1	Changes in gene DNA methylation and expression networks accompany caste specialization
2	and age-related physiological changes in a social insect
3	
4	Claire Morandin ^{1,2,3*} , Volker P. Brendel ^{4,5} , Liselotte Sundström ^{1,6} , Heikki Helanterä ^{1,7} , Alexander
5	S. Mikheyev ^{8,9*}
6	
7	Affiliations:
8	¹ Organismal and Evolutionary Biology Research Programme, Faculty of Biological and
9	Environmental Sciences, University of Helsinki, Finland
10	² Department of Biosciences, Åbo Akademi, Åbo, Finland
11	³ Department of Ecology and Evolution, Biophore, University of Lausanne, 1015 Lausanne,
12	Switzerland
13	⁴ Department of Biology, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN 47405, USA
14	⁵ Department of Computer Science, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN 47405, USA
15	⁶ Tvärminne Zoological Station, University of Helsinki, J.A. Palménin tie 260, FI-10900 Hanko,
16	Finland
17	⁷ Ecology and Genetics research unit, Faculty of Science, University of Oulu, Finland
18	⁸ Okinawa Institute of Science and Technology 1919-1 Tancha Onna-son, Kunigami-gun
19	Okinawa 904-0412, Japan
20	⁹ Research School of Biology, Australian National University, Canberra, ACT 0200, Australia
21	
22	* Corresponding authors:
23	Claire Morandin
24	claire.morandin@helsinki.fi
25	Organismal and Evolutionary Biology Research Programme, Faculty of Biological and
26	Environmental Sciences, University of Helsinki, Finland Tel: +358 2 941 57703

27 Alexander S. Mikheyev

- 28 alexander.mikheyev@anu.edu.au
- 29 Research School of Biology, Australian National University, Canberra, ACT 0200, Australia
- 30 Tel: +61 2 6125 2467

- 31
- 32

33 Abstract

34 Social insects provide systems for studying epigenetic regulation of phenotypes, particularly with 35 respect to differentiation of reproductive and worker castes, which typically arise from a 36 common genetic background. The role of gene expression in caste specialization has been 37 extensively studied, but the role of DNA methylation remains controversial. Here, we perform 38 well-replicated, integrated analyses of DNA methylation and gene expression in brains of an ant 39 (Formica exsecta) with distinct female castes using traditional approaches (tests of differential 40 methylation) combined with a novel approach (analysis of co-expression and co-methylation 41 networks). We found differences in expression and methylation profiles between workers and 42 queens at different life stages, as well as some overlap between DNA methylation and expression 43 at the functional level. Large portions of the transcriptome and methylome are organized into 44 'modules' of genes, some significantly associated with phenotypic traits of castes and 45 developmental stages. Several gene co-expression modules are preserved in co-methylation 46 networks, consistent with possible regulation of caste-specific gene expression by DNA 47 methylation. Surprisingly, brain co-expression modules were highly preserved when compared 48 with a previous study that examined whole-body co-expression patterns in 16 ant species 49 (Morandin et al. 2016), suggesting that these modules are evolutionarily conserved and for 50 specific functions in various tissues. Altogether, these results suggest that DNA methylation 51 participates in regulation of caste specialization and age-related physiological changes in social 52 insects.

53

54 Keywords

55 Co-methylation network, co-expression network, ageing, phenotypic plasticity, caste

56 Introduction

57 DNA methylation is the most studied epigenetic mechanism and has been linked to variation in 58 gene regulation in mammals (Maunakea et al., 2010; Shukla et al., 2011), plants (Ecker & Davis, 59 1986; Zemach, Mcdaniel, Silva, & Zilberman, 2010; Zilberman, Coleman-Derr, Ballinger, & 60 Henikoff, 2008), and insects (Bewick, Vogel, Moore, & Schmitz, 2017; Kucharski, Maleszka, 61 Foret, & Maleszka, 2008; Li-Byarlay et al., 2013). DNA methylation regulates a wide range of 62 cellular processes, such as development or disease (Jirtle & Skinner, 2007; Lister et al., 2009; 63 Waterland & Jirtle, 2003; Wolffe & Matzke, 1999) and has been shown to affect processes such 64 as gene expression (Keshet, Yisraeli, & Cedar, 1985; Tate & Bird, 1993), genomic imprinting (E. 65 Li, Beard, & Jaenisch, 1993; Razin & Cedar, 1991), and transcriptional regulation (Eden & 66 Cedar, 1994; Schübeler, 2015). 67 Biological characteristics, functions, localization, and even presence of DNA methylation vary 68 69 greatly among taxonomic lineages (Colot & Rossignol, 1999). For example, in mammals, DNA 70 methylation primarily occurs at CpG dinucleotides (Cheng & Blumenthal, 2008), with 60% to 71 90% of all CpG sites methylated (Bird, 1986; Lister et al., 2009). DNA methylation is 72 accomplished by DNA methyltransferase 3 (DNMT3) and persists due to the activity of the 73 maintenance methyltransferase, DNMT1 (Cheng & Blumenthal, 2008; Goll & Bestor, 2005; 74 Kim, Samaranayake, & Pradhan, 2009). In mammals, DNA methylation typically occurs in gene 75 promoter regions, where it represses gene transcription (Bird & Wolffe, 1999; Suzuki & Bird, 76 2008; Weber et al., 2007). In insect species with identified DNA methylation machinery, DNA 77 methylation is predominantly found in coding regions and located in gene bodies of actively 78 expressed genes (Bonasio et al., 2012; Feng et al., 2010; Lyko et al., 2010; Suzuki & Bird, 2008;

79	Zemach et al., 2010). Gene body DNA methylation in insects correlates with alternative splicing
80	and may modulate gene activities (Bonasio et al., 2012; Flores et al., 2012; Foret et al., 2012;
81	Libbrecht, Oxley, Keller, Jan, & Kronauer, 2016; Lyko et al., 2010), or even affect gene function
82	through nucleosome stability (Hunt, Glastad, Yi, & Goodisman, 2013a). This suggests that gene
83	body DNA methylation may be involved in a wide range of biological processes, and that it may
84	be involved in evolution of novel traits, through mechanisms such as genomic imprinting
85	(Amarasinghe, Clayton, & Mallon, 2014). Furthermore, invertebrate genomes often display
86	lower levels of DNA methylation than those of mammals or plants, ranging from 0% (the order
87	Diptera) to 14% of all CpG sites (Asian cockroaches, Blattella asahinai) (Bewick et al., 2016).
88	In the honey bee (Apis mellifera), a model species for social insect genomic analyses, less than
89	1% of CpG dinucleotides are methylated (Lyko et al., 2010). Furthermore, in some insect species
90	(e.g. Aedes aegypti) no evidence of DNA methylation has been found. In these species, the
91	responsible machinery (cytosine-5 DNA methyltransferases) is absent from their genomes
92	(Falckenhayn et al., 2016; Standage, Berens, Glastad, & Severin, 2016). When present, DNA
93	methylation in insects contributes to diverse processes, such as nutritional control of
94	reproductive status (Kucharski et al., 2008), development (Lyko et al., 2010; Shi, Yan, & Huang,
95	2013; Yang, Guo, Zhao, Sun, & Hong, 2017), embryogenesis (Kay, Skowronski, & Hunt, 2017),
96	alternative splicing (Bonasio et al., 2012; Flores et al., 2012; Foret et al., 2012; Li-Byarlay et al.,
97	2013; Libbrecht et al., 2016), host-parasite evolution (Vilcinskas, 2016), memory processing
98	(Biergans, Jones, Treiber, Galizia, & Szyszka, 2012; Lockett, Helliwell, & Maleszka, 2010), age-
99	related changes in worker behavior (Herb et al., 2012), modulation of context-dependent gene
100	expression (Wedd, Kucharski, & Maleszka, 2016), maternal care (Arsenault, Hunt, & Rehan,
101	2018), and defense against territorial intrusion (Herb, Shook, Fields, & Robinson, 2018). Perhaps

102	most dramatically, in social insects, DNA methylation has been proposed to control the
103	developmental path taken by a totipotent egg to either a reproductive queen or a non-
104	reproductive worker (Herb et al., 2012; Kucharski et al., 2008; Yan, Bonasio, Simola, & Berger,
105	2015). Despite a common genetic background, queen and worker castes acquire extensive
106	behavioral, physiological and morphological differences, such as vast differences in lifespan,
107	which can be on the order of decades (Page & Peng, 2001). Because epigenetic regulation has
108	been proposed as a key mechanism in gene and environment interactions (Liu, Li, & Tollefsbol,
109	2008), social insects provide an ideal model to investigate the function of DNA methylation on
110	the development of alternative phenotypes (Lyko & Maleszka, 2011).
111	
112	Since its initial discovery in honey bees (Wang et al., 2007), the role of DNA methylation in
113	regulating caste specification has been controversial. On one hand, many studies have reported
114	DNA methylation differences between female castes [(honey bees: Elango, Hunt, Goodisman, &
115	Yi, 2009; Foret et al., 2012; Kucharski et al., 2008; Lyko et al., 2010) (ants: Bonasio et al., 2012)
116	(bumblebees: Amarasinghe et al., 2014) (termites: Glastad, Hunt, & Goodisman, 2012; Glastad,
117	Gokhale, Liebig, & Goodisman, 2016)) and (non-social Jewel wasps, Nasonia vitripennis: Beeler
118	et al., 2014), while others found no effect of DNA methylation on caste regulation (Libbrecht et
119	al., 2016; Patalano et al., 2015). Several factors may explain these discrepancies. For instance,
120	studies that failed to detect significant DNA methylation differences compared reproductive and
121	non-reproductive individuals with similar morphology (Libbrecht et al., 2016; Patalano et al.,
122	2015). Also, most previous studies did not employ appropriate replication, and those that did,
123	failed to find significant differences between castes (Herb et al., 2012; Libbrecht et al., 2016;
124	Patalano et al., 2015). Thus, it is still unclear whether the lack of biological replicates or the lack
125	of distinct morphological castes explains the inconsistency among studies. Furthermore, only

two previous studies have used whole-genome sequencing to investigate DNA methylation
pattern differences between adult queen and worker brains in honey bees. Here again
discrepancies arise. Lyko et al. (2010), with no technical or biological replicates, found around
600 genes differentially methylated between castes, while Herb et al. (2012), with five replicates,
found no significant differences in DNA methylation between irreversible workers and queens.

132 Thus, to explore the role of DNA methylation on social insect caste and on individual traits 133 important in social organization, a study employing a suitable number of biological replicates 134 and a model system with clear caste differences was needed. To address this matter, we used the 135 ant, Formica exsecta, to study changes in brain DNA methylation and gene expression 136 associated with the two female castes. F. exsecta has morphologically differentiated castes, with 137 queens living as long as 20 years, while workers have lifespans slightly over 1 year, including a 138 winter hibernation (Pamilo, 1991). Thus, F. exsecta provides an extreme contrast in caste 139 physiology and lifespan, especially during the adult stage. In a previous study of the F. exsecta 140 transcriptome (Morandin et al., 2015), we found differential expression of DNA 141 methyltransferase 3 (DNMT3, up-regulated in adult workers compared to queens), an enzyme 142 responsible for establishing *de novo* DNA methylation patterns in mammalian genomes (Hata, 143 Okano, Lei, & Li, 2002; Kato et al., 2007; Okano, Bell, Haber, & Li, 1999; Okano, Xie, & Li, 144 1998), which also affects caste development in honey bees (Kucharski et al., 2008). We 145 hypothesized that DNA methylation states may differ between ant castes, either as a result of 146 differential DNA methylation during larval development, or because of re-programming as 147 adults. To test this hypothesis, we sampled queens and workers at two adult developmental 148 stages, soon after emergence from the cocoon and well after establishment in specific roles

149 (foraging and social behavior vs. reproduction). We then tested predictions that (a) both DNA 150 methylation and gene expression should differ between these stages; (b) if differences exist, 151 expression and DNA methylation signals would show some level of correspondence; and (c) 152 adults within a caste show persistent differences throughout life, suggesting an action of DNA 153 methylation beyond larval development. While our experimental design cannot prove causality 154 between DNA methylation and caste differentiation, it can show that caste-specific DNA 155 methylation patterns have the potential to underpin differences in caste and adult development, 156 hopefully spurring further functional investigation.

