Association for Information Systems AIS Electronic Library (AISeL)

PACIS 2014 Proceedings

Pacific Asia Conference on Information Systems (PACIS)

2014

A STUDY OF THE IMPACT OF A CROWD WISDOM ONLINE LEARNING COMMUNITY PLATFORM ON STUDENT LEARNING

Terence Chun-Ho CHEUNG Department of Information Systems, City University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong SAR, is.tc@cityu.edu.hk

Hokling CHEUNG Office of Education Development and Gateway Education, City University of Hong Kong, wtchl@cityu.edu.hk

Kai Pan MARK Office of the Chief Information Officer, City University of Hong Kong, markkp@cityu.edu.hk

Follow this and additional works at: http://aisel.aisnet.org/pacis2014

Recommended Citation

CHEUNG, Terence Chun-Ho; CHEUNG, Hokling; and MARK, Kai Pan, "A STUDY OF THE IMPACT OF A CROWD WISDOM ONLINE LEARNING COMMUNITY PLATFORM ON STUDENT LEARNING" (2014). PACIS 2014 Proceedings. 266. http://aisel.aisnet.org/pacis2014/266

This material is brought to you by the Pacific Asia Conference on Information Systems (PACIS) at AIS Electronic Library (AISeL). It has been accepted for inclusion in PACIS 2014 Proceedings by an authorized administrator of AIS Electronic Library (AISeL). For more information, please contact elibrary@aisnet.org.

A STUDY OF THE IMPACT OF A CROWD WISDOM ONLINE LEARNING COMMUNITY PLATFORM ON STUDENT LEARNING

- Terence Chun-Ho CHEUNG, Department of Information Systems, City University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong SAR, <u>is.tc@cityu.edu.hk</u>
- Hokling CHEUNG, Office of Education Development and Gateway Education, City University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong SAR, <u>wtchl@cityu.edu.hk</u>
- Kai Pan MARK, Office of the Chief Information Officer, City University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong SAR, <u>markkp@cityu.edu.hk</u>

Abstract

The use of collective intelligence applications in educational settings has been reported in the literature for almost two decades. These collective intelligence applications aggregate individual knowledge via different synchronous and asynchronous mode of communications and Web 2.0 applications which support learning, communication and collaboration activities, and create "Wisdom of the Crowds". Crowdsourcing further extends these collective intelligence processes in a distributed and crossorganizational way. This paper evaluates the effects and impacts of crowd wisdom applications on a peer assisted learning support service titled Peer-Assisted Learning scheme using Supplemental Instruction (PALSI) in a higher education institution in Hong Kong. Adopting the Design Science approach, an Online Learning Community (OLC) platform was developed tap on the Wisdom of Crowds and its effectiveness on student learning was evaluated. The OLC platform is not designed to replace existing face-to-face PALSI learning sessions and activities. Rather it helps to provide an additional online platform for supplementing and facilitating interaction between student mentors and mentees and among student mentees as well. The OLC platform is designed in a way that supports indexed and search functions who join the PALSI scheme, and it can also be archived as a repository for future reference by similar courses. Empirical analysis was carried out to evaluate the relationship between user participation and assessment results. Statistics show that students who made use of the OLC platform obtained better grades.

Keywords: Collective Intelligence, Crowd Wisdom, Online Learning Community, Peer-Assisted Learning.

1 INTRODUCTION

During the past two decades, there have been numerous educational collective intelligence applications reported in the literature. These applications are specially designed web-based applications that facilitate teacher-teacher or teacher-student communications. With regard to teacher-teacher communications, Gregg (2009) chronicles the development of a collective intelligence educational application in the special education domain, that is, developmentally disabled children. The objective of Gregg's project was to facilitate teacher-teacher communication in order to "collect data and share insights related to student performance during educational tasks" (p. 455). The users of Gregg's system were educators (teachers), clinicians, families, parents and related professional staff. Regarding the teacher-student communications side, Salter (2013) presents the use of Web 2.0 applications in a standard Blackboard Learning Management System to support crowdsourcing learning activities. The objective of this approach was to "empower students to choose their own course materials" (p. 365) so that students could become more engaged with the course materials and their peers. These online crowdsourcing activities depended heavily on the clear feedback from both peers and the teachers, and the Blackboard Discussion Forum played an important role to support crowdsourcing activities amongst students and teachers.

E-learning applications have been valued for their ability to support asynchronous and synchronous interactions in both in-class and out-of-classroom learning activities and such interactions can allow equal student participation, which are particularly good for passive students in large classroom settings. In a typical year-one undergraduate core course, it is not rare to see large enrolment courses with class sizes of up to 500 or more, though they may be split into smaller class sessions or groups. Hrastinski et al. (2010) postulate that synchronous e-learning applications are useful in strengthening group-wide relations and helpful in building up better relationships between learners and teachers, as well as enhancing group and social support within a class. Strengthening group-wide relations is more challenging in a large-class setting, as large classes decrease, students' involvement in the learning process due to fewer interactions within the classroom. It has been documented that interaction between learners has a direct relationship with academic performance (Cuseo 2007). To improve student interaction in large class settings, peer assisted learning (peer instruction) is an effective pedagogy to improve academic skills. Gok (2012) asserts that students' conceptual understanding and problem solving skills can be improved after taking part in peer assisted learning. Gregg (2009) acknowledges that the special education application only provided service to a total of 48 users, and Salter (2013) reports that the pilot crowdsourcing activities for undergraduate students only included 200-300 student users. Discussion on a large-scale peer crowd wisdom system, primarily on studentstudent interaction, is still rare in the literature.

