1 Title Page

2 Title: Contributions of cryptochromes and phototropins to stomatal opening through 3 the day

Authors: Fang Wang¹, T. Matthew Robson¹, Jorge J. Casal^{2,3}, Alexey Shapiguzov^{1,4}, Pedro
J. Aphalo^{1*}

- Viikki Plant Science Centre (ViPS), Department of Biosciences, Faculty of Biological
 and Environmental Sciences, University of Helsinki, 00014, Finland
- IFEVA, Facultad de Agronomía, Universidad de Buenos Aires and CONICET, Av. San
 Martín 4453, 1417 Buenos Aires, Argentina
- 10 3. Fundación Instituto Leloir, Instituto de Investigaciones Bioquímicas de Buenos Aires-
- 11 CONICET, 1405 Buenos Aires, Argentina
- 12 4. Permanent address: Institute of Plant Physiology, Russian Academy of Sciences,
- 13 Botanicheskaya Street, 35, 127276 Moscow, Russia
- 14 * Corresponding author: pedro.aphalo@helsinki.fi

16 Summary (80/80 words)

We studied the times of day at which cryptochromes and phototropins participate in stomatal responses to light, by subjecting *Arabidopsis* mutants in these two photoreceptors to 11-hour exposure to blue-, red- or green-light. Under blue light, phototropins had relatively greater importance at the start of the photoperiod, whereas cryptochromes were important for stomatal opening throughout the photoperiod. This different timing of contributions by two families of photoreceptors to stomatal opening indicates that the mechanism is more complicated than usually assumed.

24 Abstract (196/200 words)

25 The UV-A/blue photoreceptors phototropins and cryptochromes are both known to contribute to 26 stomatal opening (Δg_s) in blue light. However, their relative contributions to maintenance of g_s in 27 blue light through the whole photoperiod remains unknown. To elucidate this question, 28 Arabidopsis phot1 phot2 and cry1 cry2 mutants (MTs) and their respective wild types (WTs) were irradiated with 200 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ of blue-, green- or red-light (BL, GL or RL) throughout a 11-hour 29 30 photoperiod. Stomatal conductance (g_s) was higher under BL, than under RL or GL. Under RL, g_s 31 was not affected by either of the photoreceptor mutations, but under GL g_s was slightly lower in 32 cryl cry2 than its WT. Under BL, the presence of phototropins was essential for rapid stomatal 33 opening at the beginning of the photoperiod, while maximal stomatal opening beyond 3 h of 34 irradiation required both phototropins and cryptochromes. Time courses of whole-plant net carbon 35 assimilation rate (A_{net}) and the effective quantum yield of photosystem II photochemistry ($\Phi PSII$) 36 were consistent with an A_{net} -independent contribution of BL on g_s both in *phot1 phot2* and *cry1* 37 cry2 mutants. The changing roles of phototropins and cryptochromes through the day may allow 38 more flexible coordination between g_s and A_{net} .

39 Keywords (max 10)

40 Arabidopsis thaliana; blue light; diurnal pattern; gas exchange; green light; photosynthesis; red

41 light; stomata;

42 Introduction

43 The major function of leaf stomata is to open for photosynthetic carbon fixation and to close for 44 the avoidance of dehydration. This function is a compromise, determined by internal as well as 45 environmental factors and tightly related to the photosynthetic carbon metabolism (Cowan and 46 Farquhar 1977). Light is the ultimate energy source for plant growth, and one of the most important 47 environmental cues for stomatal opening. Indoor experiments have verified that different light 48 qualities stimulate stomatal opening (Sharkey and Raschke 1981; Shimazaki et al. 2007). Blue 49 light (BL) is the most effective band of the visible spectrum inducing stomatal opening even at irradiances as low as 1 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ and this BL-specific stomatal opening is considered as 50 independent on photosynthesis (A_{net}) (Shimazaki et al. 2007), whereas stomatal opening driven by 51 52 red light (RL) is thought to depend on photosynthetic metabolism (e.g. Sharkey and Raschke 1981; 53 Wang et al. 2011). A well-documented link exists between net carbon assimilation rate in the 54 mesophyll A_{net} and stomatal conductance (g_s) through depletion of CO₂ concentration in the leaf 55 intercellular air spaces (C_i) by A_{net} and the opening response of stomata to a decrease in C_i (e.g. 56 Aphalo and Jarvis 1993; Roelfsema et al. 2002). However, it has also been shown that C_i does not 57 always fully explain stomatal opening under RL and the involvement of signals different from C_i 58 has been suggested to also link gs and Anet (reviewed by Lawson et al. 2010; Matthews et al. 2017). 59 In addition, as stomata in epidermal peels open under RL in the absence of mesophyll, guard cell 60 A_{net} may also contribute to stomatal opening (Matthews et al. 2017; Shimazaki et al. 2007). Like RL, BL also penetrates the epidermis and drives photosynthesis in the mesophyll. Thus, direct 61 62 stomatal opening in response to BL perceived through photoreceptors and indirect 63 photosynthetically driven opening are both involved in BL-induced stomatal opening when 64 irradiance is not weak (Sharkey and Ogawa 1987). Compared with BL- and RL-induced responses, 65 stomatal opening induced by green light (GL) has been studied less frequently, and findings have 66 been inconsistent among studies. GL is generally considered to be less effective in opening stomata 67 than RL, and much less effective than BL (Sharkey and Raschke 1981; Folta and Maruhnich 2007), 68 and also able to reverse stomatal opening induced by BL under a background of RL (Frechilla et 69 al. 2000; Talbott et al. 2002).

Cryptochromes (crys) and phototropins (phots) are both flavoprotein photoreceptors with different
 protein structures activated by radiation spanning the ultraviolet-A (UVA) and BL (Banerjee and

72 Batschauer 2005; Christie et al. 2015). Both of crys and phots absorb in vitro mainly UV and BL 73 when dark adapted, while, when light-adapted, crys but not phots strongly absorb GL in addition 74 to UV and BL (Banerjee et al. 2007; Christie et al. 2015). Crys are known to be involved in the 75 inhibition of hypocotyl elongation, entrainment of the circadian rhythm, stomatal opening and 76 shade avoidance (Sellaro et al. 2010; Chen et al. 2012; Sellaro et al. 2012), while phots are 77 implicated in the control of phototropism, chloroplast movement, leaf expansion and movement 78 (Briggs and Huala 1999; Christie 2007). Certain roles in photomorphogenic processes have been 79 attributed to crys and phots based on the comparison of gene expression, molecular pathways and 80 biochemical functions that they promote (Briggs and Huala 1999; Liscum et al. 2003; Ohgishi et 81 al. 2004). In the regulation of stomatal responses, phots seem to be dominant in rapid stomatal 82 response to BL at a low fluence rate (Shimazaki et al. 2007; Chen et al. 2012), while crys regulate 83 stomatal opening at relatively high irradiances of BL and also could affect g_s under background 84 RL (Talbott et al. 2003; Boccalandro et al. 2012). Phots are reported to ultimately activate the 85 plasma membrane H⁺-ATPase that drives K⁺ uptake leading to increased turgor pressure and 86 stomatal opening (Inoue et al. 2010). Crys have been shown to interact with CONSTITUTIVE 87 PHOTOMORPHOGENIC1 (COP1) (Shimazaki et al. 2007) in circadian-rhythm regulation 88 (Briggs and Huala 1999). Kinoshita et al. (2011) found a possible link between phot-mediated 89 stomatal responses to BL and the circadian clock through FLOWERING LOCUS T (FT), whereas 90 Ando et al. (2013) using epidermal peels provide evidence for cry affecting stomatal opening 91 through FT and the circadian clock.