157

158 Here, for the first time in social insects, we examined the relationships between networks of co-159 expressed and co-methylated genes. Co-methylation networks were first used to describe 160 relationships among methylation profiles (Busch et al., 2016; Eijk et al., 2012; Horvath et al., 161 2012, 2016; Rickabaugh et al., 2015). Co-methylation analysis relies on the fact that adjacent 162 CpG sites can be co-methylated due to locally coordinated activities of methyltransferases or 163 demethylases. Weighted network methods (such as WGCNA) can be used on any high-164 throughput, continuous, or semi-continuous datasets and preserves the continuous nature of co-165 methylation information (Langfelder & Horvath, 2008; B. Zhang & Horvath, 2005) by grouping 166 highly correlated DNA methylation profiles into modules of genes. The overall DNA 167 methylation level of genes clustered in a module can be represented by the module eigengene 168 (Langfelder & Horvath, 2007; Langfelder, Mischel, & Horvath, 2013), which can later be 169 correlated with several phenotypic traits. In addition, robust preservation statistics are also 170 implemented in WGCNA and can be used to detect connections between modules of co-171 expressed and co-methylated genes (Langfelder, Luo, Oldham, & Horvath, 2011). 172

Using DNA methylomes and expression profiling of individual queen and worker brains, we find a number of differentially methylated genes and CpG sites associated with either caste or developmental stages (newly emerged *vs.* old). In parallel, we find that the transcriptome and methylome can be partitioned into conserved modules of co-expressed and co-methylated genes, which are associated with caste and age-related physiological changes. Furthermore, some methylation modules are preserved in the gene expression data, consistent with a possible regulatory role of DNA methylation.

180

181 Materials and Methods

182

Sample collection and brain extractions

183 All samples of F. exsecta were collected from colonies around the Tvärminne Zoological Station 184 in the Hanko Peninsula, southwestern Finland, in the spring of 2013. Old adult queens and old 185 adult workers were collected in April, when ants come to the colony surface for warmth, 186 providing the only opportunity to easily collect egg-laying queens in the wild. The age of 187 overwintered queens could not be controlled; however, they were all found in large mature 188 colonies and were physogastric at the time of sampling (i.e., with greatly enlarged gasters due to 189 egg production). At this time of year, all workers have overwintered once and are reaching the 190 ends of their lives. Emerging queens were collected in June and emerging workers in July, right 191 after they emerged from their cocoons. Samples were collected randomly from 19 colonies in 192 close proximity, without bias toward specific ages or castes. After collection, samples were 193 frozen immediately at -80 °C. Brains were dissected on ice and stored in 180 μ L buffer ATL and 194 20 µL proteinase K overnight (DNA, QIAamp DNA Micro Kit, Qiagen) or 350 µL buffer RLT 195 (RNA, RNeasy Micro Kit, Qiagen) for further extractions.

197	Formica exsecta genome data
198	Formica exsecta genome sequences and annotation (NCBI BioProject ID PRJNA393850 and
199	BioSample: SAMN07344805) were obtained pre-publication from the authors (Dhaygude, Nair,
200	Johansson, Wurm, & Sundström, 2018). In brief, the genome assembly consists of 14,617
201	contigs and scaffolds comprising a total of 278 Mb with an overall GC content of 36%.
202	Annotation of the genome reported 13,637 protein-coding genes, labeled FEX0000001 to
203	FEX0013637. Functional annotation was not provided, and classification of gene models for this
204	study was accomplished using BLAST (blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi) (Altschul, Gish, Miller,
205	Myers, & Lipman, 1990) and Blast2Go (www.blast2go.com/) (Conesa et al., 2005) searches, as
206 207 208	described below. DNA methylation analysis
209	DNA extraction, reduced representation bisulfite sequencing (RRBS) library preparation,
210	and sequencing. RRBS was performed on 24 libraries from single ant brains (6 emerging
211	queens, 5 old queens, 6 emerging workers, 7 old workers), representing biological replicates of
212	each caste and developmental stage. Total DNA from each brain was extracted using a DNA
213	micro kit (QIAGEN) and diluted in 20 μ L of buffer AE (QIAGEN). Concentration and quality of
214	extracted DNA was examined with an Agilent 2100 bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies).
215	Restriction enzyme digestion. DNA was digested with two enzymes (MspI and TaqI) prior to
216	bisulfite conversion (Gu et al., 2011). The following procedure was carried out for each enzyme
217	separately before combining samples at a later stage. First, 50 ng of DNA were mixed with 2 μ L
218	of 10 x T4 DNA ligase buffer, 1 μ L unmethylated lambda DNA (0.45 ng/ μ L), 0.5 μ L of the

enzyme (20 U/ μ L), and distilled H₂O up to 20 μ L. The mixture was then incubated at 37 °C overnight.

221 Adaptor ligation. 1.25 µL of methylated adaptor (15 µM), 0.5 µL of 10 x T4 DNA ligase buffer,

222 1 μ L of T4 DNA ligase (2,000 U/ μ L), and 2.25 μ L of distilled H₂O were added to each mixture.

223 Sequences of the methylated adaptors can be found in Table S1. The mixture was incubated at 4

^oC overnight.

225 Size selection. Complete details of the size selection step can be found in Tan & Mikheyev

226 (2016). In brief, in the first selection step, 100 μL of 13% PEG-6000/NaCl/Tris and 10 μL

227 prepared Dynabeads were added to the mixture and resuspended. The mixture was incubated for

228 5 minutes and placed on a magnetic stand for 5 minutes. The supernatant (150 μ L) was

transferred to a new tube, and the beads were discarded. In the second selection step to select

fragments between 200 and 400bp, 100 μ L of 13.5 % PEG-6000/NaCl/Tris and 10 μ L prepared

231 Dynabeads were added to the supernatant and mixed. The mixture was incubated for 5 minutes

followed by bead separation on a magnetic stand. This time, the supernatant was discarded, and

the beads were collected. The beads were washed twice with 70% ethanol (with 10 mM Tris, pH

6) and dried for 5 minutes. The tubes were then taken off the magnetic stand, and DNA was

eluted from the beads by resuspending them in 15 μ L EB.

236 Bisulfite conversion. Unmethylated cytosines were converted to uracils using the Qiagen EpiTect

237 Bisulfite Kit. The kit was used twice on each sample following the manufacturer's instructions.

238 Library amplification. Bisulfite libraries were synthesized with a limited number of PCR cycles

239 (20). The 50 μ L PCR reaction consisted of 5 μ L of the bisulfite-converted genomic DNA from

240 the previous step combined with 5 μ L of 10 × Advantage 2 PCR buffer (Clontech), 1.25 μ L of 10

241 mM dNTP mix, 2.5 uL of 5 µM Illumina primer, 1 µL of 50x Advantage 2 DNA Polymerase

242 (Clontech) and 35.25 μ L of distilled H₂O. 50- μ L PCR reactions were set up for each bisulfite-243 converted DNA sample. PCR reactions were carried out under the following conditions: initial 244 denaturation at 95 °C for 1 minute, with 20 cycles of denaturation at 98 °C for 10 seconds, 65 °C 245 for 1 min, followed by final extension at 72 °C for 5 minutes. PCR products were purified by 246 solid phase reversible immobilization using Dynabeads MyOne Carboxylic Acid (Invitrogen). 247 We followed the above protocol using 14.5% PEG for purification. Prior to pooling, libraries 248 were analyzed with a Bioanalyzer High-Sensitivity DNA Kit (Agilent Technologies). The 249 quantity of the library was estimated using a Quant-iT PicoGreen dsDNA Assay Kit and libraries 250 were pooled. Quantitative PCR (KAPA Biosystems) was used to estimate library concentrations. 251 Pooled libraries were sequenced single-end for 50 cycles (1 x 50bp) on an Illumina Hiseq 2000 252 system at the Okinawa Institute of Science and Technology. Adaptors were removed from the 253 raw reads. Subsequently the reads were parsed through quality filtration (Trimmomatic (Bolger, 254 Lohse, & Usadel, 2014), options: MAXINFO:40:0.8 MINLEN:10). Read quality was inspected 255 with FastQC (https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/). Raw sequences were 256 submitted to the DDBJ database (see Table S2 for the accession numbers), ranging from 198 Mb 257 to 802 Mb of total sequence data per sample. Median trimmed read length for the samples 258 ranged between 33 and 46 nucleotides. Mapping efficiencies (next section) ranged between 259 64.3% and 73.3%, with median 69.5% (Table S2). To estimate the number of genomic cytosines 260 accessible to mapping after the RRBS protocol of fragmentation and size selection, we compared 261 whole genome mapping efficiencies with mapping efficiencies obtained against in silico 262 fragmented genomes. Results showed that mapping against fragments in the 50-440-bp range 263 gave almost the same mapping efficiencies as for mapping against the whole genome. Based on

this result, we estimate that about 11 million of the 24.5 million genomic CpGs were accessiblewith our RRBS protocol.

266

267 **Computational processing of BS-seq data.** Individual read samples were mapped to the 268 Formica exsecta genome and DNA methylation calls were tallied using using Bismark (Krueger 269 & Andrews, 2011) with the BWASP workflow (https://github.com/brendelgroup/BWASP), 270 modified for RRBS data. In brief, BWASP is a workflow-enabling wrapper for Bismark, a BS-271 seq analysis tools that executes read and mapping quality control and produces sets of highly-272 supported DNA methylation (hsm) sites. hsm sites are a subset of sufficiently covered sites (scd), 273 i.e. genomic cytosines covered by enough reads to assess statistically significant DNA 274 methylation at that site. Requisite calculations are done in the BWASP Creport2Cxreport.py and 275 Cxreport2hsm.py scripts. Based on an assumed bisulfite conversion accuracy of 99.5%, a 276 binomial test is performed to determine whether the observed DNA methylation frequency at a 277 given site can be rejected as a chance event (1% significance level, Bonferroni adjusted). scd 278 sites are sites with sufficient coverage (here: 4 reads; Table S3) to allow detection of significant 279 DNA methylation, and hsm positions are where significant DNA methylation occurs (e.g., 4/4 280 DNA methylation calls). Overall levels of DNA methylation in CpG, CHG, and CHH sequence 281 contexts were estimated from mapped reads with BWASPR, as well as mean CpG methylation 282 levels of introns, exons, 5' UTRs, 3' UTRs and intergenic regions. We also calculated and 283 reported per-gene CpG methylation levels for queens, workers, emerging, and old samples. 284 Samples were compared only on the basis of sites that were covered in both samples when 285 replicates were averaged. Analysis of these sets of sites was done with a set of R functions that 286 are available in the BWASPR package (https://github.com/brendelgroup/BWASPR). A typical 287 BWASPR workflow reads the specified mcalls files (produced by Bismark) and generates

288 various output tables and plots, including differential DNA methylation analysis, as described 289 briefly below. Entire workflows are reproducible on any Linux system, following installation of 290 the packages. For convenience, we made all workflow documentation and scripts available on 291 the bgRAMOSE virtual machine (VM) image on the Jetstream scientific cloud computing 292 platform (https://jetstream-cloud.org). Users can deploy an example of this VM image and can 293 follow instructions from /usr/local/share/bgRAMOSE/MBSHM2018/0README to recap all of 294 our DNA methylation analysis workflows with a few keystrokes. Bismark's sam file output 295 (mcall) was used as input to methylKit (Akalin et al., 2012) and data were imported using the 296 function read.bismark. Differentially methylated CpG sites were determined with BWASPR 297 using logistic regression implemented in Methylkit (Akalin et al., 2012) from calls using the 298 functions methylKit:calculateDiffMeth() and getMethylDiff. For site-level analysis, we 299 discarded CpG sites covered by fewer than 10 reads, and we considered sites differentially 300 methylated if they showed 25% methylation differences and a qualue of less than 0.01. pvalues 301 were adjusted to qualues to account for multiple testing using the SLIM method. At the gene 302 level, differentially methylated gene lists for all four comparisons (OQ vs. OW; EQ vs. EW; OQ 303 vs. EQ; OW vs. EW) were compared among samples with the Wilcoxon paired ranked sign test, 304 applied only to genes of at most 20 kb and with at least two differentially methylated sites 305 (restrictions applied to focus on the genes with highest concentration of sites). 306

Weighted correlation network analysis of DNA methylation. We employed weighted comethylation networks analysis using the R package WGCNA (version 1.61.86, (Langfelder & Horvath, 2008)) to find weighted signed co-methylated sets of genes (modules) associated with caste and/or developmental stages, an approach analogous to that employed for gene expression data. The goal of our network analysis was to 1) identify sets of co-methylated genes (modules), 312 2) calculate module eigengenes (i.e., representative values for each module), and 3) correlate 313 module eigengenes with phenotypes of interest (caste and stage). WGCNA identifies modules of 314 co-methylated genes starting at the level of DNA methylation and correlates these modules to 315 phenotypic traits. The network is created purely by gene DNA methylation levels and does not 316 require genes to be classified into binary categories (*i.e.*, whether a gene is methylated or not), as 317 is typical for gene-level differential DNA methylation tests. Thus, it overcomes the problem of 318 multiple comparisons. The input dataset (Table S4) consisted of results from DNA methylation 319 calls of 12,112 genes measured as the average percentage of CpG methylation per site per gene, 320 restricted to sites with highly-supported methylation data (high coverage, at least 10 reads). 321 These proportional levels control for the number of restriction enzyme sites present on each 322 gene, gene length, and quality of mapping to avoid any biases. WGCNA can be used on any 323 high-throughput continuous or semi-continuous data, and can calculate correlations from 324 proportional DNA methylation data without requiring normalization for gene length. Our dataset 325 was first filtered to remove genes with too many missing values, following WGCNA cutoff 326 threshold recommendations using the function goodSamplesGenes (Langfelder & Horvath, 327 2008). After considering a range of soft thresholding power (10 to 30), a power of 20 was chosen based on the criterion of approximate scale-free topology and R². After calculating topological 328 329 overlap values for all pairs of genes, a hierarchical clustering algorithm identifies modules of 330 highly interconnected genes. To define modules of co-methylated genes, we used average 331 linkage hierarchical clustering with the topological overlap-based dissimilarity measure. 332 Subsequently, modules of highly co-methylated genes were merged using a cut-off value of 0.45. 333 The minimum module size was set to 30 (Langfelder & Horvath, 2008). As detailed in Morandin 334 et al. (2016), we next calculated average signed, normalized gene DNA methylation values

