## MATHEMATISCH CENTRUM 2e BOERHAAVESTRAAT 49 A M S T E R D A M STATISTISCHE AFDELING

### Leiding: Prof. Dr D. van Dantzig Chef van de Statistische Consultatie: Prof. Dr J. Hemelrijk

#### 1953-49(1)

An Example of FIELLER's method for determining confidence limits for the quotient of two means

> by Gerda Klerk-Grobben

> > 1953.

I Introduction.

E.C.FIELLER (1944) developed a method for determining confidence limits for the ratio of the means of two normally (but not necessarily independently) distributed random variables. To this end he uses statistics s11,s12 and spec (here denoted by a11,a12, and app), which he calls estimates of the variances and of the covariance of these random variables. We here give a general description of his methods and show, by means of an example, that the method need not be confined to the use of estimates of the variances and covariance mentioned.

II Notations,

The random character of a variable is denoted by underlining the symbol, thus x.

"x and y are N(  $\{$  ,  $\eta$  ;  $\sigma_{11}$ ,  $\sigma_{12}$ ,  $\sigma_{22}$ ) distributed", means: x and y have a two dimensional normal distribution with means  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  , variances  $\sigma_{11}$  and  $\sigma_{22}$  and covariance 012.

The probability that a random variable x assumes a value x  $\leq$  a will be denoted by  $P[x \leq a]$ .

For the variance of a random variable <u>x</u> we shall use the symbols  $\sigma_x^2$  or  $\sigma_x^2 \{\underline{x}\}$ .

III General formulation of the method.

We suppose that to determine confidence limits for  $\propto = \frac{\xi}{\pi}$ , observations  $\underline{w}_1, \ldots, \underline{w}_k$  are made, which enable us to find five functions of  $\underline{w}_1, \ldots, \underline{w}_k$ , say  $\underline{X}$ ,  $\underline{Y}$ ,  $\underline{a}_{11}$ ,  $\underline{a}_{12}$ , and a 22, satisfying the following conditions:

1)  $\underline{X}$  and  $\underline{Y}$  are  $N(\xi, \gamma; \sigma_{11}, \sigma_{12}, \sigma_{22})$  distributed with unknown parameters (we will suppose that not  $\xi = 0$  and  $\gamma = 0$  is true);

2) the functions

Ζ

$$\underline{z} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \underline{\gamma} \underline{x} - \underline{\xi} \underline{y}$$

$$\underline{s}_{\underline{z}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} + \sqrt{\gamma^2 \underline{a}_{11} - 2\gamma \underline{\xi}} \underline{a}_{12} + \underline{\xi}^2 \underline{a}_{22}$$

and

are independently distribut 3) for some known integer f the random variable

$$\frac{1}{\sigma_{\underline{Z}}^{2}},$$

with

 $\sigma_{Z}^{2} = \gamma^{2} \sigma_{11} - 2 \gamma_{5}^{2} \sigma_{12} + \xi^{2} \sigma_{22},$ has a  $\chi^2$  -distribution with f degrees of freedom.

# From the conditions it follows that $\underline{Z}$ has Student's

distribution with f degrees of freedom, that is to say: from a table of Student's distribution we can determine a value t, such that:  $\mathbb{P}\left[\left|\frac{Z}{S_{eq}}\right| \leq t_{e}\right] = 1 - \varepsilon.$ 

Thus:

$$P\left[\frac{|\gamma X - \xi Y|}{\sqrt{\eta^{2} \frac{a}{a_{11} - 2\gamma} \frac{c}{b} \frac{a}{a_{12} + \frac{c}{b}^{2} \frac{a}{a_{22}}}} \leq t_{\varepsilon}\right] = 1 - \varepsilon$$
  
ice t, > 0 if  $\varepsilon < 1$ ,

 $P\left[\left(\frac{\gamma \times - \xi \times Y}{\chi}\right)^{2} \leq t_{e}^{2} \left(\frac{\gamma^{2} a_{11} - 2\gamma \times a_{12} + \xi^{2} a_{22}}{\chi}\right)\right] = 1 - \epsilon,$ which can be written as follows:  $(1) \quad P\left[\left(\frac{\chi^{2} - t_{e}^{2} a_{11}}{\chi}\right) - 2\frac{\xi}{\eta} \left(\frac{\chi \times - t_{e}^{2} a_{12}}{\chi}\right) + \frac{\xi^{2}}{\gamma^{2}} \left(\frac{\chi^{2} - t_{e}^{2} a_{22}}{\chi}\right) \leq 0\right] = 1 - \epsilon,$ (supposing  $\gamma \neq 0$ ). or, sin

From the last formula it is clear that the values, which, substituted for  $\frac{1}{2}$  in (1), satisfy the inequality between square brackets and form a confidence interval for  $\propto = \frac{f}{2}$ , corresponding to the confidence level 1-  $\varepsilon$ .

