

## Association for Information Systems AIS Electronic Library (AISeL)

---

All Sprouts Content

Sprouts

---

11-30-2009

# The Co-Creation of Value: Exploring User Engagement in User-Generated Content Websites

Paul M. Di Gangi

Florida State University, [pdigangi@fsu.edu](mailto:pdigangi@fsu.edu)

Molly Wasko

Florida State University, [mwasko@cob.fsu.edu](mailto:mwasko@cob.fsu.edu)

Follow this and additional works at: [http://aisel.aisnet.org/sprouts\\_all](http://aisel.aisnet.org/sprouts_all)

---

### Recommended Citation

Di Gangi, Paul M. and Wasko, Molly, "The Co-Creation of Value: Exploring User Engagement in User-Generated Content Websites" (2009). *All Sprouts Content*. 303.

[http://aisel.aisnet.org/sprouts\\_all/303](http://aisel.aisnet.org/sprouts_all/303)

This material is brought to you by the Sprouts at AIS Electronic Library (AISeL). It has been accepted for inclusion in All Sprouts Content by an authorized administrator of AIS Electronic Library (AISeL). For more information, please contact [elibrary@aisnet.org](mailto:elibrary@aisnet.org).

## The Co-Creation of Value: Exploring User Engagement in User-Generated Content Websites

Paul M. Di Gangi  
Florida State University, USA

Molly Wasko  
Florida State University, USA

### Abstract

Organizational interest in user-generated content (UGC) websites is growing, as organizations face highly competitive markets, uncertain economic environments, and a growing user base accustomed to active engagement rather than passive acceptance of products and services. Organizations are now exploring ways to provide a platform (website) through which users generate and contribute content, resulting in a co-created experience between users and organizations. However, organizations interested in leveraging UGC websites are facing a new challenge â getting users to actively engage through content contribution, retrieval, and exploration. Thus, the research questions guiding this manuscript are: what factors influence an individualâs user experience in UGC websites and how does the user experience impact individual engagement behavior? This manuscript develops a theory of co-created value to examine how social interactions, operationalized as perceived dialogue, social accessibility, transparency, and risk, and technical features, operationalized as the perceived granularity, extensibility, integration, and evolvability, of a UGC website influence an individualâs user experience and subsequent engagement behaviors. A theoretical model is proposed and propositions are presented for the individual relationships. Implications and future directions for research are also discussed.

**Keywords:** User-generated content, online communities, user experiences, co-created value

**Permanent URL:** <http://sprouts.aisnet.org/9-50>

**Copyright:** [Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-No Derivative Works License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

**Reference:** Di Gangi, P. M., Wasko, M. (2009). "The Co-Creation of Value: Exploring User Engagement in User-Generated Content Websites," Proceedings > Proceedings of JAIS Theory Development Workshop . *Sprouts: Working Papers on Information Systems*, 9(50). <http://sprouts.aisnet.org/9-50>

## THE CO-CREATION OF VALUE: EXPLORING USER ENGAGEMENT IN USER-GENERATED CONTENT WEBSITES

People may find it hard to remember a life *before* YouTube. Some people cannot fathom how they satisfied their desires and interests without YouTube, while others may find it interesting that a website that did not exist five years ago is now the fourth most visited website on the Internet.<sup>1</sup> Only search engine giant Google (1998), Yahoo (1995), and Facebook draw more user traffic on a daily basis. While YouTube's meteoric rise is atypical for an organization, it is not surprising in today's digital world. Websites such as Twitter (ranked 13<sup>th</sup>), Facebook (ranked 2<sup>nd</sup>), MetaCafe (ranked 133<sup>rd</sup>), Wikipedia (6<sup>th</sup>), Flickr (ranked 33<sup>rd</sup>) among others have all been introduced within the last decade and rapidly grew in user membership. All of these websites, including YouTube, represent a new type of online environment called User-generated Content (UGC) websites. UGC websites are online environments where users contribute, retrieve, and explore UGC from the organization and fellow users. UGC is content created by users for fellow users and/or the organization.