92 Tenhunen et al. (1987) studied stomatal function by following daily patterns of gas exchange in 93 various natural environments, concluding that the coupled relationship between g_s and A_{net} is 94 important in leaf function over a diurnal period. Various studies have attempted to identify the 95 underlying mechanism behind these diurnal patterns. Talbott and Zeiger (1996) explored a model 96 of osmoregulation driving stomatal diurnal movements under white light (WL), since potassium 97 ions (K^{+}) were found to be the predominant guard-cell osmoticum during the first half of the day 98 and sugars (sucrose and malate) in the second half of the day. This model was extended by Tallman 99 (2004) to incorporate regulation of diurnal stomatal movements by dual-source-controlled 100 fluctuations in ABA metabolism. The dynamics of stomatal regulation in whole plants are poorly 101 understood and Matthews et al. (2017) recommend that future research takes them into 102 consideration. While crys and phots are known to induce stomatal opening (Chen et al. 2012), their 103 contribution towards the regulation of diurnal patterns of stomatal opening themselves, and
 104 through interaction with other established mechanisms of stomatal control, have not been
 105 elucidated. Here we aim to answer the following two questions:

- 106a) Are diurnal patterns in g_s correlated with diurnal patterns in A_{net} under blue, green and red107monochromatic light? Lack of correlation would imply that mechanisms independent of108 A_{net} , likely attributable to photoreceptors, make an important contribution to light-induced109 g_s through the photoperiod.
- b) Are the roles of the BL photoreceptors crys and phots in stomatal opening different and do they vary during the photoperiod? Such differences would imply that these photoreceptors contribute to determining the shape of diurnal patterns of g_s .

113 To answer these questions, we concurrently measured the diurnal time courses of g_s , A_{net} and C_i in

114 Arabidopsis thaliana double mutant types (MTs) phot1 phot2 and cry1 cry2 and their wild types

115 (WTs) under constant irradiance of RL, GL or BL, or in darkness.

116 Material and Methods

117 Plant materials and growth conditions

Arabidopsis thaliana double MT phot1-5 phot2-1 and its WT Columbia-5 (alias Col-0 gl1-1, glabrous derivative of Col-0, shortened to Col-5), and double MT *cry1-1 cry2-1* and its WT Landsberg *erecta* (L*er*) were employed in the experiments. For a given replicate, seeds of all genotypes were produced at the same time, by plants grown side-by-side. Seeds were sown in square plastic 70-×-70-mm pots filled with a substrate composed of 50% pre-fertilised-and-limed peat and 50% vermiculite. The sown pots were kept at 4 °C in darkness for two days and three nights, and then moved to a controlled-environment walk-in growth room.

After one week's growth, each single plant was transplanted into a pot (60 mm in diameter and 47 mm in height) into the same substrate as used for germination and continued to grow in a walk-in growth room for three weeks. Gas exchange measurements were made on these single seedlings. Given that only one plant could be measured per day, a cohort of plants was grown each week to produce a continuous supply of equivalent plants. For chlorophyll fluorescence measurements, seedlings were transplanted into trays of 3-×-2 cells. Each cell was 45-×-55 mm across and 60 mm

deep. A balanced design was used to arrange the genotypes in the trays, with different genotypesspatially interspersed and their positions randomised.

In the growth room, fluorescent tubes (L 58W/865 LUMILUX, OSRAM, Germany) supplied a constant photon irradiance of $205 \pm 15 \mu mol m^{-2} s^{-1}$ PAR (mean \pm s.e., see Fig. SI1 for spectra), with a 12 h photoperiod from 7.00 a.m. (ZT = 00:00, expressed in hours and minutes) to 7.00 p.m. Air relative humidity and air temperature, next to the growing plants, were recorded by three DS1923 Hygrochron temperature/humidity data loggers (iButtons, Maxim Integrated, San Jose, CA, U.S.A). Relative humidity (RH) was $67 \pm 0.4\% / 74 \pm 0.5\%$ (mean \pm SE) day / night and temperature (T) was 22 ± 0.03 °C / 20 ± 0.05 °C (mean \pm SE) day / night.

140 Gas exchange measurements

141 *Light treatments*

142 A custom-built light source based on three-colour LED arrays was used. The light source consisted 143 of two RGB LED arrays (Red-Green-Blue 90 Die Hex type NHXRGB090S00S, Norlux, Chicago, 144 USA) assembled on a $120 \times 100 \times 35$ (L×W×H) mm passive heat sink. The spectral photon 145 irradiance for the three channels is given in Fig. SI2A and the actual light-source is shown in Fig. 146 SI2B. The gap between the top of the chamber and the light source 30-mm above was sealed with 147 high-density black foam to block room-light from entering the chamber. The foam was covered 148 on the inside by a high-reflectance-plastic white-reflection standard card (Zebra check card, 149 Novoflex, Memmingen, Germany) to improve light-field evenness. The light source was powered 150 by three programmable power supplies (GW INSTEK PSP-2010, New Taipei City, Taiwan China) 151 in constant current mode. The current setting was adjusted to achieve an irradiance of 200 µmol m⁻ 152 2 s⁻¹ measured with the built-in sensor of the gas-exchange chamber at the time when each plant 153 was enclosed; irradiance remaining in all cases within $\pm 10\%$ of the set value for the whole day. 154 One power source was used to control each colour channel in both arrays. Each power supply was 155 switched on at ZT = 00:00 (7 a.m.) and off at ZT = 11:30 (6.30 p.m.) by a program running on a 156 computer. For the experiments reported here, only one single-colour channel was used at a time. 157 The cuvette was darkened for the whole day in the darkness treatments by covering its top with 158 the darkening plate provided as part of the gas-exchange system.

159 A portable gas exchange system GFS-3000 with Arabidopsis whole-plant Chamber 3010-A (GFS, 160 Walz, Effeltrich, Germany) was used to measure transpiration, A_{net} , C_i and g_s . Settings were 750 161 μ mol s⁻¹ air flow rate, level 5 impeller speed, 390 ppm ambient CO₂ (C_a), 22 °C cuvette air 162 temperature and 67% relative humidity. The average leaf temperature was stable before and during the light treatments at 21.69 °C – 21.86 °C (SI Script). The actual values of C_a accounting for any 163 164 deviation from the set value are given in Table SI1. Plants were enclosed in the Arabidopsis cuvette 165 for 22 to 23 h. Drift in ambient conditions and bias in measurements were avoided by regular 166 IRGA re-zeroing. The chamber was under excess pressure, adjusted by means of its vent valve at 167 the bottom of the pot compartment. This coupled with a collar of white polyethylene film covering 168 the surface of the soil prevented soil respiration and evaporation from interfering with the 169 measurement of shoot gas exchange.

170 The order in which treatments and genotypes were measured was fully randomized to avoid bias, 171 including bias caused by the age differences between plants within the weekly cohorts. So as to 172 ensure consistency, settings and the measurement protocol were stored as a program and ran 173 uninterrupted for 22-23 h during each measurement session using the GFS-Win (Walz) program 174 on a computer (different from the one for the light power supply). Each measurement cycle started 175 with one IRGA re-zeroing, followed by acquisition of 4 data records and ended with another IRGA 176 re-zeroing followed by an interval until the start of the next cycle, on a 33-36 min loop (SI Script). 177 The measuring protocol consisted of enclosing a plant in the cuvette the previous evening at 178 approximately 6:30 p.m. (ZT = -12:30), immediately after transfer from the growth room to an 179 adjacent laboratory. The enclosed plant remained in darkness, under the conditions indicated above, 180 until the next morning, when at 7 a.m. (ZT = 00:00) one of the colour channels of the light source 181 was switched on (except in the darkness treatment). The data collected per plant at 147 or more 182 time points between midnight (ZT = -07:00) and 6.30 p.m. (ZT = 11:30) were checked for any 183 anomalies.

184 Delta stomatal conductance (Δg_s) was calculated as the difference between each g_s value measured 185 during the photoperiod and g_s measured in darkness on the same plant at the last time-point before 186 the start of the photoperiod. This calculated Δg_s allowed for more precise analysis of the 187 differences between genotypes because it enabled a correction to be made for differences in 188 baseline values of g_s in darkness among individual plants. The C_i was expressed as a fraction of 189 C_a . to minimize any effect of slight fluctuations in C_a (Table SI1). Raw g_s data are presented in Fig. 190 SI3, A_{net} in Fig. SI4 and C_i/C_a in Fig. SI5.

