

STICHTING  
MATHEMATISCH CENTRUM  
2e BOERHAAVESTRAAT 49  
AMSTERDAM  
AFDELING MATHEMATISCHE STATISTIEK

S 410

Quantiles and stabilizing constants

by

L. de Haan



August 1969

0. Introduction and Summary. A sequence of distribution functions  $\{F_n\}$  belongs to the domain of attraction of a non-degenerate distribution function  $G$  ( notation  $\{F_n\} \in D(G)$ ) when it is possible to choose sequences  $\{a_n\}$  ( $a_n > 0, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ ) and  $\{b_n\}$  such that

$$(1) \quad F_n(a_n x + b_n) \rightarrow G(x)$$

in the weak sense. A well-known theorem of Gnedenko states to which extent we may change the sequences of stabilizing constants. We give the theorem in its extended form (see [1], p.246).

Theorem 1. If (1) holds, we have

$$(2) \quad F_n(\alpha_n x + \beta_n) \rightarrow G^*(x)$$

weakly (where  $G^*$  is non-degenerate) iff

$$(3) \quad \alpha_n \sim A \cdot a_n, \quad a_n^{-1} (\beta_n - b_n) \rightarrow B \quad \text{for } n \rightarrow \infty$$

and

$$G^*(x) = G(Ax + B).$$

In this report we give an explicit expression of the constants  $a_n$  and  $b_n$  as functions of the given distribution functions  $F_n$  when  $G$  is one-to-one. As an example we consider the case  $F_n = F^n$  where  $F$  is a given distribution function; then Gnedenko's expression for stabilizing constants for maxima of independent random variables is seen to be a special case of theorem 2. There is also an application concerning stabilization by moments.

Finally we give a connection between quantiles and centering constants used with the weak law of large numbers.

1. Choice of stabilizing constants. For a sequence of distribution functions  $\{F_n\}$  satisfying (1) it is not true in general that (1) holds with

$$b_n = \mu_n = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x dF_n(x)$$

and

$$a_n^2 = \sigma_n^2 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^2 dF_n(x) - \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x dF_n(x) \right\}^2,$$

even if  $\mu_n$  and  $\sigma_n$  exist for every  $n$ . Defining

$$F_n(x) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) F(x) + \frac{1}{n} \delta(x - n)$$

where  $F(x)$  is an arbitrary distribution function with  $\mu = 0$  and  $\sigma = 1$ , we have

$$F_n(x) \rightarrow F(x)$$

weakly, so (1) holds with  $a_n = 1$  but

$$\frac{\sigma_n}{a_n} = \sqrt{n - \frac{1}{n}} \rightarrow \infty$$

We formulate a theorem giving the stabilizing constants as functions of  $F_n$  for a class of limit distributions. The formulation involves quantiles; the precise definition of a quantile does not matter. However, it is convenient to have a definition which determines the quantile uniquely. For each  $\alpha$  ( $0 < \alpha < 1$ ) we define for the distribution function  $F_n$

$$(4) \quad \xi_\alpha^{(n)} = \inf \{x \mid F_n(x) \geq \alpha\} .$$

Then

$$(5) \quad F_n(\xi_\alpha^{(n)} - 0) \leq \alpha \leq F_n(\xi_\alpha^{(n)}) .$$

Theorem 2. Suppose that the distribution function  $G$  is continuous on the whole real line and strictly increasing on  $\{x \mid 0 < G(x) < 1\}$ . If  $\{F_n\} \in D(G)$  then

$$(6) \quad F_n(a_n x + b_n) \rightarrow G(ax + b)$$

weakly (hence for all  $x$ ), with

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} b_n &= \xi_{\alpha}^{(n)} & , & & b &= G^{-1}(\alpha) \\ a_n &= \xi_{\beta}^{(n)} - \xi_{\alpha}^{(n)} & , & & a &= G^{-1}(\beta) - b \end{aligned}$$

and  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  arbitrary (provided  $0 < \alpha < \beta < 1$ ).

Proof.

