

Association for Information Systems AIS Electronic Library (AISeL)

All Sprouts Content

Sprouts

2-1-2010

From Software Specifics to Software-Specific Vagueness

Gianmarco Campagnolo

University of Trento, gianmarco.campagnolo@gmail.com

Giolo Fele

University of Trento, giolo.fele@soc.unitn.it

Follow this and additional works at: http://aisel.aisnet.org/sprouts_all

Recommended Citation

Campagnolo, Gianmarco and Fele, Giolo, "From Software Specifics to Software-Specific Vagueness" (2010). *All Sprouts Content*. 336.
http://aisel.aisnet.org/sprouts_all/336

This material is brought to you by the Sprouts at AIS Electronic Library (AISeL). It has been accepted for inclusion in All Sprouts Content by an authorized administrator of AIS Electronic Library (AISeL). For more information, please contact elibrary@aisnet.org.

From Software Specifics to Software-Specific Vagueness

Gianmarco Campagnolo
University of Trento, Italy

Giolo Fele
University of Trento, Italy

Abstract

The paper identifies some recurrent forms of "software-specific" vagueness in the context of software engineering work practices as a set of conditions for establishing shared understanding between engineers and users on the features and possible uses of the system. Relying in particular on about 20 hours of audio-video recording of meetings between the software engineers and users at three different sites, the paper identifies three recurrent patterns of "software-specific" vagueness: (i) "openness versus completeness", that is, the extent to which the software-based modeling tool requirement of internal completeness hampers the flexibility crucial to its function; (ii) "representation versus coordination", that is, the degree of recognizability of system features as management support functions of control with respect to line work management functions and (iii) "object orientation versus procedural orientation", that is, the order that the model imposes on interaction and the representations of interaction located within the object instead of on a procedural level as in e.g. issue lists. Contrary to expectations concerning software engineering when it is viewed as a matter of logic, the establishment of a common ground of reference between engineers and users does not rely on making instructions about the software more accurate, more detailed or more specific. Rather, the paper argues that the vagueness of many expressions, specifically with regard to openness, coordination functions and procedural-orientation of the software, helps build a shared understanding.

Keywords: specific vagueness, ethnomethodology, enterprise modeling

Permanent URL: <http://sprouts.aisnet.org/10-14>

Copyright: [Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-No Derivative Works License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

Reference: Campagnolo, G., Fele G. (2010). "From Software Specifics to Software-Specific Vagueness," Proceedings > Proceedings of ALPIS . *Sprouts: Working Papers on Information Systems*, 10(14). <http://sprouts.aisnet.org/10-14>

Introduction

This paper presents a multi-sited ethnography of meetings between software engineers and industrial users in the context of industrial negotiations for the adoption of particular software solutions in a firm. The empirical material derives from an extended ethnography conducted as part of the MAPPER project, a three-year EU project on engineering production processes in the factory¹.

The paper focuses on meetings held by software engineers and users to discuss a software visual modeling tool being implemented within the project for the purpose of visualizing industrial users' manufacturing processes. The paper argues that, in order to implement the system, engineers must be 'specifically vague'² regarding work requirements and technological possibilities. The paper identifies some recurrent forms of 'software-specific' vagueness in the context of software engineering work practices as a set of conditions for establishing shared understanding between engineers and users on the features and possible uses of the system. Relying in particular on about 20 hours of audio-video recording of meetings between the software engineers and users at three different sites, the paper identifies three recurrent patterns of 'software-specific' vagueness: (i) *openness* versus *completeness*, that is, the extent to which the software-based modeling tool requirement of internal completeness hampers the flexibility crucial to its function; (ii) *representation* versus *coordination*, that is, the degree of recognizability of system features as management support functions of control with respect to line work management functions and (iii) *object-orientation* versus *procedural orientation*, that is, the order that the model imposes on interaction and the representations of interaction located within the object instead of on a procedural level as in e.g. issue lists.

