View metadata, citation and similar papers at core.ac.uk

Grazzini et al. Renal Replacement Therapy (2019) 5:26 https://doi.org/10.1186/s41100-019-0220-0

RESEARCH

Open Access

Cost analysis of blood purification: a tool for decision-making and supply chain optimization

Silvia Grazzini¹, Claudia Razzauti¹, Lea Paola Fabbri^{2*}, Michele Galatà³, Stefano Bellucci⁴, Ilaria Colivicchi⁵ and Maria Elvira Mancino⁵

Abstract

This study complies with the reorganization of health care provider processes based on the cost of life cycle of the product. The aim is to determine the costs of treatment administration of extracorporeal dialysis and other treatments in extracorporeal systems.

The strategy here was to apply for the first time the "bill of material" (BoM) method to the production and management of the services above.

By using standard replacement flows, we build the "bill of materials" to calculate the cost of a CRRT (continuous renal replacement therapy) with anticoagulant. The standard cost of the process is thus obtained.

The method here developed can be used for accounting purposes and for economic planning, as a tool for accurate statistical evaluation of medical care.

Keywords: Bill of materials, Continuous renal replacement therapy, Regional anticoagulation, Citrate, Cost of life cycle

Introduction

This paper provides a method to determine the costs of treatment administration of extracorporeal dialysis and other treatments in extracorporeal systems, from a citrate CRRT treatment, proposed by the industry, and from another regional anticoagulation realized using heparin, protamine hydrochloride, and epoprostenol previously reported by Fabbri et al. [1], and here called HPE. The two methods are comparable because the anticoagulant strategy is limited to the extracorporeal circuit (regional anticoagulation), representing a key issue for safe and effective RRT (renal replacement therapy). HPE and similar (without citrate) methods were recently reviewed by Brophy et al. [2], by considering benefits and drawbacks, including circuit lifespan. The anticoagulant allows to easily reach the target of 72 h of treatment as explained by the device technical documentation.

In this context, the present study allows one to thoroughly address a budget target concerning the monitoring of overall expenditure for procurement and management

* Correspondence: lea.fabbri@libero.it

²Independent Researcher, Pistoia, Italy



Cost analysis starts when the machine is ready for usage with the appropriate medical devices and stops at waste management.

In the literature, several studies have developed cost analysis related to such treatments, starting from the cost of consumables and human resources required for their administration (see, e.g., [4]). The costs are calculated with time references differing from study to study. Fluid, dialysate, and reinfusion liquid consumption are usually calculated taking into account average flows, while the variability of the reinfusion liquid flows upon varying solute concentration is neglected.

© The Author(s), 2019 Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.



Renal Replacement Therapy

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

On the other hand, some analyses, like [5], demonstrate that flows are actually extremely variable, fact that determines a high-cost variability, which is also due to different work organization. Other studies focus on the trend of the human resource costs, which vary considerably with work organization and treatment administration procedure (see, e.g., [6]).

Hence, it follows that the results of the various studies are hardly comparable among themselves since the difficulty of accurately identify the real contribution of each raw material to the treatments remains. Indeed, to the very best of our knowledge, the standard cost of such treatments has never been calculated so far. For this reason, it is not possible to make a factual comparison between the prices of the treatments as a whole, but it is only possible to make a comparison between single parts.

The economic crisis of the last 10 years has led us to take into account not only the clinical outcome, but also the economic one, especially in treating patients of the critic care, whose cost represents one of the most relevant items of expenditure in the health sector, as in [7]. The literature shows that also QALYs (Quality-Adjusted Life Year) studies (see, e.g., [8, 9]) must not disregard a thorough cost analysis and a research of production processes that are able to reduce them, so that the choice of the methodology may go back to being primarily of clinical type, as in [10-12].

The purpose of the present paper is to provide a method for analyzing standard costs correlated to the product life cycle, i.e., of treatment administration, according to [3]. The use of citrate as an anticoagulant has been wide-spreading since 2010, it can be used as a base for a number of other treatments but its cost depends on several variables which make it difficult to determine with acceptable accuracy.

