

## Association for Information Systems AIS Electronic Library (AISeL)

---

ECIS 2009 Proceedings

European Conference on Information Systems  
(ECIS)

---

2009

# Why risk management matters in IT outsourcing - A systematic literature review and elements of a research agenda

Anke Gericke

University of St. Gallen, [anke.gericke@unisg.ch](mailto:anke.gericke@unisg.ch)

Follow this and additional works at: <http://aisel.aisnet.org/ecis2009>

---

### Recommended Citation

Gericke, Anke, "Why risk management matters in IT outsourcing - A systematic literature review and elements of a research agenda" (2009). *ECIS 2009 Proceedings*. 164.

<http://aisel.aisnet.org/ecis2009/164>

This material is brought to you by the European Conference on Information Systems (ECIS) at AIS Electronic Library (AISeL). It has been accepted for inclusion in ECIS 2009 Proceedings by an authorized administrator of AIS Electronic Library (AISeL). For more information, please contact [elibrary@aisnet.org](mailto:elibrary@aisnet.org).

# PROBLEM SOLVING PATTERNS IN DESIGN SCIENCE RESEARCH – LEARNING FROM ENGINEERING

Gericke, Anke, Institute of Information Management, University of St. Gallen, Müller-Friedberg-Strasse 8, 9000 St. Gallen, Switzerland, anke.gericke@unisg.ch

## Abstract

*Within information systems the design science research (DSR) paradigm aims at the development of useful artifacts, e.g. models or methods, with which relevant IS problems can be solved. In analogy to the engineering discipline construction processes have been proposed for DSR. Although different phases of such construction processes are explicated in several articles, contributions are missing that propose patterns/principles that support the constructor during the different phases of the construction process. Vaishnavi and Kuechler (2007) address this issue by proposing DSR patterns. Their contribution is a substantial one; however, it does not include comparable pattern approaches from the engineering discipline for the foundation of the proposed patterns. Bearing in mind that DSR has its roots in engineering, it is important to analyze so called problem solving patterns from engineering and to compare them to the DSR patterns. Using this as a basis, it is our research goal to examine whether it is possible to expand the existing DSR patterns to include patterns from engineering. As a result, 14 additional DSR patterns are proposed which originate from engineering, have not been discussed so far, but promise to be useful for DSR in information systems.*

*Keywords: Design Research, Design Science, Research Methodology*

# 1 INTRODUCTION

## 1.1 Problem Statement

The information systems (IS) discipline differentiates two main research paradigms: behavioral research and design science research (DSR) (Hevner et al. 2004, p. 76). In contrast to behavioral research that focuses on the development of theories, DSR is a problem solving paradigm which does not only have its roots in the sciences of the artificial (Simon 1996) but also in the engineering discipline (Hevner et al. 2004, p. 76). The goal of the DSR discipline is the development of useful artifacts with which IS-related problems can be solved (March & Smith 1995, p. 253). Within DSR the artifact types of March & Smith (1995, p. 256 ff.), i.e. constructs, models, methods and instantiations, have been established as artifacts of the DSR discipline (e.g. cf. Hevner et al. 2004, Vahidov 2006, vom Brocke & Buddendick 2006). Lately, design theories have been discussed as DSR artifacts as well (e.g. cf. Kuechler & Vaishnavi 2008, Venable 2006b).

For the development of such artifacts, construction processes have been proposed in analogy to the engineering discipline (e.g. cf. Pahl et al. 2007, p. 53). In recent years, the construction process developed by March & Smith (1995) has achieved wide acceptance (e.g. cf. Cao et al. 2006, Hevner et al. 2004, Venable 2006a). This construction process consists of a “build” (develop artifact) and an “evaluate” phase (evaluate artifact) (March & Smith 1995, p. 258 ff.). In addition, articles have been published (e.g. cf. Peffers et al. 2006, Rossi & Sein 2003, vom Brocke & Buddendick 2006) that detail these phases, e.g. by explicitly defining an “identify a need” phase (Rossi & Sein 2003) which has to be conducted prior to the development of the artifact. Besides, Hevner et al. (2004, p. 82 ff.) propose seven DSR guidelines that assist researchers and reviewers to understand the requirements for effective DSR. Moreover, the literature analysis shows that some articles also put their focus on solution patterns, i.e. patterns that represent parts of the result of the construction process, but do not support the construction process itself. To give an example, Schermann et al. (2007) propose three patterns that form a design theory for IT service data management systems (result of the construction process).

It is doubtless that the identified contributions are very useful. However, there are hardly any contributions that contain patterns/principles guiding the constructor within the different phases of the construction process in order to solve the research problem through the development of a DSR artifact. Within our literature analysis we only identified the contribution of Vaishnavi & Kuechler (2007) who propose DSR patterns that support the constructor in each phase of the construction process, e.g. the “build” or the “evaluate” phase. In addition to the construction process further phases of the whole research process are supported as well. To give an example, Vaishnavi & Kuechler (2007) identified patterns for the “conclusion” phase, which assist researchers in writing up and publishing their results. Next to patterns that are assigned to a certain phase of the construction/research process, they also proposed so called meta patterns, such as “Brain Storming” or “Stimulating Creativity”, that support more than one or even all construction/research phases. Although the contribution of Vaishnavi & Kuechler (2007) is a substantial one, the underlying foundation of the identified patterns has not been made visible in their publication. Based on a statement of Vaishnavi, both authors have engineering backgrounds but did not use concrete engineering approaches for the foundation of the DSR patterns.<sup>1</sup> Hence, for the proposition of their patterns DSR literature was used without including comparable approaches from engineering. Due to the fact that DSR has its roots in engineering – as e.g. stated by Hevner et al. (2004, p. 76) – it is important to analyze so called problem solving patterns from engineering and to compare them to the DSR patterns of Vaishnavi & Kuechler (2007). The identification of analogous patterns provides a solid foundation and improves the validity of the corresponding DSR

---

<sup>1</sup> E-mail conversation with Vijay K. Vaishnavi between 1 Oct 2008 and 29 Oct 2008.

patterns as they are backed up by their root discipline. This comparison is following the line of arguments developed in (Gericke 2009). Based thereupon, in this paper it is our research goal to examine whether it is possible to expand the existing DSR patterns to include patterns from engineering.