191 Leaf area calculation

192 As whole plants were measured, calculation of gas-exchange rates expressed per unit area required 193 the estimation of the enclosed illuminated leaf area. The projected rosette area was used as a proxy 194 for the illuminated leaf area. The plant was taken from the growth room before the end of the 195 photoperiod (ca. 6.30 p.m.) when leaves were horizontal in all genotypes. Next, as mentioned 196 above, a collar of white polyethylene film was placed below the rosette covering the soil, providing 197 a contrasting background for the photographs and subsequently photographed on a copy stand 198 alongside an identical empty pot covered with a black square pattern drawn on a white background 199 used as a reference (NIKON D100, AF NIKKOR 50MM f/1.8D, Japan). ImageJ (Schneider et al. 200 2012) was employed to estimate the whole rosette projected area (Wang 2017). The average projected rosette area of individual plants used for gas exchange measurements was $457 \pm 2 \text{ mm}^2$ 201 in WT Col-5, $413 \pm 2 \text{ mm}^2$ in its MT *phot1 phot2*, $511 \pm 3 \text{ mm}^2$ in WT Ler, and $518 \pm 2 \text{ mm}^2$ in 202 203 its MT *cry1 cry2* (mean \pm s.e.).

In a subset of plants of each genotype, the total area of all the leaves was measured in addition to the rosette area to assess overlap among leaves, given that the leaves were nearly horizontal when sampled during the photoperiod. All leaves were excised at the base of the petiole, leaf blades held flat to avoid curling, photographed and quantified in the same way as rosette projected area. The relationships between rosette projected area and the total leaf area estimates were: 88 ± 6 % in WT Col-5 and 62 ± 3 % in its MT *phot1 phot2*, 94 ± 2 % in WT Ler and 90 ± 4 % in its MT cry1 cry2 (mean \pm s.e.).

211 Chlorophyll fluorescence measurements

IMAGING-PAM M-Series (Walz, Effeltrich, Germany) was employed to make chlorophyll fluorescence measurements. These were performed in a darkened purpose-built cubicle located within a large well-ventilated hall, with *c* 500 ppm C_a and *c* 30 % RH. Four plants of each of the four genotypes were measured simultaneously in one tray, each tray being a true replicate or block. The blue LED (450 nm, 230 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹) built-into the IMAGING-PAM was kept on from 7.00 a.m. (ZT = 00:00) until 5.00 p.m. (ZT = 10:00). Irradiance was measured at the level of the

218 seedlings at the centre of the tray. The following protocol was used to obtain a diurnal time course 219 of the effective quantum yield of photosystem II photochemistry (ΦPSII). Against the background of continuous actinic light (230 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ above the rosette, measured with a LI-250A light 220 meter – LI-COR, Lincoln, Nebraska, USA), the low-intensity measuring light was triggered once 221 222 every two minutes throughout the experiment to probe steady-state fluorescence under illumination (F_s). Saturating light pulses (5000 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹, duration of 1 s from an LED-Array 223 224 Illumination Unit IMAG-MAX/L) were triggered once every 10 min to measure maximal 225 fluorescence under illumination ($F_{\rm m}$ '). Φ PSII was calculated as ($F_{\rm m}$ '- $F_{\rm s}$)/ $F_{\rm m}$ ' (Genty *et al.*, 1989). 226 The measuring light was of intensity 2 and frequency 1 set in Walz Imaging Win Software. The 227 programmed protocol can be checked in SI Script.

228 Stomatal density and size

229 Two young fully-expanded leaves were collected from each of 10 plants per genotype grown under 230 the same conditions as the plants used for gas exchange for the purpose of making comparisons of 231 stomatal density and size. These two leaves were painted with clear nail varnish, one on the adaxial 232 side and the other on the abaxial side. When almost dry, the nail-varnish imprints were peeled off 233 the leaf with the aid of a piece of transparent sticky tape. The tape was cut so as to keep the peeled 234 imprint and discard the rest. The imprint was transferred to a microscope slide and photographed 235 under a microscope (LEICA DMLB 2500, Germany). Two fields of view were selected from each 236 slide. There were no statistically significant differences among genotypes in the density of stomata 237 (Table SI2: abaxial, p = 0.54; adaxial, p = 0.83) were counted on images taken at $10 \times$ 238 magnification (the image size was 879 μ m × 659 μ m), or stomatal sizes (Table SI2: abaxial, p =239 0.95, adaxial, p = 0.60) measured in ImageJ on images at 20× magnification (the image size was 240 442 μm × 331 μm).

241 Optical properties of leaves

Leaf transmittance and absorptance was measured with a Jaz spectrometer from Ocean Optics (Dunedin, USA) with four modules, DPU module, PX Pulsed Xenon Light source module and two UV/VIS spectrometer modules, using a Spectroclip-TR probe consisting of two integrating spheres facing each other on opposite sides of the leaf. A white/black reflectance target was used to obtain a reference spectrum (Ocean Optics). The spectral reflectance and transmittance were both measured at the same position on each of two leaves per plant, and used to estimate the spectral absorptance (fraction of radiation absorbed expressed per nanometre was calculated as 1.0 minus the sum of spectral reflectance and spectral transmittance). For each genotype, five replicates were measured. We calculated the fraction of light absorbed by each genotype under RL, GL and BL by multiplying the fractional spectral absorptance of the leaves by the light spectrum measured for each LED channel (Aphalo 2015). The mean leaf absorptance was computed for each combination of light channel and genotype based on the replicate estimates.

254 Data Analyses

256

255 Statistical analyses were done with R 3.2.0 (R Core Team 2016) within RStudio. Package mgcv

257 Bates 2000) for fitting linear mixed effects (LME) models. The output of the R scripts used in the

(Wood 2006) was used for fitting additive mixed models (AMM) and package nlme (Pinheiro and

analysis and both final and intermediate results are contained in a script (SI Script).

259 Because of the complex shape of the daily course of Δg_s we chose an additive model to test for 260 differences in the shape of the daily course among genotypes (Wood 2006). Additive models are 261 routinely used in various fields of research when data along the x-axis are densely spaced and 262 response-curve shapes are complex (e.g. de Dios et al. 2016 and Saw et al. 2017). An AMM was 263 fitted to Δg_s values with time-of-day as a continuous explanatory variable and genotype as a factor. 264 Each MT was compared with its corresponding WT. The AMM we fitted uses splines to describe 265 the change with time: it is a mixed model because it includes random terms and grouping factors 266 to describe the variability among plants. The model avoids pseudo-replication, as it takes each 267 plant as a replicate, even though 68 measured values were acquired from each plant. When 268 considering the shape of diurnal patterns of Δg_s in detail, our interpretation was based on 269 differences between the fitted curves and the overlap (or not) of the p = 0.95 confidence bands, 270 shown in the figures. Within each light treatment, overall differences between each MT and the 271 corresponding WT were assessed by formal, ANOVA-like tests of significance. A critical p value 272 of 0.05 for significance was used in these tests. We also used a more traditional approach fitting a 273 third-order polynomial (with an intercept forced to zero at ZT = 00:00 because of the use of Δg_s 274 values), in a linear mixed effects (LME) model, to analyse the time course during the first hour of 275 the photoperiod and confirm the validity of the AMM analysis.

- A second-order polynomial was fitted to C_i/C_a and first-order polynomials to A_{net} and $\Phi PSII$, using
- 277 LME models accounting for the repeated measures, as described above. Because of the steep
- 278 increase in A_{net} and Φ PSII and decrease in C_i/C_a immediately after the light was turned on at ZT =
- 279 00:00, which cannot be fitted to our LME models, data from the first cycle of measurements (ZT
- 280 = 00:00 to ZT = 00:30) were not included (SI Script).

