JOURNAL OF CLINICAL ONCOLOGY

# *BRAF/NRAS* Mutation Frequencies Among Primary Tumors and Metastases in Patients With Melanoma

Maria Colombino, Mariaelena Capone, Amelia Lissia, Antonio Cossu, Corrado Rubino, Vincenzo De Giorgi, Daniela Massi, Ester Fonsatti, Stefania Staibano, Oscar Nappi, Elena Pagani, Milena Casula, Antonella Manca, MariaCristina Sini, Renato Franco, Gerardo Botti, Corrado Caracò, Nicola Mozzillo, Paolo A. Ascierto, and Giuseppe Palmieri

A B S T R A C T

#### Purpose

The prevalence of *BRAF*, *NRAS*, and *p16CDKN2A* mutations during melanoma progression remains inconclusive. We investigated the prevalence and distribution of mutations in these genes in different melanoma tissues.

# **Patients and Methods**

In all, 291 tumor tissues from 132 patients with melanoma were screened. Paired samples of primary melanomas (n = 102) and synchronous or asynchronous metastases from the same patients (n = 165) were included. Tissue samples underwent mutation analysis (automated DNA sequencing). Secondary lesions included lymph nodes (n = 84), and skin (n = 36), visceral (n = 25), and brain (n = 44) sites.

#### Results

*BRAF/NRAS* mutations were identified in 58% of primary melanomas (43% *BRAF*; 15% *NRAS*); 62% in lymph nodes, 61% subcutaneous, 56% visceral, and 70% in brain sites. Mutations were observed in 63% of metastases (48% *BRAF*; 15% *NRAS*), a nonsignificant increase in mutation frequency after progression from primary melanoma. Of the paired samples, lymph nodes (93% consistency) and visceral metastases (96% consistency) presented a highly similar distribution of *BRAF/NRAS* mutations versus primary melanomas, with a significantly less consistent pattern in brain (80%) and skin metastases (75%). This suggests that independent subclones are generated in some patients. *p16CDKN2A* mutations were identified in 7% and 14% of primary melanomas and metastases, with a low consistency (31%) between secondary and primary tumor samples.

#### Conclusion

In the era of targeted therapies, assessment of the spectrum and distribution of alterations in molecular targets among patients with melanoma is needed. Our findings about the prevalence of *BRAF/NRAS/p16CDKN2A* mutations in paired tumor lesions from patients with melanoma may be useful in the management of this disease.

J Clin Oncol 30:2522-2529. © 2012 by American Society of Clinical Oncology

# INTRODUCTION

Melanoma is a complex disease that arises through multiple etiologic pathways. Studies of the genetic and molecular characteristics of melanomas are valuable in the development of new treatment strategies. Mutations in the p16 cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 2A (*p16CDKN2A*) gene, located on chromosome 9p21, are the most recognized cause of inherited melanoma susceptibility,<sup>1,2</sup> contributing to geographic variations in incidence. In Italy, there is a gradient of melanoma incidence—higher in northern regions and lower in southern regions; such a gradient has been analogously described for the *p16CDKN2A* mutation prevalence.<sup>3,4</sup>

The MAPK-ERK pathway, which includes the cascade of BRAF, NRAS, MEK1/2, and ERK1/2 gene products, also plays a major role in the development and progression of melanoma.<sup>5,6</sup> Mutations of *BRAF* and *NRAS* genes have been identified with high frequency in nevi and cutaneous melanomas, suggesting that they represent early events in the development of melanocytic tumors.<sup>7-9</sup> Furthermore, melanomas on skin that have not been chronically exposed to sun usually carry either a mutated *BRAF* or a mutated *NRAS* (somatic mutations in such genes are mutually exclusive).<sup>8,10-12</sup>

Maria Colombino, Milena Casula, Antonella Manca, MariaCristina Sini, and Giuseppe Palmieri, Istituto Chimica Biomolecolare, Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche; Amelia Lissia and Antonio Cossu, Azienda Ospedaliero Universitaria; Corrado Rubino, Università di Sassari, Sassari; Mariaelena Capone, Renato Franco, Gerardo Botti, Corrado Caracò, Nicola Mozzillo, and Paolo A. Ascierto, Istituto Nazionale Tumori Fondazione Pascale: Stefania Staibano, Università Federico II di Napoli: Oscar Nappi, Ospedale Cardarelli, Napoli: Vincenzo De Giorgi and Daniela Massi, Università di Firenze, Firenze; Ester Fonsatti, Azienda Ospedaliera Universitaria Senese, Istituto Toscano Tumori, Siena; and Elena Pagani, Istituto Dermopatico dell'Immacolata, Roma, Italy.

Submitted December 14, 2011; accepted February 24, 2012; published online ahead of print at www.jco.org on May 21, 2012.

Supported by the Italian Ministry of Health "Progetto Ricerca Finalizzata" and Sardinia Regional Government (Regione Autonoma della Sardegna), and by Genentech (third-party writing assistance). Supported by a fellowship from the "Mara Nahum" Foundation (M.C.).

Terms in blue are defined in the glossary, found at the end of this article and online at www.jco.org.

Authors' disclosures of potential conflicts of interest and author contributions are found at the end of this article.

Corresponding author: Giuseppe Palmieri, MD, Unit of Cancer Genetics, Institute of Biomolecular Chemistry, National Research Council, Traversa La Crucca, 3, Baldinca Li Punti, 07100 Sassari, Italy; e-mail: gpalmieri@ vahoo.com.

© 2012 by American Society of Clinical Oncology

0732-183X/12/3020-2522/\$20.00

DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2011.41.2452

2522 © 2012 by American Society of Clinical Oncology

Information downloaded from jco.ascopubs.org and provided by at UNIVERSITA DE FIRENZE on November 3, 2012 from Copyright © 2012 American Society 107.2144i2al Oncology. All rights reserved.