Materials and methods

Description of materials used

All the materials are referred to 2015 technology. The following materials are employed for the entire treatment:

- Infusion bags and dialysate: 5-L bags including all formulations on the market at the same price range. The dialysate bags are without calcium.
- 2) Bags with 10 L effluent for the citrate system with a flow equal to 200 mL/h–bags with 9 L effluent for citrate system with a flow equal to 2250 mL/h: for the calculation of costs, the average price among those of the purchase procedure is used.
- 3) 2-L bags with citrate concentration of 136 mmol Na_3/L for the system citrate flow equal to 200 mL/h-5 L citrate bags with a concentration of 12 mmol Na_3/L for the citrate system with a flow equal to 2250 mL/h: for the calculation of costs

the average price among those of the purchase procedure is used.

- 4) HPE: replacement bags and dialysate bags 5 L; effluent bags 10 L; heparin 25,000 I.U./5 mL vial; protamine hydrochloride 50 mg/5 mL vial at the dosage of 1 mg/100 I.U. Heparin; epoprostenol 0.5 mg plus 50 mL diluent
- 5) Kit with lines, filter, junctions (joints, fittings): for the calculation of costs, the average price among those of the purchase procedure is used.
- 6) Monitors in usage.

Model description

In order to calculate the actual cost of each treatment, we used the method of BoM (bill of materials) to identify all the components, sub or intermediate assemblies, raw materials, parts, and quantities of what is needed to carry out the treatment, determining the impact for each of them in the production process.

We built the BoM of a treatment in CRRT with citrate in CVVHDF (continuous venovenous hemodiafiltration) mode, proposed by the industry, and in CRRT with heparin, protamine, and epoprostenol in CVVHDF mode. We assume, as usual, the examined treatment at zero level, and at least the most important components at level 1.

We can build simpler basic bills or more complex ones for the analysis of the most appropriate cost.

Determining the flows of substitution allows one to calculate the duration in minutes of each bag/vial used and, as a consequence, the number of bags/vials required to produce the considered treatment, compared to the duration of the treatment itself.

Flow replacements are parametrized using the ones most frequently programmed in the dialysis monitor. We call them "standard flows" following [13–15], and the related costs "standard costs."

In Table 1, we report the values for the treatment with citrate and the same values are shown for the HPE method.

FLOW	DESCRIPTION	CWHDF
Qb	Blood flow	150 mL/min
Ср	Weight loss	100 mL/h
Qd	Dialysate flow	1300 mL/h
Qinf	Replacement fluid flow (pre-post)	2000 mL/h
Qef	Effluent flow	3400 mL/h
QNa_3	Citrate flow mL/h	To be determined
Qe	Heparin diluted flow	1000 UI/h
Qpr	Protamine diluted flow	10 mg/h
Qp	Prostacyclin diluted flow (epoprostenol)	20,000 ng/h

For the flow of fluids during CRRT treatments, a number of variables must be taken into account, related to the use of the therapeutic dose established by the operator according to the different types of patient. To this regard, we must consider that the prescribed dose can vary from 20 to 35 up to 43 mL/kg/h of effluent representing any exchange of fluids and the weight loss set. The dose, however, should never be less than 35 mL/ kg/day, whichever mode you choose, CVVHD (continuous venovenous hemodialysis), CVVH (continuous venovenous hemofiltration), CVVHDF dividing the infusion rate in pre-and post-dilution as required by the operator based on the type of patient. When using the therapeutic dose of 43 mL/kg/h, in the more complex form of CRRT, the CVVHDF, the portions of infusion fluids are generally distributed as follows: 25 mL/kg/h for the fluids to re-infusion and 18 mL/kg/h for the dialysate. Even for the lower doses, the ratio between convective and diffusive portion is about 60/40.