281 **Results**

- 282 Diurnal patterns of Δg_s and respiration in darkness
- 283 Prior to the light treatments (ZT = 00:00), stomata were not completely closed in any genotype (g_s
- $159 \pm 13 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$, mean \pm s.e. at ZT = 00:00). Nevertheless, during the last hour in the dark
- 285 (ZT = -01:00 to ZT = 00:00), Δg_s remained almost constant, increasing by less than 5 % in all
- 286 genotypes (SI Script).
- In darkness, during what would otherwise be the normal photoperiod from ZT = 00:00 to ZT = 11:00, Δg_s in all genotypes slowly decreased as stomata continued to close from ZT = 00:00 onwards (Fig. 1a, b). The Δg_s of *phot1 phot2* was similar to its WT but in *cry1 cry2* Δg_s differed from its WT by the end of the day. In Ler WT, Δg_s decreased by about 100 mmol m⁻² s⁻¹ during the photoperiod but in *cry1 cry2* it only decreased approximately 60 mmol m⁻² s⁻¹ over the same period (Fig. 1b).
- In darkness, A_{net}, was negative as a result of respiration, and was small in MTs and their respective
- WTs (Fig. 1c, d). The slopes, describing the change in A_{net} with time, did not differ between cry1
- 295 *cry2* and its WT (p = 0.90; Fig. 1d), but did between *phot1 phot2* and its WT (p < 0.0001, Fig.
- 296 1c). The respiration rate in *phot1 phot2* decreased from the beginning to the end of the photoperiod
- 297 by 0.38 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ (respiration rate range: 1.63 1.25 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹), whereas in its WT, this
- 298 decrease was only about 0.05 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ (1.30 1.25 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹) (Fig. 1c).
- 299 Diurnal patterns of Δg_s under BL, GL and RL
- 300 Fig. 2a shows the diurnal patterns of Δg_s under BL, GL and RL, as curves fitted using AMM and
- 301 their 95% confidence bands. In WTs, RL, GL and BL all induced stomatal opening at equal photon
- 302 irradiance of 200 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹, but of these, BL was the most effective. Spectral measurements of
- 303 leaves and LEDs and calculations based on them showed that in-spite of differences in leaf

absorptance in the green-red region (516 - 643 nm) the estimated total absorbed flux of BL photons
was approximately 8% and 10% more than under RL or GL, respectively (Fig. SI6). Even though
the absorptance of leaves of *cry1 cry2* was slightly lower in the green-red region than that of the
other genotypes, the differences in absorbed photons between it and its Ler WT was 3% or less
under all light treatments (Fig. SI6a).

- The maximum fitted Δg_s under BL was over 300 mmol m⁻² s⁻¹, approximately three times that under GL or RL (Fig. 2). In Ler WT, Δg_s was higher in GL than in RL from ZT = 01:30 to ZT = 06:00. In addition to the differences in maximal Δg_s , the shape of the time course was different under BL compared with GL or RL, in both Ler WT and Col-5 WT (Fig. 2a, b). The time courses
- of g_s were consistent among replicate plants within treatments (Fig. SI3).
- 314 Under BL, the shapes of whole-day Δg_s time courses were strikingly different in the MTs compared 315 to their corresponding WTs (Fig. 2a, b). In the WTs, Δg_s increased rapidly upon illumination at ZT = 00:00 and continued increasing, reaching 375 mmol m⁻² s⁻¹ (Col-5 WT) and 300 mmol m⁻² 316 317 s^{-1} (Ler WT) at its maximum at ZT = 06:00 after which it started to decline. In the *phot1 phot2* 318 mutant (Col-5 background), Δg_s initially increased more gradually, reaching a maximum that was 319 only two thirds that of its WT, and later Δg_s decreased like in its WT (Fig. 2a, BL). In the cryl cry2 320 mutant (Ler background), Δg_s was similar to that of its WT during the first 2 hours after the start 321 of illumination, but later in the day the maximum Δg_s in cryl cry2 was only approximately half 322 that of its WT, and likewise the decrease in Δg_s after ZT = 06:00 was smaller than in its WT (Fig. 323 2b, BL).
- 324 Under GL, the shape of the time course of Δg_s differed only between *cry1 cry2* and its WT and not
- 326 ZT = 01:00, Δg_s increased at a similar slow rate in all four genotypes (Fig. 5). From ZT = 02:00

between *phot1 phot2* and its WT (Fig. 2a, b). During the first hour of illumination (ZT = 00:00 to

- to $ZT = 06:00 \Delta g_s$ was 33% lower in *cry1 cry2* than in its WT (Fig. 2b).
- 328 Under RL, there were no significant differences in Δg_s between the MTs and their WTs and their
- 329 time courses had almost identical shapes (Fig. 2a, b). Plants of all four genotypes opened their
- 330 stomata slowly under RL, with Δg_s reaching approximately 90 mmol m⁻² s⁻¹ after one hour of
- illumination (ZT = 01:00) and remaining at this relatively low value until ZT = 06:00, slowly
- decreasing thereafter.

333 Diurnal patterns of A_{net} under BL, GL and RL

334 The diurnal time-courses of A_{net}, under BL, GL and RL are given in Fig. 2c and d, as curves fitted 335 using linear mixed effect models with 95% confidence bands. The first half hour of data (ZT =336 00:00 to 00:30) were culled as the fast increase in $A_{\rm net}$ on illumination could not be adequately 337 captured by recordings at 20 min intervals. After the first half hour of the photoperiod in all light 338 treatments, Anet remained stable and no interaction between the three light treatments and genotypes was detected (p = 0.40). A_{net} was highest under RL, lower under BL and lowest under 339 340 GL. The three-way interaction between time (change of A_{net} in time), light treatments and 341 genotypes was significant (p < 0.0001). Under BL, GL and RL, A_{net} was no higher in Ler WT than 342 in Col-5 WT. In both WTs, A_{net} increased slowly during the photoperiod in all light treatments, 343 except under RL where in Col-5 WT A_{net} remained almost constant (p < 0.0001). Under BL, A_{net} 344 in *phot1 phot2* tended to be lower than in its WT (p = 0.074), while the difference between cry1 345 cry2 and its WT was not significant (p = 0.63). Under GL, over the day as a whole the MTs did 346 not differ from their respective WTs ($p \ge 0.10$). The slopes over the day of A_{net} differed statistically 347 between MTs and their respective WTs under BL, to a lesser extent GL (both p < 0.001), while 348 under RL their slopes were parallel (p > 0.20). In spite of their statistical significance relative rates of change in A_{net} were small, ranging between -1.5 % h⁻¹ and +2 % h⁻¹ over treatments and 349 350 genotypes (Fig. 2c, d).

351 Diurnal patterns of Ci/Ca under BL, GL, RL and in darkness

352 The diurnal patterns of the ratio of intercellular carbon dioxide concentration to ambient carbon 353 dioxide concentration (C_i/C_a) as fitted second degree polynomials and 95% confidence bands are 354 given in Fig. 3. Data from ZT = 00:00 to 00:30 were not included for the same reason as for A_{net}. 355 There were no significant differences in C_i/C_a between genotypes under BL, GL, or RL (p = 0.54, 356 SI Script). However, for the photoperiod as a whole, the three-way interaction between light, 357 genotypes and time was significant (p < 0.0001, SI Script). The C_i/C_a ratio was lowest under RL, 358 highest in darkness, and similar in BL and GL. Under BL, the ratio initially increased and then 359 decreased from ZT = 06:00 onwards, in all genotypes except in *cry1 cry2* where it remained nearly 360 constant. The ratio of C_i/C_a under RL and GL was similar and slowly decreased towards the end 361 of the photoperiod. In darkness, the ratio was similar between MTs and their WT, slightly above 362 1.

363 Diurnal patterns of ΦPSII under BL

We investigated the diurnal patterns of Φ PSII under BL (Fig. 4a, b). Φ PSII in *phot1 phot2* was lower than in its Col-5 WT (p = 0.008), decreasing from 16% lower at ZT = 00:30 to a maximum difference of 22% at ZT = 10:00 (Fig 4a). In contrast, the Φ PSII in *cry1 cry2* was similar to its L*er* WT at ZT = 00:30, and differed by a maximum of only 13 % from L*er* at ZT = 10:00 (p = 0.016, Fig. 4b).

369 Rate of increase in Δg_s at the start of illumination under BL, GL and RL

Figure 5 shows fitted third order polynomials for Δg_s with 95% confidence bands for the first hour (ZT = 00:00 to ZT = 01:00) under the light treatments. The model fitted to all the Δg_s data for this period gave significant (p < 0.001, SI) two-way and three-way interactions between light colour, genotype and time-of-day. This indicates that the differences between genotypes in the rate of stomatal opening (slope of Δg_s against time) depended on the colour of the light to which the plants were exposed. To identify these patterns, separate statistical analyses were done for each of the light conditions: BL, GL and RL.

377 Under BL, Δg_s increased at a similar rate in both WTs (p = 0.072). While the rate of increase in 378 Δg_s did not differ between cryl cry2 and its WT (p = 0.73); in phot1 phot2, it was less than half 379 that of its WT (p = 0.002: Fig. 5). Under GL, there were no differences in Δg_s among the four 380 genotypes during the first hour of illumination (p = 0.53). However, under RL, Δg_s differed among 381 genotypes as the result of a slight difference in the shape of the time course during the first hour 382 of illumination in *phot1 phot2* compared to its WT (p = 0.043). Furthermore, under RL, the time 383 course of Δg_s was similar in both, cry1 cry2 compared to its WT (p = 0.15), and between the two 384 WTs (p = 0.15).