Similar rates of *BRAF* mutations are present in primary and metastatic melanomas, as well as in cultured malignant melanoma cell lines, suggesting that *BRAF* mutations occur before tumor dissemination and that their incidence remains constant during tumor progression.<sup>6</sup> However, prevalence of such mutations during the disease progression phases and among different types of metastasis remains inconclusive. The aim of this study was to investigate the prevalence and distribution of pathogenetic mutations in *BRAF*, *NRAS*, and *p16CDKN2A* genes among primary and metastatic melanoma tissues.

# **PATIENTS AND METHODS**

## Patients

Eligible patients had a histologically proven diagnosis of advanced melanoma (disease stages III and IV, according to American Joint Committee on Cancer [AJCC] guidelines)<sup>13</sup> and had primary and metastatic tumor tissue samples available for molecular analysis. Patients were enrolled consecutively between June 2008 and December 2010 from centers in Italy. To avoid bias, patients were included regardless of age of onset, family history of cancer, and disease characteristics. About one tenth of the present cohort (12 patients) had been tested for *BRAF/NRAS/p16CDKN2A* somatic mutations previously.<sup>4</sup>

Patients were informed about the study aims and limits, and they provided written consent for the molecular analysis of their tissue samples. The study was reviewed and approved by the ethical review boards at both participating centers.

### Samples

Paired samples of primary melanomas and synchronous or asynchronous metastases from the same patient were collected. Formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tumor tissues were taken from pathologic archives. By using light microscopy, the neoplastic portion of each tissue section was isolated to obtain tumor samples with at least 80% neoplastic cells (improving the sensitivity of nucleotide sequencing, which may detect a mutation when the mutant alleles are at least 15% to 20% of the analyzed DNA sample). Histologic classification, including Breslow thickness and disease stage at diagnosis, was confirmed by medical records, pathology reports, and/or review of pathologic material.

For reference, 29 melanoma cell lines cultured from primary and metastatic excised tumors were obtained from Istituto Dermopatico dell'Immacolata of Rome, the National Cancer Institute of Naples, and the publicly available American Type Culture Collection (ATCC). The cell line controls were obtained from primary tumors (n = 6), lymph node metastases (n = 7), subcutaneous metastases (n = 9), visceral metastases (n = 2), and the ATCC catalog (n = 5). These cell lines were established as primary cell cultures from tumor samples from donor patients with documented diagnosis of melanoma, after informed consent.

Tissue sections of brain metastases were obtained from 24 patients surgically treated in other Italian clinical centers (after informed consent). An additional cohort of patients with brain metastasis was included to better assess the distribution of *BRAF/NRAS* mutations in such secondary tumors.

## **Mutation Analysis**

Genomic DNA was isolated from tumor tissues or melanoma cell lines.<sup>14</sup> For paraffin-embedded samples, paraffin was removed by xylene treatment (Pisano et al<sup>14</sup>), and DNA was purified by using the QIAamp DNA FFPE tissue kit (QIAGEN, Valencia, CA). Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was performed on 25 to 50 ng of isolated genomic DNA in a 9700 thermal cycler (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA); all PCRamplified products were directly sequenced by using an automated fluorescent cycle sequencer (ABI PRISM 3130, Applied Biosystems), as previously described.<sup>4</sup>

Sequencing analysis was conducted in duplicate—starting from two different tumor sections and performing two different PCR-based amplifications—and in both directions (forward and reverse) for all samples. For discordant tumors, the sequence analysis was performed in triplicate—three different tumor sections and three different PCR-based amplifications—to avoid any chance of PCR artifacts. A nucleotide sequence was considered as valid when the quality value was higher than 20 (< 1/100 error probability); in this study, the quality value average was 35 (range, 30 to 45; < 1/1,000 to 1/10,000 error probability).

Mutation screening was conducted to analyze the full coding sequences and splice junctions of *p16CDKN2A* (exons 1 $\alpha$ , 2, and 3) and *NRAS* (exons 2 and 3) genes, and the entire sequence of the *BRAF* exons 11 and 15 (because



Fig 1. Patients and tissues included in the study.

Information downloaded from jco.ascopubs.org and provided by at UNIVERSITA DE FIRENZE on November 3, 2012 from Copyright © 2012 American Solo 2012

almost all pathogenetic mutations of *BRAF* have been detected at either the kinase domain at exon 15 or the adenosine triphosphate–binding pocket at exon 11).<sup>5,6</sup> Primer sets and PCR assay protocols were as previously described.<sup>4</sup> To confirm that each *CDKN2A* gene variant detected by sequencing was a real mutation or a polymorphism, 105 unrelated healthy individuals (corresponding to 210 control chromosomes), originating from the same geographic area and with no family history of cancer, were used as controls and screened for candidate sequence variations.

## Statistical Analysis

Univariate analysis of the presence of *BRAF*, *NRAS*, or *p16CDKN2A* mutations versus the number and type of metastatic sites and primary melanoma locations was performed by using Pearson's  $\chi^2$  test with the statistical package SPSS version 7.5 for Windows (SPSS, Chicago, IL).

# RESULTS

# **Patients and Samples**

A total of 108 patients with advanced (AJCC stages III and IV<sup>13</sup>) melanoma were enrolled, of whom nine were excluded (tissue DNA degradation). Among the remaining 99 patients, paired samples of primary melanomas (n = 102; three patients had two primary tumors each) and synchronous or asynchronous metastases (n = 165) were collected (Fig 1; Appendix Table A1, online only). Median age of the 99 enrolled patients was 52 years (range, 27 to 84 years); 58 (58%) were women. Considering the 102 primary melanomas, trunk was the most frequent location (trunk, 47 [46%]; limbs, 38 [37%]; head and neck, 17 [17%]); median Breslow thickness was 2.2 mm (range, 0.85 to 8.0 mm). In addition, 24 patients surgically treated in other Italian centers provided tissue sections of brain metastases. Overall, a total of 291 tumor samples were screened for gene mutations from the sites shown in Figure 1.

