

Technological University Dublin ARROW@TU Dublin

Articles

Crest: Centre for Research in Engineering Surface Technology

2009

One-Pot Synthesis of Anionic (Nitrogen) and Cationic (Sulfur) Codoped High-Temperature Stable, Visible Light Active, Anatase Photocatalysts

Suresh Pillai Technological University Dublin, suresh.pillai@tudublin.ie

Declan McCormack *Technological University Dublin*, Declan.mccormack@tudublin.ie

Steven Hinder

Pradeepan Periyat Technological University Dublin, pradeepan.periyat@tudublin.ie

Follow this and additional works at: https://arrow.tudublin.ie/cenresart

Part of the Chemical Engineering Commons, Inorganic Chemistry Commons, Materials Chemistry Commons, Nanoscience and Nanotechnology Commons, and the Physical Chemistry Commons

Recommended Citation

Periyat, P., McCormack, D., Hinder, S., Pillai, S. (2009): One-Pot Synthesis of Anionic (Nitrogen) and Cationic (Sulfur) Codoped High-Temperature Stable, Visible Light Active, Anatase Photocatalysts. *Journal of physical chemistry C*, 113,(8), 2009, pp. 3246-3253. doi:10.1021/jp808444y

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Crest: Centre for Research in Engineering Surface Technology at ARROW@TU Dublin. It has been accepted for inclusion in Articles by an authorized administrator of ARROW@TU Dublin. For more information, please contact yvonne.desmond@tudublin.ie, arrow.admin@tudublin.ie, brian.widdis@tudublin.ie.



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-Share Alike 3.0 License





Dublin Institute of Technology ARROW@DIT

Articles

School of Chemical and Pharmaceutical Sciences

2009-01-01

One-Pot Synthesis of Anionic (Nitrogen) and Cationic (Sulfur) Codoped High-Temperature Stable, Visible Light Active, Anatase Photocatalysts

Suresh C. Pillai

Declan McCormack

John Colreavy

Pradeepan Periyat

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the School of Chemical and Pharmaceutical Sciences at ARROW@DIT. It has been accepted for inclusion in Articles by an authorized administrator of ARROW@DIT. For more information, please contact yvonne.desmond@dit.ie, arrow.admin@dit.ie.



J. Phys. Chem. C XXXX, xxx, 000

47

48

49

50

51

One-Pot Synthesis of Anionic (Nitrogen) and Cationic (Sulfur) Codoped High-Temperature Stable, Visible Light Active, Anatase Photocatalysts

Pradeepan Periyat,^{†,‡} Declan E. McCormack,^{*,‡} Steven J. Hinder,[§] and Suresh C. Pillai^{*,†}

Centre for Research in Engineering Surface Technology (CREST), FOCAS Institute, Dublin Institute of Technology, Camden Row, Dublin 8, Ireland, School of Chemical and Pharmaceutical Sciences, Dublin Institute of Technology, Kevin Street, Dublin 8, Ireland, and The Surface Analysis Laboratory, School of Engineering, University of Surrey, Guildford, Surrey GU2 7XH, U.K.

Received: September 23, 2008; Revised Manuscript Received: December 09, 2008

An efficient and straightforward method for the preparation of nitrogen and sulfur (N, S) codoped high-temperature stable, visible light active, anatase titania is reported. For the first time simultaneous nitrogen and sulfur doping was achieved using a single source, ammonium sulfate $[(NH_4)_2SO_4]$, as the modification agent of the titanium isopropoxide (TTIP) precursor. A stoichiometric modification of 1:8 TTIP:(NH₄)₂SO₄ composition (TNS8) was found to be the most effective in extending the stability of anatase to higher temperatures. This particular modification resulted in 100% anatase at 850 °C and 41% anatase at 900 °C, whereas the control titania contained only 12% anatase at 700 °C and completely transformed to rutile at 800 °C. Codoped (N, S) titania was investigated by a range of characterization techniques including XRD, Raman spectroscopy, XPS, and FTIR. XPS indicated the existence of nitrogen as an anion dopant and sulfur as a cation dopant within the TiO_2 lattice. The UV/visible and visible light photocatalytic studies were carried out using the rhodamine 6G dye as a model system. The visible light photocatalytic activity of the TNS8 sample calcined at 850 °C was double that of Degussa P25, and the rate constant calculated by pseudo-first-order kinetics was 0.019 min^{-1} for the TNS8 sample and 0.008 min^{-1} for Degussa P25. This higher photocatalytic activity was attributable to a combination of improved anatase phase stability, higher surface area, and codoped (N, S) titania lattice. Moreover, this codoped (N, S) sample also exhibits excellent photocatalytic activity under UV/visible light.

1. Introduction

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

27

31

35

37

39

41

42

43

Nanocrystalline titania is considered to be an eco-friendly 25 material and has found applications in various fields such as photovoltaics, photocatalysis, self-cleaning coatings, and nanochromic display devices.¹⁻⁵ Among the three forms of titania 28 (anatase, rutile, and brookite), anatase usually exhibits the 29 highest photocatalytic activity. The higher adsorption affinity 30 toward organic compounds, along with the lower electron-hole recombination rate of anatase, phase makes it a superior 32 photocatalyst.^{6,7} The anatase phase is irreversibly converted to 33 the less reactive rutile phase at 500-600 °C under normal 34 conditions,^{2,8–10} which limits its suitability for high-temperature applications. Many proposed innovative and commercial ap-36 plications for photocatalytically active stable titania-coated materials such as bathroom tiles, sanitary wares, and self-38 cleaning glass for the control of organic contaminants require high processing temperatures and hence high-temperature 40 stability.^{2,11,12} High consumer demand is projected for these materials. To date most of the work reported to improve the thermal stability of anatase titania utilizes metal ion doping.13-15 This method, which involves the addition of inorganic oxides 44 (e.g., Al₂O₃, CeO₂) to generate metal ions at higher temperatures, 45 has successfully been used to control the anatase-to-rutile 46

transformation temperature as well as improve the photocatalytic activity of titania. However, the drawback of this method is the generation of secondary impurity phases (e.g., Al_2TiO_5 , $CeTi_4O_{24}$, and $Ce_2Ti_2O_7$) which affects phase purity and thus reduces the photocatalytic activity of titania.¹⁶