The purpose of this research is to address the practical issues of utilizing collective intelligence applications to support crowdsourcing learning activities in a large class of over 500 students. For example, the enrolment of a core business course, such as MIS and Accounting, usually exceeds 700 students. The development of a crowd wisdom Online Learning Community platform (OLC) helps support online collaborative learning activities under a peer assisted learning support service titled Peer-Assisted Learning scheme using Supplemental Instruction (PALSI). Evans and Moore (2013) conducted a research study on an online discussion platform with a ticketing system in the United States, and found dynamic roles between tutor and tutee relationship. Similar examples in other Western countries can be found in the work of Smet et al. (2008) and Beaumont et al. (2012). To assess the effectiveness of OLC in student learning, an empirical analysis was conducted to investigate the relationship of OLC participation and the achievement of learning outcomes in terms of academic grades and results. The results from this research indicate a significant relationship between OLC participation and higher achievement of learning outcomes. To address the issues that have arisen on improving student academic performance in a large class size setting through peer assisted learning, this study attempts to answer the following research questions:

- 1. Can online collective intelligence systems for crowdsourcing activities improve students' academic performance in an extremely large class of over 500?
- 2. How can an OLC be designed to effectively support a peer learning model within an extremely large class?
- 3. Compared with the experience in the Western countries, is online peer assisted learning equally effective in Asian context?

The contribution of this paper is two-fold. Theoretically, the existing literature on IS is enriched through the presentation of a case and a model on the adoption of collective intelligence applications in a peer learning setting with quantitative evidence on its effectiveness. Pragmatically, recommendations have been made on effective OLC design for teachers and educational technologists to facilitate active online peer learning through the optimisation of functionalities and user experience.

2 LITERATURE REVIEW

The use of web-based collective intelligence systems in the literature primarily targets on business users, for example, supporting decision making in an organizational context. There is not much work to address issues related to large-scale applications of collective intelligence systems in the higher education context for crowd wisdom activities. In this section, literature will be presented on peer assisted learning, including its effectiveness and some applications of web-based collective intelligence systems adopted in peer assisted learning. Drawing on the literature on peer assisted learning and web-based educational collective intelligence systems, will finally discuss the potentials of adopting online collective intelligence systems to further enhance peer assisted learning services and initiatives.

2.1 Effectiveness of Peer Assisted Learning

Evidence shows that peer assisted learning on campus help students establish social networks which can have a positive influence on their learning achievements (Ning and Downing 2010). As both mentors and mentees participate voluntarily and meet regularly, a sense of community is developed among the participants (Huijser et al. 2008; Omar et al. 2012; Tsuei 2011). Peer assisted learning (PAL) or supplemental instruction (SI) is a well adopted strategy to support student learning in a wide range of course subjects, levels of study and educational settings (Foot and Howe 1998; D. Fuchs et al. 1997; L. S. Fuchs et al. 1999; Ginsburg-Block et al. 2006). The major benefits or outcomes for participating can be observed in academics, social relationships and self-concepts in which higher level reasoning and thinking, more constructive relationships, positive attitudes and better motivation are encouraged (Maheady 1998). Such kind of online community can create more learning opportunities for students and improve motivation, concentration and interaction of students (Tsuei 2011). However creating these types of effective strategies requires a large amount of resources such as training, time, ongoing consultations and support, venue and space resources, logistic support on scheduling and student recruitment and grouping. Thus student coverage for this type of initiative is limited. In addition, the online learning community enables dynamic and improved student peer interactions as a consequence of the availability of virtual space, feasibility of both synchronous and asynchronous learning activities, as well as flexibility in role changes that each student may play, that is, as a mentee or mentor, on a subject matter, course, or even discussion topic, and customizable mechanisms for feedback and rating on information and knowledge shared. This form of online learning community allows participants to enhance their performance in high-level knowledge constructions when compared to a face-to-face setting. With real-time feedback received from the online learning community, a positive relationship between learners' attitude and "e-mentoring" is found (Lan et al. 2007; Omar et al. 2012). Also interrelationships between individual Internet selfefficacy, perceived learning and e-learning satisfaction are all found to be positive at various levels of analysis (Chu and Chu 2010). This kind of autonomy environment encourages learners to be more involved and have frequent contact with the e-mentor. Since e-mentees may use nicknames as a kind of avatar online, peer pressure does not exist and they can purely focus on information exchange and the discussion of the subject matter. It reduces not only the pressure experienced by students but also facilitates student collaboration (Lan et al. 2007), increases learning flexibility (Omar et al. 2012) and enhances students' satisfaction of learning (Hui et al. 2008). Moreover, online peer-assisted activities allow the learners to be less anxious but more confident (Tseng and Tsai 2010). Thus, better learning performance is more likely to be achieved. However, studies also found that additional learning support, known as scaffolding, is needed when student grouping heterogeneously with various levels of critical thinking skills among the students (Lan et al. 2007). In an online environment, the mentors can learn more as they provide additional feedback. However, the more mentees would learn when receiving feedback less structured that requires them more effort and attention on further exploration and investigation of the interested topics afterwards (Topping et al. 2013).