335 (called an 'eigengene') to determine the relationship between modules and phenotypic traits 336 (e.g., caste and developmental stage). The eigengene is defined as the first principal component 337 of a module and represents the gene DNA methylation profile. For each module, the eigengene 338 can be used to define a measure of module membership, which indicates how close a DNA 339 methylation profile is to the module. A general linear model was then used to find the association 340 between external phenotypic traits (caste and developmental stage, and their interaction) and 341 modules' eigengenes. The general linear model approach provides a convenient means of testing 342 the correlation of multiple traits with module eigengenes using a single model-relating eigengene 343 of expression or DNA methylation modules to caste phenotype and stage. We used the glm 344 function in R with 1000 bootstrap pseudoreplicates, with caste and stage as the explanatory 345 variables and their interactions. p-values were FDR-corrected to account for multiple testing. 346

347

Gene expression analysis

348 **RNA extraction, cDNA synthesis, and library preparation.** Four independent replicates for 349 each caste (queen, worker) and developmental stage (emerging, old), using single brains, were 350 used in this study. Total RNA from each brain was extracted using an RNeasy® micro kit 351 (QIAGEN) and diluted in 14 μ L of RNAse-free water. Concentrations and qualities of extracted 352 RNA were examined with an Agilent 2100 bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies). Total input RNA 353 was standardized to 100 ng prior to cDNA synthesis. cDNA synthesis and library preparation 354 were done following an in-house protocol (Aird et al., 2013). Libraries were analyzed with a 355 Bioanalyzer High-Sensitivity DNA Kit (Agilent Technologies). Library quantities were 356 estimated with a Quant-iT PicoGreen dsDNA Assay Kit and equimolar concentrations of 357 libraries were pooled. Quantitative PCR (KAPA Biosystems) was used to estimate the

concentration of the libraries. Pooled libraries were sequenced paired-end with an Illumina
NextSeq High Output 2 x 150 bp (400M PE reads) at the FuGU lab in Helsinki (Finland).

361 Read mapping and differential expression analysis. Raw read quality was assessed with 362 FastQC tools (http://www.bioinformatics.bbsrc.ac.uk/projects/fastqc), and adaptor sequences 363 were removed using cutadapt (Martin, 2011). Raw reads were subsequently parsed through 364 quality filtration (Trimmomatic (Bolger et al., 2014), options: MAXINFO:40:0.8 MINLEN:30), 365 and aligned to the reference F. exsecta genome (BioProject ID PRJNA393850, Dhaygude et al., 366 2018) using Tophat2 (Kim et al., 2013) and Cufflinks (Trapnell et al., 2012). The genome 367 alignment output file was then used to reconstruct known transcripts using Cufflinks (Trapnell et 368 al., 2012). The transcriptome alignment output file of cuffmerge was subsequently used to 369 quantify expression levels of genes and transcripts using RSEM (Li & Dewey, 2011). The 370 resulting expected counts were used in the differential gene expression analysis with the R 371 Bioconductor package, EdgeR (Robinson, McCarthy, & Smyth, 2010). Reads generated from the 372 24 samples were used as replicates, and comparisons were made across castes and developmental 373 stages (OQ vs. OW; EQ vs. EW; EQ vs. OQ; EW vs. OW). For all comparisons, we first filtered 374 out transcripts with very low read counts by removing loci lower than 1 per kilobase of exons per 375 million fragments mapped in at least half of the sequenced libraries, as recommended by EdgeR. 376 TMM normalization was applied to account for compositional differences between libraries, and 377 expression differences were considered significant at a false discovery rate of FDR < 0.05. 378 379 Weighted correlation network analysis of expression. Weighted gene co-expression network 380 analysis was conducted using the R package WGCNA, as for the co-methylation network 381 detailed above. The input dataset consisted of a matrix with 16 libraries from either queens or

382 workers from both developmental stages, and 13,041 gene expression levels (Table S5). Log-

transformed FPKM values were used as input to avoid gene length biases, and as recommended by Langfelder & Horvath (2008), the same procedure as in the co-methylation network analysis was used to construct the co-expression network, with two exceptions. After considering a range of soft thresholding power (10 to 30), a power of 20 was chosen, based on the criterion of approximate scale-free topology and R^2 , and modules of highly co-expressed genes were merged using a cut-off value of 0.2 (Langfelder & Horvath, 2008).

389

Functional analysis and overlap between differentially methylated and differentially
 expressed genes

392 The software Blast2GO (www.blast2go.com) was used to infer functional annotation of the F. *exsecta* gene set using structural similarity (BLASTx with an e-value cut-off $\leq 10^{-3}$). The GOstat 393 394 package for R (Beissbarth & Speed, 2004) was used to conduct GO term enrichment analysis on 395 differentially expressed and differentially methylated gene sets, using all genes having GO terms 396 as the universe. A similar procedure was used to conduct GO-term enrichment analysis on co-397 expressed and co-methylated gene sets retrieved from WGCNA. Overlaps between differentially 398 expressed and differentially methylated gene lists were visualized using a Venn diagram 399 (http://bioinformatics.psb.ugent.be/webtools/Venn/) and statistical analysis of the significance of 400 overlaps between the two gene sets was calculated using the GeneOverlap BioConductor 401 package (Shen & Sinai, 2016). 402 403 Association between DNA methylation and expression at the network level 404 To assess preservation of modules between the expression and DNA methylation networks, we 405 used the network module preservation statistics Zsummary methods implemented in the R

406 function modulePreservation in the WGCNA R package (Langfelder & Horvath, 2008;

407 Langfelder et al., 2011). Network module preservation statistics assess whether the density 408 (strength of interactions among genes in a module) and connectivity patterns of modules (for 409 example hub/central genes) are preserved between two independent datasets. This method does 410 not require that modules and genes be identified in the target network (only in the reference data 411 set); therefore, it is independent of ambiguities associated with module identification (Langfelder 412 et al., 2011). The Zsummary statistic result summarizes the evidence that network connections of 413 the modules are significantly more preserved than connections of random sets of genes of equal 414 size (Langfelder et al., 2011). We used the 'modulePreservation' function in the WGCNA R 415 package (Langfelder & Horvath, 2008) that enables rigorous testing of module preservation with 416 100 permutations. This reproducibility method was used to estimate the relationship between 417 modules obtained from our co-expression and co-methylation network. In addition, to understand 418 the biological relevance and reproducibility of our analysis, we conducted the same module 419 preservation statistics (Langfelder et al., 2011) between our co-expression network modules and 420 modules retrieved from a recent study of caste specialization across 16 ant species (Morandin et 421 al., 2016). 422 Detailed scripts can be found in https://github.com/oist/formica-methylation under a MIT 423 license. 424

- 425 **Results**
- 426

DNA methylation profiles

427 *Overall patterns:* DNA methylation frequency was assessed in two ways. The overall level of
428 DNA methylation in the mapped reads (e.g. as reported by Bismark (Krueger & Andrews, 2011)
429 and referring to all DNA methylation calls made on all mapped reads) was found to be about 1%

430	in all samples. The proportions of highly supported DNA methylated (hsm) CpGs in the
431	respective sets of detectable (scd) sites were 2.93% for emerging queens, 1.63% for emerging
432	workers, 1.71% for old queens and 1.95% for old workers (Table S6 and S7; Figures S1, S2).
433	Hsm statistics/proportions allow us to establish whether DNA methylation is localized. These
434	low DNA methylation levels are similar to previous observations for honeybees (0.1%, Lyko et
435	al., 2010), the ants, Camponotus floridanus (0.3%, Bonasio et al., 2012), Harpegnathos saltator
436	(0.2%, Bonasio et al. 2012), and Cerapachys biroi (2.1%, Libbrecht et al. 2016). Consistent with
437	observations on other hymenopterans, cytosine DNA methylation was found almost exclusively
438	in a CpG context (Table S8). Highly supported methylated DNA CpGs sites occur in all
439	annotated genomic regions, but are observed at about 2.5-fold higher numbers in coding regions
440	than expected by random distribution over all scd sites (Tables S9 and S10). DNA methylation
441	was found mostly within genes (90.8% +/- 3.5%), and predominantly in exons (64.5% +/- 8%)
442	(Figure 1, Tables S9 and S10). There was a positive correlation between expression levels and
443	the mean % DNA methylation per site, implying that highly expressed genes are more likely to
444	be highly methylated (cor = 0.28, $p < 0.001$; Spearman's product-moment correlation, Figure 2).
445	Results of the Wilcoxon rank-sum test showed that overall DNA methylation levels were
446	significantly higher in queens compared to workers ($p < 0.001$), at both emerging and old stages.
447	Emerging ants had higher overall DNA methylation than old ants among both queens and
448	workers ($p < 0.001$ each; Wilcoxon signed rank test).
449	As a control for our experiments, lambda DNAs were included to rule out any issues with
450	conversion efficiency differences between samples. Conversion rates were verified by mapping
451	the BS-reads to the lambda genome. None of the samples show any conversion problems. For
452	instance, for the 6 emerging worker samples, the % of unmethylated C calls on lambda DNA by

453 Bismark were between 99.38% and 99.71%. Our sequencing depth was not sufficient to

meaningfully assess and compare the DNA methylation status of replicates individually. Rather,
the statistical analysis relies on comparisons made between the replicated aggregate groups with
safeguards against accidental reliance on a non-representative individual.

457 Differentially methylated sites: Across castes, and after correcting for multiple testing, we found

458 1,528 sites differentially methylated between old queens and old workers (869 up-methylated in

459 old workers and 659 in old queens), and 1,620 differentially methylated sites between emerging

460 queens and emerging workers (886 sites up-methylated in workers, and 734 in queens).

461 Similarly, between developmental stages, we found 1,344 sites differentially methylated between

462 emerging and old queens (692 sites up-methylated in emerging queens and 652 sites up-

463 methylated in old queens). Likewise there were 1,894 sites differentially methylated between
464 emerging and old workers (949 sites up-methylated in emerging workers and 945 sites in old
465 workers) (Table S11).

466

467 *Differentially methylated genes:* At the gene level and across castes, these differentially 468 methylated CpG sites resulted in 226 genes (1.7%) having at least two sites differentially 469 methylated between old queens and old workers (118 genes up-methylated in queens and 108 in 470 workers), with no significant differences in the number of differentially methylated genes (p =0.55, Fisher's exact test). Between emerging queens and emerging workers, 264 genes (1.9%) 471 472 were differentially methylated with 164 genes up-methylated in emerging queens and 100 genes 473 in emerging workers. Emerging queens up-methylated significantly more genes than emerging 474 workers (p < 0.001, Fisher's exact test, Figure S3). 75 genes were differentially methylated 475 between castes in both old and emerging stages, which is more than expected by chance (Figure 476 S4, GeneOverlap R package, p < 0.001). Over half of those genes were caste-biased in opposite

directions across both developmental stages (41 genes, 55%). These results parallel expression
patterns, suggesting that caste-biased genes are more specific to developmental stage than to
caste.

480 Across stages, 198 genes (1.5%) had at least two sites differentially methylated between 481 emerging queens and old queens (105 sites up-methylated in emerging queens and 93 in old 482 queens). No significant differences were found in the number of genes up-methylated in 483 emerging and old queens (p = 0.43, Fisher's exact test). And 300 genes (2.3%) had at least two 484 sites differentially methylated in emerging and old workers (172 genes up-methylated in 485 emerging and 128 in old workers). A significant difference was found in the number of genes up-486 methylated between emerging workers and old workers (p = 0.01, Fisher's exact test, Figure S3). 487 There were 66 genes in common among those differentially methylated between stages for 488 queens and workers; however, this is not more than expected by chance (Figure S4, GeneOverlap 489 R package, p = 0.2). However, half of those genes were over-methylated in different direction 490 (35 genes, 53%). Blast annotations of the differentially methylated gene lists for all comparisons 491 can be found in Table S12.

