

## Association for Information Systems AIS Electronic Library (AISeL)

---

All Sprouts Content

Sprouts

---

12-7-2010

# Development and evaluation of Research-driven Information Systems

Paul Buijs  
[p.buijs@rug.nl](mailto:p.buijs@rug.nl)

Gerben G. Meyer  
[g.g.meyer@rug.nl](mailto:g.g.meyer@rug.nl)

Nick B. Szirbik  
[n.b.Szirbik@rug.nl](mailto:n.b.Szirbik@rug.nl)

Follow this and additional works at: [http://aisel.aisnet.org/sprouts\\_all](http://aisel.aisnet.org/sprouts_all)

---

### Recommended Citation

Buijs, Paul; Meyer, Gerben G.; and Szirbik, Nick B., "Development and evaluation of Research-driven Information Systems" (2010).  
*All Sprouts Content*. 400.  
[http://aisel.aisnet.org/sprouts\\_all/400](http://aisel.aisnet.org/sprouts_all/400)

This material is brought to you by the Sprouts at AIS Electronic Library (AISeL). It has been accepted for inclusion in All Sprouts Content by an authorized administrator of AIS Electronic Library (AISeL). For more information, please contact [elibrary@aisnet.org](mailto:elibrary@aisnet.org).

## Development and evaluation of Research-driven Information Systems

Paul Buijs  
Netherlands

Gerben G. Meyer  
Netherlands

Nick B. Szirbik  
Netherlands

### Abstract

We propose to create a methodological framework that can be applied to evaluate Research-driven Information Systems (RdIS) in a consistent way. This is done by picking those examples of RdISs that have been evaluated properly and making those evaluation processes standard. Our proposed methodological framework for the evaluation of RdISs can assist IS researchers in selecting the appropriate evaluation methods. Further exploration of the characteristics of RdISs has led to a better understanding on suitable methods to evaluate the potential applicability of RdISs in practice. A study of IS literature revealed that the evaluation of RdISs should be interpretive and multi-method of nature. An RdIS that was developed in our research group is evaluated using a method that was designed with the new methodological knowledge.

**Keywords:** Research-driven Information Systems, evaluation, novel information technology application

**Permanent URL:** <http://sprouts.aisnet.org/10-108>

**Copyright:** [Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-No Derivative Works License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/)

**Reference:** Buijs, P., Meyer, G.G., Szirbik, N.B. (2010). "Development and evaluation of Research-driven Information Systems," Proceedings > Proceedings of IFIP 8.2/Organizations and Society in Information Systems (OASIS) . *Sprouts: Working Papers on Information Systems*, 10(108). <http://sprouts.aisnet.org/10-108>

## Development and evaluation of Research-driven Information Systems

Paul Buijs, Gerben G. Meyer and Nick B. Szirbik  
University of Groningen  
Department of Business and ICT

While introducing Design Science, Hevner et al. [3] and March & Storey [5] suggested that Information Systems research should only address problems that are faced by the practitioners in organizations. In our opinion, researchers who develop an Information System (IS) can also be driven by generic problems, new technologies or paradoxes that are described in literature, or simply by scientific curiosity. In that case an IS is typically created to gain scientific knowledge [6] [8], and researchers seek for novel technological concepts with general applicability [1]. Although the development process does often not directly result in a specific solution for a particular problem in an organization, the scientific theory that is built can have a strong influence on the thinking and activity of professionals in the industry [4]. The novel technical concepts can be further developed into commercially interesting ISs and the professional domain can respond to phenomena that were discovered during research.

Our research is focused on the evaluation of Research-driven Information Systems (RdIS). RdISs are defined here as a subset of ISs, by means that RdISs have requirements that stem mainly from research driven goals instead of from a particular organizational problem. A study of literature on RdISs shows that much effort invested by the researchers in presenting an RdIS, is focused on the technical concept used in the system and tends to neglect to investigate and illustrate the impact the system can have on the humans using and operating the system. As a result of this, many researchers substitute proper system evaluation with mere testing or sometimes only descriptive analysis. A reason for this can be that the researchers consider their RdIS more as a tool, program, or algorithm, and they apply the evaluation methods that are appropriate for these instead of methods that are more proper for evaluation of ISs. Therefore, we consider that this is more a methodological blunder than the lack of practical possibility to evaluate an RdIS in the way the state-of-the-art methodological thinking suggest.

We propose to create a methodological framework that can be applied to evaluate RdISs in a consistent way. This is done by picking those examples of RdISs that have been evaluated properly and making those evaluation processes standard. Our proposed methodological framework for the evaluation of RdISs can assist IS researchers in selecting the appropriate evaluation methods. Further exploration of the characteristics of RdISs has led to a better understanding on suitable methods to evaluate the potential applicability of RdISs in practice. A study of IS literature revealed that the evaluation of RdISs should be interpretive [2] and multi-method [7] of nature. An RdIS that was developed in our research group is evaluated using a method that was designed with the new methodological knowledge.

