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## Abstract

de Graaf, M., A. Schrijver and P.D. Seymour, Directed triangles in directed graphs, Discrete Mathematics 110 (1992) 279-282.
We show that each directed graph on $n$ vertices, each with indegree and outdegree at least $n / t$, where $t=5-\sqrt{5}+\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{47-21 \sqrt{5}}=2.8670975 \cdots$, contains a directed circuit of length at most 3.

It is an intriguing conjecture of Caccetta and Haggkvist [1] that any directed graph on $n$ vertices, each with outdegree at least $k$, contains a directed circuit of length at most $\lceil n / k\rceil$. (In this paper, directed graphs have no loops and no parallel arcs (in the same or the opposite direction).)

A particularly interesting special case that is still open is: any directed graph on $n$ vertices with minimum outdegree at least $n / 3$ has a directed triangle. The best result along these lines is proved in [1]: any directed graph on $n$ vertices with
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minimum outdegree at least $s$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
s:=\frac{3}{2}+\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{5}=2.618034 \cdots, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

contains a directed triangle.
It is not even known whether any directed graph on $n$ vertices, each with both indegree and outdegree equal to $n / 3$, contains a directed triangle.

In this note we use the result of [1] to show the following.
Theorem. Any directed graph on $n$ vertices, each with both indegree and outdegree at least $n / t$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
t:=5-\sqrt{5}+\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{47-21 \sqrt{5}}=2.8670975 \cdots \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

contains a directed triangle.
Proof. Suppose $D=(V, A)$ is a directed graph with $|V|=n$, with each indegree and each outdegree at least $n / t$, and without any directed triangle. Let $k:=\lceil n / t\rceil$. We may assume

$$
\begin{equation*}
5-\sqrt{5}-\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{47-21 \sqrt{5}} \leqslant \frac{n}{k} \leqslant 5-\sqrt{5}+\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{47-21 \sqrt{5}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(We can replace any vertex $v$ of $D$ by $l$ pairwise non-adjacent vertices, and any $\operatorname{arc}(u, v)$ by $l^{2}$ arcs, from each of the $l$ copies of $u$ to each of the $l$ copies of $v$. We obtain a directed graph $D^{\prime}$ with $n^{\prime}:=n l$ vertices, such that each vertex has indegree and outdegree at least $n^{\prime} / t$, and such that $D^{\prime}$ has no directed triangle. By choosing $l$ large enough, $n^{\prime} / k=n^{\prime} /\left[n^{\prime} / t\right\rceil$ will satisfy (3).)

Assume that deleting any arc would give a vertex of indegree or outdegree less than $k$. We show:
there exists a vertex $v^{\prime}$ with both indegree and outdegree equal to $k$.
Suppose such a vertex does not exist. Let $W$ be the set of vertices of indegree equal to $k$. Then there are no arcs leaving $W$ (since any such arc could be deleted without violating the condition that each indegree and each outdegree is at least $k)$. Since $W$ contains at most $k|W|$ arcs, it follows that if $W \neq \emptyset, W$ contains a vertex of outdegree at most $k$. If $W=\emptyset$, we apply this argument to the set of vertices of outdegree equal to $k$ (which set should be nonempty if $W=\emptyset$ ).

For each $v \in V$ let $E_{v}^{+}$and $E_{v}^{-}$denote the sets of outneighbours and inneighbours of $v$, respectively. For $u, v, w \in V$ let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{u v}^{+}:=E_{u}^{+} \cap E_{v}^{+}, \quad E_{u v}^{-}:=E_{u}^{-} \cap E_{v}^{-}, \\
& E_{u v w}^{+}:=E_{u}^{+} \cap E_{v}^{+} \cap E_{w}^{+}, \quad \text { and } \quad E_{u v w}^{-}:=E_{u}^{-} \cap E_{v}^{-} \cap E_{w}^{-} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varepsilon_{v}^{+}:=\left|E_{v}^{+}\right|, \quad \varepsilon_{v}^{-}:=\left|E_{v}^{-}\right|, \quad \varepsilon_{u v}^{+}:=\left|E_{u v}^{+}\right|, \\
& \varepsilon_{u v}^{-}:=\left|E_{u v}^{-}\right|, \quad \varepsilon_{u v w}^{+}:=\left|E_{u v w}^{+}\right| \quad \text { and } \quad \varepsilon_{u v w}^{-}:=\left|E_{u v w}^{-}\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

We observe that for all $u, v, w \in V$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { if }(u, v),(v, w),(u, w) \in A \\
& \text { then } \varepsilon_{u v}^{-}+\varepsilon_{v w}^{+} \geqslant \varepsilon_{u}^{-}+\varepsilon_{v}^{-}+\varepsilon_{v}^{+}+\varepsilon_{w}^{+}-n \geqslant 4 k-n . \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