Chemical modification of a precursor is considered a superior 52 method to the conventional method of metal ion doping, since 53 it eliminates the secondary impurity phase formation. Sulfate 54 impregnation of TiO₂ has recently been reported to improve 55 the photocatalytic activity of titania.^{17–19} For example, Colon 56 et al. reported using this methodology to improve the phase 57 stability of anatase up to 700 °C. They also noted the higher 58 photocatalytic activity for the impregnated sample compared 59 to the unmodified one. However, these materials converted to 60 rutile phase at 800 °C, and subsequently the photocatalytic 61 activity was also reduced.¹⁸ The (NH₄)₂SO₄ impregnation on 62 TiO2 reported by Ortiz-Islas et al. showed brookite and 63 mascagnite phase as an impurity.²⁰ Furthermore the complete 64 phase transformation to rutile occurred in the range 650-50 65 °C. The effect of the SO₄²⁻ and Cl⁻ ions on the formation of 66 anatase by the low-temperature, microemulsion modified hy-67 drothermal method has also been reported.²¹ Bokhimi et al. 68 reported a comparative study of two different Cu precursors 69 (CuCl₂ and CuSO₄) for anatase stabilization, and the CuSO₄ 70 addition retained 98% anatase at 800 °C.22 However the 71 stabilization explained in this study was due to the combined 72 effect of metal ion (Cu²⁺) and SO₄²⁻. Moreover the secondary 73 impurity phases bonattite and antierite were generated after 74 annealing the sample at 400 and 800 °C, respectively. We have 75 recently reported the chemical modification of titanium isopro-76 poxide by using different nonmetallic chemical reagents such 77

10.1021/jp808444y CCC: \$40.75 © XXXX American Chemical Society

^{*} Authors for correspondence. E-mail: suresh.pillai@dit.ie; declan. mccormack@dit.ie.

Centre for Research in Engineering Surface Technology (CREST), FOCAS Institute, Dublin Institute of Technology.

^{*} School of Chemical and Pharmaceutical Sciences, Dublin Institute of Technology.

[§] The Surface Analysis Laboratory, School of Engineering, University of Surrey.

B J. Phys. Chem. C, Vol. xxx, No. xx, XXXX

as urea, sulfuric acid, and trifluoroacetic acid to improve the 79 anatase stability and the photocatalytic activity by doping with nitrogen, sulfur, and fluorine, respectively.^{2,9,23} 80

This paper outlines the preparation of an N, S codoped anatase 81 titania having high-temperature stability and visible-light pho-82 tocatalytic activity by chemically modifying titanium isopro-83 poxide precursor. The codoping (N, S) was achieved by using 84 a single dopant precursor ammonium sulfate $[(NH_4)_2SO_4]$. This 85 effectively performs a dual role during the chemical modification 86 process by stabilizing the anatase phase at higher temperature 87 and serving as a source for both nitrogen and sulfur dopants to 88 improve the photocatalytic activity of the high-temperature 89 stabilized anatase titania. With this method the phase composi-90 tion can be tuned by simply varying the molar ratio of 91 ammonium sulfate to titania precursor. This modification has 92 also resulted in increased temperature stability of anatase phase 93 up to 900 °C (41% anatase), an increase in surface area, and a 94 higher visible light photocatalytic activity than the standard 95 Degussa P25. Recent studies using thiourea showed that either 96 nitrogen or sulfur was doped in the titania lattice.^{24,28} Surpris-97 ingly here we achieved combined anionic (nitrogen) and cationic 98 (sulfur) doping in the titania lattice from the use of the single 99 precursor ammonium sulfate $[(NH_4)_2SO_4]$. 100

2. Experimental Section 101

78

123

2.1. Procedure. The reagents used in this study were titanium 102 isopropoxide (TTIP, 97%, Aldrich), ammonium sulfate [(NH₄)₂SO₄ 103 99%, Riedel-de Haen, Germany], and deionized water. In a typical 104 experiment conducted to prepare a 1:1 titania precursor:ammonium 105 106 sulfate precursor solution, 29.7 mL (100 mmol) titanium isopropoxide (Ti(OPr)₄) was placed in 250 mL beaker. To the above 107 solution was slowly added, 5 mL at a time with stirring, a solution 108 of 13.2 g (100 mmol) of (NH₄)₂SO₄ dissolved in 180 mL of water. 109 This was stirred for a further 15 min and then dried at 100 °C in 110 an oven for 24 h. A similar procedure was adopted to synthesize 111 1:4 1:8, 1:10, and 1:12 samples. Samples were named as TNS1, 112 TNS4, TNS8, TNS10, and TNS12, respectively. For TNS12 sample 113 114 preparation, the ammonium sulfate did not dissolve completely in 115 180 mL of water but formed a highly saturated solution. This was 116 used for further reaction with TTIP. A control sample without any ammonium sulfate was also prepared to act as a comparison. All 117 samples were calcined at 700, 800, 850, and 900 °C. X-ray 118 diffraction (XRD) patterns of the calcined sample were obtained 119 with a Siemens D 500 X-ray diffractometer in the diffraction angle 120 range $2\theta = 10-70^{\circ}$ using Cu K α radiation. The amount of anatase 121 122 in the sample was estimated using the Spurr equation (eq 1).

$$F_{\rm A} = 100 - \left(\frac{1}{1 + 0.8(I_{\rm A}(101)/I_{\rm R}(110))}\right) 100 \tag{1}$$

where F_A is the mass fraction of anatase in the sample, I_A (101) 124 125 and $I_{\rm R}$ (110) are the integrated main peak intensities of anatase 126 and rutile, respectively.