It should be noted, however, that there must be a verification of the dose prescribed and that actually provided. In theory, if there were no errors or changes the value of the effluent measured by the monitor should match that one expected. In fact, during the CRRT, there is always downtime related to the operations of the nursing staff estimated in the table of costs in 72 h.

When the Qef value is used, as defined in Table 1, the citrate flow variable must be added, once it is determined.

The amount of citrate to be infused is assumed equal to $3 \text{ mmolNa}_3/L$ of blood.

Once blood flow is established and given the concentration of citrate bag expressed in mmol Na_3/L , the citrate flow is determined by the linear relationship:

Qb mL/h: n. mmol di Na₃/L/h = Q Na₃ mL/h: 3 mmol Na₃/L/h.

The industry proposes 2-L bags with a concentration equal to 136 mmol Na_3/L and 5 L bags with concentration equal to 12 mmol $Na_3/L/h$.

The proportion determines a value of QNa_3 equal to 200 mL/h in the former case, and a value of QNa_3 equal to 2250 mL/h, in the latter.

The total Qef therefore will vary from Qef = 3600 mL/ h to Qef = 5650 mL/h:

 $Qef + QNa_3 = 200 \text{ mL/h}$ (with Qef = 3600 mL/h)

 $Qef + QNa_3 = 2250 \text{ mL/h}$ (with Qef = 5650 mL/h)

We are now able to compute the coefficients of use of the bags or vials for 24 h and then for 72 h of treatment (Table 2).

The coefficients to use for medical devices for 72 h are equal to one unit each, for all types of considered treatment.

The coefficients needed for the equipment can be calculated, for all the different types of considered treatments, on the basis of the estimate of the average number of treatments performed each year. The following formula was used:

C = (V/8)/N

where *C* is the equipment cost for each treatment, *V* is the market value of the equipment offered in the tender, to be amortized over 8 years,¹ *N* is the number of treatments/year per equipment. The coefficient of utilization of the monitor is *V*/8*N*. *V* includes the cost of maintenance and technical assistance. Regarding the cleaning of the circuit, this is carried out before each treatment with a washing solution in the extracorporeal blood circuit 2-L bag.

Electricity consumption is estimated by the equipment's service manual. Unit costs of electric power and wastes were taken from the power supply and waste disposal contracts, respectively valid at the time of the present study. Staff and laboratory tests costs were provided by the management control system of health authorities. Average unit costs were determined by the management control system according to costs analytical accounting.

Among the coefficients related to the management of the process, for all the types of the considered treatment, the interventions of personnel for the exchange-bags/ vials, is estimated equal to 5 min, plus additional 5 min for each laboratory test. During the treatments proposed by the industry, an average of 14 laboratory tests are performed: these are tests of blood gas analysis, performed at the beginning of the treatment, after 5 min, after 3 h and then one every 6 h. During all the types of treatments in HPE, an average of four laboratory tests are performed: these are complete coagulation tests.

The amount of liquid waste is given by the remainder in the infusion bags, dialysate, citrate if infused, plus replacement fluids consisting of the decrease in weight of 7.2 L in 72 h. In case of a QNa₃ = 200 mL/h treatment, the replacement fluid is 260 L (actually, 93.9 L + 144 L + 14.4 L + 7.2 L = 259.5 L).

This is likely due to approximations of calculation. The error, however, is believed to be negligible. The same applies to the $QNa_3 = 2250 \text{ mL/h}$ treatment, for which the calculated discrepancy is equal to 720 mL in 72 h.

The solid wastes were weighed at the end of each type of treatment: 100 g for empty 5-L bag and 200 g for empty 9 or 10-L bag; the empty kit for the $QNa_3 = 200 \text{ mL/h}$ treatment is 1.600 kg, and 1.150 kg for the $QNa_3 = 2250 \text{ mL/h}$ treatment.

In CRRT in CVVHDF mode with HPE, anticoagulant-related wastes are not present.