## 1.2 Research Methodology

In the paper at hand, an argumentative analysis is used as research method to address the proposed research goal. In order to develop new DSR patterns based on patterns from the engineering discipline convincing arguments will be derived from literature/relevant research and presented in a logical as well as comprehensible order. Due to space limitations of this paper we focus on the “build” phase.

Before new DSR patterns can be developed, the DSR patterns of Vaishnavi & Kuechler (2007) and pattern approaches from the engineering discipline need to be analyzed. Therefore, a structuring approach already successfully used in both disciplines is used (for engineering e.g. cf. (Günzler & Vilbig 2003, Jarke et al. 2003), for method engineering (a sub-discipline of DSR) e.g. cf. (Brinkkemper 1996)): Following this approach the object or matter under consideration is structured regarding a product view and a process view. Applying this structuring approach to problem solving patterns implies, that patterns referring to the product, i.e. the result of a construction process, (“product view”) and patterns supporting the construction process itself (“process view”) can be differentiated.

Following the described research goal and research methodology we structured our paper as follows: In the second section we describe and analyze problem solving approaches/patterns from the engineering discipline. Thereafter, we introduce and analyze the DSR patterns of Vaishnavi and Kuechler (2007) in detail. In section 4 the results of the comparison of problem solving patterns from engineering and the DSR discipline are presented. Using this as a basis, we attempt to expand the DSR patterns by problem solving patterns from engineering. The paper closes with a summary and an outlook.

## 2 PROBLEM SOLVING PATTERNS IN ENGINEERING

In engineering it was already recognized in the beginning of the last century that the trial-and-error method is not the most efficient way to develop a problem solution (Altschuller 1986, p. 12, Orloff 2006, p. 34). Instead problem solving approaches should be used in order to reduce the number of errors and to solve problems more efficiently (Altschuller 2005, p. 36, Crețu 2007, p. 7, Teufelsdorfer & Conrad 1998, p. 14). Realizing this, Altschuller developed the TRIZ approach, which is the Russian acronym for the “Theory of Inventive Problem Solving” (TIPS) (e.g. cf. Altschuller 2005, Altschuller 2006, Altschuller & Shulyak 2002, Orloff 2006). This approach is well established – in academia as well as in industry (Altschuller 2005, p. 15 ff., Herb et al. 1998, p. 18). Many other approaches addressing inventive problem solving in engineering, such as WOIS (German acronym for contradiction-oriented innovation strategy), the PI concept (Concept of Problem-Oriented Invention) or SIT (Systematic Inventive Thinking), are based thereupon (Pannenbäcker 2007, Teufelsdorfer & Conrad 1998, p. 10). Beside TRIZ, other problem solving approaches have been developed as well (e.g. cf. Hürli-mann 1981, Kelley 2003). However, they are either single contributions and/or did not achieve wider acceptance. That is why we restrict our focus to the TRIZ approach for the analysis at hand.

“TRIZ is a comprehensive, systematically organized invention knowledge and creative thinking methodology” (Crețu 2007, p. 8). The methods and concepts belonging to TRIZ can be divided into four independent groups (Gimpel et al. 2000, p. 7, Löbmann 2002): (1) Analysis (2) Knowledge (3) Analogy, and (4) Vision. The analysis group contains methods that are used to analyze problems and to overcome mental blocks. The second group refers to knowledge bases, e.g. a scientific effects data base. The third group deals with analogies by containing different solution principles/patterns, e.g. 40 innovation principles used to overcome technical contradictions. Finally, the vision group describes development trends and contains e.g. the S-curves of evolution.

The 40 innovation principles belonging to the analogy group are one of the most famous concepts of TRIZ (Rietsch 2007, p. 14). They are patterns which support the constructor in solving technical problems efficiently and effectively (Chen & Lin 2008, p. 14, Rietsch 2007, p. 14 f.). The 40 innovation principles are empirically well founded, because they were derived from more than 40,000 reviewed and analyzed patents of inventions (Altschuller 2005, p. 137). Knowing this, the question arises whether these principles can be transferred to DSR since the DSR discipline cannot build on a comparable empirical basis which can be used to derive such principles/patterns. Though, next to their use in the engineering discipline these principles have already been transferred to other disciplines using conclusions by analogy. Examples can be found for management (Ruchti & Livotov 2001), marketing (Pustogow 2007), human resource management (Müller 2006), etc. Following this argumentation we transfer the innovation principles of TRIZ to the DSR discipline using conclusions by analogy. Regarding the transfer of the 40 innovation principles to other disciplines, Zobel (1991, p. 111) recognizes that the principles are not coequal, but that some principles are more universal than others. Following him such universal principles can be transferred to other disciplines whereas the remaining specific principles are of a rather technical nature and are less suitable to be transferred to other disciplines (Bannert & Warschat 2007, p. 64, Zobel 1991, p. 114 f.). That is why only 22 universal innovation principles will be considered in the following (Zobel 1991, p. 114 f.).<sup>2</sup>

To give an overview of all 40 innovation principles, Table 1 contains their names and gives an exemplary description for every principle. A number (E1, E2, etc.) is assigned as well in order to ease recognition in the remainder of the paper. The last two columns refer to the analysis of the patterns which is presented at the end of this section. The 18 specific principles are shaded in grey and will not be considered in the remainder of this paper.