In many cases, according to condition 3) and the definitions of  $\sigma_{\underline{Z}}$  and  $\underline{S}_{\underline{Z}}$ , the functions  $\underline{a}_{11}, \underline{a}_{12}$  and  $\underline{a}_{22}$  will be estimates of the variances and covariance, 11 110  $\sigma_{12}$  and  $\sigma_{22}$ , of <u>X</u> and <u>Y</u>. However, this is not necessary as will be clear from the following example.

#### IV Confidence limits for the slope of a straight line, if both variables are subject to errors, according to A.WALD.

A.WALD in his paper starts with the following assumptions:

1) <u>u</u> and <u>v</u> are N(0,0;  $\sigma_{11}$ ,  $\sigma_{12}$ ,  $\sigma_{22}$ ) distributed  $(\sigma_{11}, \sigma_{12} \text{ and } \sigma_{22} \text{ unknown}).$ 

2) For the variables  $\xi$  and  $\gamma$  , satisfying

$$\xi = \alpha \eta + \beta$$

observations  $(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_{2m}, y_{2m})$  are made, such that  $x_{s} = \xi_{s} + u_{s}$ 

$$V_{a} = \mathcal{O}_{a} + V_{a},$$

 $\underline{y}_{i} = ?_{i} + \underline{v}_{i}$ , where, for each i,  $\underline{u}_{i}$  and  $\underline{v}_{i}$  are distributed like <u>u</u> and <u>v</u>, and two pairs  $(\underline{u}_1, \underline{v}_1)$  and  $(\underline{u}_j, \underline{v}_j)$  (i  $\neq j$ ) (i, j = 1, ..., 2m) are independent.

3) Independent of the values of the observations the pairs  $(\underline{x}_{i}, \underline{y}_{i})$  can be renumbered and divided into two

2 -

equal groups,  $(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_m, y_m)$  and  $(x_{m+1}, y_{m+1}), \dots$  $\dots, (x_{2m}, y_{2m})$ , such that all of  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m$  are smaller than  $\xi_{m+1}, \dots, \xi_{2m}$  (or such that all of  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m$ are smaller than  $\gamma_{m+1}, \dots, \gamma_{2m}$ ). The observations  $x_i$  and  $y_i$  here take the place of  $\underline{w}_1, \dots, \underline{w}_k$  in the preceding section.

- 3 -

We now define:

 $\overline{\underline{x}}_{1} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{1}^{m} \underline{x}_{1} \text{ and } \overline{\underline{x}}_{2} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{m+1}^{2m} \underline{x}_{1},$ where  $\overline{\underline{x}}_{1}$  and  $\overline{\underline{x}}_{2}$  are independently distributed, according

to assumption 3), and likewise  $\overline{y}_1, \overline{y}_2, \overline{u}_1, \overline{u}_2, \overline{v}_1, \overline{v}_2, \overline{v}_1, \overline{v}_2, \overline{y}_1, \overline{v}_2, \overline{y}_1, \overline{v}_2, \overline{y}_1, \overline{v}_2, \overline{y}_1, \overline{v}_2, \overline{v}_1, \overline{v}_1, \overline{v}_2, \overline{v}_1, \overline{v}_1, \overline{v}_2, \overline{v}_1, \overline{v}_$ 

Taking for the five functions  $X_{,Y_{,a}_{11},a_{12}}$  and  $a_{22}$  the following expressions:

$$\begin{split} \underline{\mathbf{X}} &= \overline{\mathbf{x}}_{1} - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_{2}, \qquad \underline{\mathbf{Y}} = \overline{\mathbf{y}}_{1} - \overline{\mathbf{y}}_{2}, \\ \underline{\mathbf{a}}_{11} &= \frac{1}{m(m-1)} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{m} (\underline{\mathbf{x}}_{i} - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_{1})^{2} + \sum_{i=m+1}^{2m} (\underline{\mathbf{x}}_{i} - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_{2})^{2} \right], \\ \underline{\mathbf{a}}_{12} &= \frac{1}{m(m-1)} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{m} (\underline{\mathbf{x}}_{i} - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_{1})(\underline{\mathbf{y}}_{i} - \overline{\mathbf{y}}_{1}) + \sum_{m+1}^{2m} (\underline{\mathbf{x}}_{i} - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_{2})(\underline{\mathbf{y}}_{i} - \overline{\mathbf{y}}_{2}) \right], \\ \underline{\mathbf{a}}_{22} &= \frac{1}{m(m-1)} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{m} (\underline{\mathbf{y}}_{i} - \overline{\mathbf{y}}_{1})^{2} + \sum_{m+1}^{2m} (\underline{\mathbf{y}}_{i} - \overline{\mathbf{y}}_{2})^{2} \right]; \end{split}$$

it is not difficult to show that the conditions of paragraph III are fulfilled and thus a confidence interval for  $\propto$  (estimate:  $\underline{a} = \frac{X}{Y}$ ) can be determined as is explained in that paragraph.

