$, and the lemma is completed.
(3.2) COROLLARY. For each $x$, there is an integer $N_{x} \geq 3$, and division ring $K_{x}$ such that $\left(L_{x}, \underline{V}_{x}\right)$ is isomorphic to $A_{n_{X}}, 2\left(K_{x}\right)$.
PROOF. Here $A_{n, 2}(K)$ is the gamma space whose points are the projective lines in $P G(n+1, K)$, and the lines are in one-one corresponse with incident pairs $\left(\pi_{0}, \pi_{2}\right)$ where 0 is a projective point and $\pi_{2}$ a projective plane, and the line is the pencil determined by $\left(\pi_{0}, \pi_{2}\right)$. The corollary follows from (3.1) and Theorem A of [2] and [4].
(3.3) LEMMA. The graph $\left(P, \Gamma_{2}\right)$ is connected.

PROOF. Since $(P, \Gamma)$ is connected it suffices to prove if $y \in \Gamma(x)$, then $\Gamma_{2}(x) \cap \Gamma_{2}(y) \neq \emptyset$. By (2.3), if $\ell=x y$, then ${\underline{\underline{S_{y m p}^{l}}} \ell}_{\ell} \neq \emptyset$. If $S \in \underline{\underline{S y m p}}_{\ell}$, then $\Gamma_{2}(x) \cap \Gamma_{2}(y) \cap S \neq \emptyset$.
(3.4) LEMMA. For each $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{x}}$ is a field. Moreover all the $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{x}}$ are isomorphic.

PROOF. Let $x \in P, S \in \underline{\underline{\operatorname{Symp}}}{ }_{x}, L_{x}(S)$ is a Symp of $\left(L_{x}, V_{x}\right)$, and so $L_{x}(S) \cong A_{3,2}\left(K_{x}\right)$. From Tits' classification of polar spaces (see section 8 of [5]), it follows that $K_{x}$ is a field and $S \cong D_{4}\left(K_{x}\right)$. To prove the latter part of the lemma it suffices to prove for $d(x, y)=2$, then $K_{x} \cong K_{y}$. Thus if $d(x, y)=2$, let $S=S(x, y)$. Then $S \cong D_{4}\left(K_{x}\right)$ and $S \cong D_{4}\left(K_{y}\right)$. By (6.13) of [5] it follows that $K_{x} \cong K_{y}$.

For the sequel we let $K$ be the underlying field. Note that now all
singular subspaces are projective spaces over $K$. Those of rank $t$ we denote by $t^{P}$.
(3.5) LEMMA. Let $x, y \in P$. Then $n_{x}=n_{x}$.

PROOF. By connectedness of ( $P, \Gamma$ ) suffices to prove $n_{x}=n_{y}$ for $y \in \Gamma(x)$. Set $\ell=x y$. Then $\ell \in L_{x}$, and $\left(L_{x}, V_{x}\right)=A_{n}, 2(K)$. Then if $M \in$ Sing $_{\ell}$ is choosen so that $r k$ (M) is maximal, then as a singular subspace of ( $L_{x}, \underline{V}{ }_{x}$ ), $\left(L_{x}(M)\right)=n_{x}-1$. It therefore follows that $r k(M)=n_{x}$. By similarly considering $\left(L_{y}, \underline{V} y\right)$, we see $r k(M)=n_{y}$ and so $n_{x}=n_{y}$ as claimed.

## 4. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

We now have that there is an integer $n \geq 3$, and field $K$ such that for each point $x$ in $P,\left(L_{x}, \underline{V}_{x}\right) \cong A_{n, 2}(K)$. We will prove by induction on $n$ that $(P, L) \cong D_{n+1, n+1}(K)$.
(4.1) LEMMA. If $\mathrm{n}=3$, then $(\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{L}) \cong \mathrm{D}_{4}(\mathrm{~K}) \cong \mathrm{D}_{4,4}(\mathrm{~K})$.

PROOF. Let $d(x, w)=2, S=S(x, w)$. Then in section three we saw $S \cong D_{4}(K)$. However, it follows that $x^{\perp} \subseteq S$, and so by (2.5) that $P=S$.
(4.2) NOTATION. $\pi_{x}$ will denote a projective space of rank $n$ over $K$ which underlies $\left(L_{x}, \underline{V}\right)$.
$R_{t}=\{x, X\} x \in X \subseteq x^{\perp}, X \in \underline{\underline{S u b}}, L_{x}(X) \cong A_{t, 2}(K)$. For $(x, X) \in R_{t}, y \in X-\{x\}$, we set $X_{y}$ equal to

$$
\bigcup_{z \in X-y^{\perp}}\left[S(y, z) \cap \cdot y^{\perp}\right]
$$

Finally let $P^{+}={ }_{n} P$ and $P^{-}=\left\{M \in{ }_{3} P: M^{\perp}=M\right\}$.
 PROOF. Clearly $S \cap x^{\perp} \in \underline{\underline{\text { Sing }}}$ by (2.1), let $\ell \in L\left(S \cap x^{\perp}\right)$ and $y \in \ell$. Set $m=x_{y}$. Consider $L_{y}$. There is a subspace $\pi_{y}(S)$ of $\pi_{y}$ of rank three such that $L_{y}(S)$ consists of all lines of $\pi_{y}(S)$. Now $\ell \in \Gamma_{y}(m) \cap L_{y}(S)$, and, therefore, the line of $\pi_{y}$ which $m$ is identified with meets $\pi_{y}(S)$. Then $\Gamma_{y}(m) \cap L_{y}(S)$
is a singūlar plane of $L_{y}$. Now it follows that $S \cap x^{\perp} \in{ }_{3} P$. As $x \notin S \cap x^{\perp}$, $S \cap x^{\top} \in{ }_{3} P \backslash P^{-}$.

PROOF. By (2.1), $S_{1} \cap S_{2} \in$ Sing. Let $x \in S_{1} \cap S_{2} . L_{x}\left(S_{i}\right)$ are symps of $L_{x}$, and since $\underline{\underline{V}}\left(S_{1} \cap S_{2}\right) \neq \emptyset, L_{x}\left(S_{1}\right) \cap L_{x}\left(S_{2}\right)=L_{x}\left(S_{1} \cap S_{2}\right)$ contains a line of $\left(L_{x}, \underline{V}{ }_{x}\right)$. It then follows that $L_{x}\left(S_{1} \cap S_{2}\right)$ is a maximal singular subspace of rank two, hence, $S_{1} \cap S_{2} \in P^{-}$.
(4.5) LEMMA. Let $(x, x) \in R_{t}, y \in x-\{x\}$. Then $\left(y, X_{y}\right) \in R_{t}$.

PROOF. If $t=3$, then the result is immediate: for any $z \in X-y^{\perp}$, $X=S(y, z) \cap x^{\perp}$. Then $X_{y}=S(y, z) \cap y^{\perp}$ and $\left(y, X_{y}\right) \in R_{3}$, we proceed to prove the lemma in a sequence of short steps. We first introduce some notation. $\underline{\underline{S y m p}}_{x}(X)=\left\{S \in \underline{\underline{S y m p}}_{x}: S \cap x^{\perp} \subseteq X\right\}$.
I. $X_{y} \in \underline{\underline{\text { Sub }}: ~ L e t ~} u_{1}, u_{2} \in X_{y}$ with $u_{2} \in u_{1}^{\perp}$. If $u_{2} \in y_{1}$ then result is clear. Let $S_{i} \in \operatorname{Symp}_{x}(X)$ with $y u_{i} \subseteq S_{i}, i=1,2$. If $S_{1}=S_{2}$, then the result is obvious, so we may assume $S_{1} \neq S_{2}$. In particular we may assume $u_{1}, u_{2} \in \Gamma_{2}(x)$, so $S_{i}=S\left(x, u_{i}\right)$. Now since $S_{1} \cap u_{2}^{\perp} \geq y u_{1}$, by (4.3), $S_{1} \cap u_{2}^{\perp} \in{ }_{3} P \backslash P^{-}$. Then $S_{1} \cap u_{2}^{\perp} \cap x^{\perp} \in{ }_{2} P$, and hence by (4.4), $<x, S_{1} \cap u_{2}^{\perp} \cap x^{\perp}:-S_{1} \cap S_{2} \in P^{-}$. Set $M=S_{1} \cap S_{2}$.
Note that $u_{1}^{\perp} \cap M=u_{2}^{\perp} \cap M$. Let $N \in{ }_{2} P_{x}(M)$, i.e. a hyperplane of $M$ containing $x$, with $y \notin N$. Let $\left\{M_{i}\right\} \in{ }_{3} P_{N}\left(S_{i}\right)$, $i=1,2, M_{i} \neq M$ (there are unique such choices). Then by consideration of $L_{x}$ we see that $M_{2} \subseteq M_{1}^{\perp}$ and $<M_{1}, M_{2}>\in{ }_{4}$ P. Let $v_{i} \in M_{i} \cap u_{i}^{\perp} \backslash M$, $i=1,2$. Now $v_{1} \notin u_{2}^{\perp}$, for if $v_{1} \in u_{2}^{\perp}$, then $v_{1} \in\left\{u_{2}, x\right\}^{\perp} \cap S_{1} \subseteq S_{1} \cap S_{2}=M$, a contradiction. However, $\mathrm{u}_{1}, \mathrm{u}_{2}, \mathrm{v}_{1}, \mathrm{v}_{2} \in \mathrm{~S}\left(\mathrm{u}_{2}, \mathrm{v}_{1}\right)$, a symp, and so $\mathrm{u}^{\perp} \cap \mathrm{v}_{1} \mathrm{v}_{2}$ is a point, say v . Now $v \notin y^{\perp}$, for if $v \in y^{\perp}$, then $v \in\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\}^{\perp} \cap y^{\perp} \subseteq S_{1} \cap S_{2}=M$. But then $\mathrm{v}_{2} \epsilon\left\langle\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{v}_{1}\right\rangle \subseteq \mathrm{S}_{1}$, a contradiction. Thus $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{x})=\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{s})$. Since $\mathrm{v}_{1}, \mathrm{v}_{2} \in \mathrm{X}$ and $X$ is a subspace, $v \in X$. Hence $S(u, x) \in \underline{\underline{S y m p}}_{x}(X)$ and $u \in X_{y}$.
II. If $u_{1}, u_{2} \in X_{y}, d\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)=2$, then $S\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \cap y^{\perp} \subseteq X_{y}$.