Results

The standard costs can be calculated using the contract prices, noted by the sign " \in ".² Electric power supply cost is estimated to be 0.17 \notin /KW. Personnel

Treatments in CWHDF with anticoagulant	Utilized components	Minute duration bags or vials (bag's volume/infusion flow)	Bags per 24 h
	Dialysate bags without Ca 5 L	230 min	n. 6.26
	Replacement bags 5 L	150 min	n. 9.6
	Na_3 bags 2 L with 136 mmol Na_3/L	600 min	n. 2.4
	Na ₃ bags 5 L with 12 mmol Na ₃ /L	132 min	n. 10.9
	Effluent bags at Qef = 5650 mL/h	95 min	n. 15.16
	Effluent bags at Qef = 3600 mL/h	166 min	n. 8.67
	Heparin vial 25,000 UI	1500 min	n. 0.96
	Protamine vial 250 mg	1500 min	n. 4.8
	Epoprostenol prostacyclin vial 500,000 ng	1500 min	n. 0.96

Table 2 Number of bags per 24 h treatments CRRT in CWHDF mode with citrate and with HPE

cost has been estimated with the aid of the management control. It was calculated as the average cost comprehensive of allowance and related obligations, and resulted to be equal to $0.38 \notin$ /min. The cost of laboratory analyses is the same as calculated by the management control. Waste disposal costs are estimated to be 1.00 \notin /L or kg. The costs are summarized in Tables 3 and 4.

The total costs of replacement fluids of medical devices and equipment are not significantly different for the three methods and therefore they can be considered constant in these three cases.

The cost difference between the two methodologies proposed by the industry is due to the number of citrate bags necessary for the treatment. Such variable in turn depends on solute concentration (citrate, in this case) with respect to the therapeutic dose. The solute concentration is an important variable that might be standardized (see [16]) with respect to the therapeutic doses in order to contribute to consumption and cost reduction.

In the case of HPE, the cost of the anticoagulant is related to the costs of the components used in the treatment.

Process costs are highly correlated to the different methods of regional anticoagulant.

This or a similar method should be applied to calculate the life-cycle costs, every time a medical device requires consumable material.

In order to extend the cost analysis, the major out-of-process parameters affecting the average/standard cost of the treatment were also taken into account.³

It is known that the lifetime of the filter has a major impact on the overall costs due to the possibility of filter clotting, which in turn determines further out-of-process costs which can be calculated thanks to the BoM. In fact, in such circumstance, the treatment has to be discontinued, patient taken off the machine, bags and filter disposed of the new circuit has to be assembled, washed with priming solution, and connected to the patient to resume the treatment. Circuit lifetime was estimated from Gattas et al. [17] in the case of citrate and from Fabbri et al. [1] in the case of HPE.

From Kaplan-Meier estimate in [17], the probability p = 0.25 for the circuit survival for the whole duration of the treatment (72 h) was inferred. In the case when no filter clotting occurs (p = 0.25) the citrate treatment cost, as calculated with the BoM, averaged over the two different infusion flow rates (QNa₃ = 2250 mL and QNa₃ = 200 mL/h), as proposed by the industry, is $C = 1525.12\epsilon$.

In the complementary clotting scenario,⁴ whose occurrence has probability 1-p = 0.75, out-of-process costs to be considered are 1 dialysate bag, 1 replacement bag, 1 Na₃ bag, 1 effluent bag, 1 filter/equipment; costs of disposal of replaced bags (this can be determined only when knowing the clotting time t); personnel costs, 50 min.

Considering the above extra-costs, the total outof-process costs: $C_{\text{OoP}} = 174.38 \in$. Therefore, the cost increases by 11.4%.