<b>Problem Solving Patterns in Engineering – The TRIZ Approach</b>				
<b>No.</b>	<b>PSP<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>Exemplary Descriptions</b>	<b>Prod.<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>Proc.<sup>3</sup></b>
E1	Segmentation	Divide an object into independent parts.	X	X
E2	Extraction	Extract the “disturbing” part or property from an object or extract only the necessary part or property from an object.	X	X
E3	Local Quality	Transition from homogeneous to heterogeneous structure of an object. Different parts of an object should carry out different functions. Each part of an object should be placed under conditions that are most favorable for its operation.	X	X
E4	Asymmetry	Change the shape of an object from symmetrical to asymmetrical.	X	X
E5	Merging/ Consolidation	Bring closer together (or merge) identical or similar objects, assemble identical or similar parts to perform parallel operations. Make operations contiguous or parallel; bring them together in time.	X	X
E6	Universality	Make a part or object perform multiple functions; eliminate the need for other parts.	X	X
E7	Nesting	Place one object inside another; place each object, in turn, inside the other.	X	X
E8	Counterweight	To compensate for the weight of an object, merge it with other objects that provide lift or make it interact with the environment (e.g. use aerodynamic, hydrodynamic, and other forces).	X	X
E9	Prior Counter-action	If it will be necessary to do an action with both harmful and useful effects, this action should be replaced with counteractions to control harmful effects. Create beforehand stresses in an object that will oppose known undesirable working stresses later on.	X	X
E10	Prior Action	Perform, before it is needed, the required change of an object (either fully or partially). Pre-arrange objects such that they can come into action from the most convenient place and without losing time for their delivery.	X	X
E11	Cushion in Advance	Prepare emergency means beforehand to compensate for the relatively low reliability of an object.	X	X

<sup>2</sup> Zobel (1991, p. 114 f.) originally characterized 23 of the 40 innovation principles as universal. Due to the fact that the principle E18 “Mechanical Vibration” seems to be very specific for the engineering discipline we assign it to the category of specific principles and do not consider it any further.

Problem Solving Patterns in Engineering – The TRIZ Approach				
No.	PSP <sup>1</sup>	Exemplary Descriptions	Prod. <sup>2</sup>	Proc. <sup>3</sup>
E12	Equipotentiality	In a potential field, limit position changes (e.g. change operating conditions to eliminate the need to raise or lower objects in a gravity field).	X	X
E13	Do It in Reverse	Invert the action(s) used to solve the problem (e.g. instead of cooling an object, heat it). Turn object “upside down”.	X	X
E14	Spheroidality, Curvature	Instead of using rectilinear parts, surfaces, or forms, use curvilinear ones; move from flat surfaces to spherical ones. Go from linear to rotary motion, use centrifugal forces.	X	X
E15	Dynamics	Divide an object into parts capable of movement relative to each other. If an object (or process) is rigid or inflexible, make it movable or adaptive.	X	X
E16	Partial or Excessive Action	If it is difficult to obtain 100% of a desired effect, achieve more or less of the desired effect.	X	X
E17	Another Dimension	To move an object in two- or three-dimensional space. Tilt or re-orient the object, lay it on its side. Use “another side” of a given area.	X	X
E18	Mechanical Vibration	Cause an object to oscillate or vibrate. Increase its frequency (even up to the ultrasonic). Use an object's resonant frequency. Use piezoelectric vibrators instead of mechanical ones. Use combined ultrasonic and electromagnetic field oscillations.	X	X
E19	Periodic Action	Instead of continuous action, use periodic or pulsating actions. If an action is already periodic, change the periodic magnitude or frequency.	X	X
E20	Continuity of Useful Action	Carry on work continuously; make all parts of an object work at full load, all the time. Eliminate all idle or intermittent actions or work.	X	X
E21	Rushing Through	Conduct a process, or certain stages (e.g. destructible, harmful or hazardous operations) at high speed.	X	X
E22	Convert Harm Into Benefit	Use harmful factors (particularly, harmful effects of the environment or surroundings) to achieve a positive effect.	X	X
E23	Feedback	Introduce feedback (referring back, cross-checking) to improve a process or action.	X	X
E24	Intermediary	Use an intermediary carrier article or intermediary process.	X	X
E25	Self-Service	Make an object serve itself by performing auxiliary helpful functions. Use waste resources, energy, or substances.	X	X
E26	Copying	Instead of an unavailable, expensive, fragile object, use simpler and inexpensive copies. Replace an object, or process with optical copies.	X	X
E27	Cheap Short-Living Objects	Replace an expensive object with a multiple of inexpensive objects, comprising certain qualities (such as service life, for instance).	X	X
E28	Mechanics Substitution	Replace a mechanical means with a sensory (optical, acoustic, taste or smell) means. Change from static to movable fields, from unstructured to those having structure.	X	X
E29	Pneumatics/ Hydraulics	Use gas and liquid parts of an object instead of solid parts (e.g. inflatable, filled with liquids, air cushion, hydrostatic, hydro-reactive).	X	X
E30	Flexible Shells/ Thin Films	Use flexible shells and thin films instead of three dimensional structures.	X	X
E31	Porous Materials	Make an object porous or add porous elements (inserts, coatings, etc.). If an object is already porous, use the pores to introduce a useful substance or function.	X	X
E32	Color Changes	Change the color of an object or its external environment. Change the transparency of an object or its external environment.	X	X
E33	Homogeneity	Make objects interacting with a given object of the same material (or material with identical properties).	X	X
E34	Discarding/ Recovering	Make portions of an object that have fulfilled their functions go away (discard by dissolving, evaporating, etc.) or modify these directly during operation.	X	X
E35	Parameter Changes	Change an object's physical state (e.g. to a gas, liquid, or solid). Change the concentration or consistency. Change the degree of flexibility.	X	X
E36	Phase Transition	Use phenomena occurring during phase transitions (e.g. volume changes, loss or absorption of heat, etc.).	X	X
E37	Thermal Expansion	Use thermal expansion (or contraction) of materials. If thermal expansion is being used, use multiple materials with different coefficients of thermal expansion.	X	X
E38	Strong Oxidants	Replace common air with oxygen-enriched air. Replace enriched air with pure oxygen.	X	X
E39	Inert Atmosphere	Replace a normal environment with an inert one. Add neutral parts, or inert additives to an object.	X	X