Pf: Let $S_{i} \in \underline{S y m p}_{x}(X) \cap \underline{S y m p}_{x_{i}}, i=1,2$. If $S_{1}=S_{2}$, then the result is clear, so assume $S_{1} \neq S_{2}$. Then we may also assume $u_{1}, u_{2} \in \Gamma_{2}(x)$.
Let $v \in\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}\right\}^{\perp} \cap y^{\perp}$. If $v \in x^{\perp}$, then $v \in\{x, u\}^{\perp} \subseteq S_{1}$, so $v \in X_{y}$ in this
case. Thus assume $v \in \Gamma_{2}(x)$. Now consider $L_{y}$. The three subspace $\pi_{y}\left(S_{i}\right)$ of $\pi_{i}$ meet in a plane $U$, and this plane contains the line which xy is identified with. The lines which $u_{i} y$ are identified with meet $U$ in projective points $\rho_{i}$ moreover, since $\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right),\left(u_{i}, x\right) \in \Gamma_{2}, \rho_{i}$ are not on $x y$ and, $\rho_{1} \neq \rho_{2}$. Now vy "meets" both $u_{1} y$ and $u_{2} y$. If $\rho_{i}$ is on vy for some $i$, then vy is contained in $\pi_{y}\left(S_{j}\right)$, where $\{i, j\}=\{1,2\}$, that is $v y \in L_{y}\left(S_{j}\right)$ and $v \in S_{j}$, in which case $v \in X_{y}$. Thus $u_{i} y$ "meets" vy in a point $\delta_{i} \neq \rho_{i}, i=1,2$. From this it follows that there are $\operatorname{lines} m_{i}=w_{i} y \in L_{y}\left(S_{i}\right) \cap \Gamma_{y}(x y) \cap \Gamma_{y}(v y)$ with $m_{1} \in \Gamma_{y}\left(m_{2}\right)$ (choose lines $m_{i}$ to contain $\delta_{i}$ and meet xy in points $q_{i}$ with $q_{1} \neq q_{2}$ ). Now $w_{i} \in S_{i} \cap v^{\perp} \cap x^{\perp} \cap y^{\perp}$ and so $w_{i} \in X$, also $d\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)=2$. Since $y, v \in\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}\right\}^{\perp}, S\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right) \in \underline{\underline{\text { Symp }}}{ }_{x}(X) \cap \underline{\underline{\text { Symp }}} y$. As $v \in S\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right) \cap y^{\perp}$ it follows that $v \in X_{y}$.
III. $X_{y} \cap \mathrm{x}^{\perp}=\mathrm{x} \cap \mathrm{y}^{\perp}$

Pf: Let $z \in X \cap y^{\perp}$. Then clearly $X \cap z^{\perp} \backslash y^{\perp} \neq \emptyset$. Let $w \in X \cap z^{\perp} \backslash y^{\perp}$. Then $z \in S(y, w) \cap y^{\perp} \subseteq X_{y}$. Thus $z \in X_{y} \cap x^{\perp}$ and we have shown $X \cap y^{\perp} \subseteq X_{y} \cap x^{\perp}$. Conversely, suppose $z \in X_{\perp} \cap x^{\perp}$. Let
$S \in \underline{\underline{S y m p}}_{x}(x) \cap \underline{\underline{\text { Symp }}}{ }_{y z}$. Then $z \in S \cap x^{\perp} \cap y^{\perp} \subseteq x \cap y^{\perp}$, and we have equality.
IV. $\left(y, X_{y}\right) \in R_{t}$.

Pf: From I. and II., $L_{y}\left(X_{y}\right)$ is a subspace of $L_{y}$, is connected, has diameter two, and is $2-c l o s e d ~\left(i . e . ~ i f ~ m_{1}, m_{2} \in L_{y}\left(X_{y}\right)\right.$ with $m_{1} \notin \Gamma_{y}\left(m_{2}\right)$, then $\Gamma_{y}\left(m_{1}\right) \cap \Gamma_{y}\left(m_{2}\right) \subseteq L_{y}\left(X_{y}\right)$. From this it follows that $L_{y}\left(X_{y}\right) \cong A_{t}, 2(K)$ for some $t^{\prime}$. Now let $M \in{ }_{t} P_{x y}(X)$. Then $M \subseteq X \cap y^{\perp}=x y \cap x^{\perp}$. Hence $M \in t P_{x y}\left(X_{y}\right)$ and so $t \leq t^{\prime}$. On the other hand, by choosing $M^{\prime} \epsilon_{t^{\prime}} P_{x y}\left(X_{y}\right)$ we get $M^{\prime} \epsilon_{t}{ }^{\prime} P_{x y}(X)$, and so $t^{\prime} \leq t$. Thus $t=t^{\prime}$ and the lemma is proved.
(4.6) DEFINITION. For $(x, X),(y, Y) \in R_{t}$, write $(x, X) \sim(y, Y)$ if $(x, X)=(y, Y)$ or if there exists a sequence $\left\{\left(x_{i}, X_{i}\right)\right\}_{i=0}^{s} \subseteq R_{t}$ with $\left(x_{0}, X_{0}\right)=(x, X),\left(x_{s}, X_{s}\right)=(y, Y)$ and such that for each $i, X_{i+1} \in X_{i}$ and $\left(X_{i}\right)_{x_{i+1}}=X_{i+1}$.

Suppose $(x, X) \in R_{t}, y \in \mathcal{P}$, and $\pi=\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s}\right)$ a path from $x$ to $y$. We shall say $X_{\pi}$ is defined if there exists a sequence $\left\{\left(x_{i}, X_{i}\right)\right\}_{i=0}^{s}$ in $R_{t}$ such that $x_{i} \in X_{i}$ and $\left(X_{i}\right)_{x_{i+1}}=X_{i+1}$. When $X_{\pi}$ is defined each $X_{i}$ is unique$1 y$ determined and we set $X_{\pi}=X_{s}$.
( (4.7) LEMMA. Let $(x, X) \in R_{t}, y \in x^{\perp} \backslash X . \operatorname{Set} Y=U_{z \in X \backslash y^{\perp}}\left[S(y, z) \cap y^{\perp}\right]$.
(i) If $\mathrm{X} \cap \mathrm{y}^{\perp}=\{\mathrm{x}\}$, then $(\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{Y}) \in \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{t}+2}$.
(ii) If $\mathrm{X} \cap \mathrm{y}^{\perp} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\neq}\{\mathrm{x}\}$, then $(\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{Y}) \in \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{t}+1}$.

PROOF. In either case $Y=(\bar{X})_{y}$ where $\bar{X}=\langle X, y\rangle$. In (i) clearly $(x, \bar{X}) \in R_{t+2}$ and in (ii) $(x, \bar{X}) \in R_{t+1}$. The result follows from (4.5).
(4.8) LEMMA. Let $(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{x}) \in \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{t}}, \mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{X}-\{\mathrm{x}\}$. Then $\mathrm{X}=\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{y}}\right)_{\mathrm{x}}$.

PROOF. Since $X, X, X_{y}$ are isomorphic it suffices to prove $X \subseteq\left(X_{y}\right)_{x}$.
Let $u \in X$. If $u \in y^{\perp}$, then $u \in X_{y}$. Then since $u \in X_{y} \cap x^{\perp}, u \in\left(X_{y}\right)_{x}$. Thus assume $u \in \Gamma_{2}(y)$. Then $S(u, y) \cap y^{\perp} \subseteq X_{y}, x \in S(u, y) \cap y^{\perp}$, but $S(y, y) \cap y^{\perp} \subseteq x^{\perp}$. Choose $v \in S(u, y) \cap y^{\perp}, v \in \Gamma_{2}(x)$. Then $v \in X_{y}$ and $S(x, v) \cap x^{\perp} \subseteq\left(X_{y}\right)_{x}$. But $S(x, v)=S(u, y)$ and hence $u \in S(x, v) \cap X^{\perp} \subseteq\left(X_{y}\right)_{x}$. (4.9) LEMMA. Let $(x, X) \in R_{t}, a, b \in X-\{x\}$ with $b \in a^{\perp}$. Then $\left(X_{a}\right)_{b}=X_{b}$.

PROOF. Since $\left(X_{a}\right)_{b}, x_{b} \in\left(R_{t}\right)_{b}$, it suffices to prove $X_{b} \subseteq\left(X_{a}\right)_{b}$. Let $d \in X-b^{\perp}, c \in S(b, d) \cap b^{\perp}$. Suppose first that $d \in a^{\perp}$. Then $d \in X_{a}$ and then $S(b, d) \cap b^{\perp} \subseteq\left(X_{a}\right)_{b}$. Thus we may assume $d \in \Gamma_{2}(a)$.

