

# Intrinsic Harnack estimates for non-negative local solutions of degenerate parabolic equations

Emmanuele DiBenedetto

Department of Mathematics, Vanderbilt University  
1326 Stevenson Center, Nashville TN 37240, USA  
email: [em.diben@vanderbilt.edu](mailto:em.diben@vanderbilt.edu)

Ugo Gianazza\*

Dipartimento di Matematica “F. Casorati”, Università di Pavia  
via Ferrata 1, 27100 Pavia, Italy  
email: [gianazza@imati.cnr.it](mailto:gianazza@imati.cnr.it)

Vincenzo Vespri

Dipartimento di Matematica “U. Dini”, Università di Firenze  
viale Morgagni 67/A, 50134 Firenze, Italy  
email: [vespri@math.unifi.it](mailto:vespri@math.unifi.it)

## Abstract

We establish the intrinsic Harnack inequality for non negative solutions of the parabolic  $p$ -laplacian equation by a proof that uses neither the comparison principle nor explicit self-similar solutions. The significance is that the proof applies to quasilinear  $p$ -laplacian type equations thereby solving a long standing problem in the theory of degenerate parabolic equations.

**AMS Subject Classification (2000):** Primary 35K65, 35B65; Secondary 35B45

**Key Words:** Degenerate parabolic equations, Harnack estimates, Hölder continuity

## 1 Main Results

Let  $E$  be an open set in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  and for  $T > 0$  let  $E_T$  denote the cylindrical domain  $E \times (0, T]$ . Consider quasi-linear, parabolic differential equations of the form

$$u_t - \operatorname{div} \mathbf{A}(x, t, u, Du) = b(x, t, u, Du) \quad \text{weakly in } E_T \quad (1.1)$$

---

\*Corresponding author

where the functions  $\mathbf{A} : E_T \times \mathbb{R}^{N+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  and  $b : E_T \times \mathbb{R}^{N+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are only assumed to be measurable and subject to the structure conditions

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{A}(x, t, u, Du) \cdot Du \geq C_o |Du|^p - C^p \\ |\mathbf{A}(x, t, u, Du)| \leq C_1 |Du|^{p-1} + C^{p-1} \\ |b(x, t, u, Du)| \leq C |Du|^{p-1} + C^{p-1} \end{cases} \quad \text{a.e. in } E_T \quad (1.2)$$

where  $p \geq 2$  and  $C_o$  and  $C_1$  are given positive constants, and  $C$  is a given non-negative constant. A function

$$u \in C_{\text{loc}}(0, T; L_{\text{loc}}^2(E)) \cap L_{\text{loc}}^p(0, T; W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(E)) \quad (1.3)$$

is a local weak solution to (1.1) if for every compact set  $K \subset E$  and every sub-interval  $[t_1, t_2] \subset (0, T]$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_K u \varphi dx \Big|_{t_1}^{t_2} + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_K [-u \varphi_t + \mathbf{A}(x, t, u, Du) \cdot D\varphi] dx dt \\ = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_K b(x, t, u, Du) \varphi dx dt \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

for all bounded testing functions

$$\varphi \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,2}(0, T; L^2(K)) \cap L_{\text{loc}}^p(0, T; W_o^{1,p}(K)). \quad (1.5)$$

The parameters  $\{N, p, C_o, C_1, C\}$  are the data, and we say that a generic constant  $\gamma = \gamma(N, p, C_o, C_1, C)$  depends upon the data, if it can be quantitatively determined a-priori in terms of the indicated quantities.

For  $\rho > 0$  let  $B_\rho$  be the ball of center the origin on  $\mathbb{R}^N$  and radius  $\rho$  and for  $y \in \mathbb{R}^N$  let  $B_\rho(y)$  denote the homotetic ball centered at  $y$ . For  $\theta > 0$  set also

$$Q_\rho(\theta) = B_\rho \times (-\theta, \theta]$$

and for  $(y, s) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}$

$$(y, s) + Q_\rho(\theta) = B_\rho(y) \times (s - \theta, s + \theta].$$

Local weak solutions to (1.1)–(1.5) are locally bounded and locally Hölder continuous in  $E_T$  ([3]). This fact was used to prove the Harnack inequality, unfortunately only in some special instances. We can now show that the Harnack estimate actually holds in full generality and independently from the Hölder continuity.

**Theorem 1.1 (Intrinsic Harnack Inequality)** *Let  $u$  be a non-negative weak solution to (1.1)–(1.5). There exist positive constants  $c$  and  $\gamma$  depending only upon the data, such that for almost all  $(x_o, t_o) \in E_T$  and all cylinders  $(x_o, t_o) + Q_{2\rho}(4\theta) \subset E_T$*

$$u(x_o, t_o) \leq \gamma \left[ \inf_{B_\rho(x_o)} u(x, t_o + \theta) + C\rho \right], \quad \theta = \left( \frac{c}{u(x_o, t_o)} \right)^{p-2} \rho^p \quad (1.6)$$

where  $C$  is the same as in (1.2). As a consequence any locally bounded weak solution to (1.1)–(1.2) is locally Hölder continuous in  $E_T$ , and thus (1.6) permits an independent proof of the Hölder continuity of solutions established in [3].

