Contents lists available at ScienceDirect





Chemical Geology

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/chemgeo

Origin of methane and light hydrocarbons in natural fluid emissions: A key study from Greece



Kyriaki Daskalopoulou^{a,b}, Sergio Calabrese^a, Fausto Grassa^c, Konstantinos Kyriakopoulos^b, Francesco Parello^a, Franco Tassi^d, Walter D'Alessandro^{c,*}

^a Università degli Studi di Palermo, Dipartimento della Terra e del Mare, via Archirafi, 36, 90123 Palermo, Italy

^b National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Department of Geology and Geoenvironment, Panepistimioupolis, Ano Ilissia, 15784 Athens, Greece

^c Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia, via Ugo la Malfa 153, 90146 Palermo, Italy

^d Università degli Studi di Firenze, Dipartimento della Terra, via G. La Pira 4, 50121 Florence, Italy

ARTICLE INFO

Editor: Dong Hailiang

ABSTRACT

Greece, a country characterised by intense seismic and volcanic activity, has a complex geodynamic and geological setting that favours the occurrence of many gas manifestations. In this study, we address the origin of CH₄ and light hydrocarbons in cold and thermal emissions discharging along the Hellenic territory. Also, we investigate their possible relationship with the main geochemical composition of the gases and the different geological settings of the sampling sites. For this purpose we collected 101 new samples that were analysed for their chemical (O₂, N₂, CH₄, CO₂, He, Ne, Ar, H₂, H₂S and C₂-C₆ hydrocarbons) and isotopic (R/R_A, δ¹³C-CO₂, δ^{13} C-CH₄ and δ^{2} H-CH₄) composition. Results show that CH₄ presents a wide range of concentrations (from < 0.5 to 925,200 μ mol/mol) and isotopic values (δ^{13} C-CH₄ from -79.8 to +45.0% vs. V-PDB; δ^{2} H-CH₄ from -311 to +301‰ vs. V-SMOW). Greece was subdivided in four geologic units (External [EH] and Internal [IH] Hellenides, Hellenic Hinterland [HH] and active Volcanic Arc [VA]) and a decreasing CH4 concentration from EH to HH was recognized, whereas CH₄ showed intermediate concentrations in VA. The CH₄/($C_2H_6 + C_3H_8$) ratios (from 1.5 to 93,200), coupled with CH4 isotopic features, suggest that the light alkanes derive from different primary sources and are affected by secondary processes. An almost exclusive biotic, mainly microbial, origin of CH4 can be attributed to EH gases. Cold gases at IH have mainly a thermogenic origin, although some gases connected to continental serpentinization may have an abiogenic origin. Methane in gases bubbling in thermal waters of IH, HH and VA and fumarolic gases of the VA seem to have an abiogenic origin, although their chemical and isotopic characteristics may have been produced by secondary oxidation of thermogenic CH₄, a process that in some of the sampled gases causes extremely positive isotopic values (δ^{13} C-CH₄ up to +45.0% vs. V-PDB and δ^2 H-CH₄ up to +301‰ vs. V-SMOW).

1. Introduction

Geogenic emissions of carbon greenhouse gases (mainly CO_2 and CH_4) have a significant impact on the global carbon budget (Kvenvolden, 1993; Klusman and Jakel, 1998; Mörner and Etiope, 2002). Notwithstanding, a reliable estimation of the effective amount discharged from natural manifestations is still a challenge (Guliyev and Feizullayev, 1997; Milkov, 2000 and references therein; Etiope et al., 2009). Methane, the most abundant organic gas compound in Earth's atmosphere, has a potential global warming 28 times higher than that of CO_2 on a 100-year time horizon (Ciais et al., 2013; Etiope, 2015). In a natural environment, the production of CH_4 involves organic matter either as an active (microbial production) or as a passive agent

(thermogenic degradation) (Schoell, 1980, 1988; Whiticar, 1999a, 1999b). Microbial activity by Archaea occurring during the diagenesis of sediments at relatively low temperatures (up to $122 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$ – Takai et al., 2008) predominantly produces methane, subordinately ethane and, likely, trace amounts of propane (Formolo, 2010). On the other hand, thermogenic methane is produced at higher temperatures (> 150 $\,^{\circ}\text{C}$ – Quingley and MacKenzie, 1988) by the thermal cracking of organic matter (catagenesis) or oil. Thermogenic gases can be either independent from oil reservoirs or associated with them, having variable amounts of ethane, propane, butane, and condensate (C₅₊ higher hydrocarbons).

Abiogenic processes able to synthesize CH_4 from inorganic molecules at high temperatures, have been also hypothesized to occur in

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: walter.dalessandro@ingv.it (W. D'Alessandro).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2018.01.027

Received 17 August 2017; Received in revised form 19 January 2018; Accepted 23 January 2018 Available online 31 January 2018 0009-2541/ © 2018 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. natural environments (Etiope and Sherwood Lollar, 2013). Among others, reduction of graphite (Holloway, 1984) or, thermal decomposition of siderite (McCollom, 2013) has been proposed. However, the main abiotic process is considered to be the reduction of gaseous CO or CO_2 (Berndt et al., 1996; Horita and Berndt, 1999; Foustoukos and Seyfried, 2004). The reduction process occurs mainly through the socalled Fischer-Tropsch-type reactions, which are the Fischer-Tropsch reaction (sensu stricto).

$$(2n + 1) H_2 + nCO \rightarrow C_n H_{2n+2} + nH_2O$$
 (1)

that allows also the production of minor amounts of light hydrocarbons and the Sabatier reaction:

$$4H_2 + CO_2 \rightarrow CH_4 + 2H_2O \tag{2}$$

Both reactions have high activation energies but in the presence of catalysts, such as native transition metals like Fe, Co, Cr or Ni in natural systems, these reactions occur also in the 100–300 °C range (McCollom, 2013), while recently Etiope and Ionescu (2015) suggested that CH₄ can be effectively produced in the temperature range 20–90 °C in the presence of Ru catalyst within chromite-rich serpentinized rocks.

The Hellenic territory has an intense geodynamic activity, giving rise to (i) the highest seismicity of whole Europe (Burton et al., 2004), (ii) the presence of an active volcanic arc (Pe-Piper and Piper, 2002) and many areas of anomalous high geothermal gradient (Fytikas and Kolios, 1979), and (iii) the widespread occurrence of cold and thermal springs (D'Alessandro and Kyriakopoulos, 2013). As commonly observed in many hydrothermal systems worldwide distributed (e.g., Capaccioni et al., 1993, 2004; Tassi, 2004; Tassi et al., 2005a, 2005b, 2012), the natural fluid discharges in the Hellenic territory contain significant concentrations of CH₄ and light hydrocarbons. Although parts of the Hellenic territory have been target for oil and gas exploration, only limited chemical and isotopic data have been published about these gases (Kamberis et al., 2000; Rigakis et al., 2001; Etiope, 2009; Etiope et al., 2013a). Some data exist also for some hydrothermal systems (Fiebig et al., 2009, 2013, 2015; D'Alessandro et al., 2014) and for gases deriving from on-land serpentinization processes (Etiope et al., 2013; D'Alessandro et al., 2017) for the same area. The present work, through a widespread sampling of gas manifestations, constrains the origin of CH₄ and the postgenetic modifications that could affect the sampled gases all over Greece. To this end, we collected 101 samples from fumarolic, thermal and cold discharges and analysed the concentrations of major (O2, N2, CH4, CO2) and minor gas species (He, Ne, Ar, H₂, H₂S, C₂H₆, C₃H₈, C₃H₆, *i*-C₄H₁₀, *n*-C₄H₁₀ C₃H₆, *i*-C₄H₈ and C₆H₆) as well as the carbon isotopic composition of CH₄ and CO₂, the hydrogen isotopic composition of CH₄ and the isotopic composition of He. These data, integrated by literature data (Rigakis et al., 2001; Etiope, 2009; Etiope et al., 2006; Etiope et al., 2013a; 2013b; D'Alessandro et al., 2014, 2017), were used to relate origin and postgenetic processes affecting CH₄ to the geolithologic situation of the sampled manifestations.

1.1. Study area

The geotectonic evolution of the broader area of Greece has been described as a discontinuous southwestward migration of the Alpine orogenic process with successive subductions of the Tethyan oceanic basins, producing collisional tectonics in the areas between Eurasia and Gondwana during Mesozoic and Tertiary times (Robertson and Dixon, 1984; Mountrakis, 1985, 1986). According to the plate tectonics theory, new palaeogeographic and tectonic models were proposed about the evolution of Tethys and the emplacement of the ophiolites (Dercourt, 1972; Roberts and Koukouvelas, 1996; Bortolotti and Principi, 2005; van Hinsbergen et al., 2005). Based on these models, the Aegean Region was divided into several isopic/structural zones. According to various authors (Smith and Moores, 1974; Mountrakis, 1985, 2010), who described the gradual rifting of various continental fragments of

Gondwana at the beginning of the Mesozoic, their independent motion toward Eurasia that created new oceanic crust to the rear, and their final collision with the Eurasia at the end of the Mesozoic, the structural zones (Fig. 1) from west to east can be subdivided, as follows:

- a) External Hellenides: i) Parnassos; ii) Gavrovo-Tripolis; iii) Paxos zones that correspond to a neritic continental sea depositional environment; iv) Ionian zone that stands for a neritic intracontinental basin with pelagic sediments; v) Pindos zone that is formed from sedimentary remnants.
- b) Internal Hellenides: i) Circum-Rhodope zone that includes volcanoclastic deposits, sea deposits ending up in deep-sea sediments westwards, flysch and molasses; ii) Vardar/Axios zone that is characterised by deep-sea sediments and the obducted ophiolites; iii) Pelagonian zone consisting of neritic sediments; iv) Subpelagonian zone with the obducted ophiolites; v) Attico-Cycladic zone that is envisaged as a continental fragment having undergone neritic sedimentation.
- c) Hellenic Hinterland: i) Rhodope and ii) Serbomacedonian Massifs. Both Massifs represent an old continental crust affected by Alpidic metamorphism and consist of Precambrian-Silurian crystalline rocks (Anders et al., 2006; Reischmann and Kostopoulos, 2007) bearing few neritic deposits and also document Late Eocene – Early Oligocene granitoid intrusions (Fig. 1).

To sum up, the Hellenides are the result of the collision of several microcontinental fragments with the margin of Eurasia through the Cretaceous and Paleogene (van Hinsbergen et al., 2005). After the collision, the Hellenides have been characterised by widespread extension, particularly since the Miocene, as a result of subduction rollback from the oceanic crust of the African plate. The modern fault pattern is a result of the westward and south-westward motion of the Aegean-Anatolian microplate with respect to the Eurasian plate.

The Paleogene Hellenide orogeny of Greece and its eastward continuation into western Turkey resulted from the collision of the Apulian microcontinental fragment in the Eocene to Oligocene with the Pelagonian, Rhodope, and Serbo-Macedonian fragments, which had previously accreted to the southern margin of Eurasia in the Cretaceous. Subsequent extension in the Aegean was rapid, likely due to subduction rollback over residual oceanic crust of the African plate, whereas Anatolia had been bounded by African continental crust south of Cyprus since the Early Miocene. This regional extension and the thermal effects of asthenospheric upwelling, related to changes in the geometry of subducting slabs, have been interpreted as causing magma genesis principally within the lithospheric mantle (Pe-Piper and Piper, 2002). Ophiolites were mostly exposed in the Pindos and Vardar oceanic basins, forming two subparallel ophiolitic zones. Their emplacement took place at the closure of the Mesozoic Neotethys, initially in the Upper Jurassic-Lower Cretaceous and finally during the post-Paleocene times (e.g. Ferrière et al., 2016; Papanikolaou, 2009; Robertson, 2004, 2012; Stampfli et al., 2003). At the south Aegean Volcanic Arc, the activity started during the Upper Pliocene (Fytikas et al., 1986) and is currently active. The calc-alkaline volcanic activity of the Southern Aegean region developed in various volcanic centres from Sousaki to Nisyros through Methana, Milos and Santorini.

2. Materials and methods

Names, sampling date and coordinates of all new sampling sites can be found as supplementary material in Table S1. Bubbling gases were sampled using an inverted funnel positioned above the bubbles, whereas soil gases were collected by inserting a pipe in the soil at > 50 cm depth and driving the gas by a syringe and a 3-way valve. Dry gases were collected in 12 mL Exetainer* vials (only for hydrocarbon analyses) and in glass flasks equipped with two stopcocks (for the remaining analyses). Dissolved gas samples were collected by using of



Fig. 1. a) Map of the structural zones of the Hellenides (after Mountrakis, 1986) with the sampling sites of this study. Circles indicate the sampling sites subdivided on the basis of the dominating gas species (violet for CH₄; orange-red for CO₂; yellow-orange for N₂); b) The Moho depth model (km) as proposed by Grigoriadis et al. (2016) and c) Heat flow map (mW/ cm²) as proposed by Fytikas and Kolios (1979). (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

glass vials sealed by gas tight rubber/teflon plugs and analysed applying the *"headspace technique"* following the method proposed by (Capasso and Inguaggiato, 1998). To allow rapid comparison, dissolved gases have been recalculated as free gas phase in equilibrium with the sampled water. These, expressed in µmol/mol, have been obtained from the partial pressure values taking into account the solubility coefficients of each gas species at laboratory temperature.

In the laboratory, samples were analysed for He, H₂, H₂S, O₂, N₂, CH₄ and CO₂ by gas-chromatography (Perkin Elmer Clarus500 equipped with a double Carboxen 1000 columns, TCD-FID detectors) using Ar as the gas carrier. Ar was analysed with a Perkin Elmer XL gas-chromatograph with MSieve 5A column, TCD detector having He as carrier. Analytical uncertainties were \pm 5%. Hydrocarbon analyses were performed with a Shimadzu 14a gas-chromatograph equipped with a Flame Ionization Detector (FID) using He as the carrier gas (Vaselli et al., 2006). The analytical error was \leq 5%.

Carbon isotope composition of CO_2 was determined by using a Thermo Delta Plus XP, coupled with a Thermo TRACE Gas Chromatograph (GC) and a Thermo GC/C III interface. The TRACE GC is equipped with a Poraplot Q ($25 \text{ m} \times 0.32 \text{ mm}$) column and uses Helium (5.6) as carrier gas at a constant flow of $0.9 \text{ cm}^3 \text{min}^{-1}$. Undesired gas species, such as N₂, O₂, and CH₄, are vented to atmosphere by means of back-flush of He and a Sige valve.

The ${}^{13}C/{}^{12}C$ ratios are reported as $\delta^{13}C_{CO2}$ values with respect to the V-

PDB standard. Carbon isotope ratios were determined by comparing three in-house standards (δ^{13} C ranging from +0.3 ± 0.1‰ to -28.5 ± 0.3‰ vs V-PDB calibrated by using of a CO₂ standard (RM8564) with known isotopic composition (δ^{13} C = -10.45 ± 0.04‰ vs V-PDB) and two international standards (NBS 18 and NBS 19). External precision, computed as1 σ (standard deviation) on ten measurements of the same sample, is 0.1‰.

Carbon and Hydrogen isotopes of CH_4 both in free gases and in dissolved gases were measured using a Thermo TRACE GC interfaced to a Delta Plus XP gas source mass spectrometer and equipped with a Thermo GC/C III (for Carbon) and with GC/TC peripherals (for Hydrogen).

The gas chromatograph was equipped with an Rt-Q Plot column (Restek 30 m \times 0.32 mm i.d.) and the oven was held at a constant temperature (50 °C for carbon and 40 °C for Hydrogen). The flow rate of carrier gas (He of 5.6 grade) was held at a constant flux of 0.8 cm³ min⁻¹. A split/splitless injector with a split ratio from 10:1 to 80:1 was used for sample introduction, except for diluted samples (CH₄ concentration lower than 10 mmol/mol) when direct on-column injection was performed.

The inlet system, better described in Grassa et al. (2010), consists of a stainless steel loop with a known volume (50 µl), connected to a two-position six-port Valco[®] valve. Before the introduction of the sample, a vacuum of 10^{-2} mbar measured with an EBRO pressure gauge is

ensured by a rotary vane pump. Once CH₄ was separated from the gas mixture, it was quantitatively converted to CO₂ by passing through a combustion oven (T = 940 °C) for ¹³C/¹²C ratios analysis or to H₂ by passing it through a reactor set at a temperature of 1440 °C for ²H/¹H ratios analysis. Each sample analysis took about 500 s.

The $^{13}\text{C}/^{12}\text{C}$ ratios are reported as $\delta^{13}\text{C-CH}_4$ values with respect to the V-PDB standard and $^2\text{H}/^1\text{H}$ ratios are reported here as $\delta^2\text{H-CH}_4$ values with respect to the V-SMOW standard. Carbon isotope ratios were determined by comparing an in-house standard ($\delta^{13}\text{C}=-49.5\pm0.2\%$) calibrated by using of four CH₄ standards (Isometric Instruments) with known isotopic composition ($\delta^{13}\text{C}$ ranging from $-23.9\pm0.3\%$ to $-66.5\pm0.3\%$ vs V-PDB).

Hydrogen isotope ratios were determined comparing an in-house standard ($\delta^{13}C = -200 \pm 2.0\%$) with a CH₄ standard with known isotopic composition ($\delta^{2}H = -186.1 \pm 3.0\%$ vs V-SMOW).

External reproducibility, estimated as 1σ (standard deviation) on ten measurements of the same sample, is 0.2‰ and 2.0‰ for carbon and hydrogen isotopes respectively.

In CO_2 -dominated gases having CH_4 concentrations lower than 1000 µmol/mol, the analyses of the isotope ratios of methane were carried out in the headspace gas samples collected using pre-evacuated 60 mL glass flasks filled with 20 mL of a 4 N NaOH solution (Giggenbach and Gougel, 1989).

The abundance and isotope composition of He, and the ${}^{4}\text{He}/{}^{20}\text{Ne}$ ratios, were determined by separately admitting He and Ne into a split flight tube mass spectrometer (Helix SFT). Helium isotope compositions are given as R/R_A, where R is the $({}^{3}\text{He}/{}^{4}\text{He})$ ratio of the sample and R_A is the atmospheric $({}^{3}\text{He}/{}^{4}\text{He})$ ratio $(R_{A} = 1.386 \times 10^{-6})$. The analytical technique is described more in detail in Paonita et al. (2012). The analytical errors were generally < 1%.