492

493 Differential DNA methylation GO term annotation: The complete list of enriched GO terms can 494 be found in Table S13, but here we summarize some of the most conspicuous findings. Between 495 old queens and old workers, the queen up-methylated gene list included GO terms such as 496 response to stress and DNA repair, whereas worker up-methylated genes were associated with 497 oxoacid metabolic process and ncRNA metabolic process. Between emerging queens and 498 emerging workers, the queen up-methylated gene list included GO terms similar to the old stage, 499 such as DNA repair and ncRNA metabolic process. Worker gene list included GO terms such as 500 cellular response to DNA damage stimulus and positive regulation of catabolic processes. Across

501	queens, old ants up-methylated genes that were related to GO terms such as ncRNA metabolic
502	processes and DNA repair and methylation, whereas visual perception and eye morphogenesis
503	were enriched in the emerging ant up-methylated gene set. Across workers, old ants up-
504	methylated genes that were related to GO terms such as oxoacid metabolic processes and ATP
505	metabolic processes. In contrast, RNA processing and DNA replication initiation were enriched
506	for the emerging ant up-methylated gene set. Sets of GO terms associated with caste and/or
507	developmental stage differences are consistent with hypothetical regulatory roles for differential
508	DNA methylation (similar to previous studies (Foret et al., 2012; Kucharski et al., 2008;
509	Libbrecht et al., 2016; Lyko et al., 2010).
510	
511	Expression profiles
512	Overall patterns: We recovered 99 Gb of 100-bp paired-end reads from the 16 libraries.
513	Following quality filtering, we realigned the reads to the F. exsecta genome, and on average a
514	mapping rate of ~84% was obtained. A total of 10,874 genes were expressed, with over 1 count-
515	per-million in at least half of the samples (Robinson, McCarthy, & Smyth, 2010).
516	
517	Differential expression patterns: Among old queens and old workers, a total of 1,185 genes
518	(8.7% of the total number of genes present in the genome) were differentially expressed, with
519	queens up-regulating significantly more genes than workers (675 vs. 510, $p < 0.001$, Fisher's
520	exact test). Between emerging queens and emerging workers, a total of 416 genes (3.1%) were
521	differentially expressed, with queens over-expressing more genes than workers (291 vs. 125, $p < 125$
522	0.001, Fisher's exact test) (Figures S3, S5). We compared the list of genes differentially
523	expressed between castes across old and emerging stages and found 164 genes overlapping.
524	These genes are always differentially expressed between queens and workers regardless of the
525	developmental stage (Figure S4, GeneOverlap R package, $p < 0.001$). However, many genes (48

526	genes, 29.3%) were caste-biased in opposite directions in different developmental stages,
527	meaning that caste-biased genes tend to be specific to developmental stages. A similar pattern
528	was also found in a previous study looking at caste-biased genes over several development stages
529	in the same species (Morandin et al., 2015).
530	Across stages, within the queen caste, a total of 892 genes (6.5% of all genes in the genome)
531	were found differentially expressed between emerging and old ants, with no significant
532	differences in the number of genes over-expressed (433 vs. 459, $p = 0.4$, Fisher's exact test).
533	Within the worker caste, a total of 1,568 genes (11.5%) were differentially expressed between
534	emerging and old workers, with more genes up-expressed by the emerging workers (893 vs. 675,
535	p < 0.001, Fisher's exact test, Figure S3, S5). We compared the genes differentially expressed
536	between stages across queen and worker castes, and found 292 common genes. These genes are
537	always differentially expressed between stages regardless of caste (Figure S4, GeneOverlap R
538	package, $p < 0.001$). Many genes were consistently over-expressed by the same caste (269 genes,
539	92.1%) between developmental stages. Blast annotations of the differentially expressed gene lists
540	for all comparisons can be found in Table S12.
541 542	Differential expression GO term annotation: The complete list of enriched GO terms can be

543 found in Table S13. Between old queens and old workers, GO terms enriched for oxidation-

544

reduction process and hormone transport were associated with queens, and terms such as social 545 behavior and multi-organism behavior were enriched for workers. Between emerging queens and

546 emerging workers, GO terms such as oxidation-reduction process and response to hormone were

547 associated with queens, and cellular response to stimulus for workers. Between old and emerging

548 queens, old ants up-regulated genes for telomere organization and response to stress, while

549 emerging ants up-regulated genes associated with GO terms such as oxidation-reduction process

and regulation of TOR signaling. Comparing old and emerging workers, old ants enhanced
expression of gene for DNA recombination and sensory perception of smell, while emerging ants
over-expressed genes associated with regulation of hormone levels and response to stimulus.

553 554

Overlap between differentially expressed and differentially methylated genes

555 To examine the hypothesis that expression and DNA methylation signals would show 556 correspondence when looking at caste and developmental stage differences, we investigated 557 whether list of differentially expressed and differentially methylated genes (all comparisons) 558 might overlap at three different levels: genes and GO terms, in addition to network-based 559 analyses described below. When comparing the lists of genes, very few were both differentially 560 expressed and differentially methylated. We found 19 genes differentially expressed and 561 differentially methylated between old queens and old workers, and 6 genes between emerging 562 queens and emerging workers. We also found 8 genes that are both differentially expressed and 563 differentially methylated between emerging and old queens, and 37 genes between emerging and 564 old workers (Figure 3). The lists of genes differentially expressed and differentially methylated 565 genes across the four comparisons did not overlap significantly for any comparisons 566 (GeneOverlap R package, Old queens vs. workers (1185 vs. 226, p = 0.6); Emerging queens vs. 567 workers (416 vs. 264, p = 0.82); Emerging queens vs. old queens (892 vs. 198, p = 0.95); 568 Emerging workers vs. old (1568 vs. 300, p = 0.35); Figure 3). We also examined whether the 569 direction of up/down expression and DNA methylation (e.g. whether a gene that is more 570 expressed in one caste is also more methylated in the same caste). Surprisingly the direction of 571 overexpression/DNA methylation only matched in around half of the genes (Figure S6; OQ vs. 572 OW: 9 genes out of 19; EQ vs. OQ: 4 genes out of 8; EW vs. OW: 15 out of 37), except in the

case of emerging queens *vs.* emerging workers, where all genes (8), both differentially expressed
and differentially methylated, were upregulated by the emerging queens.

575

576 Next, we investigated whether we could find a correspondence between expression and DNA 577 methylation and gene function, looking at the overlap between lists of GO terms. Surprisingly, 578 given the small number of genes, we found a significant overlap between lists of GO terms 579 associated with genes differentially expressed and differentially methylated genes across the four 580 comparisons (GeneOverlap R package, Old queens vs. workers (158 vs. 162, p < 0.001); 581 Emerging queens vs. workers (51 vs. 243, p < 0.001); Emerging queens vs. old queens (68 vs. 582 137, p < 0.001; Emerging workers vs. old (136 vs. 264, p < 0.001); Figure 4 and Table S13). 583 Despites possible limitations with GO terms analyses, which rely on orthology with distantly 584 related references, they provide insights into biological processes possibly involved, beyond 585 what can be gleaned from gene lists alone.

586

587 DNA methylation and expression modules correspond to castes and developmental 588 stages

589 We separately constructed co-expression and co-methylation networks from the expression and 590 DNA methylation datasets using the Weighted Correlation Network Analysis approach 591 (WGCNA (Langfelder & Horvath, 2008)). In the methylation dataset, 8,200 genes were retained 592 for further analyses following the cleaning step. Due to low coverage, one old queen sample and 593 three old worker samples were removed from the co-methylation network input dataset. A total 594 of 6 emerging queens, 6 emerging workers, 4 old queens and 4 old workers were used for this 595 analysis. A total of 348 genes were not co-methylated and were excluded from further analysis. 596 A total of 20 co-methylated modules (labelled M1-M20) were identified, ranging in size from 56 597 (M1) to 3,230 (M18) genes, with 393 genes per module on average. In the expression dataset, 598 10,700 genes were retained after removing genes with too many missing values, and 6,570 genes 599 were subsequently assigned to one of the co-expression modules. A total of 4130 genes were not 600 co-expressed and were consequently removed from further analysis. We identified 14 co-601 expression modules (labeled E1-E14) with sizes ranging from 46 (E11) to 2,454 (E9) genes, with 602 an average of 469 genes per module. For both datasets, we calculated the module eigengenes 603 which are defined as the first principal component of a module and are representative of gene 604 expression or gene DNA methylation profiles in a module (Langfelder & Horvath, 2008). 605 Afterward, we correlated these eigengenes with two phenotypic traits, *i.e.*, caste and stage, using 606 a glm approach. When the eigengene of a module is correlated with a trait of interest, it means 607 that most/all genes in the module exhibit a significant correlation/association with the trait, and 608 we can define which genes/modules are likely to underlie the phenotype via gene expression. For 609 the methylation dataset, one of the modules was significantly correlated with one of the caste 610 phenotypes (M2; Queen phenotype), and 11 of 20 modules were significantly correlated with 611 stage (Emerging phenotype). For the expression dataset, 7 out of 14 modules were correlated 612 with one of the two female castes (4 associated with the worker phenotype and 3 with the queen) 613 and 9 modules were correlated with stage (5 with the old phenotype and 4 with the emerging). In 614 addition, 3 methylation modules and 5 expression modules were significantly associated with the 615 interaction of caste and stage phenotypes (Figure 5, Table 1). 616 To gain insight into the biological relevance and functional significance of modules, we 617 performed GO term enrichment analysis on the genes in each module (Tables S14 and S15). 618 Here we summarize some of the main findings. In the methylation dataset, the module associated 619 with the queen phenotype (M2) was correlated with gene expression and RNA metabolic

620	processes. Modules associated with the emerging phenotype were correlated with gene
621	expression (M3), response to pheromone (M7), ATP biosynthetic process (M8), RNA metabolic
622	process (M11), mRNA transport (M12), oxidative phosphorylation (M14), nerve development
623	(M15), regulation of RNA biosynthetic process (M16), social behavior (M17), developmental
624	process (M18) and DNA repair (M19) (Table S15). In the expression dataset, queen-associated
625	modules were related to detection of chemical stimulus involved in sensory perception of smell
626	(E7), oxoacid metabolic process (E13) and oxidation-reduction process (E14). Worker-
627	associated modules were linked to social behavior (E1), TOR signaling (E2), response to stress
628	(E3) and sensory perception (E5). Modules associated with stage in the expression dataset, were
629	correlated with DNA recombination (E4), detection of chemical stimulus (E5), growth (E6),
630	muscle contraction (E7) and development of the central nervous system in old adults (E8), while
631	modules associated with the emerging phenotype were associated with biological functions such
632	as response to growth factor (E11), regulation of cell death (E12), cell cycle (E13) and response
633	to hormone (E14) (Table S15). As a precaution, it is worthy to note that GO terms associated
634	with eye pigmentation (M14) were also enriched and could potentially be a sign of
635	contamination.

Preservation of co-expression sets of genes in DNA methylation data

Next, we looked for evidence of preservation between the co-expression and co-methylation networks using the WGCNA R package. Values of "*Zsummary* below 2" indicate no evidence of preservation. Values between 2 and 5 indicate moderate evidence for preservation, while values over 5 indicate strong evidence of preservation. Although conservation of modules between coexpression and co-methylation datasets was weak, four expression modules were conserved in the co-methylation data. Based on the preservation statistic Zsummary, we found that four co-