2.2 Web-Based Collective Intelligence Systems in the Educational Context

Pedagogically, web-based online peer learning bring many advantages. Razak and See (2010) highlight that online peer learning is successful in motivating and engaging young students. Keppell et al. (2006) further point out that transferrable skills, for example, cooperation, communication and the providing and receiving peer feedback, can be developed through online peer learning. In order to benefit from online peer learning, web-based collective intelligence systems should be deployed in order to support these online peer learning activities. In the educational settings, collective intelligence systems have been adopted both formally and informally by students and teachers. Brady et al. (2010) postulate that the most significant benefit perceived by students on using web-based collective intelligence systems is the improved online communication links between students. This is reflected in the early design of collective intelligence systems. For instance, Gregg (2010) presents a framework on educational web-based collective intelligence systems for special education professionals. The framework suggests that communication and information sharing components, that is, documentation, wiki and blogs, constitute two major components in the systems. To further support crowdsourcing activities, social and behaviour data are maintained in the system, with extensive functions on data analysis, including individual students' achievement on "social interaction" targets: tracking social behaviours that are encouraged during social interactions with teachers, therapists, or other students that do not have specific instructional tasks associated with its completion (Gregg 2009). Based on his research, Gregg (2009) proposes six good practices in designing web-based collective intelligence systems: (1) task specific representations of situations and goals; (2) sharing of different types of data; (3) exchange of ideas among team members; (4) using multiple means to retrieve and analyse data; (5) incorporating user feedback regarding the system; and (6) ensuring universal usability. Table 1 presents these six good practices with examples of practical implementations.

Good Practices	Examples of Practical Implementations
(1) Task specific	• Familiarity with the terminology and the domain in the discipline
representations of	Interface intuitive and easy to use
situations and goals	
(2) Sharing of different	• Capturing all the information necessary to understand and evaluate the specific
types of data	problem
	Capability of manipulating quantitative and qualitative data
(3) Exchange of ideas	• Supporting the seamless sharing and commenting on ideas and experiences
among team members	shared by others.
	• Facilitating group members to learn from each other between meetings or
	without meetings.
	• Ability of other team members to comment on narrative observations of others
(4) Multiple means of	• Provision of a variety of charts and reports to allow practitioners to analyse data
retrieving and	in a variety of different ways

analysing data	Ability to understand and remix data in innovative ways
(5) Incorporating user feedback regarding the system	 Iterative process of incorporating changes in the application as suggested by the users enabled the resulting application to better meet the needs of the target population Using the web as the primary delivery unit allows new pages to be added and the system to grow as new needs were identified – without impacting other parts of the system
(6) Universal usability	• Online availability of the system anywhere and the usability of the system on different devices

 Table 1:
 A Summary of six good practices in designing web-based collective intelligence systems

2.3 Adoption of Web-Based Collective Intelligence Systems for Peer Learning

Different web-based collective intelligence systems have been applied in peer learning and reported (Beaumont et al. 2012; Cole and Watson 2013; Evans and Moore 2013; Hrastinski et al. 2010; Hrastinski and Stenbom 2013; Smet et al. 2008). The literature represents different models of webbased peer learning systems application: (1) fixed tutor and tutee relationship (Beaumont et al. 2012; Cole and Watson 2013; Hrastinski et al. 2010; Hrastinski and Stenbom 2013; Smet et al. 2010; Hrastinski and Stenbom 2013; Smet et al. 2008); (2) dynamic tutor and tutee relationship (Evans and Moore 2013); (3) one-to-many tutor-tutee relationship (Beaumont et al. 2012; Evans and Moore 2013; Hrastinski et al. 2010; Hrastinski and Stenbom 2013; Smet et al. 2010; Smet et al. 2010; Hrastinski and Stenbom 2013; Smet et al. 2010; Hrastinski et al. 2010; Hrastinski and Stenbom 2013; Smet et al. 2010; Hrastinski et al. 2010; Hrastinski and Stenbom 2013; Smet et al. 2010; Hrastinski and Stenbom 2013; Smet et al. 2010; Hrastinski et al. 2010; Hrastinski and Stenbom 2013; Smet et al. 2010; Hrastinski et al. 2010; Hrastinski and Stenbom 2013; Smet et al. 2010; Hrastinski and Stenbom 2013; Smet et al. 2008); and (4) one-to-one tutor-tutee relationship (Cole and Watson 2013).

Table 2 is a summary of these applications, including major online functions, the number of student users, student levels and the respective geographical regions.

Literature	Major Online Functions	No. of Student users	Student Level	Geographical
				Region
Smet et al.	Online discussion groups	257 junior students,	Tutee: Year one	Belgium
(2008)		39 online tutors	undergraduate students	
			Tutor: Fourth year	
			undergraduate students	
Beaumont	Break-out rooms, video,	87 undergraduate	Tutee: First and second	Australia
et al.	voice and chat functions	students	year engineering	
(2012)	together with whiteboards		undergraduate students	
	and the ability to upload		Tutor: First and second	
	documents via Adobe		year engineering	
	Connect		undergraduate students	
Cole and	Virtual academic writing	14 in-service	Tutee: less experienced	Caribbean
Watson	classroom: quiz,	teachers, working in 7	in-service teachers	
(2013)	discussion, essay	pairs.	Tutor: more experienced	
	submission and feedback		in-service teachers	
Evans and	Online assessment,	181 undergraduate	Tutee and Tutor: Same	USA
Moore	online discussion	students	group of undergraduate	
(2013)	and ticketing system for		students, roles are	
	tutor qualification		dynamic based on the	
	assessment		familiarity of the topic	
Hrastinski	Instant messaging via	Only mentioned as a	Tutee: Elementary	Sweden
and	Windows Live Massager	large-scale	school (K-12) students	
Stenbom	(now Skype)	internationally	Tutor: Master's degree	
(2013)		recognized project	students	