Problem Solving Patterns in Engineering – The TRIZ Approach				
No.	PSP <sup>1</sup>	Exemplary Descriptions	Prod. <sup>2</sup>	Proc. <sup>3</sup>
E40	Composite Materials	Change from uniform to composite (multiple) materials.	X	X

<sup>1</sup> Problem Solving Pattern, <sup>2</sup> Product View, <sup>3</sup> Process View

Table 1. Problem Solving Patterns in Engineering – The TRIZ Approach

After presenting the 40 innovation principles of TRIZ, we analyze them regarding the proposed structuring of “product view” and “process view”. Studying the descriptions of the principles, e.g. E1 – “Divide an *object* into independent parts.” or E2 – “Extract the ‘disturbing’ part from an *object* ...” (see Table 1), it becomes obvious that the innovation principles basically refer to the *object*, i.e. the result/product, of the construction process. Hence, the innovation principles of the TRIZ approach are patterns possessing a product view, as they refer to the product/artifact which will be developed in the construction process (see fourth column in Table 1). However, looking from a process perspective it can be realized that the innovation principles can also be interpreted as actions that have to be conducted in a construction process. That is why all innovation principles are also marked with an “X” in the “process view” column in Table 1.

### 3 PROBLEM SOLVING PATTERNS IN THE DESIGN SCIENCE RESEARCH DISCIPLINE

In DSR Vaishnavi & Kuechler (2007) were the first proposing problem solving patterns. Amongst patterns for other phases of the construction process, they identified 27 patterns that support the constructor in the “build” phase of the construction process. Each of these patterns is characterized by a name and described in various dimensions: (A) For each pattern the *intended* field of use is described. (B) This is followed by a short statement about the *context and applicability* of the pattern and (C) a *description* about how to use it. (D) This goes together with a short explanation about the *consequences* of the usage of the pattern. Most pattern descriptions are accompanied by (E) *examples* and (F) lists of *sources/references*. (G) Sometimes referrals to *related patterns* are stated as well.

To make an example, the pattern “Approaches for Building Theory” (DSR2) will be explained according to the above listed dimensions (Vaishnavi & Kuechler 2007, p. 122 f.). Due to space limitations (F) *sources and references* are omitted and the description of (E) *examples* is reduced to one example; referrals to (G) *related patterns* are not available for this pattern. This pattern is (A) *intended* to encourage researchers to obtain a general understanding of the different approaches for developing theories. This research pattern can be used after identifying and developing the research problem ((B) *context and applicability*). In order to develop theories the researcher can choose from four different general approaches: (1) hypothetical/deductive, (2) prototyping (hermeneutical/inductive), (3) case-based and (4) historical, whereas each general approach is described in more detail in the book of Vaishnavi & Kuechler (2007) ((C) *description*). As a (D) *consequence* of the use of this pattern, the researcher should assess the suitability of the taken general approach based on the research problem and research area. Maybe corrective actions are necessary in such a way that approaches have to be combined or completely new approaches have to be taken into consideration. As one (E) *example* the research of Chen (1976) is referenced as he used the hypothetical/deductive approach to build theory.

Table 2 contains an overview of the 27 pattern of Vaishnavi & Kuechler (2007) supporting the “build” phase of the construction process. Each pattern is listed by its name and a short description referring to the *intent* of the pattern. Analogous to the innovation principles of TRIZ each pattern is accompanied by a number (DSR1, DSR2, etc.) and two columns indicating the product or process view of a pattern.

DSR Patterns to Support the "Build" Phase				
No.	PSP <sup>1</sup>	Description (Intent)	Prod. <sup>2</sup>	Proc. <sup>3</sup>
DSR1	Theory Development	Explicitly state the theory that underlies the problem solution.	X	X