Since $\left(X_{a}\right)_{b}$ is a subspace it suffices to show $b c \cap\left(X_{a}\right)_{b} \neq\{b\}$. Since $b \in \Gamma_{2}(d)$ and $d^{\perp} \cap b c \neq \emptyset$, we may assume $c \in d^{\perp}$. Suppose $c d n a^{\perp} \neq \emptyset$. If $a \in c^{\perp}$, then $c \in X_{a}$ and then $c \in X_{a} \cap b^{\perp} \subseteq\left(X_{a}\right)_{b}$. Thus we may assume $c \in \Gamma_{2}(a)$. Let $c^{\prime}=c d \cap a^{\perp}$. Then $c^{\prime} \in S(a, d) \cap a^{\perp} \subseteq X_{a}$ and $c^{\prime} \in \Gamma_{2}(b)$. Then $S\left(b, c^{\prime}\right) \cap b^{\perp} \subseteq\left(X_{a}\right)_{b}$ and this implies $c \in\left(X_{a}\right)_{b}$. Thus we may assume $c d \subseteq \Gamma_{2}(a)$.

Suppose now that $x \in c^{\perp}$. Then $x \in(c d)^{\perp} \cap a^{\perp}$. Therefore $a^{\perp} \cap(c d)^{\perp} \in{ }_{2} P\left(\{a, c\}^{\perp}\right)$, and so $a^{\perp} \cap(c d)^{\perp}$ is maximal in $\{a, c\}^{\perp}$. Therefore there is an $e \in a^{\perp} \cap(c d)^{\perp} \cap \Gamma_{2}(b)$. Note $e \in x^{\perp}$ since $a^{\perp} \cap$ (cd) ${ }^{\perp}$ contains x. Since $e \in X \cap a^{\perp}$, $e \in X_{a}$. Thus $S(b, e) \cap b^{\perp} \subseteq\left(X_{a}\right)_{b}$. However, $c \in b^{\perp} \cap e^{\perp}$, so $c \in\left(X_{a}\right)_{b}$.

Therefore we may assume $x \notin(c d)^{\perp}$. In particular $c \in \Gamma_{2}(x)$. Now note that $S(b, d) \supseteq d c$ and $S(b, d) \cap a^{\perp} \supseteq b x$. Then by (4.3) $S(b, d) \cap a^{\perp} \in{ }_{3} P$. If $M=S(b, d) \cap a^{\perp}$, then $M \cap(c d)^{\perp} \neq \emptyset$, and hence $(c d)^{\perp} \cap a^{\perp} \neq \emptyset$, and hence by $\left(D_{2}\right), a^{\perp} \cap(c d)^{\perp} \in{ }_{2} P$. Set $a^{\perp} \cap(c d)^{\perp}=N$. $x, b \notin N$. However, $N$ is maximal in $\{a, c\}^{\perp}$ and $b \in\{a, c\}^{\perp} \backslash N$. Therefore, there is an $e \in N \backslash b^{\perp}$. Now $e \in S(a, d) \cap a^{\perp}$, so $e \in X_{a} \cdot c \in S(b, e) \cap b^{\perp}$, so $c \in\left(X_{a}\right)_{b}$ and we have shown $X_{b} \subseteq\left(X_{a}\right)_{b}$.
(4.10) LEMMA. (i) Suppose $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})=\mathrm{k} \geq 1$. Then $\mathrm{y}^{\perp} \cap \Gamma_{\mathrm{k}-1}(\mathrm{x})$, together with its lines is isomorphic to $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{k}-1,2}(\mathrm{~K})$.
(ii) If $\ell \subseteq \Gamma_{k}(x)$, then $\ell^{\perp} \cap \Gamma_{k-1}(x) \in{ }_{2 k-2} P$.

PROOF. We first show that (ii) is a consequence of (i). Choose $y \in \ell$. By (i) $y^{\perp} \cap \Gamma_{k-1}(x) \cong A_{2 k-1,2}(K)$. Set $Y=\left\langle y^{\perp} \cap \Gamma_{k-1}(x), y\right\rangle$ so ( $\left.y, Y\right) \in R_{2 k-1}$ and consider $L_{y}, L_{y}(Y)$ and $\ell$. Now either $\Gamma_{y}(\ell) \cap L_{y}(Y)=\emptyset$ or $\Gamma_{y}(\ell) \cap L_{y}(Y)$ is a maximal singular subspace of $L_{y}(Y)$. Thus, either $\ell^{\perp} \cap \Gamma_{k-1}(x)=\emptyset$ or $\ell^{\perp} \cap \Gamma_{k-1}(x) \in{ }_{2 k-2} P$. Since $\ell^{\perp} \cap \Gamma_{k-1}(x) \neq \emptyset$ by (D2) and (D3), (ii) now follows.

We prove (i) by induction on $k \geq 1$. (i) is obvious for $k=1$ and 2 .
Thus assume (i) is true for $k=t \geq 2$ and suppose $k=t+1$. Now let $a \in y^{\perp} \cap \Gamma_{t}(x)$. By induction $a^{\perp} \cap \Gamma_{t-1}(x) \cong A_{2 t-1,2}(K)$.
Set $A=\left\langle a, a^{\perp} \cap \Gamma_{t-1}(x)\right\rangle$, so $(a, A) \in R_{2 t-1}$. Note that for $\ell \in L_{a}(A)$, $\ell \cap \Gamma_{t-1}(x)$ is a point. Since $y \in \Gamma_{t+1}(x), A \cap y^{\perp}=\{a\}$. Now let $b \in A-\{a\}$, so $b \in \Gamma_{2}(y)$. Let $c \in S(b, y) \cap y^{\perp}$. Then yc $\cap b^{\perp} \neq \emptyset$, and if $c^{\prime} \in y c \cap b^{\perp}$, then $c^{\prime} \in \Gamma_{t}(x) \cap y^{\perp}$. Thus, if $\ell \in L_{y}(S(b, y))$, then $\ell$ contains a unique point in $y^{\perp} \cap \Gamma_{t}(x)$. Now by (4.7), if $Y=U\left[S(b, y) \cap y^{\perp}\right]$, $b \in A-\{a\}$ then $(y, Y) \in R_{2 t+1}$. Since each $\ell \in L_{y}(Y)$ contains a unique point in $y^{\perp} \cap \Gamma_{t}(x)$, if we set $Z=Y \cap \Gamma_{t}(x)$, then $Z \cong A_{2 t+1,2}(K)$.

We next show that $W=\Gamma_{t}(x) \cap y^{\perp}$ is a subspace. Suppose $u, v \in \ell \cap W$. Then either $\ell \subseteq W$ or there is a unique point $w \in \ell \cap \Gamma_{t-1}(x)$. But then $d(x, y) \leq d(x, w)+d(w, y)=t-1+1=t$, a contradiction. Now suppose $a, b \in W, d(a, b)=2, c \in\{a, b\}^{\perp} \cap y^{\perp}$. Claim $y c \cap W \neq \emptyset$. If yc $\cap W=\emptyset$, then $y c \subseteq \Gamma_{t+1}(x)$. Then by (D3), (yc) ${ }^{\perp} \cap \Gamma_{t}(x) \in$ Sing. However, $a, b \in(y c)^{\perp} \cap \Gamma_{t}(x)$ and $b \notin a^{\perp}$, a contradiction. Thus yc $\cap W \neq \emptyset$. It follows that $\{a, b\}^{\perp} \cap W$ is a non-degenerate generalized quadrangle, and therefore that $W \cong A_{s, 2}(K)$ for some $s \geq 2 t+1$ (since $W \supseteq Z$ ). Thus to complete the proof it suffices to prove $s=2 t+1$.

Now let $a \in W$ and $m \in L_{a}(W)$. Then $m \subseteq \Gamma_{t}(x)$ and therefore by induction $m^{\perp} \cap \Gamma_{t-1}(x) \in{ }_{2 t-2} P(U), U=\Gamma_{t-1}(x) \cap a^{\perp}$. Suppose that $m_{1}, \in L_{a}(W)$, but $m_{1} \subseteq m_{2}^{\perp}$. Then $m_{1}^{\perp} \cap \Gamma_{t-1}(x) \neq m_{2}^{\perp} \cap \Gamma_{t-1}(x)$. For suppose on the contrary, $m_{1}^{\perp} \cap \Gamma_{t-1}(x)=m_{2}^{\perp} \cap \Gamma_{t-1}(x)=M$. Let $b_{i} \in m_{i}$, $i=1,2$. Then $y, M \subseteq b_{1}^{\perp} \cap b_{2}^{\perp}$. Then $\emptyset \neq y^{\perp} \cap M \subseteq \Gamma_{t-1}\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \cap{ }^{1} \perp(\phi$, a contradiction.