3. Results

3.1. Chemical composition of the gases

On the basis of the spatial distribution of the gas discharges and their type of emission, the whole dataset was subdivided into 4 main geological domains, as follows: 1) External Hellenides (EH) - 17 samples; 2) Internal Hellenides (IH) - 60 samples; 3) Hellenic Hinterland (HH) - 8 samples; 4) Volcanic Arc (VA) - 33 samples. The chemical composition of the dry gas fraction (CO₂, H₂S, CH₄, N₂, O₂, Ar, He and H₂) of the 101 gas samples analysed in the present study is reported in Table 1, whereas the 17 literature data were taken from Rigakis et al., 2001, Etiope, 2009, Etiope et al., 2006; Etiope et al., 2013a, 2013b, D'Alessandro et al., 2014, 2017. Dry gases were dominated by either CO2 (from 18 to 997,000 µmol/mol) or N2 (from 1100 to 989,000 $\mu mol/mol)$ or CH_4 (from <0.5 to 913,000 $\mu mol/mol)$ gas species (Fig. 2a). O₂ and Ar showed concentrations up to 177,000 and 12,000 µmol/mol respectively. H₂S was either below detection limit or showing concentrations generally lower than 10,000 µmol/mol except for the fumaroles of Nisyros, where the concentrations reached up to 192,000 µmol/mol (Fiebig et al., 2013). He concentrations were up to 2240 µmol/mol.

In the CO₂-CH₄-N₂ ternary diagram samples collected in the EH show CH₄ (thermal emissions) and CH₄-N₂ dominated gases (cold emissions) with relative low contents of CO₂ (25–34,000 μ mol/mol). Gases collected from the thermal manifestations of the IH are rich in N₂-CO₂, whereas in the remaining cold manifestations the CO₂-rich gases are prevailing although sometimes they showed relatively high concentrations of N₂ (samples 8, 17, 18, 28, 29, 89 and 90). In this area, three CH₄-dominated gases (samples 1, 50 and 82, with 880,000, 764,000 and 576,000 μ mol/mol respectively) were also found. In HH, CO₂ is the most abundant gas species, except for samples 78 and 79 that have dominant N₂ (537,000 and 417,000 μ mol/mol respectively). The thermal emissions of the VA are CO₂-dominated, except sample 86 that is showing relatively high N₂ (368,000 μ mol/mol). Most gases collected

from the cold emissions of the same region show the same chemical features as the gases discharged from the thermal springs, with the exception of samples 67, 73, 74, 87 and 102 that were N_2 -dominated.

The He-N2-Ar ternary diagram (Giggenbach, 1996) (Fig. 2b) suggests important mixing processes between deep crustal or mantle gases and also gases originating in the upper crust or atmosphere. The N₂/Ar ratio can be used to distinguish the relative contributions to a gas mixture from air, air-saturated waters, and fluids deriving from interactions within subducting and crustal rocks/sediments and mantle gases (e.g. Snyder et al., 2001, 2003). CH₄-N₂ rich gases of the EH and some of the CO₂ dominated cold emissions of the IH plot close to the Air and Air Saturated Water (ASW) points, indicating a dominant atmospheric component for these gases. Some of the samples from VA and the cold emissions of IH (CO2 dominated) fall on the theoretical line that connects ASW with a He-rich source with a relatively low contribution of crustal N₂ (Giggenbach, 1996). Samples of the HH rich in CO₂ and some of the emissions that occur in the IH, characterised by a N2-CO2 composition, show atmospheric N2 mixed with non-atmospheric He.

3.2. Isotope composition of the gases

The δ^{13} C-CO₂ values ranged from -20.1 to +8.5% although most of them are comprised in a narrower isotopic range from -7.5 to +0.5% (Table 2). Samples from EH have negative values, from -14.1to -7%. The δ^{13} C-CO₂ values of the gases collected from IH, are in a wide range (from -16% to +8.5%). In HH, they show a narrower range (-2.4 to +0.3%), whereas in VA they range from -3.5 to 0.4‰, apart from the sample 67 whose δ^{13} C-CO₂ value was -20.1%. Gases collected from emissions of IH and HH, in which N₂-CO₂ are the dominant species, show a range of values of δ^{13} C-CO₂ that vary between -16 to -0.9% and -1.9 to 0.3‰, respectively.

 CO_2 dominated gases from VA show relatively high values for the isotopic ratio of He (from 0.21 to 6.71 R/R_A; Table 2), whereas cold and thermal gases that occur in HH and IH are characterised by values that reach up to 0.41 and 1.27 R/R_A, respectively (Table 2). The N₂-CH₄ dominated gas samples from EH show values from 0.08 to 0.41 R/R_A, whereas the N₂-rich cold manifestations from IH show values in the range of 0.3–0.97 R/R_A.

3.3. Hydrocarbons

3.3.1. Chemical composition of the hydrocarbons

Gases from EH show the highest concentrations of CH₄, having a median of 721,200 μ mol/mol (from 85,000 to 925,000 μ mol/mol). In IH, they present a median of 2600 μ mol/mol with values ranging from < 0.5 up to 880,000 μ mol/mol, whereas the lowest concentrations are found in HH with a median of 545 μ mol/mol (from 23 up to 879 μ mol/mol). Finally, those collected in VA present a median of 1460 μ mol/mol (from 5 up to 117,000 μ mol/mol).

3.3.2. Isotopic composition of CH_4

The $\delta^{13}C$ and δ^2H values of CH₄ range from -79.8 to +45.0% for $\delta^{13}C$ -CH₄ and from -311 to +301% for δ^2H -CH₄, respectively (Table 2). Gases from EH have $\delta^{13}C$ -CH₄ and δ^2H -CH₄ values ranging from -79.8 to -31.3% and from -248 to -62%, respectively. In IH, the values of $\delta^{13}C$ range from -64 up to +45%, whereas δ^2H shows a wide range of values (from-311 to +301%). The $\delta^{13}C$ -CH₄ values of the HH gases range from -34 up to +1%, whereas their δ^2H values, apart from sample 75 (-174%), were not measured due to the too low concentrations. The gases from VA show $\delta^{13}C$ -CH₄ values ranging from -38 up to +2% and δ^2H values from -135 to +36%.

Table 1

Chemical composition of the gases.

N.	Sample	He	H_2	O ₂	N_2	CH_4	CO_2	H_2S	Ar
		µmol/mol	µmol/mol	µmol/mol	µmol/mol	µmol/mol	µmol/mol	µmol/mol	µmol/mol
1	Katakali	4.0	11	589	82.500	880.000	25.000	< 5	1640
2	Psoroneria	887	< 0.5	100	427.000	1120	573,000	< 10	3940
3	Psoroneria	487	< 2	1700	336.000	631	658,000	< 5	3900
4	Thermopyles	322	< 2	59	218.000	1010	760.000	< 5	1980
5	Thermopyles	240	< 2	709	176,000	859	831,000	< 5	1910
6	Konjavitis	581	< 5	50	701.000	2090	281.000	2200	8340
7	Kammena Vourla	700	35	100	644 000	3360	352,000	< 10	6050
8	Kammena Vourla	727	< 5	218	588,000	4070	402,000	< 5	5940
9	Kallydica	325	< 2	161 000	508,000	1090	332,000	< 5	n d
10	Gialtra	112	< 5	137,000	765,000	302	44 000	nd	n d
10	Thermonotamos	0.4	< 5	1200	2800	27	977.000	48	40
10	Thermonotamos	1.5	< 2	4700	18 400	1200	977,000	-5	275
12	Patra	1.5	50	301	192 000	704 000	11 100	< 5	3500
14	Souszki well	1.7	10	1700	7500	22	975.000	2500	05
15	Sousaki weli	22.0	10 < F	1700	27 400	10 100	973,000	2300 E11	33
15	Journal Cave	100	< 3	4100	37,400	10,100	937,000	511	2/9
10	lion	100	< 3 27	940	78,900	1020	001.000	< 5	733
1/		100	3./	1100	79,400	1800	901,000	< 5	742
18	liion sea	199	< 2	11,300	2/1,000	1040	694,000	< 5	5030
19	Pausanias	< 5	< 5	2300	12,900	53	983,000	< 5	222
20	Pausanias sea	< 5	< 5	6100	14,500	32	984,000	< 5	214
21	Thiafi sea	22.0	< 2	34,100	103,000	916	832,000	< 5	n.d.
22	Agia paraskevi sea	3.1	21.0	10,600	27,000	470	964,000	< 5	458
23	Agia paraskevi sea 2	92.0	100	3900	40,500	11,000	946,000	3100	555
24	Хупа	91.0	1.6	13,000	49,600	215	943,000	< 5	815
25	PPG-1	0.4	< 2	50	40,100	913,000	21,400	< 5	1180
26	Soulanta	31.0	22	125	350,000	649,000	25	n.d.	n.d.
27	Smokovo	100	27	272	124,000	850,000	25	n.d.	n.d.
28	Ekkara	4.0	16	177,000	750,000	5720	25	n.d.	n.d.
29	Ekkara creek	21.0	11	2500	888,000	77,700	552	< 5	10,200
30	Ypati	14.0	0.6	104	42,400	4460	934,000	1260	742
31	Loutra Ypatis	105	< 2	14,500	76,300	5810	888,000	385	848
32	Leonidas	275	< 2	9200	242,000	799	726,000	< 5	2600
33	Kokkinonero	1.6	< 5	2500	7200	249	955,000	n.d.	195
34	Kokkinonero 2	209	< 5	4300	382.000	867	581.000	n.d.	5040
35	Edipsos stadium	< 4	< 2	525	3000	689	967,000	< 5	57
36	Ag Paraskevi	43	< 5	158	23 500	521	929,000	17 400	502
37	Giatsovo soil	12.5	20	760	20,800	2690	987.000	< 5	622
39	Analinci	10.2	2.0	4600	20,000	2350	952,000	< 5	122
20	Troppouchos	20.0	~ 2	76	29,000	2330	952,000	< J nd	120
39	Maaaahari aaa	29.0	15	1000	20,000	0200	952,000	11.u.	40
40	Mesochori gas	4.0	1.5	1000	0500	4/9	985,000	< 5	42
41	Mesochori 3	1.1	< 5	50	2100	214	975,000	< 5	29
42	Synergio	0.4	< 5	18/	1100	< 0.5	997,000	< 5	24
43	Sarri	0.3	< 5	93	1400	95	983,000	n.a.	62
44	Itea	36.0	1.4	5700	38,300	2600	951,000	< 5	223
45	Pozar	474	< 5	56,200	617,000	< 1	323,000	< 5	7660
46	Promachoi	223	< 5	54,400	827,000	2.0	108,000	n.d.	9090
47	Loutrochori 2	374	< 5	175	510,000	436,000	32,400	< 5	6290
48	Xino Nero (Kilkis)	< 5	< 5	2900	15,200	23	965,000	< 5	304
49	AINO NERO 2 (KIIKIS)	6.0	< 5	7300	26,000	82	939,000	< 5	n.d.
50	Sani	762	12	330	226,000	764,000	1500	< 5	870
51	Thermopigi	15.0	< 5	639	13,300	669	967,000	< 5	218
52	Eleftheres	31.0	< 2	690	72,600	703	921,000	< 5	1180
53	Polychnitos	192	< 5	3200	193,000	32,800	766,000	< 5	4690
54	Lisvori	471	< 5	5200	519,000	20,500	444,000	< 5	7300
55	Eftalou	1370	< 5	14,400	948,000	219	27,200	< 5	10,400
56	Skala Sikaminias	1280	134	1400	657,000	70,500	259,000	< 5	10,800
57	Kolpos Geras	1020	< 5	16,100	922,000	10	41,200	< 5	9780
58	Milos Skinopi	63.0	< 5	16,700	73,700	4630	829,000	n.d.	n.d.
59	Milos DEH	8.0	< 5	2200	9600	818	978,000	n.d.	n.d.
60	Kefalos	18.0	27	3290	25,100	23,900	946,000	< 5	105
61	Kos Paradise	14.0	< 2	3100	8600	12,100	959,000	< 5	170
62	Kos Kokkinonero	5.0	3.1	3200	13,600	2970	978,000	< 5	171
63	KKN1	3.3	295	3500	24,400	2400	980,000	673	n.d.
64	Kos Kokkinonero 2	4.3	30	2700	8300	3140	991,000	< 5	n.d.
65	KVO2	34.0	1980	10,100	75,400	21.000	877,000	54	n.d
66	KV052	44.0	29	317	4000	23 100	947 000	851	31
67	Ag Irini 2	446	< 2	2210	989 000	6240	900	< 5	7520
68	Ag Irini 1	0.9	< 2	3900	9200	24	963 000	15	200
69	Gyali nord	0.5	37	2600	9600	<u>-</u> 5.0	966,000	- 5	200
70	Gyali liotu	0.0	3./ - D	2000	22 200	5.0	900,000	< 5 < 5	200
70	Gyali Gyali laka	9.0	< 2 < 2	2200 716	∠3,300 120,000	00	930,000	2000	504 n d
/1	Gyali lake	21.0	< Z 01	/10	139,000	5∠5 21.000	070,000	3800	11.Q.
12	Gyan west	5.0	31	15/0	8300	21,000	970,000	< 5	/1
								(continue	a on next page)

N.	Sample	Не	H ₂	O_2	N_2	CH4	CO ₂	H_2S	Ar
		µmol/mol	µmol/mol	µmol/mol	µmol/mol	µmol/mol	µmol/mol	µmol/mol	µmol/mol
73	Katsouni	120	< 2	11,500	855,000	134	155,400	< 5	11,500
74	Lies	135	< 2	18,800	643,000	140	315,200	< 5	9790
75	Nea Kessani	26.0	< 2	5900	14,700	386	987,000	< 5	208
76	Eleftheres Ag. Marina	51.0	< 2	3400	180,000	756	809,300	< 5	2760
77	Paranesti 2	< 5	< 5	1700	8700	102	963,200	< 5	n.d.
78	Thermes (Xanthi)	2240	< 5	9700	537,000	844	467,000	< 5	8090
79	Thermes 3 (Xanthi)	1570	< 5	588	417,000	879	573,900	< 5	7350
80	Thermia (Kos)	2.4	< 5	1100	8900	51	994,600	< 5	211
81	Thermia sea (Kos)	16.0	< 2	2500	42,400	278	955,000	< 5	98
82	Therma Limani	0.10	15	53	7900	576,000	363,000	< 5	150
83	Geotrisi	128	97	1200	30,200	94,900	857,000	< 5	624
84	Ag. Anargyroi Kithnos	1100	< 2	2800	664,000	833	329,000	< 5	8420
85	Kolona	726	< 2	26,800	836,000	0.90	136,000	< 5	8400
86	Nea Kameni	6.0	8100	83,600	367,000	264	526,000	< 5	4740
87	Erinia (N. Kameni)	245	< 2	42,800	651,000	1300	301,000	< 5	9510
88	Ag. Anargyroi	< 5	48	14,700	957,000	27,000	1360	n.d.	n.d.
89	Ag. Anargyroi 2	< 5	17	18,200	779,000	203,000	250	n.d.	n.d.
90	Archani	12.9	< 10	7420	948,000	44,700	18	n.d.	n.d.
91	Lysimachia	9.9	< 10	978	602,000	363,000	34,800	n.d.	n.d.
92	Kaitsa	123	< 10	3070	655,000	316,000	26,200	300	n.d.
93	Amplas	83.4	27	1780	199,000	800,000	29	n.d.	n.d.
94	Platystomo	345	< 10	907	860,000	128,000	10,700	n.d.	n.d.
95	PP9S Nisyros	16.0	14,800	100	2500	2520	779,000	173,000	n.d.
96	S4 Nisyros	20.0	8240	581	6500	7510	822,000	151,000	n.d.
97	A13 Nisyros	21.0	9540	100	1390	1460	813,000	175,000	n.d.
98	S15 Nisyros	19.0	8050	700	21,900	4350	769,000	192,000	n.d.
99	K7 Nisyros	10.0	6670	695	6300	32,400	891,000	47,600	n.d.
100	Thermia (Kos)	2.5	< 2	535	9300	64	989,000	< 5	98
101	Ag. Irini 2	412	< 2	2000	613,000	117,000	256,000	< 5	n.d.

n.d. = not determined.

4. Discussion

4.1. Origin of the inorganic gases

Nitrogen, one of the main components found in the gas samples, shows generally decreasing concentrations from the EH and IH to the HH (Fig. 3a). Gases from the VA display on average even lower contents (Fig. 3a). As previously seen in the He-N₂-Ar ternary diagram (Fig. 2b), it mostly originates from the atmosphere through the meteoric recharge or through diffusion in the shallowest soil layers. From the same

diagram a contribution from crustal sources or from the subducting slab is apparent. At the moment only few N-isotopic values of N_2 have been published (Grassa et al., 2010) confirming significant (about 60%) sedimentary contribution.

In Fig. 4a it is noticeable that most of the CO_2 -rich cold manifestations from IH plot close to the atmospheric point suggesting an important atmospheric contribution for He. Gases from EH present in their majority a crustal origin for He whereas those from VA indicate a strong mantle contribution. Most N₂-CO₂ dominated gases from IH seem to be fed by a mixed mantle-crustal source with a mantle component



Fig. 2. Chemical composition of the collected gases. (a) CH₄-N₂-CO₂ ternary diagram and (b) He-N₂-Ar ternary diagram. Symbol colours refer to the geographical distribution of the samples, while shape refers to the type of the emission (cold or thermal).

Table 1 (continued)

Isotopic composition of the gases.