DSR Patterns to Support the "Build" Phase				
No.	PSP <sup>1</sup>	Description (Intent)	Prod. <sup>2</sup>	Proc. <sup>3</sup>
DSR2	Approaches for Building Theory	Obtain a general understanding of the different approaches for building theory.		X
DSR3	Hermeneutical and Inductive Approach	Get a complete understanding of the hermeneutical and inductive approach to building theory.		X
DSR4	Incremental Theory Development	Develop theory in an incremental fashion that addresses the research problem.	X	X
DSR5	Problem Space Tools and Techniques	Identify tools and techniques applicable to the problem space.		X
DSR6	Research Community Tools and Techniques	Identify the tools and techniques that the relevant research community uses for solving problems similar to one's own research problem.		X
DSR7	Empirical Refinement	Develop a solution to the research problem through iterations of system development, empirical observation, and refinement.	X	X
DSR8	Easy Solution First	Try an easy solution first.		X
DSR9	Elegant Design	Design an artifact that is general and can be described functionally.	X	X
DSR10	Divide and Conquer with Balancing	Manage complexity by dividing the problem into identical smaller problems.		X
DSR11	Hierarchical Design	Design a complex system using the divide and conquer strategy.	X	X
DSR12	Building Blocks	Divide the given complex research problem into smaller problems that can form the building blocks for solving the original problem.		X
DSR13	Sketching Solution	Sketch the solution to a given research problem (or the design of a complex system).	X	X
DSR14	Emerging Tasks	Identify the next task that can contribute to the solution of the research problem and let the succeeding tasks emerge.		X
DSR15	Modeling Existing Solutions	Model existing solutions to similar problems to develop a solution approach.	X	X
DSR16	Combining Partial Solutions	Find and combine partial solutions to parts of the research problem to form the entire solution.	X	X
DSR17	Static and Dynamic Parts	Separate the static and dynamic parts of the research problem and solve them separately.		X
DSR18	Simulation & Exploration	Understand and predict the behavior of a designed system.		X
DSR19	Interdisciplinary Solution Extrapolation	Explore the possibility that a solution or solution approach to a problem in one discipline or domain can be applied in or adapted to a different domain.		X
DSR20	Different Perspectives	Look at the research problem from different perspectives.		X
DSR21	General Solution Principle	Construct a general solution for a class of problems.	X	X
DSR22	Abstracting Concepts	Abstract concepts from existing solutions to generalize the solutions and to theorize.	X	X
DSR23	Using Surrogates	Use surrogates to aid research.	X	X
DSR24	Using Human Roles	Use human roles for ideas and concepts.		X
DSR25	Integrating Techniques	Integrate existing techniques, models or solutions in areas of their respective strengths.	X	X
DSR26	Technological Approach Exemplars	Use known exemplars to aid solution development.	X	X
DSR27	Means-End-Analysis	Use means-ends analysis to reach a desired solution state.		X

<sup>1</sup> Problem Solving Pattern, <sup>2</sup> Product View, <sup>3</sup> Process View

Table 2. Problem Solving Patterns in the "Build" Phase in DSR (Vaishnavi & Kuechler 2007)

In analogy to the innovation principles of the TRIZ approach, we analyze the DSR patterns of Vaishnavi & Kuechler (2007) regarding the proposed structuring of "product view" and "process view" as well. Studying the first pattern DSR1 "Theory Development" (see Table 2), it becomes obvious that this is a pattern containing a product view because it suggests that next to the solution, i.e. a construct, model, method or instantiation, another product, i.e. the underlying design theory, should be



explicated. Equivalent to the TRIZ principles this DSR pattern can also be regarded as a pattern containing a process view, because it can also be interpreted as an action that has to be conducted in a construction process. Studying the second pattern DSR2 “Approaches for Building Theory” reveals that this pattern only possesses as process view as it tries to support the researcher in obtaining a general understanding of the different approaches for developing theories throughout the construction process. In contrast to the process view of the pattern DSR1 at which the action is directly conducted on the later solution/product of the construction process, the process view of DSR pattern 2 refers to actions that a researcher conducts within a construction process but that are only indirectly related to the later result. The complete analysis of all DSR patterns shows that all DSR patterns contain a process view that is directly or indirectly related to the final solution/result and guides the researcher through the construction process (see Table 2). Furthermore, 13 of these 27 DSR patterns possess a product view as well.<sup>3</sup> Irrespective of being directly or indirectly related to the result of the construction process, patterns that contain a process view will be in the focus of our research. Due to space limitations the product view of the above listed patterns is not compared or expanded any further.

## 4 VERIFICATION AND EXPANSION OF DESIGN SCIENCE RESEARCH PATTERNS

### 4.1 Design Science Research Patterns Verified by the Engineering Discipline

So far the DSR patterns of Vaishnavi and Kuechler (2007) that support the build phase have been based on DSR literature. In order to back them up by their root discipline, they were compared to the 22 universal TRIZ innovation principles (cf. Gericke 2009). Thereby the focus was put on DSR patterns that refer to the process view (see Table 2). Based on the comparison of DSR patterns and the universal innovation principles of the TRIZ approach in (Gericke 2009), Table 3 shows the results of that comparison: Nine DSR patterns could be verified by TRIZ innovation principles of the engineering discipline, which builds the roots of DSR. In Table 3 the first two columns present DSR patterns. The next two columns contain the corresponding TRIZ principles. Finally in the fifth column an explanation about the comparison of the DSR and the TRIZ pattern is given.

Comparison of Problem Solving Patterns in DSR and Engineering (TRIZ)				
No. <sup>1</sup>	PSP DSR <sup>2</sup>	No. <sup>3</sup>	PSP TRIZ <sup>4</sup>	Explanation
DSR5	Problem Space Tools/Techniques	E10	Prior Action	The identification of problem space tools and techniques can be interpreted as a preliminary action in the construction process.
DSR6	Research Community Tools/Techniques	E10	Prior Action	The identification of tools and techniques that the relevant research community uses for solving similar problems can be interpreted as a preliminary action in the construction process.
DSR7	Empirical Refinement	E23	Feedback	The results of an empirical observation which was conducted on an artifact developed beforehand can be interpreted as feedback and results in the refinement of the artifact.
DSR10	Divide & Conquer with Balancing	E1	Segmentation	Dividing a problem into smaller problems of identical size is (partly) equivalent to dividing an object into parts (segmentation).
DSR11	Hierarchical Design	E1	Segmentation	Dividing a complex system into a hierarchy of sub-systems is (partly) equivalent to dividing an object into parts (segmentation).
DSR12	Building Blocks	E1	Segmentation	Dividing a problem into smaller problems of unequal size is (partly) equivalent to dividing an object into parts (segmentation).
DSR20	Different Perspectives	E17	Another Dimension	The TRIZ pattern “Another Dimension” is equivalent to the Vaishnavi/Kuechler pattern “Different Perspectives”.

