



Technological University Dublin  
ARROW@TU Dublin

---

Articles

School of Mathematics

---

2014-6

## Free Energies in a General Non-Local Theory of a Material with Memory

Giovambattista Amendola

*Dipartimento di Matematica Applicata, Università di Pisa*

Mauro Fabrizio

*Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Bologna*

Murrough Golden

*Dublin Institute of Technology, murrough.golden@dit.ie*

Follow this and additional works at: <https://arrow.tudublin.ie/scschmatart>

 Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

---

### Recommended Citation

doi: 10.1142/S0218202513500760

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the School of Mathematics at ARROW@TU Dublin. It has been accepted for inclusion in Articles by an authorized administrator of ARROW@TU Dublin. For more information, please contact [yvonne.desmond@tudublin.ie](mailto:yvonne.desmond@tudublin.ie), [arrow.admin@tudublin.ie](mailto:arrow.admin@tudublin.ie), [brian.widdis@tudublin.ie](mailto:brian.widdis@tudublin.ie).



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-Share Alike 3.0 License](#)



1 Mathematical Models and Methods in Applied Sciences  
2 (2013)  
3 © World Scientific Publishing Company  
4 DOI: 10.1142/S0218202513500760



5 **FREE ENERGIES IN A GENERAL NON-LOCAL THEORY**  
6 **OF A MATERIAL WITH MEMORY**

7 GIOVAMBATTISTA AMENDOLA

8 *Dipartimento di Matematica Applicata, Università di Pisa,*  
9 *Largo Bruno Pontecorvo 5, 56127 Pisa, Italy*  
10 *amendola@dma.unipi.it*

11 MAURO FABRIZIO

12 *Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Bologna,*  
13 *Piazza di Porta S. Donato 5, 40127 Bologna, Italy*  
14 *fabrizio@dm.unibo.it*

15 JOHN MURROUGH GOLDEN\*

16 *School of Mathematical Sciences,*  
17 *Dublin Institute of Technology,*  
18 *Kevin Street, Dublin 8, Ireland*  
19 *murrough.golden@dit.ie*

20 Received 15 December 2011

21 Revised 31 March 2013

22 Accepted 14 June 2013

23 Published

24 Communicated by S. Müller

25 A general theory of non-local materials, with linear constitutive equations and memory  
26 effects, is developed within a thermodynamic framework. Several free energy and dis-  
27 sipation functionals are constructed and explored. These include an expression for the  
28 minimum free energy and a functional that is a free energy for important categories of  
29 memory kernels and is explicitly a functional of the minimal state. The functionals dis-  
30 cussed have a similar general form to the corresponding expressions for simple materials.  
31 A number of new results are derived for them, most of which apply equally to both types  
32 of material. In particular, detailed formulae are given for these quantities in the case of  
33 sinusoidal histories.

34 *Keywords:* Memory effects; non-local; free energy.

35 AMS Subject Classification 2010: 80A17, 74A15, 74A30

\*Corresponding author

2 *G. Amendola, M. Fabrizio & J. M. Golden*

## 1 **1. Introduction**

2 A non-local theory of heat flow in a rigid conductor with memory is developed  
 3 in Ref. 1, while a similar theory for incompressible fluids is proposed in Ref. 2.  
 4 Suitable free energy functionals are given for both materials. We refer also to Ref. 3  
 5 for a discussion of non-simple materials. The formulation in these references is  
 6 generalized in the present work to apply to a range of field theories with linear  
 7 memory constitutive equations. Various quadratic free energy functionals of the  
 8 most general form and associated rates of dissipation are proposed and discussed.  
 9 These include an expression for the minimum free energy and a functional that is a  
 10 free energy for important categories of memory kernels and is explicitly a functional  
 11 of the minimal state. The functionals discussed have a similar general form to the  
 12 corresponding expressions for simple materials, with the difference however that  
 13 new independent field variables are included, which (greatly) extend the vector  
 14 space on which the functionals are defined. Constitutive relationships derived from  
 15 thermodynamics, involving these new variables, take a generalized form. A number  
 16 of new results are derived for the non-simple case, most of which in fact apply  
 17 equally to simple materials. In particular, detailed formulae are given for the various  
 18 free energy functionals in the case of sinusoidal histories.

19 There are two equivalent approaches to developing general equations describ-  
 20 ing non-local materials.<sup>18</sup> The first is to include terms in the form of a divergence  
 21 of an extra flux in the first or second laws of thermodynamics. The other is to  
 22 introduce internal and external mechanical (or electromagnetic or heat) powers  
 23 and write generalized forms of the first and second laws in terms of these. While  
 24 the second approach has some theoretical advantages,<sup>18</sup> it is convenient to consider  
 25 both approaches in parallel. Indeed, it is shown that the two approaches are con-  
 26 sistent with a hypothesis on the form of a generalized work function which will be  
 27 introduced below. This hypothesis is the basis of the general theory presented here.

28 A number of important references on non-simple materials are given in Ref. 18.  
 29 Early work on the topic is discussed in, for example, Ref. 14. Regarding mini-  
 30 mum and related free energies for simple materials with memory, see, in particular,  
 31 Refs. 23, 11, 17, 22, 15, 12, 24, 25 and 3. For recent discussions on the topic of mod-  
 32 eling viscoelastic behavior, we have, for example, Refs. 31, 13 and 3. On the matter  
 33 of terminology, we regard simple and local equivalent descriptions of materials. Also  
 34 non-simple and non-local are interchangeable.

## 35 **2. Derivation of the Field Equations**

36 Let us begin by stating the first and second laws of thermodynamics. The inter-  
 37 nal energy per unit mass and the entropy per unit mass at  $(\mathbf{x}, t)$ , both scalar  
 38 quantities, are denoted respectively by  $e(\mathbf{x}, t)$  and  $\eta(\mathbf{x}, t)$ . The local absolute tem-  
 39 perature is  $\theta(\mathbf{x}, t) \in \mathbb{R}^+$ . The heat flux vector is denoted by  $\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{x}, t) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ . We  
 introduce a variable  $\kappa(\mathbf{x}, t)$  which is the coldness, given by  $1/\theta > 0$ . Let us define

AQ: Please check the edit of the sentence "On the matter of ..."

1  $\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{R}^3$  as

$$2 \quad \mathbf{g} = \nabla\theta, \quad \mathbf{d} = \nabla\kappa = -\frac{1}{\theta^2}\mathbf{g}. \quad (2.1)$$

3 The energy balance equation or first law of thermodynamics has the form

$$4 \quad p - \rho\dot{e} - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{q} - \nabla \cdot \Phi_e + \rho r = 0, \quad (2.2)$$

5 where  $p$  is a power term related to the case of simple materials and  $\Phi_e \in \mathbb{R}^3$  is  
6 an extra flux, the *interstitial work flux*, associated with the internal energy and  
7 the first law to take account of non-local behavior.<sup>32,14</sup> The quantity  $\rho$  is the mass  
8 density,  $r$  is the external radiation absorbed per unit time, per unit mass at  $(\mathbf{x}, t)$ .

9 In the alternative formulation,<sup>18</sup> the first law for thermomechanical systems can  
10 be written as

$$11 \quad \rho\dot{e} = \mathcal{P}_m^i + \mathcal{P}_h^i, \quad \mathcal{P}_h^i = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{q} + \rho r, \quad (2.3)$$

12 where  $\mathcal{P}_m^i$  is the internal mechanical power which, for simple materials, reduces to  $p$ .  
13 The correspondence between the two formulations is expressed by  $\mathcal{P}_m^i = p - \nabla \cdot \Phi_e$ .

14 The second law of thermodynamics can be written as

$$15 \quad D = \dot{\eta} + \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla \cdot \left( \frac{\mathbf{q}}{\theta} \right) + \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla \cdot \Phi_\eta - \kappa r \geq 0, \quad (2.4)$$

16 where the quantity  $D(\mathbf{x}, t)$  is the total rate of entropy production per unit mass,  
17 while  $\Phi_\eta$  is an extra flux of entropy.<sup>33</sup> Such an extra flux is associated with non-local  
18 behavior.

19 The Helmholtz free energy per unit mass is defined by

$$20 \quad \psi_H = e - \theta\eta. \quad (2.5)$$

21 In terms of this quantity, we can write (2.2) as

$$22 \quad \dot{\psi}_H + \theta D = \frac{p}{\rho} - \eta\dot{\theta} - \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla \cdot \Phi_e + \frac{\theta}{\rho} \nabla \cdot \Phi_\eta - \frac{1}{\rho\theta} \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{g}. \quad (2.6)$$

23 In the alternative formulation, we write (2.4) in the form<sup>18</sup>

$$24 \quad \dot{\eta} - \frac{1}{\rho} \mathcal{A}_{\text{en}}^i = D \geq 0, \quad (2.7)$$

25 where  $\mathcal{A}_{\text{en}}^i$  is the *internal entropy action* given by

$$26 \quad \mathcal{A}_{\text{en}}^i = -\nabla \cdot \frac{\mathbf{q}}{\theta} + \rho \frac{r}{\theta} - \nabla \cdot \Phi_\eta = \mathcal{A}_{\text{en}}^e. \quad (2.8)$$

27 The latter quantity is the *external entropy action*.<sup>18</sup> Instead of (2.6), we have

$$28 \quad \dot{\psi}_H + \theta D = \frac{1}{\rho} (\mathcal{P}^i - \theta \mathcal{A}_{\text{en}}^i) - \eta\dot{\theta}, \quad \mathcal{P}^i = \mathcal{P}_m^i + \mathcal{P}_h^i, \quad (2.9)$$

29 where  $\mathcal{P}_m^i$  and  $\mathcal{P}_h^i$  are introduced in (2.3). For a general framework explaining  
actions in systems, see Ref. 6.

4 *G. Amendola, M. Fabrizio & J. M. Golden*

1 Relation (2.6) is unsatisfactory for materials with memory because neither  $\psi_H$   
 2 nor  $\eta$  are in general uniquely defined. Typically, there are many different functions  
 3 of state which can be identified as the free energy and entropy, respectively. On the  
 4 other hand,  $e$  is always uniquely defined. It is therefore advantageous to modify the  
 5 above standard formulation. We introduce the following free energy:

$$6 \quad \psi = \kappa e - \eta = \kappa \psi_H \quad (2.10)$$

7 and (2.6) is replaced by

$$8 \quad \dot{\psi} + D = \kappa \frac{p}{\rho} + e\dot{\kappa} - \frac{\kappa}{\rho} \nabla \cdot \Phi_e + \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla \cdot \Phi_\eta + \frac{1}{\rho} \mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{q}. \quad (2.11)$$

9 It will be assumed that  $\rho$  is independent of  $\mathbf{x}$ , so that the material is homogeneous.  
 10 Then, (2.11) can be written as

$$11 \quad \begin{aligned} \dot{\psi} + D &= \kappa \frac{p}{\rho} + e\dot{\kappa} - \nabla \cdot \Phi + \frac{1}{\rho} \mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{Q} = \dot{W}, \\ \Phi &= \frac{\kappa}{\rho} \Phi_e - \frac{1}{\rho} \Phi_\eta, \quad \mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{q} + \Phi_e, \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

12 where  $\dot{W}$  is the generalized rate of work done on the body.<sup>a</sup> Instead of (2.9), we  
 13 have

$$14 \quad \dot{\psi} + D = \frac{\kappa}{\rho} (\mathcal{P}^i - \theta \mathcal{A}_{\text{en}}^i) + e\dot{\kappa} = \dot{W}. \quad (2.13)$$

15 Observe that, in the formulation based on (2.11), the natural temperature vari-  
 16 able is  $\kappa$  rather than  $\theta$ .

17 Relation (2.12)<sub>1</sub> or (2.13) is the first law, while the second law is the requirement  
 18 that  $D$  be non-negative (see (2.4)).

19 Alternatively, if (2.13) is adopted, the specification of  $\dot{W}$  determines  $\mathcal{P}^i - \theta \mathcal{A}_{\text{en}}^i$ .  
 20 We see that it is not necessary to determine  $\mathcal{P}_m^i$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_h^i$  and  $\mathcal{A}_{\text{en}}^i$  separately in order to  
 21 specify the thermodynamic laws and derive consequences from these. It is sufficient  
 22 to specify the combination  $\mathcal{P}^i - \theta \mathcal{A}_{\text{en}}^i$ , which is achieved by giving the form of  $\dot{W}$ .

23 The choice of whether to formulate the theory in material or spatial coordinates  
 24 is important in nonlinear mechanics, which is considered in Sec. 2.3. Whichever  
 25 choice is made does not greatly affect the general formalism.

26 Let

$$27 \quad \widehat{\mathbf{d}}(t) = \int_0^t \mathbf{d}(u) du, \quad (2.14)$$

28 so that

$$29 \quad \frac{1}{\rho} \mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{d} = \frac{1}{\rho} \mathbf{Q} \cdot \dot{\widehat{\mathbf{d}}}. \quad (2.15)$$

<sup>a</sup>The abstract formulation of thermodynamics in Refs. 16, 17 and 3 for example (based on Refs. 6 and 7) applies to non-simple materials if we identify the work function in those developments with the generalized work  $W(t)$  in the present context.

1 It will be assumed that the free energy is a functional of the history of this quantity,  
2 namely  $\widehat{\mathbf{d}}^t(s)$ ,  $s > 0$ , or specifically a functional of

$$3 \quad \widehat{\mathbf{d}}(t) - \widehat{\mathbf{d}}^t(s) = \int_{t-s}^t \mathbf{d}(u) du, \quad (2.16)$$

4 with no separate dependence on  $\widehat{\mathbf{d}}(t)$ . This is essentially the basis of the approach  
5 in Ref. 29.

6 We introduce a convenient compact notation. Let the field variables be  $\mathbf{\Lambda} : \mathbb{R} \mapsto$   
7  $\Gamma$ ,  $\mathbf{\Sigma} : \mathbb{R} \mapsto \Gamma$  where  $\Gamma$  is a given finite-dimensional vector space.<sup>b</sup> We will treat  $\mathbf{\Lambda}$   
8 as the independent and  $\mathbf{\Sigma}$  as the dependent field quantity. Putting

$$9 \quad \mathbf{\Lambda} = \{\mathbf{\Lambda}_m, \widehat{\mathbf{d}}\}, \quad \mathbf{\Sigma} = \left\{ \mathbf{\Sigma}_m, \frac{\mathbf{Q}}{\rho} \right\}, \quad \kappa \frac{p}{\rho} = \mathbf{\Sigma}_m \cdot \dot{\mathbf{\Lambda}}_m, \quad (2.17)$$

10 where  $\mathbf{\Sigma}_m$  and  $\mathbf{\Lambda}_m$  are the non-thermal variables, we can write (2.12)<sub>1</sub> as<sup>c</sup>

$$11 \quad \dot{\psi} + D = \dot{W}(t) = e\dot{\kappa} + \mathbf{\Sigma} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{\Lambda}} - \nabla \cdot \Phi. \quad (2.18)$$

12 **Remark 2.1.** It is not helpful to include  $\kappa(t)$  in the definition of  $\mathbf{\Lambda}(t)$  given  
13 by (2.17)<sub>1</sub>, with  $e$  included in  $\mathbf{\Sigma}$ . This is because we introduce space gradients  
14 of  $\mathbf{\Lambda}$  below and the space derivatives of  $\kappa(t)$  would overlap with  $\mathbf{d}$  and its higher  
15 derivatives. These in turn are closely related to  $\widehat{\mathbf{d}}(t)$  and its derivatives, already  
16 included in the definition of  $\mathbf{\Lambda}(t)$  and its gradients.

17 The form (2.17)<sub>3</sub> is typical of mechanical systems with deformation as the inde-  
18 pendent variable. In the material representation discussed in Sec. 2.3,

$$19 \quad p = \mathbf{S} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{F}}, \quad (2.19)$$

20 where  $\mathbf{S}$  is the first Piola–Kirchhoff stress tensor and  $\mathbf{F}$  is the material gradient of  
21 deformation.

22 For dielectric materials subject to electromagnetic fields, the form of the power  
23 function is somewhat different,<sup>20</sup> given in effect by

$$24 \quad P_{\text{em}} = \dot{\mathbf{\Sigma}}_{\text{em}} \cdot \mathbf{\Lambda}_{\text{em}}, \quad (2.20)$$

25 where  $\mathbf{\Lambda}_{\text{em}}$  represents the electric and magnetic fields and  $\mathbf{\Sigma}_{\text{em}}$  the electric and  
26 magnetic inductions. However, putting

$$27 \quad \dot{\mathbf{\Sigma}}_{\text{em}} \cdot \mathbf{\Lambda}_{\text{em}} = \frac{d}{dt}(\mathbf{\Sigma}_{\text{em}} \cdot \mathbf{\Lambda}_{\text{em}}) - \mathbf{\Sigma}_{\text{em}} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{\Lambda}}_{\text{em}} \quad (2.21)$$

28 and using the free enthalpy  $\psi - \mathbf{\Sigma}_{\text{em}} \cdot \mathbf{\Lambda}_{\text{em}}$  rather than the free energy, we can cast  
29 the equations in the same general form as for mechanics, merely changing the sign

<sup>b</sup>The space dependence of field variables is understood but generally not specified explicitly, even though the space gradients of  $\mathbf{\Lambda}$  will play a fundamental role in this work.

<sup>c</sup>Note the two quite different uses of the dot product in (2.18). The first is in  $\Gamma$  while the second is in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , indicating a divergence.

6 *G. Amendola, M. Fabrizio & J. M. Golden*

1 of  $\Sigma_{\text{em}}$ . For the case of electromagnetic conductors, the power can be expressed as  
 2 in (2.18), using the same device as introduced in (2.14).

3 Materials for which (2.20) applies will not be specifically considered in what  
 4 follows. However, this case can be developed in a very similar manner. One difference  
 5 is pointed out in Sec. 4.

6 We now seek to develop a non-local theory with linear constitutive equations  
 7 which generalizes the results of Refs. 1 and 2, so that they apply to a wide range  
 8 of linear non-simple theories in mechanics, electromagnetism and for heat flow in  
 9 rigid materials.

10 The introduction of non-simple behavior can be achieved (see Refs. 35 and 30, for  
 11 example) by adding  $n$  more independent field quantities, which consist of gradient  
 12 operators acting on  $\mathbf{\Lambda}$ , where  $n$  is a positive integer. These would be materials  
 13 of grade  $n + 1$  in the terminology of Ref. 35, for the case of mechanics, which is  
 14 discussed in Sec. 2.3.

15 The new independent field variables are  $\nabla\mathbf{\Lambda}, \nabla^2\mathbf{\Lambda}, \nabla^3\mathbf{\Lambda}, \dots, \nabla^n\mathbf{\Lambda}$ . The oper-  
 16 ators  $\nabla^r, r = 2, 3, \dots, n$  are completely symmetric. The number of independent  
 17 quantities among their  $3^r$  components on a given basis is  $(r + 1)(r + 2)/2$  (see p. 39  
 18 in Ref. 21). The new histories are denoted by

$$19 \quad \nabla\mathbf{\Lambda}^t(\mathbf{x}, s), \nabla^2\mathbf{\Lambda}^t(\mathbf{x}, s), \dots, \nabla^n\mathbf{\Lambda}^t(\mathbf{x}, s), \quad s \in \mathbb{R}^+, \quad (2.22)$$

20 where the standard notation for the history

$$21 \quad \mathbf{\Lambda}^t(\mathbf{x}, s) = \mathbf{\Lambda}(\mathbf{x}, t - s) \quad (2.23)$$

22 has been used.

### 23 **2.1. The fundamental hypotheses**

24 To completely determine the first and second laws as given by (2.18) and (2.4), one  
 25 must specify  $\dot{W}$ ,  $\psi$  and  $D$ . Indeed, it emerges that if we specify  $\dot{W}$  and  $\psi$ , then the  
 26 requirement of consistency with the second law yields the form of  $D$  and indeed  
 27 the detailed form of the constitutive equations for the material. We first give the  
 28 form of  $\dot{W}$  and show how it is consistent in general with (2.18). Also, in Sec. 2.3,  
 29 the example of nonlinear mechanics is discussed in the context of this assumption  
 30 on  $\dot{W}$  and shown to be consistent with (2.13).

31 For a wide class of non-simple materials, the generalized rate of work can be  
 32 put in the form

$$33 \quad \dot{W} = e\dot{\kappa} + \Sigma_0 \cdot \dot{\mathbf{\Lambda}} + \Sigma_1 \cdot \nabla\dot{\mathbf{\Lambda}} + \Sigma_2 \cdot \nabla^2\dot{\mathbf{\Lambda}} + \dots + \Sigma_n \cdot \nabla^n\dot{\mathbf{\Lambda}}, \quad (2.24)$$

34 where the tensor functions  $\Sigma_r, r = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$  are fundamental to the theory.  
 35 In particular, for simple mechanical materials,  $\Sigma_r = \mathbf{0}, r = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , and  $\Sigma_0$   
 36 is proportional to the stress tensor.<sup>d</sup> The quantity  $\Sigma_r$  is a given basis that will have

<sup>d</sup>The quantity  $\mathbf{0}$  will be used for the zero in any vector space with dimensions greater than unity.

1 the same number of subscripts with the same symmetry properties as  $\nabla^r \dot{\mathbf{A}}$  and each  
 2 element of this tensor quantity belongs to  $\Gamma$ . The inner product notation  $\Sigma_r \cdot \nabla^r \dot{\mathbf{A}}$   
 3 means that each  $\nabla$  is connected to a specific dimension of  $\Sigma_r$  in the sense that,  
 4 on a particular basis, the components of a given  $\nabla$  are summed over a particular  
 5 subscript of  $\Sigma_r$  and each term in the summation is a scalar product  $\Gamma \times \Gamma \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ .  
 6 Thus, on a particular basis,  $\Sigma_2$  for example has components which we denote by  
 7  $\Sigma_{(2)ij} = \Sigma_{(2)ji}$ ,  $i, j = 1, 2, 3$ , and  $\nabla^2$  will be represented as  $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} = \partial_i \partial_j$ , giving  
 8 that  $\Sigma_2 \cdot \nabla^2 \dot{\mathbf{A}} = \Sigma_{(2)ij} \cdot \partial_i \partial_j \dot{\mathbf{A}} \in \mathbb{R}$ . The dot product in this last expression is the  
 9 scalar product  $\Gamma \times \Gamma \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  between  $\Sigma_{(2)ij}$  and  $\dot{\mathbf{A}}$ .

10 **Proposition 2.1.** For  $\dot{W}$  given by the right-hand side of (2.18)<sub>2</sub>, where  $\nabla \cdot \Phi$   
 11 is explicitly a divergence of a vector quantity<sup>e</sup>  $\Phi$ , then  $\Sigma$  in (2.18) is uniquely  
 12 determined to be

$$13 \quad \Sigma = \Sigma_0 - \nabla \cdot \Sigma_1 + \nabla^2 \cdot \Sigma_2 + \cdots + (-1)^n \nabla^n \cdot \Sigma_n, \quad (2.25)$$

14 where the notation  $\nabla^r \cdot \Sigma_r \in \Gamma$  means that each  $\nabla$  forms a divergence with respect  
 15 to its corresponding tensor index of  $\Sigma_r$  for  $r = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

16 **Proof.** We seek to extract a scalar term  $\mathbf{G} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{A}}$ , where  $\mathbf{G} \in \Gamma$  from the quantity  
 17  $\Sigma_r \cdot \nabla^r \dot{\mathbf{A}}$  for example. Given the available differential operators and field quantities,  
 18  $\mathbf{G}$  must have the form

$$19 \quad \mathbf{G} = \alpha \nabla^r \cdot \Sigma_r, \quad (2.26)$$

20 where  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  is a constant. Indeed, there is no finite manipulation that could yield  
 21 an irrational number in this role, so that it can be assumed to be a rational number.