Table 2 (continued)

	N.	δ^{13} C(CO ₂)	δ^{13} C(CH ₄)	$\delta^2 H(CH_4)$	R/R _A	⁴ He/ ²⁰ Ne
1 8.5 -64.3 -216 n.d. n.d. $n.d.$ 2 n.d. -2.3 129 0.07 281 3 -5.4 10.9 301 0.07 281 4 -5.3 -4.2 79 0.20 228 6 -9.8 -10.6 n.d. n.d. n.d. 7 -7.4 6.3 62 0.53 159 9 -13.3 5.3 n.d. n.d. n.d. 10 n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. 11 -3.1 -21.7 -112 0.444 3.2 13 -14.1 -77.0 0.46 8.6 15 -1.8 -21.3 -114 0.21 76.7 16 -2.6 -1.4 57 0.26 54 17 -2.5 3.2 32 0.25 252 18 -2		‰	‰	‰		
2 n.d. -2.3 129 0.07 281 3 -5.3 1.4 30 0.20 228 5 -5.3 -4.2 79 0.20 208 6 -9.8 -10.6 n.d. n.d. n.d. 7 -7.4 6.3 62 0.53 159 8 -7.5 4.6 60 0.511 106 9 -13.3 5.3 n.d. n.d. n.d. 11 -3.1 -21.4 -115 0.43 8.5 12 -2.7 -21.3 -114 0.39 0.59 14 -1.2 -20.9 -117 0.46 8.6 15 -1.8 -21.3 -114 0.21 76.7 16 -2.6 -1.4 57 0.26 5.4 17 -2.5 3.2 32 0.25 252 16 -2.6 -1.6 n.d. n.d. n.d.	1	8.5	-64.3	-216	n.d.	n.d.
3 -5.4 10.9 301 0.07 95.1 5 -5.3 -4.2 79 0.20 228 6 -9.8 -10.6 n.d. n.d. n.d. 7 -7.4 6.3 62 0.53 159 8 -7.5 4.6 60 0.51 106 9 -13.3 5.3 n.d. n.d. n.d. 11 -3.1 -21.7 -112 0.43 8.5 12 -2.7 -21.7 -121.0 0.44 3.2 13 -14.1 -79.8 -211 0.34 8.6 15 -1.8 -21.3 -114 0.21 76.7 16 -2.6 -1.4 57 0.25 52 18 -2.6 45.0 n.d. n.d. n.d. 19 -2.9 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 14 -1.6 <t< td=""><td>2</td><td>n.d.</td><td>-2.3</td><td>129</td><td>0.07</td><td>281</td></t<>	2	n.d.	-2.3	129	0.07	281
4 -5.3 1.4 30 0.20 228 6 -9.8 -10.6 $n.d$ $n.d$ $n.d$ 7 -7.4 6.3 62 0.53 159 8 -7.5 4.6 60 0.511 106 9 -13.3 5.3 $n.d$ $n.d$ $n.d$ $n.d$ 11 -3.1 -21.4 -115 0.43 8.51 12 -2.7 -21.7 -12.4 0.44 3.2 13 -14.1 -79.8 -211 0.39 0.59 14 -1.2 -20.9 -114 57 0.26 5.4 17 -2.6 -1.4 57 0.26 5.4 18 -2.6 45.0 $n.d$ $n.d$ $n.d$ 0.7 -2.5 $n.d$ $n.d$ $n.d$ $n.d$ 0.7 -2.9 $n.d$ $n.d$ $n.d$ $n.d$ 0.7 -2.9 $n.d$ $n.d$ $n.d$	3	-5.4	10.9	301	0.07	95.1
5 -5.3 -4.2 79 0.20 208 6 -9.8 -10.6 n.d. n.d. n.d. 7 -7.4 6.3 62 0.53 159 8 -7.5 4.6 60 0.51 106 9 -13.3 5.3 n.d. n.d. n.d. 10 n.d. n.d. n.d. 0.43 8.5 12 -2.7 -21.7 -124 0.43 8.5 13 -14.1 -79.8 -211 0.34 8.5 15 -1.8 -21.3 -114 0.21 76.7 16 -2.6 -4.50 n.d. n.d. n.d. 17 -2.5 3.2 32 0.25 252 18 -2.6 45.0 n.d. n.d. n.d. 19 -2.9 n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. 21 -3.4 -38.6 n.d. n.d. n.d. 22 -2.0 -5.6	4	-5.3	1.4	30	0.20	228
6 -9.8 -10.6 n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. 8 -7.5 4.6 60 0.51 106 9 -13.3 5.3 n.d. n.d. $n.d.$ 11 -3.1 -21.7 -112 0.444 3.2 13 -14.1 -79.8 -211 0.39 0.59 14 -1.2 -20.9 -117 0.46 8.6 15 -1.8 -2.13 -114 0.21 76.7 16 -2.6 -1.4 57 0.26 5.4 17 -2.5 3.2 32 0.25 252 18 -2.6 45.0 $n.d$ $n.d$ $n.d$ 12 -2.6 45.0 $n.d$ $n.d$ $n.d$ 21 -2.6 45.0 $n.d$ $n.d$ $n.d$ 21 -2.6 -1.4 57 0.25 252 21 -3.4 -38.6 $n.d$ n	5	-5.3	-4.2	79	0.20	208
7 -7.4 6.3 62 0.53 159 8 -7.5 4.6 60 0.51 106 9 -13.3 5.3 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 10 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 0.46 23.8 11 -3.1 -21.4 -115 0.43 8.5 12 -2.7 -21.4 0.44 3.2 13 -14.1 -79.8 -211 0.39 0.59 15 -1.8 -21.3 -114 0.26 5.4 17 -2.5 3.2 32 0.25 252 18 -2.6 -1.4 57 0.26 5.4 17 -2.5 3.2 32 0.25 252 18 -2.6 -1.4 57 0.26 5.4 17 -2.5 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 20 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 13 -2.2	6	-9.8	-10.6	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
8 -7.5 4.6 60 0.51 106 9 -13.3 5.3 n.d. n.d. n.d. 11 -3.1 -21.4 -115 0.43 8.5 12 -2.7 -21.7 -124 0.44 3.2 13 -14.1 -79.8 -211 0.39 0.59 14 -1.2 -20.9 -117 0.46 8.6 15 -1.8 -21.3 -114 0.21 7.6.7 16 -2.6 -1.4 57 0.26 5.4 17 -2.5 3.2 32 0.25 52 18 -2.6 45.0 n.d. n.d. n.d. 10 n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. 21 -2.2 -5.0 -68 0.74 908 24 -2.2 n.d. -14.9 -196 0.44 4.0 22 -7.0 -7.4.5 -210	7	-7.4	6.3	62	0.53	159
9 -13.3 5.3n.d.n.d.n.d.n.d.n.d.n.d.n.d.n.d.n.d.n.d.n.d.n.d.n.d.n.d.n.d.n.d.n.d.n.d.111 -3.1 -21.4 -115 0.43 8.5112 -2.7 -21.7 -124 0.44 3.2 0.59 14 -1.2 -20.9 -117 0.46 8.6 6.6 15 -1.8 -21.3 -114 0.21 76.7 16 -2.6 -1.4 57 0.26 5.4 17 -2.5 3.2 32 0.25 252 18 -2.6 45.0 $n.d.$ <th< td=""><td>8</td><td>-7.5</td><td>4.6</td><td>60</td><td>0.51</td><td>106</td></th<>	8	-7.5	4.6	60	0.51	106
10 n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. 0.46 23.8 11 -3.1 -21.4 -115 0.43 8.5 12 -2.7 -21.7 -124 0.44 3.2 13 -14.1 -79.8 -211 0.39 0.59 14 -1.2 -20.9 -117 0.46 8.6 15 -1.8 -21.3 -114 0.21 7.6.7 16 -2.6 -1.4 57 0.26 5.4 17 -2.5 3.2 32 0.25 2.5 18 -2.6 45.0 n.d. n.d. n.d. 0 n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. 13 -2.6 45.0 n.d. n.d. n.d. 21 -3.4 -38.6 n.d. n.d. n.d. 22 -2.0 -5.6 -49 0.79 0.34 2.0 22 -3.0 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $0.6.1$ 0.74 <td>9</td> <td>-13.3</td> <td>5.3</td> <td>n.d.</td> <td>n.d.</td> <td>n.d.</td>	9	-13.3	5.3	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
11 -3.1 -21.4 -115 0.43 8.5 12 -2.7 -21.7 -124 0.44 3.2 13 -11.4 0.29 -117 0.46 8.6 15 -1.8 -21.3 -114 0.21 76.7 16 -2.6 -1.4 57 0.26 5.4 17 -2.5 3.2 32 0.25 522 18 -2.6 45.0 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 19 -2.9 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 10 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 21 -3.4 -38.6 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 22 -2.0 -5.6 -49 0.79 0.61 23 -2.2 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 24 -2.5 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 27 $n.d.$ -44.9 -196 0.07 8	10	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	0.46	23.8
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	11	-31	-214	-115	0.43	8.5
13 -14.1 -79.8 -211 0.39 0.59 14 -1.2 -20.9 -117 0.46 8.6 15 -1.8 -21.3 -114 0.21 76.7 16 -2.6 -1.4 57 0.26 5.4 17 -2.5 3.2 32 0.25 522 18 -2.6 45.0 n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. 19 -2.9 n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. 21 -3.4 -38.6 n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. 23 -2.2 -5.6 -49 0.79 0.61 23 -2.2 -5.0 -68 0.74 308 24 -2.5 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 25 $n.d.$ -3.8 0.07 7.8 2.6 26 $n.d.$ -3.8 0.07 7.8 2.6 27 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$	12	-2.7	-21.7	-124	0.44	3.2
14 -1.2 -20.9 -117 0.46 8.6 15 -1.8 -21.3 -114 0.21 76.7 16 -2.6 -1.4 57 0.26 5.4 17 -2.5 3.2 32 0.25 252 18 -2.6 45.0 n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. 20 n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. 21 -3.4 -38.6 n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. 22 -2.0 -5.6 -49 0.79 0.61 23 -7.0 -74.5 -210 0.41 0.77 26 n.d. -60.8 -248 0.07 7.8 27 n.d. -33.5 -234 0.30 1.0 30 -3.2 -38.7 n.d. n.d. n.d. 31 -4.4 -32.9 -105 0.05 122 32 -6.0 n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. 33 -0.8 -1	13	-14.1	-79.8	-211	0.39	0.59
15 -1.8 -21.3 -114 0.21 76.7 16 -2.6 -1.4 57 0.26 5.4 17 -2.5 3.2 32 0.25 252 18 -2.6 45.0 n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. 19 -2.9 n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. 20 n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. 21 -3.4 -38.6 n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. 23 -2.2 -5.0 -6.8 0.74 308 24 -2.5 n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. 7 n.d. -44.9 -196 0.04 44.0 28 n.d. -33.8 -276 0.34 2.0 29 n.d. -33.5 -234 0.30 1.0 30 -3.2 -38.7 n.d. 0.13 6.3 31 -4.4 -32.9 -105 0.05 122 20 -6.0	14	-1.2	-20.9	-117	0.46	8.6
16-2.6-1.4570.265.417-2.53.2320.2525218-2.645.0n.d.n.d.n.d.n.d.19-2.9n.d.n.d.n.d.n.d.n.d.20n.d.n.d.n.d.n.d.n.d.n.d.21-3.4-38.6n.d.n.d.n.d.n.d.22-2.0-5.6-490.790.6123-2.2-5.0-680.7430824-2.5n.d.n.d.n.d.n.d.25n.d60.8-2480.077.827n.d44.9-1960.0444.028n.d33.5-2340.301.030-3.2-38.7n.d.0.136.331-4.4-32.9-1050.0512232-6.0n.d.n.d.n.d.n.d.33-0.8-19.8-370.232.334n.d.n.d.n.d.n.d.n.d.35-3.0n.d.n.d.n.d.n.d.36-0.7-23.4-1450.2329.839-1.2-29.4-187n.d.n.d.36-0.7-3.8n.d.0.6650.741-0.4-22.5n.d.0.6650.742-0.8n.d.n.d.n.d.n.d.44n.d.n.d.	15	-1.8	-21.3	-114	0.21	76.7
17-2.53.232320.2525218-2.645.0n.d.n.d.n.d.n.d.n.d.19-2.9n.d.n.d.n.d.n.d.n.d.21-3.4-38.6n.d.n.d.n.d.n.d.21-3.4-38.6n.d.n.d.n.d.n.d.22-2.0-5.6-490.790.6123-2.2-5.0-680.7430824-2.5n.d.n.d.n.d.n.d.25-7.0-74.5-2100.410.7726n.d60.8-2480.077.827n.d44.9-1960.0444.028n.d33.5-2340.301.030-3.2-38.7n.d.n.d.n.d.31-4.4-32.9-1050.0512232-6.0n.d.n.d.n.d.n.d.33-0.8-19.8-370.232.334n.d.n.d.n.d.n.d.n.d.35-3.0n.d.n.d.n.d.n.d.36-6.7-3.8n.d.0.625.137-0.6-25.7-1510.4718938-0.7-23.4-1450.2329.839-1.2-29.4-187n.d.1.6440.5-27.7-1570.3015.34	16	-2.6	-14	57	0.26	54
18 -2.6 45.0 $n.d.$	17	-2.5	3.2	32	0.25	252
15 -2.9 n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. 20 n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. 21 -3.4 -38.6 n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. 21 -2.0 -5.6 -49 0.79 0.611 23 -2.2 -5.0 -68 0.74 308 24 -2.5 n.d. $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 25 -7.0 -74.5 -210 0.41 0.77 26 $n.d.$ -60.8 -248 0.07 7.8 27 $n.d.$ -33.5 -234 0.30 1.0 30 -3.2 -38.7 $n.d.$ 0.13 6.3 31 -4.4 -32.9 -105 0.05 122 32 -6.0 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 33 -0.8 -19.8 -37 0.23 2.3 34 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ <td>10</td> <td>-2.5</td> <td>45.0</td> <td>nd</td> <td>0.25 n.d</td> <td>2.52 n.d</td>	10	-2.5	45.0	nd	0.25 n.d	2.52 n.d
-2.5 n.u.	10	_ 2.0	чэ.0 n d	n d	n.u.	n.u.
-3.4 -38.6 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 22 -2.0 -5.6 -49 0.79 0.61 23 -2.2 -5.0 -68 0.74 308 24 -2.5 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 25 $n.d.$ -60.8 -248 0.07 7.8 27 $n.d.$ -44.9 -196 0.04 44.0 28 $n.d.$ -33.5 -224 0.30 1.0 30 -3.2 -38.7 $n.d.$ 0.13 6.3 31 -4.4 -32.9 -105 0.05 122 32 -6.0 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 33 -0.8 -19.8 -37 0.23 29.8 34 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 36 -6.7	17 20	- 2.9 n d	n.d.	n.u.	11.u.	n.u.
21 -3.4 -5.6 -49 0.79 0.61 23 -2.2 -5.0 -68 0.74 308 24 -2.5 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 25 -7.0 -74.5 -210 0.41 0.77 26 $n.d.$ -44.9 -196 0.04 44.0 28 $n.d.$ -33.5 -234 0.30 1.0 30 -3.2 -38.7 $n.d.$ 0.13 6.3 31 -4.4 -32.9 -105 0.05 122 32 -6.0 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 31 -4.4 -32.9 -105 0.05 122 32 -6.0 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 33 -0.8 -19.8 -37 0.23 23.8 34 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 35 -1.2 -2.7	20 21		20C	n.u.	n.d.	n.u.
22 -2.0 -5.0 -68 0.79 0.61 24 -2.5 n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. $n.d.$ 24 -2.5 n.d. $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 26 n.d. -60.8 -248 0.07 7.8 27 n.d. -33.8 -276 0.34 2.0 29 n.d. -33.8 -276 0.34 2.0 29 n.d. -33.8 -234 0.30 1.0 30 -3.2 -38.7 $n.d.$ 0.13 6.3 31 -4.4 -32.9 -105 0.05 122 32 -6.0 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 33 -0.8 -19.8 -37 0.23 2.3 34 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 35 -3.0 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 36 -1.2	41 22	- 3.4 - 2.0	- 36.0		n.u.	n.u. 0.61
2.5 -2.5 nd. nd. nd. nd. nd. 25 -7.0 -74.5 -210 0.41 0.77 26 nd. -60.8 -248 0.07 7.8 27 nd. -44.9 -196 0.04 44.0 28 nd. -33.5 -234 0.30 1.0 30 -3.2 -38.7 n.d. 0.13 6.3 31 -4.4 -32.9 -105 0.05 122 32 -6.0 n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. 33 -0.8 -19.8 -37 0.23 2.3 34 n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. 35 -3.0 n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. 36 -6.7 -3.8 n.d. 0.74 5.9 37 -0.6 -25.7 -157 0.30 15.3 40 -1.3 -21.0 -131 0.66 50.7 <	44 22	- 2.0	- 5.0	- 49	0.79	208
2+ -2.5 n.a. n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. 25 -7.0 -74.5 -210 0.41 0.77 26 n.d. -60.8 -248 0.07 7.8 27 n.d. -33.8 -276 0.34 2.0 29 n.d. -33.5 -224 0.30 1.0 30 -3.2 -38.7 $n.d.$ 0.13 6.3 31 -4.4 -32.9 -105 0.05 122 22 -6.0 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 33 -0.8 -19.8 -37 0.23 2.3 34 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 35 -3.0 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 35 -3.0 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 36 -6.7 -3.8 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d$	∠3 24	- 2.2	- 5.0	- 68	0.74	308
25 -7.0 -74.5 -210 0.41 0.77 26 n.d. -60.8 -248 0.07 7.8 27 n.d. -33.8 -276 0.34 2.0 28 n.d. -33.5 -234 0.30 1.0 30 -3.2 -38.7 $n.d.$ 0.13 6.3 31 -4.4 -32.9 -105 0.05 122 32 -6.0 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 33 -0.8 -19.8 -37 0.23 2.3 34 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 35 -3.0 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 36 -0.7 -23.4 -145 0.23 29.8 39 -1.2 -29.4 -187 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 10.4 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 0.66 50.7 42 -0.8 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 0.66	24	-2.5	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
26 n.d. -60.8 -2248 0.07 7.8 27 n.d. -44.9 -196 0.04 44.0 28 n.d. -33.5 -2234 0.30 1.0 29 n.d. -33.5 -2234 0.30 1.0 30 -3.2 -38.7 $n.d.$ 0.13 6.3 31 -44 -32.9 -105 0.05 122 32 -6.0 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 33 -0.8 -19.8 -37 0.23 2.3 34 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 35 -3.0 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 35 -0.7 -23.4 -145 0.23 29.8 39 -1.2 -29.4 -187 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 40 -1.3 -21.0 -131 0.62 5.1 41 -0.4 $n.d.$ $n.d.$	25	-7.0	-74.5	-210	0.41	0.77
27 n.d. -44.9 -196 0.04 44.0 28 n.d. -33.8 -276 0.34 2.0 29 n.d. -33.5 -234 0.30 1.0 30 -3.2 -38.7 n.d. 0.13 6.3 31 -4.4 -32.9 -105 0.05 122 32 -6.0 n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. 33 -0.8 -19.8 -37 0.23 2.3 34 n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. 35 -3.0 n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. 36 -6.7 -3.8 n.d. 0.74 5.9 37 -0.6 -25.7 -151 0.47 189 38 -0.7 -23.4 -145 0.23 29.8 40 -1.3 -21.0 -131 0.62 5.1 41 -0.4 -22.5 n.d. 0.66 50.7	26	n.d.	-60.8	-248	0.07	7.8
28 n.d. -33.8 -276 0.34 2.0 29 n.d. -33.5 -234 0.30 1.0 30 -3.2 -38.7 $n.d.$ 0.13 6.3 31 -4.4 -32.9 -105 0.05 122 32 -6.0 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 33 -0.8 -19.8 -37 0.23 2.3 34 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 35 -3.0 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 36 -6.7 -2.8 $n.d.$ 0.47 189 38 -0.7 -23.4 -145 0.23 29.8 39 -1.2 -29.4 -187 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 40 -1.33 -21.0 -131 0.66 50.7 42 -0.8 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 0.55 1.4 43 $n.d.$ <td>27</td> <td>n.d.</td> <td>- 44.9</td> <td>- 196</td> <td>0.04</td> <td>44.0</td>	27	n.d.	- 44.9	- 196	0.04	44.0
29 n.d. -33.5 -234 0.30 1.0 30 -3.2 -38.7 n.d. 0.13 6.3 31 -4.4 -32.9 -105 0.05 122 32 -6.0 n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. 33 -0.8 -19.8 -37 0.23 2.3 34 n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. 35 -3.0 n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. 36 -6.7 -3.8 n.d. 0.74 5.9 37 -0.6 -25.7 -151 0.47 189 38 -0.7 -23.4 -145 0.23 29.8 39 -1.2 -29.4 -1187 n.d. $n.d.$ 40 -1.3 -21.0 -131 0.62 5.1 41 -0.4 -22.5 $n.d.$ 0.56 1.6 43 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 0.57 1.4	28	n.d.	-33.8	-276	0.34	2.0
30 -3.2 -38.7 n.d. 0.13 6.3 31 -4.4 -32.9 -105 0.05 122 32 -6.0 n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. 33 -0.8 -19.8 -37 0.23 2.3 34 n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. 35 -3.0 n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. 36 -6.7 -3.8 n.d. 0.47 189 37 -0.6 -25.7 -151 0.47 189 38 -0.7 -23.4 -145 0.23 29.8 39 -1.2 -29.4 -187 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 40 -1.3 -131 0.62 5.1 41 -0.4 -22.5 $n.d.$ 0.56 1.6 44 -5.7 -157 0.30 15.3 45 -5.4 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 0.56 1.6 44 $n.d$	29	n.d.	-33.5	-234	0.30	1.0
31 -4.4 -32.9 -105 0.05 122 32 -6.0 n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. 33 -0.8 -19.8 -37 0.23 2.3 34 n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. 35 -3.0 n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. 36 -6.7 -3.8 n.d. 0.74 5.9 37 -0.6 -25.7 -151 0.47 189 38 -0.7 -23.4 -145 0.23 29.8 39 -1.2 -29.4 -187 n.d. n.d. 40 -1.3 -21.0 -131 0.62 5.1 41 -0.4 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 0.56 1.6 44 0.5 -27.7 -157 0.30 15.3 45 -5.4 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 44 0.5 -72.7 -157 0.30 15.3 <td>30</td> <td>-3.2</td> <td>-38.7</td> <td>n.d.</td> <td>0.13</td> <td>6.3</td>	30	-3.2	-38.7	n.d.	0.13	6.3
32 -6.0 n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. 33 -0.8 -19.8 -37 0.23 2.3 34 n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. 35 -3.0 n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. 36 -6.7 -3.8 n.d. 0.74 5.9 37 -0.6 -25.7 -151 0.47 189 38 -0.7 -23.4 -145 0.23 29.8 39 -1.2 -29.4 -187 n.d. n.d. 40 -1.3 -21.0 -131 0.62 5.1 41 -0.4 -22.5 n.d. 0.66 50.7 42 -0.8 n.d. n.d. 0.57 1.4 43 n.d. n.d. $n.d.$ 0.57 1.4 44 0.5 -27.7 -157 0.30 15.3 45 -5.4 n.d. n.d. </td <td>31</td> <td>-4.4</td> <td>- 32.9</td> <td>-105</td> <td>0.05</td> <td>122</td>	31	-4.4	- 32.9	-105	0.05	122