Organizations are beginning to invest time and effort in developing a social media presence, such as the introduction of UGC websites, to capitalize on a growing user population that is interested in creating, retrieving, and exploring UGC. Deloitte conducted a study on organizations that adopted UGC websites and found that an overwhelming majority (80%) have introduced a UGC website within the last three years (Deloitte, 2008). Despite the current economic downturn, these organizations are increasing their investment in UGC websites. Over 50% of the organizations surveyed indicated they would increase their investment in 2009. Organizations are beginning to realize the potential benefits that can be captured when users and organizations co-create value through UGC.

Co-created value provides mutual benefits for both the organization and users. Organizations benefit from a large membership of users that can provide a range of benefits, such as marketing insight, cost savings, brand awareness, and idea generation (Deloitte, 2008), while users benefit from the ability to fulfill personal needs and interests. However, co-creating value with users is risky. Organizations previously relied on business models that focused on creating a tangible product and/or service

---

<sup>1</sup> Rankings captured from Alexa.com Top 500 Global Sites website. Accessed 09.09.2009.

(Chesbrough, 2004, 2006; Dodgson, Gann, & Salter, 2006; Kirschbaum, 2005; West & Lakhani, 2008), rather than a positive user experience to create and capture value. If an organization fails to provide a positive user experience, negative consequences can occur, such as negative publicity and loss of user engagement (Wesch, 2008). Consequently, co-creating value with users requires organizations to become more directly involved to ensure positive user experiences. Positive user experiences are what drive co-created value and the mutual benefits for organizations and users.

Research on co-created value largely relies on qualitative and descriptive case studies to explain how UGC websites influence co-created value creation (e.g., Armstrong & Hagel III., 1996; boyd, 2007; Chesbrough, 2003b, 2006; Dodgson et al., 2006; Franke & Piller, 2004; Huston & Sakkab, 2006; Kirschbaum, 2005; Li & Bernoff, 2008; Prahalad & Ramaswamy, 2004a, 2004b). Little quantitative research has examined the key factors that influence co-created value creation (e.g., Chesbrough, 2003a; Huston & Sakkab, 2006; Li & Bernoff, 2008; Pine II & Gilmore, 1999; Prahalad & Ramaswamy, 2000, 2004a; von Hippel, Thomke, & Sonnack, 1999; Wesch, 2008). Specifically, ICTs increase the ability for users and organizations to directly interact (Hutchby, 2001; Sawhney, Verona, & Prandelli, 2005; Wagner & Majchrzak, 2006-7). As a result, researchers must formulate a model of co-created value creation in online environments where value is intangible and relies on user engagement.

To date, many organizations lack sufficient understanding about how to positively influence user experiences and increase user engagement. When asked by Deloitte to identify the greatest obstacle facing organizations that implement UGC websites, a majority of the organizations replied that it was *getting users to engage* (Deloitte, 2008). Over 50% of the organizations identified user engagement as a significant challenge. Furthermore, 25% of the organizations surveyed also cited sustainable user engagement a challenge. Thus, the research questions guiding this manuscript are: *what factors influence user experiences of a UGC website and how do user experiences affect the co-creation of value?*

Socio-technical theory suggests a system (e.g., a UGC website) is composed of two sub-systems – the social and technical subsystems (Cherns, 1976, 1987; Kelly, 1978; Pasmore, Francis, Haldeman, & Shani, 1982). Each sub-system influences how a user interprets his/her experiences and subsequently behaves within the UGC website. While users may interpret their experiences differently, socio-technical

theory suggests that both the social interactions supported by the system and the technical features of the system influence how a user perceives his/her experiences. Recent research has used socio-technical theory to explain user experiences within organizations (e.g., Barley, 1996; Jensen & Aanestad, 2007; Prahalad & Ramaswamy, 2004b). For example, Barley (1996) and Jensen and Aanestad (2007) examine the adoption of health information system technologies in hospitals based on the technology and the social interactions between key users within the hospital environment. In both studies, user experiences were derived from their social interactions and the technology features and subsequently influenced how each user engaged within the hospital environment.