In total, the overall mean value cost weighted on the two scenarios, according to the given probability p, is equal to

$$p \cdot C + (1-p) \cdot (C + C_{\text{OoP}}) = 1655.90 \in$$

Considering instead HPE treatment, we have, according to [1], that the probability for the circuit survival for the whole duration of the treatment is p = 0.40. We have $C = 1270.82 \in$, with a total out-of-process costs $C_{\text{OOP}} = 160.46 \in$, amounting to a cost increase of 12.6%. Therefore, the overall mean value cost weighted on the two scenarios, according to the given probability p, is equal to

$$p \cdot C + (1-p) \cdot (C + C_{\text{OoP}}) = 1367.10 \notin$$

We developed dynamic spreadsheets to be filed for each patient, taking into account the actual flows and actual therapeutic doses, which may vary during 72 h of

	Replacement	fluids			Medical dev	ices		Equipment			Process				
Level 1															
	Replacement bags 5 L	Dialysate bags without Ca 5 L	Citrate bags	Effluent bags 10 L	Filter/ equipment	Dressing	Double lumen catheter	Machine utilization	Disinfectants	Priming solution	Electricity	Nursing staff	Laboratori tests	Liquid waste	Solid waste
Level 0	CRRT with an	ticoagulation citrate	• QNa ₃ = 2	2250 ml/h											
Unitary costs	Ψ	£	Ψ	Æ	£	ŧ	Æ	£	£	ŧ	€ 0.17	€ 0.38	€ 2.46	€ 1.00	€ 1.00
Total costs raw material	Ψ	Ψ	Ψ	Ψ	Æ	Ψ	ŧ	Æ	Æ	Æ	€ 5.76	€ 294.50	€ 34.44	€ 412.92	€ 10.43
	total costs reș	olacement fluids			total costs n	nedical de	vices	total costs ∈	squipment		total proce	ess costs			
	Ψ				£			£			€ 758.05				
	total cost €														
Level 0	CRRT with an	ticoagulation citrate	• QNa ₃ = 2	200 ml/h											
Unitary costs	Ψ	Æ	ŧ	ŧ	£	Ð	£	e	£	Ψ	€ 0.17	€ 0.38	€ 2.46	€ 1.00	€ 1.00
Total costs raw material	Ψ	Ψ	Ψ	ŧ	ŧ	Ψ	Æ	ŧ	Æ	ŧ	€ 5.76	€ 209.00	€ 34.44	€ 263.70	€ 7.00
	Total costs re	placement fliuds			Total costs r	nedical de	evices	Total costs (equipment		Total proce	ess costs			
	e				Æ			Æ			€ 519.90				
	Total cost €														
^a Medical perso consumables o	onnel cost is not c costs	considered a process o	cost, as me	edical personn	nel is not involv	/ed in the _k	orocess itself. Co	sts of support.	service are alread	dy included	in the leasing	g of the mad	chine or acco	unted for i	

Table 3 Costs of a treatment in CRRT in CWHDF mode with citrate QNa3 = 2250 and QNa3 = 200 mL/h

Grazzini et al. Renal Replacement Therapy (2019) 5:26

Anticonaulont		Modical devices	Modical devices	Modical devicate Equipment	Madinal devices Equipment Device	Modical dovisors Equipment Decret	Modical davinase Equipment Drocore
ent fluids Anticoagulant		Medical devices	Medical devices Equipment	Medical devices Equipment	Medical devices Equipment Process	Medical devices Equipment Process	Medical devices Equipment Process
ent Dialysate Effluent Heparin Protamine Epop bags 5L bags vial vial 50 0,5 m 10L 25,000 mg/5 ml ml di U.I.	g p luei	rostenol Filter/ Dressing Double g plus 50 equipment lumen luent catheter	rostenol Filter/ Dressing Double Machine Disi g plus 50 equipment lumen utilization luent catheter	rostenol Filter/ Dressing Double Machine Disinfectants Priming g plus 50 equipment lumen utilization solution luent catheter	rostenol Filter/ Dressing Double Machine Disinfectants Priming Electricity N g plus 50 equipment lumen utilization solution st luent catheter	rostenol Filter/ Dressing Double Machine Disinfectants Priming Electricity Nursing Labo g plus 50 equipment lumen utilization solution staff tests luent catheter	rostenol Filter/ Dressing Double Machine Disinfectants Priming Electricity Nursing Laboratory L g plus 50 equipment lumen utilization solution staff tests w luent
E E E		€ €	E E E	E E E E	€ € € € € € € 0.17 €	€ € € € € € € €0.17 €0.38 €2.4	€ € € € € € € 0.17 € 0.38 € 2.46 €
Ψ Ψ Ψ		ψ	Y Y Y Y	е е е е	E E E E E E E576 E	€ € € € € € € £776 €163.40 €9.8	€ € € € € € € €5.76 €163.40 €9.84 € 2
s replacement fluids Total anticoagulant costs		Total costs medical devices	Total costs medical devices Total costs equ	Total costs medical devices Total costs equipment	Total costs medical devices Total costs equipment Total process	Total costs medical devices Total costs equipment Total process costs	Total costs medical devices Total costs equipment Total process costs
÷		e	E	E	€ € 434,02	€ € € 434,02	€ € € 434,02