Raman measurements were taken using an Instruments S.A. 127 (Jobin Yvon) Labram 1B. A helium-neon laser (514 nm) was 128 used as laser source. BET (Brunauer, Emmett and Teller) surface 129 area and pore size measurements were carried out by nitrogen 130 131 adsorption using a Quantachrome NOVA 2000e surface area 132 analyzer. The measurements were carried out at liquid nitrogen temperature after degassing the powder samples for 2 h at 200 133 °C. The FTIR spectra of the sample were measured using a 134 Spectrum GX-FTIR spectrophotometer in the range 4000-400 135 cm⁻¹ using 32 scans per sample. X-ray Photoelectron Spec-136 troscopy (XPS) analysis was performed on a Thermo VG 137 138 Scientific (East Grinstead, U.K.) Sigma Probe spectrometer. The instrument employs a monochromated Al K α X-ray source ($\hbar \nu$ 139

TABLE 1: Percentage of anatase and rutile present in control and modified samples at different temperatures^a

		temperature									
700 °C		°C	800 °C		850 °C		900 °C		1000 °C		
sample	А	R	A	R	A	R	А	R	А	R	
TNS1	100	0	59	41	22	78	0	100	0	100	
TNS4	100	0	96	4	76	24	9	91	0	100	
TNS8	100	0	100	0	100	0	41	59	0	100	
TNS10	100	0	100	0	100	0	40	60	0	100	
TNS12	100	0	100	0	100	0	19	81	0	100	
control	12	82	0	100	0	100	0	100	0	100	

^{*a*} Error $\pm 5\%$.

= 1486.6 eV) which was used at 140 W. The area of analysis was approximately 500 μ m diameter for each sample analyzed.

2.2. Photocatalysis Studies. The visible and UV/visible light 142 photocatalytic activity was performed by the following approach. 143 In a typical experiment 0.06 g of calcined sample was dispersed 144 in 50 mL of rhodamine 6G solution having a concentration 5 145 $\times 10^{-6}$ M. The above suspension was stirred for 30 min in the 146 dark to obtain adsorption-desorption equilibrium to eliminate 147 the error due to any initial adsorption effect. This was then 148 irradiated in a Q-Sun Xenon solar simulator chamber having a 149 wavelength range from 200 to 800 nm with an irradiation power 150 0.68 W/m². A cutoff filter ($\lambda = 420$ nm) was used for the visible 151 light photocatalysis to eliminate the UV light coming from the 152 solar simulator. Degradation was monitored by taking 3-mL 153 aliquots at different intervals of time. These aliquots were 154 centrifuged for 15 min prior to absorbance measurements in 155 order to eliminate the error due to scattering. Photocatalysis 156 studies under UV/visible light were carried out by the same 157 method without a filter. 158

3. Results

3.1. Anatase Phase Stability by XRD and Raman Studies. 160 XRD analysis of the codoped (N, S) samples displayed higher 161 anatase phase stability compared to the control titania prepared 162 under identical conditions. Table 1 represents the fraction of 163 anatase and rutile present in the codoped (N, S) and control 164 sample at different calcination temperatures calculated using 165 eq 1. All codoped samples show only anatase phase (100%) at 166 700 °C. In contrast the control sample was predominately rutile 167 phase (88%). Even though $(NH_4)_2SO_4$ modification is effective 168 in extending anatase phase stability in all instances, the effect 169 is less in the lower molar ratio samples. The trend is clearly 170 evident from Table 1 and Figures 1, 2, and 3. At 800 and 850 171 °C the TNS8 sample is 100% anatase phase, whereas the control 172 titania was already completely converted to rutile phase at 800 173 °C. The TNS4 and TNS8 modification was found to be very 174 effective in increasing the anatase phase stability (9% and 41%) 175 anatase phase, respectively, at 900 °C). The optimum level of 176 modification is identified as TNS8. Raman spectroscopy was 177 also applied to study the high-temperature stability of codoped 178 titania as anatase and rutile phases have different Raman active 179 modes.²⁵ Figure 4, spectra a and b, are respectively the Raman 180 spectra of the TNS8 and control sample calcined at 800 °C. 181 All the peaks present in the TNS8 sample were due to the 182 anatase phase at 399, 513, and 639 cm⁻¹.^{25,26} In contrast the 183 control sample with peaks at 230, 446, and 612 cm^{-1} is clearly 184 rutile phase.^{25,26} At 900 °C (Figure 4c) the TNS8 sample shows 185 41% anatase (as per XRD) which is confirmed by anatase peaks 186 at (399, 513, and 639 cm⁻¹) along with rutile peaks. These 187 Raman results are consistent with the XRD data. 188 189

3.2. BET Surface Area Analysis. The physicochemical sorption properties and pore parameters of the TNS8 and control

Periyat et al.

140

141

159

190

Nitrogen, Sulfur Codoped Anatase TiO2

J. Phys. Chem. C, Vol. xxx, No. xx, XXXX C




Figure 1. XRD spectra of the TNS8 calcined samples at 700 $^{\circ}$ C (a) and control (b).




Figure 2. XRD spectra of the TNS8 calcined samples at 800 $^{\circ}$ C (a) and control (b).

191 titania samples calcined at 700, 800, and 900 °C are listed in Table 2. The nitrogen adsorption and desorption isotherm of 192 the TNS8 and control sample shows type I isotherm (Supporting 193 Information 1), indicating the pore size to be in the micropore 194 range. The results indicated that the surface area and pore 195 volume values decrease as the calcination temperature increases 196 for both TNS8 and control sample. This is attributable to the 197 collapse of the porous framework in both TNS8 and the control 198 titania. This collapse was more pronounced in the control sample 199 compared to the TNS8 sample. At 700 °C the control sample 200 has a surface area value of $25.6 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$, whereas the value for the 201 TNS8 sample is 53.6 m²/g. At 800 °C the surface areas are 1.9 202 and 30.1 m²/g for control and TNS8 respectively. This result 203 204 indicates that the surface area decrease is more pronounced in 205 the control compared to the codoped sample. The surface area of the TNS8 sample at 900 °C is 10 m²/g, whereas the control 206 sample shows values only of 1 m²/g. Therefore, the modification 207 using (NH₄)₂SO₄ was found to increase the surface area of titania 208 209 significantly.

3.3. X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS). XPS mea surements were carried out to investigate the N and S incorpora tion in the high-temperature stable anatase titania. The amount




Figure 3. XRD spectra of the TNS8 calcined samples at 900 $^{\circ}$ C (a) and control (b).