All mutations detected in this study have been reported previously in the Human Gene Mutation Database<sup>15</sup> and in the Catalogue of Somatic Mutations in Cancer (COSMIC).<sup>16</sup>

# **BRAF/NRAS** Mutation Frequencies

*BRAF* or *NRAS* mutations were detected in 59 (58%) of 102 primary tumors: 44 (43%) *BRAF* and 15 (15%) *NRAS*. Among the 189 metastatic tissue samples, 119 (63%) carried mutations: 91 (48%) *BRAF* and 28 (15%) *NRAS*. Similar frequencies of *BRAF* and *NRAS* mutations were seen across metastatic sites (Table 1). In the control melanoma cell lines, the equivalent rate of *BRAF/NRAS* mutations was 21 (72%) of 29, including a *BRAF* mutation frequency of 17 (59%) of 29 and *NRAS* mutation prevalence of four (14%) of 29 (Table 1). In our series, no concomitant mutations of *BRAF* and *NRAS* genes were detected.

All but one of the BRAF mutations across samples was of the BRAF V600 subtype. Of these 135 mutations, 123 (91%) were BRAF V600E, occurring in 42% of all patient samples (39% of primary tumors and 44% of metastatic sites [range, 40% to 53%]). Likewise, 13 (45%) of the 29 control melanoma cell lines exhibited BRAF V600E (76% of the 17 BRAF V600 mutations). Other V600 subtypes identified were V600K, V600D, and V600R (Table 1).

Among the 99 patients who had paired samples of primary and secondary melanomas, 84 (85%) showed consistent mutation patterns between primary tumors and metastatic lesions. In particular, the frequency of *BRAF/NRAS* mutations was highly consistent between the primary tumor and metastases in the lymph nodes 
 Table 1. Somatic Mutations Detected in BRAF and NRAS Genes Among In

 Vivo (primary and secondary tumor sites from patients with melanoma)

 and In Vitro (melanoma cell lines) Samples

|  |                | Frequency of Mutations and Subtypes    |                         |                |                      |                       |                |               |                |
|--|----------------|--|-------------------------|----------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|
|  |                |  |                         |                | BRAF or<br>NRAS      |                       |                |               |                |
|  | No. of         | BRAFIN                                 | lutat                   |                | NRAS IV              | lutat                 |                | IVIUta        |                |
| Sample                                     | Samples        | Subtype                                | No.                     | %              | Subtype              | No.                   | %              | No.           | %              |
| Primary tumor                              | 102            | V600E<br>V600K<br>V600D                | 44<br>40<br>3<br>1      | 43             | Q61R<br>Q61L<br>Q61K | 15<br>10<br>3<br>2    | 15             | 59            | 58             |
| All metastatic sites                       | 189            | 91<br>V600E<br>V600K<br>V600D<br>L597R | 83<br>6<br>1<br>1       | 48             | Q61R<br>Q61L<br>Q61K | 28<br>17<br>8<br>3    | 15             | 119           | 63             |
| Lymph node<br>metastases                   | 84             | V600E<br>V600K<br>L597R                | 40<br>36<br>3<br>1      | 48             | Q61R<br>Q61K<br>Q61L | 12<br>9<br>2<br>1     | 14             | 52            | 62             |
| Brain metastases                           | 44             | V600E<br>V600K<br>V600D                | 21<br>18<br>2<br>1      | 48             | Q61R<br>Q61L         | 10<br>4<br>6          | 23             | 31            | 70             |
| Skin metastases<br>Locoregional<br>Distant | 36<br>22<br>14 | V600E                                  | 19<br>11<br>8<br>19     | 53<br>50<br>57 | Q61R<br>Q61L         | 3<br>2<br>1<br>2<br>1 | 8<br>9<br>7    | 22<br>13<br>9 | 61<br>59<br>64 |
| Visceral metastases<br>Liver<br>Lung       | 25<br>20<br>5  | V600E<br>V600K                         | 11<br>9<br>2<br>10<br>1 | 44<br>45<br>40 | Q61R<br>Q61K         | 3<br>2<br>1<br>2<br>1 | 12<br>10<br>20 | 14<br>11<br>3 | 56<br>55<br>60 |
| Cell lines                                 | 29             | 17<br>V600E<br>V600R<br>V600D          | 13<br>3<br>1            | 59             | Q61L<br>Q61K<br>Q61R | 4<br>2<br>1<br>1      | 14             | 21            | 72             |

and visceral sites. Of 84 patients with lymph node metastases, 78 (93%) had paired primary and secondary tumor samples that had the same *BRAF/NRAS* mutations. Similarly, for visceral metastases, 24 (96%) of 25 patients showed similar *BRAF/NRAS* mutation status between primary and secondary tumors (Table 2). However, in patients with data available for brain and skin metastases, rates of consistency in *BRAF/NRAS* mutations between primary and secondary lower than for lymph and visceral metastases: 16 (80%) of 20 ( $\chi^2 P = .0323$ ) brain lesion samples and 27 (75%) of 36 ( $\chi^2 P < .001$ ) skin secondary tumors exhibited the same *BRAF/NRAS* mutation status as the paired primary tumor (Table 2).

Among the 20 paired samples (15 [15%] of 99 patients) with discrepancies in *BRAF/NRAS* mutation patterns between primary and secondary tumors, 10 (50%) displayed a wild-type primary tumor and a mutated metastasis (six in *BRAF* and four in *NRAS*), eight presented with a mutated primary tumor and a wild-type metastasis (seven in *BRAF* and one in *NRAS*), and two carried a change in mutation

JOURNAL OF CLINICAL ONCOLOGY

Information downloaded from jco.ascopubs.org and provided by at UNIVERSITA DE FIRENZE on November 3, 2012 from Copyright © 2012 American Sober 2017 Zimizal Oncology. All rights reserved.