_	
0	
<u>a</u>	
Ľ	
5	
0	
Ξ.	
0	
~	
0	
0	
<u>a</u>	
Ψ	
0	
_	
σ	
2	
Ψ	
$\overline{\mathbf{O}}$	
·	
7	
0	
_	
÷	
ğ	
0	
~	
0	
5	
í - `	
~	
d 1	
Ψ	
·=	
2	
5	
g	
÷	
0	
5	
0	
-	
÷	
. <u> </u>	
<u> </u>	
σ	
\cap	
2	
$\underline{\Phi}$	
_	
<u> </u>	
4	
.2	
Ī	
Š	
N	
de wi	
ide wi	
ode wi	
node wi	
mode wi	
⁻ mode wi	
)F mode wi	
DF mode wi	
HDF mode wi	
'HDF mode wi	
VHDF mode wi	
WHDF mode wi	
CWHDF mode wi	
CWHDF mode wi	
CVVHDF mode wi	
n CVVHDF mode wi	
in CWHDF mode wi	
T in CWHDF mode wi	
3T in CWHDF mode wi	
RT in CWHDF mode wi	
RRT in CWHDF mode wi	
CRRT in CVVHDF mode wi	
CRRT in CWHDF mode wi	
n CRRT in CWHDF mode wi	
in CRRT in CVVHDF mode wi	
: in CRRT in CWHDF mode wi	
it in CRRT in CWHDF mode wi	
nt in CRRT in CWHDF mode wi	
ent in CRRT in CWHDF mode wi	
nent in CRRT in CWHDF mode wi	
ment in CRRT in CWHDF mode wi	
tment in CRRT in CVVHDF mode wi	
atment in CRRT in CWHDF mode wi	
eatment in CRRT in CWHDF mode wi	
reatment in CRRT in CWHDF mode wi	
treatment in CRRT in CWHDF mode wi	
I treatment in CRRT in CWHDF mode wi	
a treatment in CRRT in CWHDF mode wi	
f a treatment in CRRT in CWHDF mode wi	
of a treatment in CRRT in CWHDF mode wi	
of a treatment in CRRT in CVVHDF mode wi	
s of a treatment in CRRT in CVVHDF mode wi	
ts of a treatment in CRRT in CWHDF mode wi	
sts of a treatment in CRRT in CVVHDF mode wi	
osts of a treatment in CRRT in CWHDF mode wi	
Costs of a treatment in CRRT in CWHDF mode wi	
Costs of a treatment in CRRT in CVVHDF mode wi	
Costs of a treatment in CRRT in CWHDF mode wi	
4 Costs of a treatment in CRRT in CVVHDF mode wi	
: 4 Costs of a treatment in CRRT in CWHDF mode wi	
e 4 Costs of a treatment in CRRT in CWHDF mode wi	
Ie 4 Costs of a treatment in CRRT in CWHDF mode wi	
ble 4 Costs of a treatment in CRRT in CWHDF mode wi	
able 4 Costs of a treatment in CRRT in CWHDF mode wi	
Lable 4 Costs of a treatment in CRRT in CWHDF mode with	

treatment. In this way, it is possible to accurately predict and calculate real-time consumption and the cost of the active productive process and collect the data needed to implement an appropriate statistical analysis.