Indeed, as $D$ has no directed triangles, $\left(E_{u}^{-} \cup E_{v}^{-}\right) \cap\left(E_{v}^{+} \cup E_{w}^{+}\right)=\emptyset$. So $\left|E_{u}^{-} \cup E_{v}^{-}\right|+\left|E_{v}^{+} \cup E_{w}^{+}\right| \leqslant n$. Now

$$
\varepsilon_{u v}^{-}=\left|E_{u v}^{-}\right|=\left|E_{u}^{-} \cap E_{v}^{-}\right|=\left|E_{u}^{-}\right|+\left|E_{v}^{-}\right|-\left|E_{u}^{-} \cup E_{v}^{-}\right|=\varepsilon_{u}^{-}+\varepsilon_{v}^{-}-\left|E_{u}^{-} \cup E_{v}^{-}\right| .
$$

Similarly, $\varepsilon_{v w}^{+}=\varepsilon_{v}^{+}+\varepsilon_{w}^{+}-\left|E_{v}^{+} \cup E_{w}^{+}\right|$. This gives the first inequality in (5). The second inequality follows from the assumption that each indegree and each outdegree is at least $k$.

We next show:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { for each } \operatorname{arc}(u, v) \text { of } D: \varepsilon_{u v}^{-} \geqslant(3 k-n) s \text { and } \varepsilon_{u v}^{+} \geqslant(3 k-n) s \text {, } \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s$ is as defined in (1).
To prove this, we may assume by symmetry that $\varepsilon_{u v}^{+} \geqslant \varepsilon_{u v}^{-}$. First we show $\varepsilon_{u v}^{-}>0$, i.e., $E_{u v}^{-} \neq \emptyset$. If $E_{u v}^{-}$would be empty, then $E_{v}^{-} \cup E_{v}^{+} \subseteq V \backslash E_{u}^{-}$, since there is no directed triangle. Hence $\left|E_{v}^{-} \cup E_{v}^{+}\right| \leqslant n-k$. As $\left|E_{v}^{-}\right| \geqslant k$ and $\left|E_{v}^{+}\right| \geqslant k$ and as $n / k \leqslant t<3$, we know $E_{v}^{-} \cap E_{v}^{+} \neq \emptyset$, implying that there is a directed digon, contradicting our assumption.

Applying Caccetta and Haggkvist's result [1] to the subgraph induced by $E_{u v}^{+} \neq \emptyset$ we obtain the existence of a $w \in E_{u v}^{+}$so that $\varepsilon_{u v w}^{+}<\varepsilon_{u v}^{+} / s$. By (5):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{u v}^{-} \geqslant \varepsilon_{u}^{-}+\varepsilon_{v}^{-}+\varepsilon_{v}^{+}+\varepsilon_{w}^{+}-n-\varepsilon_{v w}^{+} \geqslant 3 k-n+\varepsilon_{v}^{+}-\varepsilon_{v w}^{+} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\varepsilon_{u v w}^{+}+\varepsilon_{v}^{+} \geqslant\left|E_{u v}^{+} \cap E_{v w}^{+}\right|+\left|E_{u v}^{+} \cup E_{v w}^{+}\right|=\varepsilon_{u v}^{+}+\varepsilon_{v w}^{+}$, (7) implies

$$
\begin{align*}
\varepsilon_{u v}^{-} & \geqslant 3 k-n+\varepsilon_{u v}^{+}-\varepsilon_{u v w}^{+}>3 k-n+\left(1-s^{-1}\right) \varepsilon_{u v}^{+} \\
& \geqslant 3 k-n+\left(1-s^{-1}\right) \varepsilon_{u v}^{-} . \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

This implies (6).
Now consider vertex $v^{\prime}$ described in (4). Since the subgraph induced by $E_{v^{\prime}}^{-}$ contains no loops or directed digons, the number of arcs contained in $E_{v^{\prime}}^{-}$is at most $\varepsilon_{v^{\prime}}^{-}\left(\varepsilon_{v^{\prime}}^{-}-1\right) / 2<\frac{1}{2} k^{2}$. That is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{u \in E_{v^{\prime}}} \varepsilon_{u v^{\prime}}^{-}<\frac{1}{2} k^{2} . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{w \in E_{W^{\prime}}^{+}} \varepsilon_{v^{\prime} w}^{+}<\frac{1}{2} k^{2} . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $u^{\prime}$ be a vertex of minimum indegree in the subgraph induced by $E_{v^{\prime}}^{-}$and let $w^{\prime}$ be a vertex of minimum outdegree in the subgraph induced by $E_{v^{\prime}}^{+}$. So $\varepsilon_{u^{\prime} v^{\prime}}^{-} \leqslant \varepsilon_{u v^{\prime}}^{-}$for all $u \in E_{v^{\prime}}^{-}$and $\varepsilon_{v^{\prime} w^{\prime}}^{+} \leqslant \varepsilon_{v^{\prime} w}^{+}$for all $w \in E_{v^{\prime}}^{+}$.