Next suppose $m_{1}, m_{2} \in L_{y}(W)$ and $m_{1}^{\perp} \cap \Gamma_{t-1}(x)=m_{2}^{\perp} \cap \Gamma_{t-1}(x)=M$. Then
by the previous paragraph $m_{2} \subseteq m_{1}^{\perp}$. Set $N=\left\langle m_{1}, m_{2}\right\rangle$. Claim $N^{\perp} \cap W=N$. Since $W \cong A_{s, 2}(K)$ if $V \in \underline{\underline{V}}(W)$, then $V^{\perp} \cap W \in \underline{\underline{\text { Sing }}}$ and either $V^{\perp} \cap W \in{ }_{s-1} P$ or $V^{\perp} \cap W=V$. Suppose $N^{\perp} \cap W \in{ }_{s-1} P$. Since $N \in \underline{\underline{V}}, N$ lies in two maximal singular subspaces, one of rank 3 and one of rank $n$. Since $\mathrm{M} \subseteq \Gamma_{2}(\mathrm{y}), \mathrm{y} \nexists\langle\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{N}\rangle^{\perp}$. Since $\mathrm{rk}(\langle\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{N}\rangle) \geq 4$, it follows that $\mathrm{rk}\left(<\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{N}>^{\perp}\right)=\mathrm{n} .\langle\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{N}\rangle$ is a singular subspace of rank three on N and $\langle\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{N}\rangle \cap\langle\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{N}\rangle^{\perp}=\mathrm{N}$. Therefore $\langle\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{N}\rangle^{\perp}=\langle\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{N}\rangle$. However, we are assuming $r k\left(N^{\perp} n W\right)=s-1 . N^{\perp} \cap W \subseteq y^{\perp}$. Then $\left\langle y, N^{\perp} \cap W\right\rangle$ is a singular subspace, $\left\langle y, N^{\perp} \cap \mathrm{W}\right\rangle \geq\langle y, N\rangle$ and $\mathrm{rk}\left(\left\langle\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{N}^{\perp} \cap \mathrm{W}\right\rangle\right)=\mathrm{s} \geq 2 \mathrm{t}+1 \geq 5$, a contradiction.

Thus, if $m_{1}^{\perp} \cap \Gamma_{t-1}(x)=m_{2}^{\perp} \cap \Gamma_{t-1}(x)$, then $\left\langle m_{1}, m_{2}\right\rangle^{\perp} \cap W=\left\langle m_{1}, m_{2}\right\rangle$. Suppose now $m_{1}, m_{2} \in L_{y}(W), m_{2} \subseteq m_{1}^{\perp}$ and $\left\langle m_{1}, m_{2}\right\rangle^{\perp} n W=\left\langle m_{1}, m_{2}\right\rangle$. Set $M_{i}=m_{i}^{\perp} \cap \Gamma_{t-1}(x)$. We prove $N_{1}=N_{2}$. Let $n \in L\left(N_{1}\right)$. Then $n \subseteq \Gamma_{2}(y)$, but $\mathrm{m}_{1}^{\perp} \subseteq \mathrm{n}^{\perp} \cap \mathrm{y}^{\perp}$. However, $\mathrm{rk}\left(\mathrm{n}^{\perp} n \mathrm{y}^{\perp}\right)=2$ and $\mathrm{n}^{\perp} \cap \mathrm{y}^{\perp} \subseteq \mathrm{W}$. Also, from the type of $L_{a}$ we see that $\left\langle y, n^{\perp} n y^{\perp}\right\rangle \in P^{-}$. Therefore $n^{\perp} n y^{\perp}$ is a maximal singular plane of $W$. However, each line of $W$ lie in a unique singular plane of W which is maximal in W . Since $\left.\mathrm{m}_{1} \subseteq<\mathrm{m}_{1}, \mathrm{~m}_{2}\right\rangle$ and $\left.\left.<\mathrm{m}_{1}, \mathrm{~m}_{2}\right\rangle^{\perp} \mathrm{n} \mathrm{W}=<\mathrm{m}_{1}, \mathrm{~m}_{2}\right\rangle$, it follows that $\left\langle\mathrm{m}_{1}, \mathrm{~m}_{2}\right\rangle=\mathrm{n}^{\perp} \cap \mathrm{y}^{\perp}$. Now $\mathrm{N}_{1}=\mathrm{m}_{1}^{\perp} \cap \mathrm{n}^{\perp} \supseteq \mathrm{m}_{2}$.
Therefore $N_{1} \subseteq N_{2}$. Since $\operatorname{rk}\left(N_{1}\right)=\operatorname{rk}\left(N_{2}\right), N_{1}=N_{2}$ as claimed.
Now we have shown there is an injective map $\Phi$ from $V_{\text {max }}$ (W)
$\left\{V \in \underline{\underline{V}}(\mathrm{~W}): \mathrm{V}^{\perp} \cap \mathrm{W}=\mathrm{W}\right\}$ into $2_{\mathrm{t}-2} P(\mathrm{U})$. Now for $\mathrm{V}_{1}, \mathrm{~V}_{2} \in \mathrm{~V}_{\max }(\mathrm{W})$, define $\Delta\left(\mathrm{V}_{1}, \mathrm{~V}_{2}\right)=\left\{\mathrm{W} \in \mathrm{V}_{\max }(\mathrm{W}): \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}} \cap \mathrm{W} \in \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{a}}, \mathrm{i}=1,2\right\}$. Set $\lambda\left(\mathrm{V}_{1}, \mathrm{~V}_{2}\right)=\left\{\mathrm{V} \in \mathrm{V}_{\max }(\mathrm{W}): \mathrm{V} \cap \mathrm{V}^{\prime} \in \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{a}}\right.$, for every $\left.\mathrm{V}^{\prime} \in \Delta\left(\mathrm{V}_{1}, \mathrm{~V}_{2}\right)\right\}$. If we set $\Lambda=\left\{\lambda\left(\mathrm{v}_{1}, \mathrm{v}_{2}\right): \mathrm{V}_{1} \neq \mathrm{V}_{2} \in V_{\max }(\mathrm{W})\right\}$, then $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\text {max }}(\mathrm{W}), \Lambda\right) \cong \operatorname{PG}(\mathrm{s}-2, \mathrm{~K})$. Now ${ }_{2 t-2} P(U)$ is naturally isomorphic to $\operatorname{PG}(2 t-1, K)$. We finally show that $\Phi$ is a morphism of projective spaces. Since $\Phi$ is injective this will imply $\mathrm{s}-2 \leq 2 \mathrm{t}-1$ from which we deduce $\mathrm{s} \leq 2 \mathrm{t}+1$ as desired.

Let $\lambda=\lambda\left(v_{1} v_{2}\right) \in \Lambda$. Set $M_{i}=v_{i}^{\perp} \cap \Gamma_{t-1}(x)$. Then $M_{1} \cap M_{2}=\{u\}$ is a point. Then $\{y, u\}^{\perp} \subseteq W,\{y, u\}^{\perp} \cong A_{3,2}(K)$ and $a \in\{y, u\}^{\perp}$. It is clear to see that $\mathrm{V}_{\text {max }}(\mathrm{W}) \cap \underline{\underline{V}}_{\mathrm{a}}\left(\{\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{u}\}^{\perp}\right)=\lambda\left(\mathrm{v}_{1}, \mathrm{v}_{2}\right)$ and from this our claim now follows.
(4.11) NOTATION. If $d(x, y)=k \geq 2$, set $R(x, y)=\left\langle x^{\perp} \cap \Gamma_{k-1}(y), x\right\rangle$. (So ( $\left.x, R(x, y)) \in R_{2 k-1}\right)$.
(4.12) LEMMA. Let $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})=\mathrm{k} \geq 2$ and be a geodesic from x to y . If $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})$, then $\mathrm{X}_{\pi}$ is defined. Moreover, $\mathrm{X}_{\pi}=\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{x})=\left\langle\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}^{\perp} \cap \mathrm{\Gamma}_{\mathrm{k}-1}(\mathrm{x})\right\rangle$.

PROOF. Induction on $k \geq 2$. Suppose $k=2$. Then $X=R(x, y)=$ $x^{\perp} \cap S(x, y)=\left\langle x,\{x, y\}^{\perp}\right\rangle$. For $z \in\{x, y\}^{\perp}, X_{z}=z^{\perp} \cap S(x, y)$ and $y \in X_{z}$. Thus, if $\pi=(x, z, y)$, then $X_{\pi}$ is defined and $X_{\pi}=\left(X_{z}\right)_{y}=S(x, y) \cap y^{\perp^{z}}=$ $<y,\{x, y\}^{\perp}>=R(y, x)$.

Assume now that the result is true for $a 11 \mathrm{k} \leq \mathrm{t}$ and let $\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{t}+1$. Let $\pi=\left(x=x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{t+1}=y\right)$ be a geodesic path from $x$ to $y$. Set $x_{1}=a$. We show that $A=R(a, y)=\left\langle a, \Gamma_{t-1}(y) \cap a^{\perp}\right\rangle \subseteq X_{a}$. Of course it suffices to show $\Gamma_{t-1}(y) \cap a^{\perp} \subseteq X_{a}$ since $X_{a} \in \underline{\underline{S u b}} a$. Let $b \in \Gamma_{t-1}(x) \cap a^{\perp}, c \in\{x, b\}^{\perp}$. Then $d(c, y)=t$ and $c \in \Gamma_{t}(y) \cap x^{\perp}$. Choose $c \in \Gamma_{2}(a) . c \in X=R(x, y)$ and $a^{\perp} \cap S(a, c) \subseteq X_{a}$. However, $S(a, c)=S(x, b)$ and hence $b \in X_{a}$. Now if $\rho=\left(a=x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{t}=y\right)$, then by induction $A_{\rho}$ is defined. Since $A \subseteq X_{a}$, ( $X_{a}$ ) $\rho$ is defined. But $\left(X_{a}\right) \rho=X_{\pi}$ and hence $X_{\pi}$ is defined. Note by induction we also have $X_{\pi} \geq y^{\perp} \cap \Gamma_{t-1}(a)$. However,

$$
\underset{a \in x^{\perp} \cap \Gamma_{t}(y)}{\left[y^{\perp} \cap \Gamma_{t-1}(a)\right]=y^{\perp} \cap \Gamma_{t}(x) .}
$$

Therefore, $X_{\pi} \geq\left\langle y, y^{\perp} \cap \Gamma_{t}(x)\right\rangle=R(y, x)$. Since both $\left(y, X_{\pi}\right)$ and $(y, R(y, x)) \in R_{2 t+1}$ we have $X_{\pi}=R(y, x)$.

