### **Communications of the Association for Information Systems**

#### Volume 28

Article 29

5-2011

### ICIS 2010 Panel Report: Technologies that Transform Business and Research: Lessons from the Past as We Look to the Future

Jeff Baker American University of Sharjah, jbaker@aus.edu

Michel Avital University of Amsterdam

Gordon Davis University of Minnesota

Frank Land London School of Economics

Howard Morgan *First Round Capital* 

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://aisel.aisnet.org/cais

#### **Recommended** Citation

Baker, Jeff; Avital, Michel; Davis, Gordon; Land, Frank; Morgan, Howard; and Wetherbe, James C. (2011) "ICIS 2010 Panel Report: Technologies that Transform Business and Research: Lessons from the Past as We Look to the Future," *Communications of the Association for Information Systems*: Vol. 28, Article 29. DOI: 10.17705/1CAIS.02829 Available at: https://aisel.aisnet.org/cais/vol28/iss1/29

This material is brought to you by the AIS Journals at AIS Electronic Library (AISeL). It has been accepted for inclusion in Communications of the Association for Information Systems by an authorized administrator of AIS Electronic Library (AISeL). For more information, please contact elibrary@aisnet.org.

# ICIS 2010 Panel Report: Technologies that Transform Business and Research: Lessons from the Past as We Look to the Future

#### Authors

Jeff Baker, Michel Avital, Gordon Davis, Frank Land, Howard Morgan, and James C. Wetherbe

# Communications of the Association for Information Systems

ICIS 2010 Panel Report: Technologies that Transform Business and Research: Lessons from the Past as We Look to the Future

Jeff Baker American University of Sharjah jbaker@aus.edu

Michel Avital University of Amsterdam

Gordon Davis University of Minnesota

Frank Land London School of Economics

Howard Morgan First Round Capital

James C. Wetherbe Texas Tech University

Abstract:

What are the technologies that will transform business and drive the research agenda for the IS field in the years to come? Which innovations, platforms, and paradigms will become dominant, and which others will ultimately pass into obscurity? Thoughts on these questions are provided by those with a unique and unmatched perspective. The leaders who have witnessed the birth and development of the IS field during the past forty to fifty years draw on their experiences and their deep knowledge of the field to identify the characteristics of technologies that have changed business in the past. They also explain how and why today's innovations will change both research and practice going forward. Their insights have the potential to identify topics for researchers to examine now and in the years to come.

Keywords: innovation, IS research agenda, future of IS, history of IS

Editor's Note: The article is based on a panel presentation at the International Conference on Information Systems, held in St. Louis, Missouri, December 2010.

Lommunications of the Association for Information Systems

Volume 28

Volume 28, Article 29, pp. 497-508, May 2011

#### ICIS 2010 Panel Report: Technologies that Transform Business and Research: Lessons from the Past as We Look to the Future

#### I. INTRODUCTION

What are the technologies that will transform the business world and drive the research agenda for the IS field in the years to come? Over the past fifty years, mainframes, PCs, and wireless devices have changed the way business is transacted. Similarly, software innovations ranging from decision support systems (DSS) to enterprise resource planning (ERP) to customer relationship management (CRM) have changed business processes. Infrastructural technologies—most notably the Internet—have made a wealth of data available and have enabled the widespread sharing of that data.

Unsurprisingly, the technological advances of the past have spurred research in a variety of areas. For instance, the development of decision support systems during the 1960s has been mirrored by an emphasis on this topic in elite journals from that time through the late 1990s [Banker and Kauffman, 2004; Sidorova et al., 2008]. Similarly, the emergence of the Internet as a tool for business in the 1990s has led researchers then and now to focus their attention on electronic commerce and website design [Sidorova et al., 2007]. And the use of Information Systems, such as ERP and SCM in the current decade, is helping shape the emerging topic of services science [Barrett and Davidson, 2008; Horn, 2005].

Which of today's heralded innovations have the potential to transform business and drive a portion of the IS research agenda in the coming decades? And more broadly, how might research on innovations evolve and change? For instance, will researchers continue to focus primarily on innovations, their adoption, and their organizational impact, or will the emerging design science paradigm [Hevner et al., 2004] change the nature of innovation-related research?

In this article, leaders who have witnessed the birth and development of the IS field during the past forty to fifty years will draw on their experiences and their deep knowledge of the field to explain how and why today's innovations will change both research and practice going forward. Their insights have the potential to identify topics for researchers to examine now and in the years to come. Four specific research questions are the focus of this article. Of these four, two initial questions seek to provide a historical perspective on IS research. First, we ask, "Historically, has the IS research agenda been driven by technological innovation—or vice-versa?" And second, "In what ways is IS research retrospective and in what ways is it prospective? Who reaps the benefits of each approach?" After these initial two questions, we present a more forward-looking perspective. Questions for this portion of the article include, "Over the next decade, what technology, platform, or paradigm has the potential to transform the IS function in public sector organizations? In private sector organizations?" And a fourth and final question is, "In light of the answers given here, what themes do you see emerging in research in the next decade?"

In Section II, we begin by providing perspective on the past, and then move in Section III to a description of specific research themes that may emerge in the future. And finally, in Section IV, we provide a prospective framework for forecasting how technology may evolve in the future. By applying our framework, researchers may be able to identify approaching trends and innovations. In spite of the vast growth of the IS field over the past fifty years, a host of questions remain outstanding. And with technology constantly evolving and changing, new questions will certainly be asked for years to come.

#### **II. THE ROOTS OF INFORMATION SYSTEMS RESEARCH**

The starting point of the IS discipline can be traced to the emergence of technological innovation that made use of electronic machines for data processing. Using these machines, large amounts of structured information could be processed in a cost-effective fashion. The implementation of this technology raised a variety of organizational issues, and early IS research endeavored to investigate and address these issues using scientific methods.

Since that time, IS research has expanded in response to the rapid development of technological innovation. Technological changes have resulted in new systems and new applications in organizations. They have also led to societal changes, infrastructural changes, and changes in individual technology use. IS research has done much to develop best practices for technology use, to disseminate information about the process of innovation adoption, and to apply technology to support decision making. As technology continues to propagate into more and more aspects of business and daily life, IS research will continue to evolve and change in response to these waves of innovation.

So far, research on IS and IT-related phenomena has been conducted in two distinct but parallel tracks. One track has emerged from social science reference disciplines such as management, organization studies, and the behavioral sciences. The social sciences are predominantly descriptive and driven by a search for explanation of a phenomenon. Thus, much research conducted within this paradigm can be broadly characterized as retrospective. The other track has been based on technical sciences such as engineering and computer science. In contrast to the social sciences, the technical sciences are predominantly prescriptive and driven by search for a new design or point of view that can drive change. Such research is more prospective in its outlook and aims. We observe that where IS research has been based in the social sciences, it has aimed to strengthen and deepen the theoretical foundations of our knowledge base. However, IS research that has been based in the technical sciences has aimed to drive systems research.

Table 1: Two Ma	ain Perspectives on Information S	ystems Research
Reference Discipline	Social sciences	Technical sciences
Research Drive	Descriptive, seeks explanation	Prescriptive, seeks change
Perspective on IT innovation	Retrospective,	Prospective,
	driven by innovation	driving innovation
Key Contribution	Strengthen the foundations	Renewal and boundary spanning
Focal Point	Root-based	Generative-based

The apparent dichotomy between the two camps presents an opportunity for scholars who cannot only bridge them, but also create a dialectic engine that harnesses their driving forces to reinforce one another. Early attempts to follow this modus operandi are exhibited by action design research scholarship [Sein et al., 2011] and attempts to build on engaged scholarship [Van de Ven, 2007]. These attempts share a common orientation to economic, social, and environmental values.

#### **III. RESEARCH THEMES FOR THE FUTURE**

After noting the past emphases and evolution of the IS field, it is possible to gain perspective on new research areas and themes that may develop. In light of what has been studied, and noting the topics that are emerging at the present time, five key themes for further research are presented here.

#### **Embeddedness and Sociomateriality**

The concept of embeddedness was first introduced in sociology to describe the degree to which individuals or firms are enmeshed in a social network [Granovetter, 1985]. While this idea originally was developed to explain how economic rationality is "embedded" within social relationships, it is being extended into a host of other areas. In IS research, we observe that Information Systems are embedded in the background and artifacts of everyday use [Weiser, 1991]. We also observe that sociality and culturally-induced meaning is embedded in technology as well as in artifacts and services that are enabled by information technology [Dourish, 2001]. Technologically-enabled organizational capabilities become embedded within firms. Such embedded systems and embedded competencies are potential sources of competitive advantage for firms because they are difficult for other firms to duplicate or acquire [Wade and Hulland, 2004].

As technology becomes more ubiquitous, and as business processes become more reliant upon technology for their effective execution, technology and business processes become interwoven in a way that examining a system within its unique organizational and social contexts will become more important. New perspectives on research that investigate Information Systems without attempting to separate those systems from their organizational and social context will be needed to understand how technologies work and further transform the way humans interact with one another.

