

**stichting  
mathematisch  
centrum**



---

AFDELING ZUIVERE WISKUNDE  
(DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS)

ZN 85/78

JULI

J. VAN DE LUNE & M. VOORHOEVE

SOME PROBLEMS ON LOG-CONVEX APPROXIMATION  
OF CERTAIN INTEGRALS

---

**2e boerhaavestraat 49 amsterdam**

BIBLIOTHEEK MATHEMATISCH CENTRUM  
—AMSTERDAM—

*Printed at the Mathematical Centre, 49, 2e Boerhaavestraat, Amsterdam.*

*The Mathematical Centre, founded the 11-th of February 1946, is a non-profit institution aiming at the promotion of pure mathematics and its applications. It is sponsored by the Netherlands Government through the Netherlands Organization for the Advancement of Pure Research (Z.W.O).*

Some problems on log-convex approximation of certain integrals

by

J. van de Lune & M. Voorhoeve

ABSTRACT

In this paper we establish some convexity properties in  $n$  of the sums

$$U_n(s) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^s \quad (s > 0)$$

and

$$T_n(s) = U_n(s) - \frac{1}{2n} \quad (s > 1).$$

A conjecture is formulated which implies *inter alia*

$$T_n^2(s) < T_{n-1}(s) T_{n+1}(s) \quad (n \geq 2).$$

KEY WORDS & PHRASES: *Convexity, approximations.*



1. APPROXIMATION OF  $\int_0^1 x^s dx$  BY RIEMANN UPPER SUMS.

In [2] the first named author proved that the canonical Riemann upper sums

$$U_n(s) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^s.$$

corresponding to the integral  $\int_0^1 x^s dx$ , where  $s$  is fixed and positive, tend *decreasingly* to the limit  $1/(s+1)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Doornbos [4; pp. 254-255] proved this statement very elegantly in a more direct way whereas van Lint [4; pp. 255-256] showed that the statement is a special case of a more general theorem.

In spite of all these proofs we present here two more proofs.

FIRST PROOF. We write  $\sigma_n(s) = \sum_{k=1}^n k^s$  and want to show that

$$\frac{\sigma_n(s)}{n^{s+1}} > \frac{\sigma_{n+1}(s)}{(n+1)^{s+1}}, \quad (n \geq 1).$$

After crossmultiplication we see that we may just as well show that

$$(1) \quad (n+1) \sum_{k=1}^n (k(n+1))^s - n \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} (kn)^s > 0.$$

Observe that the left hand side of (1) may be written as

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \{k((n-k+1)(n+1))^s - n((n-k+1)n)^s + (n-k)((n-k)(n+1))^s\}$$

from which it is clear that it suffices to show that

$$(2) \quad k((n-k+1)(n+1))^s + (n-k)((n-k)(n+1))^s > n((n-k+1)n)^s$$

for  $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ .

Obviously (2) may be written as

$$\frac{k((n-k+1)(n+1))^s + (n-k)((n-k)(n+1))^s}{n} > ((n-k+1)n)^s$$

so that, by the arithmetic-geometric-mean-inequality ( $A \geq G$ ), it suffices to show that

$$((n-k+1)(n+1))^{ks} ((n-k)(n+1))^{(n-k)s} > ((n-k+1)n)^{ns}$$

or, equivalently

$$((n-k+1)(n+1))^k ((n-k)(n+1))^{n-k} > ((n-k+1)n)^n$$

which may be simplified to

$$(n-k)^{n-k} (n+1)^n > (n-k+1)^{n-k} n^n$$

or

$$\left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^n > \left(1 + \frac{1}{n-k}\right)^{n-k}, \quad (1 \leq k \leq n-1).$$

Since  $\left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^n$  is increasing in  $n$ , this completes our proof.

SECOND PROOF. Again we shall show that

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \{k((n-k+1)(n+1))^s - n((n-k+1)n)^s + (n-k)((n-k)(n+1))^s\} > 0.$$

This time we will establish this inequality by showing the deeper statement that for every  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  all coefficients  $c_{n,r}$  in the power series expansion of

$$k((n-k+1)(n+1))^s - n((n-k+1)n)^s + (n-k)((n-k)(n+1))^s = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} c_{n,r} s^r$$

(as an entire function of  $s$ ) around the point  $s = 0$ , are non-negative. The  $r$ -th coefficient  $c_{n,r}$  satisfies

$$r! c_{n,r} = k(\log(n-k+1)(n+1))^r - n(\log(n-k+1)n)^r + (n-k)(\log(n-k)(n+1))^r$$

so that  $c_{n,0} = 0$ .