In contrast to the illuminated plants, Δg_s of plants kept in darkness barely changed during the first hour of what would have been the normal photoperiod. They followed the same time-course as during the last hour before ZT = 00:00 with no clear differences between genotypes in the slope of Δg_s against time (p = 0.03).

389 Stomatal density and size

390 Table 1 presents stomatal density and stomatal size for genotype cry1 cry2, its WT Ler, phot1

- 391 *phot2* and its WT Col-5. The slight differences observed between mutants and WTs were not
- 392 statistically significant (density: abaxial, p = 0.54; adaxial, p = 0.83; size: abaxial, p = 0.95, adaxial,
- 393 p = 0.60, Tab. 1).

394 **Discussion**

For half a century, diurnal patterns in g_s have been described using gas-exchange methods (e.g. Tenhunen *et al.* 1987). More recently the use of photoreceptor mutants has improved our understanding of the mechanisms behind stomatal responses to light (e.g. Boccalandro *et al.* 2012). Here, we combined these two approaches to investigate the roles of crys and phots in stomatal opening throughout the day.

400 The control of diurnal patterns in g_s and A_{net} under BL, GL and RL

401 Parallel changes in g_s and A_{net} over the diurnal period are ubiquitous because A_{net} depends on CO₂ 402 entering the leaf through stomata. Cowan and Farquhar (1977) were first to consider the theoretical 403 question of what would be the day course of g_s that minimises daily water loss relative to a given 404 level of whole-day carbon assimilation, proposing a model based on an optimization criterion. 405 However, actual measurements of g_s through the day frequently deviate from the predictions of 406 Cowan and Farquhar's (1977) model (Matthews *et al.* 2017).

407 Regulation of fluxes of CO_2 and water vapour by stomata depends both on functional coupling 408 between g_s and A_{net} and on independent regulation of g_s and A_{net} through parallel responses to the 409 same, or correlated, stimuli (Zeiger et al. 1982; Aphalo and Sánchez 1986; Aphalo and Jarvis 410 1993). Under all three of our 11-h constant irradiance treatments (BL, GL, RL), we observed clear 411 diurnal changes in g_s (Figs. 2a, b), while Φ PSII and A_{net} concurrently varied much less (Fig. 2c, d; 412 Fig. 4), which indicates that functional dependence of g_s on A_{net} is unable to fully explain our 413 results. Differences in responses to light of different colours can inform us about the relative 414 importance of functional coupling between g_s and A_{net} vs. direct stomatal responses to light 415 (Mansfield and Meidner 1966; Aphalo and Sánchez 1986).

Faster stomatal opening at the beginning of the photoperiod under BL than under RL (Fig. 5) is
consistent with the rapid stomatal opening commonly seen in response to acute BL treatments
(reviewed by Shimazaki *et al.* 2007). Such direct induction of BL-specific stomatal opening can
happen almost immediately, often within seconds of illumination (Zeiger *et al.* 1987; Lawson *et al.* 2010).

421 The larger Δg_s under BL than under RL throughout the diurnal time-course irrespective of the 422 lower A_{net} and higher C_i (Fig. 2), which might otherwise be expected to negatively regulate Δg_s , 423 indicates that BL-specific maintenance of stomatal opening was active during the whole 424 photoperiod. These results are similar to the gas-exchange time-courses observed in Xanthium 425 strumarium by Sharley and Raschke (1981) under BL (peak $\lambda = 455$ nm) and RL ($\lambda = 681$ nm, applied for 4 h). In this earlier experiment combined RL and BL at 650 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ photon 426 irradiance gave g_s of 300 mmol m⁻² s⁻¹ after 1 h; g_s remained high at 250 mmol m⁻² s⁻¹ under BL 427 alone, while just RL gave a g_s of only 60 mmol m⁻² s⁻¹. Given the lower C_i under RL than under 428 429 BL, the moderately larger Δg_s under RL compared to darkness over the photoperiod could be 430 explained, at least in part, by feedback control on stomatal opening through coupling mediated by 431 $C_{\rm i}$ depletion.

432 To a lesser extent than under BL, under GL higher g_s and C_i and lower A_{net} than under RL were 433 maintained throughout the diurnal period, suggesting a contribution from photoreceptors to 434 stomatal opening in GL. Such a role for photoreceptors in GL is consistent with Wang et al.'s 435 (2011) observation of A_{net}-independent components in stomatal responses under both BL and GL 436 in sunflower treated with DCMU. Taken together, the different responses we observed under BL, 437 GL and RL indicate that the increase in Δg_s on illumination, and its maintenance throughout the 438 diurnal period, under both BL and GL may partly depend on the BL photoreceptors (discussed 439 next).

440 The roles of crys and phots in stomatal opening at the start of the day and throughout the441 photoperiod

The leaf traits of photoreceptor-mutant plants can differ from those of their WT, irrespective of light treatments (Labuz *et al.* 2012; Yu *et al.* 2010). Differences in leaf anatomy, such as in stomatal size and density, can result from the participation of crys in stomatal development (Li 445 and Yang 2007; Boccalandro et al. 2012). However, we found no significant differences between 446 cryl cry2 and its Ler WT in stomatal size or density (Table SI2), which might otherwise have 447 confounded the response of g_s attributable to crys. Differences in light absorptance are dependent 448 on concentration per unit area of chlorophyll and other pigments in leaves which might be 449 modulated through the action of photoreceptors (Hogewoning et al. 2010; Thum et al. 2001), but 450 these differences were small compared to the responses of Δg_s and A_{net} in our experiment. Likewise, 451 recordings of g_s before the light treatments and in the darkness treatment (Fig. 1a, b) detected no 452 constitutive differences between the MTs and their respective WTs. Nevertheless, different 453 interactions among photoreceptors are likely to affect responses to full-spectrum solar radiation 454 compared to the monochromatic BL-, RL- and GL treatments employed in our experiments.

455 The most striking feature differentiating the response of the genotypes under BL was the difference 456 in rate of stomatal opening on illumination (Fig. 5). The rapid stomatal response upon BL 457 illumination we observed in WTs concurs with the findings of Kinoshita et al. (2001) that rapid 458 membrane depolarization follows BL illumination (within 30s), which implies that communication 459 between nucleus and plasma membrane is too rapid to implicate changes in gene expression in this 460 response (Shimazaki et al. 2007). The lack of a rapid response to BL in the phot1 phot2 MT agrees 461 with the accepted view for the key role of phots in stomatal opening from experiments of shorter 462 duration than ours (Shimazaki et al. 2007). After 2 h 30 min under BL, gs of phot1 phot2 was about 463 50% less than that of its WT Col-5 (ZT > 02:30, Fig. 2a, b), indicating that phots continue to 464 contribute to maintaining g_s after the rapid initial opening. This result concurs with the role of 465 phots in the promotion of continuous stomatal opening through the diurnal period under full 466 sunlight (Boccalandro et al. 2012).

467 Previous studies report that crys can contribute to blue-light-induced stomatal opening under low irradiances (< 100 µmol s⁻¹ m⁻², Mao et al. 2005). However, Boccalandro et al. (2012) found crys 468 469 not to be directly involved in the perception of those signals that promote BL-specific stomatal 470 opening in an experiment under solar radiation (full spectrum). They found that under full sunlight 471 the diurnal patterns of g_s in cry1 cry2 and phot1 phot2 had similar shapes to those of their WT, but 472 that *phot1 phot2* had much lower g_s, though both photoreceptors enhanced A_{net}. Our results showed 473 a specific role for crys under BL in the promotion of stomatal opening: following rapid stomatal 474 opening induced by phots, crys were needed for the maintenance of high Δg_s from 2 h 30 min through the remainder of the photoperiod (ZT > 02:30, Fig. 2a, b). In contrast, A_{net} was comparable in *cry1 cry2* and its WT but C_i was lower in the MT (Figs. 2 and 3), indicating a contribution of crys to stomatal opening mainly independent of A_{net} . It can be speculated that stomatal responses mediated by crys are slower than those mediated by phots because crys' action usually depends on regulation of gene expression (Ohgishi *et al.* 2004).