644	expression sets of genes (E1, E6, E9, and E10) exhibited moderate preservation within the co-
645	methylation network (Figure 6). The expression module E6 is correlated with the old phenotype,
646	module E9 with the association of both phenotypes, and module E1 with the worker phenotype
647	(Table S16). An online resource has been created to simplify visualization of module
648	organization and the association between expression and DNA methylation networks (the
649	website is available at https://mikheyev.github.io/formica-brain-expression-methylation/).
650	The online tool allows users to visualize caste and stage association for each module (Figure 5).
651	Many of the modules belonging to the co-expression or co-methylation networks were correlated
652	with either caste (inner band), or stage (outer bands).
653	
654	Preservation of co-expression sets of genes with a previous study
<i>(</i> - -	
633	Similarly, we looked for preservation between our brain co-expression network and our multiple
655 656	Similarly, we looked for preservation between our brain co-expression network and our multiple species co-expression network published in an earlier study (Morandin et al., 2016). Based on
655 656 657	Similarly, we looked for preservation between our brain co-expression network and our multiple species co-expression network published in an earlier study (Morandin et al., 2016). Based on the preservation statistic Zsummary, we found that 3 out of 36 of our 2016 co-expression
655 656 657 658	Similarly, we looked for preservation between our brain co-expression network and our multiple species co-expression network published in an earlier study (Morandin et al., 2016). Based on the preservation statistic Zsummary, we found that 3 out of 36 of our 2016 co-expression modules exhibited moderate preservation with our brain co-expression network. Additionally, 9
655 656 657 658 659	Similarly, we looked for preservation between our brain co-expression network and our multiple species co-expression network published in an earlier study (Morandin et al., 2016). Based on the preservation statistic Zsummary, we found that 3 out of 36 of our 2016 co-expression modules exhibited moderate preservation with our brain co-expression network. Additionally, 9 out of 14 brain co-expression modules in return exhibited moderate to strong preservation with
655 656 657 658 659 660	Similarly, we looked for preservation between our brain co-expression network and our multiple species co-expression network published in an earlier study (Morandin et al., 2016). Based on the preservation statistic Zsummary, we found that 3 out of 36 of our 2016 co-expression modules exhibited moderate preservation with our brain co-expression network. Additionally, 9 out of 14 brain co-expression modules in return exhibited moderate to strong preservation with the 2016 co-expression network (e.g. modules E1, E2, E3, E6, E8, E9, E10, E12 and E13)
 655 657 658 659 660 661 	Similarly, we looked for preservation between our brain co-expression network and our multiple species co-expression network published in an earlier study (Morandin et al., 2016). Based on the preservation statistic Zsummary, we found that 3 out of 36 of our 2016 co-expression modules exhibited moderate preservation with our brain co-expression network. Additionally, 9 out of 14 brain co-expression modules in return exhibited moderate to strong preservation with the 2016 co-expression network (e.g. modules E1, E2, E3, E6, E8, E9, E10, E12 and E13) (Figure S7, Table S17). This module preservation analysis confirmed that our modules are found
 655 657 658 659 660 661 662 	Similarly, we looked for preservation between our brain co-expression network and our multiple species co-expression network published in an earlier study (Morandin et al., 2016). Based on the preservation statistic Zsummary, we found that 3 out of 36 of our 2016 co-expression modules exhibited moderate preservation with our brain co-expression network. Additionally, 9 out of 14 brain co-expression modules in return exhibited moderate to strong preservation with the 2016 co-expression network (e.g. modules E1, E2, E3, E6, E8, E9, E10, E12 and E13) (Figure S7, Table S17). This module preservation analysis confirmed that our modules are found in an independent data set.
 655 657 658 659 660 661 662 663 	Similarly, we looked for preservation between our brain co-expression network and our multiple species co-expression network published in an earlier study (Morandin et al., 2016). Based on the preservation statistic Zsummary, we found that 3 out of 36 of our 2016 co-expression modules exhibited moderate preservation with our brain co-expression network. Additionally, 9 out of 14 brain co-expression modules in return exhibited moderate to strong preservation with the 2016 co-expression network (e.g. modules E1, E2, E3, E6, E8, E9, E10, E12 and E13) (Figure S7, Table S17). This module preservation analysis confirmed that our modules are found in an independent data set.

The goal of this study was to examine whether socially important phenotypic traits correlate withdivergence in DNA methylation and expression. We found distinct transcriptional and

667 methylation differences between castes within a developmental stage and different 668 developmental stages within a caste. Furthermore, there was some evidence of overlap between 669 methylation and gene expression states, but only at the functional level, that of biological 670 processes gene ontology terms. More specifically, some modules in the co-expression data are 671 preserved in co-methylation modules (retain similar network structure (genes) and network 672 properties), though not vice versa. This is consistent with a role of DNA methylation as a 673 proximate mechanism regulating gene expression, which is already known to affect caste 674 specialization, as well as task specialization and ageing, in social insects (Kozeretska, Serga, 675 Koliada, & Vaiserman, 2017; Yan et al., 2015), though further investigations are necessary to 676 confirm this link.

677

678 Here we used individual brains to conduct the first reduced representation bisulfite sequencing 679 study on social insects. RRBS brings down the scale and cost of whole genome bisulfite 680 sequencing by only analyzing a representative portion of the genome. In vertebrates, this 681 approach has been shown to capture around 85% of the CpG islands and 60% of promoters while 682 requiring very little input material (Gu et al., 2011), and it permits more replicates per 683 experiment while providing an efficient way to generate overall quantification of DNA 684 methylation, and to apply powerful network-based analysis methods with individual-relevel 685 replication. Nonetheless, it is important to note the limitations of RRBS. Because restriction 686 enzymes digest DNA sequences at restriction sites randomly across the genome, many relevant 687 methylated fragments in each sample are missed. Thus, these results encompass only a fraction 688 of all methylated sites. As a result, global patterns are more easily captured compared to specific 689 mechanisms. Furthermore, the size selection step associated with RRBS results in stochastically

690 uneven coverage across samples, and large amounts of missing data. This must be carefully 691 accounted for in the analysis, and it also makes per-site comparisons, and comparisons across 692 more than two conditions problematic. In this analysis, after rigorously filtering sites based on 693 coverage and quality, we conducted analyses aggregated at the gene level. Despite these 694 limitations, RRBS produces data that are comparable across different experimental conditions, 695 and can provide biological insights, given the right statistical approach. In particular, co-696 methylation analysis overcomes some of the limitations introduced by data sparseness, as DNA 697 methylation levels at nearby CpG-sites tend to be highly correlated. For instance, variation in 698 DNA methylation across treatments has been showed to occur more frequently in aggregated 699 CpGs (Jaffe, Feinberg, Irizarry, & Leek, 2012). Because co-methylation analysis leverages 700 information from the entire data set to construct the network, sporadic missing sites do not 701 significantly alter the overall data structure. Thus, this type of analysis is more powerful than 702 those focusing on detecting single-site differences, and allows more sophisticated types of 703 analyses, such as full-factorial designs with main effects and interaction terms (Table 1). 704 705 Previous work proposed that social insect castes differ in DNA methylation states, which are 706 established during caste differentiation that occurs during larval development (Bonasio et al.,

707 2012; Elango et al., 2009; Foret et al., 2012; Glastad et al., 2016; Kucharski et al., 2008; Lyko et

al., 2010), though that view has been challenged (Herb et al., 2012; Libbrecht et al., 2016;

709 Patalano et al., 2015). We tested this hypothesis, also expanding it to include changes in DNA

710 methylation states that take place in the course of adult development and ageing. To do this we

sampled queens and workers at different ages, and made comparisons both within castes across

712 developmental categories, as well as between castes within the same age category, without

713 maintaining that "old" and "emerging" are necessarily equivalent states for the two castes. 714 Indeed, emerging and old ants were sampled a few weeks apart, and emerging queens are also 715 unmated compared to old queens. Keeping in mind that age categories are difficult to standardize 716 between castes, we found significant changes in DNA methylation and gene expression between 717 these phenotypic endpoints, showing that DNA methylation differs between ant castes, as well as 718 across the lifetime of the adult. The latter finding is particularly interesting since it suggests that 719 caste differences due to DNA methylation may be dynamic, and not necessarily fully fixed 720 during the developmental program when the castes differentiate. The same pattern holds true 721 when comparing DNA methylation between castes over the two developmental stages, 722 suggesting that age-related changes in DNA methylation differ between queens and workers at 723 least at the adult stage. Developmental specificity of DNA methylation bias in general is 724 certainly a promising direction for future research. Furthermore, we found some significant 725 interaction terms in co-expression and co-methylation analysis, suggesting that gene expression 726 and DNA methylation levels do not necessarily change in the same direction as a function of 727 caste and adult developmental stage (Table 1). This suggests that ants have a dynamic DNA 728 methylation system that is active throughout their lives. Indeed, the overall positive relationship 729 between gene body DNA methylation and expression (Figure 2), which is a hallmark of other 730 insects, suggests that DNA methylation in ants largely functions in the same way as in other 731 species. From this perspective, finding differences between castes and developmental stages, as 732 we did in this study, is not surprising given the many ancient developmental and regulatory 733 mechanisms coopted into caste differentiation (Robinson, Grozinger, & Whitfield, 2005).

734

735 Patterns of DNA methylation. We found more overall DNA methylation in queens compared to 736 workers, at both developmental stages, in contrast to previous findings that found a lower level 737 of DNA methylation in queens during the larval stage (Shi et al., 2013), or even no differences in 738 the adult stage (Lyko et al., 2010) in the honey bee. However, similar results were observed for 739 the ant, *Pogonomyrmex barbatus*, in which virgin queens exhibited higher levels of DNA 740 methylation compared to workers (Smith et al., 2012). Unfortunately, studies are still too few to 741 draw any general patterns. Also, considering that *Formica exsecta* queens live ~20 years and the 742 workers just over a year (Pamilo, 1991), if DNA methylation accumulated over time/ageing, we 743 would expect to find large differences in overall DNA methylation between old queens and 744 emerging queens, and more subtle differences between emerging and old workers. However, 745 contrary to this hypothesis, we found the opposite pattern, with emerging ants showing more 746 DNA methylation overall than old ants. The important role of DNA demethylation in diverse 747 biological processes by regulating gene expression has been well documented in mammals 748 (Richardson, 2003), but its exact role with gene body DNA methylation remains unclear. Further 749 experimental studies of DNA demethylation in social insects (especially considering caste 750 longevity and behavior differences) are needed to further understand how DNA demethylation is 751 transduced into physiological changes over time in the two castes.

752

Previous work has demonstrated the usefulness of network-based approaches for detecting links between expression and DNA methylation that could be missed by more commonly used approaches focused on comparing lists of differentially expressed and differentially methylated genes (Davies et al., 2012; Eijk et al., 2012; Horvath et al., 2012; J. Zhang & Huang, 2017). Our results reveal that both the brain transcriptome and methylome can be organized into modules. All co-methylation and co-expression modules are significantly enriched with gene ontology

categories (Table S14), thus providing additional evidence that these modules are biologically meaningful. For instance, co-expressed modules associated with castes were enriched for gene functions such as social behavior, TOR pathways and pheromone synthesis, while comethylation modules were enriched for core biological functions such as DNA repair. Interestingly, co-expression modules identified in this study in brain tissue were also conserved in whole-body transcriptional data from an earlier study (Figure S7), suggesting that similar gene regulatory processes act at both tissue-specific and whole-body levels.

766

767 **Conclusion.** We propose that in addition to action on single genes or their isoforms, gene DNA 768 methylation may be thought of in a network context, with co-methylation modules associated 769 with specific phenotypes, e.g., caste and stage (Table 1). We hope that future work will focus on 770 reconstructing ever more accurate co-methylation networks, which will require large numbers of 771 replicates across different phenotypic states to fully understand the role of DNA methylation and 772 how it interacts with gene co-expression to generate phenotypic novelty, as it has been done 773 recently for gene co-expression networks (Morandin et al., 2016). Investigations of diverse taxa 774 using similar methodologies would be particularly useful for identifying the extent to which 775 DNA methylation is associated with caste or other phenotypic traits among social insects, and 776 how it evolves.

777

778 Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Otto A. Malmin lahjoitusrahasto grant (to CM), by the Academy of Finland (grant numbers 140990,135970, and 273029 to HH, and 252411, 284666 to the Centre of Excellence in Biological Interactions), by the University of Helsinki, by the Kone Foundation

- 782 (to HH), by the Okinawa Institute of Science and Technology Graduate University, and JSPS
- 783 KAKENHI nos. 24770034 and 25221206 (to ASM) as well as ARC grants DP170100772 and
- 784 FT160100178 (to ASM). We are grateful to Steve Aird for proofreading the manuscript.
- 785

786 References

- 787 Aird, S. D., Watanabe, Y., Villar-briones, A., Roy, M. C., Terada, K., & Mikheyev, A. S. (2013). 788 Quantitative high-throughput profiling of snake venom gland transcriptomes and proteomes
- 789 (Ovophis okinavensis and Protobothrops flavoviridis). BMC Genomics, 14, 790.
- 790 Akalin, A., Kormaksson, M., Li, S., Garrett-Bakelman, F. E., Figueroa, M. E., Melnick, A., &
- 791 Mason, C. E. (2012). MethylKit: a comprehensive R package for the analysis of genome-
- 792 wide DNA methylation profiles. Genome Biology, 13(10). doi:10.1186/gb-2012-13-10-R87
- 793 Altschul, S. F., Gish, W., Miller, W., Myers, E. W., & Lipman, D. J. (1990). Basic local 794 alignment search tool. Journal of Molecular Biology, 215(3), 403-410.
- 795 Amarasinghe, H. E., Clayton, C. I., & Mallon, E. B. (2014). Methylation and worker 796 reproduction in the bumble-bee (Bombus terrestris). Proceedings of the Royal Society B, 797 281(1780), 3–8.
- 798 Arsenault, S. V., Hunt, B. G., & Rehan, S. M. (2018). The effect of maternal care on gene 799 expression and DNA methylation in a subsocial bee. *Nature Communications*, 9(1), 3468.
- 800 doi:10.1038/s41467-018-05903-0
- 801 Beeler, S. M., Wong, G. T., Zheng, J. M., Bush, E. C., Remnant, E. J., Oldroyd, B. P., &
- 802 Drewell, R. A. (2014). Whole-genome DNA methylation profile of the jewel wasp (Nasonia 803
- vitripennis). Genes Genome Genetics, 4(March), 383-388. doi:10.1534/g3.113.008953
- 804 Beissbarth, T., & Speed, T. P. (2004). GOstat: find statistically overrepresented Gene Ontologies 805 within a group of genes. *Bioinformatics*, 20(9), 1464–1465.
- 806 doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bth088
- 807 Bewick, A. J., Ji, L., Niederhuth, C. E., Willing, E., Hofmeister, B. T., & Shi, X. (2016). On the
- 808 origin and evolutionary consequences of gene body DNA methylation. PNAS, 113(32),
- 809 9111-9116. doi:10.1073/pnas.1604666113
- 810 Bewick, A. J., Vogel, K. J., Moore, A. J., & Schmitz, R. J. (2017). Evolution of DNA
- 811 methylation across insects. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 34(3), 654–665.

