

1-1-2007

# Transcriptome Profiling of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* Mutants Lacking C2H2 Zinc Finger Proteins

Jinghe Mao

Tougaloo College, [jmao@tougaloo.edu](mailto:jmao@tougaloo.edu)

Tanwir Habib

University of Southern Mississippi, [tanwir.habib@usm.edu](mailto:tanwir.habib@usm.edu)

Ming Shenwu

Tougaloo College, [shenmingshanghai@yahoo.com](mailto:shenmingshanghai@yahoo.com)

Baobin Kang

University of Southern Mississippi, [baobin.kang@mfgn.usm.edu](mailto:baobin.kang@mfgn.usm.edu)

Wilbur Allen

Tougaloo College, [wlibe03@yahoo.com](mailto:wlibe03@yahoo.com)

*See next page for additional authors*

Follow this and additional works at: [https://aquila.usm.edu/fac\\_pubs](https://aquila.usm.edu/fac_pubs)

 Part of the [Genetics and Genomics Commons](#)

---

## Recommended Citation

Mao, J., Habib, T., Shenwu, M., Kang, B., Allen, W., Robertson, L., Yang, J. Y., Deng, Y. (2007). Transcriptome Profiling of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* Mutants Lacking C2H2 Zinc Finger Proteins. *BMC Genomics*, 9(S1), 1-9.  
Available at: [https://aquila.usm.edu/fac\\_pubs/8489](https://aquila.usm.edu/fac_pubs/8489)

---

**Authors**

Jinghe Mao, Tanwir Habib, Ming Shenwu, Baobin Kang, Wilbur Allen, LaShonda Robertson, Jack Y. Yang,  
and Youping Deng

Research

Open Access

## Transcriptome profiling of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* mutants lacking C2H2 zinc finger proteins

Jinghe Mao\*<sup>1</sup>, Tanwir Habib<sup>2</sup>, Ming Shenwu<sup>1</sup>, Baobin Kang<sup>2</sup>, Wilbur Allen<sup>1</sup>, LaShonda Robertson<sup>1</sup>, Jack Y Yang<sup>3</sup> and Youping Deng\*<sup>2</sup>

Address: <sup>1</sup>Department of Biology, Tougaloo College, Tougaloo, MS 39174, USA, <sup>2</sup>Department of Biological Sciences, University of Southern Mississippi, Hattiesburg, MS 39406, USA and <sup>3</sup>Harvard Medical School, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02140-0888 USA

Email: Jinghe Mao\* - [jmao@tougaloo.edu](mailto:jmao@tougaloo.edu); Tanwir Habib - [tanwir.habib@usm.edu](mailto:tanwir.habib@usm.edu); Ming Shenwu - [shenmingshanghai@yahoo.com](mailto:shenmingshanghai@yahoo.com); Baobin Kang - [baobin.kang@mfn.usm.edu](mailto:baobin.kang@mfn.usm.edu); Wilbur Allen - [wilbe03@yahoo.com](mailto:wilbe03@yahoo.com); LaShonda Robertson - [ethroberts@aol.com](mailto:ethroberts@aol.com); Jack Y Yang - [jyang@bwh.harvard.edu](mailto:jyang@bwh.harvard.edu); Youping Deng\* - [youping.deng@usm.edu](mailto:youping.deng@usm.edu)

\* Corresponding authors

from The 2007 International Conference on Bioinformatics & Computational Biology (BIOCOMP'07)  
Las Vegas, NV, USA. 25-28 June 2007

Published: 20 March 2008

BMC Genomics 2008, 9(Suppl 1):S14 doi:10.1186/1471-2164-9-S1-S14

This article is available from: <http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2164/9/S1/S14>

© 2008 Mao et al.; licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

### Abstract

**Background:** The budding yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* is a eukaryotic organism with extensive genetic redundancy. Large-scale gene deletion analysis has shown that over 80% of the ~6200 predicted genes are nonessential and that the functions of 30% of all ORFs remain unclassified, implying that yeast cells can tolerate deletion of a substantial number of individual genes. For example, a class of zinc finger proteins containing C2H2 zinc fingers in tandem arrays of two or three is predicted to be transcription factors; however, seven of the thirty-one predicted genes of this class are nonessential, and their functions are poorly understood. In this study we completed a transcriptomic profiling of three mutants lacking C2H2 zinc finger proteins, *ypr013cΔ*, *ypr015cΔ* and *ypr013cΔypr015cΔ*.