22 The basic tool of transformation of expressions such as (2.24) is the product  
 23 rule of Calculus<sup>f</sup>

$$24 \quad (\nabla^p \cdot \Sigma_r) \cdot (\nabla^q \dot{\mathbf{A}}) = \nabla \cdot [(\nabla^p \cdot \Sigma_r) \cdot (\nabla^{q-1} \dot{\mathbf{A}})] - (\nabla^{p+1} \cdot \Sigma_r) \cdot (\nabla^{q-1} \dot{\mathbf{A}}), \quad (2.27)$$

25 or the inverse of this, transferring a  $\nabla$  operator from  $\Sigma_r$  to  $\dot{\mathbf{A}}$ . The latter transfor-  
 26 mation is not of interest here. Consider the right-hand side of (2.27). The divergence  
 27 term is included in the overall divergence term and we can re-apply the operation

<sup>e</sup>When we say that  $\nabla \cdot \Phi$  is explicitly a divergence of a vector quantity, we mean this in a superficial algebraic sense, in contrast to the deeper (analysis related) statement that it can be expressed as a divergence. This latter property is of course true of many scalar functions. What is meant here is that the  $\nabla$ 's in the theory can be manipulated so that one of them is operating on vector quantities made up of the  $\Sigma_r$  or their derivatives, multiplying  $\dot{\mathbf{A}}$  or its derivatives.

<sup>f</sup>For the expression in the first bracket, the dot product implies that each  $\nabla$  in  $\nabla^p$ , on a given basis, is summed over its corresponding index in  $\Sigma_r$ . The dot product between the two terms in brackets means that  $q$  of the remaining dimensions in  $\Sigma_r$  are summed over the indices in  $\nabla^q$  and a scalar product  $\Gamma \times \Gamma \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  occurs for each multiplication of objects in  $\Gamma$ . Thus, for example, using the notation introduced earlier, we have that  $(\nabla^2 \cdot \Sigma_5) \cdot (\nabla^2 \dot{\mathbf{A}}) \in \mathbb{R}^3$  has components  $\partial_i \partial_j \Sigma_{(5)ijklm} \cdot \partial_k \partial_l \dot{\mathbf{A}}$  in a given basis, where the remaining dot product refers to the scalar product  $\Gamma \times \Gamma \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  between  $\Sigma_{(5)ijklm}$  and  $\dot{\mathbf{A}}$ .

AQ: Please check the sentence "The dot..." for sense.



8 *G. Amendola, M. Fabrizio & J. M. Golden*

1 to the second term, which approaches the desired form (2.26). By systematically  
2 proceeding in this manner, we uniquely obtain the relation

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_r \cdot \nabla^r \dot{\Lambda} &= (-1)^r (\nabla^r \cdot \Sigma_r) \cdot \dot{\Lambda} + \nabla \cdot \Phi_r, \\ \Phi_r &= \Sigma_r \cdot (\nabla^{r-1} \dot{\Lambda}) - (\nabla \cdot \Sigma_r) \cdot (\nabla^{r-2} \dot{\Lambda}) \\ &\quad + \cdots + (-1)^s (\nabla^s \cdot \Sigma_r) \cdot (\nabla^{r-s-1} \dot{\Lambda}) \\ &\quad + \cdots + (-1)^{r-1} (\nabla^{r-1} \cdot \Sigma_r) \cdot \dot{\Lambda}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.28)$$

4 Thus, we have

$$\Phi' = \Phi_1 + \Phi_2 + \cdots + \Phi_n, \quad (2.29)$$

6 and (2.18) applies, where  $\Sigma$  is given by (2.25) and  $\nabla \cdot \Phi' = \nabla \cdot \Phi$ .  $\square$

7 The simplest assumption regarding  $\Phi$  is to take it equal to  $\Phi'$  as given by (2.29).  
8 For a detailed development of the theory, it is also necessary to make an assumption  
9 regarding the form of  $\Phi_e$  in (2.12)<sub>3</sub> and (2.12)<sub>4</sub>, which will however not be required  
10 for our purposes. This issue does not arise if  $\kappa$  is independent of  $\mathbf{x}$ .

11 **Remark 2.2.** The quantity  $\Sigma(t)$  is the dependent variable with an immediate  
12 physical interpretation. For example, in mechanics, it is proportional to the physical  
13 stress tensor. The quantities  $\Sigma_i(t)$ ,  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  are also physically measurable  
14 quantities and expressions for them will be given below.

The fundamental constitutive assumption, which characterizes the material, is  
that the free energy  $\psi$  depends in a specified way on the independent field variables  
at the current time  $t$  and on their histories. Thus, we write

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(t) &= \tilde{\psi}(\mathbf{x}, \kappa^t(\mathbf{x}, \cdot), \kappa(\mathbf{x}, t), \Lambda^t(\mathbf{x}, \cdot), \Lambda(\mathbf{x}, t), \nabla \Lambda^t(\mathbf{x}, \cdot), \nabla \Lambda(\mathbf{x}, t), \\ &\quad \nabla^2 \Lambda^t(\mathbf{x}, \cdot), \nabla^2 \Lambda(\mathbf{x}, t), \dots, \nabla^n \Lambda^t(\mathbf{x}, \cdot), \nabla^n \Lambda(\mathbf{x}, t)). \end{aligned} \quad (2.30)$$

15 The dependence on  $\mathbf{x}$ , over and above that in the independent field variables allows  
16 the possibility of spatial inhomogeneity. We will henceforth mainly omit all space  
17 variables.

18 It is assumed that  $\tilde{\psi}$  is differentiable with respect to the independent variables  
19 at the current time and Fréchet-differentiable with respect to the histories within  
20 a suitable Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  (Fading Memory Principle<sup>4,5</sup>). The time derivative  $\dot{\psi}$   
21 is given by

$$\dot{\psi} = \partial_t \psi + \partial_h \psi, \quad (2.31)$$

23 where  $\partial_t$  indicates differentiation with respect to field variables at the current time  $t$ ,  
24 while  $\partial_h \psi$  indicates differentiation with respect to the remainder of the time depen-  
25 dence, which will consist of Fréchet differentials of  $\psi$  at the histories of the inde-  
26 pendent field quantities, in the direction of the time derivatives of these histories.

The quantity  $\partial_t \psi$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \psi = & \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \kappa(t)} \dot{\kappa}(t) + \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \mathbf{\Lambda}(t)} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(t) + \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \nabla \mathbf{\Lambda}(t)} \cdot \nabla \dot{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(t) \\ & + \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \nabla^2 \mathbf{\Lambda}(t)} \cdot \nabla^2 \dot{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(t) + \cdots + \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \nabla^n \mathbf{\Lambda}(t)} \cdot \nabla^n \dot{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(t). \end{aligned} \quad (2.32)$$

1 The derivatives with respect to field quantities are assumed to be continuous in  
 2 their arguments. Consider the relation (2.18)<sub>1</sub>. Using (2.31) with (2.32) to substitute  
 3 for  $\dot{\psi}$  and (2.24) for  $\dot{W}(t)$ , we generalize a standard argument,<sup>4,5</sup> based on  
 4 the indeterminacy of the signs of  $\dot{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(t)$ ,  $\nabla \dot{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(t)$ ,  $\nabla^2 \dot{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(t)$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $\nabla^n \dot{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(t)$ . This yields the  
 5 detailed constitutive relations

$$6 \quad e(t) = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \kappa(t)} \quad (2.33)$$

and

$$\Sigma_0(t) = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \mathbf{\Lambda}(t)}, \quad \Sigma_1(t) = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \nabla \mathbf{\Lambda}(t)}, \quad (2.34)$$

$$\Sigma_2(t) = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \nabla^2 \mathbf{\Lambda}(t)}, \dots, \Sigma_n(t) = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \nabla^n \mathbf{\Lambda}(t)},$$

7 together with an expression for the rate of dissipation

$$8 \quad D = -\partial_h \psi \geq 0, \quad (2.35)$$

9 by virtue of (2.4). Thus, the Fréchet differentials, which crucially involve the history  
 10 dependence of  $\psi$ , yield the rate of dissipation of the material. Using (2.33) and (2.34)  
 11 in (2.32), we see from (2.24) that

$$12 \quad \partial_t \psi(t) = \dot{W}(t). \quad (2.36)$$

13 An immediate consequence of (2.34) and (2.25) is that

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma(t) = & \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \mathbf{\Lambda}(t)} - \nabla \cdot \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \nabla \mathbf{\Lambda}(t)} + \nabla^2 \cdot \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \nabla^2 \mathbf{\Lambda}(t)} \\ & + \cdots + (-1)^n \nabla^n \cdot \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \nabla^n \mathbf{\Lambda}(t)} = \frac{\delta \psi}{\delta \mathbf{\Lambda}}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.37)$$

15 where  $\frac{\delta \psi}{\delta \mathbf{\Lambda}}$  is a variational derivative, as in the Calculus of Variations, with respect  
 16 to the independent field variables at the current time,  $\nabla^r \mathbf{\Lambda}(t)$ ,  $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

17 Let us introduce another compact notation on an encompassing vector space  $\mathcal{B}$   
 18 containing all the field variables. We define the independent variable

$$19 \quad \mathbf{C}(t) = (\mathbf{\Lambda}(t), \nabla \mathbf{\Lambda}(t), \nabla^2 \mathbf{\Lambda}(t), \dots, \nabla^n \mathbf{\Lambda}(t)) \in \mathcal{B} \quad (2.38)$$

20 and the dependent variable

$$21 \quad \mathbf{D}(t) = (\Sigma_0(t), \Sigma_1(t), \Sigma_2(t), \dots, \Sigma_n(t)) \in \mathcal{B}. \quad (2.39)$$

10 *G. Amendola, M. Fabrizio & J. M. Golden*

1 Relations (2.24) and (2.36) yield that

$$2 \quad \partial_t \psi = \dot{W}(t) = e\dot{\kappa} + \mathbf{D}(t) \odot \dot{\mathbf{C}}(t), \quad (2.40)$$

where the symbol  $\odot$  is the dot product in  $\mathcal{B}$ , implying a scalar product of the individual components in their respective vector spaces. Let us denote the dimension of  $\mathcal{B}$  by  $m$ . The sequence of operators  $\nabla$  and  $\nabla_a$  are defined as

$$3 \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla &= (1, \nabla, \nabla^2, \dots, \nabla^n), \\ \nabla_a &= (1, -\nabla, +\nabla^2, \dots, (-1)^n \nabla^n). \end{aligned} \quad (2.41)$$

3 Then (2.38) can be written symbolically as

$$4 \quad \mathbf{C}(t) = \nabla \Lambda(t). \quad (2.42)$$

5 Also, from (2.25) and (2.37),

$$6 \quad \Sigma(t) = \frac{\delta \psi}{\delta \Lambda(t)} = \nabla_a \odot \mathbf{D}(t). \quad (2.43)$$

7 We can write the dependence of  $\psi$  on the independent field variables given  
8 by (2.30) as

$$9 \quad \psi = \tilde{\psi}(\kappa^t, \kappa(t), \mathbf{C}^t, \mathbf{C}(t)), \quad (2.44)$$

10 where  $\mathbf{C}^t$  is the past history, given by (see (2.23))

$$11 \quad \mathbf{C}^t(s) = \mathbf{C}(t-s), \quad s \in \mathbb{R}^{++}. \quad (2.45)$$

12 The relative history is defined as

$$13 \quad \mathbf{C}_r^t(s) = \mathbf{C}^t(s) - \mathbf{C}(t). \quad (2.46)$$

14 It will be assumed that

$$15 \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{C}^t(s) = \mathbf{0}. \quad (2.47)$$

Note that

$$16 \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{C}^t(s) &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \mathbf{C}^t(s) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \mathbf{C}_r^t(s), \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{C}_r^t(s) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{C}^t(s) - \dot{\mathbf{C}}(t). \end{aligned} \quad (2.48)$$

16 From (2.18)<sub>1</sub> and (2.40), we can write the first law as

$$17 \quad \dot{\psi} + D = e\dot{\kappa} + \mathbf{D} \odot \dot{\mathbf{C}}. \quad (2.49)$$

18 By virtue of the requirement that  $D$  be non-negative (see (2.4)), it will always be  
19 true that

$$20 \quad \dot{\psi} \leq \dot{W} = e\dot{\kappa} + \mathbf{D} \odot \dot{\mathbf{C}}. \quad (2.50)$$

21 Referring to the abstract formulation of thermodynamics already cited (see Ref. 3,  
22 for example), we see that (2.50) is the fundamental requirement for a free energy (if

1 it is differentiable with respect to time). The free energy must also be a non-negative  
2 quantity.

3 From (2.49), we see that if  $\dot{\mathbf{C}}(s) = \mathbf{0}$ ,  $s \in [t, \infty)$  (which is true if  $\dot{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(s) = \mathbf{0}$ ,  $s \in$   
4  $[t, \infty)$ ) and  $\dot{\kappa}(s) = 0$ ,  $s \in [t, \infty)$ , then  $\dot{\psi}(s) \leq 0$ ,  $\forall s \geq t$ . Thus, over this interval,  
5  $\psi(s)$  is non-increasing if the independent field variables are constant in time. It  
6 follows that<sup>4</sup>

$$7 \quad \tilde{\psi}(\kappa^t, \kappa(t), \mathbf{C}^t, \mathbf{C}(t)) = \psi(t) \geq \phi(t) = \tilde{\phi}(\kappa(t), \mathbf{C}(t)), \quad (2.51)$$

8 where  $\tilde{\phi}(\kappa(t), \mathbf{C}(t))$  is the equilibrium form of  $\psi$ , or this functional for constant  
9 histories, specifically those given by  $\kappa^t(s) = \kappa(t)$ ,  $\mathbf{C}^t(s) = \mathbf{C}(t)$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{R}^{++}$ .

10 Using (2.39), we can write (2.34) in compact form as

$$11 \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{C}(t)} \tilde{\psi}(\kappa^t, \kappa(t), \mathbf{C}^t, \mathbf{C}(t)) = \tilde{\mathbf{D}}(\kappa^t, \kappa(t), \mathbf{C}^t, \mathbf{C}(t)) = \mathbf{D}(t). \quad (2.52)$$

## 12 **2.2. Requirements for a free energy**

13 Let us gather together the properties which must be associated with a functional  
14  $\tilde{\psi}$  if it is to be a free energy (see, for example, Refs. 9 and 3 in relation to the  
15 corresponding properties for simple materials).

16 (P1) We have

$$17 \quad e(t) = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \kappa(t)}, \quad \mathbf{D}(t) = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \mathbf{C}(t)}, \quad (2.53)$$

18 which are (2.33) and (2.52). Then, from (2.37),

$$19 \quad \frac{\delta \psi}{\delta \mathbf{\Lambda}(t)} = \mathbf{\Sigma}(t). \quad (2.54)$$

20 (P2) Also,

$$21 \quad \tilde{\psi}(\kappa^t, \kappa(t), \mathbf{C}^t, \mathbf{C}(t)) \geq \tilde{\phi}(\kappa(t), \mathbf{C}(t)), \quad (2.55)$$

22 which is (2.51).

$$23 \quad (\text{P3}) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \tilde{\psi}(\kappa^t, \kappa(t), \mathbf{C}^t, \mathbf{C}(t)) \leq e(t)\dot{\kappa}(t) + \mathbf{D}(t) \odot \dot{\mathbf{C}}(t), \quad (2.56)$$

24 which is the second law. This is (2.50).

25 These may be referred to as the non-local Graffi conditions.

26 A substantial advantage of the compact notation, which emerges below, is that  
27 it exposes the fact that the non-local theory is closely analogous to the local tensor  
28 case. This applies to all aspects of the theory, including procedures for constructing  
29 free energy functionals. Note in particular that the non-local Graffi conditions are  
30 identical to the local conditions, except for (2.54) which connects the theory to the  
31 quantity  $\mathbf{\Sigma}(t)$  (see Remark 2.2).

12 *G. Amendola, M. Fabrizio & J. M. Golden*

1 **2.3. Example: Continuum mechanics**

2 It is of interest to explore an important example of the formulae developed in this  
3 section, namely the case of nonlinear mechanics under isothermal conditions. Also,  
4 we bring the alternative approach based on (2.13) (or (2.9)) into the discussion.

5 Consider (2.13) in the isothermal case. The quantity  $\kappa$  is constant and  $\mathcal{A}_{\text{en}}^i$   
6 vanishes. Also,  $\mathcal{P}_n^i$  in (2.9)<sub>2</sub> is zero. We take  $\rho$  to be the (time-independent) density  
7 in the reference configuration. Let us absorb the constant (in time) factor  $\rho/\kappa$  into  
8  $\psi$  and  $D$ . This means that  $\psi$  is now the Helmholtz free energy (see (2.5)) per unit  
9 volume. Equation (2.13) becomes

$$10 \quad \dot{\psi} + D = \mathcal{P}_m^i = \dot{W}(t). \quad (2.57)$$

11 Let

$$12 \quad \mathbf{\Lambda}(s) = \mathbf{F}(s), \quad s \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (2.58)$$

13 where  $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X}, s)$  is the material gradient of deformation (see (2.19)) and  $\mathbf{X}$  is the  
14 position vector in material coordinates. Thus, in a given basis, the components of  
15  $\mathbf{F}$  are

$$16 \quad F_{ij}(t) = \frac{\partial x_i}{\partial X_j} = \frac{\partial \chi_i(\mathbf{X}, t)}{\partial X_j}, \quad i, j = 1, 2, 3, \quad (2.59)$$

17 where

$$18 \quad x_i = \chi_i(\mathbf{X}, t), \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \quad (2.60)$$

are the current position coordinates. Then, (2.30) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(t) &= \tilde{\psi}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{F}^t(\mathbf{X}, \cdot), \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X}, t), \nabla \mathbf{F}^t(\mathbf{X}, \cdot), \nabla \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X}, t), \\ &\quad \nabla^2 \mathbf{F}^t(\mathbf{X}, \cdot), \nabla^2 \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X}, t), \dots, \nabla^n \mathbf{F}^t(\mathbf{X}, \cdot), \nabla^n \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X}, t)). \end{aligned} \quad (2.61)$$

Also, (2.32) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \psi(t) &= \frac{\partial \psi(t)}{\partial \mathbf{F}(t)} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{F}}(t) + \frac{\partial \psi(t)}{\partial \nabla \mathbf{F}(t)} \cdot \nabla \dot{\mathbf{F}}(t) + \frac{\partial \psi(t)}{\partial \nabla^2 \mathbf{F}} \cdot \nabla^2 \dot{\mathbf{F}}(t) \\ &\quad + \dots + \frac{\partial \psi(t)}{\partial \nabla^n \mathbf{F}(t)} \cdot \nabla^n \dot{\mathbf{F}}(t) = \dot{W}(t). \end{aligned} \quad (2.62)$$

19 The superimposed dot notation indicates the material time derivative. The final  
20 relation of (2.62) is simply (2.36) in this context. Relation (2.37) yields

$$21 \quad \mathbf{S}(t) = \frac{\delta \psi(t)}{\delta \mathbf{F}(t)}, \quad (2.63)$$

22 where  $\mathbf{S}$  is the first Piola–Kirchhoff stress tensor, introduced in (2.19). The dynamical  
23 equation of the material has the form

$$24 \quad \rho \dot{\mathbf{v}} = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{S} + \rho \mathbf{b}, \quad \mathbf{v} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{X}, t), \quad \dot{\mathbf{v}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{X}, t), \quad (2.64)$$

1 where the vector  $\mathbf{b}$  represents the body forces per unit mass acting on the material.  
 2 The quantity  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{S}$  is defined by the relation (see Ref. 30, for example)

$$3 \quad \mathbf{a}(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{S}) = \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{S}^\top \mathbf{a}), \quad (2.65)$$

4 which holds for any constant vector  $\mathbf{a}$ . Taking the inner product of (2.64)<sub>1</sub> with  $\mathbf{v}$ ,  
 5 we obtain

$$6 \quad \frac{d}{dt}T = \mathbf{v} \cdot (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{S}) + \rho \mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{v} = \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{S}^\top \mathbf{v}) - \mathbf{S} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{F}} + \rho \mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{v}, \quad (2.66)$$

7 where  $T$  is the kinetic energy per unit volume. Relation (2.65) has been used and  
 8 a standard manipulation carried out to obtain the second term of the right-most  
 9 form of (2.66). One can show, after some detailed algebra entirely analogous to that  
 10 in the proof of Proposition 2.1, that (see (2.18))

$$11 \quad \mathbf{S} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{F}} = \dot{W}(t) + \nabla \cdot \Phi', \quad (2.67)$$

12 where the vector quantity  $\Phi'$  takes the form (2.29) adapted for the present case.  
 13 We can therefore write (2.66) as

$$14 \quad \frac{d}{dt}T + \dot{W}(t) = \nabla \cdot [\mathbf{S}^\top \mathbf{v} - \Phi'] + \rho \mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{v}. \quad (2.68)$$

15 For all materials, both simple and non-simple, we have the relation

$$16 \quad \frac{d}{dt}T + \mathcal{P}_m^i(t) = \mathcal{P}_m^e(t), \quad (2.69)$$

where  $\mathcal{P}_m^i$  and  $\mathcal{P}_m^e$  are the internal and external mechanical powers, respectively.  
 For simple materials, it is always true that  $\mathcal{P}_m^i = \mathbf{S} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{F}}$ . Comparing (2.68) and  
 (2.69), the natural identification is

$$17 \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_m^i(t) &= \dot{W}(t) = \partial_t \psi, \\ \mathcal{P}_m^e(t) &= \nabla \cdot [\mathbf{S}^\top \mathbf{v} - \Phi'] + \rho \mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{v}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.70)$$

18 The second relation is physically justifiable in that the body forces are clearly  
 19 external and the divergence term leads to a surface integration, when we carry  
 20 out spatial integrations over all or part of the material. The first relation is a  
 21 consequence of this identification.

Thus, (2.57)<sub>2</sub> agrees with (2.70)<sub>1</sub>.