Figure 4. Raman spectra of (a) TNS8 at 800 $^{\circ}$ C, (b) control at 800 $^{\circ}$ C, (c) TNS8 at 900 $^{\circ}$ C, (d) control at 900 $^{\circ}$ C.

 TABLE 2: Surface area of the TNS8 and control titania at different temperatures.

temperature (°C)	sample	BET surface area (m ² /g)	pore volume (cm ³ /g)
700	TNS8	53.3	0.256
	control	25.6	0.160
800	TNS8	30.1	0.120
	control	1.9	0.007
900	TNS8	10.0	0.022
	control	1.0	0.00

of nitrogen and sulfur in the TNS8 samples calcined at 213 temperatures 600, 700, 800, and 900 °C are shown in Table 3. 214 The amount of N and S at 600 °C is significant compared to 215 those obtained at higher temperatures. Figure 5 represents the 216 XPS spectra of nitrogen and sulfur present in the TNS8 sample 217 calcined at 600 and 800 °C. In Figure 5a, the binding energy 218 peak for N-doped TNS8 sample at 600 °C is broad, extends 219 from 398 to 405 eV, and is centered at 402 eV. This value is 220 clearly greater than the typical binding energy (396 eV) of the 221 Ti-N bond, suggesting that there is no Ti-N bond formation.²⁷ 222 It was previously observed that the molecular chemisorbed 223

D J. Phys. Chem. C, Vol. xxx, No. xx, XXXX

TABLE 3:	Amount of nitrogen and sulfur present in the
TNS8 samp	le calcined at different temperatures ^a

calcination temperature (°C)	nitrogenqa content (atom %)	sulfurqa content (atom %)		
600	1.5	3.5		
700	0.4	0.7		
800	0.2	0.3		
900	0.1	0.2		

^{*a*} Error \pm 5%.

nitrogen shows a peak at around 400 eV, and NO in the metal 224 lattice displays a peak at 401 eV.²⁷ We suggest that the peak at 225 402 eV is due to the presence of both NO and chemisorbed N_2 226 227 species. The reason behind this suggestion is that there is a strong possibility for the formation of both species due to 228 decomposition of NH_4^+ present in the sample when the 229 temperature is elevated. As the temperature increases, the 402 230 eV peak is slightly shifted toward a lower value, suggesting 231 that further increase in temperature led to the doping of the 232 nitrogen in the lattice of titania by the replacement of the oxygen 233 234 atom. At 800 °C this peak shows a value at 400 eV (Figure 235 5c), and at 900 °C it is at 399.7 eV. This peak therefore can be attributed to the 1s electron binding energy of the N atom in 236 the O-Ti-N environment.²⁷ This O-Ti-N bond formation 237 occurs by the replacement of the lattice oxygen by nitrogen in 238 $[TiO_6]^{2-}$ octahedra (basic unit of titania) and can be represented 239 by a general formula for anionic nitrogen doping $TiO_{2-x}N_x$ as 240 explained in the recent reports.²⁷ Sulfur present in these samples 241 has a peak around 169 eV which does not show any further 242 shift as the temperature increases. This peak at 169 eV was 243 previously explained as S⁶⁺ cation present in the titania 244 lattice.18,28,29 Therefore, anionic and cationic doping was achieved 245 in the same titania through this chemical modification method. 246

247 **3.4.** Photocatalytic Study. Photocatalytic studies were carried out using the decomposition reaction of rhodamine 6G dye 248 in the presence of the TNS8 and control samples under UV 249 and visible light. Pseudo-first-order degradation² rate constants, 250 obtained by plotting the natural logarithm of the absorbance 251 against irradiation time for the TNS8 and control samples 2.52 253 calcined at different temperatures, are tabulated in Table 4. All 254 the TNS8 samples calcined at different temperatures show significantly higher photocatalytic efficiency compared to the 255 control titania prepared under identical condition. The photo-256 catalytic activity of these codoped samples was also compared 257 with that of the Degussa P25 photocatalyst. The highest 258 photocatalytic activity was obtained for TNS8 sample calcined 259 260 at 850 °C. This sample has higher photocatalytic activity than the Degussa P25 sample both in UV/visible and visible light. 261 The photocatalytic activity comparison of TNS8 samples with 262 Degussa P25 are shown in the Table 4. Figures 6 and 7 show 263 the kinetic data and absorption spectra of rhodamine dye in the 264 presence of TNS8 sample under UV/visible and visible light in 265 comparison with the Degussa P25 sample. The visible light 266 activity of TNS8 sample (rate constant 0.019 min⁻¹) is more 267 than twice that of the Degussa P25 sample (rate constant 0.008 268 269 min⁻¹), whereas the control sample shows a negligible amount of visible light photocatalytic activity at this temperature (rate 270 constant 0.001 \min^{-1}). For the control sample, the best photo-271 activity was shown by the sample calcined at 700 °C which 272 has a high surface area (Table 2) compared to the same sample 273 calcined at 800 and 900 °C. 274

4. Discussion

4.1. Anatase Phase Stability. The two main processesinvolved in the formation of a metal oxide from metal alkoxides

Periyat et al.

are hydrolysis and condensation.³⁰ The reactivity of transition 278 metal alkoxides, particularly titanium (IV) alkoxide, particularly 279 in relation to hydrolysis and condensation is rapid. This is due 280 to the presence of vacant d orbitals in the transition metals which 281 help in the co-ordination expansion.³¹ Titanium (IV) alkoxide 282 compounds when reacting with water increase the co-ordination 283 number of the metal from 4 to 6, by accepting the lone pair of 284 electrons from the oxygen of the nucleophlic ligand -O-H to 285 form $Ti(OH)_z(H_2O)_{6-z}(z-4)^{-32,21}$ This structure undergoes de-286 hydration and condensation to form a final precipitate of titanium 287 hydroxide which generates crystalline titania (TiO₂) on further 288 heat treatment. In order to avoid the fast reactivity toward 289 hydrolysis and condensation, chemical additives (complexing 290 molecules) are often added to moderate the rate of the 291 reaction.25,31 Such control of hydrolysis and condensation 292 reactions using urea² and trifluoroacetic acid⁹ has led to the 293 formation of high-temperature stable N and F doped anatase 294 titania as previously reported by this group. 295