Given

$$(8) \quad F_n(a'_n x + b'_n) \rightarrow G(x)$$

weakly with sequences  $a'_n > 0$  and  $b'_n$ , for every pair of positive numbers  $\varepsilon_1$  and  $\varepsilon_2$  there is a positive integer  $n_0$  such that for  $n \geq n_0$

$$(9) \quad F_n(a'_n (b - \varepsilon_1) + b'_n) < G(b - \varepsilon_1) + \varepsilon_2$$

$$F_n(a'_n (b + \varepsilon_1) + b'_n) > G(b + \varepsilon_1) - \varepsilon_2.$$

Choosing

$$\varepsilon_2 = \min \{G(b) - G(b - \varepsilon_1), G(b + \varepsilon_1) - G(b)\} > 0,$$

we have

$$(10) \quad F_n(a'_n (b - \varepsilon_1) + b'_n) < F_n(a'_n (b + \varepsilon_1) + b'_n).$$

From (5) it follows in view of the continuity of  $G$

$$(11) \quad F_n(b_n - 0) \leq G(b) \leq F_n(b_n) \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Combining (10) and (11) we obtain

$$a'_n(b - \varepsilon_1) + b'_n < b_n \leq a'_n(b + \varepsilon_1) + b'_n \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots,$$

so

$$(12) \quad \frac{b_n - b'_n}{a'_n} \rightarrow b \quad n \rightarrow \infty$$

Starting in (9) with  $a+b$  instead of  $b$  we obtain

$$(13) \quad \frac{a_n}{a'_n} + \frac{b_n - b'_n}{a'_n} \rightarrow a+b \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Application of theorem 1 gives the statement of the theorem.

Remark. A slight adaptation of the proof shows that the requirements on  $G$  can be weakened to the following ones:

- a.  $\xi_\alpha < \xi_\beta$ ; here  $\xi_\alpha = \inf \{x \mid G(x) \geq \alpha\}$ .
- b. There exists no  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $G$  is constant on  $[\xi_\alpha, \xi_\alpha + \varepsilon)$  and  $[\xi_\beta, \xi_\beta + \varepsilon)$ .

As an application we prove Gnedenko's theorem about choosing stabilizing constants for sequences  $F_n = F^n$  attracted by the double-exponential law ([2] p.446).

Corollary 1. If for a distribution function  $F$  the sequence  $\{F^n\}$  is in the domain of

$$(14) \quad G(x) = \exp\{-e^{-x}\}$$

then

$$(15) \quad F^n(a_n x + b_n) \rightarrow G(x) \quad \text{for } x \text{ all } x, n \rightarrow \infty$$

with

$$(16) \quad b_n = \inf \{x \mid F(x) \geq 1 - \frac{1}{n}\}$$

$$\text{and} \quad a_n = \inf \{x \mid F(x) \geq 1 - \frac{1}{ne}\} - b_n \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Proof. It is not difficult to see that theorem 2 holds with

$$(17) \quad b_n = \xi_{\alpha_n}^{(n)}$$

and

$$a_n = \xi_{\beta_n}^{(n)} - b_n,$$

when  $\alpha_n \rightarrow \alpha$  and  $\beta_n \rightarrow \beta$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ). Applying the adapted theorem 2 with

$$\alpha_n = \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)^n \text{ and } \beta_n = \left(1 - \frac{1}{ne}\right)^n$$

we obtain (16).

Remark. Obviously (15) and (16) are also true for the two other possible types of limit laws G.

Another application concerns stabilization by moments.

Corollary 2. If

$$(18) \quad F_n(\sigma_n x + b_n) \longrightarrow G(x)$$

for all x, where G is one-to-one and  $b_n$  arbitrary, then there exists a B such that

$$(19) \quad F_n(\sigma_n x + \mu_n) \longrightarrow G(x + B).$$

Proof. Let  $\{X_n\}$  be random variables with distribution functions  $\{F_n\}$  and

(20)

$$Y_n = \frac{X_n - \xi_{\alpha}^{(n)}}{\xi_{\beta}^{(n)} - \xi_{\alpha}^{(n)}} \quad (n = 1, 2, 3, \dots).$$

Then

$$(21) \quad \mu(Y_n) = \frac{\mu_n - \xi_{\alpha}^{(n)}}{\xi_{\beta}^{(n)} - \xi_{\alpha}^{(n)}} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma(Y_n) = \frac{\sigma_n}{\xi_{\beta}^{(n)} - \xi_{\alpha}^{(n)}}.$$

From (18) and theorem 2 it follows that for some positive A

$$(22) \quad \sigma(Y_n) \longrightarrow A.$$

The inequality

$$-(1 - \alpha)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \leq \frac{\mu_n - \xi_\alpha^{(n)}}{\sigma_n} \leq \alpha^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

(see [3] p.244) gives that the sequence  $\{\mu(Y_n)\}$  is bounded so that (from (22)) the sequence  $\{E Y_n^2\}$  is bounded; hence the sequence

$$\mu(Y_n) = \frac{\mu_n - \xi_\beta^{(n)}}{\xi_\alpha^{(n)} - \xi_\beta^{(n)}}$$

converges. Application of theorem 1 gives (19).