480 Interestingly, adding together Δg for the two MTs at each time point throughout the diurnal cycles under BL yields a similar pattern of Δg to that observed in the WTs. This might be interpreted as 481 482 evidence for an additive effect of phot and cry after 2 h 30 min. This is consistent with Mao et al. 's 483 (2005) finding that stomatal aperture in a quadruple MT cry1 cry2 phot1 phot2 measured on epidermal strips was reduced under 20 µmol s⁻¹ m⁻² BL, compared to that in either of the double 484 MTs cry1 cry2 or phot1 phot2. Further research is needed to better explain how these 485 486 photoreceptors interact and function together in the control of stomatal opening through the whole 487 diurnal period.

488 In our experiment, Δg_s was larger under GL than under RL, agreeing with a few reports of stomatal 489 opening driven by GL (Smith et al. 2017). Earlier studies did not investigate the involvement of 490 specific photoreceptors in opening of stomata in GL. Consistently with the weak absorption of GL 491 by phots (Christie *et al.* 2015), we found no evidence for a role of phots in stomatal opening in GL. 492 In contrast, Δg_s under GL was smaller in *cry1 cry2* than in its WT (Fig. 2b), with crys accounting 493 for up to 35% of Δg_s 3 h into the photoperiod, indicating a role for them in stomatal opening under 494 GL. This role is consistent with other cry-dependent GL responses and the absorption of GL by 495 light-adapted crys (Folta and Maruhnich 2007; Banerjee et al. 2007).

Evidence for crys' involvement in responses of stomata to BL (such as Mao *et al* 2005 and the present study), and in other responses to GL such as shade avoidance (Sellaro *et al.* 2010), deetiolation (Lin *et al.* 1995) and inhibition of hypocotyl elongation (Ahmad *et al.* 2002), are also consistent with a role for crys in stomatal opening in monochromatic GL. While it has been also observed that GL (540 nm) can antagonise BL-induced stomatal opening (Talbott *et al.* 2002), which is an effect that has been attributed to NPQ1 instead of phots or crys (Talbott *et al.* 2003), our results suggest a positive effect of GL at 516 nm on stomatal opening mediated by crys.

503 The diurnal course of gas-exchange differs among species (Matthews et al. 2017). The differences

504 are in the speed of stomatal opening at the start of photoperiod and in the later slower increase or 505 decrease in g_s through the rest of the day. Fast opening is important for timely increase in g_s in the 506 morning and in sun flecks (Zeiger et al. 1981), improving light utilization for carbon assimilation 507 (Way and Pearcy 2012). Within species, acclimation to different light environments can result in 508 different stomatal opening speeds on exposure to light (Aasamaa and Aphalo 2017; Way and 509 Pearcy 2012). This suggests that separate regulation of opening speed and g_s steady state is possible. 510 The fast phot-dependent response together with the slower cry-dependent BL-specific response 511 could allow such separate regulation of the opening speed and g_s steady-state, providing additional 512 flexibility in the coordination of g_s and A_{net} . As the combined roles of phots and crys in stomatal 513 opening are likely to depend on plants' native habitat and growing conditions, their study will 514 require measurements of whole-day time courses under realistic manipulations and/or simulations 515 of the natural light environment.

516 Conclusions

We conclude that under an 11 h photoperiod with constant irradiance of 200 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹: (1) monochromatic BL induces a diurnal pattern of g_s with a broad maximum near ZT = 6:00 to ZT = 7:00 that is different to that under RL or GL; (2) the normal diurnal pattern of g_s in BL requires phots for rapid stomatal opening at the beginning of the photoperiod and both phots and crys afterwards; (3) stomatal opening in GL at 516 nm does not require phots but is likely to partly depend on crys.

523 Acknowledgments

524 This research was made possible by funding from the Academy of Finland (decisions 252548 to 525 PJA, and 324555 and 304519 to TMR), China Scholarship Council, The Ella and Georg Ehrnrooth

- 526 Foundation and Niemi Foundation (to FW). We also thank Dr. Mikael Brosché (University of
- 527 Helsinki) for seeds of the *cry1 cry2* Arabidopsis mutant.

528 **Conflict of Interest Statement**

529 The authors declare no conflicts of interest

531	References
532	Ahmad M, Grancher N, Heil M, Black RC, Giovani B, Galland P, Lardemer D (2002) Action
533	spectrum for cryptochrome-dependent hypocotyl growth inhibition in Arabidopsis. Plant
534	<i>Physiology</i> 129 , 774–785.
535	Ando E, Ohnishi M, Wang Y, Matsushita T, Watanabe A, Hayashi Y, Fujii M, Ma JF, Inoue S-I,
536	Kinoshita T (2013) TWIN SISTER OF FT, GIGANTEA, and CONSTANS have a positive
537	but indirect effect on blue light-induced stomatal opening in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiology
538	162 , 1529–1538.
539	Aphalo PJ (2015) The r4photobiology suite: spectral irradiance. UV4Plants Bulletin 2015, 21-
540	29.
541	Aphalo PJ, Jarvis PG (1993) Separation of Direct and Indirect Responses of Stomata to Light -
542	Results From a Leaf Inversion Experiment at Constant Intercellular CO ₂ Molar Fraction.
543	Journal of experimental botany 44,791–800.
544	Aphalo PJ, Sánchez RA (1986) Stomatal Responses to Light and Drought Stress in Variegated
545	Leaves of Hedera helix. Plant Physiology 81,768–773
546	Aasamaa K, Aphalo PJ (2017) The acclimation of Tilia cordata stomatal opening in response to
547	light, and stomatal anatomy to vegetational shade and its components. Tree Physiology 37,
548	209–219
549	Banerjee R, Batschauer A (2005) Plant blue-light receptors. Planta 220, 498–502.
550	Banerjee R, Schleicher E, Meier S, Viana RM (2007) The signaling state of Arabidopsis
551	cryptochrome 2 contains flavin semiquinone. Journal of Biological Chemistry 282,14916-
552	14922.
553	Boccalandro HE, Giordano CV, Ploschuk EL, Piccoli PN, Bottini R, Casal JJ (2012)
554	Phototropins But Not Cryptochromes Mediate the Blue Light-Specific Promotion of
555	Stomatal Conductance, While Both Enhance Photosynthesis and Transpiration under Full
556	Sunlight. Plant Physiology 158, 1475–1484.

- Briggs WR, Huala E (1999) Blue-light photoreceptors in higher plants. *Annual review of cell and developmental biology* 15, 33–62.
- 559 Chen C, Xiao Y, Li X, Ni M (2012) Light-Regulated Stomatal Aperture in Arabidopsis.
 560 *Molecular plant* 5, 566–572.
- 561 Christie JM (2007) Phototropin blue-light receptors. Annual Review of Plant Biology 58, 21–45.
- 562 Christie JM, Blackwood L, Petersen J, Sullivan S (2015) Plant flavoprotein photoreceptors. *Plant* 563 and Cell Physiology 56, 401–413.
- 564 Cowan IR, Farquhar GD (1977) Stomatal function in relation to leaf metabolism and

565 environment. *Symposia of the Society for Experimental Biology* **31**, 471–505.

- de Dios VR, Gessler A, Ferrio JP, Alday JG, Bahn M, del Castillo J, Devidal S, García-Muñoz S,
- 567 Kayler Z, Landais D, Martín-Gómez P, Milcu A, Piel C, Pirhofer-Walzl K, Ravel O, Salekin
- 568 S, Tissue DT, Tjoelker MG, Voltas J, Roy J (2016) Circadian rhythms have significant

669 effects on leaf-to-canopy scale gas exchange under field conditions. *GigaScience* 5, 1-10

- Inoue S-I, Takemiya A, Shimazaki K-I (2010) Phototropin signaling and stomatal opening as a
 model case. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* 13, 587–593.
- Folta KM, Maruhnich SA (2007) Green light: a signal to slow down or stop. *Journal of experimental botany* 58, 3099–3111.
- 574 Frechilla S, Talbott LD, Bogomolni RA, Zeiger E (2000) Reversal of Blue Light-Stimulated
 575 Stomatal Opening by Green Light. *Plant and Cell Physiology* 41, 171–176.
- Genty B, Briantais J-M, Baker NR (1989) The relationship between the quantum yield of
 photosynthetic electron transport and quenching of chlorophyll fluorescence. *Biochimica Et Biophysica Acta (BBA) General Subjects* **990**, 87–92.
- 579 Hogewoning SW, Trouwborst G, Maljaars H, POORTER H, van Ieperen W, Harbinson J (2010)
- 580 Blue light dose-responses of leaf photosynthesis, morphology, and chemical composition of
- 581 *Cucumis sativus* grown under different combinations of red and blue light. *Journal of*