We concur with those who argue that further scholarly work is required to theorize the fusion of technology and work, where technology is embedded in practices and sociality is embedded in artifacts and services [Orlikowski and Scott, 2008]. To this end, the emerging perspective of sociomateriality challenges the assumption that technology and sociality should be conceptualized separately. Instead, this perspective promotes the view that there is an inherent inseparability between the technical and the social.

#### **Generative Design**

Information Systems research has been long concerned with improving task-related performance, focusing on evaluation criteria tied to task efficiency, accuracy, or other measures of productivity. Productivity gains, however, are no longer the only important criterion for individual and organizational evaluation. Subsequently, Information

Systems are also expected to support creativity, reveal opportunities, and open new vistas of uncharted frontiers [Avital and Te'eni, 2009]. When systems have this generative capability, opportunities for innovation and value creation are more broad than when the focus is simply on productivity gains.

An emerging area of inquiry relates to generative design, that is, to the design of generative systems that are conducive to stimulate the production of creative outputs, which underlie innovation and boundary spanning. In general, being generative refers to having an evocative power or aptitude that results in producing or creating something, or tapping into a source of innovation. Generative systems refers to technologies of all sorts and at all scopes and scales that support and enhance generative capacity—that is, systems that are conducive to one's or a collective's ability to produce new configurations and possibilities, to reframe the way we see and understand the world, and to challenge the normative status quo [Avital and Te'eni, 2009]. Researchers working in this area seek to provide guidance for systems designers and architects who aim to enhance creative work, promote unstructured syntheses, stimulate serendipitous discoveries, and facilitate any other form of computer-aided tasks that involve unexplored outcomes.

#### **Sustainable Innovation**

Researchers have begun to call for and focus on research that addresses "green" and "sustainable" IT [Jenkin et al., 2011]. Green IT focuses specifically on environmental impact, and sustainable IT is a broader term that emphasizes the use of technology and resources in responsible ways that take into account not only the financial performance of the organization using the IT, but also the wellbeing of the planet and its inhabitants [Malhotra et al., 2010]. Sustainable innovation involves the development of supportive social and normative frameworks that complement and reinforce the adoption and diffusion of sustainable technologies. Sustainable innovation can be understood and leveraged by adopting a broader set of considerations that account for the sociomaterial nature of the subject matter. Sustainable value is a twofold construct that denotes value as worth and value as norms. Hence, rather than dealing with ecological sustainability alone, sustainable IT should support and promote sustainable attitudes, behaviors, and practices across systems, organizations, industries, and economies. Sustainable innovation focuses on creating positive solutions to environmental and social challenges in addition to reducing and managing the IT footprint. Sustainable innovation is thus about creating social, environmental, and economic value for all stakeholders [Van Osch and Avital, 2010].

#### The Knowledge Paradox

One of the themes with a firm place on the IS research agenda is Knowledge Management. As a topic it has developed its own journals, conferences, and research agenda. Indeed, today's society has been labeled "The Knowledge Society." In spite of the vast amounts of data that are available and searchable, and in spite of living in a society where information and knowledge are widely available, a paradox may be emerging where knowledge must compete with what has been described by some as a growing body of "un-knowledge" or "counter-knowledge" [Thompson, 2008].

Many in the IS field remember the research and discussions fuelled by the so-called productivity paradox—the problem that researchers were unable to find a positive link between investments in IS and productivity growth. It seemed on a macro scale that investment in IS had actually reduced the productivity of white-collar labor. Improved research methods and more data seemed to resolve the productivity paradox to the extent that investment in IS outperformed all other investments [Brynjolfsson and Hitt, 1996; Brynjolfsson and Hitt, 1998].

In the midst of the Knowledge Society, however, do we now have an emerging Knowledge Paradox? Despite huge investments in knowledge management systems, despite advances in databases, despite powerful search capabilities, is our knowledge being drowned by counter-knowledge? The technology which can and does store and deliver knowledge is also used to store and deliver counter-knowledge. Conspiracy theories, inaccurate history, and questionable science are parts of this counter-knowledge. Similarly, *opinion* and *belief* masquerade as *knowledge* in the blogosphere.

In the area of knowledge, we have a host of topics to research. Scholars need to explore the way social networking is used to disseminate counter-knowledge and the way counter-knowledge is accepted by society. Others need to investigate how the channels through which knowledge is delivered influence the believability of that knowledge. Still others can investigate the way that firms make use of technology to hide inconvenient knowledge. The "Knowledge Paradox" and its consequences may emerge as topics on the IS research agenda.

#### The "Dark Side" of Information Systems and Innovation

IS research is driven by the notion that technological innovation is for the benefit of society. This benefit is often expressed in business school terms such as *competitiveness* and *shareholder value*, but is also expressed in

societal terms such as *improvements in the quality of working life.* Nevertheless, we live in a complex universe. And not all those involved with IT and IS share the values that have traditionally driven the IS research agenda. Restated, not all IT and IS innovators intend for their work to benefit society.

Some of the most successful entrepreneurs and innovators who have played an important, but often unsung, part in helping the technology evolve are among this group who do not intend to improve or provide benefit to society. These innovators are represented by a variety of very different groups. At one extreme are the hobbyists who take pleasure from "invading" cyberspace and disrupting ordinary businesses or governmental practices for no other reason than to demonstrate their own capability. They are the hackers who obtain entry to well-protected and secret systems.

A closely-related group are those who use similar means to disrupt business and governmental functions. They may invent malware which can disrupt systems and networks. They may subvert information systems for financial gain. They may make private, secret, or "classified" content available for public consumption, as does Wikileaks, often for political or ideological reasons. While different perspectives on the value of such disclosures exist, most would agree that when such "leaks" harm one's own interests, or one's nation's interests, these innovators are regarded as criminals.

Still other innovators are those who engage directly in criminal activity such as identity theft and credit card theft. And others engage in legal, but dubious activities, such as the distribution and sale of pornography over the Internet [Coopersmith, 2006]. The "value" in pornography has been described in the following way:

"... porn has proven to be a reliable, highly profitable market segment that has accelerated the development of media technology [and] ... the demand for porn has driven the development of core cross-platform technologies, for data compression, search, transmission, and micro payments" Shorn of the word "porn" the industry could be used as a case study of successful innovation and entrepreneurship in a Business School or IS application class. [Dines, 2011]

Despite the importance attached by businesses, governments, and citizens to the problems of security, privacy, morality, cyberwarfare, and cybercrime, the activities of this alternative world of entrepreneurs and innovators have played only a minor role in the IS research agenda. Where research has surfaced, it has been reactive in the sense that research has concentrated on finding ways to counter the activities, mainly by building protective mechanisms. And most of that research has taken place outside the domain of the IS discipline. Understanding the counter culture in the way criminologists study the criminal world has not been part of the IS research agenda. Yet the impact and potential damage of using technology in this way is growing all the time and is regarded as a major threat. Perhaps it is time that we put the "dark side" of IS and IT innovation firmly on the research agenda.

#### **IV. FORECASTING FUTURE TECHNOLOGIES**

A key idea that researchers would do well to grasp is that *technique lags behind technology*. An understanding of this maxim can provide guidance for researchers who are trying to anticipate new technologies and new research themes. When new technologies become available, they are often used in old ways. There is a clear historical pattern of this dynamic. For example, when rifles were first developed (a new technology) soldiers for decades still lined up shoulder to shoulder several layers deep in brightly colored uniforms and marched onto fields of combat (which was an old technique based on sword and shield technology). The old technique was ridiculously obsolete, given the new technology. Seeking protection behind safe objects and wearing camouflage uniforms that helped soldiers blend into the terrain did not become best practices for infantry for some time.

We see this phenomenon of technique/perception lagging behind technology particularly present in computing technology. Consider automatic teller machines (ATMs). The first ATMs were located inside banks. Why? Because it was an automated teller station without the teller. Initially, many bankers were concerned that customers would rather deal with a human teller. Accordingly, banks placed ATMs originally in bank lobbies as a "self-service alternative" to waiting for a human teller. However, the real value from ATMs came when they were available to customers twenty-four hours a day outside the bank. This was not an easy adjustment to the banking business model. Taking cash and leaving it unattended in, say, shopping centers, was not an easy innovation for most bankers to embrace. To many, when the idea was first mentioned it seemed like a joke. In fact, the perception of most real innovations in the first stage is that they are jokes. The second stage is denial (i.e., "No way we are doing that"). The third stage when technique catches up to technology is "accepted and best practice."

Another example of this is that when word processing technology became available, many executives viewed word processing as a technology to make secretaries more productive. The notion that an executive would have a

Volume 28

Article 29

keyboard in his or her office was initially seen as a "joke." It took several years for the placement of a computer and keyboard in an executive's office to evolve to accepted and best practice.

#### **Future Perfect**

So, how can we become better at recognizing when an innovation is not a joke? And how can we become better at forecasting new technology development and implementation? And how can we not let technique lag unnecessarily behind technology? An excellent partial answer is provided by Stan Davis in *Future Perfect* [Davis, 1987]. His forward-thinking book provides a powerful means to establish a vision of the future and how technology will play a role in it. The fundamental assumption to do this is to accept that technology is going to get better and better. If technology is going to get better and better, it follows that proper utilization of it will allow us to do things better. When there is no room left for improvement, then we have achieved perfection. Accordingly, the way to see to the future in advance is to develop a vision of what perfect looks like. We then realize we are on a journey toward getting closer and closer to that perfect vision until it is achieved.