Discussion

During the fulfillment of our contract, we submitted our BoMs to three suppliers; these BoMs were based on standard flows, taking into account only the consumables.

They were asked to determine the citrate flow starting from the therapeutic infusion dose, 3 mmol QNa_3 / blood/L. The suppliers are therefore taking part in the expense control phase. Their answers were compared with our results. One supplier provided data in agreement with the ones expected for 7 methods out of 7. Another supplier provided the data expected for 7 methods out of 9, and underestimated data for 2 methods: the mismatch is due to the fact that QNa_3 values lower than actual ones were declared. The third supplier failed to provide any data for 2 methods out of 2, stating that the costs were not determinable.

These results confirmed the correctness of the method. The method was however further checked by a medical-scientific and an engineering point of view. Moreover, further investigations concerning the production process discussed above were carried out. These deeper controls led us to conclusions that could not be drawn from the simpler BoM, submitted to suppliers. For instance, it can be inferred that in CRRT treatments with citrate, the concentration of the solution in the bag obviously importantly affects the quantity of the bags needed and therefore on personnel costs, the liquid waste produced and on the standard cost.

Conclusion

Precise identification of real contribution of each raw material to the treatments makes it possible to estimate exactly the cost of the product life cycle. Such transparency can guide the medical activity, as well as the choices of the industry and the public buyer. The clinicians may include in their choices considerations about personnel management, easiness, and readiness of laboratory analysis and waste disposal. Since the BoM takes into account all the costs detailed above, it may be an efficient tool to guide the internal activities of the suppliers who, in turn, may invest in research and development to obtain more efficient BoMs to submit to the public service [16]. Such types of analyses allow the suppliers to enter the process supply chain and take advantage of their own ability in competitive cost reduction.

The public buyer is able to predict, quantify, and justify higher than expected expenses.

Lastly, BoM provides a valuable tool for the assessment of the supply quality, quantifying qualitative aspects, otherwise difficult to measure and compare. In this way, determining standard costs would be an asset for all the health productive processes.

Endnotes

¹At the time of the tender, Italian legislation established 8 years term as depreciation rate for electromedical devices, that is 12.5% per annum of the market value (Decreto Ministero dell'Economia e delle Finanze, 31 dicembre 1988).

²Detailed costs proposed by the industry are considered confidential data and therefore not fully disclosable.

³Unit costs of electric power, laboratory tests, and wastes are determined by long-term (10 years) contracts; therefore, they can be considered constant during the considered period. Staff contracts are renegotiated every 10 years.

⁴For simplicity, the adverse complementary scenario considers the occurrence of a single clotting.

Abbreviations

BoM: Bill of materials; CRRT: Continuous renal replacement therapy; CWH: Continuous venovenous hemofiltration; CWHD: Continuous venovenous hemodialysis; CWHDF: Continuous venovenous hemodiafiltration; HPE: Heparin, protamine hydrochloride, epoprostenol; QALYs: Quality-adjusted life year; RRT: Renal replacement therapy

Acknowledgements

Not applicable

Funding

Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials

Data sharing not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study. Please contact the corresponding author for data request.

Authors' contributions

SG and CR provided the implementation of the accountability method and the economic study. SB analyzed the process from an engineering and clinical point of view. LPF and MG studied all the medical issues to complete the cost analysis. MEM and IC contributed to the analysis and the interpretation of the data and assisted in the preparation of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Author details

¹Procurement Department of public assets and services, ESTAR Tuscany, Florence, Italy. ²Independent Researcher, Pistoia, Italy. ³Anaesthesia and Intensive Care Unit, Pontremoli Hospital, Massa Carrara, Italy. ⁴Clinical Engineering Department, ESTAR Tuscany, Florence, Italy. ⁵Department of Economics and Management, University of Florence, Florence, Italy.