**Results:** Gene expression patterns were remarkably different between wild type and the mutants. The results indicate altered expression of 79 genes in *ypr013cΔ*, 185 genes in *ypr015cΔ* and 426 genes in the double mutant when compared with that of the wild type strain. More than 80% of the alterations in the double mutants were not observed in either one of the single deletion mutants. Functional categorization based on Munich Information Center for Protein Sequences (MIPS) revealed up-regulation of genes related to transcription and down-regulation of genes involving cell rescue and defense, suggesting a decreased response to stress conditions. Genes related to cell cycle and DNA processing whose expression was affected by single or double deletions were also identified.

**Conclusion:** Our results suggest that microarray analysis can define the biological roles of zinc finger proteins with unknown functions and identify target genes that are regulated by these putative transcriptional factors. These findings also suggest that both YPR013C and YPR015C have biological processes in common, in addition to their own regulatory pathways.

## Background

The budding yeast, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, has been an excellent eukaryotic model system for understanding basic cellular processes and metabolic pathways [1]. *S. cerevisiae* was the first eukaryotic genome for which the genome sequence was reported [2]. Approximately 6200 ORFs were identified; however, over 30% of the genes remain functionally unclassified. Furthermore, large-scale gene deletion analysis has shown that over 80% of the ~6200 yeast genes are nonessential, implying that many genes and pathways in this organism are functionally redundant [3,4].

Zinc finger proteins (Zfp) represent the largest and most diverse superfamily of nucleic acid binding proteins in eukaryotes. These proteins participate in a variety of cellular activities, including development, differentiation, cell cycle, and tumor suppression. It has been estimated that up to 1% of the genes in the human genome may encode proteins with zinc finger domains [5]. In the human brain alone, 133 species of C2H2 type zinc finger cDNAs have been identified [6-8]. Currently, ~ 31 C2H2 zinc finger proteins have been reported and/or predicted to be transcriptional factors in yeast [9,10]. The functions of 24 zinc finger proteins have been extensively studied; however, the remaining seven genes (YER130C, YGR067C, YML081W, YPL230W, YPR013C, YPR015C and YPR022C) are nonessential with little or unknown biological functions (<http://www.yeastgenome.org>). YPR015C was recently identified as one of 100 novel, weakly expressed cell cycle-regulated genes when yeast were grown in a fermentor using minimum medium, indicating that some of the transcriptional factors may be not activated in rich medium [11]. Systematic genetic analysis revealed a synthetic lethal interaction between CTF4 and YPR015C, leading to an impairment of POL II transcription [12], suggesting that two genes may be involved in the same essential pathway. Limited information pertinent to nonessential genes exists in current scientific literature.

The *S. cerevisiae* deletion library contains deletions of all 4700 nonessential genes [3]. These mutants provide a valuable resource for genome-wide functional analyses. Transcriptomic analysis permits the simultaneous profiling of gene expression of thousands of genes and the identification of target genes regulated by specific gene of interest via mutation. We chose to study two non-essential genes, YPR013C and YPR015C, which are located on the same chromosome (chr XVI). These genes encode C2H2 zinc finger proteins with two Zfs in a tandem array, four identical stretches, and a conserved linker [10]. We examined the gene expression patterns of the two single deletion mutants, as well as a double mutant harboring both these gene deletions. It is our objective to understand how

transcriptional regulation is affected by these particular zinc finger proteins, and to identify common features among various pathways of transcriptional regulation.


## Results and discussion

To investigate the biological roles of the two C2H2 zinc finger proteins, we chose to examine the effect of the two gene deletion on overall gene expression in the mutants. Microarray analyses of single mutant *ypr013cΔ* and *ypr015cΔ* macrodissect the target genes or regulatory pathways for those particular zinc finger proteins and the synergistic effect of the deletion of both Zf motifs by profiling of the double mutant *ypr013cΔypr015cΔ*.

### Identification of differentially expressed genes among two different single mutants and a double mutant

Genome-wide expression profiles for two single deletion mutants (*ypr013cΔ* and *ypr015cΔ*) and a double mutant (*ypr013cΔypr015cΔ*) were generated using the 60-mer oligonucleotide array capable of detecting 6225 different yeast genes. Hierarchical Cluster Analysis (HCL) of the correlation matrix of the normalized microarray ratio data shows clustering according to the single and double mutants. The correlation of expression between and within samples suggests that gene expression patterns are different among the single and double mutants. The Welch T-test was used to determine significant changes in gene expression between mutants and wild type. A total of 562 genes were found to be differentially expressed with a cut-off  $p < 0.05$  and fold change  $> 1.5$ . The expression pattern of the double mutant is more similar to that of the single mutant *ypr015cΔ* (Figure 1). Among the 562 genes, 337 genes were up-regulated and 225 genes were down-regulated when the gene expression ratios in the mutants were compared to those in wild type strains (Figure 1).