<sup>3</sup> Following a relaxed understanding of “product view”, the number of patterns that possess a product view as well can be reduced by three.

Comparison of Problem Solving Patterns in DSR and Engineering (TRIZ)				
No. <sup>1</sup>	PSP DSR <sup>2</sup>	No. <sup>3</sup>	PSP TRIZ <sup>4</sup>	Explanation
DSR21	General Solution Principle	E6	Universality	An object that performs multiple functions (universality) is comparable to the “General Solution Principle” aiming at the development of a general solution for a class of problems.
DSR23	Using Surrogates	E26	Copying	The use of simpler and inexpensive copies can be compared to the DSR pattern “use of surrogates”.
DSR23	Using Surrogates	E27	Cheap Short-Living Objects	The replacement of an expensive object by cheap short-living objects is equivalent to the “use of surrogates”.
DSR23	Using Surrogates	E28	Mechanical Substitution	The replacement of mechanical means can also be interpreted as a special case of the DSR pattern “use of surrogates”.

<sup>1</sup> Number of the DSR Pattern (Vaishnavi & Kuechler 2007), <sup>2</sup> Problem Solving Pattern in DSR (Vaishnavi & Kuechler 2007), <sup>3</sup> Number of the Problem Solving Pattern of the TRIZ Approach, <sup>4</sup> Problem Solving Pattern of the TRIZ Approach

Table 3. Comparison of Problem Solving Patterns in DSR and Engineering (TRIZ) (cf. Gericke 2009)

#### 4.2 Transfer of TRIZ Patterns to the Design Science Research Approach

Based on the comparison of the patterns, we try to transfer the remaining 14 universal innovation principles of TRIZ to DSR. Studying the first remaining TRIZ principle E2 “Extraction”, disturbing parts or only the necessary part of an object should be extracted. Transferred to DSR this could be interpreted in such a way that the researcher has to concentrate on solvable parts of the research problem whereas unsolvable parts are (temporarily) not considered. Table 4 presents the results of the transfer of TRIZ patterns. In the first two columns of the table the remaining TRIZ principles are presented. The next two columns of the table contain the new DSR patterns (new number and new name of the pattern) that result from the transfer of the TRIZ principles. Finally in the fifth column an explanation is given on how the TRIZ principle can be used in DSR.

Transfer of TRIZ Patterns to the DSR Discipline				
No. <sup>1</sup>	PSP TRIZ <sup>2</sup>	No. <sup>3</sup>	new PSP DSR <sup>4</sup>	Explanation
E2	Extraction	DSR <sup>28</sup>	Focused Artifact Construction	Concentrate on the construction process and eliminate (temporarily) unsolvable parts of the problem.
E3	Local Quality	DSR <sup>29</sup>	Construction Process Adaptation	Consider contingency aspects in the development phase of an artifact construction process. For example, adapt the construction process to the culture of the research team.
E5	Merging/Consol.	DSR <sup>30</sup>	Multiple Tasks	Bring together the input of multiple researchers on one research problem.
E9	Prior Counteraction	DSR <sup>31</sup>	Side Effect Evaluation	Before evaluating/using a constructed artifact, explore possible negative effects of its use and propose counteractions that have to be conducted prior or parallel to the use of the artifact.
E11	Cushion in Advance	DSR <sup>32</sup>	Rough Solution First	Iteratively develop an artifact to have a rough solution as soon as possible. In the remaining time improve and refine your solution step by step.
E12	Equipotentiality	DSR <sup>33</sup>	Reduce Research Efforts	Reduce your research efforts within the “build” phase by falling back on existing (parts) of solutions stored in construction catalogues, such as method repositories for the construction of methods used in the field of method engineering within the DSR discipline.
E13	Do It in Reverse	DSR <sup>34</sup>	Unconventional Approach	Do something other than expected within the “build” phase.
E15	Dynamics	DSR <sup>35</sup>	Loose Coupling	Use “loose coupling” as a design paradigm.
E16	Partial or Excessive Action	DSR <sup>36</sup>	Partial or Excessive Action	If 100 percent of an artifact is hard to achieve using a given method then, by using “slightly less” or “slightly more” of the same method, the problem may be considerably easier to solve.
E20	Continuity of Useful Action	DSR <sup>37</sup>	Continuous Construction Process	Try to continuously work on the solution of the research problem. Avoid long breaks in order to stay familiar with the problem, your ideas and the planned research procedure.

Transfer of TRIZ Patterns to the DSR Discipline				
No. <sup>1</sup>	PSP TRIZ <sup>2</sup>	No. <sup>3</sup>	new PSP DSR <sup>4</sup>	Explanation
E21	Rushing Through	DSR <sup>3</sup> 38	Idea Tracking	If you spontaneously got an idea regarding the solution of a given problem, immediately pursue this idea.
E22	Convert Harm Into Benefit	DSR <sup>3</sup> 39	Provocation	Provoke your research team with wrong assumptions in order to improve idea generation regarding the solution of the problem.
E24	Intermediary	DSR <sup>3</sup> 40	Intermediary	Call a mentor or consultant in your construction process that can support you in different activities.
E25	Self-Service	DSR <sup>3</sup> 41	Re-Use Ideas	Document all ideas during the construction process, even if you dismiss them, to take them up in future research projects.