Now let $(x, x) \in R_{t}$. suppose $d(x, y)=k>\left[\frac{t+1}{2}\right]$. Then
$x^{\perp} \cap \Gamma_{k-1}(y) \cong A_{2 k-1,2}$. Since $2 k-1>t, x^{\perp} \cap \Gamma_{t-1}(y) \notin X$. We remark that at this point it now follows diam $(P, \Gamma)=\left[\frac{\mathrm{n}+1}{2}\right]$.

Now set

$$
D(x, X)=\bigcup_{k \geq 1}^{U}\{y: d(x, y)=k, R(x, y) \subseteq X\} \cup\{x\}
$$

(4.12) REMARK. $x^{\perp} \cap D(x, X)=X$
(4.13) LEMMA. Let $(x, X) \in R_{t}, y \in X-\{x\}, Y=X_{y}$. Then $D(x, X)=D(y, Y)$. PROOF. As $Y_{X}=X$ by (4.8) it suffices to prove $D(y, Y) \subseteq D(x, X)$. Recall

$$
X_{y}=\underset{z \in x^{-y}}{\cup}\left[S(y, z) \cap y^{\perp}\right]
$$

Now let $z \in D(y, Y)$ with $d(y, z)=k$. Of course if $z=x$, then $z \in D(x, X)$. This
leaves four cases to consider:
(i) $d(x, z)=k-1 \geq 1$;
(ii) $d(x, z)=k+1$;
(iii) $d(x, z)=k, d(x y, z)=k-1$;
(iv) $x y \subseteq \Gamma_{k}(z)$.
(i) Let $u \in x^{\perp} \cap \Gamma_{k-2}(x)$. Then $u \in \Gamma_{2}(y)$. If $v \in\{u, y\}^{\perp}$, then $v \in \Gamma_{k-1}(z) \cap y^{\perp}$. Thus $\{u, y\}^{\perp} \subseteq Y$ and hence $y^{\perp} \cap S(u, y)=\left\langle y,\{u, y\}^{\perp} \subseteq Y\right.$. Now choose $v \in\{u, y\}^{\perp} \cap \Gamma_{2}(x)$. Then $S(y, u)=S(x, v)$. Then $x^{\perp} \cap S(x, v)=$ $x^{\perp} \cap S(y, u) \subseteq Y_{x}=X$. Thus $u \in X$.
(ii) Let $u \in \Gamma_{k}(z) \cap x^{\perp}$. Suppose $u \in y^{\perp}$. Then $d(y u, z)=k$. Let $v \in \Gamma_{k-1}(z) \cap(y u)^{\perp}$. Then $v \in Y \cap \Gamma_{2}(x) . X=Y_{x} \supseteq x^{\perp} \cap S(x, v)$ and so $u \in X$. Thus assume $u \in \Gamma_{2}(y)$. Now let $v \in\{x, u, y\}^{\perp} \cdot d\left(z, v^{\prime}\right) \leq k+1$ for each $v^{\prime} \epsilon x v$ since $v^{\prime} \in y^{\perp}$ and $d(y, z)=k$. However, if $d(x v, z)=k+1$, then $(x v)^{\perp} \cap \Gamma_{k}(z) \in \underline{\underline{\text { Sing }}}$, contradicting $u, y \in(x v)^{\perp} \cap \Gamma_{k}(z)$. Then without loss of generality we may assume $v \in \Gamma_{k}(z)$. By the first part of this paragraph $v \in X$. Now $\operatorname{Rad}\left(\{x, y, u\}^{\perp}\right)=\{x\}$, hence there is $a w \in\{x, y, u\}^{\perp} \cap \Gamma_{2}(z)$. Then also $w \in X$. Then $X \supseteq S(v, w) \cap X^{\perp}$ and so $u \in X$.
(iii) Let $w=x y \cap \Gamma_{k-1}(z)$. Let $u \in \Gamma_{k-1}(z) \cap x^{\perp}$. If $u \in y^{\perp}$, then $u \in Y \cap X^{\perp} \subseteq Y_{x}=X$. So assume $u \in \Gamma_{2}(y)$. As in (ii) we can find $a, b$ with $a \in \Gamma_{2}(b), a, b \in\{x, u, w\}^{\perp} \cap \Gamma_{k-1}(z)$. Then also $a, b \in y^{\perp}$ and so $a, b \in \Gamma_{k-1}(z) \cap y^{\perp} \subseteq Y$. Then $S(a, b) \cap y^{\perp} \subseteq Y$. As $x \in S(a, b)$ it follows that $S(a, b) \cap x^{\perp} \subseteq Y_{x}=X$. Since $u \in a^{\perp} \cap b^{\perp} \cap x^{\perp}, u \in X$.
(iv) Let $u \in \Gamma_{k-1}(z) \cap x^{\perp}$. If $u \in(x y)^{\perp}$, then $u \in Y \cap x^{\perp} \subseteq x$. Thus assume $u \in \Gamma_{2}(y)$. Now $(x y)^{\perp} \cap \Gamma_{k-1}(Z) \in{ }_{2 k-2} P$ and $u \notin(x y)^{\perp} \cap \Gamma_{k-1}(Z)$. Clearly, we may assume $k>1$, for otherwise $u=x$. Thus $u^{\perp} n(x y)^{\perp} \cap \Gamma_{k-1}(z) \in L$. Then we can find $v \in \Gamma_{2}(u) \cap(x y)^{\perp} \cap \Gamma_{k-1}(z)$. Let $a \in\{x, u, v\}^{\perp}$. Since $u \in\left(a^{\prime}\right)^{\perp} \cap \Gamma_{k-1}(z)$ for each $a^{\prime} \in a x, d\left(z, a^{\prime}\right) \leq k$. However, if $d(x a, z)=k$ we get a contradiction $: u, v \in(a x)^{\perp} \cap \Gamma_{k-1}(z) \in \underline{\underline{\text { Sing }}}$. Therefore $d(x a, z)=k-1$, so without loss we may assume $a \in \Gamma_{k-1}(z)$ and $a v \subseteq \Gamma_{k-1}(z)$. Let $b \in \Gamma_{k-2}(z) \cap(a v)^{\perp}$. Since $v \in\{y, b\}^{\perp}, d(y, b)=2$. Since $\{y, b\}^{\perp} \subseteq \Gamma_{k-1}(z), S(y, b) \cap y^{\perp} \subseteq Y$. Consequently, $Y_{v} \supseteq S(y, b) \cap v^{\perp}$. Since $v \in Y \cap X^{\perp}, v \in X$. Since $b \in S(y, b) \cap v^{\perp}, b \in Y_{v}$. As $x \in Y \cap v^{\perp}, X \in Y_{v}$.

Now $d(x, b)=2$, so $x^{\perp} \cap S(x, b) \subseteq\left(Y_{v}\right)_{x}=Y_{x}=X$ by (4.9). As $a \in\{x, b\}^{\perp}$, $a \in X$. However, $\operatorname{Rad}\left(\{x, u, v\}^{\perp}\right)=\{x\}$, so we can find ac $\in\{x, u, v\}^{\perp} \cap \Gamma_{k-1}(z)$ with $c \in \Gamma_{2}(a)$. Then as above, $c \in X$. Then $X^{\perp} \cap S(a, c) \subseteq X$, and so $u \in\{a, c, x\}^{\perp} \subseteq S(a, c) \cap x^{\perp}$.
(4.14) COROLLARY. Let $(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{x}) \in R_{\mathrm{t}}, \mathrm{y} \cdot \in \mathrm{D}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{x})$ and $\pi$ a geodesic from x to y , then $\mathrm{X}_{\pi}$ is defined, $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{T}}=\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{X}) \cap \mathrm{y}^{\perp}$ and if $\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{X}_{\pi}$, then $\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{X})=\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{Y})$ PROOF. This follows from (4.13) and induction on $d(x, y)$.
(4.15) REMARK. The corollary implies that $D(x, X) \in \underline{\underline{\text { Sub }}}$ and for any $a, b \in D(x, X)$ and every geodesic path $\pi$ from $a$ to $b$ is contained in $D(x, X)$. It follows that $D(x, X)$ satisfies the hypotheses of the main theorem. Thus, if $t<n$, then by induction $D(x, x) \cong D_{t+1, t+1}(K)$.

Now set $\overline{P_{t+1}}=\left\{D(x, x):(x, x) \in R_{t}\right\}, \bar{P}=\bar{P}_{n-1}$. For $D_{1}, D_{2} \in \bar{P}$, define $D_{1} \approx D_{2}$ if and only if $D_{1} \cap D_{2} \neq \emptyset$.