In (1.6) the time  $\theta$  is intrinsic to the solution  $u$  and to the geometry of the ball  $B_\rho(x_o)$ . It would be desirable to have an estimate where the space–time geometry can be prescribed a–priori, independent of  $u(x_o, t_o)$ : this will be object of a future research.

## 2 Novelty and Significance

Equation (1.1) with the structure conditions (1.2) is a quasi–linear version of the degenerate, homogeneous equation

$$u_t - \sum_{i,j=1}^N D_{x_j}(|Du|^{p-2} a_{ij}(x, t) D_{x_i} u) = 0 \quad \text{weakly in } E_T \quad (2.1)$$

where the coefficients  $a_{ij}$  are measurable and locally bounded in  $E_T$  and the matrix  $(a_{ij})$  is almost everywhere positive definite in  $E_T$ . If  $(a_{ij}) = \mathbb{I}$ , then (2.1) reduces to the degenerate, prototype parabolic  $p$ –Laplace equation

$$u_t - \operatorname{div}(|Du|^{p-2} Du) = 0 \quad \text{weakly in } E_T. \quad (2.2)$$

Both (2.1) and (2.2) satisfy the structure conditions (1.2) with  $C = 0$ . Accordingly, non–negative weak solutions of these equations satisfy the intrinsic Harnack inequality (1.6) with  $C = 0$ .

### 2.1 The Linear Case $p = 2$

The Harnack inequality for local, non–negative solutions of the heat equation ((1.6), with  $p = 2$  and  $C = 0$ ), was established independently by Hadamard ([6]) and Pini ([8]), by local representation of solutions in terms of heat potentials. In [9], Moser established the same Harnack inequality for weak solutions of (2.1) for  $p = 2$ , by energy based, measure–theoretical arguments. Moser’s proof is non–linear in nature, and it can be extended almost verbatim ([10, 1]), to the quasi–linear versions (1.1)–(1.2) with  $p = 2$ . At almost the same time, Ladyzhenskaja, Solonnikov and Ural’tzeva ([7]), established, by means of DeGiorgi–type measure–theoretical arguments, that weak solutions of such quasi–linear equations (still for  $p = 2$ ), are locally bounded and locally Hölder continuous. It turns out that the Harnack inequality of Moser can be used to establish the Hölder continuity of solutions. On the other hand, it was observed in [2] that the Hölder continuity implies the Harnack inequality for non–negative solutions.

Thus a summary of the quasi–linear theory for the “linear” case  $p = 2$ , is that Hölder continuity and Harnack inequality for non–negative solutions, are mutually equivalent. However, establishing either of them independently, requires independent measure–theoretical arguments.

## 2.2 The Degenerate Case $p > 2$

Neither Moser’s nor the DeGiorgi’s ideas, in the version of [7], seem to apply when  $p \neq 2$ , even for the prototype case (2.2). Some progress was made by the idea of *time-intrinsic* geometry, by which the time is scaled, roughly speaking by  $u^{p-2}$ . This permits to establish that weak solutions of (1.1)–(1.2), for all  $p > 1$ , are Hölder continuous in  $E_T$  ([3], Chapters III and IV). It was also observed that while the Harnack inequality in the Moser form is in general false for  $p > 2$ , it might hold in this time-intrinsic geometry. Indeed it was shown that (1.6) with  $C = 0$ , holds for non-negative solutions of (2.2). The proof is based on the maximum principle and comparison functions constructed as variants of the Barenblatt similarity solutions (see [3], Chapter VI, for an account of the theory)

$$\Gamma_p(x, t) = \frac{1}{t^{N/\lambda}} \left[ 1 - \gamma_p \left( \frac{|x|}{t^{1/\lambda}} \right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \right]_+^{\frac{p-1}{p-2}} \quad t > 0 \quad (2.3)$$

where

$$\gamma_p = \left( \frac{1}{\lambda} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{p-2}{p}, \quad \lambda = N(p-2) + p. \quad (2.4)$$

As  $p \rightarrow 2$  this tends pointwise to the fundamental solution of the heat equation. In this sense  $\Gamma_p$  is some sort of  $p$ -heat potential. Thus the approach can be regarded as paralleling that of Hadamard and Pini for the heat equation.