What does perfect look like? It is when people can get what they want—any time, any place, in any way. For example, if an individual could stand anywhere and say, "I want \$1000 from my account" and it immediately appeared in his or her hand, that would be achieving their request anytime, anyplace, anyway. That would be "perfect." If this individual could say, "Make it \$2000 and convert it to Japanese Yen," that illustrates an additional degree of perfection. In contrast, if someone is required to go to their bank during banking hours in the city where they live, that is far from perfect. So when the bank put twenty-four-hour ATMs outside the bank and allowed individuals to get money in \$20 increments, that was closer to perfect than before. When those same ATMs were located around the country or around the world, it became closer yet. If individuals can get money in the exact amounts they want, that is closer again.

One variable that *Future Perfect* does not specifically address, but one that is crucial to consider, is what a customer is willing to pay for functionality that is closer to perfect. As a simple example, if one lives in Minneapolis, having a newspaper delivered on one's front porch may be literally worth twice the cost of having it delivered in a mailbox seventy-five yards from one's front door.<sup>1</sup> In sub-zero weather it is unpleasant to retrieve a newspaper in a bathrobe and slippers. Front-porch delivery is not a service the newspaper offers, but it is possible to make a special arrangement with the newspaper carrier to pay twice the price of the newspaper to have it delivered "where" one wants it. The newspaper carrier achieves a profit margin far exceeding that of the newspaper publisher by providing "anywhere" service. Because customers are often willing to pay an additional fee to achieve one of the *any time, any place, any way* criteria, a useful addition is *appropriate price*, where this price is simply defined as what it's worth to the customer.

In sum, the idea of perfection, that is, *any time*, *any place*, *any way*, and at an *appropriate price*, can be a guide to researchers who are looking for ways to predict how technology will evolve.

#### **Customer Resource Life Cycle**

An additional tool we can use for forecasting the future is the Customer Resource Life Cycle (CRLC). The CRLC explains that customers complete a series of steps as part of having a relationship with an organization [lves and Learmouth, 1984; Vitalari and Wetherbe, 1994]. With an understanding of these steps we can apply the principles of *Future Perfect* and get a vision of where future technology is to be best applied. This insight can help forecast the future and gain competitive advantage. The steps of the revised CRLC [Wetherbe, 1998] include:

- Indentify/Research/Profile
- Educate/Advertise/Market
- Establish Requirements/Select
- Price/Order/Deliver/Payment
- Test/Accept
- Integrate/Monitor/Upgrade
- Maintenance/Dispose/Account for
- Feedback/Network

<sup>1</sup> It was worth it for one of the authors of this paper.

Communications of the Association for Information Systems

#### Framework

The pieces of the puzzle can now be organized into a straightforward framework that can be used to not predict future technology *per se* but to determine what new technologies are needed and how they can be used. If we construct a simple matrix using the constructs of *Future Perfect*, the CRLC, and appropriate price we have the matrix shown in Table 2. Organizations can consider if each of the steps in the CRLC (shown on the left side of Table 2) can be executed any time, any place, any way, and at an appropriate price. If any step cannot be executed in these ways, a potential area for improvement and innovation can be identified.

An organization can thus use any one cell or combination of cells to predict the future and make competitive decisions. Complete perfection is generally not a realistic goal. Rather it is simply to be closer to perfect than the competition within the cells that matter most to customers. Few successful products remain unchanged for great lengths of time. Instead, it is likely that some individual or firm will find a way to make an improvement in the product and or the way that it is delivered.

Table 2: A Framew	vork for Foreca	asting Future T	echnologies	
	Any Time	Any Place	Any Way	Appropriate Price
Indentify/Research/Profile				
Educate/Advertise/Market				
Establish Requirements/Select				
Price/Order/Deliver/Payment				
Test/Accept				
Integrate/Monitor/Upgrade				
Maintenance/Dispose/Account for				
Feedback/Network				

As an illustration, consider the scenario of shopping on the Internet for an artist bench for a grand piano at 10 PM in the evening. After searching several websites, perhaps one feels uncomfortable making a selection because it is not apparent what the value proposition is for the wide range of prices and features. Finally, at one website, an instant message pops up on the screen and a customer service representative asks if she can be of assistance. After an instant message dialogue pertaining to features and benefits of different models, one's questions are resolved and one feels confident enough to place an order.

Of significance is that this is the only vendor able to provide CRLC functions of education, requirements determination, and selection in addition to what others could do, which was only pricing, ordering and delivering. The ability of this vendor to provide additional functionality at any time (10 PM), any place (at one's home), any way (with a knowledgeable salesperson speaking your language), for an appropriate price (in this case, the additional service was free), is closer to "perfect" and provides additional value to the customer.

#### Summary

Though we may not always be able to forecast accurately what new technological innovations are forthcoming, using the framework presented above gives a clearer picture of the destination. Using the matrix, organizations can work with customers to identify the best value propositions as new technologies emerge. By exploring each cell in the CRLC/Future Perfect matrix, ideas for the innovative use of technology can be explored. "Closer to perfect" improvements that are cost effective can be made to sustain competitive advantage.

One final example may illustrate this point. Over the years, one of the authors has traveled extensively to give keynote addresses. As part of the travel ritual, he has to check into hotels, sometimes up to 100 times a year. The transaction leaves much to be desired. First of all, he has to wait in line to check in and out. Hotels have a "technique lags behind technology" rule about check-in and checkout times that are archaically based on the principles of horse and buggy travel when people conducting personal travel would travel all day, stay in a hotel and travel all the next day. Today, however, most hotel guests are business travelers whose schedules are determined by airline schedules.

If a hotel were to evolve toward the future perfect ideal, several changes might be made. First, when making a reservation via Internet or phone, information needed would be provided, including arrival and departure times. What about fixed check-in and checkout time? Hotels generally explain that they need time to clean rooms, but obviously they can't clean all rooms at noon. Business travelers usually arrive late in the evening and depart at various times during the day depending on their schedules. This schedule is generally known when the traveler makes the reservation, and many travelers will be willing to share it. Second, when arriving at the hotel, one could skip the

counter and swipe a credit card through a kiosk which would explain where one's room is and how to get there. Third, the kiosk could activate one's credit card as a hotel key. When checking out, one could put the credit card in the kiosk and have it print out a bill which would be more current than the one that slid under the door early in the morning. At that point, the credit card could be deactivated as a room key. A hotel could provide flexible check in/ checkout and more efficiently clean the rooms by getting the arrival and departure schedule up front and scheduling cleaning staff accordingly. Innovative use of information technology allows an organization to accomplish the feat of reducing cost and increasing service if they minimize technique-lagging technology and innovatively see and implement the future as a market leader.

#### **V. CONCLUSION**

In the past fifty years, we have lived through incredible changes in information and communications technologies. Technology changes have resulted in new systems and new applications in organizations. They also lead to societal changes, infrastructure changes, and changes in individual technology use. The technology innovations and new systems and applications do not happen overnight. The time delay from technology innovation to significant impact on organizations, individuals, and society is the time when organizations can recognize changes in the making, prepare for the changes by selected trials, and innovate with new applications and system. Recognizing future technologies and the changes that will occur and making appropriate changes in strategy can make the difference between success and failure for an organization. They can also make a career difference for individuals who are in career-preparation educational programs or who have opportunities for job changes.

In the past, it has been difficult to forecast changing computer and communications technologies. In early 1960s and 1970s textbooks, chapters on current and prospective developments in computer hardware, software, and applications focused on individual developments. That meant that during the life of the textbook, students were reading about possible developments but were not being taught how to identify them on their own. What was missing was the description of underlying principles of forecasting technology development, adoption, and system implementation that might have helped students to evaluate new technologies, systems, and products that they would see in their careers. These principles were missing simply because they were not well understood.

While IS research has done much to help understanding, explaining, evaluating, and codifying best practices; to disseminate information about innovations and how they are applied, and to provide leadership in the areas of applications such as decision support, auctions, and requirements determination, we still need to invest our research talents in the area of forecasting future technologies, applications, and systems.

We understand the importance and difficulty of forecasting and evaluating the importance, value, and impact of new technologies, new applications, and new systems. We also understand that it is difficult to organize principles and teaching modules that will help students apply them effectively. The question is how to do it. We can start with educational goals that are reasonable and achievable relative to this topic. We can first teach students to carefully, thoughtfully consider new technologies and new applications and imagine their use and their advantages and disadvantages. Second, we can teach students methods to go deeper and analyze the affordances provided by new technologies and new applications and to imagine how an organization may use the affordances to create new products, services, and systems. We can also teach students simple methods of reasoning about experiments and trials with *promising* new technology that will result in reasoned decisions about adoption. Additionally, we can teach students some ideas about timing relative to new innovations. Too soon can be just as bad as too late. And finally, we can prepare case studies that illustrate good principles and practices relative to forecasting, trials, and adoption.