Social interactions are defined as the communication among one organization and users through the UGC website (Prahalad & Ramaswamy, 2000, 2004a). Social interactions between the organization and fellow users influence how a user experiences his/her environment by allowing users to experience the UGC website and engage through UGC (Barley, 1996; Jensen & Aanestad, 2007). Social interactions facilitate user experiences within the UGC website by expressing the individual attention to a user's needs and/or interests, providing access to social resources and friends, portraying an open and transparent business model, and creating an opportunity for users to perceive the risks associated with engaging in the UGC website (Jensen & Aanestad, 2007; Kettinger & Lee, 1994; Prahalad & Ramaswamy, 2004b; Wixom & Todd, 2005).

In addition to the social interactions, the technical features of a UGC website also facilitate positive user experiences (Jensen & Aanestad, 2007; Prahalad & Ramaswamy, 2004b). Technical features are defined as the perceived capabilities of the technology. Technical features provide users with the tools to facilitate a user's experiences. Technical features impact the direction, magnitude and scope of co-created value and its benefits to both the users and the organization (Brown & Magill, 1998; Simon, 1991). The technical features include: the degree of specificity users can retrieve information and interact, the flexibility of features to be used for multiple purposes, the ability to integrate content within the UGC website, and the evolvability of the features to meet users' specific needs as they become experienced with the UGC website (Prahalad & Ramaswamy, 2004b). As a result, the technical features can also limit how personally meaningful this experience is to the user.

When organizations use social interactions to communicate with users and provide the technical features above, a positive user experience is expected to occur which facilitates the co-creation of value. The user experience is divided into two psychological components that influence the co-creation of value; 1) individual involvement and 2) personal meaning (Prahalad & Ramaswamy, 2004b). Co-created value is defined as the mutual benefits gained by the organization and users when engaging in a UGC website. Users engage when they contribute, retrieve, and/or explore UGC (Kankanhalli, Tan, & Wei, 2005; Li & Bernoff, 2008). Individual involvement is defined as the intensity with which a user perceives his/her role within the UGC website (Barki & Hartwick, 1994). Individual involvement denotes a user's perception that his/her role within a UGC website is personally important and/or relevant to meet their needs. Personal meaning is defined as the degree to which a user perceives he/she is fulfilling his/her needs, values, and/or interests (Battista & Almond, 1973; Debats, 1998). Users are given the choice in terms of which websites they wish to engage in to maximize fulfillment of their specific interests (Wellman et al., 2003). Consequently, fulfillment is derived when user interests are satisfied by the user's experiences created within the UGC website (Battista & Almond, 1973). To achieve this fulfillment, the social interactions and technical features that facilitate the user's experience are vital to sustain a user's engagement within the UGC website.

In order to successfully co-create value, both the users and the organization must capture benefits. Organizations must benefit from actively engaging users and users must benefit from a place to share experiences and interests based on personal choice. The more users engage, the more valuable the UGC website becomes to the organization and fellow users. Through user engagement, organizations obtain information on user interests that can be leveraged for a variety of reasons (e.g., market research, advertising revenue, new products and/or services, etc.). While for users, an environment is created where users can create personalized experiences that are both meaningful and fulfilling to the user.

This manuscript makes the following contributions. First, this manuscript outlines a UGC-oriented business model: the co-created business model. A theory is generally described to explain the co-creation of value through user engagement. Future research may find this theory applicable to several new and emerging UGC contexts (e.g., wikis, user innovation communities, interactive blogs, and virtual

worlds). Also, this manuscript contributes to the growing need for understanding, by both academics and organizations, of the user experience and its impact on a user's decision to engage or disengage in a UGC website.

The future of business is increasingly reliant on ICTs and user-generated content to create new forms of value and competitive advantage. The preliminary steps taken in this research are based on the growing interest in an experience economy and the growth of business models that create permeable organizational boundaries to increase the use of external knowledge embedded in users of UGC websites. As users become more engaged with organizations, the value provided to the user is co-created by both the technical features of the UGC websites and the quality of the social relationship that is developed between the organization and the user. In the future, the ability to leverage users as key strategic organizational resources may be the difference between an organization's survival and obsolescence in an increasingly competitive world.