 Table 2. Consistency Between BRAF/NRAS Mutation Status in Primary and Secondary Lesions in Patients With Melanoma and Mutation Patterns in Those in

 Whom There Were Discrepancies

|                             |                | Patients With Consistent Mutation Patterns<br>(secondary v primary melanoma samples) |    | Mutation Patterns Among Discrepant Paired Samples |            |               |            |  |  |
|-----------------------------|----------------|--|----|---|------------|---------------|------------|--|--|
| Tissue Type                 | No. of Samples |  |    | BRA   | F          | NRAS          |            |  |  |
|                             |                | No.  | %  | Primary Tumor                                     | Metastasis | Primary Tumor | Metastasis |  |  |
| Lymph node metastases       | 84             | 78   | 93 | V600K   | wt         | wt            | wt         |  |  |
|                             |                |  |    | wt  | L597R      | wt            | wt         |  |  |
|                             |                |  |    | wt  | V600E      | wt            | wt         |  |  |
|                             |                |  |    | wt  | V600E      | wt            | wt         |  |  |
|                             |                |  |    | wt  | V600E      | wt            | wt         |  |  |
|                             |                |  |    | V600E   | wt         | wt            | wt         |  |  |
| Visceral metastases         | 25             | 24   | 96 | V600E   | wt         | wt            | wt         |  |  |
| Brain metastases            | 20             | 16   | 80 | V600E   | wt         | wt            | wt         |  |  |
|                             |                |  |    | wt  | wt         | wt            | Q61L       |  |  |
|                             |                |  |    | wt  | wt         | wt            | Q61L       |  |  |
|                             |                |  |    | wt  | wt         | wt            | Q61R       |  |  |
| Skin metastases             | 36             | 27   | 75 | wt  | wt         | wt            | Q61L       |  |  |
|                             |                |  |    | V600E   | wt         | wt            | wt         |  |  |
|                             |                |  |    | V600E   | wt         | wt            | wt         |  |  |
|                             |                |  |    | wt  | wt         | Q61R          | wt         |  |  |
|                             |                |  |    | vvt   | V600E      | Q61R          | wt         |  |  |
|                             |                |  |    | vvt   | V600E      | Q61R          | wt         |  |  |
|                             |                |  |    | wt  | V600E      | wt            | wt         |  |  |
|                             |                |  |    | vvt   | V600E      | wt            | wt         |  |  |
|                             |                |  |    | V600E   | vvt        | wt            | wt         |  |  |
| Abbreviation: wt, wild-type |                |  |    |   |            |               |            |  |  |

pattern between the two tumor lesions (an *NRAS* mutation in primary melanoma and a *BRAF* mutation in melanoma metastasis; Table 2). Overall, nine of the 20 discrepant metastatic lesions occurred in only four patients, whereas each of the remaining 11 patients carried a single discrepant metastatic lesion (Table 3).

Concordance in *BRAF/NRAS* mutation status among metastatic samples was then evaluated in the subset of 46 patients with paired multiple metastases (one lymph node and at least one other site lesion; Fig 1). Rates of consistency in *BRAF/NRAS* mutations between lymph node and other site metastases were quite similar to those observed between primary and secondary tissues: 21 (91%) of 23 visceral lesions, five (83%) of six brain metastases, and 25 (76%) of 33 skin secondary tumors exhibited the same *BRAF/NRAS* mutation status as the paired lymph node sample (Appendix Table A2, online only). The *BRAF/NRAS* mutation status was not evaluated for association with clinical outcome in our series.

# p16CDKN2A Mutation Frequencies

Sixteen (16%) of the 99 patients had *p16CDKN2A* gene mutations. Among available DNA samples, the rate of mutations was much higher in melanoma metastases (21 [14%] of 151) versus primary melanomas (five [7%] of 74). The rate of consistency between secondary and primary tumor samples was five (31%) of 16. The highest prevalence of *p16CDKN2A* alterations was observed in our series of 29 melanoma control cell lines (62%; Table 4).

Table 5 shows the distribution of mutations in the *p16CDKN2A* gene among the 16 patients identified as having this mutation, showing that in most of these patients (11; 69%) mutations existed only in metastatic sites, although the primary tumor exhibited wild-type status.

Finally, no correlation was inferred between *p16CDKN2A* and *BRAF/NRAS* mutations from either primary or secondary melanomas (Appendix Table A3, online only). Regardless of *p16CDKN2A* mutation status, approximately 60% of samples had *BRAF* or *NRAS* mutations in both primary and metastatic sites.

#### DISCUSSION

Melanoma is a complex disease influenced by alterations in several genes and metabolic pathways that continue to evolve through the course of the disease. There is increasing evidence that melanoma develops as a result of accumulated genetic abnormalities within melanocytes.<sup>17</sup> The MAPK-ERK pathway, which includes the cascade of NRAS, BRAF, MEK1/2, and ERK1/2 proteins, is involved in the control of cell growth, proliferation, and migration. Mutations in this pathway may play a major role in the development and progression of melanoma.<sup>5</sup> In addition, the p16CDKN2A protein acts as a suppressor of cell proliferation, and dysfunction in this pathway is observed in many types of cancer.<sup>18</sup> In our study, we explored the relative frequency of genetic factors known to play a significant role in melano-cyte development and their distribution among different melanoma tissues and disease progression sites.