Received: 16 January 2019 Accepted: 7 May 2019 Published online: 27 June 2019

References

- Fabbri LP, Nucera M, Al Malyan M, Becchi C. Regional anticoagulation and antiaggregation for CWH in critically ill patients: a prospective randomized, controlled pilot study. Acta Anesthesiol Scand. 2010;54:92–7.
- Brophy P, Khan I, Deep A. Anticoagulation in CRRT. Chapter 17. In: Deep A, Goldstein SL, editors. Critical care nephrology and renal replacement therapy in children. Cham: Springer International Publishing AG, part of Springer Nature; 2018. p. 251–9.
- 3. Directive UE 2014/24/UE. 2014. https://eur-lex.europa.eu/homepage.html.
- Manns B, Doig CJ, Lee H, Dean S, Tonelli M, Johnson D, Donaldson C. Cost of acute renal failure requiring dialysis in the intensive care unit: clinical and resource implications of renal recovery. Crit Care Med. 2003;31(2):449–55.
- Srisawat N, Lawsin L, Uchino S, Bellomo R, Kellum JA. Cost of acute renal replacement therapy in the intensive care unit: results from the beginning and ending supportive therapy for the kidney (BEST kidney) study. Crit Care. 2010;14(2):R46.
- Vitale C, Bagnis C, Marangella M, Belloni G, Lupo M, Spina G, Bondonio P, Ramello A. Cost analysis of blood purification in intensive care units: continuous versus intermittent hemodiafiltration. J Nephrol. 2003;16:572–9.
- Castellino S, Santoro D. Valutazione dei costi nelle terapie sostitutive renali in area critica. G Ital Nefrol. 2006;23, (Suppl 36:S139–42.
- Ethgen O, Schneider AG, Bagshaw SM, Bellomo R, Kellum JA. Economics of dialysis dependence following renal replacement therapy for critically ill acute kidney injury patients. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2015;30(1):54–61.
- De Smedt DM, Elseviers MM, Lins RL, Annemans L. Economic evaluation of different treatment modalities in acute kidney injury. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2012;27(11):4095–101.
- Camporese A, Avolio M, Grosso S, Zigante P, Tosoni N, Diamante P, Lucchese T, De Rosa R, Stano P, Modolo ML. *Lean Thinking*, appropriatezza clinica e nuove tecnologie nel processo di miglioramento di efficienza ed efficacia della diagnostica delle malattie sessualmente trasmesse. Ital J Lab Med. 2013;9(3):170–5.
- Nicosia, F.: Il nuovo ospedale è snello. Far funzionare gli ospedali con il Lean Healthcare: consigli pratici e sostenibilità. First edition; 2010; E-book (PDF) ISBN: 9788856829198.
- 12. Bovenzi FM. Ospedale per intensità di cura: scegliere leggero un compito pesante. G Ital Cardiol. 2011;12(1):21–2.
- Ronco C, Antonelli M, Capasso G, De Gaudio R, Fiaccadori E, Lorini L, Mancini E, Monti G, Morabito S, Nalesso F, Piccinni P, Ricci Z, Romagnoli S, Santoro A. Italian Critical Care Nephrology Board Nomenclatura per le terapie di supporto durante danno renale acuto. G Ital Nefrol. 2015;32(2):1–26.
- 14. Ricci Z, Romagnoli S, Ronco C. Renal replacement therapy. F1000Research. 2016;103:1–13.
- Villa G, Ricci Z, Ronco C. Renal replacement therapy. Crit Care Clin. 2015;31:839–48.
- Godden J, Spexarth F, Dahlgren M. Standardization of continuous renalreplacement therapy fluids using a commercial product. Am J Health-Syst Pharm. 2012;69:786–93.
- Gattas DJ, Rajbhandari D, Bradford C, Buhr H, Lo S, Bellomo R. A randomized controlled trial of regional citrate versus regional heparin anticoagulation for continuous renal replacement therapy in critically ill adults. Crit Care Med. 2015;43(8):1622–9.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- · rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