- 582 *Experimental Botany* **61**, 3107–3117.
- 583 Kinoshita T, Doi M, Suetsugu N, Kagawa T, Wada M, Shimazaki K (2001) Phot1 and phot2
 584 mediate blue light regulation of stomatal opening. *Nature* 414, 656–660.
- 585 Kinoshita T, Ono N, Hayashi Y, Morimoto S, Nakamura S, Soda M, Kato Y, Ohnishi M, Nakano
- T, Inoue S-I, Shimazaki K-I (2011) FLOWERING LOCUS T Regulates Stomatal Opening.
 Current Biology 21, 1232–1238.
- Labuz J, Sztatelman O, Banas AK, Gabrys H (2012) The expression of phototropins in
 Arabidopsis leaves: developmental and light regulation. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 63,
 1763–1771.
- Lawson T, Caemmerer von S, Baroli I (2010) Photosynthesis and Stomatal Behaviour. pp. 265–
 304 in *Progress in Botany Springer* Berlin Heidelberg: Berlin, Heidelberg.
- 593 Li Q-H, Yang H-Q (2007) Cryptochrome signaling in plants. *Photochemistry and Photobiology*594 **83**, 94–101.
- Lin C, Ahmad M, Gordon D, Cashmore AR (1995) Expression of an Arabidopsis cryptochrome
 gene in transgenic tobacco results in hypersensitivity to blue, UV-A, and green light.
- 597 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **92**, 8423–8427.
- Liscum E, Hodgson DW, Campbell TJ (2003) Blue light signaling through the cryptochromes
 and phototropins. So that's what the blues is all about. *Plant Physiology* 133, 1429–1436.
- Mao J, Zhang Y-C, Sang Y, Li Q-H, Yang H-Q (2005) From The Cover: A role for Arabidopsis
 cryptochromes and COP1 in the regulation of stomatal opening. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 102, 12270–12275.
- Matthews JSA, Vialet-Chabrand SRM, Lawson T (2017) Diurnal Variation in Gas Exchange:
 The Balance between Carbon Fixation and Water Loss. *Plant Physiology* 174, 614–623
- 605 Ohgishi M, Saji K, Okada K, Sakai T (2004) Functional analysis of each blue light receptor,
- 606 cry1, cry2, phot1, and phot2, by using combinatorial multiple mutants in Arabidopsis.

607	<i>Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences</i> 101 , 2223–2228.
608	Pinheiro JC, Bates DM (2000) Nonlinear Mixed-Effects Models: Basic Concepts and Motivating
609	Examples pp. 273–304 in Mixed-Effects Models in Sand S-PLUS. Statistics and Computing.
610	Springer New York: New York, NY.
611	R Core Team (2016) R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. Vienna,
612	Austria.
613	Roelfsema MRG, Hanstein S, Felle HH, Hedrich R (2002) CO ₂ provides an intermediate link in
614	the red light response of guard cells. <i>The Plant Journal</i> 32 , 65–75.
615	Saw NMMT, Moser C, Martens S, Franceschi P (2017) Applying generalized additive models to
616	unravel dynamic changes in anthocyanin biosynthesis in methyl jasmonate elicited grapevine
617	(Vitis vinifera cv. Gamay) cell cultures. Horticulture Research 4, 17038.
618	Sellaro R, Crepy M, Trupkin SA, Karayekov E, Buchovsky AS, Rossi C, Casal JJ (2010)
619	Cryptochrome as a sensor of the blue/green ratio of natural radiation in Arabidopsis. Plant
620	<i>Physiology</i> 154 , 401–409.
621	Sellaro R, Pacín M, Casal JJ (2012) Diurnal dependence of growth responses to shade in
622	Arabidopsis: role of hormone, clock, and light signaling. <i>Molecular plant</i> 5, 619–628.
623	Sharkey TD, Raschke K (1981) Effect of Light Quality on Stomatal Opening in Leaves of
624	Xanthium strumarium L. Plant Physiology 68, 1170–1174.
625	Sharkey TD, Ogawa T (1987) Stomatal responses to light pp. 195-208 in Stomatal function. (ed.
626	Zeiger E, Farquhar GD, Cowan IR) Stanford University Press
627	Shimazaki K-I, Doi M, Assmann SM, Kinoshita T (2007) Light Regulation of Stomatal
628	Movement. Annual Review of Plant Biology 58, 219–247.
629	Smith HL, McAusland L, Murchie EH (2017) Don't ignore the green light: exploring diverse
630	roles in plant processes. Journal of experimental botany 68, 2099–2110.
631	Talbott LD, Zeiger E (1996) Central Roles for Potassium and Sucrose in Guard-Cell

Osmoregulation. *Plant Physiology* **111**, 1051–1057.

Talbott LD, Nikolova G, Ortiz A, Shmayevich I, Zeiger E (2002) Green light reversal of bluelight-stimulated stomatal opening is found in a diversity of plant species. *American Journal of Botany* 89, 366–368.

Talbott LD, Shmayevich IJ, Chung Y, Hammad JW, Zeiger E (2003) Blue light and
phytochrome-mediated stomatal opening in the *npq1* and *phot1 phot2* mutants of

638 Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiology* **133**, 1522–1529.

639 Tallman G (2004) Are diurnal patterns of stomatal movement the result of alternating

- 640 metabolism of endogenous guard cell ABA and accumulation of ABA delivered to the
- 641 apoplast around guard cells by transpiration? *Journal of experimental botany* **55**, 1963–1976.

Tenhunen JD, Pearcy RW, Lange OL (1987) Diurnal Variations in Leaf Conductance and Gas
 Exchange in Natural Environments. (eds. E Zeiger, GD Farquhar and IR Cowan) *Stomatal function*. Stanford University Press, 323-351

Thum KE, Kim M, Christopher DA, Mullet JE (2001) Cryptochrome 1, cryptochrome 2, and
phytochrome a co-activate the chloroplast *psbD* blue light-responsive promoter. *The Plant Cell Online* 13, 2747–2760.

Yu X, Liu H, Klejnot J, Lin C (2010) The Cryptochrome Blue Light Receptors. *The Arabidopsis Book* 8, e0135.

Wang F (2017) Tutorial: SIOX plugin in ImageJ: area measurement made easy. UVPlants *Bulletin* 2.

652 Wang Y, Noguchi K, Terashima I (2011) Photosynthesis-dependent and -independent responses

of stomata to blue, red and green monochromatic light: differences between the normally
oriented and inverted leaves of sunflower. *Plant and Cell Physiology* 52, 479–489.

Way DA, Pearcy RW (2012) Sunflecks in trees and forests: from photosynthetic physiology to
global change biology. *Tree Physiology* 32, 1066–1081.

657	Wood S (2006)	Generalized Additive N	Adels: an introduction	with R.	CRC Press
-----	---------------	------------------------	------------------------	---------	-----------

- 658 Zeiger E, Field C (1982) Photocontrol of the Functional Coupling between Photosynthesis and
- 659 Stomatal Conductance in the Intact Leaf : Blue Light and Par-Dependent Photosystems in
- 660 Guard Cells. *Plant Physiology* **70**, 370–375.
- Zeiger E, Field C, Mooney HA (1981) Stomatal opening at dawn: possible roles of the blue light
 response in nature in *Plants and the Daylight Spectrum*. Academic Press. 391-407
- 663 Zeiger E, Iino M, Shimazaki K-I, Ogawa T (1987) The blue-light response of stomata. In
- 664 Stomatal function. Stanford University Press. 209-227

