Cleveland State University EngagedScholarship@CSU

Undergraduate Research Posters 2012

Undergraduate Research Posters

9-6-2012

Characteristics Indicative of the Likelihood of Leaving Open-Ended Comments on an Organizational Survey

Astrid Jennifer Hernandez Cleveland State University, A.J.HERNANDEZ95@csuohio.edu

Michael Horvath Cleveland State University, M.HORVATH59@csuohio.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://engagedscholarship.csuohio.edu/u poster 2012



Part of the Cognition and Perception Commons

How does access to this work benefit you? Let us know!

Recommended Citation

Hernandez, Astrid Jennifer and Horvath, Michael, "Characteristics Indicative of the Likelihood of Leaving Open-Ended Comments on an Organizational Survey" (2012). Undergraduate Research Posters 2012. 19. https://engagedscholarship.csuohio.edu/u_poster_2012/19

This Book is brought to you for free and open access by the Undergraduate Research Posters at EngagedScholarship@CSU. It has been accepted for inclusion in Undergraduate Research Posters 2012 by an authorized administrator of EngagedScholarship@CSU. For more information, please contact library.es@csuohio.edu.



This digital edition was prepared by MSL Academic Endeavors, the imprint of the Michael Schwartz Library at Cleveland State University.

Characteristics Indicative of the Likelihood of Leaving Open-Ended Comments on an Organizational Survey

Astrid J. Hernandez and Michael Horvath, Ph.D.

Department of Psychology, Cleveland State University



ABSTRACT

It has been suggested that individuals who take

surveys solely answer questions to obtain the

incentive offered. However, people who answer

surveys also tend to do so because they want to give

their genuine feedback. Previous studies have

postulated that survey completion is dependent on

what individuals want to make known. It is thought

that people who feel strongly about certain aspects

are more likely to answer surveys. The present

study focuses on identifying factors that indicate

who is more likely to answer open ended

comments. It is hypothesized that, A-the attraction

that the applicant has towards the company, B-the

applicant's intent to accept a job offer with the

company, C-the intent to accept an interview with a

different company, D-the applicant's willingness to

recommend the company to others, E-how far the

applicant is in the hiring process and finally, F-

having a different preference other than the

company they applied to.-are all factors that

characterize what type of individual is more likely

to leave or not leave open ended comments. The

participants recruited were preliminary and post-

interview applicants from a large manufacturing

company website (n=563). Ultimately, the results

of Chi-Square and Logistic Regression did not

PRESENT STUDY

Evaluating 6 hypotheses that are indicative of who is most likely to leave open ended comments in a company's hiring process

➤ Hypothesis 1: Applicants who are more attracted to the company will be more likely to leave open ended comments.

- ➤ Hypothesis 2: Applicants with a higher intent to accept a job offer are more likely to leave open ended comments.
- Hypothesis 3: The higher the intent of applicants to accept an interview with another company, the less likely they are to leave open ended comments.
- Hypothesis 4: The more likely the applicants are willing to recommend the company to others, the more likely they will be to leave open ended comments.
- ➤ Hypothesis 5: The farther along the applicants are in the hiring process, the more likely they will be to leave open ended comments.
- ➤ Hypothesis 6:Applicants who have a different preference other than the company they applied to are less likely to leave ended comments

PARTICIPANTS

> used preliminary (applied to the company) and post-

recruited from an online job recruitment website for a

The jobs in which these applicants were applying for

The study began on May 2011 and lasted until April

> Study with data and analysis lasted until Summer

ranged from upper management, to hourly jobs, to

interview (had received an interview) applicants

> 563 participants

➤ Mean age 37.9

>71% were men

> 29% woman

specific company

engineering positions

2012

2012

INTRODUCTION

➤Industrial Organizational Psychology (I-O)
psychology aims to understand behavior in the
workplace

support the hypotheses.

- ➤ I-O psychology uses surveys to obtain company data
- Surveys aim to find a range of characteristics about their company (Rogelberg, Church, Waclawski, & Stanton, 2002)
- -weaknesses that the company has through the eyes of the employees (Campbell, 2002)
- -areas of improvement in certain departments
- -concerns for the company
- -even salary dissatisfaction

One unanswered question in organizational surveying research is open ended comment completion

Knowing the answer to this question will help:

- identify demographics, educational levels and cultural information about the applicants
- help identify what type of applicants are more likely to answer open ended comments in surveys

METHOD

1. The preliminary applicants were prompted with a survey invitation after they applied to the company

The post-interview applicants were sent an invitation-via email- to participate in the survey

- The survey was about: company attributes, the applicants' standing in the application process, and to assess applicant reactions to the hiring process
- 2. The survey was offered online with no time constraint
- 3. The incentive offered was to potentially win 10 dollars

*.....

MEASURES

1. Attraction to the company: 5 items on a 5-point scale

Coefficient Alpha of .834

2.Intent to accept a job offer: 3 items on a 5-point scale

Coefficient Alpha of .715

3. Intent to accept a job interview: 3 items on a 5-oint scale

Coefficient Alpha of .957

4. Willingness to recommend the company: 3 items on a 5-point scale

Coefficient Alpha of .715

- 5.Applicant standing in the process: based on which version of the survey they completed -preliminary/post-interview
- 6. Different company preference: Participants were asked to name the company that, aside from the company they were currently applying to, they would prefer the most
- >dummy-coded variable was created (1=Yes,
- 0=No) to indicate whether or not individuals left open-ended comments

RESULTS

Logistic Regression Results

2.Intent to Accept a Job Offer

People higher on:

More/less likely to Answer
Open-Ended Comments

1. Company attraction b = -.062, $R^{2} = .001$, p = .580

b = .018, $R^{2} = .000$, p = .917

3.Intent to Interview Elsewhere b=.054, $R^{2}=.001$, p=.580

4. Willingness to recommend the company b=-.497, $R^2=.037$, p=.003

Chi Square Results

5. Farther Along in the Application Process $\chi^2(2) = 3.80, p = .501$

6. Having a different company $\chi^2(1) = 3.80, p = .433.$ preference

No significant results to support the hypotheses

Cleveland State University College of Sciences and Health Professions

DISCUSSION

Failed to reject null hypotheses

- -The statistical findings were not significant enough to suggest that the hypotheses were valid. We found no relationship between variables
- ➤ Optional survey could have limited the sample size. The results could have been affected due to this because it narrowed down potential participants of the survey. Thus, the sample was undersized which could have affected the overall results of study.
- Company surveys should be required to be completed in hiring processes

FUTURE DIRECTIONS

> Gender differences in company survey feedback.

-are men more likely to answer open ended comments? Or are women more likely to answer survey comments?

➤ Potential new hypotheses:

-how long the applicants have been actively searching for a job

-the age of the applicants

- -how important it is for the applicants to attain a job with the company
- fairness perception of the overall application experience

REFERENCES

Campbell, W.J. (2002). Consideration of consulting psychology/organizational educational principles as they relate to the practice of industrial-organizational psychology and the society for industrial and organizational psychology's education and training guidelines. *Consulting Psychology Journal: Practice and Research*, *54*, 261-274.

Highhouse, S., Lievens, F., & Sinar, F. E. (2003).

Measuring attraction to organizations. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*,
63, 986-1001.

Rogelberg, S. G., Church, A., Waclawski, J., & Stanton, J. S. (2002). Organizational survey research. In S.G. Rogelberg (Eds.) *Handbook of research methods in industrial and organizational psychology*. (pp.141-160). London: Blackwell.