<sup>1</sup> Number of the Problem Solving Pattern in Engineering (TRIZ), <sup>2</sup> Problem Solving Pattern in Engineering (TRIZ), <sup>3</sup> Number of the new DSR Pattern, <sup>4</sup> new Problem Solving Pattern in DSR

Table 4. Transfer of TRIZ Principles to DSR

The attempt to expand the existing DSR patterns by the remaining universal TRIZ innovation principles from the engineering discipline successfully resulted in 14 new DSR patterns. Hence, all 22 universal TRIZ innovation principles could either be used to back up existing DSR patterns or to serve as a basis for the derivation of new DSR patterns.

## 5 SUMMARY AND FURTHER RESEARCH

Analyzing the body of literature of DSR it became obvious that there are patterns/principles missing that guide a constructor within the different phases of the construction process. To address this issue, we took up the DSR patterns of Vaishnavi & Kuechler (2007). Due to the fact that their foundation is limited to DSR literature and comparable concepts from the engineering discipline, which form the roots of DSR, have not been used for their foundation, we analyzed problem solving patterns from the engineering discipline. TRIZ, which is the most established problem solving approach in this discipline, was chosen and the included 22 universal innovation principles presented and analyzed in detail.

To support the analysis of both, the problem solving patterns from TRIZ and the DSR patterns of Vaishnavi & Kuechler (2007), a structuring approach which differentiates between patterns referring to a product perspective (the result of the construction process) and patterns referring to a process perspective (the construction process itself) was used. All DSR patterns possess a process character, whereas only some of them contain a product view as well. In a next step, the DSR patterns referring to the process perspective were compared to the universal innovation principles of TRIZ trying to verify the DSR patterns. In doing so, nine DSR patterns could be verified (cf. Gericke 2009). Using this as a basis, we attempted to expand the DSR patterns of Vaishnavi & Kuechler (2007). The remaining 14 universal innovation principles of TRIZ were additionally transferred to the DSR discipline, i.e. the existing DSR patterns of Vaishnavi & Kuechler (2007) could be successfully expanded to include further patterns from the engineering discipline.

In further research works these new patterns should be evaluated. On the one hand, evidence for these new patterns should be adduced by analyzing existing DSR literature and trying to retrieve these patterns from former research. On the other hand, these patterns can be used in future construction processes and the extent to which the efficiency of the construction process was improved by their use should be evaluated. Furthermore it would be helpful and improve usability of the patterns if the new identified patterns would be described as detailed as the existing DSR patterns, e.g. by describing intent, context and applicability, consequences etc. of the patterns. In addition, further research could address the identification of further DSR patterns. First, it is possible to try to transfer the 18 specific innovation principles of TRIZ to the DSR discipline. Second, the DSR patterns referring to a product perspective could be expanded by including the TRIZ innovation principles. Finally, next to problem solving methodologies from the engineering discipline such approaches from other disciplines, e.g. psychology, could be included in DSR as well.

## Acknowledgment

I would like to thank Vijay K. Vaishnavi who supported me during the preparation of this paper by answering questions regarding the understanding of some patterns and the foundation of the DSR patterns. I am grateful to Tobias Bucher and Joachim Schelp for their support during different stages of the paper development including several valuable discussions. Many thanks go to the anonymous paper reviewers for their valuable feedback.

## References

- Altschuller, G. S. (1986). *Erfinden: Wege zur Lösung technischer Probleme*. Technik, Berlin.
- Altschuller, G. S. (2005). *The Innovation Algorithm: TRIZ, systematic innovation and technical creativity*. Technical Innovation Center, Worcester.
- Altschuller, G. S. (2006). *And suddenly the inventor appeared: TRIZ, the theory of inventive problem solving*. Technical Innovation Center, Worcester.
- Altschuller, G. S. and Shulyak, L. (2002). *40 principles, TRIZ keys to technical innovation*. Technical Innovation Center, Worcester.
- Bannert, M. and Warschat, J. (2007). Vereinfachung von Managementmethoden durch TRIZ. In *TRIZ: Anwendung und Weiterentwicklung in nicht-technischen Bereichen* (Rietsch, P., Ed.), pp. 61-89, Facultas-WUV, Vienna.
- Brinkkemper, S. (1996). Method engineering: engineering of information systems development methods and tools. *Information and Software Technology*, 38 (4), pp. 275-280.
- Cao, J., Crews, J. M., Lin, M., Deokar, A., Burgoon, J. K. and Nunamaker, J. F. J. (2006). Interactions Between System Evaluation and Theory Testing: A Demonstration of the Power of a Multifaceted Approach to Information Systems Research. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, 22 (4), pp. 207-235.
- Chen, F. and Lin, Y.-H. (2008). A systematic innovation model based on TRIZ. VDM Verlag Dr. Müller, Saarbrücken.
- Chen, P. (1976). The Entity-Relationship Model: Toward a Unified View of Data. *ACM Transactions on Database Systems*, 1 (1), pp. 9-37.
- Crețu, S.-M. (2007). *Applications of TRIZ in Mechanisms & Bionics*. Academica, Greifswald.
- Gericke, A. (2009). Analysis of Design Science Research Patterns from an Engineering Perspective. In *Proceedings of the IADIS International Conference Information Systems 2009 (IS 2009)* (Nunes, M. B. and Isaias, P. and Powell, P., Eds.), pp. 64-72 Barcelona.
- Gimpel, B., Herb, T. and Herb, R. (2000). *Ideen finden, Produkte entwickeln mit TRIZ*. Hanser, Munich, Vienna.
- Günzler, A. and Vilbig, A. (2003). Integrating Product and Process in Model-based Engineering. In *Proc. of the Software Engineering and Applications Conference (SEA 2003)*, Hamza, M. H., Marina del Rey, USA.
- Herb, R., Terninko, J., Zusman, A. and Zlotin, B. (1998). *TRIZ – der Weg zum konkurrenzlosen Erfolgsprodukt: Ideen produzieren, Nischen besetzen, Märkte gewinnen*. Moderne Industrie, Landsberg/Lech.
- Hevner, A. R., March, S. T., Park, J. and Ram, S. (2004). Design Science in Information Systems Research. *MIS Quarterly*, 28 (1), pp. 75-105.
- Hürlimann, W. (1981). *Methodenkatalog: Ein systematisches Inventar von über 3000 Problemlösungsmethoden*. Lang, Bern et al.
- Jarke, M., Fritzen, O., Miatidis, M. and Schlüter, M. (2003). Media-Assisted Product and Process Requirements Traceability in Supply Chains. In *Proceedings of the 11th IEEE International Requirements Engineering Conference*, pp. 375-376, IEEE, Monterey Bay, California, USA.
- Kelley, T. (2003). *Art of Innovation: Success Through Innovation the IDEO Way*. Profile Books, London.