#### 22 **2.4. Decomposition of the free energy functional**

23 Returning to the general theory, we additively decompose, for simplicity, the free  
 24 energy  $\psi$  into two parts

$$25 \quad \tilde{\psi}(\kappa^t, \kappa(t), \mathbf{C}^t, \mathbf{C}(t)) = \tilde{\psi}_1(\kappa^t, \kappa(t)) + \tilde{\psi}_2(\mathbf{C}^t, \mathbf{C}(t)), \quad (2.71)$$

14 *G. Amendola, M. Fabrizio & J. M. Golden*

1 where

$$2 \quad \dot{\psi}_1(t) + D_1(t) = e(t)\dot{\kappa}(t), \quad D_1(t) \geq 0, \quad \psi_1(t) = \tilde{\psi}_1(\kappa^t, \kappa(t)) \geq \tilde{\phi}_1(\kappa(t)), \quad (2.72)$$

3 the quantity  $\tilde{\phi}_1(\kappa(t))$  being the equilibrium form of  $\tilde{\psi}_1(\kappa^t, \kappa(t))$ . It follows from  
4 (2.49) that

$$5 \quad \dot{\psi}_2 + D_2 = \mathbf{D} \odot \dot{\mathbf{C}}, \quad D_2 = D - D_1, \quad (2.73)$$

6 where it is assumed that  $D_2 \geq 0$ . The quantity  $\tilde{\psi}_1$  is in effect a free energy for a  
7 simple material and will not be considered further. The properties (P1) and (P3) in  
8 Sec. 2.2, with the occurrences of  $\kappa(t)$  and  $e(t)$  omitted, hold for  $\psi_2$ . We also impose  
9 (P2) so that

$$10 \quad \tilde{\psi}_2(\mathbf{C}^t, \mathbf{C}(t)) \geq \tilde{\phi}_2(\mathbf{C}(t)). \quad (2.74)$$

11 The subscript 2 will now be dropped. The first law becomes (see (2.49))

$$12 \quad \dot{\psi} + D = \mathbf{D} \odot \dot{\mathbf{C}}. \quad (2.75)$$

13 Also, we have

$$14 \quad \mathbf{D} \odot \dot{\mathbf{C}} = \partial_t \psi = \dot{W}(t), \quad (2.76)$$

15 where  $W(t)$ , the generalized rate of work, is redefined to mean that relating only  
16 to  $\psi_2$  in (2.71).

17 There is no strong physical motivation for this decomposition. Indeed, heat flow  
18 variables are retained in  $\mathbf{C}$  and  $\mathbf{D}$ , as we see from (2.17). It is done for pragmatic  
19 reasons of simplicity, to allow subsequent developments to be phrased only in terms  
20 of  $\mathbf{C}$  and  $\mathbf{D}$ , rather than continuing to include  $\kappa$  and  $e$ . For materials undisturbed  
21 in the distant past, we can integrate (2.75) to obtain

$$22 \quad \psi(t) + \mathcal{D}(t) = W(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t \mathbf{D}(u) \odot \dot{\mathbf{C}}(u) du, \quad (2.77)$$

23 where  $\mathcal{D}(t)$  is the total dissipation over the history of the motion.

### 24 **3. A Quadratic Model for Free Energies**

25 The simplest choice of  $\psi$  is obtained by means of a functional Taylor expansion of  
26  $\tilde{\psi}(\mathbf{C}^t, \mathbf{C}(t))$ , stopping at the quadratic term.<sup>25,3</sup> This in fact yields a constitutive  
27 equation with linear memory terms, as presented in (3.11) below.<sup>§</sup> We put

$$28 \quad \psi(t) = \tilde{\phi}(\mathbf{C}(t)) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \mathbf{C}_r^t(s) \odot \mathbb{K}(s, u) \mathbf{C}_r^t(u) ds du. \quad (3.1)$$

29 The operator  $\mathbb{K} \in \text{Lin}(\mathcal{B})$  is at least positive semi-definite in the sense that the  
30 function  $\mathbb{K}(\cdot, \cdot)$  is such that the integral is non-negative. This must be true in view

<sup>§</sup>For the case of a purely mechanical simple material, see, for example, Ref. 11.

1 of (2.74). It should be noted that (2.74) also excludes linear functionals in (3.1). It  
 2 is assumed that  $\mathbb{K}(\cdot, \cdot) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+)$  and, in particular,

$$3 \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{K}(s, u) = \mathbf{0}, \quad u \in \mathbb{R}^+. \quad (3.2)$$

4 A similar relation holds for the limit of large  $u$  at fixed  $s$ . There is no loss of  
 5 generality in taking

$$6 \quad \mathbb{K}^\top(s, u) = \mathbb{K}(u, s), \quad (3.3)$$

7 where the transpose refers to  $\text{Lin}(\mathcal{B})$ . Any space dependence in  $\mathbb{K}$  is neglected, so  
 8 that we are dealing with a homogeneous material.

9 Let us define  $\mathbb{L} \in \text{Lin}(\mathcal{B})$  by

$$10 \quad \mathbb{L}(s, u) = \mathbb{L}_\infty + \int_s^\infty \int_u^\infty \mathbb{K}(t_1, t_2) dt_1 dt_2, \quad \mathbb{L}_\infty = \mathbb{L}_\infty^\top, \quad (3.4)$$

11 which gives

$$12 \quad \mathbb{L}_{12}(s, u) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s \partial u} \mathbb{L}(s, u) = \mathbb{K}(s, u), \quad \mathbb{L}^\top(s, u) = \mathbb{L}(u, s) \quad (3.5)$$

13 and

$$14 \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{L}(s, u) = \mathbb{L}_\infty, \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \mathbb{L}(s, u) = \mathbf{0}, \quad u \in \mathbb{R}^+, \quad (3.6)$$

15 with similar limits at large  $u$  holding for fixed  $s$ . Also, from (3.2) and (3.4),

$$16 \quad \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \mathbb{L}(s, u) = \mathbf{0}, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}^+, \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \mathbb{L}(s, u) = \mathbf{0}, \quad u \in \mathbb{R}^+. \quad (3.7)$$

17 We write (3.1) as

$$18 \quad \psi(t) = \tilde{\phi}(\mathbf{C}(t)) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \mathbf{C}_r^t(s) \odot \mathbb{L}_{12}(s, u) \mathbf{C}_r^t(u) ds du. \quad (3.8)$$

19 It is possible to develop the theory without restriction, for a general equilibrium  
 20 term  $\phi$ . However, in order to achieve simplifications, we specialize here to the case  
 21 of a fully linear theory by taking

$$22 \quad \tilde{\phi}(\mathbf{C}(t)) = \phi(t) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{C}(t) \odot \mathbb{L}_\infty \mathbf{C}(t) \geq 0. \quad (3.9)$$

By partial integration, one can put (3.8) in the form

$$23 \quad \psi(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \dot{\mathbf{C}}^t(s) \odot \mathbb{L}(s, u) \dot{\mathbf{C}}^t(u) ds du, \quad (3.10)$$

$$24 \quad \dot{\mathbf{C}}^t(u) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{C}^t(u) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial u} \mathbf{C}^t(u) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial u} \mathbf{C}_r^t(u).$$

It follows that  $\mathbb{L}$  must be a non-negative operator in the same sense as  $\mathbb{K}$ . Also,  
 from (3.9), we have  $\mathbb{L}_\infty \geq \mathbf{0}$ .



16 *G. Amendola, M. Fabrizio & J. M. Golden*

### 1 **3.1. Constitutive relations**

Applying (2.52) to (3.8) yields, with the aid of (3.3),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{D}(t) &= \mathbb{L}_\infty \mathbf{C}(t) + \int_0^\infty \mathbb{L}'(u) \mathbf{C}_r^t(u) du \\ &= \mathbb{L}_0 \mathbf{C}(t) + \int_0^\infty \mathbb{L}'(u) \mathbf{C}^t(u) du \\ &= \int_0^\infty \mathbb{L}(u) \dot{\mathbf{C}}^t(u) du, \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

2 where  $\mathbf{C}^t(0) = \mathbf{C}(t)$  and

$$3 \quad \mathbb{L}(u) = \mathbb{L}(0, u), \quad \mathbb{L}_0 = \mathbb{L}(0), \quad \mathbb{L}(\infty) = \mathbb{L}_\infty, \quad \mathbb{L}'(\infty) = 0, \quad (3.12)$$

4 and the prime indicates differentiation with respect to the argument. Relations (3.6)  
5 have been used. It will be assumed that

$$6 \quad \mathbb{L}(u) = \mathbb{L}^\top(u), \quad u \in \mathbb{R}^+. \quad (3.13)$$

From (2.42), (2.43) and (3.11)<sub>1</sub>, we have the constitutive relation for  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}(t)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}(t) &= \nabla_a \odot \mathbf{D}(t) = \nabla_a \odot \mathbb{L}_\infty \mathbf{C}(t) + \int_0^\infty \nabla_a \odot \mathbb{L}'(u) \mathbf{C}_r^t(u) du \\ &= \nabla_a \odot \mathbb{L}_\infty(\nabla \boldsymbol{\Lambda}(t)) + \int_0^\infty \nabla_a \odot \mathbb{L}'(u) (\nabla \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_r^t(u)) du. \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

7 Similar relations are derivable from (3.11)<sub>2,3</sub>.

8 Consider the case where (2.38) and (2.39) reduce to  $\mathbf{C}(t) = (\boldsymbol{\Lambda}(t), \nabla \boldsymbol{\Lambda}(t))$  and  
9  $\mathbf{D}(t) = (\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0(t), \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1(t))$ . More explicitly, we write

$$10 \quad \mathbf{C}(t) = \nabla \boldsymbol{\Lambda}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}(t) \\ \partial_1 \boldsymbol{\Lambda}(t) \\ \partial_2 \boldsymbol{\Lambda}(t) \\ \partial_3 \boldsymbol{\Lambda}(t) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{D}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0(t) \\ \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{(1)1}(t) \\ \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{(1)2}(t) \\ \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{(1)3}(t) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.15)$$

11 where

$$12 \quad \partial_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3. \quad (3.16)$$

13 Also

$$14 \quad \nabla_a \odot = (1 \quad -\partial_1 \quad -\partial_2 \quad -\partial_3), \quad (3.17)$$

15 yielding in particular (see (2.43))

$$16 \quad \boldsymbol{\Sigma}(t) = \nabla_a \odot \mathbf{D}(t) = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0(t) - \partial_1 \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{(1)1}(t) - \partial_2 \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{(1)2}(t) - \partial_3 \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{(1)3}(t). \quad (3.18)$$

17 The tensor  $\mathbb{L}$  is representable as a  $4 \times 4$  matrix of transformations in  $\text{Lin}(\Gamma)$ . Assume  
18 that the individual components are scalars. In the case where it is diagonal, with

AQ: Please check  
the sentence "The  
tensor..." for sense.

1 scalar components  $L_{kk}, k = 0, 1, 2, 3$ , the integrand in the first line of (3.14)<sub>2</sub> is  
2 given by

$$3 \quad L'_{00}(u)\mathbf{\Lambda}_r^t(u) - \sum_{i=1}^3 L'_{ii}(u)\partial_i^2 \mathbf{\Lambda}_r^t(u). \quad (3.19)$$

4 If  $L'_{11}(u) = L'_{22}(u) = L'_{33}(u)$ , we see that this agrees with the form of the constitu-  
5 tive equation in Refs. 1–3. For those materials, the first term on the right of (3.14)  
6 vanishes.

### 7 **3.2. Alternative form of the free energy**

With the aid of (2.46), relation (3.8) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(t) &= S(t) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \mathbf{C}^t(s) \odot \mathbb{L}_{12}(s, u) \mathbf{C}^t(u) dsdu, \\ S(t) &= \mathbf{D}(t) \odot \mathbf{C}(t) - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{C}(t) \odot \mathbb{L}_0 \mathbf{C}(t), \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

8 where  $\mathbb{L}_0$  is defined by (3.12)<sub>2</sub>. One can show that

$$9 \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{C}(t)} S(t) = \mathbf{D}(t), \quad (3.21)$$

10 which demonstrates consistency with (2.52). A disadvantage of using the form (3.20)  
11 is that  $S(t)$  is not a non-negative quantity.

### 12 **3.3. Dissipation rate**

13 The rate of dissipation  $D$  is given by (2.35). However, we can avoid functional  
14 derivatives by observing from (2.75) that  $D$  emerges from the differentiation of  
15  $\psi(t)$  with respect to  $t$ , excluding terms with  $\dot{\mathbf{C}}(t)$ . By differentiating (3.10)<sub>1</sub> and  
16 carrying out partial integrations, one obtains, with the aid of (3.10)<sub>2</sub>–(3.10)<sub>4</sub>,

$$17 \quad D(t) = -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \dot{\mathbf{C}}^t(s) \odot [\mathbb{L}_1(s, u) + \mathbb{L}_2(s, u)] \dot{\mathbf{C}}^t(u) dsdu, \quad (3.22)$$

18 when the terms proportional to  $\dot{\mathbf{C}}(t)$  are omitted. With further partial integrations,  
19 we can also write  $D$  in the form

$$20 \quad D(t) = -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \mathbf{C}_r^t(s) \odot [\mathbb{L}_{121}(s, u) + \mathbb{L}_{122}(s, u)] \mathbf{C}_r^t(u) dsdu, \quad (3.23)$$

21 where (3.10)<sub>2</sub>–(3.10)<sub>4</sub> have been used again.

22 **Remark 3.1.** Many of the results in this and later sections are entirely similar in a  
23 formal sense to corresponding formulae for simple materials, given in earlier papers  
24 by the authors and others, in particular Refs. 19, 11, 15, 25 and 3. For this reason,  
25 some detailed derivations are omitted. However, we seek to give, as complete and  
26 self-contained, a description as possible of the theory in this new context, without  
27 relying excessively on references to other work, so a certain amount of repetition is  
28 unavoidable.

AQ: The citation  
(3.10)<sub>2</sub>–(3.10)<sub>4</sub> is okay.  
Please clarify.

18 *G. Amendola, M. Fabrizio & J. M. Golden*

#### 1 **4. Constitutive Equations in the Frequency Domain**

2 Let us now consider the frequency domain representation of (3.11). We write the  
3 Fourier transform of the causal function  $\mathbb{L}'$  in (3.11) as

$$4 \quad \mathbb{L}'_+(\omega) = \int_0^\infty \mathbb{L}'(s)e^{-i\omega s} ds = \mathbb{L}'_c(\omega) - i\mathbb{L}'_s(\omega). \quad (4.1)$$

5 Unless indicated otherwise, the frequency may be taken to be real. We have

$$6 \quad \mathbb{L}'_c(\omega) = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbb{L}'_+(\omega) + \overline{\mathbb{L}'_+(\omega)}), \quad \mathbb{L}'_s(\omega) = -\frac{1}{2i}(\mathbb{L}'_+(\omega) - \overline{\mathbb{L}'_+(\omega)}) \quad (4.2)$$

7 and

$$8 \quad \overline{\mathbb{L}'_+(\omega)} = \mathbb{L}'_+(-\omega), \quad \mathbb{L}'_c(-\omega) = \mathbb{L}'_c(\omega), \quad \mathbb{L}'_s(-\omega) = -\mathbb{L}'_s(\omega). \quad (4.3)$$

9 It is assumed that  $\mathbb{L}' \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^+) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$ , giving  $\mathbb{L}'_+ \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . From (4.1), it follows  
10 that

$$11 \quad \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{d}{ds} \mathbb{L}(|s|)e^{-i\omega s} ds = -2i\mathbb{L}'_s(\omega), \quad (4.4)$$

12 a result which will prove useful later.

13 If  $\mathbf{\Lambda}^t(s)$  has sinusoidal behavior, then it is easily shown from (2.38) that  $\mathbf{C}^t(s)$   
14 will also have such behavior. Consider (2.75) for oscillatory histories of period  $T$ ,  
15 where transient effects have died away. The functional  $\tilde{\psi}$  will consist of oscillatory  
16 terms (see Sec. 10). Integrating (2.75) over a cycle, we obtain the following form of  
17 the second law

$$18 \quad \int_t^{t+T} \mathbf{D}(s) \odot \dot{\mathbf{C}}(s) ds = \int_t^{t+T} D(s) ds \geq 0. \quad (4.5)$$

19 Using such histories, it can be demonstrated (see Ref. 19, for example) that (4.5)  
20 yields

$$21 \quad \mathbb{L}_0 = \mathbb{L}_0^\top, \quad \mathbb{L}_\infty = \mathbb{L}_\infty^\top, \quad (4.6)$$

22 which are special cases of (3.13). Indeed, the second relation was assumed earlier  
23 in (3.4). It can also be shown that

$$24 \quad \mathbb{L}'_s(\omega_0) < \mathbf{0}, \quad 0 < \omega_0 < \infty. \quad (4.7)$$

25 Relation (4.7) is in effect proved by (10.23) below, if reversible processes, for which  
26 the rate of dissipation vanishes, are excluded.

27 **Remark 4.1.** For materials with (2.20) as the power function, the inequality  
28 in (4.7) is reversed. This leads to a kernel function which tends to increase (similarly  
29 to the creep function in viscoelasticity) rather than decrease, as emerges from (4.13)  
30 below for the inequality (4.7). This is what follows from the form  $\mathbf{\Sigma} \odot \dot{\mathbf{\Lambda}}$  in (2.18).

1 Note that

$$2 \quad \mathbb{L}'_s(\omega) = \int_0^\infty \mathbb{L}'(s) \sin \omega s ds < \mathbf{0}, \quad (4.8)$$

3 by virtue of (4.7). The sine inversion formula gives

$$4 \quad \mathbb{L}'(s) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \mathbb{L}'_s(\omega) \sin \omega s d\omega. \quad (4.9)$$

5 Integration yields

$$6 \quad \mathbb{L}(s) - \mathbb{L}_0 = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{1 - \cos \omega s}{\omega} \mathbb{L}'_s(\omega) d\omega \leq \mathbf{0}, \quad (4.10)$$

7 so that

$$8 \quad \mathbb{L}(s) \leq \mathbb{L}_0. \quad (4.11)$$

9 We divide by  $s$  and take the limit  $s \rightarrow 0$  which is assumed to exist. This yields  
10  $\mathbb{L}'(0) \leq \mathbf{0}$ . The stronger relation

$$11 \quad \mathbb{L}'(0) < \mathbf{0} \quad (4.12)$$

12 will be assumed. Taking the limit  $s \rightarrow \infty$  in (4.10) gives with the aid of the  
13 Riemann–Lebesgue lemma

$$14 \quad \mathbb{L}_0 - \mathbb{L}_\infty = -\frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{\mathbb{L}'_s(\omega)}{\omega} d\omega > \mathbf{0}. \quad (4.13)$$

15 We shall further assume that

$$16 \quad \mathbf{0} < \left| \int_0^\infty \tilde{\mathbb{L}}(s) ds \right| < \infty, \quad \tilde{\mathbb{L}}(s) = \mathbb{L}(s) - \mathbb{L}_\infty. \quad (4.14)$$

17 From (3.9) and (4.13), it follows that

$$18 \quad \mathbb{L}_0 > \mathbf{0}, \quad \mathbb{L}_\infty > \mathbf{0}. \quad (4.15)$$

Near the origin in the frequency domain,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{L}'_+(\omega) &= \int_0^\infty \mathbb{L}'(s) ds - i\omega \int_0^\infty s \mathbb{L}'(s) ds + O(\omega^2) \\ &= -\mathbb{L}_0 + \mathbb{L}_\infty + i\omega \int_0^\infty \tilde{\mathbb{L}}(s) ds + O(\omega^2). \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

19 Thus,

$$20 \quad \mathbb{L}'_c(0) = \mathbb{L}_\infty - \mathbb{L}_0, \quad \frac{\mathbb{L}'_s(\omega)}{\omega} \xrightarrow{\omega \rightarrow 0} - \int_0^\infty \tilde{\mathbb{L}}(s) ds. \quad (4.17)$$

We will be considering frequency space quantities, defined by analytic continuation from integral definitions, as functions on the complex  $\omega$ -plane, denoted by  $\Omega$ ,

20 *G. Amendola, M. Fabrizio & J. M. Golden*

where

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega^+ &= \{\omega \in \Omega \mid \text{Im } \omega \in \mathbb{R}^+\}, \\ \Omega^{(+)} &= \{\omega \in \Omega \mid \text{Im } \omega \in \mathbb{R}^{++}\}.\end{aligned}\tag{4.18}$$

1 Similarly,  $\Omega^-$  and  $\Omega^{(-)}$  are the lower half-planes including and excluding the real  
2 axis, respectively. The quantity  $\mathbb{L}'_+$  is analytic on  $\Omega^{(-)}$ . This property is extended,  
3 by assumption, to an open set containing  $\Omega^-$ . It is further assumed for simplicity  
4 to be analytic at infinity.

5 The function  $\mathbb{L}'_s$  has singularities in both  $\Omega^{(+)}$  and  $\Omega^{(-)}$  that are symmetric  
6 under reflection in the origin, by (4.3)<sub>3</sub>. They are also symmetric under reflection  
7 in the real axis, by virtue of (4.2)<sub>2</sub> so that they are mirror images of each other  
8 also with respect to the imaginary axis. The function  $\mathbb{L}'_s$  goes to zero at the origin  
9 and must also be analytic on the real axis, since  $\mathbb{L}'_+$  has this property. It vanishes  
10 linearly at the origin by (4.14) and (4.17)<sub>2</sub>.

11 A quantity central to our discussions, analogous to that originally considered  
12 for the mechanical case in Refs. 23 and 11, is defined by

$$\mathbb{H}(\omega) = -\omega \mathbb{L}'_s(\omega) \geq \mathbf{0}, \quad \omega \in \mathbb{R}.\tag{4.19}$$

14 It is a non-negative, even tensor function of the frequency, vanishing quadratically  
15 at the origin. It is a symmetric tensor in  $\text{Lin}(\mathcal{B})$ . From the behavior of Fourier  
16 transforms at large  $\omega$ , one finds that

$$i \lim_{\omega \rightarrow \infty} \omega \mathbb{L}'_+(\omega) = \lim_{\omega \rightarrow \infty} \omega \mathbb{L}'_s(\omega) = \mathbb{L}'(0)\tag{4.20}$$

18 yields

$$\mathbb{L}'(0) = -\mathbb{H}(\infty) \equiv -\mathbb{H}_\infty.\tag{4.21}$$

20 The symmetric quantity  $\mathbb{H}_\infty$  is positive definite by virtue of (4.12).

#### 21 **4.1. Frequency domain representation of the history**

22 Let  $\mathbf{C}^t \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^+) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$  and recall the assumption (2.47). The Fourier transform  
23 of  $\mathbf{C}^t$  is given by

$$\mathbf{C}_+^t(\omega) = \int_0^\infty \mathbf{C}^t(u) e^{-i\omega u} du,\tag{4.22}$$

25 which is analytic on  $\Omega^{(-)}$ , since the integral exists and is uniquely differentiable in  
26 this region. The derivative of  $\mathbf{C}_+^t$  with respect to  $t$  will be required. Assuming that  
27  $\mathbf{C}^t \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^+)$  we find, with the aid of (2.45) and a partial integration, that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{C}_+^t(\omega) = -i\omega \mathbf{C}_+^t(\omega) + \mathbf{C}(t).\tag{4.23}$$

29 In this context, the property (4.20) of Fourier transforms yields

$$i \lim_{\omega \rightarrow \infty} \omega \mathbf{C}_+^t(\omega) = \lim_{\omega \rightarrow \infty} \omega \mathbf{C}_s^t(\omega) = \mathbf{C}(t).\tag{4.24}$$

1 The Fourier transform of  $\mathbf{C}_r^t(s) = \mathbf{C}^t(s) - \mathbf{C}(t)$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  is given by<sup>h</sup>

$$2 \quad \mathbf{C}_{r+}^t(\omega) = \mathbf{C}_+^t(\omega) - \frac{\mathbf{C}(t)}{i\omega^-}, \quad (4.25)$$

3 which, on noting (4.24), can be seen to behave as  $\omega^{-2}$  at large frequencies. Also,  
4 with the aid of (4.23), we see that

$$5 \quad \frac{d}{dt}\mathbf{C}_+^t(\omega) = -i\omega\mathbf{C}_{r+}^t(\omega), \quad \frac{d}{dt}\mathbf{C}_{r+}^t(\omega) = -i\omega\mathbf{C}_{r+}^t(\omega) - \frac{\dot{\mathbf{C}}(t)}{i\omega^-}. \quad (4.26)$$

6 It is assumed that  $\mathbf{C}_+^t(\omega)$  has no singularities on the real axis.

7 A continuation of the history  $\mathbf{C}^t(u)$ ,  $u \in \mathbb{R}^+$  is  $\mathbf{C}^t(u)$ ,  $u \in \mathbb{R}^-$ . Its Fourier  
8 transform is given by

$$9 \quad \mathbf{C}_-^t(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^0 \mathbf{C}^t(u)e^{-i\omega u} du \quad (4.27)$$

10 and, instead of (4.24), we have

$$11 \quad i \lim_{\omega \rightarrow \infty} \omega \mathbf{C}_-^t(\omega) = -\mathbf{C}(t^+), \quad (4.28)$$

12 which differs from  $\mathbf{C}(t)$  if there is a discontinuity between the history and continu-  
13 ation (see (8.45)). Corresponding to (4.25), we have

$$14 \quad \mathbf{C}_{r-}^t(\omega) = \mathbf{C}_-^t(\omega) + \frac{\mathbf{C}(t)}{i\omega^+} \quad (4.29)$$

15 and, for  $\omega \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$16 \quad \mathbf{C}_{r-}^t(\omega) \approx \frac{\mathbf{C}(t) - \mathbf{C}(t^+)}{i\omega^+}. \quad (4.30)$$

17 Thus, if there is a discontinuity between the history and continuation,  $\mathbf{C}_{r-}^t(\omega)$   
18 behaves as  $\omega^{-1}$  at large frequencies. If there is no such discontinuity, then  $\mathbf{C}_{r-}^t(\omega)$   
19 behaves as  $\omega^{-2}$  at large  $\omega$ .