As expected, a high prevalence of somatic mutations of *BRAF* and *NRAS* genes was detected in primary and secondary melanomas. The frequency of *BRAF/NRAS* mutations in primary tumors (43%/15%) was consistent with that reported in a meta-analysis in which *BRAF* mutation was present in 41% of cutaneous melanomas (n = 2,521 patients) and *NRAS* mutation in 18% (n = 1,972

© 2012 by American Society of Clinical Oncology 2525

Information downloaded from jco.ascopubs.org and provided by at UNIVERSITA DE FIRENZE on November 3, 2012 from Copyright © 2012 American Society of Control Copyright Copyright

| Gene<br>and   |                     | Metastasis Stage |          |          |          |          |  |  |
|---------------|---------------------|------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|--|--|
| Sample<br>No. | Primary<br>Melanoma |                  | 11       |          | IV       | V        |  |  |
| BRAF          |                     |                  |          |          |          |          |  |  |
| 1             | wt                  | L: L597R         | S: wt    |          |          |          |  |  |
| 2             | wt                  | L: wt            | S: wt    | S: V600E | S: wt    | S: V600E |  |  |
| 3             | wt                  | L: wt            | S: wt    | S: V600E | S: wt    |          |  |  |
| 4             | wt                  | L: V600E         | S: V600E |          |          |          |  |  |
| 5             | wt                  | L: V600E         |          |          |          |          |  |  |
| 6             | wt                  | L: V600E         | V: wt    |          |          |          |  |  |
| 7             | wt                  | B: wt            |          |          |          |          |  |  |
| 8             | wt                  | B: wt            |          |          |          |          |  |  |
| 9             | wt                  | B: wt            |          |          |          |          |  |  |
| 10            | V600E               | L: V600E         | S: wt    | S: wt    | S: V600E | S: V600E |  |  |
| 11            | V600E               | L: V600E         | V: wt    |          |          |          |  |  |
| 12            | V600E               | L: wt            |          |          |          |          |  |  |
| 13            | V600E               | S: wt            |          |          |          |          |  |  |
| 14            | V600E               | B: wt            |          |          |          |          |  |  |
| 15            | V600K               | L: wt            | V: V600K |          |          |          |  |  |
| NRAS          |                     |                  |          |          |          |          |  |  |
| 1             | wt                  | L: wt            | S: wt    |          |          |          |  |  |
| 2             | Q61R                | L: Q61R          | S: Q61R  | S: wt    | S: wt    | S: wt    |  |  |
| 3             | wt                  | L: wt            | S: Q61L  | S: wt    | S: wt    |          |  |  |
| 4             | wt                  | L: wt            | S: wt    |          |          |          |  |  |
| 5             | wt                  | L: wt            |          |          |          |          |  |  |
| 6             | wt                  | L: wt            | V: wt    |          |          |          |  |  |
| 7             | wt                  | B: Q61L          |          |          |          |          |  |  |
| 8             | wt                  | B: Q61L          |          |          |          |          |  |  |
| 9             | wt                  | B: Q61R          |          |          |          |          |  |  |
| 10            | wt                  | L: wt            | S: wt    | S: wt    | S: wt    | S: wt    |  |  |
| 11            | wt                  | L: wt            | V: wt    |          |          |          |  |  |
| 12            | wt                  | L: wt            |          |          |          |          |  |  |
| 13            | wt                  | S: wt            |          |          |          |          |  |  |
| 14            | wt                  | B: wt            |          |          |          |          |  |  |
| 15            | wt                  | L: wt            | V: wt    |          |          |          |  |  |

patients).<sup>19</sup> Confirming previous data,<sup>20</sup> *BRAF* and *NRAS* mutations were mutually exclusive in our tissue sample collection.

Overall, slightly higher rates of *BRAF/NRAS* mutation in metastatic (63%) versus primary site samples (58%) were observed in

|                          |                | Frequency of<br><i>p16CDKN2A</i><br>Mutation |    |  |
|--------------------------|----------------|--|----|--|
| Sample                   | No. of Samples | No.  | %  |  |
| Primary tumors           | 74             | 5  | 7  |  |
| Metastatic sites         | 151            | 21   | 14 |  |
| Lymph nodes              | 64             | 9  | 14 |  |
| Other sites              | 87             | 12   | 14 |  |
| Melanoma cell lines      | 29             | 18   | 62 |  |
| Gene mutations           |                | 8  | 28 |  |
| Deletions/rearrangements |                | 10   | 34 |  |

|  | Primary<br>Melanoma   |    | Lymph Node<br>Metastasis  |    | Other Site<br>Metastasis   |    |
|--|---|----|---|----|--|----|
| Patients                                     | No.   | %  | No.   | %  | No.  | %  |
| No.  | 16  |    | 15  |    | 10   |    |
| Positive for<br><i>p16CDKN2A</i><br>mutation | 5   | 31 | 12  | 80 | 9  | 90 |
| <i>p16CDKN2A</i> subtype                     | wt<br>Arg24Pro<br>wt<br>Ala36Thr<br>Ala109Val<br>Trp110term<br>wt<br>Arg24Pro<br>wt<br>wt<br>wt<br>wt<br>wt<br>wt<br>wt<br>wt |    | IVS1 + 1G>A<br>Arg24Pro<br>Arg80term<br>Ala36Thr<br>Ala109Val<br>Trp110term<br>Arg80term<br>Arg24Pro<br>wt<br>Trp110term<br>Arg24Pro<br>—<br>Ala36Thr |    | wt<br>Arg24Pro<br>Arg80term<br>—<br>Trp110term<br>Arg80term<br>—<br>Arg24Pro<br>Trp110term<br>—<br>Arg24Pro<br>— |    |

our series. A markedly higher rate of *BRAF/NRAS* mutations (72%) was detected in the control melanoma cell lines, mostly due to a higher *BRAF* mutation frequency (59% v 43% in primary tumors and 48% in metastatic sites). Since cultured melanomas are thought to represent cells with the most malignant phenotype, our observations support previous findings<sup>21</sup> in which selection of *BRAF* mutant alleles may occur during tumor progression. In this regard, the demonstration of a sequential increase in mutation rates for both *BRAF* and *NRAS* genes during melanoma progression—from in situ melanomas to the radial and vertical growth phases of invasive melanomas<sup>22</sup>—strongly suggests that *BRAF/NRAS* somatic mutations may not act as founder events in melanomagenesis.