We will show that if  $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ , then

$$\frac{k(\log(n-k+1)(n+1))^r + (n-k)(\log(n-k)(n+1))^r}{n} > (\log(n-k+1)n)^r$$

for all  $r \geq 1$ .

Again, by the arithmetic-geometric-mean-inequality, it suffices to show that

$$(\log(n-k+1)(n+1))^k (\log(n-k)(n+1))^{n-k} > (\log(n-k+1)n)^n.$$

Replacing  $k$  by  $n-k$  we still have to show that

$$\left( \frac{\log(k+1) + \log(n+1)}{\log(k+1) + \log n} \right)^n > \left( \frac{\log(k+1) + \log(n+1)}{\log k + \log(n+1)} \right)^k$$

for  $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ .

One may verify that this inequality is equivalent to

$$\left\{ 1 - \frac{\log(1 + \frac{1}{k})}{\log(k+1) + \log(n+1)} \right\}^{-k} < \left\{ 1 - \frac{\log(1 + \frac{1}{n})}{\log(k+1) + \log(n+1)} \right\}^{-n}.$$

The validity of this inequality may be verified numerically for  $n \leq 10$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ , and its validity for  $n > 10$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ , is an easy consequence of the following

LEMMA. Let  $T$  be a constant  $\geq 3$ . Then the function

$$\phi(x) := \left\{ 1 - \frac{\log(1 + \frac{1}{x})}{T} \right\}^{-x}$$

is increasing for  $x \geq 4$ .

PROOF OF LEMMA. Define

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(x) &:= \log \phi(x) = -x \log\left(1 - \frac{\log\left(1 + \frac{1}{x}\right)}{T}\right) \\ &= -x \log(T - \log(x+1) + \log x) + x \log T\end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}\psi'(x) &= -x \frac{-\frac{1}{x+1} + \frac{1}{x}}{T - \log\left(1 + \frac{1}{x}\right)} - \log\left(T - \log\left(1 + \frac{1}{x}\right)\right) + \log T \\ &= \frac{-1}{(x+1)\left(T - \log\left(1 + \frac{1}{x}\right)\right)} - \log\left(T - \log\left(1 + \frac{1}{x}\right)\right) - \log \frac{1}{T}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, it suffices to prove that  $\psi'(x) > 0$ :

$$-\log\left(1 - \frac{\log\left(1 + \frac{1}{x}\right)}{T}\right) > \frac{1}{(x+1)\left(T - \log\left(1 + \frac{1}{x}\right)\right)}.$$

Since  $-\log(1-u) = u + \frac{u^2}{2} + \dots > u$  for  $0 < u < 1$ , it suffices to show that

$$\frac{\log\left(1 + \frac{1}{x}\right)}{T} \geq \frac{1}{(x+1)\left(T - \log\left(1 + \frac{1}{x}\right)\right)}$$

or

$$\log\left(1 + \frac{1}{x}\right) \geq \frac{1}{(x+1)\left(1 - \frac{\log\left(1 + \frac{1}{x}\right)}{T}\right)}.$$

Hence, it suffices to show that

$$\frac{1}{x} - \frac{1}{2x^2} \geq \frac{1}{(x+1)\left(1 - \frac{1}{xT}\right)}$$

or



$$\frac{x-1}{2x} \geq \frac{1}{x^{T-1}},$$

which is equivalent to

$$T x^2 - (T+1)x + 1 \geq 2x^2.$$

It follows that it suffices to show that

$$Tx - (T+1) \geq 2x$$

or

$$x \geq 1 + \frac{3}{T-2}.$$

Since  $T \geq 3$  we have  $1 + \frac{3}{T-2} \leq 4$  and since, by assumption,  $x \geq 4$ , the proof is complete.

We conclude this section by stating the following

CONJECTURE 1.1. For any fixed  $s > 0$  the sequence  $\{U_n(s)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is logarithmically convex.

The reasons for this conjecture will become clear in the next section.

## 2. APPROXIMATION OF $\int_0^1 x^s dx$ BY TRAPEZOIDAL SUMS.

In [2] it was shown that for any fixed  $s > 1$  the canonical trapezoidal sums

$$T_n(s) := \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^s + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^s \right\},$$

corresponding to the integral  $\int_0^1 x^s dx$ , tend *decreasingly* to its limit  $1/(s+1)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . In [4; p. 257] Jagers gave a different proof of this statement.