20 The Fourier transform over  $\mathbb{R}$  is

$$21 \quad \mathbf{C}_F^t(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbf{C}^t(u)e^{-i\omega u} du = \mathbf{C}_+^t(\omega) + \mathbf{C}_-^t(\omega) \quad (4.31)$$

22 and

$$23 \quad \mathbf{C}_{rF}^t(\omega) = \mathbf{C}_{r+}^t(\omega) + \mathbf{C}_{r-}^t(\omega) = \mathbf{C}_F^t(\omega) + i\mathbf{C}(t) \left\{ \frac{1}{\omega^-} - \frac{1}{\omega^+} \right\}, \quad (4.32)$$

24 if there is no discontinuity between history and continuation. The last term on the  
25 right can be shown to be proportional to the singular function  $\delta(\omega)$ .

<sup>h</sup>These relations are discussed more fully in Refs. 24, 25, 3 and earlier work. In particular, we note here that  $\omega^-$  can be thought of as  $\omega - i\alpha$ , where  $\alpha$  is a small real positive quantity. Similarly  $\omega^+$  corresponds to  $\omega + i\alpha$ . The limit  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$  is taken only after any integrations on  $\Omega$  have been carried out. This is a device which avoids the use of distributions in various contexts. When  $\omega^\pm$  is in the numerator of an expression, the superscript is irrelevant and may be dropped. The choice of  $\omega^\mp$  in (4.25), (4.29) and (4.33) ensures that the singularity is in the correct half-plane.

22 *G. Amendola, M. Fabrizio & J. M. Golden*

1 For small frequencies, we have

$$2 \quad \mathbf{C}_{r\pm}^t(\omega) \approx \pm \frac{\mathbf{C}_r^t(\pm\infty)}{i\omega^\mp}, \quad (4.33)$$

3 which can be shown by considering Fourier transforms of functions that do not  
4 vanish at infinity and therefore do not belong to  $L^1(\mathbb{R}^+) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$ .

#### 5 **4.2. Constitutive equations in terms of** 6 **frequency domain quantities**

Applying Parseval's formula to (3.11)<sub>1</sub> and (3.11)<sub>2</sub> by extending the range of inte-  
gration to  $\mathbb{R}$ , one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{D}(t) &= \mathbb{L}_\infty \mathbf{C}(t) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \overline{\mathbb{L}'_+(\omega)} \mathbf{C}_{r+}^t(\omega) d\omega \\ &= \mathbb{L}_0 \mathbf{C}(t) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \overline{\mathbb{L}'_+(\omega)} \mathbf{C}_+^t(\omega) d\omega. \end{aligned} \quad (4.34)$$

7 If we replace  $\overline{\mathbb{L}'_+(\omega)}$  by  $[\overline{\mathbb{L}'_+(\omega)} + \mathbb{F}(\omega)]$  where  $\mathbb{F}(\omega)$  is analytic on  $\Omega^-$  and is a  
8 constant or zero at infinity, (4.34)<sub>1</sub> still holds. This follows by a simple application  
9 of Cauchy's theorem over  $\Omega^-$ , using the behavior of  $\mathbf{C}_{r+}^t$  at large  $\omega$ . In particular,  
10 we have

$$11 \quad \mathbf{D}(t) = \mathbb{L}_\infty \mathbf{C}(t) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [\overline{\mathbb{L}'_+(\omega)} + \lambda \mathbb{L}'_+(\omega)] \mathbf{C}_{r+}^t(\omega) d\omega, \quad (4.35)$$

where  $\lambda$  is any complex constant. Choosing  $\lambda = -1$  yields

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{D}(t) &= \mathbb{L}_\infty \mathbf{C}(t) + \frac{i}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbb{L}'_s(\omega) \mathbf{C}_{r+}^t(\omega) d\omega \\ &= \mathbb{L}_\infty \mathbf{C}(t) - \frac{i}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbb{H}(\omega)}{\omega} \mathbf{C}_{r+}^t(\omega) d\omega, \end{aligned} \quad (4.36)$$

12 where (4.19) has been used. Similarly, we find that

$$13 \quad \mathbf{D}(t) = \mathbb{L}_0 \mathbf{C}(t) - \frac{i}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbb{H}(\omega)}{\omega} \mathbf{C}_+^t(\omega) d\omega, \quad (4.37)$$

14 with the aid of relation (4.13) rewritten so that the integration is over  $\mathbb{R}$ . Relation  
15 (4.37) was first given for viscoelastic materials in Ref. 16.

## 16 **5. Minimal States**

17 In the classical approach to materials with memory, the state is identified with the  
18 history of the independent variables. Noll's characterization of state,<sup>34</sup> discussed  
19 for the case of linear viscoelasticity in Ref. 9, is an interesting alternative. He  
20 takes the material response as the basis for the definition of state: if an arbitrary  
21 process, acting on different given histories up to time  $t$ , leads to the same response

1 of the material after time  $t$ , then the given histories are equivalent and the state  
 2 is represented as the class of all these equivalent histories. We shall refer to it as  
 3 the *minimal state*.<sup>17</sup> A minimal state is in effect an equivalence class under this  
 4 definition. The idea has been applied to linear materials in Refs. 28, 8, 9, 11, 17,  
 5 24, 25 and other more recent work, in particular Ref. 3.

Two states  $(\mathbf{C}_1^t, \mathbf{C}_1(t))$ ,  $(\mathbf{C}_2^t, \mathbf{C}_2(t))$  are equivalent, or determine the same minimal state if

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{D}_1(t+s) &= \mathbf{D}_2(t+s), \\ \mathbf{C}_1(t+s) &= \mathbf{C}_2(t+s) + \mathbf{C}_c, \quad s \geq 0, \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

where  $\mathbf{D}_1, \mathbf{D}_2$  are the dependent variables corresponding to the states  $(\mathbf{C}_1^t, \mathbf{C}_1(t))$ ,  $(\mathbf{C}_2^t, \mathbf{C}_2(t))$ . In the second relation of (5.1),  $\mathbf{C}_c$  is a constant (in time) member of  $\mathcal{B}$ . This is the condition that the process  $\dot{\mathbf{C}}_i(t+s)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{R}^+$  acting on each is the same. Note that it follows from (5.1)<sub>1</sub> that  $\Sigma^{(1)} = \Sigma^{(2)}$  where  $\Sigma^{(1)}$  and  $\Sigma^{(2)}$  are the dependent quantities (for example, stress tensors in mechanics) defined by (2.25). We have used superscripts to avoid confusion with  $\Sigma_1$  and  $\Sigma_2$  etc. in (2.24). Also, referring to (3.11)<sub>2</sub>, we see that (5.1)<sub>1</sub> is true if for  $s \geq 0$ ,

$$\mathbb{L}_0 \mathbf{C}_1(t+s) + \int_0^\infty \mathbb{L}'(u) \mathbf{C}_1^{t+s}(u) du = \mathbb{L}_0 \mathbf{C}_2(t+s) + \int_0^\infty \mathbb{L}'(u) \mathbf{C}_2^{t+s}(u) du. \quad (5.2)$$

6 Let

$$\mathbf{C}_d(t) = \mathbf{C}_1(t) - \mathbf{C}_2(t), \quad \mathbf{C}_d^t(s) = \mathbf{C}_1^t(s) - \mathbf{C}_2^t(s). \quad (5.3)$$

8 Then (5.2) with (5.1)<sub>2</sub> yield

$$\mathbb{L}(s) \mathbf{C}_c + \mathbf{I}(s, \mathbf{C}_d^t) = \mathbf{0}, \quad \mathbf{I}(s, \mathbf{C}_d^t) = \int_0^\infty \mathbb{L}'(u+s) \mathbf{C}_d^t(u) du. \quad (5.4)$$

10 By virtue of (3.12)<sub>4</sub>, we have

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{I}(s, \mathbf{C}_d^t) = \mathbf{0}. \quad (5.5)$$

12 Thus, assuming that  $\mathbb{L}(\infty)$  is non-zero and invertible, then  $\mathbf{C}_c$  must vanish and the  
 13 conditions of equivalence can be stated as

$$\mathbf{C}_d(t+s) = \mathbf{0}, \quad \mathbf{I}(s, \mathbf{C}_d^t) = \mathbf{0}, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}^+. \quad (5.6)$$

15 Observe that, in the theory under consideration, with linear constitutive rela-  
 16 tions, the states  $(\mathbf{C}_1^t, \mathbf{C}_1(t))$  and  $(\mathbf{C}_2^t, \mathbf{C}_2(t))$  are equivalent if and only if  $(\mathbf{C}_d^t, \mathbf{C}_d(t))$   
 17 is equivalent to the zero state, consisting of a zero history and zero current value.

18 A functional of  $(\mathbf{C}^t, \mathbf{C}(t))$  or  $(\mathbf{C}_r^t, \mathbf{C}(t))$  which yields the same value for all  
 19 members of the same minimal state will be referred to as a functional of the minimal  
 20 state or as a minimal state variable. Note that, by virtue of (5.6),  $\mathbf{I}(s, \mathbf{C}^t)$  has this  
 21 property.



24 *G. Amendola, M. Fabrizio & J. M. Golden*

1 Let us introduce the notation

$$2 \quad \langle \mathbf{C}_1^t, \mathbf{C}_2^t \rangle = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \mathbf{C}_1^t(s_1) \odot \mathbb{L}_{12}(s_1, s_2) \mathbf{C}_2^t(s_2) ds_1 ds_2. \quad (5.7)$$

3 We have

$$4 \quad \langle \mathbf{C}_1^t, \mathbf{C}_2^t \rangle = \langle \mathbf{C}_2^t, \mathbf{C}_1^t \rangle \quad (5.8)$$

5 by virtue of (3.3).

6 Let  $(\mathbf{C}_1^t, \mathbf{C}(t))$  and  $(\mathbf{C}_2^t, \mathbf{C}(t))$  be any equivalent states. Then, a free energy is a  
7 functional of the minimal state if

$$8 \quad \psi(\mathbf{C}_1^t, \mathbf{C}(t)) = \psi(\mathbf{C}_2^t, \mathbf{C}(t)). \quad (5.9)$$

9 It is not necessary that a free energy should have this property, though it holds for  
10 some of the free energies discussed in later sections. Notably, this is true for the  
11 minimum free energy, as can be shown in great generality, but also in other cases.  
12 It follows from (3.20) and (5.9) that

$$13 \quad \langle \mathbf{C}_1^t, \mathbf{C}_1^t \rangle = \langle \mathbf{C}_2^t, \mathbf{C}_2^t \rangle. \quad (5.10)$$

14 Note that if  $\mathbf{C}_d^t$  is equivalent to the zero history, then, by (5.9),

$$15 \quad \psi(\mathbf{C}_d^t, \mathbf{0}) = 0, \quad (5.11)$$

16 or

$$17 \quad \langle \mathbf{C}_d^t, \mathbf{C}_d^t \rangle = 0. \quad (5.12)$$

18 If the material has minimal states that are non-singleton, then (5.12) implies that  
19 the quadratic function with kernel  $\mathbb{L}_{12}$  is positive semi-definite. If the material has  
20 singleton minimal states, then this quadratic functional is positive definite.

21 Both (5.10) and (5.12) must hold if the two states are equivalent and if the free  
22 energy is a functional of the minimal state. This implies that

$$23 \quad \langle \mathbf{C}_1^t, \mathbf{C}_1^t \rangle = \langle \mathbf{C}_2^t, \mathbf{C}_2^t \rangle = \langle \mathbf{C}_1^t, \mathbf{C}_2^t \rangle, \quad (5.13)$$

24 which conversely implies (5.9).

## 25 **6. The Generalized Work Function**

26 Relation (3.11)<sub>3</sub> can be put in the form

$$27 \quad \mathbf{D}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t \mathbb{L}(t-s) \dot{\mathbf{C}}(s) ds, \quad (6.1)$$

28 by a change of variable. Substituting (6.1) into (2.77)<sub>2</sub> gives

$$29 \quad W(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t du \int_{-\infty}^u ds \mathbb{L}(u-s) \dot{\mathbf{C}}(s) \odot \dot{\mathbf{C}}(u). \quad (6.2)$$

1 Consider the identities

$$2 \quad \int_{-\infty}^t du \int_{-\infty}^u ds f(u, s) = \int_{-\infty}^t ds \int_s^t du f(u, s) = \int_{-\infty}^t du \int_u^t ds f(s, u). \quad (6.3)$$

The first follows from the geometry of integration in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , the second by renaming integration variables. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^t du \int_{-\infty}^u ds f(u, s) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^t du \int_{-\infty}^t ds f_S(s, u) \\ f_S(u, s) &= \begin{cases} f(u, s), & u \geq s, \\ f(s, u), & s > u. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (6.4)$$

Applying this general identity to (6.2) and recalling (3.13), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} W(t) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^t \int_{-\infty}^t \mathbb{L}(|u-s|) \dot{\mathbf{C}}(s) \odot \dot{\mathbf{C}}(u) ds du \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^t \int_{-\infty}^t \mathbb{L}(|u-s|) \frac{\partial}{\partial s} [\mathbf{C}(s) - \mathbf{C}(t)] \odot \frac{\partial}{\partial u} [\mathbf{C}(u) - \mathbf{C}(t)] ds du. \end{aligned} \quad (6.5)$$

Two partial integrations give

$$\begin{aligned} W(t) &= \phi(t) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^t \int_{-\infty}^t \mathbb{L}_{12}(|u-s|) [\mathbf{C}(u) - \mathbf{C}(t)] \odot [\mathbf{C}(s) - \mathbf{C}(t)] ds du \\ &= \phi(t) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \mathbb{L}_{12}(|u-s|) \mathbf{C}_r^t(u) \odot \mathbf{C}_r^t(s) ds du \\ &= \phi(t) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty \mathbb{L}_{12}(|u-s|) \mathbf{C}_r^t(u) \odot \mathbf{C}_r^t(s) ds du, \end{aligned} \quad (6.6)$$

where  $\phi(t)$  is defined by (3.9) and where the last relation presumes that  $\mathbf{C}_r^t(u)$  vanishes for  $u < 0$ . Let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{V}^{(t)}(s) &= \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \mathbb{L}(|s-u|) \mathbf{C}_r^t(u) du \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^\infty \mathbb{L}_1(|s-u|) \mathbf{C}_r^t(u) du = - \int_{-\infty}^\infty \mathbb{L}_2(|s-u|) \mathbf{C}_r^t(u) du, \end{aligned} \quad (6.7)$$

3 so that

$$4 \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \mathbf{V}^{(t)}(s) = - \int_{-\infty}^\infty \mathbb{L}_{12}(|s-u|) \mathbf{C}_r^t(u) du. \quad (6.8)$$

The Fourier transform of  $\mathbf{V}^{(t)}(s)$  is, by virtue of the convolution theorem and (4.4),

$$\mathbf{V}_F^{(t)}(\omega) = -2i \mathbb{L}'_s(\omega) \mathbf{C}_{r+}^t(\omega), \quad (6.9)$$

26 *G. Amendola, M. Fabrizio & J. M. Golden*

which yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbb{L}_{12}(|s-u|) \mathbf{C}_r^t(u) \odot \mathbf{C}_r^t(s) \, duds \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \mathbf{V}^{(t)}(s) \odot \mathbf{C}_r^t(s) \, ds \\ &= -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \omega \mathbb{L}'_s(\omega) \mathbf{C}_{r+}^t(\omega) \odot \overline{\mathbf{C}_{r+}^t(\omega)} \, d\omega, \end{aligned} \quad (6.10)$$

1 where the last form is obtained using Parseval's formula. Thus, we obtain

$$2 \quad W(t) = \phi(t) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \overline{\mathbf{C}_{r+}^t(\omega)} \odot \mathbb{H}(\omega) \mathbf{C}_{r+}^t(\omega) \, d\omega, \quad (6.11)$$

3 where (4.19) has been used. The right-hand side is manifestly non-negative.

4 We will also need  $W(\infty)$  below. It is assumed that  $\mathbf{C}(s)$  vanishes as  $s \rightarrow \pm\infty$ ,  
5 which is stronger than (2.47). Let us write (6.5)<sub>1</sub> for  $t = \infty$  as

$$6 \quad W(\infty) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbb{L}(|u-s|) \dot{\mathbf{C}}(s) \odot \dot{\mathbf{C}}(u) \, dsdu \quad (6.12)$$

7 and write (6.5)<sub>2</sub> with the integrations over  $\mathbb{R}$  and where the time in  $\mathbf{C}(t)$  is arbitrary.  
8 The partial integrations to yield (6.6) can be carried out, where the terms at  $\pm\infty$   
9 cancel. Thus, (6.6)<sub>3</sub> takes the form

$$10 \quad W(\infty) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbb{L}_{12}(|u-s|) \mathbf{C}_r^t(u) \odot \mathbf{C}_r^t(s) \, dsdu, \quad (6.13)$$

11 where the variable  $t$  can take any value. In later applications, it will be taken to be  
12 the current time. The quantities  $\mathbf{C}_r^t(u)$  and  $\mathbf{C}_r^t(s)$  are not now assumed to vanish  
13 for  $u, s < 0$ . Applying Parseval's formula, as in (6.10), we obtain

$$14 \quad W(\infty) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbf{C}_{rF}^t(\omega) \odot \mathbb{H}(\omega) \overline{\mathbf{C}_{rF}^t(\omega)} \, d\omega, \quad (6.14)$$

15 where  $\mathbf{C}_{rF}^t$  is defined by (4.32).

Equations (6.6) and (6.11) are expressed in terms of relative histories. We can  
write them in terms of histories, as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} W(t) &= S(t) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \mathbb{L}_{12}(|s-u|) \mathbf{C}^t(u) \odot \mathbf{C}^t(s) \, duds \\ &= S(t) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbb{L}_{12}(|s-u|) \mathbf{C}^t(u) \odot \mathbf{C}^t(s) \, duds \\ &= S(t) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \overline{\mathbf{C}_+^t(\omega)} \odot \mathbb{H}(\omega) \mathbf{C}_+^t(\omega) \, d\omega, \end{aligned} \quad (6.15)$$

16 where in (6.15)<sub>2</sub> and (6.15)<sub>3</sub> it is assumed that  $\mathbf{C}^t(u)$  vanishes for  $u < 0$ . Relation  
17 (6.15)<sub>1</sub> corresponds to (3.20)<sub>1</sub> and can be demonstrated in a similar manner.

1 Relation (6.15)<sub>3</sub> follows from (4.25), (6.11) and (4.37), with the aid of (4.13), or by  
 2 applying Parseval's formula.

3 We see from (6.6)<sub>2</sub> that  $W(t)$  can be cast in the form (3.8) by putting

$$4 \quad \mathbb{L}_{12}(s, u) = \mathbb{L}_{12}(|s - u|). \quad (6.16)$$

5 Thus,  $W(t)$  can be regarded as a free energy, but with zero dissipation, which is  
 6 clear from (3.22) or indeed (2.76). Because of the vanishing dissipation, it must  
 7 be the maximum free energy associated with the material or greater than this  
 8 quantity, an observation which follows by integrating (2.75) over  $(-\infty, t]$ . Both of  
 9 these situations can occur, depending on whether the minimal state is a singleton  
 10 or not.<sup>24,10,25,3</sup> Clearly, of course, zero dissipation is non-physical for a material  
 11 with memory, so the main interest of this quantity, in the context of free energies,  
 12 is that it provides an upper bound for the physical free energy.

13 It can be proved<sup>11,3</sup> that the non-negative tensor  $\mathbb{H}(\omega)$  can always be expressed  
 14 as the product of two factors

$$15 \quad \mathbb{H}(\omega) = \mathbb{H}_+(\omega)\mathbb{H}_-(\omega), \quad \mathbb{H}_\pm(\omega) = \mathbb{H}_\pm^*(\omega), \quad \omega \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (6.17)$$

16 where all the singularities of  $\mathbb{H}_\pm(\omega)$  and all the zeros of  $\det \mathbb{H}_\pm(\omega)$  are in  $\Omega^{(\pm)}$ ,  
 17 respectively. This factorization is fundamental to the determination of the minimum  
 18 free energy in Sec. 8.

## 19 7. Special Forms of Free Energy

20 We present in this section functionals that are free energies provided certain assump-  
 21 tions on the relaxation function are valid.

22 In Secs. 7.1 and 7.2, we consider quadratic functionals which are free energies  
 23 only for a sub-category (though an important one) of materials, namely those with  
 24 the property

$$25 \quad \mathbb{L}'(s) \leq \mathbf{0}, \quad \mathbb{L}''(s) \geq \mathbf{0}, \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R}^+. \quad (7.1)$$

26 **Remark 7.1.** Note that the assumption  $\mathbb{L}''(u) \geq \mathbf{0}, u \geq s$  implies  $\mathbb{L}'(s) \leq \mathbf{0}$ .  
 27 It implies  $\mathbb{L}'(s) < \mathbf{0}$  if  $\mathbb{L}''$  is non-zero on a set of finite measure with elements  
 28  $u > s$ . This in particular implies that  $\mathbb{L}'(0) < \mathbf{0}$  except in trivial cases, as assumed  
 29 in (4.12).