- 812 doi:10.1093/molbev/msw264
- Biergans, S. D., Jones, J. C., Treiber, N., Galizia, C. G., & Szyszka, P. (2012). DNA methylation
 mediates the discriminatory power of associative long-term memory in honeybees. *PloS One*, 7(6), 1–7. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0039349
- Bird, A. P. (1986). CpG-rich islands and the function of DNA methylation. *Nature*, *321*, 209–
 213.
- Bird, A. P., & Wolffe, A. P. (1999). Methylation-Induced repression Belts, braces, and
 chromatin. *Cell*, *99*, 451–454.
- Bolger, A. M., Lohse, M., & Usadel, B. (2014). Genome analysis Trimmomatic : a flexible
 trimmer for Illumina sequence data. *Bioinformatics*, *30*(15), 2114–2120.
- doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btu170
- Bonasio, R., Li, Q., Lian, J., Mutti, N. S., Jin, L., Zhao, H., ... Reinberg, D. (2012). Genomewide and caste-specific DNA methylomes of the ants Camponotus floridanus and
- 825 Harpegnathos saltator. *Current Biology*, 22(19), 1755–64. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2012.07.042
- Busch, R., Qiu, W., Lasky-Su, J., Morrow, J., Criner, G., & DeMeo, D. (2016). Differential
 DNA methylation marks and gene comethylation of COPD in African-Americans with
- 828 COPD exacerbations. *Respiratory Research*, *17*(1), 1–15. doi:10.1186/s12931-016-0459-8
- Cheng, X., & Blumenthal, R. M. (2008). Mammalian DNA methyltransferases: a structural
 perspective. *Structure*, *16*(3), 341–350.
- 831 Colot, V., & Rossignol, J. (1999). Eukaryotic DNA methylation as an evolutionary device.
 832 *Bioessays*, *21*, 402–411.
- Conesa, A., Götz, S., García-Gómez, J. M., Terol, J., Talón, M., & Robles, M. (2005). Blast2GO:
 a universal tool for annotation, visualization and analysis in functional genomics research. *Bioinformatics*, 21(18), 3674–3676.
- B36 Davies, M. N., Volta, M., Pidsley, R., Lunnon, K., Dixit, A., Lovestone, S., ... Mill, J. (2012).
- Functional annotation of the human brain methylome identifies tissue-specific epigenetic
 variation across brain and blood. *Genome Biology*, *13*(6), R43. doi:10.1186/gb-2012-13-6r43
- 840 Dhaygude, K., Nair, A., Johansson, H., Wurm, Y., & Sundström, L. (2018). The first draft
- genomes of the ant Formica exsecta, and its Wolbachia endosymbiont reveal extensive gene
- transfer from endosymbiont to host. *BioRxiv*. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/B978-

- 843 012168110-4/50000-X
- Ecker, J. R., & Davis, R. W. (1986). Inhibition of gene expression in plant cells by expression of
 antisense RNA. *PNAS*, *83*(August), 5372–5376.
- Eden, S., & Cedar, H. (1994). Role of DNA methylation in the regulation of transcription. *Current Opinion in Genetics and Development*, *4*, 255–259.
- 848 Eijk, K. R. Van, Jong, S. De, Boks, M. P. M., Langeveld, T., Colas, F., Veldink, J. H., ...
- 849 Ophoff, R. A. (2012). Genetic analysis of DNA methylation and gene expression levels in
 850 whole blood of healthy human subjects. *BMC Genomics*, 13:636.
- 851 Elango, N., Hunt, B. G., Goodisman, M. a D., & Yi, S. V. (2009). DNA methylation is
- 852 widespread and associated with differential gene expression in castes of the honeybee, Apis
- 853 mellifera. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of
- *America*, *106*(27), 11206–11. doi:10.1073/pnas.0900301106
- 855 Falckenhayn, C., Carneiro, V. C., Amarante, A. D. M., Schmid, K., Hanna, K., Kang, S., ...
- Lyko, F. (2016). Comprehensive DNA methylation analysis of the Aedes aegypti genome. *Scientific Reports*, *6*, 36444. doi:10.1038/srep36444
- Feng, S., Cokus, S. J., Zhang, X., Chen, P.-Y., Bostick, M., Goll, M. G., ... Jacobsen, S. E.
- 859 (2010). Conservation and divergence of methylation patterning in plants and animals.
- 860 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 107(19),
- 861 8689–8694. doi:10.1073/pnas.1002720107
- 862 Flores, K., Wolschin, F., Corneveaux, J., Allen, A., Huentelman, M., & Amdam, G. (2012).
- Genome-wide association between DNA methylation and alternative splicing in an
 invertebrate. *BMC Genomics*, *13*(1), 480. doi:10.1186/1471-2164-13-480
- 865 Foret, S., Kucharski, R., Pellegrini, M., Feng, S., Jacobsen, S. E., Robinson, G. E., & Maleszka,
- 866 R. (2012). DNA methylation dynamics, metabolic fluxes, gene splicing, and alternative
- phenotypes in honey bees. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 109(13), 4968–4973. doi:10.1073/pnas.1202392109
- 869 Glastad, K. M., Gokhale, K., Liebig, J., & Goodisman, M. A. D. (2016). The caste- and sex-
- 870 specific DNA methylome of the termite Zootermopsis nevadensis. *Scientific Reports*,
- 871 6(August), 1–14. doi:10.1038/srep37110
- 872 Glastad, K. M., Hunt, B. G., & Goodisman, M. A. D. (2012). Evidence of a conserved functional
- role for DNA methylation in termites. *Insect Molecular Biology*, 22(2), 143–154.

- 874 doi:10.1111/imb.12010
- Goll, M. G., & Bestor, T. H. (2005). Eukaryotic cytosine methyltransferases. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.*, 74, 481–514.
- Gu, H., Smith, Z. D., Bock, C., Boyle, P., Gnirke, A., & Meissner, A. (2011). Preparation of
- 878 reduced representation bisulfite sequencing libraries for genome-scale DNA methylation
 879 profiling. *Nature Protocols*, 6(4), 468.
- 880 Hata, K., Okano, M., Lei, H., & Li, E. (2002). Dnmt3L cooperates with the Dnmt3 family of de
- 881 novo DNA methyltransferases to establish maternal imprints in mice. *Development*
- 882 (Cambridge, England), 129(8), 1983–93. Retrieved from
- 883 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11934864
- He, X., & Zhang, J. (2006). Why do hubs tend to be essential in protein networks? *PLoS Genetics*, 2(6), 0826–0834. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.0020088
- Herb, B. R., Shook, M. S., Fields, C. J., & Robinson, G. E. (2018). Defense against territorial
- intrusion is associated with DNA methylation changes in the honey bee brain. *BMC Genomics*, 19(1), 1–11. doi:10.1186/s12864-018-4594-0
- 889 Herb, B. R., Wolschin, F., Hansen, K. D., Aryee, M. J., Langmead, B., Irizarry, R., ... Feinberg,
- A. P. (2012). Reversible switching between epigenetic states in honeybee behavioral
 subcastes. *Nature Neuroscience*, *15*(10), 1371–1373.
- Horvath, S., Langfelder, P., Kwak, S., Aaronson, J., Rosinski, J., Vogt, T. F., ... Yang, X. W.
- (2016). Huntington's disease accelerates epigenetic aging of human brain and disrupts DNA
 methylation levels. *Aging*, 8(7), 1485–1512. doi:10.18632/aging.101005
- Horvath, S., Zhang, Y., Langfelder, P., Kahn, R. S., Boks, M. P. M., Eijk, K. Van, ... Ophoff, R.
- A. (2012). Aging effects on DNA methylation modules in human brain and blood tissue. *Genome Biology*, 13:R97.
- Hunt, B. G., Glastad, K. M., Yi, S. V., & Goodisman, M. A. D. (2013a). Patterning and
- regulatory associations of DNA methylation are mirrored by histone modifications in
 insects. *Genome Biology and Evolution*, 5(3), 591–598. doi:10.1093/gbe/evt030
- 901 Hunt, B. G., Glastad, K. M., Yi, S. V, & Goodisman, M. A. D. (2013b). The function of
- 902 intragenic DNA methylation: insights from insect epigenomes. *Integrative and Comparative*903 *Biology*, 53(2), 319–328.
- Jaffe, A. E., Feinberg, A. P., Irizarry, R. A., & Leek, J. T. (2012). Significance analysis and

- 905 statistical dissection of variably methylated regions. *Biostatistics*, *13*(1), 166–178.
- 906 doi:10.1093/biostatistics/kxr013
- Jirtle, R. L., & Skinner, M. K. (2007). Environmental epigenomics and disease susceptibility.
 Nature Reviews Genetics, 8(April), 253–262. doi:10.1038/nrg2045
- 909 Kato, Y., Kaneda, M., Hata, K., Kumaki, K., Hisano, M., Kohara, Y., ... Sasaki, H. (2007). Role
- 910 of the Dnmt3 family in de novo methylation of imprinted and repetitive sequences during
- 911 male germ cell development in the mouse. *Human Molecular Genetics*, *16*(19), 2272–80.
- 912 doi:10.1093/hmg/ddm179
- 913 Kay, S., Skowronski, D., & Hunt, B. G. (2017). Developmental DNA methyltransferase
- expression in the fire ant Solenopsis invicta. *Insect Science*, 00, 1–9. doi:10.1111/17447917.12413
- Keshet, I., Yisraeli, J., & Cedar, H. (1985). Effect of regional DNA methylation on gene
 expression. *PNAS*, 82(May), 2560–2564.
- Kim, D., Pertea, G., Trapnell, C., Pimentel, H., Kelley, R., & Salzberg, S. L. (2013). TopHat2 :
 accurate alignment of transcriptomes in the presence of insertions, deletions and gene
 fusions. *Genome Biology*, *14*, R36.
- Kim, J., Samaranayake, M., & Pradhan, S. (2009). Epigenetic mechanisms in mammals. *Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences*, 66(4), 596.
- 923 Kozeretska, I. A., Serga, S. V, Koliada, A. K., & Vaiserman, A. M. (2017). Epigenetic
- *Regulation of Longevity in Insects. Insect Epigenetics* (1st ed., Vol. 53). Elsevier Ltd.
 doi:10.1016/bs.aiip.2017.03.001
- Krueger, F., & Andrews, S. R. (2011). Bismark: a flexible aligner and methylation caller for
 Bisulfite-Seq applications. *Bioinformatics*, 27(11), 1571–1572.
- 928 doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btr167
- Kucharski, R., Maleszka, J., Foret, S., & Maleszka, R. (2008). Nutritional control of reproductive
 status in honeybees via DNA methylation. *Science*, *319*(5871), 1827–1830.
- Langfelder, P., & Horvath, S. (2007). Eigengene networks for studying the relationships between
 co-expression modules. *BMC Systems Biology*, *1*(1), 54.
- Langfelder, P., & Horvath, S. (2008). WGCNA: an R package for weighted correlation network
 analysis. *BMC Bioinformatics*, 9, 559. doi:10.1186/1471-2105-9-559
- 235 Langfelder, P., Luo, R., Oldham, M. C., & Horvath, S. (2011). Is my network module preserved