 Table 2:
 A summary of literature on web-based collective intelligence systems in peer learning

2.4 Limitations of Current Literature

The literature shows that applications of online collective intelligence systems have been widely adopted in different geographical regions, primarily focusing on facilitating collaboration between different peer users. The benefits of adopting collective intelligence systems in peer learning have also been asserted. However, there are a few areas that are under-explored:

- 1. The effectiveness of online collective intelligence systems for crowdsourcing activities in an extremely large class of over 500 students;
- 2. The design principles that enable effective support to a peer learning model for a highly dynamic teaching group in each semester.
- 3. The effectiveness of online peer assisted learning in Asian context.

3 RESEARCH APPROACH

Our approach attempts to design a crowd wisdom OLC to support PALSI activities at the College of Business in a university in Hong Kong. The majority of students, over 90%, were ethnic Chinese from Hong Kong or the mainland China, which provided a large pool of Asian population for this study. The compulsory course "CB2500: Information Management" provides a large pool of available data source that fit well within the context of this case study. Adopting the Design Science approach (Hevner and Chatterjee 2010; Hevner et al. 2004), a prototype crowd wisdom OLC, that is, the IT artefact, was developed to support PALSI activities as described in Sections 2.1 and 4.1. Section 4 presents the design and development of the crowd wisdom OLC.

An empirical analysis was conducted to ascertain the effectiveness of our crowd wisdom OLC on student learning. The relationship between student participation in our OLC and academic achievement was further investigated at the end of the semester. Section 4 presents the quantitative analysis of the OLC effectiveness and achieved learning outcomes.

4 DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT OF THE CROWD WISDOM ONLINE LEARNING COMMUNITY

The crowd wisdom OLC platform developed in this study is based on the Design Science approach and the six good practices proposed by Gregg (2009).

Guideline	Description	Alignment with	Alignment of Guidelines in OLC
		Gregg's (2009) good	
		practices	
Guideline 1:	Design-science research	(3) The artefact (OLC)	The artefact produced is the OLC, a web IT
Design as an	can produce a viable	allows the exchange of	platform for crowd wisdom peer assisted
Artefact	artefact in the form of a	ideas among team	learning.
	construct, a model, a	members	
	method, or an		
	instantiation.		
Guideline 2:	The objective of	(1) The OLC is a task	The OLC is a technology-based solution, a
Problem	design-science research	specific representation	web-based platform that addresses issues
Relevance	is to develop	of situations and goals,	arising from peer instruction in extremely
	technology-based	that is, supporting peer	large class settings and allows fair
	solutions for important	instruction in extremely	participation by both active and passive online
	and relevant business	large class settings	members.
	problems.		
Guideline 3:	The utility, quality, and	(5) During testing, user	Functional testing can be achieved by
Design	efficacy of a design	feedback is incorporated	executing the OLC interfaces to discover
Evaluation	artefact can be	into the system.	failures and identify defects. Prototype was
	rigorously		built to demonstrate feasibility of our

			-
	demonstrated via well-		approach and observational methods of
	executed evaluation		evaluation is also used by means of field
	methods.		study in multiple courses.
Guideline 4:	Effective design-	N/A	The design artefact is the crowd wisdom OLC
Research	science research should		which integrates peer teaching and learning
Contributions	provide clear and		activities and ultimately helps improve
	verifiable contributions		academic performance. A creative foundation
	in the areas of the		of traffic-light indication of question-and-
	design artefact, design		answer shows the status of each question
	foundations, and/or		mentees asked and improve the existing
	design methodologies.		foundation of forum-like platform (see
			Section 4.1). This contribution is well
			evaluated by methodologies of design
			evaluation in Guideline 3.
Guideline 5:	Design-science research	(2) Sharing of different	The construction and evaluation of the
Research Rigor	relies upon the	types of data, and (4)	designed OLC has undergone rigorous
	application of rigorous	multiple means of	methods and the effectiveness of the OLC is
	methods in both the	retrieving and analysing	evaluated, for example, functionality,
	construction and	data is supported in the	performance, reliability, usability and fit,
	evaluation of the design	OLC	through analysing the correlation between
	artefact.		students' access patterns and academic
			performance.
Guideline 6:	The search for an	N/A	The OLC is developed with decomposing into
Design as a	effective artefact		various modules and implemented following
Search Process	requires utilizing		Gregg's six good practices. In particular,
	available means to		incorporating user feedback into and about the
	reach desired ends		system can be achieved when the
	while satisfying laws in		observational methods is used by field study
	the problem		in multiple course in the early stage of
	environment.		development, as stated in Guideline 3.
Guideline 7:	Design-science research	(6) Universal usability	Currently the OLC platform can serve
Communication	should be presented	can be achieved by	multiple courses every semester. The research
of Research	effectively both to	communicating with	is communicated to different IS and
	technology-oriented, as	educational audiences in	educational audiences via the present and
	well as, management-	future publications.	future publications.
	oriented audiences.	1	