## 2. Weak law of large numbers.

A sequence of distribution functions  $\{F_n\}$  is said to satisfy the weak law of large numbers if there is a sequence of real numbers  $\{b_n\}$  such that

$$(23) \quad F_n(x + b_n) \longrightarrow \Lambda(x)$$

for  $x \neq 0$ .

Theorem 3. For a sequence of distribution functions  $\{F_n\}$  the following propositions are equivalent:

- a. The sequence  $\{F_n\}$  satisfies the weak law of large numbers.
- b. For each  $\alpha$  ( $0 < \alpha < 1$ )

$$(24) \quad F_n(x + \xi_\alpha^{(n)}) \longrightarrow \Lambda(x)$$

for  $x \neq 0$ .

c. For each  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  ( $0 < \alpha < \beta < 1$ )

$$(25) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\xi_{\beta}^{(n)} - \xi_{\alpha}^{(n)}) = 0$$

Proof.

$b \Rightarrow a$ : Trivial.

$a \Rightarrow b$ : Choose  $\alpha$  ( $0 < \alpha < 1$ ) and  $\varepsilon$  ( $\varepsilon > 0$ ); from

$$(26) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(x + b_n) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } x < 0 \\ 1 & \text{for } x > 0 \end{cases}$$

it follows that when  $n \geq n_0$

$$F_n(-\varepsilon + b_n) < c < F_n(\varepsilon + b_n).$$

Applying (5) we have

$$(27) \quad b_n - \varepsilon < \xi_{\alpha}^{(n)} < b_n + \varepsilon,$$

hence for each  $x$

$$F_n(x - \varepsilon + b_n) \leq F_n(x + \xi_{\alpha}^{(n)}) \leq F_n(x + \varepsilon + b_n).$$

From this and (26) we obtain (24).

$a, b \Rightarrow c$ : relation (27) gives

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{\xi_{\alpha}^{(n)} - b_n\} = 0.$$

As  $\alpha$  is arbitrary we have (25).

$c \Rightarrow b$ : Choose  $\alpha$  ( $0 < \alpha < 1$ ),  $x > 0$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  arbitrary and  $\varepsilon_1$  such that  $0 < \varepsilon_1 < x$ .

Relation (25) implies for  $n \geq n_0$

$$\xi_{1-\varepsilon}^{(n)} - \varepsilon_1 < \xi_{\alpha}^{(n)},$$



hence by (5)

$$1 - \varepsilon < F_n(\xi_{1-\varepsilon}^{(n)}) \leq F_n(x - \varepsilon_1 + \xi_{1-\varepsilon}^{(n)}) \leq F_n(x + \xi_\alpha^{(n)}) \leq 1,$$

so for  $x > 0$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(x + \xi_\alpha^{(n)}) = 1.$$

Analogously one proves (24) for  $x < 0$ .

By a simple transformation we can restate the results of theorem 3 as conditions for a sequence of distribution functions  $\{F_n\}$  concentrated on the non-negative half-axis which is relatively stable i.e. for which

$$F_n(a_n x) \longrightarrow \Lambda(x - 1)$$

weakly for suitably chosen positive constants  $\{a_n\}$ .

Theorem 4. For a sequence of distribution functions  $\{F_n\}$  with  $F_n(0-) = 0$  for  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  the following propositions are equivalent:

- a. The sequence  $\{F_n\}$  is relatively stable.
- b. For each  $\alpha$  ( $0 < \alpha < 1$ )

$$F_n(x + \xi_\alpha^{(n)}) \longrightarrow \Lambda(x - 1)$$

for  $x \neq 1$ .

- c. For each  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  ( $0 < \alpha < \beta < 1$ )

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\xi_\beta^{(n)}}{\xi_\alpha^{(n)}} = 1.$$

Proof. A sequence  $\{F_n\}$  satisfies the conditions of theorem 4 iff the sequence  $\{G_n\}$  defined by

$$G_n(x) = F_n(e^x)$$

satisfies the conditions of theorem 3.

As in section 1 the results of Gnedenko ([2] p.426) concerning the law of large numbers and the relative stability of the sequence of maxima of independent identically distributed random variables can be seen as corollaries to the theorems 3 and 4.

References

- [1] Feller, W. (1966). An introduction to probability theory and its applications 2. Wiley, New-York.
- [2] Gnedenko, B.V. (1943). Sur la distribution limite du terme maximum d'une série aléatoire. Annals of Math. 44 423-453.
- [3] Loève, M. (1963). Probability theory. van Nostrand, New-York.