## References

- Armstrong, A., & Hagel III., J. (1996). The real value of on-line communities. *Harvard Business Review*, May-June, 134-141.
- Barki, H., & Hartwick, J. (1994). User Participation, Conflict, and Conflict Resolution: The Mediating Roles of Influence. *Information Systems Research*, 5(4), 422-438.
- Barley, S. R. (1996). Technology as an Occasion for Structuring: Evidence from Observations of CT Scanners and the Social Order of Radiology Departments. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 38, 78-108.
- Battista, J., & Almond, R. (1973). The development of meaning in life. *Psychiatry*, 36, 409-427.
- boyd, d. m. (2007). Social network sites: Definition, history, and scholarship. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*, 13(1).
- Brown, C., & Magill, S. (1998). Reconceptualizing the context-design issue for the information systems function. *Organization Science*, 9(2), 176-194.
- Cherns, A. (1976). The principles of sociotechnical design. *Human Relations*, 29(8), 783-792.
- Cherns, A. (1987). Principles of sociotechnical design revisited. *Human Relations*, 40(3), 153-162.
- Chesbrough, H. (2003a). *Open Innovation: The New Imperative for Creating and Profiting from Technology*. Boston, MA: Harvard Business School Press.
- Chesbrough, H. (2003b). Open platform innovation: Creating value from internal and external innovation. *Intel Technology Journal*, 7(3), 5-9.
- Chesbrough, H. (2004). Managing open innovation. *Research-Technology Management*, 47(1), 23-26.
- Chesbrough, H. (2006). *Open Business Models: How to Thrive in the New Innovation Landscape*. Boston: Harvard Business School Press.
- Debats, D. L. (1998). Measurement of personal meaning: The psychometric properties of the life regard index. In I. B. Weiner (Ed.), *The Human Quest for Meaning* (pp. 237-259). Mahwah: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Deloitte. (2008). *2008 Tribalization of Business Study*.
- Dodgson, M., Gann, D., & Salter, A. (2006). The role of technology in the shift towards open innovation: The case of Proctor & Gamble. *R&D Management*, 36(3), 333-346.

- Franke, N., & Piller, F. (2004). Value creation by toolkits for user innovation and design: The case of the watch market. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 21, 401-415.
- Huston, L., & Sakkab, N. (2006). Connect and Develop: Inside Proctor & Gamble's new model for innovation. *Harvard Business Review*, March, 1-8.
- Hutchby, I. (2001). Technologies, texts, and affordances. *Sociology*, 35(2), 441-456.
- Jensen, T. B., & Aanestad, M. (2007). How Healthcare Professionals "Make Sense" of an Electronic Patient Record Adoption. *Information Systems Management*, 24(1), 29-42.
- Kankanhalli, A., Tan, B. C. Y., & Wei, K. K. (2005). Contributing knowledge to electronic knowledge repositories: An empirical investigation. *MIS Quarterly*, 29(1), 113-143.
- Kelly, J. E. (1978). A reappraisal of sociotechnical systems theory. *Human Relations*, 31(12), 1069-1099.
- Kettinger, W. J., & Lee, C. C. (1994). Perceived Service Quality and User Satisfaction with the Information-Services Function. *Decision Sciences*, 25(5-6), 737-766.
- Kirschbaum, R. (2005). Open innovation in practice. *Research-Technology Management*, 48(4), 24-28.
- Li, C., & Bernoff, J. (2008). *Groundswell: Winning in a world transformed by social technologies*. Boston, MA: Harvard Business School Press.
- Pasmore, W., Francis, C., Haldeman, J., & Shani, A. (1982). Sociotechnical systems: A North American reflection on empirical studies of the seventies. *Human Relations*, 35(12), 1179-1204.
- Pine II, B. J., & Gilmore, J. H. (1999). *The Experience Economy: Work is Theatre & Every Business a Stage*. Boston: Harvard Business School Press.
- Prahalad, C. K., & Ramaswamy, V. (2000). Co-opting customer competence. *Harvard Business Review*, January-February, 79-87.
- Prahalad, C. K., & Ramaswamy, V. (2004a). Co-creation experiences: The next practice in value creation. *Journal of Interactive Marketing*, 18(3), 5-14.
- Prahalad, C. K., & Ramaswamy, V. (2004b). *The future of competition: Co-creating unique value with customers*. Boston, MA: Harvard Business School Press.
- Sawhney, M., Verona, G., & Prandelli, E. (2005). Collaborating to create: The internet as a platform for customer engagement in product innovation. *Journal of Interactive Marketing*, 19(4), 4-17.
- Simon, H. (1991). Bounded rationality and organizational learning. *Organization Science*, 2, 125-134.
- von Hippel, E., Thomke, S., & Sonnack, M. (1999). Creating Breakthroughs at 3M. *Harvard Business Review*, 77(5), 47-57.
- Wagner, C., & Majchrzak, A. (2006-7). Enabling customer-centricity using wikis and the wiki way. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, 23(3), 17-43.
- Wellman, B., Quan-Haase, A., Boase, J., Chen, W., Hampton, K., & de Diaz, I. I. (2003). The social affordances of the Internet for networked individualism. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*, 8(3).
- Wesch, M. (Writer) (2008). An Anthropological Introduction to YouTube [Online Video]. USA: Library of Congress.
- West, J., & Lakhani, K. R. (2008). Getting clear about the role of communities in open innovation. *Industry and Innovation*, 15(3).
- Wixom, B. H., & Todd, P. A. (2005). A Theoretical Integration of User Satisfaction and Technology Acceptance. *Information Systems Research*, 16(1), 85-102.