- and reproducible? *PLoS Computational Biology*, 7, e1001057.
- 937 doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1001057
- Langfelder, P., Mischel, P. S., & Horvath, S. (2013). When Is Hub Gene Selection Better than
 Standard Meta-Analysis? *PLoS ONE*, 8(4). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0061505
- 940 Li-Byarlay, H., Li, Y., Stroud, H., Feng, S., Newman, T. C., Kaneda, M., ... Robinson, G. E.
- 941 (2013). RNA interference knockdown of DNA methyl- transferase 3 affects gene alternative
- 942 splicing in the honey bee. *PNAS*, *110*(31), 12750–12755. doi:10.1073/pnas.1310735110/-
- 943 /DCSupplemental.www.pnas.org/cgi/doi/10.1073/pnas.1310735110
- Li, B., & Dewey, C. N. (2011). RSEM: accurate transcript quantification from RNA-Seq data
- with or without a reference genome. *BMC Bioinformatics*, *12*, 323. doi:10.1186/1471-210512-323
- Li, E., Beard, C., & Jaenisch, R. (1993). Role for DNA methylation in genomic imprinting. *Nature*, *366*, 362.
- Libbrecht, R., Oxley, P. R., Keller, L., Jan, D., & Kronauer, C. (2016). Robust DNA Methylation
 in the Clonal Raider Ant. *Current Biology*, 26(3), 391–395. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2015.12.040
- 951 Lister, R., Pelizzola, M., Dowen, R. H., Hawkins, R. D., Hon, G., Nery, J. R., ... Ecker, J. R.
- 952 (2009). Human DNA methylomes at base resolution show widespread epigenomic
 953 differences. *Nature*, 462(7271), 315–322. doi:10.1038/nature08514.Human
- Liu, L., Li, Y., & Tollefsbol, T. O. (2008). Gene-environment interactions and epigenetic basis
 of human diseases. *Current Issues in Molecular Biology*, 10(1–2), 25.
- Lockett, G. A., Helliwell, P., & Maleszka, R. (2010). Involvement of DNA methylation in
 memory processing in the honey bee. *Neuroreport*, *21*(12), 812–816.
- Lyko, F., Foret, S., Kucharski, R., Wolf, S., Falckenhayn, C., & Maleszka, R. (2010). The honey
 bee epigenomes: differential methylation of brain DNA in queens and workers. *PLoS*
- 960 *Biology*, 8(11), e1000506. doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1000506
- Lyko, F., & Maleszka, R. (2011). Insects as innovative models for functional studies of DNA
 methylation. *Trends in Genetics*, 27(4), 127–131.
- Martin, M. (2011). Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads.
 EMBnet J, 17(17), 10–12.
- 965 Maunakea, A. K., Nagarajan, R. P., Bilenky, M., Ballinger, T. J., Souza, D., Fouse, S. D., ...
- 966 Costello, J. F. (2010). Conserved role of intragenic DNA methylation in regulating

- 967 alternative promoters. *Nature*, *466*(7303), 253–257. doi:10.1038/nature09165.Conserved
- Morandin, C., Dhaygude, K., Paviala, J., Trontti, K., Wheat, C., & Helanterä, H. (2015). Castebiases in gene expression are specific to developmental stage in the ant Formica exsecta. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, 28(9), 1705–1718. doi:10.1111/jeb.12691
- 971 Morandin, C., Tin, M. M. Y., Abril, S., Gómez, C., Pontieri, L., Schiøtt, M., ... Mikheyev, A. S.
- 972 (2016). Comparative transcriptomics reveals the conserved building blocks involved in
- parallel evolution of diverse phenotypic traits in ants. *Genome Biology*, 1–19.
- 974 doi:10.1186/s13059-016-0902-7
- Okano, M., Bell, D. W., Haber, D. A., & Li, E. (1999). DNA methyltransferases Dnmt3a and
 Dnmt3b are essential for de novo methylation and mammalian development. *Cell*, 99(3),
 247–257.
- 978 Okano, M., Xie, S., & Li, E. (1998). Cloning and characterization of a family of novel
- mammalian DNA (cytosine-5) methyltransferases. *Nature Genetics*, *19*(3), 219.
- Page, R. E., & Peng, C. Y. (2001). Aging and development in social insects with emphasis on the
 honey bee, Apis mellifera L. *Experimental Gerontology*, *36*(4–6), 695–711. Retrieved from
 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11295509
- Pamilo, P. (1991). Life span of queens in the ant *Formica exsecta*. *Insectes Sociaux*, 38(2), 111–
 119.
- Patalano, S., Vlasova, A., Wyatt, C., Ewels, P., Camara, F., & Ferreira, P. G. (2015). Molecular
 signatures of plastic phenotypes in two eusocial insect species with simple societies. *PNAS*, *112*(45), 13970–13975. doi:10.1073/pnas.1515937112
- Razin, a, & Cedar, H. (1991). DNA methylation and gene expression. *Microbiological Reviews*,
 55(3), 451–8. Retrieved from http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15881894
- 990 Richardson, B. (2003). Impact of aging on DNA methylation. Ageing Research Reviews, 2(3),
- 991 245–261. doi:10.1016/S1568-1637(03)00010-2
- Rickabaugh, T. M., Baxter, R. M., Sehl, M., Sinsheimer, J. S., Hultin, P. M., Hultin, L. E., ...
 Jamieson, B. D. (2015). Acceleration of age-associated methylation patterns in HIV-1-
- 994 infected adults. *PLoS ONE*, *10*(3), 1–18. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0119201
- 995 Robinson, G. E., Grozinger, C. M., & Whitfield, C. W. (2005). Sociogenomics: social life in
- molecular terms. *Nature Reviews. Genetics*, 6(4), 257–70. doi:10.1038/nrg1575
- 997 Robinson, M. D., McCarthy, D. J., & Smyth, G. K. (2010). edgeR: a Bioconductor package for

- 998 differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. *Bioinformatics (Oxford,*
- 999 *England*), 26(1), 139–40. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btp616
- Schübeler, D. (2015). Function and information content of DNA methylation. *Nature*, 517, 321–
 326. doi:10.1038/nature14192
- Shen, L., & Sinai, M. (2016). GeneOverlap: test and visualize gene overlaps. R package version
 1003 1.2. 0. 2013.
- Shi, Y. Y., Yan, W. Y., & Huang, Z. Y. (2013). Genomewide analysis indicates that queen larvae
 have lower methylation levels in the honey bee (Apis mellifera). *Naturwissenschaften*,
 1006 100, 193–197. doi:10.1007/s00114-012-1004-3
- 1007 Shukla, S., Kavak, E., Gregory, M., Imashimizu, M., Shutinoski, B., & Kashlev, M. (2011).
- 1008 CTCF-promoted RNA polymerase II pausing linksDNAmethylation to splicing. *Nature*,
 1009 479(7371), 74–79. doi:10.1038/nature10442
- 1010 Smith, C. R., Mutti, N. S., Jasper, W. C., Naidu, A., Smith, C. D., & Gadau, J. (2012). Patterns
- 1011of DNA methylation in development, division of labor and hybridization in an ant with1012genetic caste determination. *PloS One*, 7(8), e42433. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0042433
- 1013 Standage, D. S., Berens, A. L. I. J., Glastad, K. M., & Severin, A. J. (2016). Genome,
- 1014 transcriptome and methylome sequencing of a primitively eusocial wasp reveal a greatly
- 1015 reduced DNA methylation system in a social insect. *Molecular Ecology*, 25, 1769–1784.
- 1016 doi:10.1111/mec.13578
- Suzuki, M. M., & Bird, A. (2008). DNA methylation landscapes: provocative insights from
 epigenomics. *Nature Reviews Genetics*, 9(June), 465–476. doi:10.1038/nrg2341
- Tan, J. A., & Mikheyev, A. S. (2016). A scaled-down workflow for Illumina shotgun sequencing
 library preparation : lower input and improved performance at small fraction of the cost. *PeerJ Preprints*.
- Tate, P. H., & Bird, P. (1993). Effects of DNA methylation on proteins and gene expression.
 Current Opinion in Genetics and Development, *3*, 226–231.
- 1024 Trapnell, C., Roberts, A., Goff, L., Pertea, G., Kim, D., Kelley, D. R., ... Pachter, L. (2012).
- 1025 Differential gene and transcript expression analysis of RNA-seq experiments with TopHat 1026 and Cufflinks. *Nature Protocols*, 7(3), 562–578. doi:10.1038/nprot.2012.016
- 1027 Vilcinskas, A. (2016). The role of epigenetics in host parasite coevolution : lessons from the
- 1028 model host insects Galleria mellonella and Tribolium castaneum

 . Zoology, 119(4), 273–

- 1029 280. doi:10.1016/j.zool.2016.05.004
- Wang, Y., Jorda, M., Jones, P. L., Maleszka, R., Ling, X., Robertson, H. M., ... Robinson, G. E.
 (2007). Functional CpG Methylation System in a Social Insect. *Science*, *314*, 645–647.
 doi:10.1126/science.1229223
- 1033 Waterland, R. A., & Jirtle, R. L. (2003). Transposable Elements : Targets for Early Nutritional
- Effects on Epigenetic Gene Regulation. *Molecular and Cellular Biology*, 23(15), 5293–
 5300. doi:10.1128/MCB.23.15.5293
- 1036 Weber, M., Hellmann, I., Stadler, M. B., Ramos, L., Pääbo, S., Rebhan, M., & Schübeler, D.
 1037 (2007). Distribution, silencing potential and evolutionary impact of promoter DNA
- 1038 methylation in the human genome. *Nature Genetics*, *39*(4), 457–466. doi:10.1038/ng1990
- 1039 Wedd, L., Kucharski, R., & Maleszka, R. (2016). Differentially methylated obligatory epialleles
- 1040 modulate context-dependent LAM gene expression in the honeybee Apis mellifera.
- 1041 *Epigenetics*, 11(1), 1–10. doi:10.1080/15592294.2015.1107695
- 1042 Wolffe, A. P., & Matzke, M. A. (1999). Epigenetics : Regulation Through Repression. *Science*,
 1043 286(481), 481–486. doi:10.1126/science.286.5439.481
- Xiang, H., Zhu, J., Chen, Q., Dai, F., Li, X., Li, M., ... Wang, J. (2010). Single base-resolution
 methylome of the silkworm reveals a sparse epigenomic map. *Nature Biotechnology*, 28(5),
 516–520. doi:10.1038/nbt.1626
- 1047 Yan, H., Bonasio, R., Simola, D. F., & Berger, S. L. (2015). DNA methylation in social insects :
- how epigenetics can control behavior and longevity. *Annual Review of Entomology*, 60(60),
 435–452. doi:10.1146/annurev-ento-010814-020803
- 1050 Yang, S., Guo, C., Zhao, X., Sun, J., & Hong, X. (2017). Divergent methylation pattern in adult
- stage between two forms of Tetranychus urticae (Acari : Tetranychidae). *Insect Science*,
 00, 1–12. doi:10.1111/1744-7917.12444
- Zemach, A., Mcdaniel, I. E., Silva, P., & Zilberman, D. (2010). Genome-wide evolutionary
 analysis of eukaryotic DNA methylation. *Science*, *328*(April), 916–919.
- Zhang, B., & Horvath, S. (2005). A general framework for weighted gene co-expression network
 analysis. *Statistical Applications in Genetics and Molecular Biology*, 4(1), 17.
- 1057 Zhang, J., & Huang, K. (2017). Pan-cancer analysis of frequent DNA co- methylation patterns
- 1058 reveals consistent epigenetic landscape changes in multiple cancers. *BMC Genomics*,
- 1059 *18*(Suppl 1), 1–14. doi:10.1186/s12864-016-3259-0

1060	Zilberman, D., Coleman-Derr, D., Ballinger, T., & Henikoff, S. (2008). Histone H2A.Z and
1061	DNA methylation are mutually antagonistic chromatin marks. <i>Nature</i> , 456(7218), 125–129.
1062	
1063	
1064	Data Accessibility Statement
1065	The raw reads are publicly available in the DNA Data Bank of Japan under bioproject ID
1066	PRJDB6378. The raw reads of the transcriptome are publicly available under sample accession
1067	numbers ID SAMD00094824 - SAMD00094838. The raw reads of the methylome are publicly
1068	available under sample accession numbers ID SAMD00094839 - SAMD00094858.
1069	
1070	Authors contribution
1071	Conceptualization, CM; Methodology, CM and ASM; Fieldwork: HH and LS; Investigation,
1072	CM, ASM and VPB; Writing, CM and ASM; Review & Editing, All authors; Funding
1073	Acquisition, CM, HH, LS, ASM. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.
1074	
1075	Competing interests
1076	The authors declare that they have no competing interests.
1077	
1078	Figure legends
1079	Figure 1
1080	Mapping of methylation sites on the genome annotation. Output was generated by
1081	BWASPR::map_methylome() and accounts for where CpGhsm and CpGscd (control) sites reside
1082	relative to the genome annotation, in every sample. a) All regions b) Exon regions. Cytosine
1083	DNA methylation was found almost exclusively in a CpG context. Highly supported DNA

1084	methylated CpGs sites are observed at ~2.5-fold higher numbers in coding regions than expected
1085	by random distribution over every scd site.

1088 Scatter plot showing the correlation between expression level (FPKM) and average

1089 **percentage of DNA methylation per site.** A positive correlation between expression and DNA

1090 methylation was found, implying that highly expressed genes are more likely to be highly

1091 methylated (cor = 0.28, p < 0.001; Spearman's product-moment correlation). This is the typical

1092 pattern found in insect genomes that rely on DNA methylation (Hunt, Glastad, Yi, &

1093 Goodisman, 2013b; Xiang et al., 2010), providing a level of validation for these findings.

1094

1095 **Figure 3**

1096 Venn diagram summarizing overlap between differentially expressed and differentially

methylated genes between all comparisons at the gene level. No significant overlap was found
at the gene level for any of the four comparisons. Statistical analysis of the significance of the
overlap between the two gene sets was calculated using the GeneOverlap BioConductor package.