Table 3:The crowd wisdom OLC is developed with reference to the Design Science approach
and incorporating Gregg's (2009) six good practices

4.1 Traffic-light Indication IT Artefact and Piloting the OLC with PALSI Scheme

With reference to Guideline 3, the crowd wisdom OLC platform was first evaluated by means of field study in some courses. One of the courses, "CB2500: Information Management", was selected for the pilot study. The teaching team trained senior students who joined the PALSI scheme to become PALSI mentors and learnt to teach, share information and assist junior/peer students online. The design of our crowd wisdom OLC platform is based on the idea of collective intelligence obtained from the peers through asking themselves questions and their responses. The PALSI mentors met the teaching team every week before the lecture and tutorial classes and exchanged ideas on teaching and learning. The PALSI mentees were grouped and assigned to one PALSI mentor. Each group would meet face-to-face regularly once or twice a week. Both mentors and mentees registered and gained access to the OLC platform on a voluntary basis. Besides, students from the same course who did not join the PALSI scheme were also invited to join OLC on a voluntary basis. To avoid exhausting PALSI mentors and participants' efforts, a traffic-light indication with 3 colours (red, yellow and green) was used, selectively "turned on" and placed next to each question (Lan et al. 2007; Topping et al. 2013). By default, a newly posted question is indicated red. If a question is viewed, responded and accepted by the questioner, the colour will turn into yellow, as shown in Figure 1. If the questioner is satisfied with any one of the answers, the status will turn green which stops further response. Questions in the green status can also attract more participants to view, as depicted at the end of Figure 1, where we allow the autonomy platform to use different medium for communication and PALSI mentors also have the right to moderate posts and hide inappropriate posts, however, this is not the focus of this research study.



Figure 1: (a) *Traffic-light status starts with red, (b) status turns yellow once receiving a response, (c) "closed" question attracts more viewers upon satisfactory acceptance by questioner.*

Currently, the OLC allows students to ask questions at any time even without the assigned PALSI mentors. This blurs the border of PALSI grouping. In addition, it is also an indexed and searchable repository of knowledge for respective course, subject or discipline. OLC participants do not need to have any explicit mentor or mentee roles, therefore, when students look for solutions for their questions, they could help answer questions raised by other classmates if they are competent enough. Both the targets of learning to teach and learning to learn could therefore be achieved through this "reciprocal peer-questioning" process (Alrushiedat and Olfman 2013; King 1990). In addition, both mentors and mentees can leverage the OLC for better understanding about the course and achieve better higher academic performance than those who only use the offline face-to-face peer assisted learning services. Section 5 gives a detailed analysis of our research work.

5 AN EMPIRICAL ANALYSIS OF THE PALSI ONLINE LEARNING COMMUNITY PLATFORM

PALSI, an offline face-to-face consultation scheme, has been running for many years at the university to improve students' academic performance. The effectiveness was clearly indicated by the positive feedback from students over the years. The OLC is a platform newly developed in 2012. The following studies were conducted to investigate its values on students' academic performance in class based on statistics.

<u>Study One</u>: To examine whether joining the OLC can help improve the academic performance by comparing the grade distributions of four groups of students.

<u>Study Two:</u> To investigate the relationship between academic performance and participation in either PALSI or OLC.

5.1 Data Description

The data includes the records of 831 students who had completed the course "CB2500 Information Management" in Semester B, 2012. We divided them into four different groups as shown in Table 3. From the distribution, 79 out of 831 students registered as OLC members whereas 162 students joined the PALSI scheme. All of them were encouraged to join PALSI or OLC on a voluntary basis and view the questions posted on OLC if they wanted. Non-registered OLC members could only view the questions but not participate in the peer-assisted activities.

Group	Number of users	Percentage
(1) Non-PALSI Students & Non-OLC Members	639	76.9%
(2) Non-PALSI Students & OLC Members	30	3.6%
(3) PALSI Students & Non-OLC Members	113	13.6%
(4) PALSI Students & OLC Members	49	5.9%
Total	831	100%

Table 3.Four different student groups

5.2 Study One: Deduction based on grade distribution of the four different groups

Table 4 presents the grade ranges (e.g. grade A represents A+, A or A-) obtained by the first two groups. In group (1), 28.5% students got A and 43.5% got B. Around 5% of group (1) failed the course. In group (2), 60% of the non-PALSI students who participated in OLC secured their grades in A's range. None of them got grade D or fail the course.

Group	Α	В	С	D	F
(1) Non-PALSI Students & Non-OLC Members	28.5%	43.5%	20.7%	2.5%	4.9%
(2) Non-PALSI Students & OLC Members	60.0%	26.7%	13.3%	0.0%	0.0%

Table 4.A comparison of grade distribution between OLC and non-OLC members who did not
join the PALSI scheme

Group	Α	В	С	D	F
(3) PALSI Students & Non-OLC Members	32.7%	49.6%	13.3%	0.0%	4.4%
(4) PALSI Students & OLC Members	36.7%	57.1%	6.1%	0.0%	0.0%

Table 5.A comparison of grade distribution between OLC and non-OLC members who joined
the PALSI scheme

Table 5 tabulates the grade ranges of the other two groups of students who joined the PALSI scheme. With the support of the face-to-face PALSI peer-tutoring scheme, more than 30% of students in group (3) and (4) obtained grade A and about 50% grade B. However, it was found that students in group (4) who regularly attended PALSI meeting, registered and participated in the OLC environment obtained better grades and none failed the course. To conclude, the results suggest that using the OLC platform could improve academic performance, that is, OLC members who were also PALSI students received more help.