Starting with goals such as these, and by incorporating some of the forward-looking ideas contained in this article, we can, through research and trial and error, advance the practice of predicting technological innovation.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The proposal for this ICIS panel presentation was published as Baker, Jeff; Michel Avital, Gordon Davis, Frank Land, Howard Morgan, and James Wetherbe, "Technologies That Transform Business and Research: Lessons from the Past as We Look to the Future" (2010) *ICIS 2010 Proceedings*. Paper 117, <u>http://aisel.aisnet.org/</u><u>icis2010\_submissions/117</u>.

The moderator and panelists are grateful for the comments that were provided at ICIS 2010 in St. Louis. We appreciate the feedback that we received there and are thankful to have been able to incorporate it into this article.

#### REFERENCES

*Editor's Note*: The following reference list contains hyperlinks to World Wide Web pages. Readers who have the ability to access the Web directly from their word processor or are reading the article on the Web, can gain direct access to these linked references. Readers are warned, however, that:

- 1. These links existed as of the date of publication but are not guaranteed to be working thereafter.
- 2. The contents of Web pages may change over time. Where version information is provided in the References, different versions may not contain the information or the conclusions referenced.
- 3. The author(s) of the Web pages, not AIS, is (are) responsible for the accuracy of their content.
- 4. The author(s) of this article, not AIS, is (are) responsible for the accuracy of the URL and version information.
- Avital, M. and D. Te'eni (2009) "From Generative Fit to Generative Capacity: Exploring an Emerging Dimension of Information Systems Design and Task Performance", *Information Systems Journal* (19)4, pp. 345–367.
- Banker, R.D. and R. Kauffman (2004) "The Evolution of Research on Information Systems: A Fiftieth-Year Survey of the Literature in Management Science", *Management Science* (50)3, pp. 281–298.
- Barrett, M. and E. Davidson (2008) "Exploring the Diversity of Service Worlds in the Service Economy" in Barrett, M. et al. (eds.) *Information Technology in the Service Economy: Challenges and Possibilities for the 21st Century,* Boston: Springer, pp. 1–10.
- Brynjolfsson, E. and L. Hitt (1996) "Paradox Lost? Firm-Level Evidence on the Returns to Information Systems Spending", *Management Science* (42)4, pp. 541–558.
- Brynjolfsson, E. and L. M. Hitt (1998) "Beyond the Productivity Paradox", *Communications of the ACM* (41)8, pp. 49–55.
- Coopersmith, J. (2006) "Does Your Mother Know What You Really DO? The Changing Nature and Image of Computer Based Pornography", *History and Technology* (22)1, pp. 1–25.
- Davis, S. (1987) Future Perfect, Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.
- Dines, G. (2011) "Porn: A Multibillion-Dollar Industry That Renders All Authentic Desire Plastic", *The Guardian*, Jan. 5, p. 27..
- Dourish, P. (2001) Where the Action Is: The Foundations of Embodied Interaction, Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Granovetter, M. (1985) "Economic Action and Social Structure: The Problem of Embeddedness", *American Journal of Sociology* (91)3, pp. 481–510.
- Hevner, A.R. et al. (2004) "Design Science in Information Systems Research", MIS Quarterly (28)1.
- Horn, P. (2005) "The New Discipline of Services Science", *Business Week,* Jan. 21, <u>http://www.businessweek.com/technology/content/jan2005/tc20050121\_8020.htm</u> (current Apr. 26, 2011).
- Ives, B. and G. Learmouth (1984) "The Information System as a Competitive Weapon", Communications of the ACM, (27)12, pp. 1193–1201.
- Jenkin, T.A., J. Webster, and L. McShanea (2011) "An Agenda for 'Green' Information Technology and Systems Research", *Information and Organization* (21)1, pp. 17–40.
- Malhotra, A., N. Melville, and R.T. Watson (2010) "Call for Papers: MISQ Special Issue on Information Systems and Environmental Sustainability", *MIS Quarterly*, <u>http://www.misq.org/skin/frontend/default/misq/pdf/CurrentCalls/</u> <u>GreenIS.pdf</u> (current Apr. 26, 2011).
- Orlikowski, W.J. and S.V. Scott (2008) "Chapter 10: Sociomateriality: Challenging the Separation of Technology, Work and Organization", *The Academy of Management Annals* (2)1, pp. 433–474.
- Sein, M.K. et al. (2011) "Action Design Research", MIS Quarterly (35)1, pp. 37–56.
- Sidorova, A., N. Evangelopoulos, and T. Ramakrishnan (2007) "Diversity in IS Research: An Exploratory Study Using Latent Semantics", *Proceedings of the 28th Annual International Conference on Information Systems*, Paper 10.
- Sidorova, A. et al. (2008) "Uncovering the Intellectual Core of the Information Systems Discipline", *MIS Quarterly* (32)3, pp. 467–482.
- Thompson, D. (2008) *Counterknowledge*, New York, NY: W.W. Norton and Company.

Article 29

- Van de Ven, A.H. (2007) *Engaged Scholarship: A Guide for Organizational and Social Research,* Oxford, England: Oxford University Press.
- Van Osch, W. and M. Avital (2010) "From Green IT to Sustainable Innovation", *Proceedings of the 16th Americas Conference on Information Systems (AMCIS),* Lima, Peru.

Vitalari, N. and J. Wetherbe (1994) Systems Analysis and Design: Best Practices, St. Paul, MN: West.

Wade, M. and J. Hulland (2004) "Review: The Resource-Based View and Information Systems Research: Review, Extension, and Suggestions for Future Research", *MIS Quarterly* (28)1, pp. 107–142.

Weiser, M. (1991) "The Computer for the 21st Century", Scientific American (265)3, pp. 94–104.

Wetherbe, J. (1998) "Time and Technology: Competing for Customers in the Future", *Journal of Cycle Time Research*, (4)1, pp. 1–13.

#### **ABOUT THE AUTHORS**

Jeff Baker presently works as an Assistant Professor of Management Information Systems at the American University of Sharjah. He is also a Research Associate at the Institute for Internet Buyer Behavior at Texas Tech University. His primary research interest is IT investment and strategy, with an emphasis on IT business value, strategic alignment, and strategies in electronic commerce. His research has appeared in the *Journal of the Association for Information Systems*, and in the proceedings of the *International Conference on Information Systems*. Jeff is also presently collaborating with Gordon Davis and James Wetherbe on a project that examines the history and evolution of the IS field.

**Michel Avital** is Associate Professor of Information Management at University of Amsterdam and Visiting Professor at Viktoria Institute. The study of how information technology promotes innovation and extraordinary outcomes is a central theme of Michel's work. Building on positive modalities of inquiry, his research focuses on information and organization with an emphasis on the social aspects of information technologies. He has published articles on topics such as systems design, creativity, innovation, collaboration and competition, green IT and sustainable value, positive organizational scholarship and appreciative inquiry. He is an editorial board member of *Information Systems Research, Journal of the Association for Information Systems, Information and Organization, Journal of Information Technology, AIS Transactions on Human-Computer Interaction, and Communications of the Association for Information systems. He has also served in various organizing capacities in <i>ICIS, AOM, ECIS, AMCIS, MCIS,* and other topical conferences. Michel is an advocate of open access publishing and an avid proponent of cross-boundaries exchange and collaboration.

**Gordon B. Davis** is known internationally as a principal founder and intellectual architect of the academic field of Information Systems. In 1967, along with his colleagues at the University of Minnesota, he initiated the first academic degree programs in management information systems and established the Management Information Systems Research Center. Over the course of his career, he has published twenty textbooks and more than 200 journal articles. He served as executive editor of *MIS Quarterly* from 1997–2004, and was instrumental in the founding of *ICIS*, as well as the formation of the AIS. He is a past president of the AIS, a Fellow of the AIS, a Fellow of the ACM, and a winner of the Leo Award for Lifetime Exceptional Achievement in Information Systems. Currently, he is the Honeywell Professor of Management Information Systems Emeritus at the Carlson School of Business at the University of Minnesota.

**Frank Land** graduated from the London School of Economics, and joined the London food and catering enterprise J. Lyons, working on the first electronic computer designed for business use, the LEO I. He went on to become the first professor of Information Systems in the United Kingdom. He was instrumental in the establishment of the International Federation for Information Processing's IS curriculum guidelines. His research has focused on Information Systems and innovation, and he has been widely recognized for his seminal contributions to research, theory development, and practice in Information Systems. He taught at London Business School, the Wharton School at the University of Pennsylvania, Sydney University, Bond University, Curtin University, and the Indian Institute of Management Ahmedabad. He is a winner of the Leo Award for Lifetime Exceptional Achievement in Information Systems and is currently Professor Emeritus in the Department of Information Systems at the London School of Economics.

**Howard Morgan** was Professor of Decision Sciences at the Wharton School of the University of Pennsylvania and Professor of Computer Science at the Moore School at the University of Pennsylvania from 1972 through 1985. During his academic career he served as an editor of *Communications of the ACM*, *Management Science*, and other journals. Since 1983, he has supervised venture capital investments in high-technology companies, including Renaissance Technologies Corporation, the Arca Group, Idealab, and First Round Capital. Howard serves on a

number of public company boards and has been an active consultant and speaker to users and vendors in the Information Systems area for more than thirty years. He has worked with many of the *Fortune 100* companies and numerous government agencies.