In the present study a readily available compound, ammonium 296 sulfate, was used as a chemical modifier which is able to generate 297 a high-temperature, stabilized N, S codoped anatase titania. The 298 initial reaction between ammonium sulfate and titanium isopro-299 poxide precursor led to the formation of a mixture of compounds 300 including ammonium titanate and titanium oxysulphate (Figure 8b 301 JCPDS file no. 81-1566). It undergoes structural changes on heat 302 treatment due to the instability of ammonium and sulfate species 303 present in the compound to form the high-temperature stabilized 304 anatase titania above 600 °C. The formation of these compounds 305 suggests that both ammonium and sulfate species are capable of 306 inhibiting the crystallization of rutile titania at a lower temperature 307 (below 600 °C), thereby retaining anatase titania to higher 308 temperatures (above 600 °C). The XRD of the TNS8 sample at 309 100 °C shows mainly ammonium sulfate (JCPDS file no. 41-0621). 310 This is stable up to 300 °C; however, the formation of anatase 311 TiO₂ in very small quantity is also visible here which is represented 312 by a broad peak around the 2θ value at 25.3 in Figure 8a. Further 313 heat treatment performed for this compound at a temperature of 314 400 °C generated the ammonium titanate and titanium oxysulphate 315 (Figure 8b). Above 400 °C this forms the nitrogen-doped titanium 316 oxysulphate structure (Figure 8c, JCPDS file no. 81-1566) due to 317 the decomposition of ammonium ions. Our previous report showed 318 that the titanium oxysulphate decomposes at a temperature of 620 319 °C to produce the sulfur-doped anatase titania.²³ Therefore, further 320 heat treatment of the above titanium oxysulphate causes its 321 decomposition over a temperature above 600 °C to produce the 322 N, S codoped anatase titania. The general reaction series can be 323 represented by the following equations. 324

$$4\text{Ti}(\text{OPr})_4 + (\text{NH}_4)_2\text{SO}_4 + 8\text{H}_2\text{O} \xrightarrow{100 - 400} (\text{NH}_4)_2\text{Ti}_3\text{O}_7 +$$

$$TiOSO_4 + 16(CH_3 - CH(OH) - CH_3)$$
 (2) ₃₂₆

$$(NH_4)_2Ti_3O_7 + TiOSO_4 + 3O_2 \xrightarrow{400 - 600} TiO_{1-x}SO_4N_x +$$

$$TiO_{2-x}N_x + 2TiO_2 + 2(1-x)NO_2 + 4H_2O$$
 (3) ₃₂₈

$$2\text{TiO}_{1-x}\text{SO}_4\text{N}_x + \text{TiO}_{2-x}\text{N}_x$$
 $3\text{Ti}_{1-y}\text{S}_y\text{O}_{2-x}\text{N}_x +$

$$(2-y)SO_2+O_2$$
 (4) 330

325

327

329

Therefore, the SO_4^{2-} ion which is stable up to a temperature 331 of 620 °C plays a major role in stabilizing the anatase structure 332 along with NH₄⁺ cation. IR spectroscopy reveals the role of 333 the ammonium and sulfate species in the stabilization of the 334 Nitrogen, Sulfur Codoped Anatase TiO2





Figure 5. XPS spectra of (a) nitrogen and (b) sulfur at 600 °C; (c) nitrogen and (d) sulfur at 800 °C.

TABLE 4: Rate constants of the Degussa P25, TNS8, and control titania at different temperatures

				rate constan	t of the samp	les (units)			
	Degussa	TNS8 temperature (°C)			Control temperature (°C)				
light source		700	800	850	900	700	800	850	900
UV visible	0.281 0.008	0.259 0.014	0.358 0.016	0.576 0.019	0.156 0.008	0.101 0.004	0.057 0.002	0.022 0.002	0.022 0.001

335 anatase phase as well as the formation of N, S codoped anatase titania. The IR spectra of the TNS8 sample shown in Figure 9 336 (a, b, and c) have three main peaks at 612, 1104, and 1400 337 cm⁻¹. Earlier reports showed the surface-adsorbed SO₄²⁻ species 338 has a band around 1250-1000 cm⁻¹.33 The peak around 1104 339 cm^{-1} is due to the interaction of SO_4^{2-} species with titania^{19,34} 340 341 which is a characteristic band of the bidentately bonded (bonded to Ti) sulfate species. The XRD pattern (Figure 8a) has the 342 anatase peak visible at a 2θ value of 25.3° as stated earlier, but 343 it is not sharp, and the intensity is low due to the presence of 344 sulfate and ammonium ions present in the sample. The peak 345 around 1400 cm⁻¹ has two possible explanations, one due to 346 the stretching frequency of the S=O bond of free sulfate group, 347 the other due to the deformation mode of ammonium.³⁵ Howeve, 348 the IR spectrum of the samples observed above 400 °C does 349 not show this peak, which reveals that the peak is due to the 350 ammonium ion deformation. The NH4+ ion begins to decompose 351 at this temperature. The XPS study shows that at 600 °C there 352 is 1.53 atom % (Table 3) of nitrogen in the sample which is 353 354 attributed to the decomposition of the NH_4^+ cation. Above 400 355 °C ammonium ions are decomposed to produce N₂ molecules and NO species as explained in the XPS section 3.3. A further 356 increase in temperature led to the doping of the nitrogen in the 357 titania lattice by the replacement of the oxygen atom. This is 358 confirmed by the XPS peak around 399.7 eV which is due to 359 the formation of an O-Ti-N bond, suggesting that the nitrogen 360 361 is doped in the lattice as an anion by the oxynitride bond formation (O-Ti-N). The interaction of sulfate ion becomes 362