5.3 Study Two: Investigation of the relationship between academic performance and participating in PALSI or OLC

Table 6 classifies the grades into 3 main performance categories: Excellent Performance (A+, A and A-), Average Performance (B+, B and B-) and Poor Performance (C+, C, C-, D+, D, D- and F). In order to investigate the effect of PALSI and OLC on academic performance, the *Multinomial Logit Model* was used in which students' performance (from level 1 (excellent) to 3 (poor)) was regarded as dependent variables and PALSI_Student and OLC_Member as two independent variables (Table 7). A summary of the data for ANOVA testing is listed in Table 8. To check whether the explanatory variables have any effects on the outcome variable, a Wald test was conducted at a 0.05 level of significance and summarized in the ANOVA table in Table 9.

Performance	Counts
1 – Excellent	255
2 – Average	370
3 – Poor	206

Table 6.Dependent variable 'Performance' and its total count

PALSI_Student	1 = PALSI student, $0 = Non-PALSI$ student
OLC_Member	1 = OLC member, $0 = Non-OLC$ member

Table 7.Independent variables

Data Summary				
Response	Performance	Response Level	3	

Weight V	/ariable	None		Population	4				
Data Set	Data Set CB2500		CB2500		CB2500		CB2500		831
Frequence	ey Missing	0		Observation	831				
	Population		tion Profile		e Profile				
Sample	PALSI_Student	OLC_Member Sample Size		Response	Performance				
1	0	0	639	1	1				
2	0	1	30	2	2				
3	1	0	113	3	3				
4	1	1	49						

Table 8.Data for investigating the effect of PALSI_Student and OLC Member on outcome
dependent variable 'Performance'

Maximum Likelihood Analysis			
Maximum likelihood computations converged			
Maximum likelihood analysis of variance			
Source	DF	Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
Intercept	2	26.28	<.0001
PALSI_Student	2	7.18	0.0276
OLC_Member	2	10.22	0.0060

Table 9.ANOVA testing

Since both p-values (0.0276 for PALSI_Student at chi-square statistics 7.18 and 0.0060 for OLC_Member at chi-square 10.22) are less than 0.05 level of significance. Hence, the *PALSI_Student* and *OLC_Member* have significant effect on the academic performance. These two variables can well explain the outcome variable Performance. Therefore, it is legitimate to include them in the model. Conventionally, the *highest level 3 (poor performance)* is used as the *benchmark*, i.e. the first function (1) is the model for *level 1 (excellent performance)* versus *level 3*, and the second function (2) is the model for *level 2 (average performance)* versus *level 3*.

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates					
Parameter	Function No.	Estimate	Standard Error	Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
Intercept	1	0.0355	0.1037	0.12	0.7320
	2	0.4223	0.0954	19.56	<.0001
PALSI_Student	1	0.4892	0.2831	2.99	0.0840
	2	0.7026	0.2628	7.14	0.0075
OLC_Member	1	1.3337	0.4403	9.17	0.0025
	2	0.8118	0.4378	3.44	0.0637

Table 10.	Comparison of students joining PALSI/OLC scheme to those who didn't join
-----------	--

PALSI_Student Coefficient	$\exp(0.4892) = 1.63$	The odds for a PALSI student to have excellent performance rather than poor performance are <i>1.63 times</i> of the odds for a non-PALSI student.
	$\exp(0.7026) = 2.02$	The odds for a PALSI student to have average performance rather than poor performance are <i>2.02 times</i> of the odds for a non-PALSI student.
OLC_Member Coefficient	$\exp(1.3337) = 3.80$	The odds for an OLC member to have excellent performance rather than poor performance are <i>3.80 times</i> of the odds for a non-OLC member.
	$\exp(0.8118) = 2.25$	The odds for an OLC member to have average performance rather than poor performance are <i>2.25 times</i> of the odds for a non-OLC member.

Table 11.Interpretation of the odds on academic performance

PALSI_Student $exp(0.4892 - 0.7026)$ The odds fCoefficient $= 0.807833$ average pestudent, i.estudents in	For a PALSI student to have excellent performance rather than rformance are 0.81 times (< 1) of the odds for a non-PALSI . PALSI students were not necessarily better than Non-PALSI this case
---	---

OLC_Member Coefficient	$\exp(1.3337 - 0.8118) = 1.685227$	The odds for an OLC student to have excellent performance rather than average performance are <i>1.69 times</i> of the odds for a non-OLC student, i.e. OLC students seemed to be better than Non-OLC students in this case.
---------------------------	------------------------------------	--

Table 12.Interpretation of odds at level 1 versus level 2

Table 10 shows that all the estimated coefficients are positive numbers, which means it is more likely for the PALSI_Students and/or the OLC_Members to perform better in the course. In other words, for those who did neither join PALSI nor OLC, their performance would be less competitive accordingly. An interpretation of the odds based on the coefficients of PALSI_Students and OLC_Members are explained in Table 11. Also the interpretation of the odds at level 1 versus level 2 is also explained in Table 12. Below is a summary of how the PALSI scheme, OLC platform or both of them could enhance better academic performance.