Now suppose $\mathrm{D}_{1}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \in \overline{\mathrm{P}}, \mathrm{D}_{1} \approx \mathrm{D}_{2}$. Let $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{D}_{1} \cap \mathrm{D}_{2}$. By considering
$L_{x}, L_{x}\left(D_{i}\right), i=1,2$, we see that $L_{x}\left(D_{1} \cap D_{2}\right)=L_{x}\left(D_{1}\right) \cap L_{x}\left(D_{2}\right) \cong A_{n-1,2}$. Since this is true for each $x \in D_{1} \cap D_{2}$ we have
(4.16) LEMMA. If $\mathrm{D}_{1}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \in \overline{\mathrm{P}}, \mathrm{D}_{1} \neq \mathrm{D}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{1} \cap \mathrm{D}_{2} \neq \emptyset$, then $\mathrm{D}_{1} \cap \mathrm{D}_{2} \in \overline{\mathrm{P}}_{\mathrm{n}-2}$.

Now if $D_{1} \approx D_{2}$, set $\ell\left(D_{1}, D_{2}\right)=\left\{D \in \bar{P}: D \geq D_{1} \cap D_{2}\right\}$ and $\overline{\mathrm{L}}=\left\{\ell\left(\mathrm{D}_{1}, \mathrm{D}_{2}\right): \mathrm{D}_{1}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \in \overline{\mathrm{P}}, \mathrm{D}_{1} \approx \mathrm{D}_{2}\right\}$. Thus we have an incidence structure $\overline{(P, \bar{L})}$.
(4.17) LEMMA. Let $D \in \bar{P}, x \in P-D$. If $\Gamma_{2}(x) \cap D \neq \emptyset$, then $x^{\perp} \cap D \neq \emptyset$.

PROOF. Let $w \in \Gamma_{2}(x) \cap D . L_{w}(D) \cong A_{n-1,2}(K), L_{w}(S(x, w)) \cong A_{3,2}$, let $\pi_{w}(D)$ be the hyperplane of $\pi_{w}$ underlying $L_{w}(D)$ and $\pi_{w}(x)$ the three subspace underlying $L_{w}(S(x, w))$. Then $\pi_{w}(x)$ meets $\pi_{w}(D)$ in a least a plane so $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{w}}(\mathrm{D}) \cap \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{w}}(\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{w}))$ a contains a singular plane of $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{w}}$. Therefore ${ }_{3} P(S(x, w) \cap D) \neq \emptyset$. If $M \in{ }_{3} P(S(x, w) \cap D)$, then $M \cap x^{\perp} \in \underline{\underline{V}}(D)$, in particular $D \cap x^{\perp} \neq \emptyset$ as claimed.
(4.18) LEMMA. If $\mathrm{D} \in \overline{\mathrm{P}}, \mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{D}$, then $\mathrm{x}^{\perp} \cap \mathrm{D} \neq \emptyset$.

PROOF. Set $s=d(D, x)$. Wish to prove $s=1$. Suppose on the contrary that $s>1$. Choose $z \in D$ with $d(x, z)=s$ and let $x=x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s}=z$ be a geodesic from $x$ to $z$. Let $y=x_{s-2}$. Then $d(x, y)=s-2$.
Since $d(s, x)=s, y \in P-D$. Since $\Gamma_{2}(y) \cap D \neq \emptyset$, by (4.17) $y^{\perp} \cap D \neq \emptyset$.
If $w \in y^{\perp} \cap D$, then $w \in D$ and $d(x, w) \leq s-1$, a contradiction. Therefore $\mathrm{s}=1$ 。
(4.19) NOTATION. For $x \in P, \hat{x}=\{D \in \bar{P}: x \in D\}$. For $D \in \bar{P}, \Delta(D)=\left\{D^{\prime}: D \approx D^{\prime}\right\}$.
(4.20) LEMMA. $\hat{\mathrm{x}}$, together with its Zines, is a projective space of rank n over K.

PROOF. Clearly $\hat{x}$ is a singular subspace of $\overline{(P,} \bar{L})$. We define a map from $\tilde{x}$ to $\left\{\mathrm{X}:\left(\mathrm{X}:(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{X}) \in R_{\mathrm{n}-1}\right\}\right.$ by $\mathrm{D} \mapsto \mathrm{D} \cap \mathrm{x}^{\perp}$. Suppose $\mathrm{D}_{1}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \in \hat{\mathrm{x}}$. Then this map carries $\lambda\left(D_{1}, D_{2}\right)$ to $\left\{X:(x, X) \in R_{n-1}, X \geq D_{1} \cap D_{2} \cap x^{\perp}\right\}$. However, ( $x, D_{1} \cap D_{2} \cap x^{\perp}$ ) $\in R_{n-2}$. Then $\hat{x}$, together with its lines is isomorphic to the incidence structure whose points are the hyperplanes of $\Pi_{x}(\cong P G(n, K))$ and lines are the subspaces of codimension two with inclusion as incidence. This is of course a projective space of rank $n$ over $K$ as claimed.
(4.21) LEMMA. Suppose $\mathrm{x} \notin \mathrm{D} \in \overline{\mathrm{P}}$. Then $\hat{\mathrm{x}} \cap \Delta(\mathrm{D})$ is a hyperplane of $\widehat{\mathrm{x}}$.

PROOF. We know $D \cap x^{\perp} \neq \emptyset$. Since $D$ is geodisically closed, $x^{\perp} \cap D \in$ Sing. Let $y \in D \cap x^{\perp}$, $\pi_{y}(D)$ the hyperplane of $\pi_{y}$ underlying $L_{y}(D)$. The line which $x y$ is identified with meets $\pi_{y}(D)$. Then $\Gamma_{y}(x y) \cap L_{y}(D)$ is a singular subspace of $L y$ of rank $n-2$ and therefore $r k\left(D \cap x^{\perp} n y^{\perp}\right)=n-1$. Since $y \in D \cap x^{\perp} \in$ Sing, $D \cap x^{\perp}=D \cap x^{\perp} \cap y^{\perp}$. Set $N=D \cap x^{\perp}$. rk $(\langle N, x\rangle)=n$, and so $M=\langle N, x\rangle \in P^{+}={ }_{n} P$. Then $L_{x}(M)$ is a maximal singular subspace of rank $n-1$ and consists of all lines of $\Pi_{x_{-}}$lying on a point $\Pi_{D}$ of $\Pi_{x}$. Now suppose $D^{\prime} \in \mathcal{X}_{x}^{d}$ and $D \cap D^{\prime} \neq \emptyset$. Then $D \cap D^{\prime} \in \bar{P}_{n-2}$ and $x \in D^{\prime}-\left(D \cap D^{\prime}\right)$. By the above $K=D \cap x^{\perp} \epsilon{ }_{n-2} P$ and $r k\left(\left\langle P \cap D^{\prime} \cap x^{\perp}, x\right\rangle\right)=n-1$. Set $K=\left\langle D \cap D^{\prime} \cap x^{\perp}, x\right\rangle, L_{x}(K)$ is a singular subspace of $L_{x}$ of rank $n-2$. If $\Pi_{x}\left(D^{\prime}\right)$ is the hyperplane of $\Pi_{x}$ corresponding to $L_{x}\left(D^{\prime}\right)$, then $\Pi_{x}\left(D^{\prime}\right)$ contain $\Pi_{D}$. It now follows that $\Delta(D) \cap \hat{x}=\left\{D^{\prime} \in \hat{x}: \Pi_{k}\left(D^{\prime}\right) \supseteq \Pi_{D}\right\}$ and this is a hyperplane of $\hat{x}$.

The next two results finish the proof.
(4.22) PROPOSITION. ( $\overline{\mathrm{P}}, \overline{\mathrm{L}}$ ) is a thick, non-degenerate polar space, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{n}+1}(\mathrm{~K})$. PROOF. Clearly $(\bar{P}, \bar{L})$ is thick. Let $\lambda=\lambda\left(D_{1}, D_{2}\right) \in \bar{L}, D \in \bar{P}$. Let $x \in D_{1} \cap D_{2}$. If $x \in D$, then $\lambda \subseteq \Delta(D)$, so assume $x \notin D$. Then $\Delta(D) \cap \widehat{x}$ is a hyperplane of $\tilde{x}$ by (4.2), in particular either $\lambda \subseteq \Delta(D)$ or $|\lambda \cap \Delta(D)|=1$. Thus ( $\overline{\mathrm{P}}, \overline{\mathrm{L}}$ ) is a polar space. Now suppose $D \in \bar{P}$. If $y \in D$, then $L_{y}(D) \cong A_{n-1,2}(K)$. Since $L_{y} \cong A_{n, 2}(K), y^{\perp} \nsubseteq D$, so $D \neq P$. If $x \in P-D$, then by (4.21) $\widehat{x} \nsubseteq \Delta(D)$, so $\mathrm{D} \notin \operatorname{Rad}(\overline{\mathrm{P}})$ and as D was arbitrary, $\operatorname{Rad}(\overline{\mathrm{P}})=\emptyset$. A1so by (4.21), $\widehat{\mathrm{x}}$ is a maximal singular subspace of ( $\overline{\mathrm{P}}, \overline{\mathrm{L}}$ ) and so by (4.20), $\mathrm{rk}(\overline{\mathrm{P}}, \overline{\mathrm{L}})=\mathrm{n}+1$.
To see that this is of type $D$ it suffices to show that the residue at a point D of $\overline{\mathrm{P}}, \overline{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{D}}$, is of type D . The map

$$
\lambda \longmapsto \cap_{D^{\prime} \in \lambda} D^{\prime} \text { from } \bar{L}_{D} \text { to } \bar{P}_{n-1} \text { (D) is a bijective morphism }
$$

(lines of $\bar{L}_{D}$ go to $\bar{P}_{n-2}(D)$, and the latter is a polar space $D_{n}(K)$. This completes the proposition.