strong above 400 °C due to the strong binding of SO_4^{2-} with 363 TiO₂. This is clearly evident from the IR spectra of the samples 364 calcined at 500 and 600 °C (Figure 9e and f) where the 365 absorption at 1104 cm⁻¹ is split into three distinct bands (1225, 366 1145, and 1044 $\rm cm^{-1}$) due to the lowering of the symmetry of 367 SO_4^{2-} ion from tetrahedral (T_d) to $C_{2\nu}$ point group as a result 368 of a bidentate bond on formation of anatase titania. ³⁴ The XRD 369 of the TNS8 sample at 600 °C (Figure 8c) displays an intense 370 peak of anatase titania at 2θ value of 25.3 which shows that 371 the sulfate ion began to decompose at this temperature. This is 372 confirmed by the XRD spectra of TNS8 sample at 700 °C which 373 shows codoped (N, S) anatase titania without any extra phase 374 (Figure 1b). This sulfate decomposition was in agreement with 375 previous results obtained.²³ To confirm the effect of ammonium 376 cation and sulfate anion on the anatase structure formation, all 377 calcined TNS8 samples were treated with 1 M NaOH solution 378 for 24 h. By treatment with NaOH and further washing with 379 water, both the ammonium and sulfate ions were removed from 380 the calcined samples. The FTIR spectra taken after NaOH 381 treatment are shown in Figure 10. It reveals the absence of both 382 species (ammonium and sulfate) with the spectra showing only 383 the stretching vibration of the Ti–O–Ti bond below 1000 cm⁻¹, 384 along with the bands around 1600 and 3400 cm⁻¹ corresponding 385 to the bending and stretching of the OH group of both water 386 molecules occluded and the OH group adsorbed onto the surface 387 of TiO₂. In addition to this the XRD pattern of these NaOH-388 treated samples shows no other peaks except the peak for anatase 389 titania. At lower temperatures (100 and 300 °C) TNS8 samples 390






Figure 6. Kinetic data of the Degussa P25 and TNS8 sample calcined at 850 °C under UV/visible light photocatalysis. (Inset) Absorption spectra of rhodamine dye degradation as a function of time using (a) TNS8 (b) Degussa P 25.




Figure 7. Kinetic data of the Degussa P25 and the TNS8 calcined at 850 °C under visible light photocatalysis. (Inset) Absorption spectra of rhodamine dye degradation as a function of time using (a) TNS8 (b) Degussa P25.

appear less crystalline, whereas the higher-temperature calcined sample (600 °C) has well crystallized anatase titania peaks (Supporting Information 2). This also suggests that both ammonium and sulfate species are capable of inhibiting the crystallization of titania at lower temperature (below 600 °C). Therefore, the modification using ammonium sulfate reveals that during the lower heat treatment regime the influence of ammonium ions is predominant, while as the temperature increases the sulfate interaction becomes more prominent. In the present study anatase stability was achieved up to a temperature of 900 °C.

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

4.2. Photocatalysis. According to literature reports organic 402 dyes such as methylene blue and rhodamine 6G undergo 403 complete structural degradation by the photocatalytic reaction 404 of TiO₂ through several intermediate steps.^{36–38} However, the 405 photocatalytic activity of titania depends upon several factors, 406 such as phase composition (anatase and/or rutile), surface area, 407 crystallinity and crystallite size, absorption properties of the dyes 408 on the surface of TiO2 used, rate of electron-hole recombina-409 tion, and the number of electrons created.25 Which factor 410 dominates the photocatalytic effect of titania is debatable.³⁹ In 411 412 this study, TNS8 samples calcined at all temperatures (700, 800, 413 850, and 900 °C) shows significantly higher photocatalytic activity than the control sample (Table 4) studied under identical 414 conditions. The highest activity is observed for the TNS8 sample 415 calcined at 850 °C. The anatase phase stability of the nanoc-416 rystalline TiO₂ powders at high calcination temperature and the 417 codoping (N, S) have a significant influence in improving the 418 419 photocatalytic activity of these TiO₂ samples. Direct comparison of the crystal phase between the control and TNS8 sample shows 420




Figure 8. XRD spectra of the precursor samples calcined at (a) 100, (b) 400, and (c) 600 °C.

(Table 1) that the control sample is rutile at all temperatures, 421 whereas the TNS8 sample is anatase. Anatase titania has been 422 reported to be more photocatalytically active than the rutile form 423 because the adsorption affinity of the organic dye molecule 424 toward the anatase phase is stronger compared to that of 425 rutile.40,41 The adsorption difference of the dye on TiO2 phases 426 is mainly due to the structural difference of anatase and rutile. 427 Both anatase and rutile consist of tetragonal structures having 428 $[TiO_6]^{2-}$ octahedra, which share edges and corners in a different 429 manner, while keeping the overall stoichiometry as TiO_2 ³² 430 Rutile is characterized by a surface where the dissociation of 431 adsorbed organic molecule takes place more easily than on the 432 anatase.40-42 These essential differences in the surface chemistry 433 of the two TiO₂ phases can explain their different photocatalytic 434 properties because a photocatalytic reaction mainly takes place 435 at the surface of the catalyst. All the codoped samples (except 436 the TNS8 at 900 °C (41% anatase)) show only anatase phase, 437 whereas the control samples show rutile phase (only at 700 °C 438 18% anatase phase presented) which explains the main reason 439 for higher photocatalytic activity shown by the codoped samples. 440

A further factor is the higher surface area of TNS8 samples 441 (Table 2). As the specific surface area of the catalyst increases, 442 it can adsorb a higher number of dye molecules. The TNS8 443 sample calcined at all temperatures shows the anatase phase 444 with a significantly higher surface area (more than two times 445 higher) compared to that of the control titania (Table 2). A 446 further factor to consider is the effect of codoping (N, S) which 447 shifts the absorption edge of the titania toward the visible light 448 region (Supporting Information 3) due to the synergistic effects 449 of nitrogen and sulfur.^{43,44} The mixing of N_{2p} with O_{2p} states 450 will effectively lead to the band gap narrowing of titania and 451 the mixing of the S_{3p} states of sulfur atoms with the valence 452 band increases the width of the valence band which results in 453 the decrease of band gap energy (Supporting Information 4, 454 Table 1).^{43,44} These three factors, i.e., improvement in higher 455 anatase phase stability, surface area, and codoping (N, S) made 456 the TNS8 sample more photocatalytically active than the control 457 sample at all temperatures. Recent reports on the rhodamine 458 dye degradradation mechanism using TiO₂ and TiO₂/SiO₂ 459 composites showed that the blue shift in absorption maxima 460 represents the dye degradation pathway through the elimination 461 of the diethyl group from the dye structure.^{36,38} In this study a 462 gradual decrease in absorption maxima of the dye without any 463 blue shift (Figures 6 and 7) was observed. Thus, it has been 464 concluded here that active radical species (hydroxyl and super 465

Periyat et al.