666 Figure legends

- Fig. 1. Time courses of gas-exchange between ZT = 00:00 and ZT = 11:30 in darkness in *phot1*
- 668 *phot2* (---), its WT Col-5 (—), *cry1 cry2* (---) and its WT Ler (—). (a, b) Change in stomatal
- 669 conductance (Δg_s) from ZT = 00:00; (c, d) Net carbon assimilation rate (A_{net}). Negative net
- 670 carbon assimilation rate in darkness is respiration. Lines depict prediction by a fitted additive
- 671 mixed models (AMM) (a, b) and mixed-effect linear models (c, d); grey bands depict 95%
- 672 confidence limits; n = 3 4 plants per genotype, N = 14 plants, 1040 observations in total. The
- 673 vertical dashed lines highlight ZT = 00:00, the time when LEDs were switched on during gas-
- 674 exchange measurements for treatments not remaining in darkness.
- Fig. 2 Time courses of gas-exchange between ZT = 00:00 and ZT = 11:30 under constant
- 676 irradiance of BL, GL, or RL in *phot1 phot2* (---), its WT Col-5 (—), *cry1 cry2* (---) and its WT
- 677 Ler (—). (a, b) Change in stomatal conductance (Δg_s) from ZT = 00:00; (c, d) Net carbon
- 678 assimilation rate (A_{net}). Lines depict prediction by a fitted additive mixed models (AMM) (a, b)
- and mixed effects model based on mixed-effect linear models (c, d); grey bands depict 95%
- 680 confidence limits; n = 3 4 plants per light colour and genotype, N = 44 plants, 3371
- observations in total. The vertical dashed lines highlight ZT = 00:00, the time when LEDs were
- 682 switched on during gas-exchange measurements, except for plants remaining in darkness.
- Equivalent figures showing raw g_s and A_{net} data are presented in Figs. SI 4 and 5 respectively.
- Fig. 3 Ratio of intercellular and ambient carbon dioxide (C_i/C_a) in *phot1 phot2* (---) and its WT
- 685 Col-5 (—) under constant irradiance of BL (a), GL (b), RL (c) and in darkness (d), and in cry1
- cry2 (---) and its WT Ler (---) under BL (e), GL (f), RL (g) and in darkness (h) between ZT =
- 687 00:30 and ZT = 11:30. Lines depict prediction by a fitted mixed effects model based on linear
- models and grey bands depict 95% confidence limits, n = 3 4 plants per light colour and
- genotype, N = 44 plants, 4262 observations in total. The vertical dashed lines highlight ZT =
- 690 00:00, the time when LEDs were switched on during gas-exchange measurements, except for
- 691 plants remaining in darkness. The C_a is given in Table SI1.
- 692 Fig. 4 Effective photochemical quantum yield of photosystem PSII photochemistry (ΦPSII)
- under constant irradiance of BL between ZT = 00:30 and ZT = 11:30 in *phot1 phot2* (---) and its
- 694 WT Col-5 (—) (a) and in cry1 cry2 (---) and its WT Ler (—) (b). Lines depict prediction by a
- 695 fitted mixed effects model based on linear models and grey bands depict 95% confidence limits,

- n = 4 plants per light colour and genotype, N = 62 plants, 3828 observations in total. The vertical dashed lines highlight ZT = 00:00, the time when LEDs were switched on during gas-exchange measurements, except for plants remaining in darkness.
- 699 Fig. 5 Change in stomatal conductance (Δg_s) in *phot1 phot2* (---) and its WT Col-5 (—) under
- constant irradiance of BL (a), GL (b), RL (c) and in darkness (d), and in *cry1 cry2* (---) and its
- 701 WT Ler (—) under BL (e), GL (f), RL (g) and in darkness (h) between ZT = 00:00 and ZT =
- 702 01:00. Lines depict prediction by a fitted mixed effects model based on third-order polynomials
- and grey bands depict 95% confidence limits, n = 3 4 plants per light colour and genotype, N =
- 704 58 plants, 340 observations in total. Data of g_s for individual plants are given in Fig. SI4. The
- vertical dashed lines highlight ZT = 00:00, the time when LEDs were switched on during gas-
- 706 exchange measurements, except for plants remaining in darkness

707 Legends to supplemental figures

- Fig. SI1 Spectral photon irradiance measured in the growth room with a cosine diffuser level
- 709 with the top of the seedlings. Spectral irradiance on the growth room shelves was measured with
- a Maya2000 Pro spectrometer (Ocean Optics, U.S.A) fitted with a D7-H-SMA cosine diffuser
- 711 (Bentham Instruments, Reading, U.K.).
- Fig. SI2a Normalized spectral photon irradiance of (non-polarized) light emitted by the red,
- 713 green, and blue channels of the LED-array source used for gas-exchange measurements
- 714 (presented in Fig SI3, SI4 and SI5). The overlap in normalized photon irradiance between the
- 715 blue and green channels is 3.9% of their combined photon irradiance, and between green and red
- channels the overlap is 0.4%. There is no measurable overlap (<0.05%) between red and blue
- channels; SI2b Photograph of the custom-built LED-array light source used for gas-exchange
- 718 measurements. Each array has three independent channels, emitting BL, GL, or RL.
- Fig. SI3 Stomatal conductance (g_s) for individual plants from 12 midnight until 6 p.m. on the
- next day. These data were used to calculate the Δg_s values used in the model fits presented in
- Figs. 2 and 3, and in statistical tests of significance. The vertical dashed lines highlight 7 a.m.
- local time (ZT = 00:00), the time when LEDs were switched on during gas-exchange
- 723 measurements, except for plants remaining in darkness.

- Fig. SI4 Net carbon assimilation rate (A_{net}) for individual plants from 12 midnight until 6 p.m. on
- 725 the next day. These data were used to calculate A_{net} values used in the model fits presented in
- Figs. 2 and 3, and in statistical tests of significance. Negative net carbon assimilation rate in
- darkness is respiration. The vertical dashed lines highlight 7 a.m. local time (ZT = 00:00), the
- time when LEDs were switched on during gas-exchange measurements, except for plants
- remaining in darkness.
- Fig. SI5 Ratio of C_i/C_a for individual plants from 12 midnight until 6 p.m. on the next day. These
- data were used to calculate ratio of C_i/C_a values used in the model fits presented in Figs. 4, and in
- statistical tests of significance. The vertical dashed lines highlight 7 a.m. local time (ZT = 00:00),
- the time when LEDs were switched on during gas-exchange measurements, except for plants
- remaining in darkness. Concentrations of C_a are listed in Table SI1.
- Fig. SI6 Light absorption. Average spectral absorptance of illuminated leaves from 5 or 6 plants
- 736 of each genotype. Upper panel: The colour bars show the full width at half maximum (FWHM)
- 737 of the peak of photon emission spectra of the three LED channels from Fig. SI2a. Lower panel:
- 738 Estimate of the photon dose rate computed as the absorbed irradiance by convolution of the
- absorptance spectra of the leaves (upper panel) with the emission spectra of the LEDs (Fig. SI2a)
- 740 integrated over wavelengths. The dashed line indicates the photon irradiance incident on the
- plants. The absorbed energy irradiances averaged over genotypes were: RL 34.3 W m⁻², GL 41.4
- 742 W m⁻², and BL 50.4 W m⁻².
- 743

745 Tables

Table 1. Stomatal size and density, mean \pm SE, n = 10. Size is expressed as the maximum length

of the guard cells along the length of the pore; density is expressed as number of stomata per unit

748 leaf area.

Genotype	Epidermis	Size (µm)	Density (mm ⁻²)
Col-5	Adaxial	18.6 ± 0.4	163 ± 11
	Abaxial	19.2 ± 1.4	191 ± 16
phot1 phot2	Adaxial	18.6 ± 0.4	142 ± 32
	Abaxial	19.2 ± 1.4	184 ± 28
Ler	Adaxial	20.3 ± 0.8	164 ± 27
	Abaxial	19.0 ± 1.0	171 ± 17
cry1 cry2	Adaxial	18.8 ± 0.5	150 ± 17
	Abaxial	19.0 ± 1.0	146 ± 18

749

750 **Table SI1.** Concentration of C_a maintained by gas-exchange system under each light treatment

751	for each	genotype	during the	period of ZT	= 00:00 to	ZT = 11:30.
		0				

	Red light	Green light	Blue light	Darkness
Col-5	387.6 ± 0.1	387.8 ± 0.1	387.6 ± 0.1	390.3 ± 0.0
phot1 phot2	388.4 ± 0.0	388.1 ± 0.1	388.4 ± 0.1	390.3 ± 0.0
Ler	386.9 ± 0.1	387.4 ± 0.1	386.9 ± 0.1	390.1 ± 0.0
cry1 cry2	387.8 ± 0.1	387.9 ± 0.1	387.8 ± 0.1	390.1 ± 0.0

752