The HCL results demonstrated that the samples on the same biological condition grouped together, indicating that the experimental quality was well controlled. Both *ypr013cΔ ypr015cΔ* and *ypr015cΔ* showed a greater degree of altered gene expression than *ypr013cΔ* (Figures 1, 2). For example, 258 and 104 up-regulated genes were present on the arrays of the double mutant and *ypr015cΔ*, respectively, whereas only 53 were present in *ypr013cΔ*. Similarly, there were 168 and 81 down-regulated genes in the double mutant and *ypr015cΔ*, respectively, and 26 genes in *ypr013cΔ* (Figure 1). This suggests that Ypr013cp may have less of an effect on transcriptional regulation than Ypr015cp in yeast cells. By comparing the extent of the gene expression changes caused by single and double deletion, it can be easily determined that the changes were significant. More than 80% of 562 altered gene expressions appeared in double mutants, with 206 genes up-regulated and 136 down-regulated. The double deletions greatly affected the transcriptomic profile and suggest syn-



**Figure 1**  
**Condition tree for all significantly UP and Down-regulated genes, total 562 genes.** Orange represents up and blue represent down-regulated genes.

ergistic effects on multiple biological pathways. For example, the two genes YDR342C/HXT7 and YDR343C/HXT6, which encode glucose transporters and are involved in glucose signal transduction pathways [13,14], were up-regulated to 3-4 fold in double mutants yet none in single mutants (Figure 1).

Analysis of differentially expressed genes held in common among mutants is a good indicator of relatedness. We used the abundance of genes in common among differentially expressed gene lists to identify the gene distribution (Figure 3). Among the 337 up-regulated genes, 12 common genes are observed in all the mutants (Table 1), 43 common genes in the double mutant and *ypr015cΔ*, 21 common genes in the double mutant and *ypr013cΔ* and 26 common genes in *ypr013cΔ* and *ypr015cΔ*. Among 225 down-regulated genes, 10 common genes are shown in all the mutants (Table 2), 28 common genes in the double mutant and *ypr015cΔ*, 14 common genes among the double mutant and *ypr013cΔ* and 18 common genes in *ypr013cΔ* and *ypr015cΔ*. Functional biological pathways


often consist of multiple genes. MIPS analysis of twelve up-regulated and ten down-regulated common genes was performed to determine which gene classes were regulated similarly among the deletion mutants. The finding revealed enrichment of genes encoding proteins involved in metabolism, transcription, signal transduction and interaction with environment.

#### Confirmation of array results by real-time PCR

To validate the breadth of fold differences of microarray results, several ORFs were verified by real-time PCR (Figure 1). One of selected ORFs was YKL209C, which encodes the mitochondrial malic enzyme involved in sugar metabolism and was highly up-regulated ( $\sim 20$  fold) in all mutants. YLL021W encoding the spindle pole antigen and YBR215W involved in cell-cycle regulation were up-regulated only in the *ypr015cΔ* mutant ( $\sim 2$  fold). YNL007C, which is also involved in cell cycle regulation, was down-regulated in both single mutants ( $\sim 2-3$  fold), while YML027W also a cell cycle regulated gene was down-regulated in *ypr015cΔ* mutant alone ( $\sim 2$  fold). As shown in Figure 1, the expression patterns produced by real time PCR were similar with that of microarray analysis. Hence, the microarray findings were validated.

#### Functional categories of the significant genes

Functional biological pathways often consist of multiple genes. The 562 differentially-expressed genes were categorized according to the Munich Information Center for Protein Sequences (MIPS). MIPS assigns some of the genes to more than one functional category, which is reflected in the distribution. By comparing the alteration in biological processes among all mutants, as shown in Table 3, distinct changes can be seen. These changes are related to effects of the different gene deletions. For example, the highest proportion (36-42%) of up-regulated genes belonging to the transcription category was present in *ypr015cΔ* and the double mutant, and the greatest percentage (38%) of genes belonging to the metabolism was up-regulated in *ypr013cΔ*. These results indicate that Ypr015cp primarily serves as a transcriptional repressor for a variety of genes whereas Ypr013cp plays a significant role in metabolism, in addition to its role in modulating transcription (29%). Other processes associated with these genes are cell rescue, defence, and virulence (14-20%). The genes in the pathways for stress responses, toxin and immune responses were mainly down-regulated, suggesting a decreased response to stress conditions. This is consistent with observations in which *ypr013cΔ* was sensitive to 37°C on YPD and *ypr015cΔ* showed slow growth on YPG at both 30°C and 37°C (data not shown). In addition, genes involved in the cell cycle and DNA processing are almost equally distributed between up-regulated (7-16%) and down-regulated (9-14%) genes in all mutants.