(The proof is performed by substituting:

 $\frac{\mathbf{x}_{1}}{\mathbf{y}_{1}} = \begin{pmatrix} \xi_{1} + \underline{u}_{1}, \text{ and} \\ \xi_{1} = & \gamma_{1} + \underline{v}_{1}, \\ \xi_{1} = & \alpha & \gamma_{1} + & \beta \end{pmatrix}$ 

If we now compare the expression  $\frac{a_{11}}{a_{11}}$  with the variance  $\sigma_{\rm X}^2$  it is seen that we cannot use  $\frac{a_{11}}{a_{11}}$  as an estimate of  $\sigma_{\rm X}^2$  .

Indeed:

$$\sigma_{\underline{X}}^{2} = \sigma^{2} \{ \overline{\underline{x}}_{1} - \overline{\underline{x}}_{2} \} = \sigma^{2} \{ \overline{\underline{\xi}}_{1} + \overline{\underline{u}}_{1} - \overline{\underline{u}}_{2} \} = \sigma^{2} \{ \overline{\underline{u}}_{1} - \overline{\underline{u}}_{2} \} \}$$

whereas;  $\underline{a}_{11} = \frac{1}{m(m-1)} \left[ \sum_{1}^{m} (\xi_1 - \overline{\xi}_1 + \underline{u}_1 - \overline{u}_1)^2 + \sum_{m+1}^{2m} (\xi_1 - \overline{\xi}_2 + \underline{u}_1 - \overline{u}_2)^2 \right] =$ 

$$= \frac{1}{m(m-1)} \left[ \sum_{1}^{m} (\underline{u}_{1} - \overline{u}_{1})^{2} + \sum_{m+1}^{2m} (\underline{u}_{1} - \overline{u}_{2})^{2} + \sum_{m+1}^{m} (\underline{\xi}_{1} - \overline{\xi}_{1})^{2} + \sum_{m+1}^{2m} (\underline{\xi}_{1} - \overline{\xi}_{2})^{2} + 2\sum_{1}^{m} (\underline{\xi}_{1} - \overline{\xi}_{1})^{2} + \sum_{m+1}^{2m} (\underline{\xi}_{1} - \overline{\xi}_{2})^{2} + 2\sum_{1}^{m} (\underline{\xi}_{1} - \overline{\xi}_{1})^{2} + 2\sum_{m+1}^{2m} (\underline{\xi}_{1} - \overline{\xi}_{2})^{2} + 2\sum_{m+1}^{m} (\underline{\xi}_{1} - \underline{\xi}_{2})^{2} + 2\sum_{m+1}^{m} (\underline{\xi}_{1} - \underline{\xi}_{2})^{2} + 2\sum_{m+1}^{m} (\underline{\xi}_{1} -$$

In this expression we recognize the part  $\frac{1}{m(m-1)} \left[ \sum_{1}^{m} \left( \underline{u}_{1} - \overline{u}_{1} \right)^{2} + \sum_{m+1}^{2m} \left( \underline{u}_{1} - \overline{u}_{2} \right)^{2} \right]$ 

as an ordinary estimate of  $\sigma_{11}$ , but the value of  $\underline{a}_{11}$ also depends on the values of  $\underline{\xi}_1$ . Clearly the mathematical expectation of  $\underline{a}_{11}$  is larger than  $\sigma_X^2$ , the difference increasing with increasing differences between the  $\underline{\xi}_1$ .

References.	
E.C.FIELLER,	A fundamental formula in the statistics
	of biological assay and some applications,
	Quart.J.Phar., <u>17</u> (1944),pp.117-123.
A.WALD,	The fitting of straight lines if both
	variables are subject to error,
	The Annals of Math.Stat., <u>11</u> (1940),
	pp.284-300.
G.KLERK-GROBBEN,	Een betrouwbaarheidsgebied voor het
	quotiënt voor de verwachtingen van <b>t</b> wee
	stochastische grootheden, die een si-
	multane normale verdeling bezitten.
	Rapport S 90(M36) van de Statistische
	Afdeling van het Mathematisch Centrum,
	Amsterdam 1952.
H.J.PRINS,	Een betrouwbaarheidsgebied voor het qu-
	tiënt van de verwachtingen van twee on-
	afhankelijke normaal verdeelde groothe-
	den met gegeven spreidingsverhouding.
	Rapport S 90(M36a) van de Statistische
	Afdeling van het Mathematisch Centrum,

Amsterdam 1953.