**Keywords:** Research-driven Information Systems, evaluation, novel information technology application

### References

1. Agrawal. Engaging the inventor: Exploring licensing strategies for university inventions and the role of latent knowledge. *Strategic Management Journal*, 27(1):63-79, 2006.

2. R.A. Gonzalez. A Framework For ICT-Supported Coordination in Crisis Response. PhD thesis, Delft University of Technology, 2010.
3. A.R. Hevner, S.T. March, J. Park, and S. Ram. Design science in information systems research. *MIS Quarterly*, 28(1):75-106, 2004.
4. K. Lyytinen. Empirical research in information systems: on the relevance of practice in thinking of IS research. *MIS Quarterly*, 23(1):25-27, 1999.
5. S.T. March and V.C. Storey. Design science in the information systems discipline: an introduction to the special issue on design science research. *MIS Quarterly*, 32(4):725-730, 2008.
6. L. Mathiassen. Collaborative practice research. *Information Technology & People*, 15(4): 321-345, 2002.
7. J. Mingers. Combining IS research methods: towards a pluralist methodology. *Information Systems Research*, 12(3):240-259, 2001.
8. J.G. Walls, G.R. Widmeyer, and O.A. El Sawy. Building an Information System Design Theory for Vigilant EIS. *Information Systems Research*, 3(1):36-59, 1992.

*Editors:*

Michel Avital, University of Amsterdam  
Kevin Crowston, Syracuse University

*Advisory Board:*

Kalle Lyytinen, Case Western Reserve University  
Roger Clarke, Australian National University  
Sue Conger, University of Dallas  
Marco De Marco, Università Cattolica di Milano  
Guy Fitzgerald, Brunel University  
Rudy Hirschheim, Louisiana State University  
Blake Ives, University of Houston  
Sirkka Jarvenpaa, University of Texas at Austin  
John King, University of Michigan  
Rik Maes, University of Amsterdam  
Dan Robey, Georgia State University  
Frantz Rowe, University of Nantes  
Detmar Straub, Georgia State University  
Richard T. Watson, University of Georgia  
Ron Weber, Monash University  
Kwok Kee Wei, City University of Hong Kong

*Sponsors:*

Association for Information Systems (AIS)  
AIM  
itAIS  
Addis Ababa University, Ethiopia  
American University, USA  
Case Western Reserve University, USA  
City University of Hong Kong, China  
Copenhagen Business School, Denmark  
Hanken School of Economics, Finland  
Helsinki School of Economics, Finland  
Indiana University, USA  
Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Belgium  
Lancaster University, UK  
Leeds Metropolitan University, UK  
National University of Ireland Galway, Ireland  
New York University, USA  
Pennsylvania State University, USA  
Pepperdine University, USA  
Syracuse University, USA  
University of Amsterdam, Netherlands  
University of Dallas, USA  
University of Georgia, USA  
University of Groningen, Netherlands  
University of Limerick, Ireland  
University of Oslo, Norway  
University of San Francisco, USA  
University of Washington, USA  
Victoria University of Wellington, New Zealand  
Viktoria Institute, Sweden

*Editorial Board:*

Margunn Aanestad, University of Oslo  
Steven Alter, University of San Francisco  
Egon Berghout, University of Groningen  
Bo-Christer Bjork, Hanken School of Economics  
Tony Bryant, Leeds Metropolitan University  
Erran Carmel, American University  
Kieran Conboy, National U. of Ireland Galway  
Jan Damsgaard, Copenhagen Business School  
Robert Davison, City University of Hong Kong  
Guido Dedene, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven  
Alan Dennis, Indiana University  
Brian Fitzgerald, University of Limerick  
Ole Hanseth, University of Oslo  
Ola Henfridsson, Viktoria Institute  
Sid Huff, Victoria University of Wellington  
Ard Huizing, University of Amsterdam  
Lucas Introna, Lancaster University  
Panos Ipeirotis, New York University  
Robert Mason, University of Washington  
John Mooney, Pepperdine University  
Steve Sawyer, Pennsylvania State University  
Virpi Tuunainen, Helsinki School of Economics  
Francesco Virili, Università degli Studi di Cassino

*Managing Editor:*

Bas Smit, University of Amsterdam

*Office:*

Sprouts  
University of Amsterdam  
Roetersstraat 11, Room E 2.74  
1018 WB Amsterdam, Netherlands  
Email: admin@sprouts.aisnet.org