- a. Intercept > 0 means even without any help from PALSI or OLC, students could still obtain A and B grades rather than C or below, an observation in line with Study One.
- *b.* All coefficients of explanatory variables > 0 means that joining PALSI and OLC would give more opportunities for students to achieve a higher grade.
- c. Therefore, the best performing students tend to be those joining the PALSI and OLC.
- *d.* Coefficients of OLC > Coefficients of PALSI implies the positive effects of OLC would be greater than those of PALSI.

6 DISCUSSION, LIMITATION, AND FUTURE WORKS

Apart from the ordinary peer assisted learning support services, the proposed crowd wisdom OLC platform was designed to provide 24/7 assistance to student learning. Statistics show that students who were active in this blended learning environment, combined with online and offline teaching and learning activities (e.g. offline: lectures, tutorials, PALSI regular meetings; online: OLC participation), would probably attain better academic performance. Every participant may respond slower in the OLC as compared to the conventional face-to-face PALSI consultation, in which conversation and discussion take place in the designated time slots, space and real-time between mentor and mentee. This is our first stage in exploring the effectiveness of the use of the proposed OLC platform in an extremely large class size in the Asian context. However, we acknowledge that there are several limitations in this study.

1. Data collection for comparison between individuals: In comparing the four different groups of students using OLC and PALSI, different academic achievements attained by the 4 groups can be observed. However, the effect of OLC on improving individual academic performance should be further studied in the next phase.

2. Definition of "active" learners: Currently we do not have a precise figure on the time spent on OLC by individual users in order to qualify them as active users. Further studies can be done to analyse the amount of time spent on OLC and to trace users' activities, and how students' active participation in OLC could lead to other levels of understandings and aspects of academic achievement.

3. Effect of academic disciplines: The present study only focuses on students majoring in business. Students from other undergraduate courses of different Major studies can be compared in the future.

4. Benchmarking: As the purpose of this study is to evaluate the usefulness of our proposed crowd wisdom OLC systems in real classroom settings from a practitioner's perspective, it was impractical to set up a control group (i.e. OLC group versus non-OLC group) with the same cohort of students with identical characteristics. We could, however, only compare the effectiveness of OLC with the previous cohort of students.

In addition, future work can focus on promoting the use of OLC and extending OLC to the mobile environment. It is recommended that duty roster can be assigned to PALSI mentors, anonymously or non-anonymously, to give response and feedback to any query so as to encourage more voluntary usage, especially some "celebrities" who performs outstanding in e-mentoring. We can also investigate the relationship between active participation in our OLC and academic achievements at the end of the semester through analysing the correlations between OLC access logs, with definition of "activeness", and student grades.

7 CONCLUSIONS

To acknowledge the effectiveness of peer learning in improving students' academic performance, we have investigated the effects of a crowd wisdom online learning community platform on student learning. This study has attempted to address the research gap by evaluating the impact of crowd wisdom OLC on extremely large class settings in the Asian context. The three research questions includes: (1) Can online collective intelligence systems for crowdsourcing activities improve academic performance in an extremely large class of over 500 students? (2) How can an OLC be designed to effectively support a peer learning model within an extremely large class? and (3) Compared with the experience in the Western countries, is online peer assisted learning equally effective in Asian context?

Our crowd wisdom OLC platform has enabled students to ask questions at any time without the constraints of the availability of the assigned PALSI mentors through an indexed and searchable knowledge repository for a course. Results from our empirical study have indicated that online collective intelligence systems for crowdsourcing activities can enhance students' academic performance in an extremely large class and affirmed the effectiveness of peer assisted learning using the proposed OLC in the Hong Kong educational context characterised by predominantly Chinese learners and a large class size. In the next phase, we will study the effect of OLC on improving academic achievement through a longitudinal study of system usage, in which comparisons across students with different academic interests will be made. It is also suggested that future research direction can focus on OLC user experience and the extension of the use to mobile environment.

8 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The present research study is supported by City University of Hong Kong Teaching and Learning Fund, No. 6980100.

References

- Alrushiedat, N., & Olfman, L. (2013). Assisting Collaboration and Peer Learning: Case of Anchored Asynchronous Online Discussions. *European Journal of Management*, *13*(4), 93-100.
- Beaumont, T. J., Mannion, A. P., & Shen, B. O. (2012). From the Campus to the Cloud: The Online Peer Assisted Learning Scheme. *Journal of Peer Learning*, 5(1), 1-15.
- Brady, K. P., Holcomb, L. B., & Smith, B. V. (2010). The Use of Alternative Social Networking Sites in Higher Educational Settings: A Case Study of the E-Learning Benefits of Ning in Education. *Journal of Interactive Online Learning*, 9(2), 151-170.
- Chu, R. J., & Chu, A. Z. (2010). Multi-level Analysis of Peer Support, Internet Self-efficacy and E-learning Outcomes – The Contextual Effects of Collectivism and Group Potency. *Computers & Education*, 55(1), 145-154.
- Cole, K. S., & Watson, D. (2013). Academic Writing Within An Online Learning Environment: Assessing the Impact of Peer Evaluation on Lesson Planning, Execution & Assessment; . *Journal Of International Education Research*, 9(2), 115-126.
- Cuseo, J. (2007). The Empirical Case Against Large Class Size: Adverse Effects on the Teaching, Learning, and Retention of First-Year Students. *The Journal of Faculty Development*, 21(1), 5-12.
- Evans, M. J., & Moore, J. S. (2013). Peer Tutoring with the Aid of the Internet. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 44(1), 144-155.

