

Cedarville University DigitalCommons@Cedarville

The Research and Scholarship Symposium

The 2014 Symposium

Apr 16th, 11:00 AM - 2:00 PM

Skin Preparation for Preventing Infection Following Cesarean Section

Levi Fenton *Cedarville University*, levifenton@cedarville.edu

Alexandra Barford *Cedarville University*, abarford@cedarville.edu

Adrienne Barnett *Cedarville University*, adriennebarnett@cedarville.edu

Meghan Becker *Cedarville University*, meghannbecker@cedarville.edu

Follow this and additional works at: http://digitalcommons.cedarville.edu/research_scholarship_symposium

Part of the <u>Surgery Commons</u>

Fenton, Levi; Barford, Alexandra; Barnett, Adrienne; and Becker, Meghan, "Skin Preparation for Preventing Infection Following Cesarean Section" (2014). *The Research and Scholarship Symposium*. 6. http://digitalcommons.cedarville.edu/research_scholarship_symposium/2014/poster_presentations/6

This Poster is brought to you for free and open access by DigitalCommons@Cedarville, a service of the Centennial Library. It has been accepted for inclusion in The Research and Scholarship Symposium by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@Cedarville. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@cedarville.edu.



Skin Preparation for Preventing Infection Following Cesarean Section

Alex Barford, Adrienne Barnett, Meghan Becker, Levi Fenton Cedarville University School of Nursing

PATIENT CARE ISSUE

Background & Significance:

- Caesarean sections make up 32.8% of births in the United States.
- Most hospitals use chlorhexidine or iodine for preventing surgical site

infection post caesarean section.

SYNTHESIS OF EVIDENCE

Literature review of 14 articles **Target Antiseptics:** Chlorhexidine and Iodine

Chlorhexidine is more effective	6/14 ^(5,6,7,8,9,12)
Comparison Studies between Chlorhexidine	9/14 (4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12)

- Approximately 300,000-500,000 SSI's a year.
- Current infection rate after c-section is 1-4%.
- Though many hospitals use different methods of disinfecting, it is still not certain which method is the most effective.

EVIDENCE-BASED PRACTICE QUESTION

Question: Which antiseptic, chlorhexidine or iodine, is more effective in preventing post-operative infection after a caesarean section?

Population: Expectant woman who were going to have a caesarean procedure.

Intervention: Using an antiseptic agent to prevent infection after a surgical procedure.

Comparison: Chlorhexidine and iodine antiseptic agents.

Outcome: To decrease rates of post-operative infection

Iodine is More Effective	3/14 ^(2,13,14)

RESULTS

- The use of chlorhexidine instead of iodine is more effective in preventing surgical site infections based on literature review - Recommendations are made for institutions not using chlorhexidine in their daily practice

EVIDENCE-BASED PRACTICE RECOMMENDATIONS

(1) Use chlorhexidine to paint abdomen from navel to groin before a caesarean section.

(2) If budgets are set, increase budget to account for increase cost of chlorhexidine.

LIMITATIONS

REGISTERED NURSE INTERVIEW

Interview with R.N. at Miami Valley Hospital who worked on the labor and delivery unit.

Preparation for cesarean surgical skin incision included:

- 1) Painting the abdomen with iodine from the navel to the groin
- 2) Wiping down the iodine with a sterile towel

3) Repeating this process three times before putting the drapes in place.

METHODS

The methods used in this research study included databases:

- PubMed
- Web of Science
- CINAHL
- Cochrane
- OneSearch
- Wiley Online Library.

Keywords searched were: c-section infection, c-section pre-op, iodine vs chlorhexidine, iodine preoperative care, iodine skin care, skin antiseptics, iodine as antiseptic, chlorhexidine and infection and skin prep.

- Limited studies found that contained information about surgical site infections and cesarean sections
- Some pertinent articles conducted research outside of the United States

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

RN nurse at Miami Valley Hospital in the Labor and Delivery Unit. Dr. Carrie Keib

REFERENCES

1) Martin J., Hamilton, B., Ventura, S., Osterman, M., and Matthews, T.J. (2012). Births: Final Data for 2011. National Vital Statistics Reports, 61 (5). ttp://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/nvsr/nvsr62/nvsr62_01.pdf

2) Sibbald RG, Leaper DJ, Queen D. (2011). Iodine Made Easy. Wounds International Journal, 2 (2), s1-s6.

ttp://www.woundsinternational.com/pdf/content 9860.pdf

3) Hranjec, T., Swenson, B., and Sawyer, R.(2010). Surgical Site Infection Prevention: How We Do It. Surgical Infections, 11(3), 289-284. doi:10.1089/sur.2010.021 4) Kittur, N., McMullen, K., Russo, A., Loie, R., Helen, K., & Warren, D. (2012). Long-Term Effect of Infection Prevention Practices and Case Mix on Cesarean Surgical Site Infections. Obstetrics & Gynecology, 120, 246-251. doi: 10.1097/AOG.0b013e31825f032a

5) Yeung, L., Grewal, S., Bullock, A., Lai, H., & Brandes, S. (2013). A comparison of chlorhexidine-Chlorhexidine versus povidone-iodine for eliminating skin flora before genitourinary prosthetic surgery: a randomized controlled trial. Journal of Urology, 189(1), 136-140. doi: 10.1016/j.juro.2012.08.086.

6 Dumville, J., McFarlane, E., Edwards, P., Lipp, A., & Holmes, A. (2013). Preoperative skin antiseptics for preventing surgical wound infections after clean surgery The Cochrane Collaboration. 2004 (3). doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD003949.pub3.

7) Amer-Alshiek, J., Alshiek, T., Almog, B., Lessing, J., Satel, A., Many, A., & Levin, I. (2013). Can we reduce the surgical site infection rate in cesarean sections using a Chlorhexidine-based antisepsis protocol?. The Journal of Maternal-Fetal & Neonatal Care, 1-18. doi: 10.3109/14767058.2013.798291.

8) Levin, I., Amer-Alshiek, J., Avni, A., Lessing, J., Satel, A., & Almog, B. (2011). Chlorhexidine and Chlorhexidine versus povidone-iodine for antisepsis in gynecological surgery. Journal of Women's Health, 20(3), 1-4. doi: 10.1089/jwh.2010.2391.

9) Reichman, D., & Greenberg, J. (2009). Reducing surgical site infections: A review. Obstetrics and Gynecology, 2(4), 212-221.

Inclusion Criteria: Literature that talked about SSI's, c-section and

preoperative skin care, and literature realted to iodine and chlorhexidine

Exclusion Criteria: Studies done prior to 2004 and articles that contained

surgeries that were not preoperative

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2812878

10) Seal, L., & Paul-Cheadle, D. (2004). A systems approach to preoperative surgical patient skin preparation. AJIC Major Articles, 32(2), 57-62. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15057196

11) Zinn, J., Jenkins, J., Swofford, V., Harrelson, B., & McCarter, S. (2010). Intraoperative Patient Skin Prep Agents: Is There a Difference?. AORN Journal, 92, 662-674. doi: 10.1016/j.aorn.2010.07.016.

12) (2010). New Standard of Care in SSI Prevention: Move to Chlorhexidine-Chlorhexidine patient prep expected. Same-Day Surgery, 34 (3), 30-31.

http://connection.ebscohost.com/c/articles/48167415/new-standard-care-ssi-prevention

13) Cooper, R. (2007). Iodine Revisited. International Wound Journal, 4(2). doi: 124-137. 17651228.

14) Gershenfeld, L., Witlin, B. (2006)., Iodine as an antiseptic. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, 53(1), 172-182. doi: 10.1111/j.1749-6632.19