Twenty paired samples from 15 patients (15%) demonstrated discrepancies in BRAF/NRAS mutation patterns between primary and secondary tumors, the highest frequency of these discrepancies being in patients with subcutaneous (25%; P < .001) or cerebral (20%; P = .0323) metastases. In half the discrepant cases, we found a wildtype primary tumor and a mutated metastasis (60% BRAF and 40% NRAS). Again, this may represent a further indication that mutations in two such genes might be acquired and become prevalent during disease dissemination in a fraction of patients with melanoma. However, the most intriguing data were represented by the observation of wild-type metastases in cases with mutated primary tumors (nearly all in BRAF) or, to a lesser extent, a different mutation pattern between melanoma lesions (NRAS mutation in primary and BRAF mutation in secondary tumors) in the remaining half of the discrepant cases. These observations provide additional evidence that molecularly heterogeneous cell types may coexist in primary melanoma (presence of both BRAF-wild-type and BRAF-mutant as well as differently mutated tumor cells has indeed been described<sup>21-23</sup>). However, it is still unclear

2526 © 2012 by American Society of Clinical Oncology

JOURNAL OF CLINICAL ONCOLOGY

Information downloaded from jco.ascopubs.org and provided by at UNIVERSITA DE FIRENZE on November 3, 2012 from Copyright © 2012 American Society1of.21i4i2al Oncology. All rights reserved.

what selective pressure induces the migration of a *BRAF*-wild-type subclone instead of an expected more aggressive *BRAF*-mutant subclone. One could speculate that cells with *BRAF* mutation might undergo activation of the senescence pathways<sup>24</sup> or downregulation of the BRAF-NRAS-MEK-ERK cascade<sup>25</sup>; both events may determine the proliferation arrest of BRAF/NRAS mutated cells. Alternatively, one could hypothesize that the mutated metastasis may derive from another unidentified primary melanoma (in a fraction of patients, no known primary tumor is indeed found among those with metastatic disease).

The BRAF V600E mutation was identified in 42% of tissue samples and 45% of control melanoma cell lines, consistent with the frequency observed in patients screened for inclusion in A Study of Vemurafenib (RO5185426) in Comparison With Dacarbazine in Previously Untreated Patients With Metastatic Melanoma (BRIM 3), in which 47% of patients tested positive for the BRAF V600 variants following BRAF mutation analysis with the cobas-4800 BRAF-V600 Mutation Test.<sup>26</sup> Although it remains the most prevalent sequence variant reported in subsets of patients with melanoma, the incidence of the BRAF V600E mutation varies worldwide, from 23% in Chinese patients with melanoma<sup>27</sup> to 45% in Australian patients,<sup>28</sup> in which it appears to be associated with various clinical features such as an inverse association with cumulative sun exposure and a lower rate of tumor proliferation. In our series, other BRAF V600 mutation subtypes occurred in less than 10% of all samples (with V600K being the second most frequent variant); lower than the rate of 26% recently described by Long et al<sup>29</sup> in Australian patients. All known mutations at position V600 of BRAF result in constitutive activation of BRAF kinase, causing deregulated downstream signaling via MEK and ERK.<sup>30-32</sup>

A more striking difference in the mutation frequency between primary melanoma, metastases, and cell lines was observed for p16CDKN2A (7%, 14%, and 28%, respectively). Inactivation by mutation of this gene seems to be selected during tumor progression; this is consistent with the finding that *p16CDKN2A* silencing promotes uncontrolled cell proliferation, tumor growth, and increased aggressiveness of tumor cells.<sup>33,34</sup> Nevertheless, a functional relationship between p16CDKN2A inactivation and BRAF activation has been demonstrated. Oncogenic BRAF mutations constitutively induce upregulation of p16CDKN2A in melanocytic cells and, conversely, any genetic or epigenetic inactivation of p16CDKN2A may contribute to malignant progression of BRAF mutant cells.<sup>24,33</sup> Consistent with these findings, BRAF/NRAS and p16CDKN2A mutations were found to coexist (being detected at similar rates of around 60%) in our series of primary tumors and corresponding metastases.

Although *p16CDKN2A* remains a high penetrance melanoma susceptibility gene, oncogenic *BRAF* now represents an identifiable and proven target for cancer therapies.<sup>35,36</sup> In melanoma, a phase III study of oral vemurafenib, a potent inhibitor of BRAF V600 mutations, demonstrated a relative reduction of 63% in the risk of death and 74% in the risk of either death or disease progression compared with dacarbazine chemotherapy in 675 patients carrying the BRAF V600E mutation.<sup>26</sup> Another inhibitor of mutated BRAF, GSK2118436 (GSK436), is under phase III evaluation in comparison with dacarbazine among *BRAF*-mutation–positive patients with stage III to IV

melanoma (NCT01227889). A phase I/II study indicated a tumor response at 8 to 9 weeks in 60% of patients with metastatic melanoma or other solid tumors.<sup>37</sup> Despite evidence implicating *NRAS* in melanoma pathogenesis,<sup>17</sup> this gene has not yet become an effective target for melanoma treatment.

Together, these findings indicate that the future of melanoma therapy is likely to focus on targeting multiple pathways. However, the complexity of the molecular events underlying development and progression of melanoma suggests that a better comprehension of both the spectrum and distribution of alterations in molecular targets among patients with such a disease is crucial. In our study, we contributed to provide additional clues about the prevalence of BRAF/NRAS/p16CDKN2A mutations in synchronous or asynchronous paired tumor lesions from a large series of patients with melanoma. The observation of a high consistency between primary melanomas and lymph node or visceral metastases, in contrast with a significantly lower consistency between primary tumors and brain or skin metastases, may have implications in clinical practice. Starting from these findings, the prognostic value of such genetic alterations should be evaluated in a large cohort to assess whether the different distribution of BRAF/NRAS/ p16CDKN2A mutations in tumor lesions may have an impact on disease outcome among patients with melanoma.