33 -0.8 -19.8 -37 0.23 2.3 34 n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. 35 -3.0 n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. 36 -6.7 -3.8 n.d. 0.74 5.9 37 -0.6 -25.7 -151 0.47 189 38 -0.7 -23.4 -145 0.23 29.8 39 -1.2 -29.4 -187 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 40 -1.3 -21.0 -131 0.66 50.7 41 -0.4 -22.5 $n.d.$ 0.66 50.7 42 -0.8 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 0.56 1.6 44 0.5 -27.7 -157 0.30 15.3 45 -5.4 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 46 -7.2 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 1.27 30.3 47 -16.0 -18.8 -113 $n.d.$ $n.d.$	32	-6.0	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
34 n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. 35 -3.0 n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. 36 -6.7 -3.8 n.d. 0.74 5.9 37 -0.6 -25.7 -151 0.47 189 38 -0.7 -23.4 -145 0.23 29.8 39 -1.2 -29.4 -187 n.d. n.d. 40 -1.3 -21.0 -131 0.62 5.1 41 -0.4 -22.5 n.d. 0.66 50.7 42 -0.8 n.d. n.d. 0.57 1.4 43 n.d. n.d. n.d. 0.56 1.6 44 0.5 -27.7 -157 0.30 15.3 45 -5.4 n.d. n.d. $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 46 -7.2 n.d. n.d. $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 47 -16.0 -18.8 -113 $n.d.$ $n.d.$	33	-0.8	-19.8	- 37	0.23	2.3
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	34	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
36 -6.7 -3.8 n.d. 0.74 5.9 37 -0.6 -25.7 -151 0.47 189 38 -0.7 -23.4 -145 0.23 29.8 39 -1.2 -29.4 -187 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 40 -1.3 -21.0 -131 0.62 5.1 41 -0.4 -22.5 $n.d.$ 0.66 50.7 42 -0.8 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 0.56 1.6 44 0.5 -27.7 -157 0.30 15.3 45 -5.4 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 0.82 44.5 46 -7.2 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 1.27 30.3 47 -16.0 -18.8 -113 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 48 -2.4 1.1 $n.d.$ 0.26 14.8 49 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 50 -9.4 -57.0 -180 0.68 1490 51 -2.1 -1.1 $n.d.$ 0.41 36.5 53 -2.0 -19.9 -106 0.82 82.2 54 -3.9 -7.2 -21 0.85 97.0 55 -11.8 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 0.41 36.5 53 -2.0 -19.9 -106 0.82 82.2 54 -3.9 -7.2 -21 0.85 97.0 55 -11.8 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 0.4 224 <td>35</td> <td>-3.0</td> <td>n.d.</td> <td>n.d.</td> <td>n.d.</td> <td>n.d.</td>	35	-3.0	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	36	-6.7	-3.8	n.d.	0.74	5.9
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	37	-0.6	-25.7	-151	0.47	189
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	38	-0.7	-23.4	-145	0.23	29.8
40 -1.3 -21.0 -131 0.62 5.1 41 -0.4 -22.5 $n.d.$ 0.66 50.7 42 -0.8 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 0.66 50.7 42 -0.8 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 0.57 1.4 43 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 0.57 1.53 44 0.5 -27.7 -157 0.30 15.3 45 -5.4 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 0.82 44.5 46 -7.2 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 1.27 30.3 47 -16.0 -18.8 -113 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 48 -2.4 1.1 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $9.0.4$ -57.0 -180 0.68 1490 51 -2.1 -1.1 $n.d.$ 0.41 36.5 53 -2.0 -19.9 -106 0.82 82.2 54 -3.9 -7.2 -21 0.85 97.0 <t< td=""><td>39</td><td>-1.2</td><td>-29.4</td><td>-187</td><td>n.d.</td><td>n.d.</td></t<>	39	-1.2	-29.4	-187	n.d.	n.d.
41 -0.4 -22.5 n.d. 0.66 50.7 42 -0.8 n.d. n.d. $n.d.$ 0.57 1.4 43 n.d. n.d. n.d. 0.57 1.4 43 n.d. n.d. $n.d.$ 0.56 1.6 44 0.5 -27.7 -157 0.30 15.3 45 -5.4 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 0.82 44.5 46 -7.2 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 1.27 30.3 47 -16.0 -18.8 -113 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 48 -2.4 1.1 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 50 -9.4 -57.0 -180 0.68 1490 51 -2.1 -11.1 $n.d.$ 0.65 107 52 -11.1 -23.5 $n.d.$ 0.41 36.5 53 -2.0 -19.9 -106 0.82 82.2 54 -3.9 -7.2 -21 0.85	40	-1.3	-21.0	-131	0.62	5.1
42 -0.8 n.d. n.d. n.d. 0.57 1.4 43 n.d. n.d. n.d. 0.56 1.6 44 0.5 -27.7 -157 0.30 15.3 45 -5.4 n.d. $n.d.$ 0.82 44.5 46 -7.2 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 1.27 30.3 47 -16.0 -18.8 -113 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 48 -2.4 1.1 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 50 -9.4 -57.0 -180 0.68 1490 51 -2.1 -1.1 $n.d.$ 0.65 107 52 -1.1 -23.5 $n.d.$ 0.41 36.5 53 -2.0 -19.9 -106 0.82 82.2 54 -3.9 -7.2 -21 0.85 97.0 55 -11.8 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 0.4 0.43 67.1 58 -2.1 -8.1 -2.9	41	-0.4	-22.5	n.d.	0.66	50.7
43 n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. 0.56 1.6 44 0.5 -27.7 -157 0.30 15.3 45 -5.4 n.d. n.d. 0.82 44.5 46 -7.2 n.d. n.d. 1.27 30.3 47 -16.0 -18.8 -113 n.d. n.d. 48 -2.4 1.1 n.d. 0.26 14.8 49 n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. 50 -9.4 -57.0 -180 0.68 1490 51 -2.1 -1.1 n.d. 0.41 36.5 52 -1.1 -23.5 n.d. 0.41 36.5 53 -2.0 -19.9 -106 0.82 82.2 54 -3.9 -7.2 -21 0.85 97.0 55 -11.8 n.d. n.d. 0.43 67.1 58 -2.1 -8.1 -2.9 n.d. n.d. 59<	42	-0.8	n.d.	n.d.	0.57	1.4
44 0.5 -27.7 -157 0.30 15.3 45 -5.4 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 0.82 44.5 46 -7.2 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 1.27 30.3 47 -16.0 -18.8 -113 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 48 -2.4 1.1 $n.d.$ 0.26 14.8 49 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 50 -9.4 -57.0 -180 0.68 1490 51 -2.1 -1.1 $n.d.$ 0.65 107 52 -1.1 -23.5 $n.d.$ 0.41 36.5 53 -2.0 -19.9 -100 0.82 82.2 54 -3.9 -7.2 -21 0.85 97.0 55 -11.8 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 0.43 67.1 58 -2.1 -8.1 -2.9 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 57 -9.0 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$	43	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	0.56	1.6
45 -5.4 n.d. n.d. n.d. 1.27 30.3 46 -7.2 n.d. n.d. 1.27 30.3 47 -16.0 -18.8 -113 n.d. n.d. 48 -2.4 1.1 n.d. 0.26 14.8 49 n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. $n.d.$ 50 -9.4 -57.0 -180 0.68 1490 51 -2.1 -1.1 $n.d.$ 0.41 36.5 53 -2.0 -19.9 -106 0.82 82.2 54 -3.9 -7.2 -21 0.85 97.0 55 -11.8 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 0.43 67.1 58 -2.1 -8.1 -29 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 59 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 60 -0.6 -12.3 -54 6.21 92.8 61 -0.1 -19.5 -136	44	0.5	-27.7	-157	0.30	15.3
46 -7.2 n.d. n.d. 1.27 30.3 47 -16.0 -18.8 -113 n.d. n.d. 48 -2.4 1.1 n.d. $n.d.$ n.d. 49 n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. 50 -9.4 -57.0 -180 0.68 1490 51 -2.1 -1.1 $n.d.$ 0.65 107 52 -1.1 -23.5 $n.d.$ 0.41 36.5 53 -2.0 -19.9 -106 0.82 82.2 54 -3.9 -7.2 -21 0.85 97.0 55 -11.8 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 0.42 183 57 -9.0 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 0.43 67.1 58 -2.1 -8.1 -29 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 59 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 61 -0.1 -19.5 -136 6.49 224	45	-5.4	n.d.	n.d.	0.82	44.5
47 -16.0 -18.8 -113 n.d.n.d.48 -2.4 1.1 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 49 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 50 -9.4 -57.0 -180 0.68 1490 51 -2.1 -1.1 $n.d.$ 0.65 107 52 -1.1 -23.5 $n.d.$ 0.41 36.5 53 -2.0 -19.9 -106 0.82 82.2 54 -3.9 -7.2 -21 0.85 97.0 55 -11.8 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 0.43 67.1 58 -2.1 -8.1 -29 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 59 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 60 -0.6 -12.3 -54 6.21 92.8 61 -0.1 -19.5 -136 6.49 224 62 -1.9 -18.3 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 64 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 65 0.3 -13.4 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 66 -0.5 -18.0 -128 6.71 1070 67 -20.1 -12.5 -14 3.88 48.3 68 -2.5 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 72 0.4 -16.1 -101 4.62 139	46	-7.2	n.d.	n.d.	1.27	30.3
-2.4 1.1 $n.d.$ 0.26 14.8 49 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 50 -9.4 -57.0 -180 0.68 1490 51 -2.1 -1.1 $n.d.$ 0.65 107 52 -1.1 -23.5 $n.d.$ 0.41 36.5 53 -2.0 -19.9 -106 0.82 82.2 54 -3.9 -7.2 -21 0.85 97.0 55 -11.8 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 0.43 67.1 56 -4.5 -24.8 $n.d.$ 0.92 183 57 -9.0 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 0.43 67.1 58 -2.1 -8.1 -29 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 59 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 60 -0.6 -12.3 -54 6.21 92.8 61 -0.1 -19.5 -136 6.49 224 62 -1.9 -18.2 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 64 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 64 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 65 0.3 -13.4 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 66 -0.5 -18.0 -128 6.71 1070 67 -20.1 -12.5 -14 3.88 48.3 68 -2.5 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 4.22	47	-16.0	-18.8	-113	n.d.	n.d.
49 n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. 50 -9.4 -57.0 -180 0.68 1490 51 -2.1 -1.1 n.d. $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 52 -1.1 -23.5 $n.d.$ 0.41 36.5 53 -2.0 -19.9 -106 0.82 82.2 54 -3.9 -7.2 -21 0.85 97.0 55 -11.8 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 0.83 103 56 -4.5 -24.8 $n.d.$ 0.92 183 57 -9.0 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 0.43 67.1 58 -2.1 -8.1 -29 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 59 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 60 -0.6 -12.3 -54 6.21 92.8 61 -0.1 -19.5 -136 6.49 224 62 -1.9 -18.2 $n.d.$	48	-2.4	1.1	n.d.	0.26	14.8
50 -9.4 -57.0 -180 0.68 1490 51 -2.1 -1.1 $n.d.$ 0.65 107 52 -1.1 -23.5 $n.d.$ 0.41 36.5 53 -2.0 -19.9 -106 0.82 82.2 54 -3.9 -7.2 -21 0.85 97.0 55 -11.8 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 0.83 103 56 -4.5 -24.8 $n.d.$ 0.92 183 57 -9.0 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 0.43 67.1 58 -2.1 -8.1 -29 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 59 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 60 -0.6 -12.3 -54 6.21 92.8 61 -0.1 -19.5 -136 6.49 224 62 -1.9 -18.3 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 63 -1.0 -18.2 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 64 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 65 0.3 -13.4 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 66 -0.5 -18.0 -128 6.71 1070 67 -20.1 -12.5 -14 3.88 48.3 68 -2.5 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 4.22 1.6 70 -1.2 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 7.2 71 -2.1 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ <td< td=""><td>49</td><td>n.d.</td><td>n.d.</td><td>n.d.</td><td>n.d.</td><td>n.d.</td></td<>	49	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	50	-9.4	-57.0	-180	0.68	1490
52 -1.1 -23.5 n.d. 0.41 36.5 53 -2.0 -19.9 -106 0.82 82.2 54 -3.9 -7.2 -21 0.85 97.0 55 -11.8 n.d. n.d. 0.43 103 56 -4.5 -24.8 n.d. 0.92 183 57 -9.0 n.d. n.d. 0.43 67.1 58 -2.1 -8.1 -29 n.d. n.d. 59 n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. 60 -0.6 -12.3 -54 6.21 92.8 61 -0.1 -19.5 -136 6.49 224 62 -1.9 -18.2 n.d. n.d. n.d. 64 n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. 65 0.3 -13.4 n.d. n.d. n.d. 66 -0.5 -18.0 -128 6.71 1070 67 -20.1 -12.5 -14<	51	-2.1	-1.1	n.d.	0.65	107
-1.1 $-1.0.5$ $-1.0.4$ 0.41 30.51 53 -2.0 -19.9 -106 0.82 82.2 54 -3.9 -7.2 -21 0.85 97.0 55 -11.8 n.d. n.d. 0.43 0.92 183 57 -9.0 n.d. n.d. 0.43 67.1 58 -2.1 -8.1 -29 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 59 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 59 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 60 -0.6 -12.3 -54 6.21 92.8 61 -0.1 -19.5 -136 6.49 224 62 -1.9 -18.3 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 63 -1.0 -18.2 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 64 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 66 -0.5 -18.0 -128	52	-1.1	-23.5	n.d	0.41	36.5
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	53	-2.0	-19.9	- 106	0.82	82.2
55 -11.8 n.d. n.d. 0.83 103 56 -4.5 -24.8 n.d. 0.92 183 57 -9.0 n.d. n.d. 0.43 67.1 58 -2.1 -8.1 -29 n.d. n.d. 59 n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. 60 -0.6 -12.3 -54 6.21 92.8 61 -0.1 -19.5 -136 6.49 224 62 -1.9 -18.2 n.d. n.d. n.d. 63 -1.0 -18.2 n.d. n.d. n.d. 64 n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. 65 0.3 -13.4 n.d. n.d. n.d. 66 -0.5 -18.0 -128 6.71 1070 67 -20.1 -12.5 -14 3.88 48.3 68 -2.5 n.d. n.d. 0.85 5.9 69 -0.7 n.d. n.d. </td <td>54</td> <td>-39</td> <td>-72</td> <td>- 21</td> <td>0.85</td> <td>97.0</td>	54	-39	-72	- 21	0.85	97.0
-4.5 -24.8 n.d. 0.03 103 56 -4.5 -24.8 n.d. 0.92 183 57 -9.0 n.d. n.d. 0.43 67.1 58 -2.1 -8.1 -29 n.d. n.d. 59 n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. 60 -0.6 -12.3 -54 6.21 92.8 61 -0.1 -19.5 -136 6.49 224 62 -1.9 -18.3 n.d. $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 63 -1.0 -18.2 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 63 -1.0 -18.2 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 64 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 65 0.3 -13.4 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 66 -0.5 -18.0 -128 6.71 1070 67 -20.1 -12.5 -14 3.88 48.3 <td>55</td> <td>-11.8</td> <td>n d</td> <td>nd</td> <td>0.83</td> <td>103</td>	55	-11.8	n d	nd	0.83	103
3.7 -9.0 n.d. n.d. 0.43 67.1 57 -9.0 n.d. n.d. 0.43 67.1 58 -2.1 -8.1 -29 n.d. $n.d.$ 59 n.d. n.d. n.d. $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 60 -0.6 -12.3 -54 6.21 92.8 61 -0.1 -19.5 -136 6.49 224 62 -1.9 -18.3 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 63 -1.0 -18.2 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 64 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 65 0.3 -13.4 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 66 -0.5 -18.0 -128 6.71 1070 67 -20.1 -12.5 -14 3.88 48.3 68 -2.5 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 4.98 25.8 71 -2.1 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.$	56	-45	- 24 8	n d	0.00	183
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	57	-90	n d	n d	0.43	67 1
59 n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. 59 n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. 60 -0.6 -12.3 -54 6.21 92.8 61 -0.1 -19.5 -136 6.49 224 62 -1.9 -18.3 n.d. 2.38 12.8 63 -1.0 -18.2 n.d. n.d. $n.d.$ 64 n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. $n.d.$ 65 0.3 -13.4 n.d. $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 66 -0.5 -18.0 -128 6.71 1070 67 -20.1 -12.5 -14 3.88 48.3 68 -2.5 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 0.85 5.9 69 -0.7 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 4.22 1.6 70 -1.2 $n.d.$ $n.d.$ $n.d.$ 7.4 72 0.4 -16.1 -101 </td <td>58</td> <td>- 2 1</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>n d</td> <td>n d</td>	58	- 2 1			n d	n d
37 n.d. n.d. <t< td=""><td>50</td><td>-2.1 nd</td><td>-0.1 n d</td><td>- 27 nd</td><td>n.d.</td><td>n.u.</td></t<>	50	-2.1 nd	-0.1 n d	- 27 nd	n.d.	n.u.
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	59 60		11.u. _ 10.0		11.U. 6 01	11.U. 02.0
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	61	-0.0	- 12.3	- 34	6.40	94.0 224
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	61 62	-0.1	- 19.5	- 130 nd	0.49	224
0.5 -1.0 -18.2 n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. 64 n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. 65 0.3 -13.4 n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. 66 -0.5 -18.0 -128 6.71 1070 67 -20.1 -12.5 -14 3.88 48.3 68 -2.5 n.d. n.d. 0.85 5.9 69 -0.7 n.d. n.d. 4.22 1.6 70 -1.2 n.d. n.d. 4.98 25.8 71 -2.1 n.d. n.d. n.d. $n.d.$ 72 0.4 -16.1 -101 4.62 139	02 62	-1.9	- 18.3	n.a.	2.38	12.8
64 n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. 65 0.3 -13.4 n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. 66 -0.5 -18.0 -128 6.71 1070 67 -20.1 -12.5 -14 3.88 48.3 68 -2.5 n.d. n.d. 0.85 5.9 69 -0.7 n.d. n.d. 4.98 25.8 71 -2.1 n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. 72 0.4 -16.1 -101 4.62 139	03	-1.0	- 18.2	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
	64	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
$ \begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	65	0.3	-13.4	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	66	-0.5	-18.0	-128	6.71	1070
68 -2.5 n.d. n.d. 0.85 5.9 69 -0.7 n.d. n.d. 4.22 1.6 70 -1.2 n.d. n.d. 4.98 25.8 71 -2.1 n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. 72 0.4 -16.1 -101 4.62 139	67	-20.1	-12.5	-14	3.88	48.3
69 -0.7 n.d. n.d. 4.22 1.6 70 -1.2 n.d. n.d. 4.98 25.8 71 -2.1 n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. 72 0.4 -16.1 -101 4.62 139	68	-2.5	n.d.	n.d.	0.85	5.9
70 -1.2 n.d. n.d. 4.98 25.8 71 -2.1 n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. 72 0.4 -16.1 -101 4.62 139	69	-0.7	n.d.	n.d.	4.22	1.6
71 – 2.1 n.d. n.d. n.d. n.d. 72 0.4 – 16.1 – 101 4.62 139	70	-1.2	n.d.	n.d.	4.98	25.8
72 0.4 -16.1 -101 4.62 139	71	-2.1	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
	72	0.4	-16.1	-101	4.62	139