- Kuechler, W. L. and Vaishnavi, V. K. (2008). Theory Development in Design Science Research: Anatomy of a Research Project. In Proceedings of the Third International Conference on Design Science Research in Information Systems and Technology (DESRIST 2008) (Vaishnavi, V. K. and Baskerville, R., Eds.), pp. 1-15 Atlanta.
- Löbmann, A. (2002). The TRIZ-Methodology – an always ongoing innovative cycle. The TRIZ Journal, (March), <http://www.triz-journal.com/archives/2002/03/d/index.htm>.
- March, S. T. and Smith, G. F. (1995). Design and Natural Science Research on Information Technology. Decision Support Systems, 15 (4), pp. 251-266.
- Müller, S. (2006). Methodisches Erfinden im Personalmanagement: erfolgreiche Anpassung TRIZ-basierter Werkzeuge. Deutscher Universitäts-Verlag, Wiesbaden.
- Orloff, M. A. (2006). Inventive thinking through TRIZ: a practical guide. Springer, Berlin et al.
- Pahl, G., Beitz, W., Feldhusen, J. and Grote, K.-H. (2007). Engineering Design: A Systematic Approach. 3. Ed. Springer, London.
- Pannenbäcker, T. (2007). Methodisches Erfinden in Unternehmen: Bedarf, Konzept, Perspektiven für TRIZ-basierte Erfolge. Books on Demand, Norderstedt.
- Peffer, K., Tuunanen, T., Gengler, C. E., Rossi, M., Hui, W., Virtanen, V. and Bragge, J. (2006). The Design Science Research Process: A Model for Producing and Presenting Information Systems Research. Proceedings of the First International Conference on Design Science Research in Information Systems and Technology (DESRIST 2006), Claremont, pp. 83-106.
- Pustogow, V. V. (2007). Anwendung von TRIZ im Marketing. In TRIZ: Anwendung und Weiterentwicklung in nicht-technischen Bereichen (Rietsch, P., Ed.), pp. 211-250, Facultas-WUV, Vienna.
- Rietsch, P. (2007). Einführung. In TRIZ: Anwendung und Weiterentwicklung in nicht-technischen Bereichen (Rietsch, P., Ed.), pp. 13-20, Facultas-WUV, Vienna.
- Rossi, M. and Sein, M. K. (2003). Design Research Workshop: A Proactive Research Approach. Präsentation auf dem Design Research Workshop IRIS26, Haikko, Finland.
- Ruchti, B. and Livotov, P. (2001). TRIZ-based Innovation Principles and a Process for Problem Solving in Business and Management. In Proc. of the World Conference: TRIZ Future 2001 Bath.
- Schermann, M., Böhm, T. and Krömer, H. (2007). A Pattern-based Approach for Constructing Design Theories with Conceptual Models. Proceedings of the 15th European Conference on Information Systems "Relevant rigour – Rigorous relevance", St. Gallen, pp. 1368-1379.
- Simon, H. A. (1996). The sciences of the artificial. 3. Ed. MIT Press, Cambridge.
- Teufelsdorfer, H. and Conrad, A. (1998). Kreatives Entwickeln und innovatives Problemlösen mit TRIZ/TIPS: Einführung in die Methodik und ihre Verknüpfung mit QFD. Publicis-MCD-Verlag, Erlangen, Munich.
- Vahidov, R. (2006). Design Researcher's IS Artifact: a Representational Framework. In Proceedings of the First International Conference on Design Science Research in Information Systems and Technology (DESRIST 2006) (Chatterjee, S. and Hevner, A., Eds.), pp. 19-33 Claremont.
- Vaishnavi, V. K. and Kuechler, W. L. (2007). Design Science Research Methods and Patterns. Auerbach, Boca Raton, New York.
- Venable, J. R. (2006a). A Framework for Design Science Research Activities. In Proceedings of the Information Resources Management Association International Conference (IRMA 2006), pp. 184-187, Idea Group, Hershey et al.
- Venable, J. R. (2006b). The Role of Theory and Theorising in Design Science Research. In Proceedings of the First International Conference on Design Science in Information Systems and Technology (DESRIST 2006) (Chatterjee, S. and Hevner, A., Eds.), pp. 1-18 Claremont.
- Vom Brocke, J. and Buddendick, C. (2006). Reusable Conceptual Models - Requirements Based on the Design Science Research Paradigm. In Proceedings of the First International Conference on Design Science Research in Information Systems and Technology (DESRIST 2006) (Chatterjee, S. and Hevner, A., Eds.), pp. 576-604 Claremont.
- Zobel, D. (1991). Erfinderpraxis: Ideenvielfalt durch systematisches Erfinden. Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, Berlin.