*Editors:*

Michel Avital, University of Amsterdam  
Kevin Crowston, Syracuse University

*Advisory Board:*

Kalle Lyytinen, Case Western Reserve University  
Roger Clarke, Australian National University  
Sue Conger, University of Dallas  
Marco De Marco, Università Cattolica di Milano  
Guy Fitzgerald, Brunel University  
Rudy Hirschheim, Louisiana State University  
Blake Ives, University of Houston  
Sirkka Jarvenpaa, University of Texas at Austin  
John King, University of Michigan  
Rik Maes, University of Amsterdam  
Dan Robey, Georgia State University  
Frantz Rowe, University of Nantes  
Detmar Straub, Georgia State University  
Richard T. Watson, University of Georgia  
Ron Weber, Monash University  
Kwok Kee Wei, City University of Hong Kong

*Sponsors:*

Association for Information Systems (AIS)  
AIM  
itAIS  
Addis Ababa University, Ethiopia  
American University, USA  
Case Western Reserve University, USA  
City University of Hong Kong, China  
Copenhagen Business School, Denmark  
Hanken School of Economics, Finland  
Helsinki School of Economics, Finland  
Indiana University, USA  
Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Belgium  
Lancaster University, UK  
Leeds Metropolitan University, UK  
National University of Ireland Galway, Ireland  
New York University, USA  
Pennsylvania State University, USA  
Pepperdine University, USA  
Syracuse University, USA  
University of Amsterdam, Netherlands  
University of Dallas, USA  
University of Georgia, USA  
University of Groningen, Netherlands  
University of Limerick, Ireland  
University of Oslo, Norway  
University of San Francisco, USA  
University of Washington, USA  
Victoria University of Wellington, New Zealand  
Viktoria Institute, Sweden

*Editorial Board:*

Margunn Aanestad, University of Oslo  
Steven Alter, University of San Francisco  
Egon Berghout, University of Groningen  
Bo-Christer Bjork, Hanken School of Economics  
Tony Bryant, Leeds Metropolitan University  
Erran Carmel, American University  
Kieran Conboy, National U. of Ireland Galway  
Jan Damsgaard, Copenhagen Business School  
Robert Davison, City University of Hong Kong  
Guido Dedene, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven  
Alan Dennis, Indiana University  
Brian Fitzgerald, University of Limerick  
Ole Hanseth, University of Oslo  
Ola Henfridsson, Viktoria Institute  
Sid Huff, Victoria University of Wellington  
Ard Huizing, University of Amsterdam  
Lucas Introna, Lancaster University  
Panos Ipeirotis, New York University  
Robert Mason, University of Washington  
John Mooney, Pepperdine University  
Steve Sawyer, Pennsylvania State University  
Virpi Tuunainen, Helsinki School of Economics  
Francesco Virili, Università degli Studi di Cassino

*Managing Editor:*

Bas Smit, University of Amsterdam

*Office:*

Sprouts  
University of Amsterdam  
Roetersstraat 11, Room E 2.74  
1018 WB Amsterdam, Netherlands  
Email: admin@sprouts.aisnet.org