N.	δ^{13} C(CO ₂)	δ^{13} C(CH ₄)	$\delta^2 H(CH_4)$	R/R _A	⁴ He/ ²⁰ Ne
	‰	%0	‰ 0		
73	-2.7	n.d.	n.d.	3.22	8.7
74	-2.1	n.d.	n.d.	3.35	19.5
75	0.3	-34.8	-174	n.d.	n.d.
76	-1.0	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
77	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
78	-1.9	-26.1	n.d.	0.40	335
79	-1.9	-26.3	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
80	-3.1	n.d.	n.d.	1.04	2.9
81	-3.0	n.d.	n.d.	1.55	44.1
82	6.0	-44.6	-263	0.72	0.74
83	-0.9	-27.7	-143	1.15	185
84	-5.8	34.7	n.d.	0.44	18.1
85	-12.3	n.d.	n.d.	0.51	96.4
86	0.3	n.d.	n.d.	2.65	0.92
87	-2.3	-12.0	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
88	n.d.	-2.0	-127	0.97	0.82
89	n.d.	-26.6	-301	0.87	0.93
90	n.d.	-35.0	-288	0.38	0.84
91	n.d.	-72.3	-174	n.d.	n.d.
92	n.d.	-49.2	-62	0.08	8.7
93	n.d.	-37.5	-204	0.07	16.4
94	n.d.	- 42.3	-154	0.06	17.1
95	-1.7	-22.0	-108	5.66	536
96	-1.6	-23.6	-125	6.08	30.1
97	-2.7	-23.3	-121	5.78	242
98	-2.1	-23.6	-110	5.53	6.8
99	-2.1	-23.3	-130	5.91	29.1
100	-3.5	2.4	36	1.44	40.6
101	-0.8	-16.1	-75	3.67	81.5

n.d. = not determined.

comprised between 1 and 15%. Also gases from HH show a mix between a low mantle component (2–5%) and a prevailing crustal source.

In the CO₂/³He vs. δ^{13} C-CO₂ binary diagram (Fig. 4b), the CO₂- and N2-dominated gases from VA and the N2-CO2 thermal emissions from IH mostly plot along the mixing line between the mantle and the limestone end-members, showing a low contribution from sedimentary organicrich sources. The N2-dominated gases of the cold manifestations from IH show $CO_2/{}^{3}$ He ratios even lower than that of mantle gases, which are probably caused by relative CO₂ loss due to its higher solubility in aquatic environments with respect to He (Fig. 4b). This process may explain the extremely low δ^{13} C-CO₂ and CO₂/³He values shown by sample 67 from the VA (-20.1% and 3.7×10^5 , respectively), which was characterised by a relatively low concentration of CO₂. Carbon dioxide loss may also be produced by calcite deposition at relatively high pH (Stefánsson et al., 2016, 2017). This process involves deprotonation of CO_2 (aq) to HCO_3^- and CO_3^{-2} and formation of calcite, resulting in a decrease of the δ^{13} C values of the residual CO₂. It should be noted that most thermal water samples are oversaturated in carbonate minerals and travertine depositions were recognized at some thermal areas (Kanellopoulos, 2012; Winkel et al., 2013; Kanellopoulos et al., 2017).

Hydrogen sulfide in fumarolic gases from active volcanoes is likely produced by thermochemical reduction of magmatic SO₂ occurring within the hydrothermal reservoirs (Giggenbach, 1987) and, therefore, shows the highest concentrations along the VA (Fig. 3f) where most of the geothermal systems evidence an input of magmatic gases (Marini and Fiebig, 2005; Rizzo et al., 2015). Sedimentary sources of H₂S consist of i) alteration of sulfide minerals (Giggenbach, 1980; Chiodini, 1994), ii) microbial activity, and iii) thermochemical sulfate reduction (Machel et al., 1995; Worden and Smalley, 1996). The H₂S concentrations in the gas discharges of EH and HH are below detection limit with few exceptions, likely because this compound has a relatively high solubility in water and/or the lack of significant sources of S-bearing volatile compounds in the regions. Thus, whichever its origin, it may be



Fig. 3. Box and whiskers plot in which the total range of concentrations (in µmol/mol) of a) N₂; b) CO₂; c) CH₄; d) He; e) H₂ and f) H₂S are presented for each region.

partially or totally dissolved and oxidized in cold shallow aquifers present along the uprising gas pathways (Minissale, 2004). Most gases from IH show also H₂S concentrations below detection limit, excepting samples 6, 23, 30, 31 and 36 where concentrations up to 17,400 μ mol/ mol have been measured. It is worth noting that these samples were collected in two areas (Sperchios basin and Kassandra peninsula) corresponding to maxima on the heat flow map (Fig. 1c), suggesting the occurrence of medium enthalpy geothermal systems (Fytikas and Kolios, 1979).

The occurrence of relatively high concentrations of H₂ (up to 14,800 µmol/mol; Table 1, Fig. 3e) in gases from the volcanic systems of Nisyros and Santorini is most likely related to water-rock interactions at elevated temperatures. It is well known that Fe(II)-bearing minerals react with water at temperatures > 300 °C generating H₂ (e.g., Giggenbach, 1987; Seewald, 2001). Most of the samples collected at IH and HH have H₂ below detection limit (Table 1). Concentrations of H₂ up to 50 µmol/mol, a gas often linked to hydrocarbon generation, are

found in the EH gases related to hydrocarbon reservoirs of the area.

4.2. An overview in the classification of hydrocarbons

Taran and Giggenbach (2003) highlighted that for the description of hydrothermal hydrocarbon production two main mechanisms can be hypothesized. The first one deals with the biotic origin of methane, whereas the second one with its abiotic origin.

Gunter (1978), based on numerous observations, proposed that the nature and distribution of hydrocarbon species in hydrothermal vapours is more consistent with the thermal degradation of kerogen rather than inorganic production, a conclusion also supported by other researchers (e.g., Welhan, 1988; Darling, 1998; Mango, 2000; Taran and Giggenbach, 2003). More specifically, as indicated by Hunt (1996), biotic methane is produced either by microbial or thermogenic processes.

The second approach has to do with the formation of abiotic (or



Fig. 4. a) Binary plot of $R/R_A vs. {}^{4}He/{}^{20}Ne$ of the Hellenic gas emissions. The mixing lines between Atmosphere and Mantle and between Atmosphere and Crust are also plotted, b) Binary plot of $CO_2/{}^{3}He$ vs. $\delta^{13}C(CO_2)$ The composition for Sediments, MORB-like Mantle and Limestones end-members are, as follows: $\delta^{13}C(CO_2) = -30\%$, -5% and 0% and $CO_2/{}^{3}He = 1 \times 10^{13}$, 2×10^9 and 1×10^{13} , respectively (Sano and Marty, 1995). Symbols as in Fig. 3.

abiogenic) methane by chemical reactions that do not require the presence of organic matter. These reactions include magmatic processes and gas-water-rock reactions (for example Fischer Tropsch type reactions (Fischer and Tropsch, 1923, 1926)) occurring over a wide range of temperatures (Etiope and Sherwood Lollar, 2013). These processes refer to the formation of organic compounds from a gaseous mixture of CO and H₂ in high temperature and pressure surface-catalysed process, involving sequential reduction and polymerization of single-carbon units (McCollom and Seewald, 2007; McCollom, 2013; Konn et al., 2015), (see Reaction (1)). From this process, it is noticeable that trace amounts of abiotic hydrocarbons can occur in volcanic and geothermal fluids. Moreover, considerable amounts of methane, reaching concentrations of 80-90 vol%, have also been discovered in an increasing number of sites in Precambrian crystalline shields, in serpentinized ultramafic rocks along mid-ocean ridges and in land-based ophiolites, peridotite massifs, and igneous intrusions (Etiope and Sherwood Lollar, 2013).

Considering these mechanisms, generally, although not exclusively, the origin of CH₄ can be investigated using the classification diagrams proposed by Bernard and Schoell (Bernard et al., 1978; Schoell, 1980, 1988; Etiope and Schoell, 2014, Fig. 6a, b). Bernard et al. (1978) introduced correlation between $CH_4/(C_2H_6 + C_3H_8)$ concentration ratios and the δ^{13} C-CH₄ ratios to qualitatively distinguish CH₄ derived from microbial and thermogenic sources. Furthermore, other researchers (Whiticar et al., 1986; Schoell, 1988; Burke et al., 1988, 1988; Whiticar, 1999a, 1999b; Hornibrook et al., 1997; Chanton et al., 2005) hypothesized that the production of CH₄ is associated with inverse or antipathetic shifts in $\delta^2 H$ and $\delta^{13} C$ of $CH_4,$ in which fermentation of acetate will result in CH₄ enriched in ¹³C and depleted in ²H, something relative to CH₄ produced via the CO₂ reduction pathway. In those diagrams (Fig. 6a, b), thermogenic CH₄ has been reported to exhibit δ^{13} C-CH₄ values ranging from -50 to -30‰ and δ^{2} H-CH₄ values ≤150‰ (e.g., Schoell, 1980; Whiticar, 1999a, 1999b; Bradley and Summons, 2010). Microbial CH₄ usually has δ^{13} C-CH₄ values $\leq 50\%$ (e.g. Whiticar, 1999a, 1999b; McCollom and Seewald, 2007) and can be produced either by i) methyl-type fermentation or by ii) carbonate reduction (13C-depleted and 2H-enriched CH4 with respect to the methyltype fermentation) (Whiticar et al., 1986; Whiticar, 1999a, 1999b). CO2-reduction and acetate fermentation pathways may be distinguished also on the basis of the carbon isotope fractionation factor $(\alpha_{\rm C})$ between coexisting CO₂ and CH₄ (Whiticar et al., 1986).

$$\alpha_{\rm C} = (\delta^{13}{\rm C} - {\rm CO}_2 + 1000) / (\delta^{13}{\rm C} - {\rm CH}_4 + 1000)$$
(3)

The isotope fractionation of the carbon dioxide reduction is generally between $\alpha_{C} = 1.055$ and 1.09, in contrast to acetate- type fermentation which is usually between $\alpha_{C} = 1.04$ and 1.055.

Gases produced by the decay of organic matter at T > 150 °C (thermogenic gases) are commonly characterised by CH₄/(C₂H₆ + C₃H₈) concentration ratios (known as the "Bernard parameter") < 100, with the exception of coal gases (Whiticar, 1999a, 1999b) and shale gases (Tilley and Muehlenbachs, 2013). Higher ratios (> 1000) are expected when hydrocarbon production derives exclusively from microbial activity (Whiticar and Suess, 1990; Jenden et al., 1993). However, many processes can play a fundamental role for its origin, such as isotopic fractionation by diffusion (Prinzhofer and Battani, 2003), secondary methanogenesis and anaerobic biodegradation (Dimitrakopoulos and Muehlenbachs, 1987; Pallasser, 2000; Etiope et al., 2009). Methanotrophic bacteria, for instance, may oxidize biogenic CH₄, causing a shift toward less negative isotopic values of the residual gas (Coleman et al., 1981).

CH₄ of abiogenic origin, e.g. discharged from high-temperature hydrothermal fluids venting from sediment-free ultramafic systems, is thought to be characterised by δ^2 H-CH₄ values higher than -150% (Welhan and Craig, 1983; Proskurowski et al., 2006, 2008; McCollom and Seewald, 2007; Bradley and Summons, 2010). Similarly, the δ^{13} C-CH₄ values of CH₄ produced from water-rock reactions, such as

hydration of ultramafic rocks, may vary in a wide range because it depends on the inorganic carbon source and the magnitude of carbon isotope fractionation.

Another possible source of abiogenic CH_4 is the serpentinization process of ultramafic rocks within ophiolitic sequences. Such processes produce significant quantities of H_2 even at temperatures below 100 °C (Miller et al., 2017) mainly through the following reactions:

$$\begin{array}{ll} 2(Mg,Fe)_2SiO_4 + 3H_2O \rightarrow (Mg,Fe)_3Si_2O_5(OH)_4 + (Mg,Fe)(OH)_2\\ \text{olivine} & \text{serpentine} & \text{brucite} \end{array}$$

(4)

$$\begin{array}{ll} \operatorname{Fe_3Si_2O_5(OH)_4} & + \operatorname{H_2O} \rightarrow \operatorname{Fe_3O_4} + 2\operatorname{SiO_2} + \operatorname{H_2} \\ \text{serpentine (greenalite)} & \text{magnetite} & \text{silica} \end{array} \tag{5}$$

The produced H_2 in turn may be transformed in CH_4 reacting with carbon oxides (CO, CO_2 or simple organic acids). Such reactions can be mediated either microbially or inorganically (Fischer-Tropsch type reactions – Etiope and Sherwood Lollar, 2013). The latter are favoured at high temperatures but experiments show that they can proceed even at ambient temperature in the presence of a suitable catalyst (Etiope and Ionescu, 2015). Apart from significant H_2 and CH_4 gas production, the serpentinization processes lead to the formation of hyperalkline groundwaters (Barnes and O'Neil, 1969; Bruni et al., 2002).

Besides few exceptions (Hinrichs et al., 2006), Bernard and Schoell diagrams (Bernard et al., 1978; Schoell, 1980, 1988; Etiope and Schoell, 2014, Fig. 6a, b) work fairly well for the classification of CH₄ from thermogenic and microbial sources, however they are of limited use considering the identification of abiogenic CH₄. The reason is that there seems to be a considerable overlap of fields characteristic for thermogenic CH₄, thermogenic CH₄ affected by secondary oxidation and "abiogenic" CH₄. Furthermore, some studies evidenced that in carbon limited conditions the Carbon isotopic composition of CH₄ deriving from microbial activity could reach values as high as those generally attributed to abiogenic origin (Kietäväinen and Purmako, 2015).

Recently, new proxies such as clumped isotopes, capable of determining the isotopologues of CH₄ molecules (Stolper et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2015), have been developed. Potential applications of this method include determination of CH₄ formation temperature (CH₄ thermometry), and detection of kinetic isotope fractionation, both of which might be used in separating biotic from abiotic CH₄ (Stolper et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2015). More applications are expected when this method comes more widely attainable.

4.3. Origin of hydrocarbon compounds in Greece

Taking into consideration the geo-lithological division of the study areas and the dominant gas species, it is noticeable that the manifestations found on the western part of Greece display higher CH₄ concentrations (Fig. 3c) with respect to those found in the eastern part where CO₂ is the prevailing gas species (Figs. 1a, 2a and 3b). In particular, EH are characterised by widespread and thick sedimentary formations mainly originating from the erosion of elder rocks from IH and HH. This geological/petrological regime makes the stratigraphic sequences of EH richer in solid organic substances, as also supported by the hydrocarbon deposits occurring in this area (Palacas et al., 1986). On the other hand, IH and HH are characterised by more "mature" formations (Cavazza et al., 2014), mainly intrusive and metamorphic, and by significant erosion causing a substantial reduction of the crustal thickness before the post-orogenic extension. These formations contain much less organic matter than EH. Therefore, as also seen in Fig. 6a and b, the occurrence of biogenic CH₄ in these areas is likely related to the presence of a post-orogenic sedimentary cover.