**Figure 2**  
**Condition tree for all significantly UP and Down-regulated genes, total 562 genes.** (a), Significantly up-regulated genes are represents in orange, 337 genes. (b) Down-regulated genes are represents in blue, 225 genes.

It is not unexpected that zinc finger protein deletions trigger an extensive altered expression of  $\sim 9\%$  of protein encoding genes. As stated earlier, these proteins participate in a variety of cellular activities, including transcriptional control, development, differentiation, cell cycle and tumor suppression [6-8]. Ho *et al* identified six interactions between Ypr015cp and proteins in cell cycle regulation, cell rescue, metabolism by Affinity Capture-MS [15]; and Ptacek *et al*, using proteome chip technology, revealed 13 biochemical interactions in which Ypr013cp is involved [16]. Our findings are consistent with these data although determined using microarray analyses. Further study will be required to identify the promoters of target genes for Ypr013cp and Ypr015cp by CHIP on chip assay [17].

### Conclusions


We analyzed transcriptomic profiles in mutants lacking C2H2 zinc finger proteins by a combination of HCA and systematic functional analysis. Our data reveal that a single or a double deletion of YPR013C and YPR015C produced significant alteration of gene expression. The changes of gene expression induced by a double mutation, however, were more extensive, which may indicate

synergistic effects on transcriptional regulation. Significant changes in functional categories were related to transcription, cell cycle regulation, and cell rescue. Our microarray results have provided the first genome-wide transcriptomic profiling to reveal the functional roles of two putative C2H2 zinc finger proteins.

### Materials and methods

#### Yeast strains and plasmid

Isogenic *S. cerevisiae* wild type (KC 4023, same as BY 4741, *MATa his3Δ1leu2Δ ura3Δ met15Δ*), *ypr013cΔ*, *ypr015cΔ* and *ypr013cΔ ypr015cΔ* were used in this study. The strains *ypr013cΔ* and *ypr015cΔ* are *MATa* yeast deletion mutants, each carrying a gene deletion linked to a kanamycin-resistance marker *kanMX* that confers resistance to the antibiotic geneticin (G418). Wild type and single mutant strains were obtained from the Mississippi Functional Genomic Network Core facility. The double mutant was constructed by a PCR mediated gene disruption method [18]. Plasmid p4339 (pCRII-TOPO::natRMX4) serves as a DNA template to amplify the natRMX4 cassette required for PCR-mediated integration. Briefly, we used "fusion" PCR primers that contain 22 bp at their 3' end, homologous to sequenced 5' and 3' of the



**Figure 3**  
**Venn diagrams for genes whose expression was significantly altered in the single mutants and in the double mutant.** Green indicated genes whose expressions were altered in *ypr013cΔ*, blue in *ypr015cΔ* and violet in *ypr013cΔypr015cΔ*. (a), Overlapped up-regulated genes, and (b), Overlapped down-regulated genes.

natRMX4 cassette and 45 bp of either the 5' or 3' end of the gene of interest. *ypr013cΔ* and *ypr015cΔ* were transformed with NAT<sup>R</sup>-*ypr015c* or NAT<sup>R</sup>-*ypr013c* fusion PCR products, respectively. Transformants were selected on YPD+G418 +clonNAT medium. Double mutants were confirmed by PCR (data not shown). All strains were grown to early log phase ( $1-2 \times 10^6$  cells/ml) in YPD (1% yeast extract, 2% peptone, 2% glucose), then harvested for RNA preparation. Plasmid p4339 was kindly provided by the Mississippi Functional Genomic Network Core facility.

#### Microarray hybridization


Total RNA was extracted from wild type, *ypr013cΔ*, *ypr015cΔ* and *ypr013cΔ ypr015cΔ* cultures grown to early log phase using TRIzol reagent according to the manufacturer's instructions. The quality and quantity of RNA were measured by an Agilent 2100 bioanalyzer (Agilent, Palo Alto, CA). cRNA was synthesized by using a low-RNA-input fluorescent linear amplification kit (Agilent Technologies). Cy5 or Cy3 labeled cRNA was purified with the RNeasy MinElute kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA) and hybridized to yeast 60-mer oligonucleotide arrays according to the manufacturer's instruction (G4140B, Yeast V2, Agilent Technologies). Array slides were then scanned at 10Mm resolution with two-line averaging using an Axon GenePix 4200A scanner and GenePix 6.0 software. Microarrays were done in two (single mutants) or four (double mutants) replicate experiments, including both dye-swap technical replicates or/and biological replicates.