Nitrogen, Sulfur Codoped Anatase ${\rm TiO}_2$




Figure 9. FTIR spectra of the TNS8 samples at (a) 100, (b) 200, (c) 300, (d) 400, (e) 500, and (f) 600 °C.




Figure 10. FTIR spectra of the TNS8 samples at (a) 100 and (b) 600 $^{\circ}$ C after NaOH treatment.

466 oxide radicals) generated during photocatalysis attack at the ring structure (chromophore) rather than attacking the diethyl amino 467 group (auxochrome). The photocatalytic activity was also 468 studied using another model pollutant, methylene blue, to 469 confirm the activity of these materials on different organic 470 pollutants. These degradation experiments showed a trend in 471 activity similar to that of the rhodamine 6G dye degradation 472 (Supporting Information 5). 473

474 **5.** Conclusions

475 Nitrogen, sulfur codoped high-temperature stable visible light active anatase titania was successfully synthesized by chemical 476 modification of the precursor titanium isopropoxide using 477 ammonium sulfate. These materials were characterized by 478 various techniques such as XRD, Raman spectroscopy, FTIR, 479 480 XPS, and BET surface area analysis. The phase analysis by XRD 481 found the 1:8 TTIP: $(NH_4)_2SO_4$ composition (TNS8) was the most effective in extending the anatase-to-rutile phase trans-482 formation. It showed the formation of 100% anatase at 850 °C 483 and 41% anatase at 900 °C, whereas the control titania 484 completely transformed to rutile at 800 °C with only 12% 485 anatase at 700 °C. XPS studies showed that nitrogen is doped 486 487 as an anion and sulfur as a cation. All the doped samples showed significantly higher photocatalytic activity compared to the 488

control titania. The visible light photocatalytic activity com-489 parison of the TNS8 sample calcined at higher temperature 850 490 °C with that of a commercial catalyst Degussa P25 showed that 491 TNS8 has twice the activity of Degussa P25 and the rate constant 492 calculated from first-order kinetics was 0.019 min⁻¹ for TNS8 493 and 0.008 min⁻¹ for Degussa P25, while the control sample 494 showed a negligible amount of activity at this temperature. These 495 N, S codoped samples also showed excellent photocatalytic 496 activity in UV/visible light. The TNS8 composition calcined at 497 850 °C decolorized the rhodamine 6G dye within 4 min (pseudo-498 first-order rate constant 0.576 min⁻¹), whereas the Degussa P25 499 under identical conditions decolorized the dye only after 8 min 500 (rate constant 0.281 min⁻¹). This higher photoactivity was 501 explained by an improvement in anatase phase stability and 502 higher surface area along codoping (N, S) in the titania lattice. 503

Acknowledgment.One of the authors, P.P., acknowledges504R&D Strand I award 2005 from DIT. We thank Enterprise505Ireland for financial support. We thank Dr. Anthony Betts and506Dr. Hugh Hayden for their valuable comments.507

Supporting Information Available: Figures giving type I 508 isotherms of samples calcined at 800 °C, XRD patterns of the 509 TNS8 samples at 100 and 600 °C after NaOH treatment, 510 absorption spectra and reflectance spectra, band gaps of the N, 511 S codoped sample calcined at different temperatures, absorption 512 spectra and kinetic analysis of methylene blue degradation. This 513 material is available free of charge via the Internet at http:// 514 pubs.acs.org. 515

References and Notes

(1) Kamat, P. V. J. Phys. Chem. C 2007, 111, 2834. Parkin, I. P.; Palgrave, R. G. J. Mater. Chem. 2005, 15, 1689.

516

517

518

519

520

521

522

523

524

525

526

527

528

529

530

531

532

533

(2) Pillai, S. C.; Periyat, P.; George, R.; McCormack, D. E.; Seery, M. K.; Hayden, H.; Colreavy, J.; Corr, D.; Hinder, S. J. J. Phys. Chem. C 2007, 111, 1605.

(3) Chen, X; Mao, S. S. *Chem. Rev* **2007**, *107*, 2891. Yamagishi, M.; Kuriki, S.; Song, P. K.; Shigesato, Y. *Thin Solid Films* **2003**, *442*, 227.

(4) 4 Bach, U.; Corr, D.; Lupo, D.; Pichot, F.; Ryan, M. Adv. Mater. **2002**, *14*, 845.

(5) Hoffmann, M. R.; Martin, S. T.; Choi, W.; Bahnemann, D. W. *Chem. Rev.* **1995**, *95*, 69. Yang, S. W.; Gao, L. *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.* **2005**, *88*, 968.

(6) Stafford, U.; Gray, K. A.; Kamat, P. V.; Varma, A. Chem. Phys. Lett. 1993, 205, 55.

(7) Riegel, G.; Bolton, J. R. J. Phys. Chem. 1995, 99, 4215.

(8) Baiju, K. V.; Periyat, P.; Wunderlich, W.; Pillai, K. P.; Mukundan, P.; Warrier, K. G. K. *J. Sol-Gel Technol.* **2007**, *43*, 283.

H J. Phys. Chem. C, Vol. xxx, No. xx, XXXX

(9) Padmanabhan, S. C.; Pillai, S. C.; Colreavy, J.; Balakrishnan, S.; McCormack, D. E.; Perova, T. S.; Gun'ko, Y.; Hinder, S. J.; Kelly, J. M. *Chem. Mater.* **2007**, *19*, 4474.