The most used parameters to discriminate the genetic processes of CH₄ are the C- and H-isotopic compositions of CH₄ (Schoell, 1980; Etiope and Schoell, 2014) and the CH₄/(C₂H₆ + C₃H₈) ratio (Bernard et al., 1978). Of course, it should be considered that secondary

Concentrations of C2-C6 hydrocarbons.

N.	C_2H_6	C_3H_8	C_3H_6	i-C ₄ H ₁₀	n-C ₄ H ₁₀	i-C ₄ H ₈	<i>i</i> -C ₅ H ₁₂	n-C ₅ H ₁₂	C_6H_6	$CH_4/(C_2H_6 + C_3H_8)$
	µmol/mol	µmol/mol	µmol/mol	µmol/mol	µmol/mol	µmol/mol	µmol/mol	µmol/mol	µmol/mol	
1	112	2.8	b.d.1.	0.12	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.1.	7667
2	21	3.7	0.018	2.3	2.1	3.7	0.45	0.45	4.9	45
3	15	3.6	0.02	1.8	2.1	2.6	0.42	0.51	5.6	34
4	13	2.8	0.03	2.6	1.2	1.5	0.55	0.33	2.2	64
5	11	2.2	0.04	2.1	1.5	1.4	0.48	0.25	1.8	65
6	5.1	1.3	b.d.l.	0.45	1.1	0.68	0.33	0.21	0.56	327
7	8.7	2.2	b.d.l.	0.85	0.71	1.3	0.36	0.31	0.66	308
8	13	3.6	0.01	1.4	1.7	1.5	0.62	0.33	0.98	245
9	3.1	0.85	b.d.l.	0.33	0.75	0.55	0.69	0.25	0.44	276
10	1.1	0.08	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	0.51	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	0.54	256
11	1.1	0.29	b.d.1.	0.12	0.17	0.22	0.09	0.05	0.28	19
12	8.6	2.3	0.03	0.62	1.3	0.95	0.26	0.39	5.4	110
13	95	11	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.1.	6645
14	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.c.
15	2.1	b.d.l.	b.d.1.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.1.	b.d.1.	4810
16	15	3.1	0.06	2.5	3.1	5.5	1.3	0.66	51	101
17	13	3.3	0.08	2.3	2.6	4 4	1.5	0.71	4.5	110
18	3.8	0.46	b.d.l	0.15	0.16	0.11	0.08	0.06	0.71	244
19	23	0.51	b.d.1	0.15	0.26	0.75	0.08	0.11	0.85	19
20	15	0.23	b.d.1	0.10	0.20	0.54	0.05	0.08	0.00	18
21	10	2.1	0.02	11	0.65	0.85	0.00	0.00	0.77	76
22	61	15	0.02	1.1	0.66	0.00	0.21	0.20	1.2	62
22	1.5	0.11	b.d.1	hd1	0.06	b.d.1	b.d.1	b.d.1	hd1	6832
23	2.4	0.11	0.02	0.41	0.00	0.41	0.56	0.15	0.74	54
24	3.4	0.30	0.02 b.d.1	0.41	0.30 b.d.l	0.41 b.d.l	0.30 b.41	0.15 b.d.1	0.74 b.d.1	0 4 7001
25	125	3.3	0.21	126	179	155	D.u.i. 26	25	D.u.i. 215	201
20	2900	2/8	0.21 b.d.l	120 h.d.1	1/8 b.d.1	155	20 5 d 1	35 5 d 1	215 b d 1	201
27	321	8.5 2.2	D.d.1.	D.d.I.	D.d.I.	D.d.1.	D.d.1.	D.d.1.	D.d.1.	2579
28	11	3.3	D.d.I.	1.2	1.3	1.0	0.50	0.01	0.98	400
29	13	0.51	D.d.l.	D.d.I.	D.d.1.	D.d.I.	D.d.1.	D.d.l.	D.d.l.	5/51
30	13	3.1	D.d.l.	2.5	1.4	1.5	0.39	0.33	0.91	2//
31	6.5	0.85	D.d.l.	0.41	0.85	0.76	0.05	0.13	1.4	790
32	4.3	0.85	0.02	0.41	0.69	0.64	0.15	0.22	2.1	155
33	2.4	0.39	b.d.l.	0.15	0.21	0.26	0.05	0.06	0.65	89
34	2.1	0.33	b.d.l.	0.11	0.25	0.29	0.11	0.12	0.58	357
35	6.6	1.3	0.04	0.55	1.1	0.88	0.12	0.26	3.7	87
36	6.7	1.6	b.d.l.	0.55	0.69	1.3	0.25	0.36	2.4	63
37	12	2.5	0.04	1.5	2.1	3.6	1.1	0.66	1.8	186
38	15	2.9	0.03	1.1	2.6	3.1	0.74	0.51	2.2	131
39	3.1	0.15	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	1908
40	7.7	2.1	0.03	1.2	0.77	1.1	0.25	0.26	1.5	49
41	8.9	1.8	0.006	1.1	0.85	1.3	0.21	0.21	1.7	20
42	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	n.c.
43	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.1.	n.c.
44	19	3.9	0.11	2.4	2.8	4.9	1.8	0.75	4.1	114
45	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.1.	b.d.1.	n.c.
46	0.08	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.1.	25
47	61	3.9	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.1.	6712
48	3.6	0.66	b.d.l.	0.65	0.78	1.2	0.15	0.24	1.8	5.4
49	4.3	0.71	b.d.l.	0.78	0.85	1.6	0.21	0.26	1.9	16
50	85	3.1	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.1.	8675
51	5.3	1.3	0.008	0.31	0.39	0.75	0.08	0.07	0.56	101
52	2.3	0.15	0.02	0.11	0.14	0.25	0.08	0.06	0.58	287
53	3.6	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.1.	9111
54	2.3	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	8913
55	12	3.3	0.02	1.4	2.1	3.3	0.55	1.2	2.3	14
56	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.1.	n.c.
57	0.51	0.18	b.d.l.	0.05	b.d.l.	0.11	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	0.34	14
58	22	5.6	0.008	2.2	2.9	3.7	1.1	1.2	7.1	168
59	9.5	2.1	0.007	1.3	1.1	1.9	0.26	0.31	2.6	71
60	12	0.89	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	1853
61	1.6	0.15	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	0.12	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	0.08	6914
62	7.3	0.33	b.d.l.	0.11	0.21	0.25	0.08	0.05	0.15	389
63	0.15	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.1.	16,000
64	8.1	0.48	b.d.l.	0.16	0.25	0.33	0.12	0.11	0.21	366
65	4.2	0.26	b.d.l.	0.13	0.21	0.25	0.05	b.d.1.	0.11	4709
66	4.3	0.85	b.d.l.	0.54	0.15	0.65	0.15	0.22	1.3	4485
67	7.5	1.2	0.04	0.58	0.74	0.65	0.06	0.11	1.2	718
68	0.45	0.13	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	0.15	b.d.l	b.d.1	0.23	41
69	b.d.l	b.d.l	b d l	b d l	b d l	b.d.l	b d l	bd1	b.d.1	n.c.
70	0.99	0.23	b d 1	0.08	0.11	0.05	b d 1	b d 1	0.39	56
71	5.1	1.8	0.02	17	0.56	0.75	0.35	0.15	14	76
72	11	0.33	b.d.1	1.7 hdl	h d 1	b.d.1	b.d.1	b.13	1.7 hdl	1854
		0.00	D.u.i.	D.u.i.	0.4.1.	0.4.1.	0.4.1.	D.u.1.	D.u.1.	(continued on next nece)
										(neve on neve bage)

Table 3	(continued)
---------	-------------

N.	C_2H_6	C_3H_8	C_3H_6	<i>i</i> -C ₄ H ₁₀	<i>n</i> -C ₄ H ₁₀	i-C ₄ H ₈	<i>i</i> -C ₅ H ₁₂	<i>n</i> -C ₅ H ₁₂	C_6H_6	$CH_4/(C_2H_6 + C_3H_8)$
	µmol/mol	µmol/mol	µmol/mol	µmol/mol	µmol/mol	µmol/mol	µmol/mol	µmol/mol	µmol/mol	
73	3.3	0.59	0.02	0.24	0.25	0.33	0.21	0.15	0.47	34
74	7.6	2.5	0.08	1.1	0.95	1.6	0.78	1.1	2.9	14
75	11	3.9	0.03	1.3	1.5	2.8	0.43	1.1	2.5	26
76	4.6	0.91	0.08	0.45	0.45	0.69	0.11	0.25	2.6	137
77	11	3.3	0.009	1.6	1.9	2.2	0.58	0.91	4.4	7.1
78	6.2	1.5	0.008	1.6	1.8	2.3	0.66	0.91	2.1	110
79	7.6	1.8	0.009	1.5	2	2.6	1.2	1.1	2.5	94
80	4.3	1.1	0.006	0.23	0.36	0.81	0.11	0.13	1.9	9.4
81	3.3	0.65	0.01	0.15	0.33	0.77	0.15	0.19	2.2	70
82	60	3.9	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	9013
83	13	0.26	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	7157
84	7.8	3.1	0.05	0.56	0.71	0.56	0.54	0.23	1.4	76
85	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	n.c.
86	5.6	1.1	0.15	0.26	0.54	0.78	0.31	0.11	2.3	39
87	10	1.9	0.06	1.6	1.3	1.1	0.36	0.25	1.4	109
88	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.c.
89	16.8	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	12,072
90	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.c.
91	3.3	0.59	0.02	0.24	0.25	0.33	0.21	0.15	0.47	93,213
92	120	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	2630
93	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.c.
94	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.c.
95	0.9	0.18	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	2331
96	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.c.
97	5.51	1.1	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	220
98	4.48	0.75	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	831
99	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.c.
100	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.c.
101	23	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	5078

b.d.l. = below detection limit; n.d. = not determined; n.c. = not calculated.

processes (e.g. mixing, inorganic or microbially-driven oxidation) affecting CH₄ and light hydrocarbons might change the chemical and isotopic composition of these compounds masking, at least partially, their primary origin (Coleman et al., 1981; Kiyosu and Imaizumi, 1996; Kinnaman et al., 2007). High $CH_4/(C_2H_6 + C_3H_8)$ concentration ratios (> 1000) are generally limited to the "microbial" samples of EH and to many samples from IH, as well as for some samples of VA (Gyali and Kos islands) (Fig. 6a, Table 3). For the remaining samples, the ratio shows lower values, indicating either a preferential loss of CH₄ due to microbial oxidation or the production of significant quantities of lowmolecular-weight hydrocarbons through thermal degradation of organic matter. More specifically, in both Bernard and modified Schoell diagrams (Fig. 6a, b), the CH4-dominated gases from EH plot in the biogenic fields. In particular, samples of the Gavrovo-Tripolis zone have a clear microbial origin with low δ^{13} C but relatively high δ^{2} H values of CH₄ that point to a microbial carbonate reduction, whereas those from the Ionian zone seem to be of thermogenic origin (Fig. 6a, b). Some gas samples from the Ionian and of Pindos zones (EH) are intermediate between the thermogenic and microbially-derived group, (Fig. 6b). Such mixing pattern is also confirmed by the $CH_4/(C_2H_6 + C_3H_8)$ ratio measured in gases collected in petroleum exploration wells of the Katakolo hydrocarbon field (NW Peloponnesus), which decreases from pure microbial-type values (1000-12,500) at shallower levels down to low values (3.27-24.4) at deeper levels (2000-2500 m depth) where temperatures for thermogenic gas generation are reached (Kamberis et al., 2000).

Samples ascribable to a microbial origin on the basis of their $\delta^{13}C$ and $\delta^{2}H$ values of CH₄ display α_{C} values (Fig. 5a) compatible with the CO₂-reduction origin at least for the samples in which both $\delta^{13}C\text{-}CO_{2}$ and $\delta^{13}C\text{-}CH_{4}$ are available (samples 1, 13,25, 50 and 82).

Furthermore, some of the N_2 -CO₂ dominated samples collected from the thermal emissions that occur in the Subpelagonian and Vardar/Axios zones (IH) plot in the field characteristic for abiogenic hydrocarbons emitted from volcanic-geothermal systems after McCollom and

Seewald (2007) (Fig. 6a). At the same time, also the CO_2 -rich thermal manifestations from HH (Rhodope massif) and IH (Pelagonian and Subpelagonian zones) are found in a another field proposed by Sherwood Lollar et al. (2006) to be characteristic for an abiogenic origin, releasing gases mainly related to hydrothermal systems within the crystalline or metamorphic rocks of the Precambrian shield (Anders et al., 2006; Reischmann and Kostopoulos, 2007) (Fig. 6a). In both cases, a contribution from a biogenic source cannot be excluded due to the closeness to the thermogenic field. In the latter case however, the samples are found in low to medium enthalpy back-arc geothermal fields in an area characterised by extensional tectonics, which presumably also results in crust thinning (Fytikas and Kolios, 1979). CO2 dominated thermal gases from the VA show chemical and isotopic characteristics that are apparently consistent with an abiogenic origin for CH₄ (δ^{13} C-CH₄ values around -20%, and δ^{2} H-CH₄ values around -150%) deriving from CO₂ reduction. Contributions from a biogenic source cannot be excluded for those samples having CH4/ $(C_2H_6 + C_3H_8)$ ratios < 1000 (Bernard ratio ranges from 9 to 4810).

Some N₂-rich gases from IH discharge in correspondence of the ophiolitic bodies that crop out in the Hellenic territory (Pe-Piper and Piper, 2002), where hyperalkaline waters (pH from 9.72 to 11.98) were found at Othrys (central Greece - Etiope et al., 2013b; D'Alessandro et al., 2014) and at Argolida (D'Alessandro et al., 2017). CH₄ collected in these hyperalkaline springs show δ^{13} C-CH₄ values ranging from -37.4 to -26.6% and δ^{2} H-CH₄ from -311 to -250%, excluding sample 88 that has more positive values (-2.0 and -127% respectively) that were attributed by D'Alessandro et al. (2017) to microbial oxidation processes. Such values, on the diagram of Fig. 6b, fall all, except sample 88, within the field of land-based serpentinization systems as defined by Etiope and Schoell (2014) and have been attributed by the previous authors to an abiogenic origin (Etiope et al., 2013b; D'Alessandro et al., 2014, 2017).



Fig. 5. a) δ^{13} C–CO₂ vs. δ^{13} C–CH₄. Carbon isotope fractionation factor (α_c) is based on the Whiticar et al. (1986) functions, b) δ^{13} C–CO₂ vs. δ^{13} C–CH₄. Temperature scales are based on the isotope fractionation factors from Bottinga (1969).



Fig. 6. a) Bernard diagram (Bernard et al., 1978) correlating the $CH_4/(C_2H_6 + C_3H_8)$ concentration ratios with the $\delta^{13}C-CH_4$ isotopic composition of the Hellenic gas discharges. Values for gases of biogenic origin (microbial and thermogenic) and for Precambrian Shield and Geothermal fields are reported (McCollom and Seewald, 2007, and references therein) for comparison, b) modified Schoell binary diagram (Etiope and Schoell, 2014) between δ^2H-CH_4 and $\delta^{13}C-CH_4$ ratios for the Hellenic gas discharges. Slopes of biogenic and abiogenic oxidation of CH_4 are respectively plotted as red- and black-coloured lines. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

4.4. Secondary post-genetic processes

Many processes can significantly modify the isotopic signature of primary methane. Methanotrophic bacteria, for instance, may oxidize biogenic CH_4 , causing a shift toward less negative isotopic values of the residual gas (Coleman et al., 1981).

The majority of the thermal gases of Subpelagonian and Vardar/ Axios zones (IH), the cold manifestations of the Rhodope massif (HH) and some of the volcanic-hydrothermal ones show low CH4/ $[C_2H_6 + C_3H_8]$ ratios (Fig. 6a, Table 3) and strongly positive isotopic ratios of CH₄ (δ^{13} C up to +45‰ and δ^{2} H up to +301‰ – Fig. 6a, b, Table 2). Such chemical and isotopic features were likely caused by oxidation of CH₄ (Fig. 5b). In these environments, microbes obtain energy from aerobic or anaerobic CH₄ oxidation (Murrell and Jetten, 2009), preferentially consuming CH₄ with respect to higher hydrocarbons and preferring light isotopes. Thermophilic and acidophilic methanotrophs oxidize CH₄ also in the harsh environment of thermal waters up to temperatures of more than 80 °C (Sharp et al., 2014). The temperatures of the sampling sites in which we found enriched δ^{13} C and δ^2 H values for CH₄ are mostly in the range from 40 to 63 °C but reaching up to 73.7 °C in the case of sample 54. Although until now, no microbiological studies have been made on these waters to definitely support the occurrence of methanotrophs, the measured temperatures are well within the range that allows the presence of methane oxidizing microorganisms.

Inorganic oxidation of CH₄ (Kiyosu and Imaizumi, 1996) in some samples cannot be ruled out. Nevertheless, the isotopic fractionations of organic and inorganic oxidation of CH₄ follow different fractionation paths. The former follows $\Delta H/\Delta C$ slopes ranging from 5.9 to 13 (Cadieux et al., 2016 and references therein) and the latter a slope of 21 (Kiyosu and Imaizumi, 1996). Since in our samples it is not always possible to establish the primary isotopic composition before oxidation, we can only make some hypothesis about it. Looking at Fig. 6b it is evident that most of the samples within the volcanic-geothermal field cluster around the following values: $\delta^{13}C \approx -21\%$ and $\delta^2 H \approx -130\%$. Taking these values as the isotopic composition of CH₄ before oxidation, we obtain $\Delta H/\Delta C$ values comprised between 3.8 and 13.6 mostly overlapping the typical range of biogenic oxidation processes. Furthermore, the strongly positive values shown by some samples imply low values of the residual fraction of CH_4 (< 0.25).

The relationship between δ^{13} C values of CO₂ and CH₄ can be used to obtain useful information about the origin of these gas compounds (Whiticar et al., 1986). Assuming the attainment of an isotopic equilibrium between CH₄ and CO₂, equilibrium temperatures between the two gases can be computed according to Bottinga (1969) and Horita (2001). The estimation of the reservoir temperature through these geothermometers is outside the scope of this work. Nevertheless, most gases from VA and some thermal samples from IH and HH cover an estimated temperature interval between 270 and 500 °C indicating the possible achievement of isotopic equilibrium between CH₄ and CO₂. Among these, only for samples collected at Nisyros fumaroles Fiebig et al. (2004, 2007) demonstrated the attainment of equilibrium through the comparison with chemical geothermometers and temperatures measured in exploration wells. For all other samples, though indicating temperatures that are reasonable for geothermal systems, there is no proof for carbon isotope equilibration and the estimations may be likely only fortuitous. Instead all the samples plotting above the 700 °C isotherm are likely affected by secondary processes that isotopically fractionate CO2 and CH4. Such processes, as evidenced previously, are the CO₂ loss and the microbially-driven CH₄ oxidation. The former affects only the δ^{13} C-CO₂ through either gas dissolution in water or precipitation as carbonate, whereas the latter tends toward more positive δ^{13} C-CH₄ values and more negative δ^{13} C-CO₂ values (Fig. 5b).

