STICHTING MATHEMATISCH CENTRUM 2e BOERHAAVESTRAAT 49

2e BOERHAAVESTRAAT 49 AMSTERDAM

ZW · 1957-002

Voordracht in de serie "Actualiteiten"

E.W. Dijkstra

Zaterdag 26 januari 1957

A method to investigate primality

JMC)

1957

The Mathematical Centre at Amsterdam, founded the 11th of February 1946, is a non-profit institution aiming at the promotion of pure mathematics and its applications, and is sponsored by the Netherlands Government through the Netherlands Organization for Pure Research (Z.W.O.) and the Central National Council for Applied Scientific Research in the Netherlands (T.N.O.), by the Municipality of Amsterdam and by several industries.

Total P. Marrier Political Court

Voordracht in de serie "Actualiteiten"

door

E.W. Dijkstra

оp

Zaterdag 26 Januari 1957

A method to investigate primality

The method determines the smallest odd prime factor of a number N by testing the remainders left after division by the successive odd numbers 3,5, ... f_m -2, f_m ; here f_m is the largest odd number not exceeding $N^{\frac{1}{2}}$. If none of these remainders vanishes, N is a prime number.

Let f be one of the odd divisors or odd trial divisors. Remainder \mathbf{r}_{\cap} and quotient \mathbf{q}_{\cap} are defined by the relations

$$N = r_0 + f.q_0, \quad 0 \le r_0 < f.$$

Now q_0 is divided by f + 2, giving

$$q_0 = r_1 + (f + 2).q_1, 0 \le r_1 < f + 2.$$

Then \mathbf{q}_1 is divided by $(\mathbf{f} + \mathbf{4})$ etc. and this process is continued till a quotient $(\mathbf{q}_n \text{ say})$ equal to zero is found; \mathbf{r}_n is the last remainder in the sequence unequal to zero. After elimination of the \mathbf{q}_i we get the relations

$$N = r_0 + f \cdot r_1 + f \cdot (f+2)r_2 + f \cdot (f+2) \cdot (f+4)r_3 + \dots + f \cdot (f+2) \cdot \dots$$

$$\dots (f+2n-2) \cdot r_n$$

and

$$0 \leqslant r_{i} \leqslant f + 2i \tag{2}$$

Once the sequence r_i is known for a given value of f, it is easy to compute the corresponding sequence r_i^* , defined by the relations (1) and (2) with respect to $f^* = f + 2$, as they are expressed in terms of the r_i by the recurrence relations

$$b_0 = 0$$
, $r_i^* = r_i - 2(i+1)r_{i+1} - b_i + (f^*+2i)b_{i+1}(i = 0,1,...,n)$ (3)

The relation corresponding to (1) is satisfied for arbitrary values of the numbers b_i with $i \ge 1$; they are fixed, however, by the relations corresponding to (2)

$$0 \leqslant r_i^{*} \leqslant f^{*} + 2i .$$

On account of the inequalities (2) and (2 *) - and $b_0 = 0$ - the b_1 satisfy the inequalities

$$0 \le b_1 \le 2i .$$

We have chosen $b_0 = 0$. Then the relations (3) and $(2^{\frac{*}{2}})$ with i = 0 determine $r_0^{\frac{*}{2}}$ and b_1 ; once b_1 is known, (3) and $(2^{\frac{*}{2}})$ with i = 1 determine $r_1^{\frac{*}{2}}$ and b_2 , etc. The process is easily programmed.

O, and the inequalities $(2^{\frac{*}{2}})$ with i = n are always satisfied with $b_{n+1} = 0$, the process terminates with

$$r_n^* = r_n - b_n$$

As soon as $r_n^{*}=0$ is found - in that case it can be proved, that $r_{n-1}^{*}\neq 0$ - the index n, marking the last $r_{i}\neq 0$ in the sequence, is lowered by 1.

In order to find the smallest odd prime factor of N, the r_1 definity (2) and (3) and f=3 are computed. Here the only divisions in the process are carried out. At the same time the initial value of n is found. If N is large, this value may be considerable: for instance n=11 is found for $N\approx 10^{13}$. The amount of work involved in each step is roughly proportional to n^2 . Fortunately large initial values of n decrease very rapidly. As soon as f(f+2)(f+4)>N, n takes the value 2. This is its minimum value: when $r_n^*=0$ with n=2 is found $(f^*+2)^2>N$ holds and N is a prime number. (If not, we should have found a $r_0=0$ earlier a should have stopped there.)

The process still may be speeded up. Let $b_n^{\, l}$ be the minimum of $b_n^{\, l}$ for fixed n up till a certain moment: then it can be shown that the next $b_n^{\, l}$ satisfies

$$b_n \leqslant b_n^! + 1$$
.

Let us apply this to the last stage n=2. According to (4) b_2 satisfies $0 \le b_2 \le 4$. According to (5), however, the only possible values for b_2 are 0 and 1 as soon as a value $b_2=0$ once has been found. This is bound to happen for f ranging (roughly) from $\frac{1}{4N}$ (4N) $\frac{1}{3}$. In the case $b_2=0$ it is apparently unnecessary to test whether $r_2=0$ is reached. (If N > 144, the case $b_n=0$ with n=2 occurs, before $r_n^*=0$ with n=2 is found: prime numbers are then always detected in this last stage.)

The less efficient steps of the process for large n (i.e. small f) could be avoided by carrying out divisions for small values of f. (Cf. the method suggested by G.G. Alway, MTAC v. $6,_1$ p. 59-60, where one seems to be obliged to do this for f up to $(8N)\frac{3}{3}$.) However, we strongly advise not to do this.

If the process described above is started at f = 3, the whole computation can be checked at the end by inserting the final values of f and r_i into (1). As all the intermediate results are used in the computation, this check seems satisfactory.

If a double length number N is to be investigated, another argumer can be added: division of N by small f may give a double length quotient, i.e. two divisions (and two multiplications to check) are needed for each f. In our case even only part of the initial n divisions are double length divisions.

If some consecutive odd numbers are to be investigated, the initial divisions are only necessary for the first number N; it is easy to compute the sequence of initial remainders r_i "representing N + d at f = 3" from the corresponding sequence for N at f = 3, if d is small.

The process described above has been programmed for the ARMAC (Automatische Rekenmachine van het MAthematisch Centrum). The speed of this machine is about 2400 operations per second. A twelve decimal number was identified as the square of a prime in less then 23 minutes.