¹ EU STREP Contract no. 016527.

² Garfinkel, *Studies in Ethnomethodology*, 1967.

The paper conceives modeler/user interactions as socio-material assemblages where discursive practices are constituted in, and inseparable from, the computational artifact³. Contrary to expectations concerning software engineering when it is viewed as a matter of logic, the establishment of a common ground of reference between engineers and users does not rely on making instructions about the software more accurate, more detailed or more specific. Rather, the paper argues that the vagueness of many expressions, specifically with regard to openness, coordination functions and procedural-orientation of the software, helps build a shared understanding.

References

Suchman, L., Trigg R., Blomberg J. Working artefacts: ethnomethods of the prototype.

British Journal of Sociology, 2 (2002b): 163-179.

Garfinkel, H. *Studies in Ethnomethodology*. Oxford: Prentice-Hall, 1967.

³ Suchman, Trigg & Blomberg, “*Working artefacts: ethnomethods of the prototype*”, 2002, 163-179.

Editors:

Michel Avital, University of Amsterdam
Kevin Crowston, Syracuse University

Advisory Board:

Kalle Lyytinen, Case Western Reserve University
Roger Clarke, Australian National University
Sue Conger, University of Dallas
Marco De Marco, Università Cattolica di Milano
Guy Fitzgerald, Brunel University
Rudy Hirschheim, Louisiana State University
Blake Ives, University of Houston
Sirkka Jarvenpaa, University of Texas at Austin
John King, University of Michigan
Rik Maes, University of Amsterdam
Dan Robey, Georgia State University
Frantz Rowe, University of Nantes
Detmar Straub, Georgia State University
Richard T. Watson, University of Georgia
Ron Weber, Monash University
Kwok Kee Wei, City University of Hong Kong

Sponsors:

Association for Information Systems (AIS)
AIM
itAIS
Addis Ababa University, Ethiopia
American University, USA
Case Western Reserve University, USA
City University of Hong Kong, China
Copenhagen Business School, Denmark
Hanken School of Economics, Finland
Helsinki School of Economics, Finland
Indiana University, USA
Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Belgium
Lancaster University, UK
Leeds Metropolitan University, UK
National University of Ireland Galway, Ireland
New York University, USA
Pennsylvania State University, USA
Pepperdine University, USA
Syracuse University, USA
University of Amsterdam, Netherlands
University of Dallas, USA
University of Georgia, USA
University of Groningen, Netherlands
University of Limerick, Ireland
University of Oslo, Norway
University of San Francisco, USA
University of Washington, USA
Victoria University of Wellington, New Zealand
Viktoria Institute, Sweden

Editorial Board:

Margunn Aanestad, University of Oslo
Steven Alter, University of San Francisco
Egon Berghout, University of Groningen
Bo-Christer Bjork, Hanken School of Economics
Tony Bryant, Leeds Metropolitan University
Erran Carmel, American University
Kieran Conboy, National U. of Ireland Galway
Jan Damsgaard, Copenhagen Business School
Robert Davison, City University of Hong Kong
Guido Dedene, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven
Alan Dennis, Indiana University
Brian Fitzgerald, University of Limerick
Ole Hanseth, University of Oslo
Ola Henfridsson, Viktoria Institute
Sid Huff, Victoria University of Wellington
Ard Huizing, University of Amsterdam
Lucas Introna, Lancaster University
Panos Ipeirotis, New York University
Robert Mason, University of Washington
John Mooney, Pepperdine University
Steve Sawyer, Pennsylvania State University
Virpi Tuunainen, Helsinki School of Economics
Francesco Virili, Università degli Studi di Cassino

Managing Editor:

Bas Smit, University of Amsterdam

Office:

Sprouts
University of Amsterdam
Roetersstraat 11, Room E 2.74
1018 WB Amsterdam, Netherlands
Email: admin@sprouts.aisnet.org