As shown in Fig. 7, the CH_4/C_2H_6 concentration ratios of the gas emissions vary by more than four orders of magnitude. On the contrary, the ratios between the main light hydrocarbons, i.e. C_2H_6 , C_3H_8 and



Fig. 7. a) CH_4/C_2H_6 vs. C_2H_6/C_3H_8 and b) CH_4/C_2H_6 vs. C_2H_6/C_6H_6 binary diagrams for the Hellenic gas discharges. Symbols as in Fig. 3.

 C_6H_6 , vary only within two orders of magnitude. In this respect, the $CO_2(CO)-CH_4$ interaction that likely controls the CH_4 abundance in volcanic fluids does not seem to affect the higher hydrocarbons whose origin could be entirely related to thermal degradation of organic matter (Fiebig et al., 2009, 2015).

CH₄ polymerization is accompanied by relatively small carbon isotope fractionations between C₂₊ *n*-alkanes and residual CH₄ (Sherwood Lollar et al., 2006; McCollom et al., 2010). This hypothesis should be supported by C-isotope data on C₂₊ alkane series' that unfortunately are not available for this study. Likewise, the residual CH₄ retains its primary carbon isotopic composition if the degree of polymerization is low. This, alternatively, might provide an explanation why there occurs no significant correlation between the ¹³C composition of CH₄ and the magnitude of the Bernard ratio values for gases from VA (Fig. 6a), with δ^{13} C-CH₄ being entirely controlled by δ^{13} C-CO₂ and temperature.

5. Conclusions

Our results show that CH₄ from the Hellenic territory describes a wide range of both concentrations (from < 0.5 to 925,200 µmol/mol) and isotopic values (δ^{13} C-CH₄ from – 79.8 to + 45.0‰; δ^{2} H-CH₄ from – 311 to + 301‰). Furthermore, the CH₄/(C₂H₆ + C₃H₈) concentration ratio displays a broad range of values (1.5–93,200). Such a large variability in hydrocarbon concentration ratios and methane isotopic compositions is indicative for methane originating from different sources and for the importance of secondary, post genetic processes such as microbial oxidation. A schematic concluding description of the results combined with the variations of the geology, the heat flow values, and CO₂ and CH₄ concentrations along the Hellenic territory is proposed in the summary flow chart diagram (Fig. 8).

Taking into account the different lithological facies of the study area and the dominant gas species, it is noticeable that samples found on the western part of Greece (EH) display higher CH_4 and N_2 concentrations with respect to those found in the eastern part (IH, HH) where CO_2 is the prevailing gas. This can be explained by the sedimentary regime that characterises the EH, in which solid organic substances dominate, favouring the occurrence of hydrocarbon deposits. Moreover, the continuous changes in the relief and the mainly intrusive and metamorphic formations of both IH and HH contain few or no amounts of organic matter.

Biogenic methane was mainly found in the N₂-CH₄ and CH₄-dominated gases from EH. More specifically, gases collected in the Gavrovo-Tripolis zone show a dominating microbial origin. Gas samples of the Ionian and Pindos zones are produced by both microbial activity and thermal maturation of sedimentary organic matter. On the contrary



Fig. 8. A graphical description of the different possible origins of CH_4 including postgenetic processes. Connectors are emerging from the central boxes to the possible origins and the processes that are affecting its origin. The main boxes provide information about the thickness of the sedimentary sequences in the different geologic regions. Both variations of heat flow values and CO_2 and CH_4 concentrations along the regions are plotted on the lower part of the flow chat.

pure thermogenic samples are less represented in the sampled manifestations and are connected either to high geothermal gradients (samples 21 and 75) or to very thick sedimentary sequences in the Ionian (EH) and Aegean Sea (IH) (samples 104, 105 and 110 to 113).

Hydrocarbons contained in CO_2 -rich thermal manifestations from HH (Rhodope massif) and IH (Pelagonian and Subpelagonian zones) are – regarding their isotopic composition – similar to those emitted from the crystalline or metamorphic rocks of the Precambrian shield (Sherwood Lollar et al., 2006). They, therefore, may be considered of abiogenic origin. However, for most of these samples, the measured values could also be explained by a biogenic origin modified by methane oxidation processes.

CO₂ dominated thermal gases from VA and N₂-CO₂-dominated thermal gases from IH show a relatively narrow range of δ^{13} C-CH₄ values (-25 to -15‰) and a much larger range of CH₄/(C₂H₆ + C₃H₈) concentration ratios (10 to 10,000) that show chemical and isotopic characteristics that are apparently consistent with an abiogenic origin for CH₄ with a minor contribution of a thermogenic source. For the geothermal system of Nisyros, which belongs to the VA, CH₄ is mostly originated from inorganic reactions in the hydrothermal reservoir while the light hydrocarbons have a prevailingly thermogenic origin (Fiebig et al., 2009).

Some of the N₂-rich gas manifestations of the IH collected in Pindos zone, seem to have a possible abiogenic origin for CH₄ as they are associated with the ophiolitic sequences of Othrys and Argolida. Finally, microbial oxidation processes have been evidenced for some of the CO₂-dominated gas discharges from the main thermal springs located in the Subpelagonian and Vardar-Axios zones (IH) and in the Rhodope massif (HH). Such processes lead to sometimes very strong isotopic fractionation of CH₄ reaching very positive δ^{13} C (+45.0‰) and δ^{2} H (+301‰) values.

Finally, we want to underscore that, although further studies are necessary to answer a number of questions that remained open such as the accurate origin of hydrocarbons, the important contribution of this paper is to show how hydrocarbons from all over Greece distribute in the Schoell and Bernard plots. Considering this, our study may be a starting point for those who want to investigate the geochemistry of fluids from a specific area included in this study and to examine in more detail their origin by applying new scientific approaches, such as those based on clumped isotopes. Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2018.01.027.

Acknowledgments

We kindly acknowledge all the friends and colleagues that helped us either in the field or with precious information about the sampling sites. Among them we are indebted with Dr. Markos Xenakis and Dr. Konstantinos Athanasoulis of the IGME for their precious information about the location of many interesting sites all around the Hellenic territory. Also we would like to thank Francesco Capecchiacci (GC laboratory, UniFi), Mauro Martelli and Francesco Salerno (GC laboratory INGV-Pa), Ygor Oliveri and Aldo Sollami (MS laboratory INGV-Pa), Andrea Rizzo and Mariano Tantillo (Noble Gas laboratory INGV-Pa) for their kind and valuable support in the analyses and Silvia Eleonora Angileri and Stefano Dell'Aria for their useful help in drawing some of the figures. This work is part of the PhD thesis research of the first author (PhD in Earth and Marine Sciences - University of Palermo, 30th cycle and PhD in Applied Environmental Geology - National and Kapodistrian University of Athens). We are grateful for the insightful comments of Andri Stefánsson and an anonymous referee and of the editor Hailiang Dong that helped us to significantly improve the manuscript. We are also indebted to David Hilton, who managed as editor the first round of review of the manuscript. We were deeply touched by the notice of his death. Many of us knew him personally and we would like to express all our admiration for his human and scientific qualities.

References

Anders, B., Reischmann, T., Kostopoulos, D., 2006. The oldest rocks of Greece: first evidence for a Precambrian terrane within Pelagonian Zone. Geol. Mag. 143, 41–58.

Barnes, I., O'Neil, J.R., 1969. The relationship between fluids in some fresh alpine type ultramafics and possible modern serpentinization, western United States. Geol. Soc. Am. Bull. 80, 1947–1960.

- Bernard, B.B., Brooks, J.M., Sackett, W.M., 1978. A Geochemical Model for Characterization of Hydrocarbon Gas Sources in Marine Sediments. Offshore Technology Conference, Houston, USA, pp. 435–438.
- Berndt, M.E., Allen, D.E., Seyfried, W.E., 1996. Reduction of CO₂ during serpentinization
- of olivine at 300 °C and 500 bar. Geology 24, 351–354. Bortolotti, V., Principi, G., 2005. Tethyan ophiolites and Pangea break-up. Island Arc 14, 442–470.
- Bottinga, Y., 1969. Calculated fractionation factors for carbon and hydrogen isotope

exchange in the system calcite-carbon dioxide-graphite-methane-hydrogen-water vapor. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 33, 49–64.

Bradley, A.S., Summons, R.E., 2010. Multiple origins of methane at the Lost City hydrothermal field. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 297, 34–41.

- Bruni, J., Canepa, M., Cipolli, F., Marini, L., Ottonello, G., Vetuschi Zuccolini, M., et al., 2002. Irreversible water-rock mass transfer accompanying the generation of the neutral, Mg-HCO₃ and high-pH, Ca-OH spring waters of the Genova province, Italy. Appl. Geochem. 17, 455–474.
- Burke, R.A., Barber, T., Sackett, W., 1988. Methane flux and stable H and C isotope composition of the sedimentary methane from the Florida Everglades. Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles 2, 329–340.
 Burke, R.A., Martens, C.S., Sackettl, W., 1988. Seasonal variations of D/H and ¹³C/¹²C
- Burke, R.A., Martens, C.S., Sackettl, W., 1988. Seasonal variations of D/H and ¹³C/¹²C ratios of microbial methane in surface sediments. Nature 332, 829–831.
- Burton, P., Xu, Y., Qin, C., Tselentis, G., Sokos, E., 2004. A catalogue of seismicity in Greece and the adjacent areas of the twentieth century. Tectonophysics 390, 117–127.
- Cadieux, S.B., White, J.R., Sauer, P.E., Peng, Y., Goldman, A.E., Pratt, L.M., 2016. Large fractionations of C and H isotopes related to methane oxidation in Arctic lakes. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 187, 141–155.
- Capaccioni, B., Martini, M., Mangani, F., Giannini, L., Nappi, G., Prati, F., 1993. Light hydrocarbons in gas-emissions from volcanic areas and geothermal fields. Geochem. J. 27, 7–17.
- Capaccioni, B., Taran, Y., Tassi, F., Vaselli, O., Mangani, G., Macias, J.L., 2004. Source conditions and degradation processes of light hydrocarbons in volcanic gases: an example from El Chichon volcano (Chianas State Mexico). Chem. Geol. 206, 81–96
- example from El Chichon volcano (Chiapas State, Mexico). Chem. Geol. 206, 81–96. Capasso, G., Inguaggiato, S., 1998. A simple method for the determination of dissolved gases in natural waters. An application to thermal waters from Vulcano Island. Appl. Geochem. 13, 631–642.
- Cavazza, W., Roure, F., Spakman, W., Stampfli, G.M., Ziegler, P.A., 2014. The TRANSMED Atlas – The Mediterranean Region from Crust to Mantle Geological and Geophysical Framework of the Mediterranean and the Surrounding Areas. Springer, Verlag Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, NY.
- Chanton, J.P., Chasar, L.C., Glaser, P., Siegel, D., 2005. Carbon and hydrogen isotopic effects in microbial methane from terrestrial environments. In: Flanagan, L.B., Ehleringer, J.R., Pataki, D.E. (Eds.), Stable Isotopes and Biosphere-Atmosphere Interactions. Physiol. Ecol. Ser., vol. 6. Elsevier, New York, pp. 85–105.
- Chiodini, G., 1994. Temperature, pressure and redox conditions governing the composition of the cold CO₂ gases discharged in the volcanic area of North Latium (Central Italy). Appl. Geochem. 9, 287–295.
- Ciais, P., Sabine, C., Bala, G., Bopp, L., Brovkin, V., Canadell, J., Chabra, A., De Fries, R., Galloway, J., Heimann, M., Jones, C., Le Quéré, C., Myneni, R.B., Piao, S., Thornton, P., 2013. Carbon and other biogeochemical cycles. In: Stocker, T.F. (Ed.), Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of IPCC. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Coleman, D.D., Risatti, J.B., Schoell, M., 1981. Fractionation of carbon and hydrogen isotopes by methane-oxidizing bacteria. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 45, 1033–1037.
- D'Alessandro, W., Brusca, L., Kyriakopoulos, K., Bellomo, S., Calabrese, S., 2014. A geochemical traverse along the "Sperchios Basin — Evoikos Gulf" Graben (Central Greece): origin and evolution of the emitted fluids. Mar. Pet. Geol. 55, 295–308. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2013.12.011.
- D'Alessandro, W., Daskalopoulou, K., Calabrese, S., Bellomo, S., 2017. Water chemistry and abiogenic methane content of a hyperalkaline spring related to serpentinization in the Argolida ophiolite (Ermioni, Greece). Mar. Pet. Geol. http://dx.doi.org/10. 1016/j.marpetgeo.2017.01.028.
- D'Alessandro, W., Kyriakopoulos, K., 2013. Preliminary gas hazard evaluation in Greece. Nat. Hazards 69, 1987–2004.
- Darling, W.G., 1998. Hydrothermal hydrocarbons gases: 1. Genesis and geothermometry. Appl. Geochem. 13, 815–824.
- Dercourt, J., 1972. The Canadian cordillera, the Hellenides and the sea floor spreading theory. Can. J. Earth Sci. 9, 709–743.
- Dimitrakopoulos, R., Muehlenbachs, K., 1987. Biodegradation of petroleum as a source of ¹³C enriched carbon dioxide in the formation of carbonate cements. Chem. Geol. 65, 283–291.
- Etiope, G., 2009. A Global Dataset of Onshore Gas and Oil Seeps: A New Tool for Hydrocarbon Exploration. Oil and Gas Business. http://ogbus.ru/eng/authors/ Etiope/Etiope_1.pdf (accessed 07/07/2017).
- Etiope, G., 2015. Natural Gas Seepage. The Earth's Hydrocarbon Degassing. Springer International Publishing Switzerland, pp. 119. e-book. Ch 7.1.5. https://doi.org/10. 1007/978-3-319-14601-0.
- Etiope, G., Sherwood Lollar, B., 2013. Abiotic methane on Earth. Rev. Geophys. 51, 276–299. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/rog.20011.
- Etiope, G., Schoell, M., 2014. Abiotic gas: atypical but not rare. Elements 10, 291-296.
- Etiope, G., Ionescu, A., 2015. Low-temperature catalytic CO₂ hydrogenation with geological quantities of ruthenium: a possible abiotic CH₄ source in chromite-rich serpentinized rocks. Geofluids 15, 438–452.
- Etiope, G., Papatheodorou, G., Christodoulou, D., Ferentinos, G., Sokos, E., Favali, P., 2006. Methane and hydrogen sulfide seepage in the NW Peloponnesus petroliferous basin (Greece): origin and geohazard. AAPG Bull. 90, 701–713.
- Etiope, G., Caracausi, A., Favara, R., Italiano, F., Baciu, C., 2009. Methane emission from the mud volcanoes of Sicily (Italy). J. Geophys. Res. Lett. 29 (8), 1215.
- Etiope, G., Christodoulou, D., Kordella, S., Marinaro, G., Papatheodorou, G., 2013a. Offshore and onshore seepage of thermogenic gas at Katakolo Bay (Western Greece). Chem. Geol. 339, 115–126.
- Etiope, G., Tsikouras, B., Kordella, S., Ifandi, E., Christodoulou, D., Papatheodorou, G., 2013b. Methane flux and origin in the Othrys ophiolite hyperalkaline springs, Greece. Chem. Geol. 347, 161–174.
- Ferrière, J., Baumgartner, P.O., Chanier, F., 2016. The Maliac Ocean: the origin of Tethyan Hellenic ophiolites. Int. J. Earth Sci. 105, 1941–1963. http://dx.doi.org/10. 1007/s00531-016-1303-6.
- Fiebig, J., Chiodini, G., Caliro, S., Rizzo, A., Spandenberg, J., Hunziker, J.C., 2004.

Chemical and isotopic equilibrium between CO₂ and CH₄ in fumarolic gas discharges: generation of CH₄ in arc magmatic-hydrothermal systems. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 68, 2321–2334.