The novelty of Theorem 1.1 lies in producing a proof of the Harnack inequality (1.6) based only on measure-theoretical arguments. This bypasses any notion of maximum principle and potentials, and permits an extension to non-negative solutions of quasi-linear equations of the type of (1.1)–(1.2). Its significance is in paralleling Moser’s measure-theoretical, quasi-linear development, following Hadamard and Pini’s potential representations for the heat equation. Moreover our approach gets rid of any kind of covering argument and Cross-over Lemma, which were used in Moser’s proof but can be considered as rather artificial.

In [5] we will give a detailed proof of Theorem 1.1, built on measure-theoretical facts established in [4]. Particular care will be used in showing how the Harnack inequality implies the Hölder continuity of the solution.

Thus a summary of the quasi-linear theory, for the “degenerate” case  $p > 2$ , is that Hölder continuity and intrinsic Harnack inequality are mutually equivalent. However, establishing either of them independently, requires independent measure-theoretical arguments. Finally when  $p \rightarrow 2$  the intrinsic Harnack inequality (1.6) and the corresponding Hölder theory, recover the classical Moser’s estimate and the corresponding Hölder estimates of [7].

## 2.3 Expansion of Positivity

The main technical novelty is illustrated by referring back to the “linear” case  $p = 2$ .

Let  $u$  be a non-negative, local solution of the heat equation in  $E_T$ . Let  $B_\rho(y) \times (s - \rho^2, s] \subset E_T$  and assume that

$$\text{meas}\{x \in B_\rho(y) \mid u(x, s - \rho^2) < M\} < \alpha \text{meas}\{B_\rho\}$$

for some  $M > 0$  and some  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ . Then there exists  $\eta = \eta(\alpha) \in (0, 1)$ , such that for all  $x \in B_{2\rho}(y)$

$$u(x, s + 4\rho^2) \geq \eta M.$$

Thus information on the measure of the “positivity set” of  $u$  at the time level  $s - \rho^2$ , over the ball  $B_\rho(y)$ , translates into an expansion of the positivity set both in space (from  $B_\rho(y)$  to  $B_{2\rho}(y)$ ), and in time (from  $s - \rho^2$  to  $s + 4\rho^2$ ). This fact continues to hold for quasi-linear versions of the heat equation and was established in [2].

A similar fact for  $p > 2$  is in general false as one can verify from the Barenblatt solution (2.3)–(2.4). The main technical novelty of our investigation is that a similar fact continues to hold for the degenerate equations (1.1)–(1.2), in a time-intrinsic geometry. Precisely

**Lemma 2.1** *Let  $u$  be a non-negative, local, weak solution of (1.1)–(1.2). There exist positive constants  $\gamma$  and  $b$ , and  $\eta \in (0, 1)$ , depending only upon the data and independent of  $(y, s)$ ,  $\rho$  and  $M$ , such that if*

$$u(x, s) \geq M \quad \text{for all } x \in B_\rho(y) \tag{2.5}$$

then either  $M < \gamma C \rho$ , or for a.e.  $x \in B_{2\rho}(y)$

$$u(x, t) \geq \eta M \quad \text{with } t = s + \left(\frac{b}{\eta M}\right)^{p-2} (4\rho)^p. \tag{2.6}$$

## References

- [1] D.G. Aronson and J. Serrin, Local Behaviour of Solutions of Quasi-linear Parabolic Equations, *Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal* **25**, 1967, 81–123.
- [2] E. DiBenedetto, Harnack Estimates in Certain Function Classes, *Atti Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena*, **XXXVII**, (1989), 173–182.
- [3] E. DiBenedetto, *Degenerate Parabolic Equations*, Springer Verlag, Series Universitext, New York, (1993).
- [4] E. DiBenedetto, U. Gianazza and V. Vespi, Local Clustering on the Non-Zero Set of Functions in  $W_{loc}^{1,1}(E)$ , *Rend. Accad. Naz. Lincei, Roma (in press)*
- [5] E. DiBenedetto, U. Gianazza and V. Vespi, Harnack Estimates for Quasi-linear Degenerate Parabolic Differential Equations, *preprint I.M.A.T.I. # 4-PV, (2006), 1–27, submitted*

- [6] J. Hadamard, Extension à l'équation de la chaleur d'un théorème de A. Harnack, *Rend. Circ. Mat. di Palermo, Ser. 2* **3**, (1954), 337–346.
- [7] O.A. Ladyzenskaja, N.A. Solonnikov, N.N. Ural'tzeva, *Linear and Quasilinear Equations of Parabolic Type*, Transl. Math. Monographs, **23**, AMS Providence R. I. 1968.
- [8] B. Pini, Sulla soluzione generalizzata di Wiener per il primo problema di valori al contorno nel caso parabolico, *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova*, **23**, (1954) 422–434.
- [9] J. Moser, A Harnack Inequality for Parabolic Differential Equations, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **17**, (1964), 101–134.
- [10] N.S. Trudinger, Pointwise Estimates and Quasi-Linear Parabolic Equations, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **21**, 1968, 205–226.