# AUTHORS' DISCLOSURES OF POTENTIAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Although all authors completed the disclosure declaration, the following author(s) and/or an author's immediate family member(s) indicated a financial or other interest that is relevant to the subject matter under consideration in this article. Certain relationships marked with a "U" are those for which no compensation was received; those relationships marked with a "C" were compensated. For a detailed description of the disclosure categories, or for more information about ASCO's conflict of interest policy, please refer to the Author Disclosure Declaration and the Disclosures of Potential Conflicts of Interest section in Information for Contributors. **Employment or Leadership Position:** None **Consultant or Advisory Role:** Paolo A. Ascierto, Bristol-Myers Squibb (C), GlaxoSmithKline (C), Merck Sharp & Dohme (C), Roche-Genentech (C) **Stock Ownership:** None **Honoraria:** Daniela Massi, Roche; Paolo A. Ascierto, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Merck Sharp & Dohme, Roche-Genentech **Research Funding:** None **Expert Testimony:** None **Other Remuneration:** None

# AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

**Conception and design:** Nicola Mozzillo, Paolo A. Ascierto, Giuseppe Palmieri

Provision of study materials or patients: Corrado Rubino, Vincenzo De Giorgi, Corrado Caracò, Nicola Mozzillo, Paolo A. Ascierto Collection and assembly of data: Mariaelena Capone, Amelia Lissia, Antonio Cossu, Corrado Rubino, Vincenzo De Giorgi, Daniela Massi, Ester Fonsatti, Stefania Staibano, Antonella Manca, Corrado Caracò, Nicola Mozzillo, Paolo A. Ascierto Data analysis and interpretation: Maria Colombino, Oscar Nappi, Elena

Pagani, Milena Casula, MariaCristina Sini, Renato Franco, Gerardo Botti, Paolo A. Ascierto

Manuscript writing: All authors

Final approval of manuscript: All authors

#### Colombino et al

# REFERENCES

1. Hussussian CJ, Struewing JP, Goldstein AM, et al: Germline p16 mutations in familial melanoma. Nat Genet 8:15-21, 1994

2. Kamb A, Shattuck-Eidens D, Eeles R, et al: Analysis of the p16 gene (CDKN2) as a candidate for the chromosome 9p melanoma susceptibility locus. Nat Genet 8:23-26, 1994

3. Casula M, Colombino M, Satta MP, et al: Factors predicting the occurrence of germline mutations in candidate genes among patients with cutaneous malignant melanoma from South Italy. Eur J Cancer 43:137-143, 2007

4. Casula M, Muggiano A, Cossu A, et al: Role of key-regulator genes in melanoma susceptibility and pathogenesis among patients from South Italy. BMC Cancer 9:352, 2009

5. Davies H, Bignell GR, Cox C, et al: Mutations in the BRAF gene in human cancer. Nature 417:949-954, 2002

6. Casula M, Colombino M, Satta MP, et al: BRAF gene is somatically mutated but does not make a major contribution to malignant melanoma susceptibility: The Italian Melanoma Intergroup Study. J Clin Oncol 22:286-292, 2004

7. Pollock PM, Harper UL, Hansen KS, et al: High frequency of BRAF mutations in nevi. Nat Genet 33:19-20, 2003

8. Palmieri G, Casula M, Sini MC, et al: Issues affecting molecular staging in the management of patients with melanoma. J Cell Mol Med 11:1052-1068, 2007

**9.** Blokx WA, van Dijk MC, Ruiter DJ: Molecular cytogenetics of cutaneous melanocytic lesions: Diagnostic, prognostic and therapeutic aspects. Histopathology 56:121-132, 2010

**10.** Curtin JA, Fridlyand J, Kageshita T, et al: Distinct sets of genetic alterations in melanoma. N Engl J Med 353:2135-2147, 2005

**11.** Tsao H, Zhang X, Fowlkes K, et al: Relative reciprocity of NRAS and PTEN/MMAC1 alterations in cutaneous melanoma cell lines. Cancer Res 60: 1800-1804, 2000

**12.** Sensi M, Nicolini G, Petti C, et al: Mutually exclusive NRASQ61R and BRAFV600E mutations at the single-cell level in the same human melanoma. Oncogene 25:3357-3364, 2006

**13.** Balch CM, Gershenwald JE, Soong SJ, et al: Final version of 2009 AJCC melanoma staging and classification. J Clin Oncol 27:6199-6206, 2009

14. Pisano M, Cossu A, Persico I, et al: Identification of a founder BRCA2 mutation in Sardinia. Br J Cancer 82:553-559, 2000

**15.** Institute of Medical Genetics in Cardiff: The Human Gene Mutation Database. www.hgmd.cf.ac. uk/ac/

**16.** Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute: Catalogue of Somatic Mutations in Cancer (COSMIC). www. sanger.ac.uk/genetics/CGP/cosmic/

**17.** Palmieri G, Capone M, Ascierto ML, et al: Main roads to melanoma. J Transl Med 7:86, 2009

**18.** Liggett WH Jr, Sidransky D: Role of the p16 tumor suppressor gene in cancer. J Clin Oncol 16:1197-1206, 1998

**19.** Lee JH, Choi JW, Kim YS: Frequencies of BRAF and NRAS mutations are different in histological types and sites of origin of cutaneous melanoma: A meta-analysis. Br J Dermatol 164:776-784, 2011

**20.** Haluska FG, Tsao H, Wu H, et al: Genetic alterations in signaling pathways in melanoma. Clin Cancer Res 12:2301s–2307s, 2006

**21.** Lin J, Goto Y, Murata H, et al: Polyclonality of BRAF mutations in primary melanoma and the selection of mutant alleles during progression. Br J Cancer 104:464-468, 2011

**22.** Greene VR, Johnson MM, Grimm EA, et al: Frequencies of NRAS and BRAF mutations increase from the radial to the vertical growth phase in cutaneous melanoma. J Invest Dermatol 129:1483-1488, 2009

23. Lin J, Takata M, Murata H, et al: Polyclonality of BRAF mutations in acquired melanocytic nevi. J Natl Cancer Inst 101:1423-1427, 2009

24. Michaloglou C, Vredeveld LC, Soengas MS, et al: BRAFE600-associated senescence-like cell cycle arrest of human naevi. Nature 436:720-744, 2005