- Fiebig, J., Hofmann, S., Tassi, F., D'Alessandro, W., Vaselli, O., Woodland, A.B., 2015. Isotopic patterns of hydrothermal hydrocarbons emitted from Mediterranean volcanoes. Chem. Geol. 396, 152–163. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2014.12. 030.
- Fiebig, J., Tassi, F., D'Alessandro, W., Vaselli, O., Woodland, A., 2013. Carbon-bearing gas geothermometers for volcanic-hydrothermal systems. Chem. Geol. 351, 66–75. Fiebig, J., Woodland, A., D'Alessandro, W., Puttmann, W., 2009. Excess methane in
- continental hydrothermal emissions is abiogenic. Geology 37, 495–498. Fiebig, J., Woodland, A.B., Spangenberg, J., Oschmann, W., 2007. Natural evidence for
- Fiebig, J., Woodland, A.B., Spangenberg, J., Oschmann, W., 2007. Natural evidence for rapid abiogenic hydrothermal generation of CH₄. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 71, 3028–3039.
- Fischer, F., Tropsch, H., 1923. The preparation of synthetic oil mixtures (synthol) from carbon monoxide and hydrogen. Brennst. Chem. 4, 276–285.
- Fischer, F., Tropsch, H., 1926. Über die direkte Synthese von Eerdöl-kohlenwasserstoffen bei gewöhnlichtem Druck. Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges. 59, 830–831.
 Formolo, M., 2010. The microbial production of methane and other volatile hydro-
- Formolo, M., 2010. The microbial production of methane and other volatile hydro carbons. In: Kenneth, N. (Ed.), Timmis Handbook of Hydrocarbon and Lipid Microbiology. Springer, New York, pp. 113–126.
- Foustoukos, D.I., Seyfried, W.E., 2004. Hydrocarbons in hydrothermal vent fluids: the role of chromium-bearing catalysts. Science 304, 1002–1004.
- Fytikas, M., Innocenti, F., Kolios, N., Manetti, P., Mazzuoli, R., 1986. The Plio-Quaternary volcanism of the Saronikos area (western part of the active Aegean volcanic arc). Ann. Géol. Pays Hellén. 33, 23–45.
- Fytikas, M., Kolios, N., 1979. Preliminary heat flow map of Greece. In: Cermak, V., Rybach, L. (Eds.), Terrestrial Heat Flow in Europe. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, pp. 197–205.
- Giggenbach, W.F., 1980. Geothermal gas equilibria. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 44, 2021–2032.
- Giggenbach, W.F., 1987. Redox processes governing the chemistry of fumarolic gas discharges from White Island, New Zealand. Appl. Geochem. 2, 143–161.
- Giggenbach, W.F., 1996. Chemical composition of volcanic gases. In: Scarpa, R., Tilling, R.I. (Eds.), Monitoring and Mitigation of Volcano Hazards. Springer, pp. 221–256.
- Giggenbach, W.F., Gougel, R.L., 1989. Method for the collection and analysis of geothermal and volcanic water and gas samples. NZ-DSIR Report CD 2387, 53.
- Grassa, F., Capasso, G., Oliveri, Y., Sollami, A., Carreira, P., Rosário Carvalho, M., Marques, J.M., Nunes, J.C., 2010. Nitrogen isotopes determination in natural gas: analytical method and first results on magmatic, hydrothermal and soil gas samples. Isot. Environ. Health Stud. 46, 141–155.
- Grigoriadis, V., Tziavos, I., Tsokas, G., Stampolidis, A., 2016. Gravity data inversion for Moho depth modeling in the Hellenic area. Pure Appl. Geophys. 173, 1223–1241. 2015 Springer Basel DOI. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00024-015-1174-y.
- Guliyev, I.S., Feizullayev, A.A., 1997. All about mud volcanoes. Baku Pub. House, NAFTA-Press (120 pp.).
 Gunter, B.D., 1978. C1–C4 hydrocarbons in geothermal gases. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta
- 42, 137–139. Hinrichs, K.U., Hayes, J.M., Bach, W., Spivack, A.J., Hmelo, L.R., Holm, N.G., Johnson,
- Hinrichs, K.U., Hayes, J.M., Bach, W., Spivack, A.J., Hmelo, L.K., Hoim, N.G., Johnson, C.G., Sylva, S.P., 2006. Biological formation of ethane and propane in the deep marine subsurface. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 103 (40), 14684–14689. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1073/pnas.0606535103.
- Holloway, J.R., 1984. Graphite-CH₄-H₂O-CO₂ equilibria at low-grade metamorphic conditions. Geology 12, 455–458.
- Horita, J., 2001. Carbon isotope exchange in the system CO₂-CH₄ at elevated temperatures. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 65, 1907–1919.
- Horita, J., Berndt, M.E., 1999. Abiogenic formation and isotopic fractionation under hydrothermal conditions. Science 285, 1055–1057.
- Hornibrook, E.R.C., Longstaffe, F.J., Fyfe, W.S., 1997. Spatial distribution of microbial methane production pathways in temperate zone wetland soils: stable carbon and hydrogen isotope evidence. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 61 (4), 745–753.
- Hunt, J.M., 1996. Petroleum Geochemistry and Geology, 2nd ed. W.H. Freeman Co., New York (743 pp.).
- Jenden, P.D., Hilton, D.R., Kaplan, I.R., Craig, H., 1993. Abiogenic hydrocarbons and mantle helium in oil and gas fields. In: Howell, D.G. (Ed.), The Future of Energy Gases: US Geol. Surv. Profes. Paper. vol. 1570. pp. 31–56.
- Kamberis, E., Rigakis, N., Tsaila-Monopolis, S., Ioakim, C., Sotiropoulos, S., 2000. Shallow biogenic gas-accumulations in late Cenozoic sands of Katakolon Peninsula, western Greece. Geol. Soc. Greece, Spec. Publ. 9, 121–138.
- Kanellopoulos, C., 2012. Distribution, lithotypes and mineralogical study of newly formed thermogenic travertines in Northern Euboea and Eastern Central Greece. Cent. Eur. J. Geosci. 4 (4), 545–560. http://dx.doi.org/10.2478/s13533-012-0105-z.
- Kanellopoulos, C., Mitropoulos, P., Valsami-Jones, E., Voudouris, P., 2017. A new terrestrial active mineralizing hydrothermal system associated with ore-bearing travertines in Greece (northern Euboea Island and Sperchios area). J. Geochem. Explor. 179, 9–24.
- Kietäväinen, R., Purmako, L., 2015. The origin, source, and cycling of methane in deep crystalline rock biosphere. Front. Microbiol. 6, 725.
- Kinnaman, F.S., Valentine, D.L., Tyler, S.C., 2007. Carbon and hydrogen isotope fractionation associated with the aerobic microbial oxidation of methane, ethane, propane and butane. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 71, 271–283.
- Kiyosu, Y., Imaizumi, S., 1996. Carbon and hydrogen isotope fractionation during oxidation of methane by metal oxides at temperatures from 400° to 530 °C. Chem. Geol. 133, 279–287.
- Klusman, R.W., Jakel, M.E., 1998. Natural microseepage of methane to the atmosphere from the Denver-Julesburg basin, Colorado, USA. J. Geophys. Res. 103D, 28,042–28,045.
- Konn, C., Charlou, J.L., Holm, N.G., Mousis, O., 2015. The production of methane, hydrogen, and organic compounds in ultramafic- hosted hydrothermal vents of the Mid-Atlantic Ridge. Astrobiology 15 (5), 381–399.

- Kvenvolden, K.A., 1993. Gas hydrates-geological perspective and global change. Rev. Geophys. 31, 173-187.
- Machel, H.G., Krouse, H.R., Sassen, R., 1995. Products and distinguishing criteria of bacterial and thermochemical sulphate reduction. Appl. Geochem. 10, 373–389. Mango, F.D., 2000. The origin of light hydrocarbons. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 64,
- 1265-1277
- Marini, L., Fiebig, J., 2005. Fluid geochemistry of the magmatic-hydrothermal system of Nisyros (Greece). Memoires Geol. (Lausanne) 44, 121-163.
- McCollom, T.M., 2013. Laboratory simulations of abiotic hydrocarbon formation in
- Earth's deep subsurface. Rev. Mineral. Geochem. 75, 467–494. McCollom, T.M., Seewald, J.S., 2007. Abiotic synthesis of organic compounds in deep-sea hydrothermal environments. Chem. Rev. 107, 382–401. McCollom, T.M., Sherwood Lollar, B., Lacrampe-Couloume, G., Seewald, J.S., 2010. The
- influence of carbon source on abiotic organic synthesis and carbon isotope fractionation under hydrothermal conditions. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 74, 2717-2740.
- Milkov, A.V., 2000. Worldwide distribution of submarine mud volcanoes and associated gas hydrates. Mar. Geol. 167, 29-42.
- Miller, H.M., Mayhew, L.E., Ellison, E.T., Kelemen, P., Kubo, M., Templeton, A.S., 2017. Low temperature hydrogen production during experimental hydration of partially-serpentinized dunite. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 209, 161–183.
- Minissale, A., 2004. Origin, transport and discharge of CO2 in central Italy. Earth Sci. Rev. 66, 89-141. Mörner, N.A., Etiope, G., 2002. Carbon degassing from the lithosphere. Glob. Planet.
- Chang. 33, 185-203.
- Mountrakis, D.M., 1985. Geologia tis Elladas. University Studio Press, Thessaloniki, pp. 207 (in Greek)
- Mountrakis, D.M., 1986. The Pelagonian zone in Greece: a Polyphase-deformed fragment of the Cimmerian continent and its role in the geotectonic evolution of the Eastern Mediterranean. J. Geophys. 94, 335-347.
- Mountrakis, D.M., 2010. Geologia kai Geotektoniki Exelixi tis Elladas. University Studio Press, Thessaloniki, pp. 374 (in Greek).
- Murrell, C.J., Jetten, M.S.M., 2009. The microbial methane cycle. Environ. Microbiol. Rep. 1, 279–284.
- Palacas, J.G., Monopolis, D., Nicolaou, C.A., Anders, D.E., 1986. Geochemical correlation of surface and subsurface oils, western Greece. Org. Geochem. 10, 417–423.
- Pallasser, R.J., 2000. Recognising biodegradation in gas/oil accumulations through the δ^{13} compositions of gas components. Org. Geochem. 31, 1363–1373.
- Paonita, A., Caracausi, A., Iacono-Marziano, G., Martelli, M., Rizzo, A., 2012. Geochemical evidence for mixing between fluids exsolved at different depths in the
- magmatic system of Mt Etna (Italy), Geochim, Cosmochim, Acta 84, 380-394, Papanikolaou, D., 2009. Timing of tectonic emplacement of the ophiolites and terrane
- paleogeography in the Hellenides. Lithos 108, 262-280. Pe-Piper, G., Piper, D.J.W., 2002. The igneous rocks of Greece, The anatomy of an orogen.
- In: Beiträge Zur Regionalen Geologie der Erde. vol. 30 Gebrüder Bornträger, Berlin -Stuttgart.
- Prinzhofer, A.A., Battani, A., 2003. Gas isotopes tracing: an important tool for hydrocarbon exploration. Oil Gas Sci. Technol. 58, 229-311.
- Proskurowski, G., Lilley, M., Seewald, J.S., Fruh-Green, G.I., Olson, E.J., Sylva, S.P., Kelley, D.S., 2008. Abiogenic hydrocarbon production at Lost City hydrothermal field. Science 319, 604-607.
- Proskurowski, G., Lilley, M.D., Kelley, D.S., Olson, E.J., 2006. Low temperature volatile production at the Lost City hydrothermal field, evidence from a hydrogen stable isotope geothermometer. Chem. Geol. 229, 331-343.
- Quingley, T.M., MacKenzie, A.S., 1988. The temperatures of oil and gas formation in the sub-surface. Nature 333, 549–552. Reischmann, T., Kostopoulos, D., 2007. Terrane accretion in the internal Hellenides.
- Geophys. Res. Abstr. 9, 05337.
- Rigakis, N., Roussos, N., Kamberis, E., Proedrou, P., 2001. Hydrocarbon gas accumulations in Greece and their origin. Bull. Geol. Soc. Greece 34 (3), 1265-1273
- Rizzo, A.L., Barberi, F., Carapezza, M.L., Di Piazza, A., Francalanci, L., Sortino, F., D'Alessandro, W., 2015. New mafic magma refilling a quiescent volcano: evidence from He-Ne-Ar isotopes during the 2011-2012 unrest at Santorini, Greece. Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst. 16. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/2014GC005653.
- Roberts, G.P., Koukouvelas, L., 1996. Structural and seismological segmentation of the Gulf of Corinth fault system: implications for models of fault growth. Ann. Geophys. 39 (3), 619–646.
- Robertson, A.H.F., 2004. Development of concepts concerning the genesis and emplacement of Tethyan ophiolites in the Eastern Mediterranean and Oman regions. Earth-Sci. Rev. 66, 331-387.
- Robertson, A.H.F., 2012. Late Palaeozoic–Cenozoic tectonic development of Greece and Albania in the context of alternative reconstructions of Tethys in the Eastern Mediterranean region. Int. Geol. Rev. 54, 373-454.
- Robertson, A.H.F., Dixon, J.F., 1984. Introduction: aspects of the geological evolution of the Eastern Mediterranean. In: Dixon, J.E., Robertson, A.H.F. (Eds.), The Geological Evolution of the Eastern Mediterranean. Geol. Soc. London Spec. Publ. Vol. 17. pp. 1 - 74.
- Sano, Y., Marty, B., 1995. Origin of carbon in fumarolic gas from island arcs. Chem. Geol. 119, 265-274.
- Schoell, M., 1980. The hydrogen and carbon isotopic composition of methane from natural gases of various origins. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 44, 649-661.
- Schoell, M., 1988. Multiple origins of methane in the Earth. Chem. Geol. 71, 1-10. Seewald, J.S., 2001. Aqueous geochemistry of low molecular weight hydrocarbons at elevated temperatures and pressures. Constraints from mineral buffered laboratory experiments. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 65, 1641-1664.

- Sharp, C.E., Smirnova, A.V., Graham, J.M., Stott, M.B., Khadka, R., Moore, T.R., Grasby, S.E., Strack, M., Dunfield, P.F., 2014. Distribution and diversity of Verrucomicrobia methanotrophs in geothermal and acidic environments. Environ. Microbiol. 16, 1867-1878
- Sherwood Lollar, B., Lacrampe-Couloume, G., Slater, G.F., Ward, J.A., Moser, D.P., Gihring, T.M., Lin, L.H., Onstott, T.C., 2006. Unravelling abiogenic and biogenic sources of methane in the Earth's deep subsurface. Chem. Geol. 226, 328-339.
- Smith, A.G., Moores, E.M., 1974. Hellenides. Geol. Soc. Lond. Spec. Publ. 4, 159-185.
- Snyder, G., Poreda, R.J., Fehn, U., Hunt, A., 2003. Sources of nitrogen and methane in Central American geothermal settings: noble gas and ¹²⁹I evidence for crustal and magmatic volatile components. Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst. 4. http://dx.doi.org/10. 1029/2002GC000363
- Snyder, G., Poreda, R.J., Hunt, A., Fehn, U., 2001. Regional variations in volatile composition: isotopic evidence for carbonate recycling in the Central American volcanic arc. Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst. 2. http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2001GC 000163.
- Stampfli, G., Vavassis, I., De Bono, A., Rosselet, F., Matti, B., Bellini, M., 2003. Remnants of the Paleotethys oceanic suture-zone in the western Tethyan area. Boll. Soc. Geol. Ital., Spec. 2, 1–23. Stefánsson, A., Lemke, K.H., Bènèzeth, P., Schott, J., 2017. Magnesium bicarbonate and
- carbonate interactions in aqueous solutions: an infrared spectroscopic and quantum chemical study. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 198, 271-284.
- Stefánsson, A., Sveinbjörnsdòttir, A.E., Heinemeier, J., Arnòrsson, S., Kjartansdòttir, R., Kristmannsdòttir, H., 2016. Mantle CO2 degassing through the Icelandic crust: evidence from carbon isotopes in groundwater. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 191, 300-319.
- Stolper, D.A., Lawson, M., Davis, C.L., Ferreira, A.A., Santos Neto, E.V., Ellis, G.S., Lewan, M.D., Martini, A.M., Tang, Y., Schoell, M., Sessions, A.L., Eiler, J.M., 2014. Gas formation. Formation temperatures of thermogenic and biogenic methane. Science 344, 1500-1503.
- Takai, K., Nakamura, K., Toki, T., Tsunogai, U., Miyazaki, M., Miyazaki, J., Hirayama, H., Nakagawa, S., Nunoura, T., Horikoshi, K., 2008. Cell proliferation at 122 °C and isotopically heavy CH₄ production by a hyperthermophilic methanogen under high pressure cultivation. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 105, 10949–10954.
- Taran, Y., Giggenbach, W., 2003. Geochemistry of light hydrocarbons in subduction-related volcanic and hydrothermal fluids. Society of Economic Geologists Special Publication 10 (6), 61-74.
- Tassi, F., 2004. Fluidi in ambiente vulcanico: Evoluzione temporale dei parametri composizionali e distribuzione degli idrocarburi leggeri in fase gassosa (in Italian), Ph.D. thesis. Univ. of Florence, Florence, Italy, pp. 292.
- Tassi, F., Fiebig, J., Vaselli, O., Nocentini, M., 2012. Origins of methane discharging from volcanic-hydrothermal, geothermal and cold emissions in Italy. Chem. Geol. 310-311, 36-48.
- Tassi, F., Martinez, C., Vaselli, O., Capaccioni, B., Viramonte, J., 2005a. The light hydrocarbons as new geoindicators of equilibrium temperatures and redox conditions of geothermal fields: evidence from El Tatio (northern Chile). Appl. Geochem. 20, 2049_2062
- Tassi, F., Vaselli, O., Capaccioni, B., Giolito, C., Duarte, E., Fernandez, E., Minissale, A., Magro, G., 2005b. The hydrothermal-volcanic system of Rincon de la Vieja volcano (Costa Rica): a combined (inorganic and organic) geochemical approach to understanding the origin of the fluid discharges and its possible application to volcanic surveillance. J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res. 148, 315-333.
- Tilley, B., Muehlenbachs, K., 2013. Isotope reversals and universal stages and trends of gas maturation in sealed, self-contained petroleum systems. Chem. Geol. 339 (2013), 194-204.
- van Hinsbergen, D.J.J., Hafkenscheid, E., Spakman, W., Meulenkamp, J.E., Wortel, M.J.R., 2005. Nappe stacking resulting from subduction of oceanic and continental lithosphere below Greece. Geology 33, 325-328.
- Vaselli, O., Tassi, F., Montegrossi, G., Capaccioni, B., Giannini, L., 2006. Sampling and analysis of volcanic gases. Acta Vulcanol. 18, 65-76.
- Wang, D.T., Gruen, D.S., Lollar, B.S., Hinrichs, K.U., Stewart, L.C., Holden, J.F., Hristov, A.N., Pohlman, J.W., Morrill, P.L., Könneke, M., Delwiche, K.B., Reeves, E.P., Sutcliffe, C.N., Ritter, D.J., Seewald, J.S., McIntosh, J.C., Hemond, H.F., Kubo, M.D., Cardace, D., Hoehler, T.M., Ono, S., 2015. Methane cycling. Nonequilibrium clumped
- isotope signals in microbial methane. Science 348 (6233), 428–431.
- Welhan, J.A., 1988. Origins of methane in hydrothermal systems. Chem. Geol. 71, 183-198
- Welhan, J.A., Craig, H., 1983. Methane, hydrogen and helium in hydrothermal fluids of 21 °N on the East Pacific Rise. In: Rona, P.A., Boström, K., Laubier, L., Smith Jr.K.L. (Eds.), Hydrothermal Processes at Seafloor Spreading Centers. Plenum, New York, N.Y., pp. 391-409.
- Whiticar, M.J., 1999a. Stable isotope geochemistry of coals, humic kerogen and related natural gases. Int. J. Coal Geol. 32, 191-215.
- Whiticar, M.J., 1999b. Carbon and hydrogen isotope systematics of bacterial formation and oxidation of methane. Chem. Geol. 161, 291-314.
- Whiticar, M.J., Faber, E., Schoell, M., 1986. Biogenic methane formation in marine and freshwater environments: CO2 reduction vs. acetate fermentation - isotopic evidence. Geochim, Cosmochim, Acta 50, 693-709.
- Whiticar, M.J., Suess, E., 1990. Hydrothermal hydrocarbon gases in the sediments of the King-George Basin, Bransfield Strait, Antarctica. Appl. Geochem. 5, 135-147.
- Winkel, L.H.E., Casentini, B., Bardelli, F., Voegelin, A., Nikolaidis, N.P., Charlet, L., 2013. Speciation of arsenic in Greek travertine: co-precipitation of arsenate with calcite. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 106, 99-110.
- Worden, R.H., Smalley, P.C., 1996. H₂S-producing reactions in deep carbonate gas re-servoirs: Khuff Formation, Abu Dhabi. Chem. Geol. 133, 157–171.