- (10) Kumar, K. N. P.; Keizer, K.; Burggraaf, A. J. *Nature* 1992, *358*, 48. Czanderna, A. W.; Rao, C. N. R.; Honig, J. M. *Trans. Faraday Soc.* 1958, *54*, 1069.
- (11) Machida, M.; Norimoto, W. K.; Kimura, T. J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 2005, 88, 95.
- (12) Hurum, D. C.; Agrios, A. G.; Gray, K. A. J. Phys. Chem. B 2003, 107, 10871.
- (13) Periyat, P.; Baiju, K. V.; Pillai, K. P.; Mukundan, P.; Warrier, K. G. K. Appl. Catal., A 2008, 349, 13.
- (14) Francico, M. Z. P.; Mastelaro, V. R. Chem. Mater. 2002, 14, 2514.
 (15) Reidy, D. J.; Holmes, J. D.; Nagle, C.; Morris, M. A. J. Mater. Chem. 2005, 15, 3494.
- (16) Periyat, P.; Baiju, K. V.; Mukundan, P.; Pillai, P. K.; Warrier, K. G. K. J. Sol-Gel Technol. **2007**, *43*, 299.
- (17) Colon, G.; Hidalgo, M. C.; Munuera, G.; Ferino, I.; Cutrufello,
 M. G.; Navio, J. A. *Appl. Catal.*, *B* 2006, 67, 41. Colon, G.; Espana, J. M. S.;
 Hidalgo, M. C.; Navýo, J. A. *J. Photochem. Photobiol. A: Chem.* 2006, 179, 20.
- (18) Colon, G.; Hidalgo, M. C.; Munuera, G.; Ferino, I.; Cutrufello, M. G.; Navio, J. A. *Appl. Catal.*, *B* **2006**, *63*, 45.
- (19) Bokhimi, X.; Morales, A.; Lopez, T.; Gomez, R.; Navarrete, J. J. Sol-Gel Sci. Tech. 2004, 29, 31. Bokhimi, X.; Morales, A.; Novaro, O. Chem. Mater. 1997, 9, 2616.
- (20) Ortiz-Islas, E.; Lopez, T.; Navarrete, J.; Bokhimi, X.; Gomez, R. J. Mol. Catal A: Chem. 2005, 228, 345.
- (21) Yan, M.; Chen, F.; Zhang, J.; Anpo, M. J. Phys. Chem. B 2005, 109, 8673.
 - (22) Bokhimi, X.; Morales, A.; Novaro, O. *Chem. Mater.* 1997, *9*, 2616.
 (23) Periyat, P.; Pillai, S. C.; McCormack, D. E.; Colreavy, J.; Hinder,
- S. J. J. Phys. Chem. C 2008, 112, 7644.
 (24) Sakthivel, S.; Janczarek, M; Kisch, H. J. Phys. Chem. B 2004, 108, 19384. Ho, W.; Yu, J. C.; Lee, S. J. Solid State Chem. 2006, 179, 1171.
- (25) Choi, S. Y.; Mamak, M.; Coombs, N.; Chopra, N.; Ozin, G. A. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2004**, *14*, 335.

(26) Pal, M.; Serrano, J. G.; Santiago, P.; Pal., U. J. Phys. Chem. C 2007, 111, 96.

- (27) Irie, H.; Watanabe, Y.; Hashimoto, K. J. Phys. Chem. B 2003, 107, 5483. Chen, X.; Burda, C. J. Phys. Chem. B 2004, 107, 5483. Chen, X.; Lou, Y.; Samia, A. C. S.; Burda, C.; Gole, J. L. Adv. Funct. Mater. 2005,
- 15, 41.
 (28) Yu, J. C.; Ho, W.; Yu, J.; Yip, H.; Wong, P. K.; Zhao, J. *Environ.*Sci. Technol. 2005, 39, 1175.
- (29) Ohno, T.; Akiyoshi, M.; Umebayashi, T.; Asai, K.; Mitsui, T.; Matsumura, M. Appl. Catal., A 2004, 265, 115.
- (30) Bringer, C. J.; Scherer, G. W. Sol-Gel Science; Academic Press: New York, 1990.
- (31) Schubert, U. J. Mater. Chem. 2005, 15, 3701.
- (32) Gopal, M.; Chan, W. J. M.; De Jonghe, L. C. J. Mater. Sci. 1997, 32, 6001.
- (33) Xie, C.; Yang, Q.; Xu, Z.; Liu, X.; Du, Y. J. Phy. Chem. B 2006, 110, 8587. Yamabi, S.; Imai, H. Thin Solid Films 2003, 434, 86.
- (34) Samantaray, S. K.; Mohapatra, P.; Parida, K. J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 2003, 198, 277.
- (35) Rhee, C. H.; Lee, J. S.; Chung, S. H. J. Mater. Res. 2005, 20, 3011.
 (36) Wilhelm, P.; Stephan, D. J. Photochem. Photobiol. A: Chem. 2007, 185, 19.
- (37) Aronson, B. J.; Blanford, C. F.; Stein, A. Chem. Mater. 1997, 9, 2842. Beyers, E.; Cool, P.; Vansant, E. F. J. Phys. Chem. B 2005, 109,
- 10081. Busuioc, A. M.; Meynen, V.; Beyers, E.; Mertens, M.; Cool, P.; Bilba, N.; Vansant, E. F. *Appl. Catal.*, A **2006**, *312*, 153.
 - (38) Chen, F.; Zhao, J.; Hidaka, H. *Int. J. Photoenergy* 2003, *5*, 209.
 (39) Ryu, J.; Choi, W. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2008, *42*, 294.
- (40) Su, W.; Zhang, J.; Feng, Z.; Chen, T.; Ying, P.; Li, C. J. Phys. Chem. C 2008, 112, 7710.
- (41) Ramis, G.; Busca, G.; Lorenzelli, V. J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. I **1987**, 83, 1591.
- (42) Hadjivanov, K. Appl. Surf. Sci. 1998, 135, 331.
- (43) Burda, C.; Lou, Y. B.; Chen, X. B.; Samia, A. C. S.; Stout, J.; Gole, J. L. *Nano Lett.* **2003**, *3*, 1049.
- (44) Umebayashi, T.; Yamaki, T.; Tanala, S.; Asai, K. *Chem. Lett.* **2003**, *32*, 330.

JP808444Y

Periyat et al.