THEOREM. $(\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{L}) \cong \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{n}+1, \mathrm{n}+1}(\mathrm{~K})$
PROOF. The map $\mathrm{x} \longmapsto \widehat{\mathrm{x}}$ is a map from P onto a subset of the maximal singular subspaces of ( $\bar{P}, \bar{L}$ ). Now if $\ell \in L_{x}$, then $l=\cap_{y \in \ell} \hat{y}$ is easily seen to have rank $k-1$ by passing to $L_{x}\left(D \in \hat{\ell}\right.$ if and only if the hyperplane $\pi_{x}(D)$ contains the line "xy" of $\pi_{x}$ ). From this it follows that $\{\widehat{x}: \widehat{x} \in P\}$ is contained in a single class and $y \in x^{\perp}$ if and only if $\operatorname{rk}(\hat{x} \cap \hat{y})=\operatorname{rk}(\hat{x})-2=\operatorname{rk}(\hat{y})-2$. Since $L_{x} \cong A_{n, 2}(K)$ it follows that $\{\hat{x}: x \in P\}$ is an entire class and the proof is complete.

## 5. NEAR $2 \mathrm{n}-\mathrm{Gons}$

In this section we recall the definition of a near 2 n -gons as introduced by SHULT and YANUSHKA [8], and some related notions.
(5.1) DEFINITION. An incidence structure ( $P, L$ ) with point-graph ( $P, \Delta$ ) and metric $d()=,d_{\Delta}($,$) is a near 2 n-$ gon if $(P, \Delta)$ is connected with diameter n and for any pair $(\mathrm{x}, \ell) \in \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{L}$ with $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{x}, \ell)=\mathrm{t}$, there is a unique $\mathrm{y} \in \ell$ with $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})=\mathrm{t}$.

```
y \inl with d(x,y) = t.
```

(5.2) REMARK. If $(P, \Delta)$ is a bipartite graph, then ( $P, \Delta$ ) is a near $2 n-$ gon for some $n$. In this case lines all have two points. Conversely, a near 2 n -gon with two points on each line is bipartite graph. We will refer to such near-2n-gons as thin.
(5.3) NOTATION. For $x \in P, \Delta(x)$ is as usual and $x^{\perp}=\Delta(x) \cup\{x\}$.
(5.4) DEFINITION. A subset $X$ of $P$ is $2-c l o s e d ~ i f, ~ w h e n e v e r ~ x, ~ y ~ X, d(x, y)=2$, then $x^{\perp} \cap y^{\perp} \subseteq x$.
(5.5) DEFINITION. In a near $2 n$-gon, a quad is a subset $Q$ of $P$ satisfying
(i) $Q$ is $2-c$ losed
(ii) diam $(Q, \Delta \mid Q)=2$
(iii) Q contains an ordinary quadrangle

Note a quad, together with its lines is a generalized quadrangle.
(5.6) DEFINITION. (i) In a near $2 n$-gon ( $P, L$ ) we say quads exists if whenever $d(x, y)=2$ there exists a quad containing $x$ and $y$.
(ii) Let $x \in P, Q$ a quad of ( $P, L$ ). The pair ( $x, Q$ ) is classical if there is a unique point $y \in Q$ with $d(x, Q)=d(x, y)=d$ and $\{z \in Q: d(x, z)=$ $d+1\}=Q \cap y^{\perp}$.
(5.7) DEFINITION. A dual polar space is the incidence structure whose points are the maximal isotropic (singular) subspaces of a non-degenerate polar space and whose lines are the next to maximal isotropic subspaces.

Note when the polar space is of type $D_{n}$ the near $2 n$-gon is thin.
Cumeron has the following characterization of dual polar spaces [9] .
(5.8) THEOREM. An incidence structure ( $\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{L}$ ) is a dual polar space of rank n if and only if the following hold
(i) ( $\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{L}$ ) is a near 2n-gon;
(ii) quads exist;
(iii) every point-quad pair is classical.

We give a proof of this in the case that ( $\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{L}$ ) is thin using our main theorem. More precisely we prove.
(5.9) THEOREM. Let $(P, \Delta)$ be a connected bipartite graph of diometer $n \geq 3$. Further assume
(i) If $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})=2$, then $\left|\mathrm{x}^{\perp} \cap \mathrm{y}^{\perp}\right|>.2$;
(ii) In the near $2 n-g o n(P, \Delta)$ quads exist and all point-quad pairs are classical.

Then one of the following occurs
(i) $n=3$, there is a skew field $K$ such that ( $\mathrm{P}, \Delta$ ) is the dual polar space of type $\mathrm{D}_{3}(\mathrm{~K})$; or
(ii) $n \geq 4$, there is a field K such that $(P, \Delta)$ is the dual polar space of type $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{K})$.

## 6. CHARACTERIZATION OF THIN CLASSICAL NEAR 2n-GONS

As usual $\Delta_{i}(x)=\{y: d(x, y)=i\}$. Let $P=P_{1} \cup P_{2}$ be the partition of $P$ as the connected components of $\Delta_{2}$. If $x, y \in P_{i}$ and $d(x, y)=2$, then there is a unique quad on $x$ and $y$ which we denote by $Q(x, y)$. Let 2 be the collection of quads.
6.A. In this subsection we assume $n=3$ and show conclusion (i) if (5.8) holds
(6.1) LEMMA. Suppose $Q_{1}, Q_{2} \in 2, Q_{1} \neq Q_{2}$ and $Q_{1} \cap Q_{2} \neq \emptyset$. Then $Q_{1} \cap Q_{2} \in \Delta$.

PROOF. Let $x \in Q_{1} \cap Q_{2}$. Suppose $x \in P_{1}$. Choose $u_{i} \in Q_{i} \cap \Delta_{2}(x)=Q_{i} \cap P_{1}$. Then $d\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)=2$. Set $Q=Q\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)$. Now $x \notin Q$ for otherwise $Q=Q_{1}=Q_{2}$. Therefore, the unique point $v \in Q$ with $d(v, x)=d(Q, z)$ is in $P_{2}$ and $d(v, x)=1$. Then $v \in x^{\perp} \cap u_{i}^{\perp} \subseteq Q_{i}$ and $\{x, v\} \in \Delta$. If $Q_{1} \cap Q_{2} \neq\{x, v\}$, then either $\left|Q_{1} \cap Q_{2} \cap P_{1}\right|>1$ or $\left|Q_{1} \cap Q_{2} \cap P_{2}\right|>1$. In either case we get $Q_{1}=Q_{2}$, a contradiction.

We shall for the remainder of this subsection say two distinct quads are "collinear" if they meet. If $Q_{1}, Q_{2}$ are collinear, let $\lambda\left(Q_{1}, Q_{2}\right)=\left\{Q \in 2: Q \geq Q_{1} \cap Q_{2}\right\} . \operatorname{Let} \Lambda=\left\{\lambda\left(Q_{1}, Q_{2}\right): Q_{1} \neq Q_{2} \in Q, Q_{1} \cap Q_{2} \neq \emptyset\right\}$. We immediately have
(6.2) LEMMA. ( $2, \Lambda$ ) is a partial Zinear space.

Note that lines are in one-to-one correspondence with edges in $\Delta$. For such an edge, $\{x, a\}$, we will write $\lambda\{x, a\}$ for the corresponding line. The next lemma gives a concrete description of this line.
(6.3) LEMMA. In $\{x, a\} \in \Delta, \lambda\{x, a\}=\{Q(x, y) y \in \Delta(a)-\{x\}\}$

PROOF. If $y \in \Delta(a), y \neq x$, then $Q(x, y) \geq\{x, a\}$ and $Q(x, y) \in \lambda\{x, a\}$. On the other hand, if $Q \in \lambda\{x, a\}$, then for any $y \in Q \cap \Delta_{2}(x), y \in \Delta(a)$ and $Q=Q(x, y)$.
(6.4) PROPOSITION. ( $2, \Lambda$ ) is a polar space of type $D_{3}$.