30 In Sec. 7.3, a stronger assumption must be made.

### 31 7.1. The Graffi–Volterra free energy

Let us first present the Graffi–Volterra functional<sup>26,27,9,8,3</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_G(t) &= \phi(t) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \mathbb{L}'(s) \mathbf{C}_r^t(s) \odot \mathbf{C}_r^t(s) ds \\ &= S(t) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \mathbb{L}'(s) \mathbf{C}^t(s) \odot \mathbf{C}^t(s) ds, \end{aligned} \quad (7.2)$$

28 *G. Amendola, M. Fabrizio & J. M. Golden*

1 where  $\phi(t)$  and  $S(t)$  are given by (3.9) and (3.20)<sub>2</sub>, respectively. This is a free energy  
2 if (7.1)<sub>1</sub> holds. The rate of dissipation associated with  $\psi_G$  has the form

$$3 \quad D_G(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \mathbb{L}''(s) \mathbf{C}_r^t(s) \odot \mathbf{C}_r^t(s) ds, \quad (7.3)$$

4 which is non-negative under assumption (7.1)<sub>2</sub>. This relation can be derived using  
5 (2.75) and (2.48). The properties in Sec. 2.2 are easily confirmed for  $\psi_G$ .

6 A special case of  $\psi_G$  is discussed in Refs. 1–3, where  $\mathbb{L}(s)$  is a diagonal tensor.  
7 This also applies to the free energies introduced in Secs. 7.2, 7.3 and 8.

8 The functional  $\psi_G$  can be expressed in the form (3.8), with

$$9 \quad \mathbb{L}(s, u) = \frac{1}{2} [\mathbb{L}(s) I_{[0, s)}(u) + \mathbb{L}(u) I_{[0, u)}(s)], \quad (7.4)$$

10 where  $I_S$  is the characteristic function of the set  $S$ , defined by

$$11 \quad I_{[0, s)}(u) = \begin{cases} 1, & u \in [0, s), \\ 0, & u \notin [0, s). \end{cases} \quad (7.5)$$

12 We observe that  $\mathbb{L}_{12}$  is unbounded.

13 The Graffi–Volterra free energy is a functional of the minimal state only if  
14 the material is such that the minimal states are singletons, in other words, if the  
15 minimal state is simply  $(\mathbf{C}^t, \mathbf{C}(t))$ . Indeed, if we have a history  $\mathbf{C}_d^t \neq \mathbf{0}$ , equivalent  
16 to the zero history, as given by (5.3), then (5.11) does not hold, because, from (7.1),  
17 we have

$$18 \quad \int_0^\infty \mathbb{L}'(s) \mathbf{C}_d^t(s) \odot \mathbf{C}_d^t(s) ds \geq 0, \quad (7.6)$$

19 where equality occurs only in the case where  $\mathbb{L}'(s)$  vanishes for  $s \in \mathbb{R}^+$ .

20 We have the following result.

21 **Proposition 7.1.** *If  $\mathbb{L}_{12}(s_1, s_2)$  is a bounded, non-negative (positive semi-definite)  
22 tensor for all  $s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , then*

$$23 \quad \psi(t) \leq \psi_G(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (7.7)$$

24 where  $\psi$  is any functional of the form (3.20) and  $\psi_G$  is the Graffi–Volterra func-  
25 tional (7.2).

**Proof.** Consider the identity

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty [\mathbf{C}^t(s_1) - \mathbf{C}^t(s_2)] \odot \mathbb{L}_{12}(s_1, s_2) [\mathbf{C}^t(s_1) - \mathbf{C}^t(s_2)] ds_1 ds_2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \mathbf{C}^t(s_1) \odot \mathbb{L}_{12}(s_1, s_2) \mathbf{C}^t(s_1) ds_1 ds_2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \mathbf{C}^t(s_2) \odot \mathbb{L}_{12}(s_1, s_2) \mathbf{C}^t(s_2) ds_1 ds_2 \\
& - \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \mathbf{C}^t(s_1) \odot \mathbb{L}_{12}(s_1, s_2) \mathbf{C}^t(s_2) ds_1 ds_2.
\end{aligned} \tag{7.8}$$

1 The left-hand side is non-negative by virtue of the assumption on  $\mathbb{L}_{12}(s_1, s_2)$ . The  
2 first two terms on the right yield, after integration, the integral term in (7.2) and the  
3 last term is the memory integral in (3.20)<sub>1</sub>, multiplied in both cases by a factor 2.  
4 Relation (7.7) follows immediately.  $\square$

5 **Remark 7.2.** It must be emphasized that the non-negativity we have assumed for  
6  $\mathbb{L}_{12}(s_1, s_2)$  is not necessary in general for  $\psi$ , given by (3.8), to be a free energy,  
7 though  $\mathbb{L}_{12}$  must be a non-negative operator in the sense that the integral term in  
8 (3.8) must be non-negative.

## 9 7.2. Single integral quadratic functionals of $\mathbf{I}^t$

10 We now introduce a functional which is a free energy for materials with the property  
11 (7.1) and is a functional of the minimal state. These results were first reported in  
12 Ref. 10 and are also discussed in Ref. 3.

Consider the functional

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\psi}_F(\mathbf{I}^t) &= \psi_F(t) = \phi(t) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \mathbb{L}'^{-1}(\tau) \dot{\mathbf{I}}^t(\tau) \odot \dot{\mathbf{I}}^t(\tau) d\tau, \\
\dot{\mathbf{I}}^t(\tau) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \mathbf{I}^t(\tau), \quad \mathbf{I}^t(\tau) = \mathbf{I}(\tau, \mathbf{C}_r^t),
\end{aligned} \tag{7.9}$$

13 where  $\mathbb{L}'^{-1}(\tau)$  is the inverse of the tensor  $\mathbb{L}'(\tau)$  in the algebraic sense (treating them  
14 as matrices) and  $\mathbf{I}(\cdot, \mathbf{C}_r^t)$  is defined by (5.4) with  $\mathbf{C}_r^t$  replacing  $\mathbf{C}_d^t$ . The integral term  
15 in (7.9) is non-negative by virtue of (7.1). The tensor  $(\mathbb{L}')^{-1}$  becomes singular at  
16 large  $\tau$ , but it is clear from the representation (7.12) below that the integral exists.

17 The domain of definition of the functional  $\tilde{\psi}_F$  will be denoted by

$$\mathcal{H}_F^*(\mathbb{R}^+) = \left\{ \mathbf{I}^t; \left| \int_0^\infty \mathbb{L}'^{-1}(\tau) \dot{\mathbf{I}}^t(\tau) \odot \dot{\mathbf{I}}^t(\tau) d\tau \right| < \infty \right\}. \tag{7.10}$$

19 This space is very much larger than the domain of definition of the Graffi–Volterra  
20 free energy, as we see for a kernel given by an exponential or a sum of exponentials.  
21 One can choose exponentially diverging histories such that the integral in (7.2) will  
22 diverge — because of the quadratic dependence on  $\mathbf{C}_r^t$  — but for which  $\mathbf{I}^t$  exists.

Note that the function  $\tilde{\psi}_F$  can also be written in the form

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\psi}_F(\mathbf{I}^t) &= S(t) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \mathbb{L}'^{-1}(\tau) \dot{\mathbf{I}}(\tau, \mathbf{C}^t) \odot \dot{\mathbf{I}}(\tau, \mathbf{C}^t) d\tau, \\
\dot{\mathbf{I}}(\tau, \mathbf{C}^t) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \mathbf{I}(\tau, \mathbf{C}^t),
\end{aligned} \tag{7.11}$$

23 which is a special case of (3.20).

30 *G. Amendola, M. Fabrizio & J. M. Golden*

1 We can write  $\psi_F$ , given by (7.9) explicitly, in terms of the relative history  $\mathbf{C}_r^t$   
2 as follows:

$$3 \quad \psi_F(t) = \phi(t) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \mathbb{L}_{12}(s_1, s_2) \mathbf{C}_r^t(s_1) \odot \mathbf{C}_r^t(s_2) ds_1 ds_2, \quad (7.12)$$

4 where

$$5 \quad \mathbb{L}(s_1, s_2) = - \int_0^\infty \mathbb{L}'(\tau + s_2) \mathbb{L}'^{-1}(\tau) \mathbb{L}'(\tau + s_1) d\tau = \mathbb{L}(s_2, s_1). \quad (7.13)$$

6 One can derive an expression for the rate of dissipation using (3.22)<sup>1</sup> and, from  
7 the fact that it is non-negative, deduce that  $\psi_F$  is a free energy. A more direct  
8 demonstration of the fact that  $\psi_F$  is a free energy can also be given. From (2.46),  
9 (2.48), (5.4) and (7.9) we have that

$$10 \quad \frac{d}{dt} \dot{\mathbf{I}}^t(\tau) = \mathbb{L}'(\tau) \dot{\mathbf{C}}(t) + \ddot{\mathbf{I}}^t(\tau), \quad (7.14)$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \psi_F(t) &= \mathbf{D}(t) \odot \dot{\mathbf{C}}(t) - \int_0^\infty \mathbb{L}'^{-1}(\tau) \ddot{\mathbf{I}}^t(\tau) \odot \dot{\mathbf{I}}^t(\tau) d\tau \\ &= \mathbf{D}(t) \odot \dot{\mathbf{C}}(t) + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{L}'^{-1}(0) \dot{\mathbf{I}}^t(0) \odot \dot{\mathbf{I}}^t(0) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \left[ \frac{d}{d\tau} \mathbb{L}'^{-1}(\tau) \right] \dot{\mathbf{I}}^t(\tau) \odot \dot{\mathbf{I}}^t(\tau) d\tau, \end{aligned} \quad (7.15)$$

using the fact that  $\dot{\mathbf{I}}^t(0)$  is the integral term in (3.11)<sub>1</sub>. Thus, we have, from (2.75),

$$D_F(t) = -\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{L}'^{-1}(0) \dot{\mathbf{I}}^t(0) \odot \dot{\mathbf{I}}^t(0) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \left[ \frac{d}{d\tau} \mathbb{L}'^{-1}(\tau) \right] \dot{\mathbf{I}}^t(\tau) \odot \dot{\mathbf{I}}^t(\tau) d\tau \geq 0, \quad (7.16)$$

11 since

$$12 \quad \frac{d}{d\tau} \mathbb{L}'^{-1}(\tau) = -\mathbb{L}'^{-1}(\tau) \mathbb{L}''(\tau) \mathbb{L}'^{-1}(\tau) \leq \mathbf{0}, \quad (7.17)$$

13 by virtue of (7.1). Note that

$$14 \quad D_F(t) \geq -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \left[ \frac{d}{d\tau} \mathbb{L}'^{-1}(\tau) \right] \dot{\mathbf{I}}^t(\tau) \odot \dot{\mathbf{I}}^t(\tau) d\tau \geq 0. \quad (7.18)$$

15 It is straightforward to confirm that  $\psi_F$  has the properties of a free energy listed  
16 in Sec. 2.2.

17 Let us assume further that there exists a non-negative  $\alpha_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{++}$  such that

$$18 \quad \mathbb{L}''(\tau) + \alpha_1 \mathbb{L}'(\tau) \geq \mathbf{0}, \quad \forall \tau \in \mathbb{R}^+. \quad (7.19)$$

19 This yields

$$20 \quad \frac{d}{d\tau} \mathbb{L}'^{-1}(\tau) \leq \alpha_1 \mathbb{L}'^{-1}(\tau) \leq \mathbf{0}, \quad (7.20)$$

1 using (7.17) and, from (7.18),

$$2 \quad D_F(t) \geq \alpha_1[\psi_F(t) - \phi(t)]. \quad (7.21)$$

3 One can write down a family of similar free energies using a simple generalization  
4 of the above procedure.<sup>10,3</sup>

### 5 **7.3. The Dill free energy**

The functional

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{\text{Dill}}(t) &= \phi(t) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \mathbb{L}''(s_1 + s_2) \mathbf{C}_r^t(s_2) \odot \mathbf{C}_r^t(s_1) ds_1 ds_2 \\ &= S(t) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \mathbb{L}''(s_1 + s_2) \mathbf{C}^t(s_2) \odot \mathbf{C}^t(s_1) ds_1 ds_2 \end{aligned} \quad (7.22)$$

is a free energy with rate of dissipation given by

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\text{Dill}}(t) &= - \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \mathbb{L}'''(s_1 + s_2) \mathbf{C}_r^t(s_2) \odot \mathbf{C}_r^t(s_1) ds_1 ds_2 \\ &= - \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \mathbb{L}'(s_1 + s_2) \dot{\mathbf{C}}^t(s_2) \odot \dot{\mathbf{C}}^t(s_1) ds_1 ds_2, \end{aligned} \quad (7.23)$$

6 if and only if  $\mathbb{L}$  is completely monotonic,<sup>8</sup> in other words, if it is differentiable to  
7 any order and

$$8 \quad (-1)^n \mathbb{L}^{(n)}(s) \geq \mathbf{0}, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}^+, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad (7.24)$$

9 where the superscript indicates the  $n$ th derivative. Relations (7.22) and (7.23)  
10 are special cases of (3.8), (3.20), (3.22) and (3.23). Note that in this case, (3.5)<sub>2</sub>  
11 implies (3.13), namely the symmetry of  $\mathbb{L}$ . This functional is also referred to as the  
12 Staverman–Schwarzl free energy.<sup>8</sup>

13 The required properties listed in Sec. 2.2 are easily checked for  $\psi_{\text{Dill}}$ .

14 Because of the non-negativity of the tensor  $\mathbb{L}''$ , we have, from Proposition 7.1,  
15 that

$$16 \quad \psi_{\text{Dill}}(t) \leq \psi_G(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (7.25)$$

17 The quantity  $\psi_{\text{Dill}}$  is a functional of the minimal state. Indeed, it can be shown  
18 to obey (5.13).

19 Relation (7.24) will be true if and only if<sup>8</sup>

$$20 \quad \mathbb{L}(s) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\alpha s} d\mathbb{K}(\alpha), \quad (7.26)$$

21 where  $\mathbb{K}: [0, \infty) \mapsto \text{Lin}(\mathcal{B})$  is symmetric, bounded and non-decreasing.<sup>i</sup> Let us  
assume that  $\mathbb{K}$  is sectionally smooth, with a finite number of discontinuities, so

<sup>i</sup>This quantity has no connection with the  $\mathbb{K}$  in (3.1).



32 *G. Amendola, M. Fabrizio & J. M. Golden*

1 that

$$2 \quad \mathbb{L}(s) = \int_0^\infty \mathbb{K}'(\alpha) e^{-\alpha s} d\alpha + \sum_{i=0}^n \mathbb{K}_i e^{-\alpha_i s}, \quad (7.27)$$

3 where

$$4 \quad \mathbb{K}_0 = \mathbb{K}(0), \quad \alpha_0 = 0, \quad (7.28)$$

5 and  $\alpha_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  are the points of discontinuity so that

$$6 \quad \mathbb{K}_i = \mathbb{K}(\alpha_i^+) - \mathbb{K}(\alpha_i^-) > 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (7.29)$$

7 The origin is in effect also a point of discontinuity if  $\mathbb{K}(0) = \mathbb{K}(0^+)$  is non-zero, in  
8 that  $\mathbb{K}(0^-)$  vanishes. Note that  $\mathbb{K}_0 = \mathbb{L}(\infty)$ .

Using (7.27) in (7.22)<sub>1</sub> and (7.23)<sub>1</sub> yields

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{\text{Dill}}(t) &= \phi(t) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \alpha^2 \mathbf{C}_{rL}^t(\alpha) \odot \mathbb{K}'(\alpha) \mathbf{C}_{rL}^t(\alpha) d\alpha \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^2 \mathbf{C}_{rL}^t(\alpha_i) \odot \mathbb{K}_i \mathbf{C}_{rL}^t(\alpha_i), \end{aligned} \quad (7.30)$$

9 where  $\mathbf{C}_{rL}^t$  is the Laplace transform

$$10 \quad \mathbf{C}_{rL}^t(\alpha) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\alpha s} \mathbf{C}_r^t(\alpha) d\alpha \quad (7.31)$$

and

$$D_{\text{Dill}}(t) = \int_0^\infty \alpha^3 \mathbf{C}_{rL}^t(\alpha) \odot \mathbb{K}'(\alpha) \mathbf{C}_{rL}^t(\alpha) d\alpha + \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^3 \mathbf{C}_{rL}^t(\alpha_i) \odot \mathbb{K}_i \mathbf{C}_{rL}^t(\alpha_i). \quad (7.32)$$

11 For this restricted class of materials,  $\psi_{\text{Dill}}$  is clearly a free energy in that it obeys  
12 all the criteria. The converse, proved in Ref. 8, is more difficult.

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{L}'_+(\omega) &= - \int_0^\infty \frac{\alpha \mathbb{K}'(\alpha)}{\alpha + i\omega} d\alpha - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha_i \mathbb{K}_i}{\alpha_i + i\omega}, \\ \mathbb{L}''_+(\omega) &= \int_0^\infty \frac{\alpha^2 \mathbb{K}'(\alpha)}{\alpha + i\omega} d\alpha + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha_i^2 \mathbb{K}_i}{\alpha_i + i\omega} \\ &= i\omega \mathbb{L}'_+(\omega) + \int_0^\infty \alpha \mathbb{K}'(\alpha) d\alpha + \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \mathbb{K}_i. \end{aligned} \quad (7.33)$$

13 Also, using the inverse Fourier transform, we have

$$14 \quad \mathbb{L}''(s) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \mathbb{L}''_+(\omega) e^{i\omega s} d\omega. \quad (7.34)$$

Substituting (7.34) for  $\mathbb{L}''$  into (7.22), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_{\text{Dill}}(t) &= \phi(t) + \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \overline{\mathbf{C}_{r+}^t(\omega)} \odot \mathbb{L}_+''(\omega) \overline{\mathbf{C}_{r+}^t(\omega)} d\omega \\ &= \phi(t) + \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbf{C}_{r+}^t(\omega) \odot \overline{\mathbb{L}_+''(\omega)} \mathbf{C}_{r+}^t(\omega) d\omega \\ &= \phi(t) + \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \text{Re}\{\mathbf{C}_{r+}^t(\omega) \odot \overline{\mathbb{L}_+''(\omega)} \mathbf{C}_{r+}^t(\omega)\} d\omega,\end{aligned}\quad (7.35)$$

1 where the reality of  $\psi_{\text{Dill}}$  has been invoked in writing the second and third forms.

## 2 8. Derivation of the Form of the Minimum Free Energy

3 It can be shown within an abstract formulation of thermodynamics referred to  
4 above (see Refs. 16, 17 and 3 for example) that the minimum free energy is equal  
5 to the maximum generalized recoverable work, which from the state at time  $t$  is  
6 given by

$$7 \quad W_R(t) = - \int_t^{\infty} \mathbf{D}(u) \odot \dot{\mathbf{C}}(u) du = W(t) - W(\infty). \quad (8.1)$$

8 As in Sec. 6, we consider the set of continuations  $\mathbf{C}^t(s)$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{R}^-$  that go to  
9 zero at large  $|s|$ . This is based on results in Refs. 11 and 22, showing for a linear  
10 model that the results of the minimization are insensitive to the final value of the  
11 continuation, which is related to  $\phi(\infty)$ . We obtain from (6.14) and (4.32) that

$$12 \quad W(\infty) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [\overline{\mathbf{C}_{r+}^t(\omega)} + \overline{\mathbf{C}_{r-}^t(\omega)}] \odot \mathbb{H}(\omega) [\mathbf{C}_{r+}^t(\omega) + \mathbf{C}_{r-}^t(\omega)] d\omega. \quad (8.2)$$

13 Note that, by virtue of (4.32), we could replace  $\mathbf{C}_{rF}^t$  by  $\mathbf{C}_F^t$  in the above relation,  
14 since  $\mathbb{H}(\omega)$  vanishes at  $\omega = 0$ .

15 We seek to minimize  $W(\infty)$ , given by (8.2), since  $W(t)$  is specified.

16 The optimization procedure was carried out in past work by two different but  
17 equivalent techniques: a direct variational method<sup>23,11</sup> or by reducing the problem  
18 to the solution of a Weiner–Hopf integral equation.<sup>17</sup> A new and simple method,  
19 first introduced in Ref. 3, is outlined below.

20 **Remark 8.1.** The only restriction on the relaxation function required in this sec-  
21 tion is the analyticity of certain quantities on the real axis in the frequency domain.  
22 For these constraints to be satisfied, it is sufficient that  $\mathbb{L}'(\omega)$  has no singularity on  
23 the real axis, a condition which was imposed after (4.18). This implies that  $\mathbb{L}'(s)$   
24 decays exponentially at large  $s$ . However, the rate of decay can be chosen to be  
25 very slow, if required.