- Foot, H., & Howe, C. (1998). The Psychoeducational Basis of Peer-assisted Learning. In K. J. Topping & S. W. Ehly (Eds.), *Peer-assisted Learning* (pp. 27-43). Mahwah, N.J.: L. Erlbaum Associates.
- Fuchs, D., Fuchs, L. S., Mathes, P. G., & Simmons, D. C. (1997). Peer-Assisted Learning Strategies: Making Classrooms More Responsive to Diversity. *American Educational Research Journal*, 34(1), 174-206.
- Fuchs, L. S., Fuchs, D., & Kazdan, S. (1999). Effects of Peer-Assisted Learning Strategies on High School Students with Serious Reading Problems. *Remedial and Special Education*, 20(5), 309-318.
- Ginsburg-Block, M. D., Rohrbeck, C. A., & Fantuzzo, J. W. (2006). A Meta-analytic Review of Social, Selfconcept, and Behavioral Outcomes of Peer-assisted Learning. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 98(4), 732-749.
- Gok, T. (2012). The Impact of Peer Instruction on College Students' Beliefs about Physics and Conceptual Understanding of Electricity and Magnetism. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 10(2), 417-436.
- Gregg, D. (2009). Developing a Collective Intelligence Application for Special Education. *Decision Support Systems*, 47(4), 455-465.
- Gregg, D. (2010). Designing for Collective Intelligence. Communications of the ACM, 53(4), 134-138.
- Hevner, A. R., & Chatterjee, S. (2010). *Design Research in Information Systems: Theory and Practice* (Vol. 22). New York, London: Springer.
- Hevner, A. R., March, S. T., Park, J., & Ram, S. (2004). Design Science in Information Systems Research. MIS Quarterly, 28(1), 75-105.
- Hrastinski, S., Keller, C., & Carlsson, S. A. (2010). Design Exemplars for Synchronous E-learning: A Design Theory Approach. *Computers & Education*, 55(2), 652-662.
- Hrastinski, S., & Stenbom, S. (2013). Student–student Online Coaching: Conceptualizing an Emerging Learning Activity. *The Internet and Higher Education*, *16*, 66-69.
- Hui, W., Hu, P. J.-H., Clark, T. H. K., Tam, K. Y., & Milton, J. (2008). Technology-assisted Learning: a Longitudinal Field Study of Knowledge Category, Learning Effectiveness and Satisfaction in Language Learning. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 24(3), 245-259.
- Huijser, H., Kimmins, L., & Evans, P. (2008). Peer Assisted Learning in Fleximode: Developing an Online Learning Community. *Journal of Peer Learning*, 1(1), 51-60.
- Keppell, M., Au, E., Ma, A., & Chan, C. (2006). Peer Learning and Learning-oriented Assessment in Technology-enhanced Environments. Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education, 31(4), 453-464.
- King, A. (1990). Enhancing Peer Interaction and Learning in the Classroom Through Reciprocal Questioning. *American Educational Research Journal*, 27(4), 664-687.
- Lan, Y. J., Sung, Y. T., & Chang, K. E. (2007). A Mobile-device-supported Peer-assisted Learning System for Collaborative Early EFL Reading. *Language Learning & Technology*, 11(3), 130-151.
- Maheady, L. (1998). Advantages and Disadvantages of Peer-Assisted Learning Strategies. In K. J. Topping & S.
 W. Ehly (Eds.), *Peer-Assisted Learning* (pp. 45-65). Mahwah, N.J.: L. Erlbaum Associates.
- Ning, H. K., & Downing, K. (2010). The Impact of Supplemental Instruction on Learning Competence and Academic Performance. *Studies in Higher Education*, 35(8), 921-939.
- Omar, N. D., Hassan, H., & Atan, H. (2012). Student Engagement in Online Learning: Learners Attitude Toward E-Mentoring. *Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 67, 464-475.
- Razak, R. A., & See, Y. C. (2010). Improving Academic Achievement and Motivation through Online Peer Learning. Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences, 9, 358–362.
- Salter, M. B. (2013). Crowdsourcing: Student-Driven Learning Using Web 2.0 Technologies in an Introduction to Globalization. *Journal of Political Science Education*, 9(3), 362-365.
- Smet, M. D., Keer, H. V., & Valcke, M. (2008). Blending Asynchronous Discussion Groups and Peer Tutoring in Higher Education: An Exploratory Study of Online Peer Tutoring Behaviour. *Computers & Education*, 50(1), 207-223.
- Topping, K. J., Dehkinet, R., Blanch, S., Corcelles, M., & Duran, D. (2013). Paradoxical Effects of Feedback in International Online Reciprocal Peer Tutoring. *Computers & Education*, 61(2), 225-231.
- Tseng, S.-C., & Tsai, C.-C. (2010). Taiwan College Students' Self-efficacy and Motivation of Learning in Online Peer Assessment Environments. *The Internet and Higher Education*, 13(3), 164-169.
- Tsuei, M. (2011). Development of a Peer-assisted Learning Strategy in Computer-supported Collaborative Learning Environments for Elementary School Students. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 42(2), 214-232.