**James C. Wetherbe** has been rated as one of the top dozen consultants and lecturers on management and information technology by *Information Week*. He has consulted for a host of *Fortune 500* firms, authored bestselling books, and served on the Boards for several major corporations. In addition, he has numerous research publications to his credit, served as an editor at *MIS Quarterly*, and directed the MIS Research Center at the University of Minnesota. He is first recipient of the *MIS Quarterly* Distinguished Scholar Award. He is currently the Robert Stevenson Chaired Professor of Information Technology at the Rawls College of Business at Texas Tech University, where he also serves as the Associate Dean for Research and Development.

Copyright © 2011 by the Association for Information Systems. Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and full citation on the first page. Copyright for components of this work owned by others than the Association for Information Systems must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, to republish, to post on servers, or to redistribute to lists requires prior specific permission and/or fee. Request permission to publish from: AIS Administrative Office, P.O. Box 2712 Atlanta, GA, 30301-2712, Attn: Reprints; or via e-mail from <u>ais@aisnet.org.</u>

Volume 28

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF Ize Zigurs University of Nebraska at Omaha         Assessment of Nebraska at Omaha         Case Western Reserve University         Blake Ives         Editor, CAIS         Case Western Reserve University         Case Settor, CAIS         Construction of Publications         Settor, CAIS         Construction of Publications         Case Settor, CAIS         Construction of Publications         Settor Case Case Western Reserve University         Janversity of Anizona       University of California at Irvine         Bentley University         Janversity of Anariasco       Banter University         Janversity of Anizona       Janversity       Janversity       Dinversity of Hawaii       Indramil Bose         University of Anizona       Janversity of Anizona       Dinversity of California at Irvine       Indramil Bose       University of Homas <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>ISSN: 1529-3181</th>								ISSN: 1529-3181	
IIze Zigurs University of Nebraska at Omaha           Als SENIOR EDITORIAL BOADD           Guy Fitzgerald /ice President Publications         Ize Zigurs Editor, CA/S         Kalle Lyytinen Editor, JA/S           Sunel University         University of Nebraska at Omaha         Editor, CA/S         Case Western Reserve University           Editor, Editor, CA/S         Editor, CA/S         Case Western Reserve University         Editor, Electronic Publications         Editor, CA/S           Editor, Electronic Publications         Editor, Gaduate University         Claremont Graduate University         Claremont Graduate University           Jaiversity of Minnesota         University of Groningen         University of Hawaii         University of Georgia           Jaiversity of Arizona         University of Groningen         University of Hawaii         University of Georgia           CAIS SENIOR EDITORS         Bentley University         Jerry Luftman         Stevens Institute of Technology           CAIS EDITORIAL BOARD         University of Amsterdam         Dinesh Batra         Indranil Bose           University         University of the West         Georgia Souther         University         University           Douglas Havelka         K.D. Joshi         Michel Kalika         Kartheinz Kautz         Copenhagen Business           University         University of Denve			EI	DITO	DR-IN-CH	EF			
AIS SENIOR EDITORIAL BOARD Jay Fitzgerald Vice President Publications Stune University Chiversity of Nebraska at Omaha Stune University Case Western Reserve University Case Markus Southern Methodist University Case Provide California at Irvine Bentley University of Hawaii University of Georgia CAS SENIOR EDITORS Steve Alter University Of San Francisco Jane Fedorowicz Dentley University Case Evan Duggan Mary Granger Mary Granger Mary Granger Mary Granger Mary Granger Mary Granger Ake Grontund University of Hong Kong University University University University University Case Evan Duggan Mary Granger Mary G			Linivorcity	llz v of	ze Zigurs	at Omaha	-		
AIS SENIOR EDITORIAL BOARD       Suy Fitzgerald/ (ice President Publications)       Kalle Lyytinen Editor, CA/S         Strune University       University of Nebraska at Omaha       Kalle Lyytinen Editor, CA/S         Strune University       Diversity of Nebraska at Omaha       Case Western Reserve University         Stevens Institute of Technology       Editor, Electronic Publications       Paul Gray Founding Editor, CA/S         Jarversity of Minnesota       University of Houston       Claremont Graduate University         Jarversity of Minnesota       Ken Kraemer       M. Lynne Markus       Richard Mason         Jarversity of Minnesota       University of Coningen       University of Hawaii       University of Georgia         CAIS SENIOR EDITORIAL BOARD       Bentley University       Southern Methodist University         Jarversity of San Francisco       Bentley University       Stevens Institute of Technology         CAIS SENIOR EDITORIAL BOARD       University of Amsterdam       Elorida International University of Hows of Marger       Indranil Bose         Jarversity       University of the West Indres       Dinesh Batra University of Hows of Congerge       Ake Groniund         Jougalas Havelka       K.O. Joshi       Michel Kalika       Kartheinz Kautz         Sougalas Havelka       K.O. Joshi       Michel Kalika       Capenhagen Business         University			University	y 01	Nebraska	al Omana	a		
Guy Fitzgerald (rec President Publications)       IIze Zigurs Editor. CA/S University of Nebraska at Omaha       Kalle Lyvinen Editor. CA/S Case Western Reserve University         Grave A. Stohr Editor.at-Large Editor. Electronic Publications Stevens Institute of Technology       Blake Ives Editor. CA/S University of Houston       Paul Gray Founding Editor, CA/S Caremont Graduate University         CAIS ADVISORY BOARD       Ken Kraemer University of California at Irvine Javersity of Arizona       M. Lynne Markus Bentley University       Richard Mason Bentley University       Richard Mason University of Georgia         CAIS ADVISORY BOARD       Jane Fedorowicz Bentley University of Fanciana       Bentley University University of Fanciana       Richard Mason University of Georgia         CAIS SENIOR EDITORS       Jane Fedorowicz Bentley University       Jare Fedorowicz Bentley University       Jare Fedorowicz University of Amsterdam       Jares Fanciaco       Indranil Bose University         CAIS EDITORIAL BOARD       Minchel Avital University of Amsterdam       Dinesh Batra Florida International University       Indranil Bose University       University of Hong Kong         Thomas Case Warigers University       Evan Duggan University       Mary Granger University       Ake Gronlund University       University of Umea University         Souther University       Nancy Lankton       Claudia Loebbecke University of Coogne       Paul Benjamin Lowry Bingham Young University of State University of St. Louis University Copendagene University of Oulu       Shan Lin	AIS SENIOR EDITO	RIAL E	BOARD						
University         Editor         Case         Editor         Editor         Editor         Case         Editor         Editor         Case         Editor         Editor	Guy Fitzgerald		Ilze Zigurs		Kalle Lyytinen		inen	1	
Edward A. Stohr         Biake lves Editor-at-Large         Paul Gray           Editor-at-Large         Editor, Electronic Publications         Founding Editor, CAIS Claremont Graduate University           Sevens Institute of Technology         University of Houston         Claremont Graduate University           Jordon Davis         Ken Kraemer         M. Lynne Markus         Bentley University         Southern Methodist University           Jary Numamaker         Henk Sol         University of Goorgia         Southern Methodist University           Diversity of Arizona         University of Goorgia         Terry Luftman         Southern Methodist University           CAIS SENIOR EDITORS         Jane Fedorowicz         Jerry Luftman         University of Georgia           Oniversity of San Francisco         Bentley University         Stevens Institute of Technology         CAIS EDITORIAL BOARD           Vonica Adya         Michel Avital         University         University of Marger         Ake Gronlund           Joniversity         University of the West         Indires its         George Washington         University of Umea           University         Nancy Lankton         Claudia Loebbecke         Paul Benjamin Lowry         Brigham Young University           University         Jankersity of Denver         St. Louis University         State University of New	Brunel University	15	University of Nebras	ka at	at Omaha Case Western		stern F	n Reserve University	
Calitor, Electronic Publications       Founding Editor, CAIS         Stevens Institute of Technology       University of Houston       Claremont Graduate University         CAIS ADVISORY BOARD       M. Lynne Markus       Richard Mason         Joiversity of Minnesota       University of California at Irvine       M. Lynne Markus       Richard Mason         Joiversity of Arizona       University of California at Irvine       Ralph Sprague       Hugh J. Watson         Joiversity of Arizona       University of Groningen       University of Hawaiii       University of Georgia         CAIS SENIOR EDITORS       Bane Fedorowicz       Jerry Luftman       Stevens Institute of Technology         CAIS SENIOR EDITORIAL BOARD       Michel Avital       Dinversity of Aan Francisco       Jane Fedorowicz       Jerry Luftman         CAIS EDITORIAL BOARD       Michel Avital       Dinversity of Arsterdam       Florida International       University of Hong Kong         Marquette University       Michel Avital       Dinversity of Paris       Copenhagen Business       University of Hong Kong         Joiversity       Indices       University of Paris       Copenhagen Business       School         Julie Kendall       Nancy Lankton       Claudia Loebbecke       Paul Benjamin Lowry         Maraetiy of Denver       St. Louis University       State University of New Y	Edward A. Stohr		Blake lves			Paul Gray			