34 *G. Amendola, M. Fabrizio & J. M. Golden*

### 1 **8.1. A new optimization procedure**

2 Let  $\mathbf{C}_o^t$  be the optimal future continuation (so that  $\dot{\mathbf{C}}_o$  is the optimal process) and

$$3 \quad \mathbf{C}_{ro}^t(s) = \mathbf{C}_o^t(s) - \mathbf{C}(t), \quad s \in \mathbb{R}^-. \quad (8.3)$$

4 Let  $\mathbf{C}_m^t$  denote the Fourier transform of  $\mathbf{C}_{ro}^t$  so that  $\mathbf{C}_m^t(\omega) = \mathbf{C}_{ro-}^t(\omega)$ . Recalling  
5 (6.17), let us consider now the quantity  $\mathbb{H}_-(\omega)\mathbf{C}_{r+}^t(\omega)$ , the components of which  
6 are continuous, indeed analytic on  $\mathbb{R}$  (strictly, an open set containing  $\mathbb{R}$ ), by virtue  
7 of the analyticity properties of  $\mathbb{H}_-(\omega)$  and  $\mathbf{C}_+^t(\omega)$ . The Plemelj formulae give that

$$8 \quad \mathbf{P}^t(\omega) = \mathbb{H}_-(\omega)\mathbf{C}_{r+}^t(\omega) = \mathbf{p}_-^t(\omega) - \mathbf{p}_+^t(\omega), \quad (8.4)$$

9 where

$$10 \quad \mathbf{p}^t(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\overline{\mathbf{P}^t(\omega')}}{\omega' - z} d\omega', \quad \mathbf{p}_{\pm}^t(\omega) = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0^{\mp}} \mathbf{p}^t(\omega + i\alpha). \quad (8.5)$$

11 Moreover,  $\mathbf{p}^t(z) = \mathbf{p}_+^t(z)$  is analytic in  $z \in \Omega^{(-)}$  and  $\mathbf{p}^t(z) = \mathbf{p}_-^t(z)$  is analytic in  
12  $z \in \Omega^{(+)}$ . Both are analytic on the real axis. Referring to the footnote on (4.25),  
13 we write them in the form

$$14 \quad \mathbf{p}_{\pm}^t(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbf{P}^t(\omega')}{\omega' - \omega^{\mp}} d\omega'. \quad (8.6)$$

15 If we can determine explicit formulae for  $\mathbf{p}_{\pm}^t(\omega)$ ,  $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$  (or  $\omega \in \Omega^{(\mp)}$ ), then they  
16 can be analytically continued into  $\Omega^{(\pm)}$  respectively, defined everywhere except at  
17 singularities. Let us write (8.2) as

$$18 \quad W(\infty) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\mathbf{p}_-^t(\omega) - \mathbf{p}_+^t(\omega) + \mathbb{H}_-(\omega)\mathbf{C}_{r-}^t(\omega)|^2 d\omega, \quad (8.7)$$

19 using (6.17) and (8.4). The notation  $|\mathbf{A}|^2$ , where  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{B}$ , denotes according to  
20 standard convention  $\mathbf{A} \odot \mathbf{A}$ . Putting

$$21 \quad \mathbf{p}_{1-}^t(\omega) = \mathbf{p}_-^t(\omega) + \mathbb{H}_-(\omega)\mathbf{C}_{r-}^t(\omega), \quad (8.8)$$

where  $\mathbf{p}_{1-}^t$  is analytic on  $\Omega^{(+)}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} 22 \quad W(\infty) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |(\mathbf{p}_{1-}^t(\omega) - \mathbf{p}_+^t(\omega))|^2 d\omega \\ 23 &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [|\mathbf{p}_{1-}^t(\omega)|^2 + |\mathbf{p}_+^t(\omega)|^2] d\omega, \end{aligned} \quad (8.9)$$

24 since the cross-terms can be shown to vanish by Cauchy's theorem. Only  $\mathbf{p}_{1-}^t$   
25 depends on  $\mathbf{C}_{r-}^t$  so that the minimum can only be given by the condition

$$26 \quad \mathbf{p}_{1-}^t(\omega) = \mathbf{0}, \quad (8.10)$$

or

$$27 \quad \mathbf{p}_-^t(\omega) + \mathbb{H}_-(\omega)\mathbf{C}_m^t(\omega) = \mathbf{0}, \quad \forall \omega \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (8.11)$$

1 yielding

$$2 \quad \mathbf{C}_m^t(\omega) = -(\mathbb{H}_-(\omega))^{-1} \mathbf{p}_-^t(\omega). \quad (8.12)$$

3 Also, the optimal value of  $W(\infty)$ , given by (8.9), is

$$4 \quad W_{\text{opt}}(\infty) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\mathbf{p}_+^t(\omega)|^2 d\omega. \quad (8.13)$$

Note that, from (6.11) and (8.4)

$$\begin{aligned} W(t) &= \phi(t) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\mathbf{p}_-^t(\omega) - \mathbf{p}_+^t(\omega)|^2 d\omega \\ &= \phi(t) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [|\mathbf{p}_-^t(\omega)|^2 + |\mathbf{p}_+^t(\omega)|^2] d\omega \end{aligned} \quad (8.14)$$

5 since the cross-terms again vanish. Thus, from (8.1) and (8.13), we have that the  
6 maximum recoverable work or the minimum free energy is given by

$$7 \quad \psi_m(t) = \phi(t) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\mathbf{p}_-^t(\omega)|^2 d\omega. \quad (8.15)$$

8 **Remark 8.2.** Using the method given in Ref. 11 (see also Ref. 3), it can be shown  
9 that  $\mathbf{p}_-^t$  is a function of the minimum state (see Sec. 5), so that it follows immedi-  
10 ately from (8.15) that  $\psi_m(t)$  has this property.

With the aid of (8.12), we can write (8.15) as

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_m(t) &= \phi(t) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \overline{\mathbf{C}_m^t(\omega)} \odot \mathbb{H}(\omega) \mathbf{C}_m^t(\omega) d\omega \\ &= \phi(t) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^0 \int_{-\infty}^0 \mathbb{L}_{12}(|s-u|) \mathbf{C}_{ro}^t(u) \odot \mathbf{C}_{ro}^t(s) duds \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^0 \int_{-\infty}^0 \mathbb{L}(|s-u|) \dot{\mathbf{C}}_o^t(u) \odot \dot{\mathbf{C}}_o^t(s) duds, \end{aligned} \quad (8.16)$$

11 where  $\mathbf{C}_{ro}^t$ , given by (8.3), is the inverse Fourier transform of  $\mathbf{C}_m^t(\omega)$ . The first form  
12 of (8.16) follows from the second form by close analogy with the steps from (6.6)<sub>2</sub>  
13 to (6.11). In both cases, the steps can be reversed. The third relation follows by  
14 partial integration.

15 From (2.75), we have

$$16 \quad \dot{\psi}_m(t) + D_m(t) = \mathbf{D}(t) \odot \dot{\mathbf{C}}(t), \quad (8.17)$$

17 where  $D_m$  is the rate of dissipation corresponding to the minimum free energy, and  
must be non-negative by the second law. Recalling that the material is undisturbed

36 *G. Amendola, M. Fabrizio & J. M. Golden*

1 in the distant past, we integrate (8.17) up to time  $t$  to obtain (see (2.77))

$$2 \quad \psi_m(t) + \mathcal{D}_m(t) = W(t), \quad (8.18)$$

3 where

$$4 \quad \mathcal{D}_m(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t D_m(s) ds \quad (8.19)$$

5 is the total dissipation up to time  $t$ , corresponding to the minimum free energy.  
6 Since  $\psi_m$  is less than or equal to any other free energy, it follows from (8.18) that  
7  $\mathcal{D}_m(t)$  is the largest estimate of dissipation in the material element. We have, from  
8 (8.14) and (8.15),

$$9 \quad \mathcal{D}_m(t) = W(t) - \psi_m(t) = W_{\text{opt}}(\infty) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\mathbf{p}_+^t(\omega)|^2 d\omega \geq 0. \quad (8.20)$$

10 Also,

$$11 \quad D_m(t) = \dot{\mathcal{D}}_m(t). \quad (8.21)$$

In order to give an explicit expression for  $D_m$ , we note certain properties of  $\mathbf{p}_{\pm}^t$ .  
From (8.6) and (4.26)<sub>2</sub>, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{p}_+^t(\omega) &= -i\omega \mathbf{p}_+^t(\omega) - \mathbf{K}(t), \\ \frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{p}_-^t(\omega) &= -i\omega \mathbf{p}_-^t(\omega) - \mathbf{K}(t) - \frac{\mathbb{H}_-(\omega) \dot{\mathbf{C}}(t)}{i\omega}, \end{aligned} \quad (8.22)$$

$$\mathbf{K}(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbb{H}_-(\omega) \mathbf{C}_{r+}^t(\omega) d\omega.$$

12 The relation

$$13 \quad \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbb{H}_-(\omega')}{i\omega'^-(\omega' - \omega^+)} d\omega' = \frac{\mathbb{H}_-(\omega)}{i\omega} \quad (8.23)$$

has been used. This follows by remembering that  $\mathbb{H}_-(\omega)$  vanishes linearly at the  
origin and by closing the contour on  $\Omega^{(+)}$ , where  $\mathbb{H}_-$  is analytic. If  $(\omega' - \omega^-)$  occurs  
in the denominator, the integral vanishes. Furthermore

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{|\omega| \rightarrow \infty} \omega \mathbf{p}_{\pm}^t(\omega) &= i\mathbf{K}(t), \\ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbf{p}_{\pm}^t(\omega) d\omega &= \mp \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{K}(t). \end{aligned} \quad (8.24)$$

14 The first relation follows from (8.6), while the second emerges by closing the contour  
15 on  $\Omega^{(\mp)}$ , remembering the analyticity properties of  $\mathbf{p}_{\pm}^t$ . Differentiating (8.20) with  
16 respect to  $t$ , we find the explicitly non-negative form for the rate of dissipation:

$$17 \quad D_m(t) = |\mathbf{K}(t)|^2, \quad (8.25)$$

18 where  $\mathbf{K}$  is given by (8.22)<sub>3</sub>. The analogous expression for simple viscoelastic mate-  
19 rials was derived in Refs. 23 and 11.

1 **8.2. Histories rather than relative histories**

2 In early work on the minimum and other free energies,<sup>23,11,17</sup> histories, rather than  
3 relative histories, were used. By almost identical arguments to those above, one can  
4 show the following: let

5 
$$\mathbf{Q}^t(\omega) = \mathbb{H}_-(\omega)\mathbf{C}_+^t(\omega) = \mathbf{q}_-^t(\omega) - \mathbf{q}_+^t(\omega), \quad (8.26)$$

6 where

7 
$$\mathbf{q}_\pm^t(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbf{Q}^t(\omega')}{\omega' - \omega \mp \epsilon} d\omega'. \quad (8.27)$$

8 Then

9 
$$\psi(t) = S(t) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\mathbf{q}_-^t(\omega)|^2 d\omega, \quad (8.28)$$

10 where  $S(t)$  is given by (3.20)<sub>2</sub>. The various free energies in Sec. 7 can also be  
11 expressed as functionals of histories, rather than relative histories, in entirely anal-  
12 ogous ways, as indicated in (7.2)<sub>2</sub>, (7.11) and (7.22)<sub>2</sub>. There are two disadvantages  
13 to this approach, one noted earlier, namely that  $S(t)$  is not a non-negative quantity;  
14 the other is that  $\mathbf{C}_+^t(\omega)$  behaves as  $\omega^{-1}$  at large  $\omega$  while  $\mathbf{C}_{r+}^t(\omega)$  behaves as  $\omega^{-2}$ .

15 It should be noted that  $\mathbf{q}$  and  $\mathbf{Q}$  in this subsection have quite different meanings  
16 to the quantities introduced and used in Sec. 2.

17 **8.3. Confirmation that  $\psi_m$  is a free energy**

18 We now ascertain that  $\psi_m$  has the characteristic properties of a free energy, as  
19 summarized in Sec. 2.2.

20 **Proposition 8.1.** *The functional  $\psi_m(t)$ , given by (8.15), obeys the non-local Graffi*  
21 *conditions, given by (P1)–(P3), in Sec. 2.2, omitting the temperature variables.*

22 **Proof.** Property (P2) is immediately apparent. Property (P3) follows from (8.17)  
23 and the fact that  $D_m$ , given by (8.25), is manifestly non-negative. Finally, property  
24 (P1), namely (2.53)<sub>2</sub>, can be proved with the aid of

25 
$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{p}_-^t(\omega)}{\partial \mathbf{C}(t)} = -\frac{\mathbb{H}_-(\omega)}{i\omega}, \quad (8.29)$$

26 which follows from (8.23) and, recalling (6.17)<sub>2</sub>,

27 
$$\frac{\partial \overline{\mathbf{p}}_+^t(\omega)}{\partial \mathbf{C}(t)} = \frac{\mathbb{H}_+(\omega)^\top}{i\omega}, \quad (8.30)$$

38 *G. Amendola, M. Fabrizio & J. M. Golden*

giving

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{C}(t)} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \overline{\mathbf{p}}_-^t(\omega) \odot \mathbf{p}_-^t(\omega) d\omega \\
&= \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{C}(t)} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [\overline{\mathbf{p}}_-^t(\omega)]^\top \mathbf{p}_-^t(\omega) d\omega \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbb{H}_+(\omega)}{\omega} \mathbf{p}_-^t(\omega) d\omega - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\overline{\mathbb{H}_+(\omega)}}{\omega} \mathbf{p}_-^t(\omega) d\omega \\
&= \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbb{H}_+(\omega)}{\omega} \mathbf{p}_-^t(\omega) d\omega \right\}. \tag{8.31}
\end{aligned}$$

Recall that  $\mathbb{H}_\pm$  vanish linearly at the origin. Also, using (8.4), the frequency integral in (4.36)<sub>2</sub>, which must be real, can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
-\frac{i}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbb{H}(\omega)}{\omega} \mathbf{C}_{r+}^t(\omega) d\omega &= -\frac{i}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbb{H}_+(\omega)}{\omega} [\mathbf{p}_-^t(\omega) - \mathbf{p}_+^t(\omega)] d\omega, \\
&= -\frac{i}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbb{H}_+(\omega)}{\omega} \mathbf{p}_-^t(\omega) d\omega, \tag{8.32}
\end{aligned}$$

1 because the term involving  $\mathbf{p}_+^t$  vanishes by Cauchy's theorem. Since the last quantity  
2 is real, we have, from (4.36)<sub>2</sub>, (8.31) and (3.9), that

$$3 \quad \frac{\partial \psi_m(t)}{\partial \mathbf{C}(t)} = \mathbf{D}(t), \tag{8.33}$$

4 which is (2.53)<sub>2</sub>. □

#### 5 **8.4. Double frequency integral form**

By using (8.6) to carry out the frequency integration in (8.15), noting that  $\overline{\omega^+} = \omega^-$  and  $\omega' - \omega^+$  can be replaced by  $(\omega')^- - \omega$ , we can write  $\psi_m(t)$  in the form

$$6 \quad \psi_m(t) = \phi(t) + \frac{i}{4\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\overline{\mathbf{C}}_{r+}^t(\omega_1) \odot \mathbb{M}_m(\omega_1, \omega_2) \mathbf{C}_{r+}^t(\omega_2)}{\omega_1^+ - \omega_2^-} d\omega_1 d\omega_2, \tag{8.34}$$

$$7 \quad \mathbb{M}_m(\omega_1, \omega_2) = \mathbb{H}_+(\omega_1) \mathbb{H}_-(\omega_2),$$

6 by closing the contour on either  $\Omega^{(+)}$  or  $\Omega^{(-)}$ . The notation in the denominator of  
7 the integral in (8.34)<sub>1</sub> means that if we integrate first over  $\omega_1$ , it becomes  $(\omega_1 - \omega_2^-)$   
8 or if  $\omega_2$  first then it is  $(\omega_1^+ - \omega_2)$ . Also, using (8.22)<sub>3</sub>,  $D_m(t)$ , given by (8.25), can  
9 be expressed as

$$10 \quad D_m(t) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \overline{\mathbf{C}}_{r+}^t(\omega_1) \odot \mathbb{M}_m(\omega_1, \omega_2) \mathbf{C}_{r+}^t(\omega_2) d\omega_1 d\omega_2. \tag{8.35}$$

Let us write the double integral in (8.34) in the form

$$P_-(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\mathbf{p}_-^t(\omega)|^2 d\omega = \frac{i}{4\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{A^t(\omega_1, \omega_2)}{\omega_1^+ - \omega_2^-} d\omega_1 d\omega_2, \quad (8.36)$$

$$A^t(\omega_1, \omega_2) = \overline{\mathbf{C}_{r+}^t(\omega_1)} \odot \mathbb{M}_m(\omega_1, \omega_2) \mathbf{C}_{r+}^t(\omega_2).$$

1 Also, in the same way, we obtain

$$2 \quad P_+(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\mathbf{p}_+^t(\omega)|^2 d\omega = -\frac{i}{4\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{A^t(\omega_1, \omega_2)}{\omega_1^- - \omega_2^+} d\omega_1 d\omega_2. \quad (8.37)$$

From (8.20), we see that this is the total dissipation up to time  $t$ . One can show that

$$R_-(t) = \frac{i}{4\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{B^t(\omega_1, \omega_2)}{\omega_1^+ - \omega_2^-} d\omega_1 d\omega_2 = 0, \quad (8.38)$$

$$B^t(\omega_1, \omega_2) = \overline{\mathbf{C}_{r+}^t(\omega_1)} \odot \mathbb{M}_m(\omega_2, \omega_1) \mathbf{C}_{r+}^t(\omega_2)$$

by integrating over  $\omega_2$  for example and closing the contour on  $\Omega^{(-)}$ , since  $\mathbb{H}_+$  and  $\mathbf{C}_{r+}^t$  have no singularity in the lower half-plane. Furthermore, using the same procedure, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} R_+(t) &= -\frac{i}{4\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{B^t(\omega_1, \omega_2)}{\omega_1^- - \omega_2^+} d\omega_1 d\omega_2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \overline{\mathbf{C}_{r+}^t(\omega)} \odot \mathbb{H}(\omega) \mathbf{C}_{r+}^t(\omega) d\omega \\ &= P_-(t) + P_+(t) \end{aligned} \quad (8.39)$$

3 by virtue of (6.11) and (8.14). Relation (8.38) allows us to write (8.36) in the  
4 explicitly convergent form

$$5 \quad P_-(t) = \frac{i}{4\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{A^t(\omega_1, \omega_2) - B^t(\omega_1, \omega_2)}{\omega_1 - \omega_2} d\omega_1 d\omega_2, \quad (8.40)$$

6 which is convenient for numerical evaluation. We can replace the  $\omega_1 - \omega_2$  in the  
7 denominator by  $\omega_1^+ - \omega_2^-$  which gives (8.36), or by  $\omega_1^- - \omega_2^+$  which gives the same  
8 result by way of (8.39) and (8.37). Relation (8.40) implies that the quantity

$$9 \quad \mathbb{D}(\omega_1, \omega_2) = i \frac{[\mathbb{H}_+(\omega_1)\mathbb{H}_-(\omega_2) - \mathbb{H}_+(\omega_2)\mathbb{H}_-(\omega_1)]}{\omega_1 - \omega_2} \quad (8.41)$$

10 is a non-negative operator in the same sense as  $\mathbb{K}$  in (3.1). By using very localized  
11 choices of  $\mathbf{C}_+^t(\omega)$ , we deduce that the ‘‘diagonal elements’’ of  $\mathbb{D}(\omega_1, \omega_2)$  are non-  
12 negative. Using a prime to denote differentiation, these can be written as

$$13 \quad \mathbb{D}(\omega) = i[\mathbb{H}'_+(\omega)\mathbb{H}_-(\omega) - \mathbb{H}_+(\omega)\mathbb{H}'_-(\omega)] \geq \mathbf{0}, \quad \omega \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (8.42)$$

14 a quantity which will appear in another context towards the end of Sec. 10.



40 *G. Amendola, M. Fabrizio & J. M. Golden*

### 1 **8.5. Properties of the optimal future continuation**

2 We draw attention to certain properties of the optimal future continuation. From  
3 (8.12) and (8.24)<sub>2</sub>, it follows that

$$4 \quad \mathbf{C}_m^t(\omega) \xrightarrow{\omega \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbb{H}_1^{-1} \mathbf{K}(t)}{i\omega}, \quad \mathbb{H}_1^{-1} = \lim_{\omega \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{H}_\pm(\omega) = [\mathbb{H}(\infty)]^{1/2}, \quad (8.43)$$

5 so that the relative optimal continuation, given by (8.3), has the form at  $s = 0$   
6 (cf. (4.30))

$$7 \quad \mathbf{C}_{ro}^t(0) = -\mathbb{H}_1^{-1} \mathbf{K}(t) \quad (8.44)$$

8 and the optimal continuation  $\mathbf{C}_o^t(0)$  is given by

$$9 \quad \mathbf{C}_o^t(0) = \mathbf{C}(t) - \mathbb{H}_1^{-1} \mathbf{K}(t). \quad (8.45)$$

10 Thus, the optimal continuation involves a sudden discontinuity at time  $t$ , the mag-  
11 nitude of which is related to the rate of dissipation, as we see from (8.25).

12 Also, putting

$$13 \quad \mathbb{H}_1(\omega) = \frac{1}{\omega} \mathbb{H}_-(\omega) \quad (8.46)$$

14 we have

$$15 \quad \mathbf{C}_m^t(\omega) \approx -\frac{[\mathbb{H}_1(0)]^{-1}}{2\pi i\omega^+} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbb{H}_1(\omega') \mathbf{C}_{r+}^t(\omega') d\omega' \quad (8.47)$$

16 as  $\omega \rightarrow 0$ , which, with the aid of (4.33), gives

$$17 \quad \mathbf{C}_{ro}^t(-\infty) = \frac{[\mathbb{H}_1(0)]^{-1}}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbb{H}_1(\omega') \mathbf{C}_{r+}^t(\omega') d\omega' \quad (8.48)$$

18 and

$$19 \quad \mathbf{C}_o^t(-\infty) = \mathbf{C}_{ro}^t(-\infty) + \mathbf{C}(t). \quad (8.49)$$

20 This quantity is in general non-zero.

### 21 **9. Factorization: Time-Independent Eigenspaces**

22 The functionals introduced in Secs. 7.1–7.3 are valid free energies under the specified  
23 monotonicity restrictions on the kernel  $\mathbb{L}$ . For the minimum free energy, there is  
24 no restriction of this sort on  $\mathbb{L}$ . However, it is not possible in general to determine  
25 explicitly the factors in (6.17). To do this, we must introduce another constraint on  
26 the tensor relaxation function, namely that the eigenspaces of  $\mathbb{L}(t)$  do not depend  
27 on  $t$ . Then, an extension to the tensorial case of the method for determining factors  
28 used in Refs. 23, 24 and 3 for a scalar constitutive relation yields a simple direct  
29 construction of  $\mathbb{H}_+(\omega)$  and  $\mathbb{H}_-(\omega)$ . Also the factorization is commutative (i.e. where  
30  $\mathbb{H}_+(\omega)$  and  $\mathbb{H}_-(\omega)$  commute). Without this constraint, it is not generally practical  
31 to explicitly determine the factors  $\mathbb{H}_\pm$ .

Under the requirement that the eigenspaces of  $\mathbb{L}(t)$  do not depend on time, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{L}(t) &= \sum_{k=1}^m L_k(t) \mathbb{B}^k \\ \mathbb{H}(\omega) &= -\omega \mathbb{L}'_s(\omega) = \sum_{k=1}^m H_k(\omega) \mathbb{B}^k,\end{aligned}\tag{9.1}$$

$$H_k(\omega) > 0; \quad \omega \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}, \quad k = 1, \dots, m,$$

where  $\mathbb{B}^k = \mathbf{B}^k \otimes \mathbf{B}^k$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, m$  are the orthonormal projectors on the  $m$  (time-independent) eigenspaces of  $\mathbb{L}$  and  $\mathbb{H}$ ; while  $\{\mathbf{B}^k\}$  are their normalized eigenvectors, which constitute an orthonormal basis of  $\mathcal{B}$ . Recall that  $m$ , introduced after (2.40), is the dimension of the vector space  $\mathcal{B}$ .

From (3.13) it follows that, on a particular basis, we can represent  $\mathbf{B}^k, \mathbb{B}^k$  in terms of a time-independent rotation  $\mathbf{R}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , where  $\mathbf{B}^k$  is given by

$$B_i^k = R_{ik}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m,\tag{9.2}$$

and  $\mathbb{B}^k$  by (no summation)

$$B_{ij}^k = R_{ik} R_{jk}, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots, m,\tag{9.3}$$

so that, for example, the most general form of  $\mathbb{L}(t)$ , in a given basis, allowed under the hypothesis of time-independent eigenspaces, is

$$(\mathbb{L}(t))_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^m L_k(t) R_{ik} R_{jk}, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots, m,\tag{9.4}$$

where  $R_{ik}$  are constants, restricted so that  $\mathbf{R}$  is a rotation matrix on  $\mathbb{R}^m$ .

Since  $\mathbb{L}(t)$  is a symmetric tensor, it has  $m(m+1)/2$  independent components and these must be expressible in terms of the  $m$  quantities  $L_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$ . There must therefore be  $m(m-1)/2$  further relations among the components of  $\mathbb{L}(t)$ , over and above those required by symmetry. These may be written down for example by partially solving (9.4) to express  $L_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$  in terms of the diagonal components of  $\mathbb{L}(t)$ . These can then be substituted back into (9.4).