1101 **Figure 4**

1102 Venn diagram summarizing overlap between GO terms of differentially expressed and

1103 **differentially methylated genes between comparisons.** Significant overlap was found at the

1104 GO term level for all comparisons. Statistical analysis of the significance of the overlap between

1105 the two gene sets was calculated using the GeneOverlap BioConductor package.

1107 Figure 5)
----------------------	---

1108	Visual representation of the link between co-expression and co-methylation modules.
1109	Many modules belonging to the co-expression and co-methylation networks were correlated with
1110	either caste (inner band), or stage (outer bands). Significant correlations with the queen caste
1111	and developmental maturity are highlighted in red, while worker and newly eclosed
1112	developmental stage correlations are highlighted in blue. Significant caste by
1113	developmental stage interactions are highlighted in purple. Although conservation of
1114	modules between co-expression and co-methylation datasets was generally weak, four
1115	expression modules (E1, E6, E9 and E10) were conserved in the co-methylation data. Their
1116	connections are highlighted in orange.
1117	
1118	Figure 6
1119	Preservation and association of co-expression and co-methylation modules using the
1120	Module preservation statistic, Zsummary, of WGCNA. a) preservation of expression modules
1121	in the methylation dataset b) preservation of methylation modules in the expression dataset.
1122	Values of Zsummary below 2 indicate no evidence of preservation; values between 2 and 5
1123	indicate moderate evidence for preservation; values above 5 strong evidence for preservation.
1124	Four co-expression modules (E1, E6, E9 and E10) were conserved in the co-methylation data.
1125	
1126	Table legends
1127	Table 1
1128	Correlation between module eigengenes and biological traits (caste and stage, and
1129	caste/stage interactions). Expression and DNA methylation patterns of most modules are

1130	strongly associated with developmental stage phenotype. In addition, expression of several of
1131	these modules was also associated for both phenotypes and phenotype interactions. This shows
1132	that modules likely play multiple roles, and that their constituent genes have many functions.
1133	Caste/black: module associated with queen phenotype. Caste/grey: module associated with
1134	worker phenotype. Stage/black: module associated with old phenotype. Stage/grey: module
1135	associated with emerging phenotype. Caste x Stage/grey/black: module associated with both
1136	caste and stage.
1137	
1138	Supplementary figures
1139	Figure S1
1140	Histogram of CpG read coverage per cytosine for all aggregate samples of emerging queen,
1141	old queen, emerging worker, old worker. The range of coverage was restricted to the range
1142	[10-1000] for easy comparison. Plots were generated with methylKit:getCoverageStats() as
1143	implemented in the BWASPR workflow.
1144	
1145	Figure 82
1146	Histogram of % DNA methylation per CpG site for all aggregate samples of emerging
1147	queens, old queens, emerging workers, old workers. The range of coverage was restricted to
1148	the range [10-1000] for easy comparison. Plots were generated with
1149	methylKit:getMethylationStats() as implemented in the BWASPR workflow.
1150	
1151	Figure S3
1152	Barplot showing the number of genes differentially expressed and differentially methylated
1153	across castes (Old queens (OQ) vs. old Workers (OW); Emerging queens (EQ) vs. Emerging

1154	Workers ((EW)	and across	stages (Emerging	Oueens	(EO) vs	s. Old (Dueens (OC)): `	Emerg	ing
1101	WOINCID ((und ucross	blugeb (Linersing	Queens	$(\mathbf{L}\mathbf{V})$			\sim \sim	<i>.</i> //		

- 1155 Workers (EW) vs. Old Workers (OW)). A larger number of genes were found differentially
- 1156 expressed than differentially methylated. *p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001
- 1157

1158 Figure S4

- 1159 Venn diagram summarizing numbers of genes that are found always differentially
- 1160 expressed/methylated between castes regardless of the developmental stage (OQW vs.
- 1161 EQW) and genes that are always differentially expressed/methylated between
- 1162 developmental stages regardless of the caste (Queen vs. Worker). Three of the four
- 1163 comparisons presented a significant overlap, implying that a larger number of genes is
- 1164 consistently differentially expressed by caste or developmental stage than by chance. For
- 1165 methylation, a larger number of genes was consistently caste differentially methylated across
- 1166 stages than expected by chance. Statistical analysis of the significance of the overlaps between
- 1167 the two gene sets was calculated using the GeneOverlap BioConductor package.

1168

1169 **Figure S5**

1170 Differentially expressed and differentially methylated genes across comparisons (Old

- 1171 queens vs. Old workers; Emerging queens vs. Emerging workers) visualized as 'MA' plots
- 1172 (log ratio versus abundance).

1173

1174 **Figure S6**

- 1175 Caste- and developmental stage- bias direction of the genes that were both differentially
- 1176 expressed and differentially methylated across all treatments (OQ vs. OW; EQ vs. EW; EQ

vs. OQ; EW *vs.* OW). Only half of the genes that were over-expressed in one direction tended to
be also over-expressed in the same direction, apart from the emerging queens *vs.* emerging
workers comparison, where all eight genes were over-expressed and over-methylated in
emerging queens.

1181

1182 **Figure S7**

1183 Preservation and association of F. exsecta brain co-expression and 16 ant species co-1184 expression network published in an earlier study (Morandin et al. 2016) using the Module 1185 preservation statistic Zsummary of WGCNA. a) preservation of expression modules in the 1186 2016 expression data b) preservation of expression modules in the 2016 expression data. Values 1187 of "Zsummary below 2" indicate no evidence of preservation. Values between 2 and 5 indicate 1188 moderate evidence for preservation. Values above 5 demonstrate strong evidence for 1189 preservation. Three 2016 expression modules were preserved in the present study expression 1190 modules, while 9 expression modules from the present study were preserved in the 2016 1191 expression modules. 1192 1193 **Supplementary tables** 1194 Table S1 1195 **RRBS primer sequences.** Sequences of RRBS primer used for the RRBS library preparation 1196 (see methods for more details). 1197

1198 **Table S2**

1199	Statistics of deposited RRBS and RNAseq samples. All methylation data were derived with
1200	the BWASP/BWASPR workflows. Median length was determined with FastQC. Mapping
1201	efficiency was determined with Bismark software, as implemented in BWASPR. All expression
1202	data were derived with the Tophat2, cufflinks and RSEM pipeline.
1203	
1204	Table S3
1205	Details of the analysis performed to find minimal coverage of sites required to potentially
1206	observe significant DNA methylation. Following this analysis, a cut-off of 4 reads was set as
1207	the threshold to detect sites with enough coverage (scd sites) to perform detection of significant
1208	DNA methylation.
1209	
1210	Table S4
1211	Dataframe used as input for the co-methylation network analysis with WGCNA. Each
1212	column represents one sample analyzed and each row represents the DNA methylation level of
1213	one gene across all samples. The input dataset consists of results from DNA methylation calls of
1214	the 12,112 genes measured, as the average percentage of DNA methylation per site per gene,
1215	restricted to sites with highly-supported DNA methylation data (high coverage, at least 10 reads).
1216	These proportional levels control for the number of restriction enzyme sites present on each
1217	gene, gene length, and quality of mapping to avoid any biases.
1218	
1219	Table S5
1220	Dataframe used as input for the co-expression network analysis with WGCNA. Each
1221	column represents one sample analyzed and each row represents the expression level of one gene

1222	across all samples. The input dataset consists of the expression level (raw counts) of the 13,041
1223	genes evaluated. Raw counts were log-transformed as recommended by Langfelder & Horvath
1224	(2008).
1225	
1226	Table S6
1227	Overall level of DNA methylation (percent reads reporting conversion or non-conversion) on
1228	all C, CpG sites, CHG sites and CpG sites for all biological samples (old queens, emerging
1229	queens, old workers, emerging workers).
1230	
1231	Table S7
1232	Number of CpG sites, CHG sites, CHH sites, for each biological sample (old queens,
1233	emerging queens, old workers, emerging workers).
1234	
1235	Table S8
1236	Per aggregate sample DNA methylation statistics. scd, sufficiently covered detectable sites,
1237	and hsm, highly supported methylated sites, were reported by the BWASPR workflow. The scd
1238	percentage refers to the proportion of genomic CpG that are scd. The hsm percentage refers to
1239	the proportion of scd that are hsm. The overall DNA methylation level refers to the proportion of
1240	Cs in all mapped reads that are called methylated, as determined by Bismark software as
1241	implemented in the BWASPR workflow.
1242	

Table S9

1244	Distribution of CpG (hsm) sites in genome feature regions for all biological samples (old
1245	queens, emerging queens, old workers, emerging workers). Cytosine DNA methylation was
1246	found almost exclusively in CpG contexts, as expected for insects.
1247	
1248	Table S10
1249	Distribution of CpG (hsm) sites in exon feature regions for all biological samples (old queens,
1250	emerging queens, old workers, emerging workers). Highly supported DNA methylated CpGs
1251	sites are observed at about 2.5-fold higher frequencies in coding regions than expected by
1252	random distribution over every scd site.
1253	
1254	Table S11
1255	List of differentially methylated sites as determined by methylKit::getMethylDiff with qvalue
1256	< 0.01. The list of differentially methylated CpG regions was based on q-values (0.01) and
1257	percent DNA methylation difference cutoffs (25%) for sites with coverage of at least 10 reads,
1258	for all four comparisons using for multiple testing correction.
1259	
1260	Table S12
1261	List of differentially expressed and differentially methylated genes for all four comparisons
1262	(OQ vs. OW; EQ vs. EW, OQ vs. EQ; OW vs. EW). Blast annotations for the genes are
1263	provided, as well as differential analysis results (EdgeR results for DEG and BWASP
1264	outputs for DMG). The software Blast2GO (www.blast2go.com) was used to infer functional
1265	annotation of the F. exsecta gene set using structural similarity (BLASTx with an e-value cut-off
1266	$\leq 10^{-3}$).

1268 Table SI	3
----------------------	---

1269 List of enriched GO terms for differentially expressed and differentially methylated genes

1270 found in all four comparisons. Gene ontology (GO) terms for all genes of the *F. exsecta* gene

- 1271 set were determined using BLAST2GO (using BLASTp with an e-value cut-off $\leq 10^{-3}$. We used
- 1272 the GOstats package in R to conduct GO term enrichment analysis on the list of differentially
- 1273 expressed/methylated genes presented in Table S12, using the set of all genes for which GO
- 1274 terms were available, as the universe.
- 1275

1276 **Table S14**

1277 List of enriched GO term for each module found in the co-methylation and co-expression

1278 network. We used the GOstats package for R to conduct GO term enrichment analysis on gene

1279 sets included in the co-expression and co-methylation modules, using the set of all genes for

1280 which GO terms were available as the universe. Modules were enriched with gene ontology

1281 categories, which provides indirect evidence that these sets of co-expressed genes are

1282 biologically meaningful.

1283

```
1284 Table S15
```

1285 List of blast annotations for all genes belonging to a co-methylation or a co-expression

1286 **module.** The software Blast2GO (<u>www.blast2go.com</u>) was used to infer functional annotation of

1287 the *F. exsecta* gene set using structural similarity (BLASTx with an e-value cut-off $\leq 10^{-3}$).

1288

1289 **Table S16**

1290	Preservation between co-expression and co-methylation networks. Module preservation
1291	statistic Zsummary that summarizes evidence of preservation of expression modules in DNA
1292	methylation data, and preservation of DNA methylation modules in expression data. Values of
1293	Zsummary below 2 indicate no evidence of preservation; values between 2 and 5 indicate
1294	moderate evidence for preservation; values above 5 strong evidence for preservation. Four co-
1295	expression modules (E1, E6, E9, and E10) were conserved in the co-methylation data.
1296	
1297	Table S17
1298	Preservation between the brain co-expression network and the co-expression network from
1299	Morandin et al. (2016). The module preservation statistic, Zsummary, that summarizes evidence
1300	of preservation of expression modules in our 2016 study (Morandin et al. 2016), and vice versa.
1301	Values of Zsummary below 2 indicate no evidence of preservation. Values between 2 and 5
1302	indicate moderate evidence for preservation. Values above 5 strong evidence for preservation.
1303	Three 2016 expression modules were preserved in the present study expression modules, while 9
1304	expression modules from the present study were preserved in the 2016 expression modules.
1305	
1306	Table S18
1307	List of genes defined as hub genes for co-expression and co-methylation networks (High
1308	connectivity ((cor.geneModuleMembership > 0.8) and high gene significance
1309	(cor.geneTraitSignificance > 0.5)). Hub genes are genes that are highly connected within a
1310	module, and that participate in biological processes associated with the modules (He & Zhang,
1311	2006; Langfelder & Horvath, 2008; Langfelder et al., 2013).
1312	

1313 **Table S19**

- 1314 Expression and DNA methylation profiles of the ten hub genes belonging to module E6
- 1315 (correlated with stage, the interaction of caste x stage, and is preserved across the co-methylation
- 1316 modules). Two of the ten hub genes were also found differentially expressed across caste (OQ
- 1317 vs. OW) and across stages (OQ vs. EQ).