CAIS ADVISORY BOARD         Intervention of the second processing of the second proces and processing processing of the second processing o	Editor-at-Large Stevens Institute of Techr	noloav	Editor, Electronic Pu University of Housto	iblica n	tions	Founding I Claremont	Editor t Grad	r, CAIS luate University	
Bordon Davis University of Minnesota         Ken Kraemer University of California at Irvine Jay Nunamaker Jaiversity of Arizona         M. Lynne Markus Bentley University University of Arizona         Richard Mason Southern Methodist University Hugh J. Watson University of Arizona           CAIS SENIOR EDITORS         Jane Fedorowicz Bentley University         Jerry Luftman Steve Alter         Hugh J. Watson University of Gan Francisco         Hugh J. Watson Bentley University         Hugh J. Watson University of Gaorgia           CAIS EDITORIAL BOARD         Jene Fedorowicz Bentley University         Jerry Luftman Steve Alter         Jerry Luftman University         Indranil Bose University           Varquette University         Michel Avital University of Amsterdam         Dinesh Batra Florida International University         Indranil Bose University         University of Hong Kong University           Southern Jniversity         Michel Avital University of the West Indies         Dinesh Batra University         Indranil Bose University         University of Hong Kong University           Douglas Havelka Warente University         K.D. Joshi         Michel Kalika University         Kartheinz Kautz Copenhagen Business School         University           University         Nancy Lankton University of Denver         Fred Niederman St. Louis University         Shan Ling Pan National University of Singapore           Sal March Vanderbilt University of Oulu         Jan Recker Queensland University of Purdue University Singapore         State University of Si	CAIS ADVISORY BO	DARD		••		elarement			
University of Valuessita         University of California at Irvine         Bentley University         Southerm Methodist University           Jay Nunamaker         Henk Sol         Ralph Sprague         Hugh J. Watson           Jaiversity of Arizona         University of Groningen         University of Hawaii         University of Georgia           CAIS SENIOR EDITORS         Jane Fedorowicz         Jerry Luftman         University of Georgia           Diniversity of San Francisco         Bentley University         Steven Institute of Technology         CAIS           Varquette University         Michel Avital         Dinesh Batra         Indranil Bose           Varquette University         University of Amsterdam         Florida International         University of Hong Kong           Varquette University         University of the West         George Washington         University of Umae           Douglas Havelka         K.D. Joshi         Michel Kalika         Karlheinz Kautz           University         Washington State         University of Cologne         Paul Benjamin Lowry           Rutgers University         Marcy Lankton         Claudia Loebbecke         Paul Benjamin Lowry           Rational University of Ounor         State University of Sangore         State University of Sangore         State University of Sangore           Sal March         J	Gordon Davis	Ken K	raemer		M. Lynne	Markus	Rich	hard Mason	
Iniversity of Arizona         University of Georgia           CAIS SENIOR EDITORS         Jane Fedorowicz Bentley University of San Francisco         Jane Fedorowicz Bentley University         Jerry Luftman           Diversity of San Francisco         Bentley University         Jerry Luftman         University of Ceorgia           CAIS EDITORIAL BOARD         Michel Avital         Dinesh Batra         Indranil Bose           Wonica Adya         Michel Avital         Dinesh Batra         Indranil Bose           University         University of Amsterdam         University         Indranil Bose           Bentley University         University         Mary Granger         Ake Gronlund           University         Indresi         University         University         University           Douglas Havelka         K.D. Joshi         Michel Kalika         Karlheinz Kautz         Copenhagen Business           University         University         Dauphine         School         School           Julie Kendall         Nancy Lankton         Claudia Loebbecke         Paul Benjamin Lowry           Vanderbilt University         Don McCubbrey         Fred Niederman         Shan Ling Pan           Vanderbilt University         Jan Recker         Janke Rees         Raj Sharman           Vew Jersey Institute of Fechnology </td <td>University of Minnesota</td> <td>Univer Henk</td> <td>sity of California at Irv</td> <td>ine</td> <td>Ralph Spr</td> <td>aque</td> <td>Sou</td> <td>thern inethodist University</td>	University of Minnesota	Univer Henk	sity of California at Irv	ine	Ralph Spr	aque	Sou	thern inethodist University	
CAIS SENIOR EDITORS         Steve Alter       Jane Fedorowicz Bentley University       Jerry Luftman         Jniversity of San Francisco       Bentley University       Stevens Institute of Technology         CAIS EDITORIAL BOARD       Michel Avital       Dinesh Batra       Indranil Bose         Warquette University       Michel Avital       Dinesh Batra       Indranil Bose         University       University of Amsterdam       Berdgy Granger       Åke Gronlund         University       University       Mary Granger       Åke Gronlund         University       University       Dauphine       Copenhagen Business         Douglas Havelka       K.D. Joshi       Michel Kalika       Kartheinz Kautz         Viatigers University       Dauphine       School       Paul Benjamin Lowry         Julie Kendall       Nancy Lankton       Claudia Loebbecke       Paul Benjamin Lowry         Vanderbilt University       Don McCubbrey       Fred Niederman       Shan Ling Pan         Vanderbilt University       Jan Recker       Jackie Rees       Raj Sharman         Vew Jersey Institute of       Fons Wijnhoven       University of St. Thomas       Syracuse University         Janiversity of Oulu       Thompson Teo       Chelley Vician       Padmal Vitharana         Jniversi	University of Arizona	Univer	sity of Groningen		University	of Hawaii	Univ	versity of Georgia	
Steve Alter         Jane Fedorowicz         Jarty Luttman           Iniversity of San Francisco         Bentley University         Stevens Institute of Technology           CAIS EDITORIAL BOARD         Michel Avital         Dinesh Batra         Indranil Bose           Wanguette University         Michel Avital         Dinesh Batra         Indranil Bose           Vance Stave         Evan Duggan         Mary Granger         Ake Gronlund           Georgia Southern         University of the West         Dinversity         Horiversity of University of University of University of University of University of Paris         Copenhagen Business           Douglas Havelka         K.D. Joshi         Michel Kalika         Kartheinz Kautz         Copenhagen Business           Julie Kendall         Nancy Lankton         Claudia Loebbecke         Paul Benjamin Lowry           Rutgers University         Marshall University         Stane Ing Pan         National University of St. Louis University           Sal March         Jan Recker         Jackie Rees         Raj Sharman           Vew Jersey Institute of         Technology         Chelley Vician         Padmal Vitharana           Vikko Siponen         Thompson Teo         Chelley Vician         Padmal Vitharana           Jniversity of Oulu         National University of         Vance Wilson         Yajio	CAIS SENIOR EDIT	ORS							
CAIS EDITORIAL BOARD         Michel Avital         Dinesh Batra         Indranil Bose           Warquette University         Michel Avital         Dinesh Batra         University of Hong Kong           Thomas Case         Evan Duggan         Mary Granger         Ake Gronlund           Georgia Southern         University of the West         George Washington         University of Umea           Douglas Havelka         K.D. Joshi         Michel Kalika         Karlheinz Kautz           Viami University         Washington State         University of Claudia Loebbecke         Paul Benjamin Lowry           Julie Kendall         Nancy Lankton         Claudia Loebbecke         Paul Benjamin Lowry           Rutgers University         Don McCubbrey         Fred Niederman         Shan Ling Pan           Vanderbilt University of Olu         Jan Recker         Queensland University of         Sharman           Vew Jersey Institute of         Gueensland University of         Chelley Vician         Padmal Vitharana           Jniversity of Oulu         Thompson Teo         Chelley Vician         Padmal Vitharana           Jniversity of Arkansas,         University of Twente         Vance Wilson         Yajiong Xue           Jniversity of Arkansas,         Information Technology and Systems         Papers in French           Editor: Xance	Steve Alter University of San Franciso	0	Jane Fedorowicz Bentley University		Stevens Ir	nan Istitute of Te	echnol	loav	