First assume

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{u^{\prime} v^{\prime}}^{-}+\varepsilon_{v^{\prime} w^{\prime}}^{+}>4 k-n . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then (9) and (10) imply $k^{2}>(4 k-n) k$, i.e., $n / k>3$, a contradiction. So we know

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{u^{\prime} v^{\prime}}^{-}+\varepsilon_{v^{\prime} w^{\prime}}^{+} \leqslant 4 k-n . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, by (5) we know that for all $w \in E_{u^{\prime} v^{\prime}}^{+}$one has $\varepsilon_{u^{\prime} v^{\prime}}^{-}+\varepsilon_{v^{\prime} w}^{+} \geqslant$ $4 k-n$. This gives:

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{w \in E_{v^{\prime}}^{+}} \varepsilon_{v^{\prime} w}^{+} & =\sum_{w \in E_{u^{\prime} v^{\prime}}^{+}} \varepsilon_{v^{\prime} w}^{+}+\sum_{w \in E_{v^{\prime}, E_{U^{\prime},^{\prime},}^{+}}} \varepsilon_{v^{\prime} w}^{+} \\
& \geqslant \varepsilon_{u^{\prime} v^{\prime}}^{+}\left(4 k-n-\varepsilon_{u^{\prime} v^{\prime}}^{-}\right)+\left(\varepsilon_{v^{\prime}}^{+}-\varepsilon_{u^{\prime} v^{\prime}}^{+}\right) \varepsilon_{v^{\prime} w^{\prime}}^{+} \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{u \in E_{\overline{v^{\prime}}}} \varepsilon_{u v^{\prime}}^{-} \geqslant \varepsilon_{v^{\prime} w^{\prime}}^{-}\left(4 k-n-\varepsilon_{v^{\prime} w^{\prime}}^{+}\right)+\left(\varepsilon_{v^{\prime}}^{-}-\varepsilon_{v^{\prime} w^{\prime}}^{-}\right) \varepsilon_{u^{\prime} v^{\prime}}^{-} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (9), (10), (13) and (14) gives:

$$
\begin{aligned}
k^{2}> & \varepsilon_{u^{\prime} v^{\prime}}^{+}\left(4 k-n-\varepsilon_{u^{\prime} v^{\prime}}^{-}\right)+\left(\varepsilon_{v^{\prime}}^{+}-\varepsilon_{u^{\prime} v^{\prime}}^{+}\right) \varepsilon_{v^{\prime} w^{\prime}}^{+}+\varepsilon_{v^{\prime} w^{\prime}}^{-}\left(4 k-n-\varepsilon_{v^{\prime} w^{\prime}}^{+}\right) \\
& +\left(\varepsilon_{v^{\prime}}^{-}-\varepsilon_{v^{\prime} w^{\prime}}^{-}\right) \varepsilon_{u^{\prime} v^{\prime}}^{-} \\
= & \varepsilon_{v^{\prime}}^{-} \varepsilon_{u^{\prime} v^{\prime}}^{-}+\varepsilon_{v^{\prime}}^{+} \varepsilon_{v^{\prime} w^{\prime}}^{\prime}+\left(\varepsilon_{u^{\prime} v^{\prime}}^{+}+\varepsilon_{v^{\prime} w^{\prime}}^{-}\right)\left(4 k-n-\varepsilon_{u^{\prime} v^{\prime}}^{-}-\varepsilon_{v^{\prime} w^{\prime}}^{+}\right) \\
\geqslant & k\left(\varepsilon_{u^{\prime} v^{\prime}}^{-}+\varepsilon_{v^{\prime} w^{\prime}}^{+}\right)+2(3 k-n) s\left(4 k-n-\varepsilon_{u^{\prime} v^{\prime}}^{-}-\varepsilon_{v^{\prime} w^{\prime}}^{+}\right) \\
= & 2(3 k-n)(4 k-n) s+(k-2(3 k-n) s)\left(\varepsilon_{u^{\prime} v^{\prime}}^{-}+\varepsilon_{v^{\prime} w^{\prime}}^{+}\right) \\
\geqslant & 2(3 k-n)(4 k-n) s+(k-2(3 k-n) s) \cdot 2(3 k-n) s \\
= & 2(3 k-n)(5 k-n-2(3 k-n) s) s .
\end{aligned}
$$

So

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(4 s^{2}-2 s\right)(n / k)^{2}-\left(24 s^{2}-16 s\right)(n / k)+\left(36 s^{2}-20 s+1\right)>0 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(11+5 \sqrt{5})(n / k)^{2}-(60+28 \sqrt{5})(n / k)+(82+39 \sqrt{5})>0 . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

This contradicts (3).
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