One can derive explicit forms for the factors of a scalar function  $H$  equal to any one of the  $H_k$  in (9.1)<sub>2</sub> by a procedure outlined in Refs. 24 and 3, for example. Thus, the quantities  $H_k$  can be factorized into  $H_{k+}, H_{k-}$  where

$$H_{k\pm}(\omega) = H_{k\mp}(-\omega) = \overline{H_{k\mp}(\omega)}; \quad H_k(\omega) = |H_{k\pm}(\omega)|^2.\tag{9.5}$$

We put

$$H_k(\infty) = H_{k\infty}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, m.\tag{9.6}$$

Since the  $\{\mathbb{B}^k\}$  are orthonormal projectors, it follows that

$$\mathbb{H}(\omega) = \sum_{k=1}^m H_{k+}(\omega) H_{k-}(\omega) \mathbb{B}^k = \mathbb{H}_+(\omega) \mathbb{H}_-(\omega),\tag{9.7}$$

42 *G. Amendola, M. Fabrizio & J. M. Golden*

1 where

$$2 \quad \mathbb{H}_{\pm}(\omega) = \sum_{k=1}^m H_{k\pm}(\omega) \mathbb{B}^k = \mathbb{H}_{\pm}^{\top}(\omega). \quad (9.8)$$

3 The last relation follows from the symmetry of the  $\mathbb{B}^k$ . It is clear that the factors  
4  $\mathbb{H}_{+}(\omega)$  and  $\mathbb{H}_{-}(\omega)$  commute. From (9.5) we have

$$5 \quad \mathbb{H}_{\pm}(\omega) = \mathbb{H}_{\mp}(-\omega) = \overline{\mathbb{H}_{\mp}(\omega)}. \quad (9.9)$$

6 These relations are consistent with but more restrictive than (6.17)<sub>2</sub>.

7 Note that in the light of (9.9), the quantity  $\mathbf{K}$  given by (8.22)<sub>3</sub> can be shown  
8 to be real by taking its complex conjugate and changing the sign of the integration  
9 variable.

In the basis  $\{\mathbb{B}^k\}$  and  $\{\mathbb{B}^k\}$ , the individual components of each of the relevant quantities obey the relationships that hold in the scalar case, as developed in Ref. 24 and earlier work. We can expand any member of  $\mathcal{B}$  in this basis; in particular

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{C}(t) &= \sum_{k=1}^m C_k(t) \mathbb{B}^k, & \mathbf{C}^t(s) &= \sum_{k=1}^m C_k^t(s) \mathbb{B}^k, \\ \mathbf{C}_r^t(s) &= \sum_{k=1}^m C_{kr}^t(s) \mathbb{B}^k, & \mathbf{C}_{r\pm}^t(\omega) &= \sum_{k=1}^m C_{kr\pm}^t(\omega) \mathbb{B}^k. \end{aligned} \quad (9.10)$$

10 Scalar quantities, such as a free energy or a rate of dissipation, are given by the  
11 sum of contributions to this quantity from each eigenspace.

12 **Remark 9.1.** If  $\mathbb{L}$  is a diagonal tensor, then the rotation  $\mathbf{R}$  in the above formalism  
13 is unity. This leads to a diagonal tensor  $\mathbb{H}$ , the scalar components of which can  
14 be factorized as in Refs. 23, 24 and 3, yielding explicit factors  $\mathbb{H}_{\pm}(\omega)$ . This was  
15 the assumption which yielded explicit formulae for the minimum free energies in  
16 Refs. 1–3.

### 17 **9.1. A simple example with non-diagonal $\mathbb{L}$**

18 We seek an illustration of the above formalism where  $\mathbf{R}$  is not unity, which is suffi-  
19 ciently simple so that algebraic manipulations can be carried out without difficulty.  
20 Let us consider a scalar, non-local linear viscoelastic model, where  $(E^t(s), E(t))$   
21 is the infinitesimal strain history and current strain, while  $T(t)$  is the stress. The  
22 gradients of  $(E^t(s), E(t))$  will also be included. Without further assumptions, this  
23 would involve dealing with  $4 \times 4$  matrices, as outlined in Sec. 3.1. Therefore, we  
24 restrict the model to be one-dimensional, where  $(E^t(s), E(t))$  depends on  $x$  but not  
25 on  $y, z$ . Thus, we have

$$26 \quad \mathbf{C}^t(s) = (E^t(s), E_x^t(s)), \quad E_x^t = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} E^t = \partial_x E^t. \quad (9.11)$$

1 Equations (3.15) and (3.18) give

$$2 \quad T(t) = D_1 - \partial_x D_2, \quad (9.12)$$

where from (3.11)<sub>2</sub> (using subscripts 1, 2 rather than 0, 1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_1(t) &= G_{11}(0)E(t) + G_{12}(0)E_x(t) + \int_0^\infty G'_{11}(u)E^t(u)du \\ &\quad + \int_0^\infty G'_{12}(u)E_x^t(u)du, \\ D_2(t) &= G_{21}(0)E(t) + G_{22}(0)E_x(t) + \int_0^\infty G'_{21}(u)E^t(u)du \\ &\quad + \int_0^\infty G'_{22}(u)E_x^t(u)du. \end{aligned} \quad (9.13)$$

3 The quantities  $G'_{11}, G'_{12}, G'_{21}$  and  $G'_{22}$  are scalar functions and  $G_{11}(0), G_{12}(0),$   
4  $G_{21}(0), G_{22}(0)$  are constants. Also, from (3.13),

$$5 \quad G_{12}(0) = G_{21}(0), \quad G'_{12}(u) = G'_{21}(u). \quad (9.14)$$

6 By virtue of (4.15)<sub>1</sub>, we have that

$$7 \quad \begin{pmatrix} G_{11}(0) & G_{12}(0) \\ G_{12}(0) & G_{22}(0) \end{pmatrix} > \mathbf{0} \quad (9.15)$$

8 so that  $G_{11}(0) > 0, G_{22}(0) > 0$  and  $G_{11}(0)G_{22}(0) > [G_{12}(0)]^2$ .

We need to be able to diagonalize the above system of equations with a rotation that is independent of time. Let there exist a constant rotation  $\mathbf{R}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R} \begin{pmatrix} G_{11}(0) & G_{12}(0) \\ G_{12}(0) & G_{22}(0) \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{R}^\top &= \begin{pmatrix} G_1(0) & 0 \\ 0 & G_2(0) \end{pmatrix}, \\ \mathbf{R} \begin{pmatrix} G'_{11}(u) & G'_{12}(u) \\ G'_{12}(u) & G'_{22}(u) \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{R}^\top &= \begin{pmatrix} G'_1(u) & 0 \\ 0 & G'_2(u) \end{pmatrix}, \\ \mathbf{R} &= \begin{pmatrix} c & s \\ -s & c \end{pmatrix}, \quad c^2 + s^2 = 1, \end{aligned} \quad (9.16)$$

where  $G_1(0), G_2(0), G'_1, G'_2$  are the required eigenvalues. It follows that (cf. (9.4))

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} G_{11}(0) & G_{12}(0) \\ G_{12}(0) & G_{22}(0) \end{pmatrix} &= G_1(0) \begin{pmatrix} c^2 & cs \\ cs & s^2 \end{pmatrix} + G_2(0) \begin{pmatrix} s^2 & -cs \\ -cs & c^2 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} G'_{11}(u) & G'_{12}(u) \\ G'_{12}(u) & G'_{22}(u) \end{pmatrix} &= G'_1(u) \begin{pmatrix} c^2 & cs \\ cs & s^2 \end{pmatrix} + G'_2(u) \begin{pmatrix} s^2 & -cs \\ -cs & c^2 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (9.17)$$

44 *G. Amendola, M. Fabrizio & J. M. Golden*

These relations yield that

$$\begin{aligned} (c^2 - s^2)G_{12}(0) &= cs[G_{11}(0) - G_{22}(0)], \\ (c^2 - s^2)G'_{12}(u) &= cs[G'_{11}(u) - G'_{22}(u)], \end{aligned} \quad (9.18)$$

1 by solving (9.17) for  $(G_1(0), G_2(0))$ ,  $(G'_1(u), G'_2(u))$  in terms of  $(G_{11}(0), G_{22}(0))$ ,  
 2  $(G'_{11}, G'_{22})$  respectively and then imposing the non-diagonal relation. Thus (9.18)  
 3 gives the constraints imposed by the requirement of time-independent eigenspaces.  
 4 The first constraint determines the angle of rotation. Using this in the second  
 5 gives  $G'_{12}$  uniquely in terms of  $G'_{11} - G'_{22}$ , for all values of  $u \in \mathbb{R}^+$ . This is not a  
 6 physical requirement but a mathematical constraint which yields time-independent  
 7 eigenspaces.

Solving for the eigenvalues gives

$$\begin{aligned} G_1(0) &= \frac{1}{2}[G_{11}(0) + G_{22}(0) + \sqrt{[G_{11}(0) - G_{22}(0)]^2 + 4[G_{12}(0)]^2}], \\ G_2(0) &= \frac{1}{2}[G_{11}(0) + G_{22}(0) - \sqrt{[G_{11}(0) - G_{22}(0)]^2 + 4[G_{12}(0)]^2}], \end{aligned} \quad (9.19)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} G'_1(u) &= \frac{1}{2}[G'_{11}(u) + G'_{22}(u) + \sqrt{[G'_{11}(u) - G'_{22}(u)]^2 + 4[G'_{12}(u)]^2}], \\ G'_2(u) &= \frac{1}{2}[G'_{11}(u) + G'_{22}(u) - \sqrt{[G'_{11}(u) - G'_{22}(u)]^2 + 4[G'_{12}(u)]^2}]. \end{aligned} \quad (9.20)$$

8 Let us for definiteness take the case where  $G_{11}(0) > G_{22}(0)$ ,  $G'_{11}(u) > G'_{22}(u)$  and  
 9  $c^2 > s^2$ . Then, with the aid of (9.18), these can be written as

$$10 \quad G_1(0) = aG_{11}(0) + bG_{22}(0), \quad G_2(0) = bG_{11}(0) + aG_{22}(0) \quad (9.21)$$

11 and

$$12 \quad G'_1(u) = aG'_{11}(u) + bG'_{22}(u), \quad G'_2(u) = bG'_{11}(u) + aG'_{22}(u), \quad (9.22)$$

13 where

$$14 \quad a = \frac{c^2}{c^2 - s^2}, \quad b = 1 - a. \quad (9.23)$$

For different inequalities, these formulae are somewhat altered. The case where  
 $s = 0$  corresponds to where the equations were diagonal to begin with. Relations  
 (9.13) can be written in the form

$$\begin{aligned} X_1(t) &= G_1(0)Y_1(t) + \int_0^\infty G'_1(u)Y_1^t(u)du, \\ X_2(t) &= G_2(0)Y_2(t) + \int_0^\infty G'_2(u)Y_2^t(u)du, \end{aligned} \quad (9.24)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} X_1(t) \\ X_2(t) \end{pmatrix} &= \mathbf{R} \begin{pmatrix} D_1(t) \\ D_2(t) \end{pmatrix}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} Y_1(t) \\ Y_2(t) \end{pmatrix} &= \mathbf{R} \begin{pmatrix} E(t) \\ E_x(t) \end{pmatrix}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} Y_1^t(u) \\ Y_2^t(u) \end{pmatrix} &= \mathbf{R} \begin{pmatrix} E^t(u) \\ E_x^t(u) \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \tag{9.25}$$

These relationships can of course be inverted and we can write (9.24) in the form (9.13), though where  $G_{ij}(0), G'_{ij}(u), i, j = 1, 2$ , are expressed in terms of the eigenvalues  $G_i(0), G'_i(u), i = 1, 2$ . Then, in turn, we can express  $T(t)$ , given by (9.12), in terms of these eigenvalues.

Relation (9.17) corresponds to (9.4) or (9.1)<sub>1</sub> where  $G'_1$  and  $G'_2$  play the role of  $L'_k, k = 1, 2$ . We can then determine explicitly the scalar functions  $H_k(\omega), k = 1, 2$ , in (9.1)<sub>2</sub> which can be factorized by a standard technique.<sup>23,3</sup> Thus, we can write down (9.8) explicitly, which yields a formula for the minimum free energy.

Indeed, because of the orthonormal character of (9.1) and subsequent relations, we can write the minimum free energy in the form

$$\psi_m(t) = \psi_{1m}(t) + \psi_{2m}(t), \tag{9.26}$$

where  $\psi_{1m}(t), \psi_{2m}(t)$  are the minimum free energies corresponding to the scalar problems with kernel function derivatives  $G'_1, G'_2$ , respectively and where the independent variables are the quantities on the left of (9.25)<sub>2,3</sub>.

**Remark 9.2.** The maximum free energy for materials that have non-singleton minimal states (those with kernels  $\mathbb{L}'(\omega)$  that have only isolated singularities in the frequency domain) and a family of intermediate free energies can be derived as in Refs. 17, 25 and 3.

## 10. Free Energies for Sinusoidal Histories

Consider a current value and history  $(\mathbf{C}^t, \mathbf{C}(t))$  defined by

$$\mathbf{C}(t) = \mathbf{C}_0 e^{i\omega t} + \overline{\mathbf{C}_0} e^{-i\omega t}, \quad \mathbf{C}^t(s) = \mathbf{C}(t-s), \tag{10.1}$$

where  $\mathbf{C}_0 \in \mathcal{B}$  is an amplitude and  $\overline{\mathbf{C}_0}$  its complex conjugate. Furthermore,

$$\omega_- = \omega_0 - i\eta, \quad \omega_+ = \overline{\omega_-}, \quad \omega_0 \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \eta \in \mathbb{R}^{++}. \tag{10.2}$$

The quantity  $\eta$  is introduced to maintain (2.47) and to ensure finite results in certain quantities. The quantity  $\mathbf{C}_+^t$  has the form

$$\mathbf{C}_+^t(\omega) = \mathbf{C}_0 \frac{e^{i\omega t}}{i(\omega + \omega_-)} + \overline{\mathbf{C}_0} \frac{e^{-i\omega t}}{i(\omega - \omega_+)}, \tag{10.3}$$

46 *G. Amendola, M. Fabrizio & J. M. Golden*

1 and the Fourier transform of the relative history  $\mathbf{C}_r^t(s) = \mathbf{C}^t(s) - \mathbf{C}(t)$ , namely  
 2  $\mathbf{C}_{r+}^t(\omega)$ , is given by

$$3 \quad \mathbf{C}_{r+}^t(\omega) = \mathbf{C}_+^t(\omega) - \frac{\mathbf{C}(t)}{i\omega^-} = -\mathbf{C}_0 \frac{\omega_-}{\omega^-} \frac{e^{i\omega_- t}}{i(\omega + \omega_-)} + \overline{\mathbf{C}_0} \frac{\omega_+}{\omega^-} \frac{e^{-i\omega_+ t}}{i(\omega - \omega_+)}. \quad (10.4)$$

Recall that  $\mathbb{L}'$ ,  $\mathbb{L}_0$  and  $\mathbb{L}_\infty$  are symmetric tensors, a property that will be used frequently below. From (3.11)<sub>2</sub>, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{D}(t) &= \mathbb{L}_0 \mathbf{C}(t) + \int_0^\infty \mathbb{L}'(s) \mathbf{C}^t(s) ds \\ &= [\mathbb{L}_0 + \mathbb{L}'_+(\omega_-)] \mathbf{C}_0 e^{i\omega_- t} + [\mathbb{L}_0 + \mathbb{L}'_+(-\omega_+)] \overline{\mathbf{C}_0} e^{-i\omega_+ t}. \end{aligned} \quad (10.5)$$

4 Any real algebraic quadratic form in  $\mathbf{C}(t)$  or real functional quadratic form in  $\mathbf{C}^t(s)$   
 5 can be written, in the limit  $\eta \rightarrow 0$ , as

$$6 \quad Q = \mathbf{C}_0 \odot \mathbb{M} \mathbf{C}_0 e^{2i\omega_0 t} + \overline{\mathbf{C}_0} \odot \overline{\mathbb{M}} \overline{\mathbf{C}_0} e^{-2i\omega_0 t} + \overline{\mathbf{C}_0} \odot \mathbb{N} \mathbf{C}_0, \quad (10.6)$$

7 where  $\mathbb{M}, \overline{\mathbb{M}}, \mathbb{N} \in \text{Lin}(\mathcal{B})$ . The quantities  $\mathbb{M}$  and  $\overline{\mathbb{M}}$  can be taken to be symmet-  
 8 ric, while  $\mathbb{N}$  can be taken to be hermitian. Indeed, since we will be dealing with  
 9 symmetric quantities,  $\mathbb{N}$  must be real. Let us introduce the abbreviated notation

$$10 \quad Q = \{\mathbb{M}, \mathbb{N}\}. \quad (10.7)$$

The generalized work  $W(t)$  done on the material to achieve the state  $(\mathbf{C}^t, \mathbf{C}(t))$  is obtained by integrating the form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{D}(t) \odot \dot{\mathbf{C}}(t) &= i\omega_- \mathbf{C}_0 \odot [\mathbb{L}_0 + \mathbb{L}'_+(\omega_-)] \mathbf{C}_0 e^{2i\omega_- t} - i\omega_+ \\ &\quad \times \overline{\mathbf{C}_0} \odot [\mathbb{L}_0 + \mathbb{L}'_+(-\omega_+)] \overline{\mathbf{C}_0} e^{-2i\omega_+ t} + i\overline{\mathbf{C}_0} \odot [(\omega_- - \omega_+) \mathbb{L}_0 \\ &\quad + \omega_- \mathbb{L}'_+(-\omega_+) - \omega_+ \mathbb{L}'_+(\omega_-)] \mathbf{C}_0 e^{i(\omega_- - \omega_+)t}. \end{aligned} \quad (10.8)$$

11 In the limit  $\eta \rightarrow 0$ , this converges to a finite result of the form

$$12 \quad \dot{W}(t) = \mathbf{D}(t) \odot \dot{\mathbf{C}}(t) = \{i\omega_0 [\mathbb{L}_0 + \mathbb{L}'_+(\omega_0)], -2\omega_0 \mathbb{L}'_s(\omega_0)\} \quad (10.9)$$

in the notation of (10.7). Carrying out the integration of (10.8) gives

$$\begin{aligned} W(t) &= \frac{1}{2} \{ \mathbf{C}_0 \odot [\mathbb{L}_0 + \mathbb{L}'_+(\omega_-)] \mathbf{C}_0 e^{2i\omega_- t} + \overline{\mathbf{C}_0} \odot [\mathbb{L}_0 + \mathbb{L}'_+(-\omega_+)] \overline{\mathbf{C}_0} e^{-2i\omega_+ t} \} \\ &\quad + \overline{\mathbf{C}_0} \odot [(\omega_- - \omega_+) \mathbb{L}_0 + \omega_- \mathbb{L}'_+(-\omega_+) - \omega_+ \mathbb{L}'_+(\omega_-)] \mathbf{C}_0 \frac{e^{i(\omega_- - \omega_+)t}}{(\omega_- - \omega_+)}. \end{aligned} \quad (10.10)$$

This quantity diverges as  $\eta \rightarrow 0$ , as would be expected on physical grounds. Taking the limit  $\eta \rightarrow 0$  in the terms which are convergent, we can write this in the form

$$\begin{aligned} W(t) &= \left\{ \frac{1}{2} [\mathbb{L}_0 + \mathbb{L}'_+(\omega_0)], \mathbb{L}_0 + \mathbb{L}'_c(\omega_0) - \omega_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega_0} \mathbb{L}'_c(\omega_0) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 2\omega_0 t \mathbb{L}'_s(\omega_0) - \mathbb{L}'_s(\omega_0) \frac{\omega_0}{\eta} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (10.11)$$

1 The quantity  $S(t)$ , given by (3.20)<sub>2</sub>, is finite in the limit  $\eta \rightarrow 0$ . It reduces to

$$2 \quad S(t) = \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{L}_0 + \mathbb{L}'_+(\omega_0), \mathbb{L}_0 + 2\mathbb{L}'_c(\omega_0) \right\}. \quad (10.12)$$

The general form (3.20) can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(t) = & \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{L}_0 + \mathbb{L}'_+(\omega_0) + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{L}_{12+}(\omega_0, \omega_0), \mathbb{L}_0 + 2\mathbb{L}'_c(\omega_0) \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{1}{2} [\mathbb{L}_{12+}(\omega_0, -\omega_0) + \mathbb{L}_{12+}(-\omega_0, \omega_0)] \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (10.13)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{L}_{12+}(\omega_1, \omega_2) &= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \mathbb{L}_{12}(s, u) e^{-i\omega_1 s - i\omega_2 u} \\ &= -\mathbb{L}'_+(\omega_2) + i\omega_1 \mathbb{L}_{2+}(\omega_1, \omega_2) \\ &= -\mathbb{L}'_+(\omega_1) + i\omega_2 \mathbb{L}_{1+}(\omega_1, \omega_2) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} [-\mathbb{L}'_+(\omega_1) - \mathbb{L}'_+(\omega_2) + i\omega_1 \mathbb{L}_{2+}(\omega_1, \omega_2) \\ &\quad + i\omega_2 \mathbb{L}_{1+}(\omega_1, \omega_2)]. \end{aligned} \quad (10.14)$$

3 Also,

$$4 \quad \mathbb{L}_{1+}(\omega_1, \omega_2) = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \mathbb{L}_1(s, u) e^{-i\omega_1 s - i\omega_2 u} ds du, \quad (10.15)$$

5 and similarly for  $\mathbb{L}_{2+}$ . Partial integrations have been used to obtain the second and  
6 third forms of (10.14). Note that

$$7 \quad \overline{\mathbb{L}_{12+}}(\omega_1, \omega_2) = \mathbb{L}_{12+}(-\omega_1, -\omega_2) \quad (10.16)$$

8 and, from (3.5)<sub>2</sub>,

$$9 \quad \mathbb{L}_{12+}^\top(\omega_1, \omega_2) = \mathbb{L}_{12+}(\omega_2, \omega_1). \quad (10.17)$$

10 Therefore,  $\mathbb{L}_{12+}(\omega_0, \omega_0)$  is symmetric and the last term in square brackets in (10.13)  
11 is symmetric and real. Also,

$$12 \quad \overline{\mathbb{L}_{1+}}(\omega_1, \omega_2) = \mathbb{L}_{1+}(-\omega_1, -\omega_2) \quad (10.18)$$

13 and

$$14 \quad \mathbb{L}_{1+}^\top(\omega_1, \omega_2) = \mathbb{L}_{1+}(\omega_2, \omega_1), \quad (10.19)$$

with similar relations holding for  $\mathbb{L}_{2+}$ . Using (10.14)<sub>4</sub>, we can write (10.13) in the  
form

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(t) = & \left\{ \frac{1}{2} [\mathbb{L}_0 + \mathbb{L}'_+(\omega_0)] + \frac{i\omega_0}{4} [\mathbb{L}_{1+}(\omega_0, \omega_0) + \mathbb{L}_{2+}(\omega_0, \omega_0)], \right. \\ & \left. \mathbb{L}_0 + \mathbb{L}'_c(\omega_0) + \frac{\omega_0}{2} \text{Im} [\mathbb{L}_{1+}(\omega_0, -\omega_0) - \mathbb{L}_{2+}(\omega_0, -\omega_0)] \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (10.20)$$



48 *G. Amendola, M. Fabrizio & J. M. Golden*

The most interesting part of this expression, from a physical point of view, is the second term which yields the time average over a period,

$$\langle \psi(t) \rangle_{\text{av}} = \overline{\mathbf{C}_0} \odot \left\{ \mathbb{L}_0 + \mathbb{L}'_c(\omega_0) + \frac{\omega_0}{2} \text{Im}[\mathbb{L}_{1+}(\omega_0, -\omega_0) - \mathbb{L}_{2+}(\omega_0, -\omega_0)] \right\} \mathbf{C}_0. \quad (10.21)$$

1 **Remark 10.1.** The quantity in braces must be a non-negative operator in  $\text{Lin}(\mathcal{B})$   
 2 for all  $\omega_0$ . A corresponding observation must apply to each of the free energy func-  
 3 tionals below, if the assumptions that make the relevant functional a free energy  
 4 are true.