Monica Adya Marquette University       Michel Avital University of Amsterdam       Dinesh Batra Florida International University       Indranil Bose University of Hong Kong         Thomas Case Georgia Southern       Evan Duggan University of the West Indies       Mary Granger George Washington University       Åke Gronlund University of Umea         Douglas Havelka       K.D. Joshi University       Michel Kalika University       Karlheinz Kautz         Viami University       Washington State University       University of Paris Dauphine       Karlheinz Kautz         Julie Kendall Rutgers University       Nancy Lankton Marshall University       Claudia Loebbecke University of Cologne       Paul Benjamin Lowry Brigham Young University         Sal March Vanderbilt University       Don McCubbrey University of Denver       Fred Niederman St. Louis University       Shan Ling Pan National University of Technology         Vikko Siponen Jniversity of Oulu       Jan Recker Queensland University of Technology       Jackie Rees Purdue University       Raj Sharman State University of New York at Buffalo         Aolf Wigand Jniversity of Arkansas, Little Rock       Fons Wijnhoven University of Twente       Vance Wilson Worcester Polytechnic Institute       Papers in French Editor: Vance Wilson         DEPARTMENTS       Information Technology and Systems Editors: Sal March and Dinesh Batra       Papers in French Editor: Wichel Kalika         DMINISTRATIVE PERSONNEL       Information Technology and Systems Editor: Wine Direktere	CAIS EDITORIAL BO	DARD						- 57	
Warquette University       University of Amsterdam       Florida International University       University of Hong Kong         Thomas Case       Evan Duggan       Mary Granger       Åke Gronlund         Jeorgia Southern       Indies       University       Mary Granger       Åke Gronlund         Joniversity       Indies       University       Michel Kalika       University of Umea         Julie Kendall       K.D. Joshi       Michel Kalika       Karlheinz Kautz         Julie Kendall       Nancy Lankton       Claudia Loebbecke       Paul Benjamin Lowry         Brigham Young University       Marshall University       Claudia Loebbecke       Paul Benjamin Lowry         Vanderbilt University       Don McCubbrey       Fred Niederman       State University of State University of Denver         Vanderbilt University       Jan Recker       Jan Recker       Jackie Rees       Raj Sharman         Verk At Buffalo       Thompson Teo       Chelley Vician       Padmal Vitharana         Jniversity of Oulu       Thompson Teo       Chelley Vician       Yaigiong Xue         Jniversity of Arkansas,       University of Twente       Worcester Polytechnic       East Carolina University         Joniversity of Arkansas,       Information Technology and Systems       Papers in French       Editors: Sal March and Dinesh Batra	Monica Adya	Miche	el Avital	D	inesh Batra			ndranil Bose	
Thomas Case Georgia Southern       Evan Duggan University of the West Indies       Mary Granger George Washington University of Umea       Åke Gronlund University of Umea         Douglas Havelka Wiami University       K.D. Joshi Washington State University       Michel Kalika University of Paris Dauphine       Karlheinz Kautz Copenhagen Business School         Julie Kendall Rutgers University       Nancy Lankton Marshall University       Claudia Loebbecke University of Cologne       Paul Benjamin Lowry Brigham Young University         Sal March Vanderbilt University       Don McCubbrey University of Denver       Fred Niederman St. Louis University       Shan Ling Pan National University of Singapore         Katia Passerini Vew Jersey Institute of Inversity of Oulu       Jan Recker Queensland University of Technology       Jackie Rees Purdue University       Raj Sharman State University of New York at Buffalo         Jniversity of Oulu       National University of Singapore       Chelley Vician University of St. Thomas       Padmal Vitharana Syracuse University         Alf Wigand Jniversity of Arkansas, Little Rock       Fons Wijnhoven University of Twente       Vance Wilson Worcester Polytechnic Institute       Yajiong Xue East Carolina University         DEPARTMENTS Addition Systems and Healthcare Editor: Vance Wilson       Information Technology and Systems Editors: Sal March and Dinesh Batra       Papers in French Editor: Michel Kalika         Administractive Deimaker       Oxosel Kalika       Copyediting by         Addition Ex	Marquette University	Unive	ersity of Amsterdam		Iorida Interna Iniversity	ational	ľ	University of Hong Kong	
Georgia Southern       University of the West Indies       George Washington University       University of Umea         Jouglas Havelka       K.D. Joshi       Michel Kalika       Karlheinz Kautz         Viami University       Washington State University       University of Paris Dauphine       Copenhagen Business School         Julie Kendall       Nancy Lankton Marshall University       Claudia Loebbecke University of Cologne       Paul Benjamin Lowry Brigham Young University         Sal March Vanderbilt University       Don McCubbrey University of Denver       Fred Niederman St. Louis University       Shan Ling Pan National University of Singapore         Katia Passerini Vew Jersey Institute of Technology       Jan Recker Queensland University of Technology       Jackie Rees Purdue University       Raj Sharman State University of New York at Buffalo         Jniversity of Oulu       National University of Singapore       Chelley Vician University of St. Thomas       Syracuse University         Rolf Wigand Jniversity of Arkansas, Little Rock       Fons Wijnhoven University of Twente       Vance Wilson University of St. Thomas       Yajiong Xue East Carolina University         DEPARTMENTS       Information Technology and Systems Editor: Vance Wilson       Papers in French Editor: Sal March and Dinesh Batra       Papers in French Editor: Michel Kalika         ADMINISTRATIVE PERSONNEL       Sheri Hronek On Marcine Dividing Driving Dividing Driving Driving Driving Driving Driving Driving Driving Driving Driv	Thomas Case	Evan	Duggan	N	lary Grange	•	Ĭ	Åke Gronlund	
Differentiaty       Indees       Differentiaty         Douglas Havelka       K.D. Joshi       Michel Kalika       Karlheinz Kautz         Miami University       Washington State       University of Paris       Copenhagen Business         Julie Kendall       Nancy Lankton       Claudia Loebbecke       Paul Benjamin Lowry         Rutgers University       Marshall University       University of Cologne       Paul Benjamin Lowry         Sal March       Don McCubbrey       Fred Niederman       Shan Ling Pan         Vanderbilt University       University of Denver       St. Louis University       National University of St. Singapore         Katia Passerini       Jan Recker       Jackie Rees       Raj Sharman         New Jersey Institute of Fechnology       Technology       Orket at Buffalo         Vikko Siponen       Thompson Teo       Chelley Vician       Padmal Vitharana         Jniversity of Arkansas,       Fons Wijnhoven       Vance Wilson       Yajiong Xue         Diversity of Arkansas,       Information Technology and Systems       Papers in French         Editor: Vance Wilson       Information Technology and Systems       Papers in French         Editor: Vance Wilson       Vipin Arora       Sheri Hronek       Copyediting by         ADMINISTRATIVE PERSONNEL       Sheri Hronek <td>Georgia Southern</td> <td colspan="3">Indies</td> <td>eorge Wash</td> <td>ington</td> <td>ι</td> <td>University of Umea</td>	Georgia Southern	Indies			eorge Wash	ington	ι	University of Umea	
Miami University     Washington State University     University     Copenhagen Business School       Julie Kendall Rutgers University     Nancy Lankton Marshall University     Claudia Loebbecke University of Cologne     Paul Benjamin Lowry Brigham Young University       Sal March Vanderbilt University     Don McCubbrey University of Denver     Fred Niederman St. Louis University     Shan Ling Pan National University of Singapore       Katia Passerini New Jersey Institute of Fechnology     Jan Recker Queensland University of Technology     Jackie Rees Purdue University     Raj Sharman State University of New York at Buffalo       Mikko Siponen Jniversity of Oulu     Thompson Teo National University of Singapore     Chelley Vician University of St. Thomas     Padmal Vitharana Syracuse University       Rolf Wigand Jniversity of Arkansas, Little Rock     Fons Wijnhoven University of Twente     Vance Wilson Worcester Polytechnic Institute     Yajiong Xue East Carolina University       DEPARTMENTS     Information Technology and Systems Editor: Vance Wilson     Papers in French Editor: Sal March and Dinesh Batra     Papers in French Editor: Michel Kalika       ADMINISTRATIVE PERSONNEL     Sheri Hronek     Copyediting by Other Polyber Diversity     Copyediting by CALP Diversity Editor Editor     Copyediting by	Douglas Havelka	K.D.	Joshi	N	lichel Kalika		ł	Karlheinz Kautz	
UniversityDataprineSchoolJulie Kendall Rutgers UniversityNancy Lankton Marshall UniversityClaudia Loebbecke University of ColognePaul Benjamin Lowry Brigham Young UniversitySal March Vanderbilt UniversityDon McCubbrey University of DenverFred Niederman St. Louis UniversityShan Ling Pan National University of SingaporeKatia Passerini New Jersey Institute of TechnologyJan Recker Queensland University of TechnologyJackie Rees Purdue UniversityRaj Sharman State University of New York at BuffaloNikko Siponen Jniversity of OuluThompson Teo National University of SingaporeChelley Vician University of St. ThomasPadmal Vitharana Syracuse UniversityRolf Wigand Jniversity of Arkansas, Little RockFons Wijnhoven University of TwenteVance Wilson Worcester Polytechnic InstituteYajiong Xue East Carolina UniversityDepARTMENTSInformation Technology and Systems Editors: Sal March and Dinesh BatraPapers in French Editor: Michel KalikaADMINISTRATIVE PERSONNEL James P. TinsleyVipin Arora OLIO Magening EditorsSheri Hronek OLIO Magening EditorsCopyediting by Copyediting by OLIO Magening Editors	Miami University	Wash	nington State	U	Iniversity of I	Paris	0	Copenhagen Business	