25. Houben R, Vetter-Kauczok CS, Ortmann S, et al: Phospho-ERK staining is a poor indicator of the mutational status of BRAF and NRAS in human melanoma. J Invest Dermatol 128:2003-2012, 2008

**26.** Chapman PB, Hauschild A, Robert C, et al: Improved survival with vemurafenib in melanoma with BRAF V600E mutation. N Engl J Med 364: 2507-2516, 2011 **27.** Si L, Kong Y, Xu X, et al: Prevalence of BRAF V600E mutation in Chinese melanoma patients: Large scale analysis of BRAF and NRAS mutations in a 432-case cohort. Eur J Cancer 48:94-100, 2012

**28.** Liu W, Kelly JW, Trivett M, et al: Distinct clinical and pathological features are associated with the BRAF(T1799A(V600E)) mutation in primary melanoma. J Invest Dermatol 127:900-905, 2007

**29.** Long GV, Menzies AM, Nagrial AM, et al: Prognostic and clinicopathologic associations of oncogenic BRAF in metastatic melanoma. J Clin Oncol 29:1239-1246, 2011

**30.** Wan PT, Garnett MJ, Roe SM, et al: Mechanism of activation of the RAF-ERK signaling pathway by oncogenic mutations of B-RAF. Cell 116:855-867, 2004

**31.** McCubrey JA, Steelman LS, Chappell WH, et al: Roles of the Raf/MEK/ERK pathway in cell growth, malignant transformation and drug resistance. Biochim Biochim Biophys Acta 1773:1263-1284, 2007

**32.** Hoeflich KP, Herter S, Tien J, et al: Antitumor efficacy of the novel RAF inhibitor GDC-0879 is predicted by BRAFV600E mutational status and sustained extracellular signal-regulated kinase/mitogen-activated protein kinase pathway suppression. Cancer Res 69: 3042-3051, 2009

**33.** Rübben A, Babilas P, Baron JM, et al: Analysis of tumor cell evolution in a melanoma: Evidence of mutational and selective pressure for loss of p16ink4 and for microsatellite instability. J Invest Dermatol 114:14-20, 2000

**34.** Palmieri G, Casula M, Ascierto PA, et al: Molecular classification of patients with malignant melanoma for new therapeutic strategies. J Clin Oncol 25:e20-e21, 2007

**35.** Bass A: Impact of KRAS and BRAF gene mutations on targeted therapies in colorectal cancer. J Clin Oncol 29:2728-2729, 2011

**36.** Lacouture ME, O'Reilly K, Rosen N, et al: Induction of cutaneous squamous cell carcinomas by RAF inhibitors: Cause for concern? J Clin Oncol 30:329-330, 2012

**37.** Kefford R, Arkenau H, Brown MP, et al: Phase I/II study of GSK2118436, a selective inhibitor of oncogenic mutant BRAF kinase, in patients with metastatic melanoma and other solid tumors. J Clin Oncol 28:611s, 2010 (suppl; abstr 8503)

JOURNAL OF CLINICAL ONCOLOGY

Information downloaded from jco.ascopubs.org and provided by at UNIVERSITA DE FIRENZE on November 3, 2012 from Copyright © 2012 American Society 107.2144i2al Oncology. All rights reserved.

## **GLOSSARY TERMS**

**BRAF:** BRAF is an isoform of RAF. Raf proteins (Raf-1, A-Raf, B-Raf) are intermediate to Ras and MAPK in the cellular proliferative pathway. Raf proteins are typically activated by Ras via phosphorylation, and activated Raf proteins in turn activate MAPK via phosphorylation. However, Raf proteins may also be independently activated by other kinases.

**ERK (extracellular receptor kinase):** A second messenger kinase (an enzyme adding phosphate groups from ATP), ERK belongs to the MAPK family and is responsible for transmitting signals from the cellular surface to the nucleus by the activation of transcription factors, including NF- $\kappa$ B. It belongs to the proliferative/mitogenic signal transduction pathway activated by tyrosine kinase receptors.

**Formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded:** Formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tissue is the standard for tissue preparation in anatomic pathology. The processing of tissue historically has included cutting into thin (5-mm) sections, then placing a cassette for fixation in formalin in a tissue processor, followed by infusion of paraffin and embedding on the block for subsequent sectioning for histologic evaluation or immunohistochemistry.

MAPK (mitogen-activated protein kinase): MAPKs are a family of enzymes that form an integrated network influencing cellular functions such as differentiation, proliferation, and cell death. These cytoplasmic proteins modulate the activities of other intracellular proteins by adding phosphate groups to their serine/threonine amino acids. NRAS: NRAS represents one of the three members of the Ras gene family (HRAS and KRAS are the remaining family members). The Ras proteins are typically small triphosphate-binding proteins, and are the common upstream molecule of several signaling pathways that play a key role in signal transduction, which results in cellular proliferation and transformation.

**p16CDKN2A:** Also known as p16, it binds to cyclin-dependent kinase 4 and 6, thereby preventing their interaction with cyclin D. It thus behaves as a negative regulator of proliferation and arrests cells in the G0/G1 phase of the cell cycle.

PCR (polymerase chain reaction): PCR is a method that allows logarithmic amplification of short DNA sequences within a longer DNA molecule.

**Polymorphism:** Genetic polymorphisms are natural variations in the genomic DNA sequence present in greater than 1% of the population, with SNP (single nucleotide polymorphism) representing DNA variations in a single nucleotide. SNPs are being widely used to better understand disease processes, thereby paving the way for genetic-based diagnostics and therapeutics.

**Sequencing:** A laboratory process that determines the nucleotide sequence of DNA (can involve the whole genome or whole exome or be targeted to as little as one coding sequence). Unlike somatic mutation genotyping, sequencing can detect previously unknown somatic mutations.

**Somatic mutation:** A change in the genotype of a cancer cell. This is distinguished from a germline mutation, which is a change in the genotype of all the normal cells in a patient's body. Germline mutations may be passed to off-spring, but somatic mutations may not.