PROOF. First we show $(2, \Lambda)$ is a gamma space : let $\lambda=\lambda\{x, a\}$ for $\{x, a\} \in \Delta$ and $Q \in Q$. If $Q \cap\{x, a\} \neq \emptyset$, then $Q$ is collinear with each point of $\lambda$ so we may assume $Q \cap\{x, a\}=\emptyset$. We show in this case $Q$ is collinear with at most one point of $\lambda$. Suppose $Q \in \lambda, Q \cap Q_{1} \neq \emptyset$. Let $Q \cap Q_{1}=\{y, b\}$ where $\{a, y\},\{b, x\} \in \Delta$. Suppose that $Q_{1} \neq Q_{2} \in \lambda$. Then $y \notin Q_{2}$, but a $\in Q_{2} \cap \Delta(y)$. If $Q \cap Q_{2} \neq \emptyset$, then $Q_{2} \cap \Delta(y) \in Q$. Since $a \in Q$ we cannot have $Q \cap Q_{2} \neq \emptyset$ as asserted. Thus ( $2, \hat{\Lambda}$ ) is a gamma space. Now consider a line $\lambda=\lambda\{x, a\}$ and a point $Q \in 2 \backslash \lambda$. Since $\operatorname{diam}(P, \Gamma)=3, Q \cap \Delta(a) \neq \emptyset$. By (6.3) this implies $Q$ is collinear with some point of $L$ and consequently ( $2, \Lambda$ ) is a polar space. Since the induced structure on the lines of ( $2, \Lambda$ ) contains a fixed $Q$ is isomorphic to the dual of $Q$ it follows from $\operatorname{TITS}[5](2, \Gamma) \cong D_{3}(K), K a$

Now it is obvious to see that for $x \in P, \hat{x}=\{Q \in Q: x \in Q\}$ is a maximal singular subspace of the polar space ( $Q, \Lambda$ ). The result in this case follows.
6.B. Hence-forth assume $n \geq 4$. Set $P=P_{1}$ and $\Gamma=\Delta_{2} \mid P$.
(6.5) NOTATION. If $x, y \in P, d(x, y)=2\left(\operatorname{so~} d_{\Gamma}(x, y)=1\right)$, set $x y=Q(x, y) \cap P$. Set $L=\left\{x y: x, y \in P, d_{\Gamma}(x,-)=1\right\}$. For $x \in P, x^{*}=\Gamma(x) \cup\{x\}$.
(6.6) LEMMA. ( $P, 2$ ) is a strong $\Gamma$-space.

PROOF. Let $x, y, z \in P$ with $y \in \Gamma(x), x, y \in \Gamma_{d}(z)$. Set $Q=Q(x, y)$. Let $a \in Q$
$d_{\Delta}(z, Q)=d_{\Delta}(z, a)$. If $a \in P$, then $d_{\Delta}(z, x)-2=2 d-2$. In this case $\{a\}=\ell \cap \Gamma_{d-1}(z)$. If $a \in P_{2}$, then $d_{\Delta}(z, a)=2 d-1$ and $x y=P \cap Q=P \cap \Delta(a) \subseteq \Delta_{2 d}(z)=\Gamma_{d}(z)$, and so in this case $x y \subseteq \Gamma_{d}(z)$.
(6.7) LEMMA. Let $\ell \in L, x \in P$ and $\ell \subseteq \Gamma_{d}(x)$ with $d \geq 2$. Then $\ell^{*} \cap \Gamma_{d-1}(x)$ is a non-empty singular subspace of $(P) .,\left(\ell^{*}=\hat{y} \in \ell^{y^{*}}\right)$.

PROOF. Note, if $a \in P_{2}$, then $\Delta(a)$ is a singular subspace of ( $P, L$.). By definition of quads, there is a unique $Q \in L, Q \geq \ell$, which we denote by $Q(\ell)$. Let $a \in Q$ such that $d_{\Lambda}(x, a)=d_{\Delta}(x, Q)$. Since $\ell \subseteq \Gamma_{d}(x)=\Delta_{2 d}(x)$, $a \in P_{2}$. Therefore $d_{\Delta}(a, x)=2 d-1$. Choose $y \in \Delta(a) \cap \Delta_{2 d-2}(x)$. Then $y \in \ell^{*}$ since $y, \ell \subseteq \Delta(a)$. A1so $y \in \Gamma_{d-1}(x)$, so $\Gamma_{d-1}(x) \cap \ell^{*} \neq \emptyset$.

We next show for any $y \in \Gamma_{d-1}(x) \cap \ell^{*}$ that $y \in \Delta(a)$ which will prove
 Now $\Delta(y) \cap \Delta(u) \subseteq \Delta_{2 d-1}(x)$. If $v \in Q(y, u)$, then $Q(y, u)=Q(u, v)=Q(\ell)$ contradicting $d_{\Delta}(x, y)=2 d-2$ and $Q \cap P \subseteq \Gamma_{d}(x)$. Therefore $d_{\Delta}(Q(y, u), v) \geq 1$. But $d_{\Delta}(y, v)=d_{\Delta}(y, u)=2$ and so it follows that if $b$ is the unique point of $Q(x, u)$ closest to $v$, then $b \in P_{2}$ and $d_{\Delta}(b, v)=1$.
Since $b \in \Delta(y), d_{\Delta}(b, x) \leq 2 d-1$. Since $b \in \Delta(u) \cap \Delta(v), b \in Q(u, v)=Q$. But $Q \cap \Delta_{2 d-1}(x)=\{a\}$, so $b=a$. Since $(P, Q)$ is a strong $\Gamma$-space $\Gamma_{d-1}(x) \cap \ell^{*}$ is a subspace and the lemma is proved.
(6.8) LEMMA. Let $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \in P, \mathrm{~d}_{\Gamma}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})=2, \mathrm{z} \in \Gamma(\mathrm{x}) \cap \Gamma(\mathrm{y})$. Then there exists $v \in \Gamma(x) \cap \Gamma(y) \cap \Gamma_{2}(z)$.

PROOF. Let $a \in P_{2} \cap Q(x, z)$, $b \in P_{2} \cap Q(y, z)$. As $d_{\Gamma}(x, y)=2$, $a \neq b$. Since $z \in \Delta(a) \cap \Delta(b)$ we have $d_{\Delta}(a, b)=2$ and $z \in Q(a, b)$. Let $u \in Q(a, b) \cap P, u \neq z$. $z \notin Q(x, y) \cap Q(y, u)$. For if $z \in Q(x, y) \cap Q(y, u)$, then $Q(x, y)=Q(z, u)=Q$ $(y, u)$. Thus $d_{\Gamma}(x, y)=1$, a contradiction. Now $P \cap Q(x, y), P \cap Q(y, u) \subseteq \Gamma(z)$. It follows that there is a unique $\mathrm{a}_{1}, \mathrm{~b}_{1}$ in $\mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{u}) \cap \Delta(\mathrm{z}), \mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{u}) \cap \Delta(z)$, respectively, namely $a$ and $b$. Let $a_{2} \in \Delta(x) \cap \Delta(u), a_{2} \neq a$ and $b_{2}$ choosen similarly. Then $a_{2}, b_{2} \in \Delta_{3}(z)$. Then $Q\left(a_{2}, b_{2}\right) \cap \Gamma(z)=\{u\}$. Now if $v \in \Delta\left(a_{2}\right) \cap \Delta\left(b_{2}\right), v \neq u$, then $v \in \Gamma(x) \cap \Gamma(y) \cap \Gamma_{2}(z)$.
(6.9) LEMMA. Let $\ell \in R, \mathrm{x} \in P$ with $\ell \subseteq \Gamma_{2}(\mathrm{x})$. Then $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{x}, \ell)=\mathrm{x}^{*} \cap \ell^{*}$ properly contains a line.

PROOF. Set $Q=Q(\ell)$. Let a be the unique point in $Q \cap \Delta_{3}(x)$. Let $x, b, y$, $a$ be a geodesic from $x$ to $a$. Then $Q(a, b) \cap P$ is a line contained in $C(x, \ell)$. Now let $c \in Q(x, y) \cap P_{2}, c \neq b$. Then $y \in Q(a, c)$ and $Q(a, c) \neq Q(a, b)$. Therefore $P \cap Q(q, c) \cap Q(a, b)=\{y\}$. But $P \cap Q(a, c)$ is a line in $C(x, \ell)$ and $P \cap Q(a, c) \neq P \cap Q(a, b)$ and (6.9) is proved.
(6.10) LEMMA. If $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \in P, \mathrm{~d}_{\Gamma}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})=2$, then $\Gamma(\mathrm{x}) \cap \Gamma(\mathrm{y})$ is a polar space of rank three.

PROOF. $\Gamma(x) \cap \Gamma(y)$ is a $\Gamma$-space with thick lines. By (6.8) $\Gamma(x) \cap \Gamma(y)$ is non-degenerate. From (6.7) it follows that $\Gamma(x) \cap \Gamma(y)$ is a polar space.

Now let $z \in \Gamma(x) \cap \Gamma(y), u \in \Gamma(x) \cap \Gamma(y) \cap \Gamma(z)$. Since $x z \subseteq \Gamma(u)$, $y z \subseteq \Gamma(u)$, there is a unique $b \in Q(x, z) \cap \Delta(u)$ and a unique $c \in Q(y, z) \cap \Delta(u)$. Then $u \in P \cap Q(b, c)$. It follows that the lines on $z$ in $\Gamma(x) \cap \Gamma(y)$ is a grid isomorphic to $\left[Q(y, z) \cap P_{2}\right] x\left[Q(x, z) \cap P_{2}\right]$. From this it follows that maximal singular subspaces of $\Gamma(x) \cap \Gamma(y)$ are $p l a n e s$ and $r k(\Gamma(x) \cap \Gamma(y))=3$.

We have now shown that (D1)-(D3) hold for ( $P, L$ ). Thus, either $(P, L) \cong D_{n, n}(K)$ for some field $K$ or $(P, L)$ is a polar space of rank 4. However, in the latter case, by the end of 6.10 and TITS [5] we have $(P, L) \cong D_{4}(K) \cong D_{4,4}(K)$. Now the points in $P_{2}$ can be identified with the maximal singular subspaces of ( $P, L$ ) with projective dimension $n-1$. From this identification it now follows that $P_{1} \cup P_{2}$ can be identified with the maximal singular subspaces of an orthogonal space $V$ of dimension $2 n(\geq 8)$ over a field $K$, with index $n$, such that two are collinear if and only if they meet in an ( $n-1$ ) dimensional subspace. This completes the proof of (5.10).
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