In [3] it was shown that for any fixed  $s \in \mathbb{N}$  the sequence  $\{T_n(s)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$

is convex (in  $n$ ) and it was stated as a conjecture that this sequence is even logarithmically convex.

In this section we will present another still deeper conjecture from which the above conjecture is a trivial consequence.

We would like to show that for any fixed  $s > 1$  the sequence  $\{T_n(s)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is strictly log-convex, i.e.

$$T_n^2(s) < T_{n-1}(s)T_{n+1}(s), \quad (n \geq 2).$$

In terms of  $\sigma_n(s)$ , defined in section 1, this inequality may also be written as

$$\left\{ \frac{2\sigma_n(s) - n^s}{n^{s+1}} \right\}^2 < \left\{ \frac{2\sigma_{n-1}(s) - (n-1)^s}{(n-1)^{s+1}} \right\} \left\{ \frac{2\sigma_{n+1}(s) - (n+1)^s}{(n+1)^{s+1}} \right\}$$

or, equivalently

$$D_n(s) := n^{2s+2} \left\{ 2\sigma_{n-1}(s) - (n-1)^s \right\} \left\{ 2\sigma_{n+1}(s) - (n+1)^s \right\} - (n^2-1) \left\{ 2\sigma_n(s) - n^s \right\} > 0.$$

so that we would like to show that  $D_n(s) > 0$  for  $s > 1$  and  $n \geq 2$ .

Clearly  $D_n(s)$  is an entire function of  $s$  and its power series expansion around the point  $s = 1$  may be written as

$$D_n(s) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_{n,k} (s-1)^k.$$

Since  $D_n(s)$  is an exponential polynomial in  $s$  one may easily write down an explicit formula for the coefficients  $c_{n,k}$ , i.e.

$$k! c_{n,k} = D_n^{(k)}(1),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
D_n(s) = & n^2 \left\{ 4 \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{\ell=1}^{n+1} (k\ell n^2)^s - 2 \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (kn^2(n+1))^s + \right. \\
& \left. - 2 \sum_{\ell=1}^{n+1} (1(n-1)n^2)^s + ((n-1)n^2(n+1))^s \right\} + \\
& -(n^2-1) \left\{ 4 \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{\ell=1}^n (k\ell(n^2-1))^s - 4 \sum_{k=1}^n (k(n-1)n(n+1))^s + \right. \\
& \left. + ((n-1)n(n+1))^s \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Numerical computations indicate that  $D_n^{(k)}(1) > 0$  for  $k \geq 1$  and  $n \geq 2$ . A closer look at our numerical experiments leads us even to the still stronger

CONJECTURE 2.1. (i)  $D'_n(1) > 0$  for all  $n \geq 2$

(ii) for every fixed  $n \geq 2$  the sequence  $\{D_n^{(k)}(1)\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  is increasing.

Similar observations led us to the conjecture that for any fixed  $s > 0$  the sequence  $\{U_n(s)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is log-convex.

More positively we have the following

THEOREM 2.1. For any fixed  $a \in (0,1)$  the sequence

$$\left\{ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} U_n^{(m)} a^m \right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$$

is log-convex (in  $n$ ).

PROOF. Consider the sum

$$S_n(a) := \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{n-ka}$$

and observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
S_n(a) &= \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{1-a\frac{k}{n}} = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left(a \frac{k}{n}\right)^m = \\
&= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a^m \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^m = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} U_n(m) a^m,
\end{aligned}$$

whereas on the other hand we have

$$\begin{aligned}
S_n(a) &= \sum_{k=1}^n \int_0^{\infty} e^{-(n-ka)u} du = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-nu} e^{au} \{1 + e^{au} + \dots + e^{(n-1)au}\} du = \\
&= \int_0^{\infty} e^{-nu} e^{au} \frac{e^{nau} - 1}{e^{au} - 1} du = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-u} \frac{e^{\frac{au}{n}} - 1}{\frac{au}{n}} \frac{\frac{au}{n}}{1 - e^{-\frac{au}{n}}} du.
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $e^{-u} \frac{e^{\frac{au}{n}} - 1}{\frac{au}{n}} > 0$  and the function  $\frac{1}{x(1 - e^{-\frac{1}{x}})}$  is log-convex on  $\mathbb{R}^+$ , it

follows from the general theory of log-convex functions (see ARTIN [1]) that the sequence  $\{S_n(a)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is log-convex (in  $n$ ).

THEOREM 2.2. For any fixed  $a \in (0,1)$  the sequence

$$\left\{ \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} T_n(m) a^m \right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$$

is log-convex (in  $n$ ).