The rate of dissipation, given by (3.22), becomes

$$\begin{aligned} D(t) &= \left\{ \frac{\omega_0^2}{2} [\mathbb{L}_{1+}(\omega_0, \omega_0) + \mathbb{L}_{2+}(\omega_0, \omega_0)], -\frac{\omega_0^2}{2} [\mathbb{L}_{1+}(\omega_0, -\omega_0) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \mathbb{L}_{1+}(-\omega_0, \omega_0) + \mathbb{L}_{2+}(\omega_0, -\omega_0) + \mathbb{L}_{2+}(-\omega_0, \omega_0)] \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \frac{\omega_0^2}{2} [\mathbb{L}_{1+}(\omega_0, \omega_0) + \mathbb{L}_{2+}(\omega_0, \omega_0)], -2\omega_0 \mathbb{L}'_s(\omega_0) \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (10.22)$$

5 where (10.14)<sub>2</sub> and (10.14)<sub>3</sub> have been invoked.

6 **Remark 10.2.** The time average of the rate of dissipation is

$$7 \quad \langle D(t) \rangle_{\text{av}} = \overline{\mathbf{C}_0} \odot (-2\omega_0 \mathbb{L}'_s(\omega_0)) \mathbf{C}_0 = \langle \dot{W}(t) \rangle_{\text{av}} \geq 0, \quad (10.23)$$

8 from (10.9), which is a special case of (4.5). Note that (10.23) implies (4.7) (if we  
 9 exclude reversible processes).

10 Relation (10.23) is true for the rate of dissipation associated with any free energy,  
 11 since (3.22) is entirely general for a material with linear constitutive equations.

12 It is noteworthy that, in classical viscoelasticity,  $-\mathbb{L}'_s(\omega) = \text{Im} \mathbb{L}'_+(\omega)$  is referred  
 13 to as the loss modulus.

14 Observe that

$$15 \quad 2\mathbb{H}(\omega_0) = -2\omega_0 \mathbb{L}'_s(\omega_0), \quad (10.24)$$

16 in the notation of (4.19). This notation has been and will be particularly used in  
 17 the context of the minimum free energy (see (10.51) below).

18 We have, from (10.20),

$$19 \quad \dot{\psi}(t) = \left\{ i\omega_0 [\mathbb{L}_0 + \mathbb{L}'_+(\omega_0)] - \frac{\omega_0^2}{2} [\mathbb{L}_{1+}(\omega_0, \omega_0) + \mathbb{L}_{2+}(\omega_0, \omega_0)], 0 \right\}. \quad (10.25)$$

20 Then, from (2.75) and (10.9), we can also obtain (10.22). The fact that  $\langle \dot{\psi}(t) \rangle_{\text{av}}$   
 21 vanishes is also an entirely general feature, closely connected with (10.23), by virtue  
 22 of (2.75).

1 The Graffi–Volterra free energy, given by (7.2)<sub>2</sub>, has the form

$$2 \quad \psi_G(t) = \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{L}_0 + \mathbb{L}'_+(\omega_0) - \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{L}'_+(2\omega_0), 2\mathbb{L}_0 - \mathbb{L}_\infty + 2\mathbb{L}'_c(\omega_0) \right\} \quad (10.26)$$

3 and

$$4 \quad \langle \psi_G(t) \rangle = \overline{\mathbf{C}}_0 \odot [2\mathbb{L}_0 - \mathbb{L}_\infty + 2\mathbb{L}'_c(\omega_0)] \mathbf{C}_0. \quad (10.27)$$

5 By invoking Remark 10.1, we see that the quantity  $2\mathbb{L}_0 - \mathbb{L}_\infty + 2\mathbb{L}'_c(\omega_0)$  must be a  
6 non-negative quantity if (7.1) applies. The time derivative of (10.26) gives

$$7 \quad \dot{\psi}_G(t) = 2i\omega_0 \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{L}_0 + \mathbb{L}'_+(\omega_0) - \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{L}'_+(2\omega_0), 0 \right\}. \quad (10.28)$$

8 Using (2.75) and (10.9), we obtain

$$9 \quad D_G(t) = \{-i\omega_0[\mathbb{L}'_+(\omega_0) - \mathbb{L}'_+(2\omega_0)], -2\omega_0\mathbb{L}'_s(\omega_0)\}, \quad (10.29)$$

10 which can also be derived from (7.3).

We next consider the expression (7.22)<sub>2</sub> for the Dill free energy. The function  $S(t)$  is given by (10.12). We now consider the integral term. Note that, by a change of variables,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \mathbb{L}''(s_1 + s_2) e^{-i\omega_0(s_1 + s_2)} ds_1 ds_2 \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \int_0^\infty ds \int_{-s}^s du \mathbb{L}''(s) e^{-i\omega_0 s} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty s \mathbb{L}''(s) e^{-i\omega_0 s} ds \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \mathbb{L}'(s) e^{-i\omega_0 s} ds + \frac{i\omega_0}{2} \int_0^\infty s \mathbb{L}'(s) e^{-i\omega_0 s} ds \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \left[ \mathbb{L}'_+(\omega_0) + \omega_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega_0} \mathbb{L}'_+(\omega_0) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (10.30)$$

where a partial integration was used to obtain the third line. Also

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \mathbb{L}''(s_1 + s_2) e^{-i\omega_0(s_1 - s_2)} ds_1 ds_2 \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \int_0^\infty ds \int_{-s}^s du \mathbb{L}''(s) e^{-i\omega_0 u} = \frac{1}{2\omega_0} \mathbb{L}''_s(\omega_0) = -\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{L}'_c(\omega_0). \end{aligned} \quad (10.31)$$

11 The term where  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  are interchanged contributes an equal result and we  
12 obtain

$$13 \quad \psi_{\text{Dill}}(t) = \left\{ \frac{1}{2} [\mathbb{L}_0 + \mathbb{L}'_+(\omega_0) - \omega_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega_0} \mathbb{L}'_+(\omega_0)], \mathbb{L}_0 + \mathbb{L}'_c(\omega_0) \right\}. \quad (10.32)$$

50 *G. Amendola, M. Fabrizio & J. M. Golden*

1 This also follows from (10.20) and the relation

$$2 \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \mathbb{L}'(s_1 + s_2) e^{-i\omega_0(s_1 + s_2)} ds_1 ds_2 = i \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega_0} \mathbb{L}'_+(\omega_0), \quad (10.33)$$

3 which can be shown by the technique outlined in (10.30). Note that

$$4 \langle \psi_{\text{Dill}}(t) \rangle_{\text{av}} = \overline{\mathbf{C}_0} \odot [\mathbb{L}_0 + \mathbb{L}'_c(\omega_0) \mathbf{C}_0], \quad (10.34)$$

5 where the quantity  $\mathbb{L}_0 + \mathbb{L}'_c(\omega_0) = \text{Re}(\mathbb{L}_0 + \mathbb{L}'_+(\omega_0))$  is known in the context of classical  
6 viscoelasticity as the storage modulus. In fact, this label is sometimes applied to  
7  $\mathbb{L}'_c(\omega_0)$ . By virtue of Remark 10.1, the quantity  $\mathbb{L}_0 + \mathbb{L}'_c(\omega_0)$  must be non-negative  
8 if (7.24) is valid.

9 The inequality (7.25) for time-averaged quantities takes the form  $\langle \psi_{\text{Dill}}(t) \rangle_{\text{av}} \leq$   
10  $\langle \psi_G(t) \rangle_{\text{av}}$  or, from (10.27),

$$11 \overline{\mathbf{C}_0} \odot (\mathbb{L}_0 + \mathbb{L}'_c(\omega_0) \mathbf{C}_0) \geq \overline{\mathbf{C}_0} \odot \mathbb{L}_\infty \mathbf{C}_0, \quad (10.35)$$

12 which is in fact the very general requirement (2.74) for free energies, applied to the  
13 form (7.22)<sub>1</sub>, with  $\phi$  given by (3.9). Also,

$$14 \dot{\psi}_{\text{Dill}}(t) = i\omega_0 \left\{ \mathbb{L}_0 + \mathbb{L}'_+(\omega_0) - \omega_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega_0} \mathbb{L}'_+(\omega_0), 0 \right\}. \quad (10.36)$$

15 Then, from (2.75) and (10.9),

$$16 D_{\text{Dill}}(t) = \left\{ i\omega_0^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega_0} \mathbb{L}'_+(\omega_0), -2\omega_0 \mathbb{L}'_s(\omega_0) \right\}, \quad (10.37)$$

17 which can also be shown using (7.23) and (10.33).

Next, let us consider  $\psi_F$ , given by (7.11). We have, from (5.4)<sub>2</sub>,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{I}(s, \mathbf{C}^t) &= \mathbf{F}(\omega_0, s) \mathbf{C}_0 e^{i\omega_0 t} + \overline{\mathbf{F}}(\omega_0, s) \overline{\mathbf{C}_0} e^{-i\omega_0 t}, \\ \mathbf{F}(\omega_0, s) &= \int_0^\infty \mathbb{L}'(u+s) e^{-i\omega_0 u} du = e^{i\omega_0 s} \int_s^\infty \mathbb{L}'(u) e^{-i\omega_0 u} du, \\ \mathbf{F}^\top(\omega_0, s) &= \mathbf{F}(\omega_0, s), \quad \overline{\mathbf{F}}(\omega_0, s) = \mathbf{F}(-\omega_0, s), \end{aligned} \quad (10.38)$$

where  $\mathbf{F} \in \text{Lin}(\mathcal{B})$ . The functional  $\psi_F$  has the form given by (10.13), where (see (7.13))

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{L}_{12+}(\omega_0, \omega_0) &= \int_0^\infty \mathbf{F}_s(\omega_0, s) \mathbb{L}'^{-1}(s) \mathbf{F}_s(\omega_0, s) ds, \\ \mathbb{L}_{12+}(\omega_0, -\omega_0) &= \int_0^\infty \mathbf{F}_s(\omega_0, s) \mathbb{L}'^{-1}(s) \mathbf{F}_s(-\omega_0, s) ds, \end{aligned} \quad (10.39)$$

18 with

$$19 \mathbf{F}_s(\omega_0, s) = \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \mathbf{F}(\omega_0, s) = \int_0^\infty \mathbb{L}''(u+s) e^{-i\omega_0 u} du. \quad (10.40)$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{L}_{1+}(\omega_0, \pm\omega_0) &= \int_0^\infty \mathbf{F}_s(\omega_0, s) \mathbb{L}'^{-1}(s) \mathbf{F}(\pm\omega_0, s) ds, \\ \mathbb{L}_{2+}(\omega_0, \pm\omega_0) &= \int_0^\infty \mathbf{F}(\omega_0, s) \mathbb{L}'^{-1}(s) \mathbf{F}_s(\pm\omega_0, s) ds.\end{aligned}\quad (10.41)$$

1 The rate of dissipation is given by (10.22), with the aid of (10.41).

2 Finally, the expression for the minimum free energy will be explored. We shall  
3 require the relation

$$4 \quad \overline{\mathbb{H}_\pm(\omega)} = \mathbb{H}_\pm(-\bar{\omega}), \quad \omega \in \Omega, \quad (10.42)$$

5 which generalizes (9.9)<sub>2</sub> to the complex plane. The minimum free energy  $\psi_m(t)$  is  
6 given by (8.15). Using (10.4), we evaluate the integral in (8.6) by closing the contour  
7 on  $\Omega^{(+)}$  to obtain

$$8 \quad \mathbf{p}_+^t(\omega) = - \left[ \frac{e^{i\omega_- t}}{i(\omega + \omega_-)} \mathbb{H}_-(-\omega_-) \mathbf{C}_0 + \frac{e^{-i\omega_+ t}}{i(\omega - \omega_+)} \mathbb{H}_-(\omega_+) \overline{\mathbf{C}_0} \right] \quad (10.43)$$

9 and

$$10 \quad \mathbf{p}_-^t(\omega) = \mathbb{H}_-(\omega) \mathbf{C}_{r+}^t(\omega) + \mathbf{p}_+^t(\omega).$$

From (10.43) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^\infty |\mathbf{p}_+^t(\omega)|^2 d\omega &= -\frac{ie^{2i\omega_- t}}{2\omega_-} \mathbf{C}_0 \odot \mathbb{H}_-^2(-\omega_-) \mathbf{C}_0 \\ &\quad + \frac{ie^{-2i\omega_+ t}}{2\omega_+} \overline{\mathbf{C}_0} \odot \mathbb{H}_-^2(\omega_+) \overline{\mathbf{C}_0} \\ &\quad - \frac{2ie^{i(\omega_- - \omega_+)t}}{(\omega_- - \omega_+)} \overline{\mathbf{C}_0} \odot \mathbb{H}_-(\omega_+) \mathbb{H}_-(-\omega_-) \mathbf{C}_0,\end{aligned}\quad (10.44)$$

where (10.42) has been used. It will be observed that the last term diverges in the limit  $\eta \rightarrow 0$ . Recalling (8.20), we see that the quantity given by (10.44) in the limit  $\eta \rightarrow 0$  is the total dissipation over history so this divergence is an expression of a physically obvious fact. Its derivative is the rate of dissipation and is finite. Taking the limit  $\eta \rightarrow 0$  in the convergent terms, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^\infty |\mathbf{p}_+^t(\omega)|^2 d\omega &= -\frac{ie^{2i\omega_0 t}}{2\omega_0} \mathbf{C}_0 \odot \mathbb{H}_-^2(-\omega_0) \mathbf{C}_0 \\ &\quad + \frac{ie^{-2i\omega_0 t}}{2\omega_0} \overline{\mathbf{C}_0} \odot \mathbb{H}_-^2(\omega_0) \overline{\mathbf{C}_0} \\ &\quad + \overline{\mathbf{C}_0} \odot \left[ 2t\mathbb{H}(\omega_0) - \mathbb{D}(\omega_0) + \frac{1}{\eta} \mathbb{H}(\omega_0) \right] \mathbf{C}_0,\end{aligned}\quad (10.45)$$

52 *G. Amendola, M. Fabrizio & J. M. Golden*

1 where  $\mathbb{D}(\omega)$ , given by (8.42), is real and non-negative for  $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ . From (10.11),  
2 (10.45), (8.18) and (8.20), taking the limit  $\eta \rightarrow 0$ , we obtain

$$3 \quad \psi_m(t) = \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{L}_0 + \mathbb{B}_1(\omega_0), \mathbb{L}_0 + \mathbb{B}_2(\omega_0) \right\} \quad (10.46)$$

where

$$\mathbb{B}_1(\omega_0) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \mathbb{L}'_+(\omega_0) + \frac{i}{\omega_0} \mathbb{H}_-^2(-\omega_0) \right], \quad (10.47)$$

$$\mathbb{B}_2(\omega_0) = \mathbb{L}'_c(\omega_0) - \omega_0 \frac{d}{d\omega_0} \mathbb{L}'_c(\omega_0) + \mathbb{D}(\omega_0).$$

4 The divergent terms and those proportional to  $t$  cancel. The average over a time  
5 cycle is given by (see (10.21))

$$6 \quad \langle \psi_m \rangle_{\text{av}} = \overline{\mathbf{C}_0} \odot [\mathbb{L}_0 + \mathbb{B}_2(\omega_0)] \mathbf{C}_0. \quad (10.48)$$

7 Note that  $\mathbb{L}_0 + \mathbb{B}_2$  must be a non-negative quantity for all  $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ , by virtue of  
8 Remark 10.1. We have

$$9 \quad \dot{\psi}_m(t) = i\omega_0 \left\{ \mathbb{L}_0 + \mathbb{L}'_+(\omega_0) + \frac{i}{\omega_0} \mathbb{H}_-^2(-\omega_0), 0 \right\}. \quad (10.49)$$

10 The rate of dissipation is given by (8.25) and (8.22)<sub>3</sub>. Using (10.4) and closing on  
11  $\Omega^+$ , we find that

$$12 \quad \mathbf{K}(t) = \mathbb{H}_-(-\omega_0) \mathbf{C}_0 e^{i\omega_0 t} + \mathbb{H}_-(\omega_0) \overline{\mathbf{C}_0} e^{-i\omega_0 t} \quad (10.50)$$

13 on taking  $\eta \rightarrow 0$ . Therefore

$$14 \quad D_m(t) = \{ \mathbb{H}_-^2(-\omega_0), 2\mathbb{H}(\omega_0) \}. \quad (10.51)$$

15 One may check that (8.17) holds, using (10.9), (10.49) and (10.51). The time average  
16  $\langle D_m(t) \rangle_{\text{av}}$  is equal to  $\overline{\mathbf{C}_0} 2\mathbb{H}(\omega_0) \mathbf{C}_0$ , which is consistent with Remark 10.2, by virtue  
17 of (10.24).

18 The formulae derived here for the minimum free energy apply with little change  
19 to the other free energies referred to in Remark 9.2.

## 20 Acknowledgement

21 G. Amendola and M. Fabrizio have been partially supported by Italian G.N.F.M.-  
22 I.N.D.A.M., while J. M. Golden has been supported by the Dublin Institute of  
23 Technology, on this project.

## 24 References

- 25 1. G. Amendola, M. Fabrizio and J. M. Golden, Thermodynamics of a non-simple heat  
26 conductor with memory, *Quart. Appl. Math.* **69** (2011) 787–806.  
27 2. G. Amendola, M. Fabrizio and J. M. Golden, Second gradient viscoelastic fluids:  
28 Dissipation principle and free energies, *Meccanica* **47** (2012) 1859–1868.

- 1        3. G. Amendola, M. Fabrizio and J. M. Golden, *Thermodynamics of Materials with*  
2        *Memory: Theory and Applications* (Springer, 2012).
- 3        4. B. D. Coleman, Thermodynamics of materials with memory, *Arch. Rational Mech.*  
4        *Anal.* **17** (1964) 1–45.
- 5        5. B. D. Coleman and V. J. Mizel, On the general theory of fading memory, *Arch.*  
6        *Rational Mech. Anal.* **29** (1968) 18–31.
- 7        6. B. D. Coleman and D. R. Owen, A mathematical foundation for thermodynamics,  
8        *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **54** (1974) 1–104.
- 9        7. B. D. Coleman and D. R. Owen, On thermodynamics and elastic-plastic materials,  
10        *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **59** (1975) 25–51.
- 11       8. G. Del Piero and L. Deseri, On the analytic expression of the free energy in linear  
12       viscoelasticity, *J. Elasticity* **43** (1996) 247–278.
- 13       9. G. Del Piero and L. Deseri, On the concepts of state and free energy in linear vis-  
14       coelasticity, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **138** (1997) 1–35.
- 15       10. L. Deseri, M. Fabrizio and J. M. Golden, On the concept of a minimal state in vis-  
16       coelasticity: New free energies and applications to PDE<sub>S</sub>, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*  
17       **181** (2006) 43–96.
- 18       11. L. Deseri, G. Gentili and J. M. Golden, An explicit formula for the minimum free  
19       energy in linear viscoelasticity, *J. Elasticity* **54** (1999) 141–185.
- 20       12. L. Deseri and J. M. Golden, The minimum free energy for continuous spectrum mate-  
21       rials, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* **67** (2007) 869–892.
- 22       13. M. Di Paola and M. Zingales, Exact mechanical models for fractional viscoelastic  
23       materials, *J. Rheology* **56** (2012) 983–1004.
- 24       14. J. E. Dunn and J. Serrin, On the thermomechanics of interstitial working, *Arch.*  
25       *Rational Mech. Anal.* **88** (1985) 95–133.
- 26       15. M. Fabrizio, G. Gentili and J. M. Golden, Free energies for linear non-isothermal  
27       materials with memory, *Math. Comput. Modeling* **39** (2004) 219–253.
- 28       16. M. Fabrizio, C. Giorgi and A. Morro, Free energies and dissipation properties for  
29       systems with memory, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **125** (1994) 341–373.
- 30       17. M. Fabrizio and J. M. Golden, Maximum and minimum free energies for a linear  
31       viscoelastic material, *Quart. Appl. Math.* **60** (2002) 341–381.
- 32       18. M. Fabrizio, B. Lazzari and R. Nibbi, Thermodynamics of non-local materials: Extra  
33       fluxes and internal powers, *Contin. Mech. Thermodyn.* **23** (2011) 509–525.
- 34       19. M. Fabrizio and A. Morro, *Mathematical Problems in Linear Viscoelasticity* (SIAM,  
35       1992).
- 36       20. M. Fabrizio and A. Morro, *Electromagnetism of Continuous Media* (Oxford Univ.  
37       Press, 2003).
- 38       21. W. Feller, *An Introduction to Probability Theory and Its Applications*, Vol. 1, 3rd edn.  
39       (Wiley, 1968).
- 40       22. G. Gentili, Maximum recoverable work, minimum free energy and state space in linear  
41       viscoelasticity, *Quart. Appl. Math.* **60** (2002) 153–182.
- 42       23. J. M. Golden, Free energies in the frequency domain: The scalar case, *Quart. Appl.*  
43       *Math.* **58** (2000) 127–150.
- 44       24. J. M. Golden, A proposal concerning the physical rate of dissipation in materials with  
45       memory, *Quart. Appl. Math.* **63** (2005) 117–155.
- 46       25. J. M. Golden, A proposal concerning the physical rate of dissipation in materials with  
47       memory: the non-isothermal case, *Math. Mech. Solids* **12** (2007) 403–449.
- 48       26. D. Graffi, Sull'espressione analitica di alcune grandezze termodinamiche nei materiali  
49       con memoria, *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova* **68** (1982) 17–29.
- 50       27. D. Graffi, Ancora sull'espressione analitica dell'energia libera nei materiali con memo-  
51       ria, *Atti Acc. Scienze Torino* **120** (1986) 111–124.

54 *G. Amendola, M. Fabrizio & J. M. Golden*

- 1 28. D. Graffi and M. Fabrizio, Sulla nozione di stato materiali viscoelastici di tipo ‘rate’,  
2 *Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei* **83** (1990) 201–208.
- 3 29. M. E. Gurtin and A. C. Pipkin, A general theory of heat conduction with finite wave  
4 speeds, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **31** (1968) 113–126.
- 5 30. I.-S. Liu, *Continuum Mechanics* (Springer, 2002).
- 6 31. R. Lakes, *Viscoelastic Materials* (Cambridge Univ. Press, 2009).
- 7 32. T. Manacorda, Teoria macroscopica della radiazione interna nei continui, *Riv. Mat.*  
8 *Univ. Parma* **8** (1982) 483–494.
- 9 33. I. Müller, On the entropy inequality, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **26** (1967) 118–141.
- 10 34. W. Noll, A new mathematical theory of simple materials, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*  
11 **48** (1972) 1–50.
- 12 35. C. Truesdell and W. Noll, *The Non-linear Field Theories of Mechanics*, 3rd edn., ed.  
13 S. S. Antman (Springer, 2004).