Rutgers UniversityMarshall UniversityUniversity of CologneBrigham Young UniversitySal March Vanderbilt UniversityDon McCubbrey University of DenverFred Niederman St. Louis UniversityShan Ling Pan National University of SingaporeKatia Passerini New Jersey Institute of TechnologyJan Recker Queensland University of TechnologyJackie Rees Purdue UniversityRaj Sharman State University of New York at BuffaloMikko Siponen Jniversity of OuluThompson Teo National University of SingaporeChelley Vician University of St. ThomasPadmal Vitharana Syracuse UniversityRolf Wigand Jniversity of Arkansas, Little RockFons Wijnhoven University of TwenteVance Wilson Worcester Polytechnic InstituteYajiong Xue East Carolina UniversityDEPARTMENTSInformation Technology and Systems Editor: Vance WilsonPapers in French Editor: Sal March and Dinesh BatraADINISTRATIVE PERSONNEL James P. TinsleyVipin Arora OLO Marcing EditorsSheri Hronek Sheri HronekCopyediting by Copyediting by	Julie Kendall	Nanc	v Lankton		Dauphine Claudia Loebbecke		F	Paul Beniamin Lowry	
Sal March Vanderbilt University       Don McCubbrey University of Denver       Fred Niederman St. Louis University       Shan Ling Pan National University of Singapore         Katia Passerini New Jersey Institute of Technology       Jan Recker Queensland University of Technology       Jackie Rees Purdue University       Raj Sharman State University of New York at Buffalo         Mikko Siponen Jniversity of Oulu       Thompson Teo National University of Singapore       Chelley Vician University of St. Thomas       Padmal Vitharana Syracuse University         Rolf Wigand Jniversity of Arkansas, Little Rock       Fons Wijnhoven University of Twente       Vance Wilson Worcester Polytechnic Institute       Yajiong Xue East Carolina University         DepARTMENTS       Information Technology and Systems Editor: Vance Wilson       Papers in French Editor: Sal March and Dinesh Batra       Papers in French Editor: Michel Kalika         ADMINISTRATIVE PERSONNEL       Sheri Hronek On Starte Diversity       Sheri Hronek Copyediting By Copyediting By       Copyediting by Copyediting By	Rutgers University	Mars	hall University	Ŭ	Iniversity of (	Cologne	E	Brigham Young University	
Vanderbilt University       University of Denver       From Histoberry       St. Louis University       Ontain Link       National University of Singapore         Katia Passerini       Jan Recker       Jackie Rees       Raj Sharman         New Jersey Institute of       Queensland University of Technology       Purdue University       State University of New York at Buffalo         Mikko Siponen       Thompson Teo       Chelley Vician       Padmal Vitharana         Jniversity of Oulu       National University of St. Thomas       Syracuse University         Rolf Wigand       Fons Wijnhoven       Vance Wilson       Yajiong Xue         Jniversity of Arkansas,       Ens Wijnhoven       Vance Wilson       Yajiong Xue         Information Systems and Healthcare       Information Technology and Systems       Papers in French         Editor: Vance Wilson       Vipin Arora       Sheri Hronek       Copyediting by         ADMINISTRATIVE PERSONNEL       Sheri Hronek       Copyediting by       Copyediting by	Sal March	Don I	McCubbrey	F	red Niederm	an		Shan Ling Pan	
Katia Passerini       Jan Recker       Jackie Rees       Raj Sharman         New Jersey Institute of       Queensland University of       Purdue University       State University of New         York at Buffalo       Technology       Thompson Teo       Padmal Vitharana         Jniversity of Oulu       National University of       University of St. Thomas       Syracuse University         Singapore       Vance Wilson       Yajiong Xue       East Carolina University         Juiversity of Arkansas,       University of Twente       Worcester Polytechnic       East Carolina University         DEPARTMENTS       Information Technology and Systems       Papers in French       Editor: Michel Kalika         ADMINISTRATIVE PERSONNEL       Vipin Arora       Sheri Hronek       Copyediting by         James P. Tinsley       Vipin Arora       Sheri Hronek       Copyediting by	Vanderbilt University	Unive	ersity of Denver	s	St. Louis University		1	National University of Singapore	
New Jersey institute of Technology       Queensiand University of Technology       Purdue University       State University of New York at Buffalo         Mikko Siponen Jniversity of Oulu       Thompson Teo National University of Singapore       Chelley Vician University of St. Thomas       Padmal Vitharana Syracuse University         Rolf Wigand Jniversity of Arkansas, Little Rock       Fons Wijnhoven University of Twente       Vance Wilson Worcester Polytechnic Institute       Yajiong Xue East Carolina University         DEPARTMENTS       Information Technology and Systems Editor: Vance Wilson       Papers in French Editors: Sal March and Dinesh Batra       Papers in French Editor: Michel Kalika         ADMINISTRATIVE PERSONNEL       Vipin Arora       Sheri Hronek OLIO Evention Systems Editors       Copyediting by Copyediting by	Katia Passerini	Jan F	Recker	J	ackie Rees		F	Raj Sharman	
Mikko Siponen       Thompson Teo       Chelley Vician       Padmal Vitharana         Jniversity of Oulu       National University of       University of St. Thomas       Syracuse University         Rolf Wigand       Fons Wijnhoven       Vance Wilson       Yajiong Xue         Jniversity of Arkansas,       University of Twente       Worcester Polytechnic       East Carolina University         Ittle Rock       University of Twente       Institute       Papers in French         Editor: Vance Wilson       Editors: Sal March and Dinesh Batra       Papers in French         Editor: Vance Wilson       Vipin Arora       Sheri Hronek       Copyediting by         James P. Tinsley       Vipin Arora       Sheri Hronek       Copyediting by	new Jersey Institute of Technology	Quee	nsiana University of nology		uraue Unive	rsity		State University of New York at Buffalo	
Jniversity of Oulu       National University of Singapore       University of St. Thomas       Syracuse University         Rolf Wigand       Fons Wijnhoven       Vance Wilson       Yajiong Xue         Jniversity of Arkansas, Little Rock       Fons Wijnhoven       Vance Wilson       Yajiong Xue         DEPARTMENTS       University of Twente       Worcester Polytechnic Institute       East Carolina University         DEPARTMENTS       Information Technology and Systems       Papers in French         Editor: Vance Wilson       Editors: Sal March and Dinesh Batra       Papers in French         ADMINISTRATIVE PERSONNEL       Sheri Hronek       Copyediting by         James P. Tinsley       Vipin Arora       Sheri Hronek       Copyediting by	Mikko Siponen	Thor	npson Teo	C	helley Viciar	1	F	Padmal Vitharana	
Rolf Wigand Jniversity of Arkansas, Little Rock     Fons Wijnhoven University of Twente     Vance Wilson Worcester Polytechnic Institute     Yajiong Xue East Carolina University       DEPARTMENTS       Information Systems and Healthcare Editor: Vance Wilson     Information Technology and Systems Editors: Sal March and Dinesh Batra     Papers in French Editor: Michel Kalika       ADMINISTRATIVE PERSONNEL     Sheri Hronek Copyediting by Substance     Copyediting by Substance	University of Oulu	Natio	nal University of	U	Iniversity of S	St. Thomas	5	Syracuse University	
Jniversity of Arkansas, Little Rock       University of Twente       Worcester Polytechnic Institute       East Carolina University         DEPARTMENTS         nformation Systems and Healthcare Editor: Vance Wilson       Information Technology and Systems Editors: Sal March and Dinesh Batra       Papers in French Editor: Michel Kalika         ADMINISTRATIVE PERSONNEL       James P. Tinsley       Vipin Arora       Sheri Hronek       Copyediting by         All Directions       Child Romaging Editor       Sheri Hronek       Copyediting by	Rolf Wigand	Fons	Wijnhoven	V	ance Wilson		``	Yajiong Xue	
DEPARTMENTS       nformation Systems and Healthcare     Information Technology and Systems       Editor: Vance Wilson     Editors: Sal March and Dinesh Batra       ADMINISTRATIVE PERSONNEL       James P. Tinsley     Vipin Arora       Sheri Hronek     Copyediting by       CALC Rusting Editors     CALC Rusting Editors	University of Arkansas,	Unive	ersity of Twente	V	Vorcester Po	lytechnic	E	East Carolina University	
Information Systems and Healthcare       Information Technology and Systems       Papers in French         Editor: Vance Wilson       Editors: Sal March and Dinesh Batra       Editor: Michel Kalika         ADMINISTRATIVE PERSONNEL       James P. Tinsley       Vipin Arora       Sheri Hronek       Copyediting by         James P. Tinsley       Option Arora       Sheri Hronek       Copyediting by					ISIIIUIE				
Editor: Vance Wilson Editors: Sal March and Dinesh Batra Editor: Michel Kalika ADMINISTRATIVE PERSONNEL James P. Tinsley Vipin Arora Sheri Hronek Copyediting by CALCENTRIC Editor	Information Systems and	Healthca	are Information Te	chno	logy and Sys	stems	F	Papers in French	
James P. Tinsley Vipin Arora Sheri Hronek Copyediting by	Editor: Vance Wilson		Editors: Sal Ma	archa	and Dinesh I	Batra	E	Editor: Michel Kalika	
Allo Fuscative Directory CALO Publications Editory	James P. Tinsley	Vipin A	rora		Sheri Hror	nek		Copvediting by	
AIS Executive Director CAIS Managing Editor CAIS Publications Editor S4Carrisie Publishing	AIS Executive Director	CAIS Managing Editor			CAIS Publications Editor		itor	S4Carlisle Publishing	

Communications of the Association for Information Systems