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Abstract

On R. von Mises' condition for the domain of attraction of $\exp \left(-e^{-x}\right)$.

There exist well-known necessary and sufficient conditions for the domain of attraction of the double exponential distribution. For practical purposes a simple sufficient condition due to von Mises is very useful. It is shown that each distribution function $F$ in the domain is a rather simple function of some distribution function satisfying von Mises' condition.

On R. von Mises' condition for the domain of attraction of $\exp \left(-e^{-x}\right)$. *)
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Suppose $X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, \ldots$ are independent real-valued random variables with common distribution function $F$. We say that $F$ is in the domain of attraction of the double exponential distribution (notation $F \in D(\wedge)$; $\wedge(x)=\exp \left(-e^{-x}\right)$ ) if there exist two sequences of real constants $\left\{b_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ (with $a_{n}>0$ for $n=1,2, \ldots$ ) such that for all real $x$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left\{\frac{\max \left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)-b_{n}}{a_{n}} \leq x\right\}=\exp \left(-e^{-x}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Necessary and sufficient conditions for $F \in D(\wedge)$ are well-known ([1] and [2]) but rather intricate. The following relatively simple criterion is due to R. von Mises ([3] p. 285). It is convenient for the formulation of the theorem to use the symbol $x_{0}$ for the upper bound of $X_{i}$ defined by

$$
x_{0}(F)=\sup \{x \mid F(x)<1\}
$$

Theorem 1 Suppose $F$ is twice differentiable and $F^{\prime}(x)$ is positive for all $\mathrm{x}<\mathrm{x}_{0}$. If
(2) $\quad \lim _{x \uparrow x_{0}} \frac{F^{\prime \prime}(x)\{1-F(x)\}}{\left\{F^{\prime}(x)\right\}^{2}}=-1$,
then $F \in D(\wedge)$.

A distribution function $F$ satisfying (2) will be called a von Mises function.

Our theorem states that each F from $D(\wedge)$ is linked to some von Mises function in a relatively simple way.


Theorem 2 a) Suppose $F \in D(\wedge)$. There exists a von Mises function $F_{1}$ and a regularly varying function $U$ with exponent 1 such that for all $\mathrm{x}<\mathrm{x}_{0}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{1-F(x)}=U\left(\frac{1}{1-F_{1}(x)}\right) . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

b) If $F_{1}$ is a von Mises function and $U$ a regularly varying function with exponent 1, then any distribution function $F$ given by (3) belongs to $D(\wedge)$.

Proof a) We use theorem 2.5.3 of [2] which states that if $F \in D(\wedge)$, there exist a real constant $c_{1}$ and real-valued functions $c, a$ and $f$ defined on $\left(-\infty, x_{0}\right)$ with
(4)
such that for $\mathrm{x}_{1}<\mathrm{x}<\mathrm{x}_{0}$

$$
1-F(x)=c(x) \cdot \exp \left\{-\int_{x_{1}}^{x} \frac{a(t)}{f(t)} d t\right\}
$$

First suppose $x_{0}=\infty$. Define the function $F_{1}$ by

$$
F_{1}(x)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { for } x \leq 1 \\ 1-\exp \left(-\int_{1}^{x} \frac{d t}{f(t)}\right) & \text { for } x>1\end{cases}
$$

Clearly this distribution function is twice differentiable and from $\lim f^{\prime}(x)=0$ we have that $F_{1}$ satisfies (2). Denote the inverse function $x \rightarrow \infty$ of $\frac{1}{1-F_{1}}$ by $V$ and define $U$ by

$$
U(x)=c(V(x)) \cdot \exp \left\{\int_{1}^{x} \frac{a(V(t))}{t} d t\right\} \quad \text { for } x>1
$$

From (4) it follows by the representation theorem for regularly varying functions (see e.g. [2] theorem 1.2.2), that $U$ varies regularly with exponent 1. It is easy to see that with these functions $F_{1}$ and $U$ we have (3).

If $x_{0}<\infty$ the proof goes through with obvious changes.
b) A well-known theorem of Gnedenko [1] states that $F \in D(\wedge)$ if and only if for some positive function $f$

$$
\lim _{t \uparrow x_{0}} \frac{1-F(t+x \cdot f(t))}{1-F(t)}=e^{-x} \quad \text { for all real } x
$$

By assumption this relation holds for $F_{1}$ i.e. for some positive function $f_{1}$ we have
(5) $\quad \lim _{t \uparrow x_{0}} \frac{1}{1-F_{1}(t+x \cdot f(t))} / \frac{1}{1-F_{1}(t)}=e^{x} \quad$ for all real $x$.

If $U$ is regularly varying with exponent 1, we have

$$
\lim _{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{U(s y)}{U(s)}=y
$$

uniformly on any interval of the form $0<\mathrm{y}_{1} \leq \mathrm{y} \leq \mathrm{y}_{2}<\infty$.
Hence (5) implies

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\lim _{t \uparrow x_{0}} \frac{1-F(t)}{1-F\left(t+x \cdot f_{1}(t)\right)}=\lim _{t \uparrow x_{0}} \frac{U\left(\frac{1}{1-F_{1}\left(t+x \cdot f_{1}(t)\right)}\right)}{U\left(\frac{1}{1-F_{1}(t)}\right)}=e^{x} \\
\text { for all real } x
\end{array}
$$

and so $F \in D(\wedge)$.
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