PROOF. Similarly as in the proof of theorem 2.1 it may be shown that

$$(3) \quad \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} T_n(m) a^m = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-u} \frac{e^{au} - 1}{au} \frac{\frac{au}{n} e^{\frac{au}{n}} + 1}{\frac{au}{n}} \frac{1}{e^{\frac{au}{n}} - 1} du.$$

In [3] it was shown that the function  $\frac{1}{x} \frac{e^{\frac{1}{x}} + 1}{1 - e^{-\frac{1}{x}}}$  is log-convex on  $\mathbb{R}^+$  and the theorem follows as above.

REMARK. Since a positive linear combination of log-convex sequences is again log-convex, the previous two theorems would immediately follow from our conjectures 1.1 and 2.1.

We conclude this note by proving some formulas which relate the sequence  $\{T_n(m)\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$  in some sense to Euler's gamma-function.

First recall that Euler's gamma-function may be represented as

$$\Gamma(s+1) = s^s e^{-s} \sqrt{2\pi s} e^{\mu(s)}, \quad (s > 0)$$

where

$$\mu(s) = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{e^{-st}}{t} \left\{ \frac{1}{e^t - 1} - \frac{1}{t} + \frac{1}{2} \right\} dt, \quad (s > 0)$$

is Binet's function (c.f. [5; p. 216]).

Hence,

$$\mu''(s) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-st} t \left\{ \frac{1}{e^t - 1} - \frac{1}{t} + \frac{1}{2} \right\} dt, \quad (s > 0).$$

By letting  $n$  tend to infinity in (3) we find that

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m+1} a^m = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-u} \frac{e^{au} - 1}{au} du \quad (= \frac{-\log(1-a)}{a})$$

and subtracting this from (3) it follows that

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left( T_n(m) - \frac{1}{m+1} \right) a^m = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\frac{t}{a}}}{a} \frac{e^t - 1}{t} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \frac{e^{\frac{t}{n}} + 1}{\frac{t}{n}} - 1 \right\} dt.$$

Observing that

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{e^x + 1}{e^x - 1} x - 1 = \frac{x}{e^x - 1} + \frac{x}{2} - 1$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left( T_n(m) - \frac{1}{m+1} \right) a^{m+1} &= \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\frac{t}{a}} \int_0^1 e^{ut} du \left\{ \frac{1}{\frac{t}{n} - 1} - \frac{1}{\frac{t}{n}} + \frac{1}{2} \right\} \frac{t}{n} dt = \\ &= \int_0^1 du \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\left(\frac{1}{a} - u\right)t} \left\{ \frac{1}{\frac{t}{n} - 1} - \frac{1}{\frac{t}{n}} + \frac{1}{2} \right\} \frac{t}{n} dt = \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_0^1 du \int_0^\infty e^{-(\frac{1}{a}-u)nx} \left\{ \frac{1}{e^x-1} - \frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{2} \right\} x n dx = \\
&= n \int_0^1 \mu''\left(\frac{n}{a} - nu\right) du = - \int_0^1 \{-n\mu''\left(\frac{n}{a} - nu\right)\} du = \\
&= -\mu'\left(\frac{n}{a} - nu\right) \Big|_{u=0}^{u=1} = \mu'\left(\frac{n}{a}\right) - \mu'\left(\frac{n}{a} - n\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, for  $x > 1$  we have the remarkable formula

$$\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \left(T_n(m) - \frac{1}{m+1}\right) x^{-m-1} = \mu'(nx) - \mu'(nx-n),$$

from which it is easily seen that

$$\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} \left(T_n(m) - \frac{1}{m+1}\right) x^{-m} = \frac{\mu(nx-n) - \mu(nx)}{n}, \quad (x > 1).$$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] ARTIN, E., *Einführung in die Theorie der Gammafunktion*, Teubner, Leipzig, 1931.
- [2] LUNE, J. VAN DE, *Monotonic approximation of integrals in relation to some inequalities for sums of powers of integers*, Math. Centre Report ZW 39/75, Amsterdam, 1975.
- [3] LUNE, J. VAN DE & M. VOORHOEVE, *Convex approximation of integrals*, Math. Centre Report ZW 85/77, Amsterdam, 1977.
- [4] NIEUW ARCHIEF VOOR WISKUNDE, *Third Series*, Part 23 No. 3 (1975), Problems 399 and 400, pp. 254-257.
- [5] SANSONE, G. & J. GERRETSEN, *Lectures on the theory of functions of a complex variable*, Noordhoff, Groningen, 1960.