#### Image and gene expression data analysis

Statistical analysis was done with Global locally weighted scatter-plot smoothing (LOWESS), Dye swap and ratio-based normalization. Scatter plot was used to identify the relationship between the two dyes and to check the hybridization quality.  $\log_2 R$  is plotted against  $\log_2 G$ . Scatter plot is useful in early stage of analysis as it can help to determine whether a linear regression model is appropriate. A correlation between the variables results in the clustering of data points along a line. MA plot was also used to see the log-ratios and intensity-dependent effects at the same time. LOWESS regression, or locally weighted least squares regression, is a technique for fitting a smoothing curve to a dataset. It assumes that the dye bias appears to be dependent on spot intensity. Treatment and control channels are reversed in order to reduce the dye bias. Red and green dye intensity ratio is computed by:

$$\log(R/G) \rightarrow \log(R/G) - c(A)$$

where  $c(A)$  is the Lowess fit to the  $\log(R/G)$  vs  $\log(\sqrt{R \cdot G})$  plot. Genes in *Ypr013CΔ* or *Ypr015CΔ* single mutant and double mutants (*Ypr013CΔ Ypr015CΔ*) were filtered based on flags present in four out of eight samples. Significant genes were selected with measure of confidence based on t-test, p-value. A cut off of  $\rho < 0.05$  and fold change  $> 1.5$  was used. Assuming there are false positives among the differentially expressed genes, we also used Benjamini and Hochberg false discovery rate (FDR) controlling approach [19]. We extracted 6045 quality genes for further data analysis for their func-



**Figure 4**  
**Expression of HXTs can be detected in the double mutant.** Orange represents up and blue represent down-regulated genes. Two HXTs (in red color) are up-regulated by 3-4 folds in the *ypr013cΔypr015cΔ* strain.

tions using MIPS database. Genespring software (Agilent Technologies) was used to analyze microarray data.


**Real-time PCR**

To validate the microarray data, a real-time PCR assay was performed to monitor gene expression in both wild type and mutant strains by comparing the mRNA levels of

selected genes to a stable housekeeping gene (e.g., actin) using delta-delta Ct (threshold crossing value) calculations. cDNA was synthesized from 1 µg of purified RNA of the same samples used for cRNA synthesis for the microarray experiments by using Iscript cDNA synthesis kit (BioRad). Prior to use in real-time PCR, each primer set was validated for use by gel analysis of RT-PCR products.



**Differential gene expression in mutant strains**



**Figure 5**  
**Real-time PCR analysis for differentially expressed genes in the two single mutants.** Reactions were normalized to a stable housekeeping gene (actin), and fold changes were calculated relative to the wild type strain in logarithmic value. All reactions were performed in triplicate, and the average fold change is shown.

**Table 1: Overlapped up-regulated gene list with fold change and p-value among the double mutant and single mutants.**

Systematic Name	<i>ypr013cΔ</i> p value	Fold change	<i>ypro015cΔ</i> p value	Fold change	<i>ypr013cΔ/ypro015cΔ</i> p value	
YNL308C	1.695	0.0302	2.007	0.0214	1.598	0.000585
YGR145W	1.725	0.0298	2.459	0.0426	1.735	0.00712
YBR105C	1.786	0.0211	2.02	0.016	1.729	0.0467
YFL026W	44.03	0.0129	39.28	0.0436	39.88	0.000223
YBR093C	2.138	0.0128	1.889	0.00896	3.13	2.40E-05
YAR071W	1.959	0.00896	2.369	0.00223	4.505	1.40E-05
YCR097W	10.53	0.00494	6.418	0.0364	9.095	0.00192
YKL209C	20.0	0.00443	22.29	0.00322	18.58	3.28E-05
YNL145W	9.411	0.00336	7.992	0.00394	9.132	6.87E-06
YIL015W	28.0	0.0022	22.14	0.00171	13.62	1.49E-05
YGL244W	1.546	0.000913	2.09	0.00151	1.547	0.0107
YDR461W	10.07	0.000397	9.539	0.0172	11.4	9.65E-07

**Table 2: Overlapping down-regulated gene list with fold change and p-value among the double mutant and single mutants.**

Systematic Name	<i>ypr013CΔ</i>		<i>ypro015CΔ</i>		<i>ypr013CΔ/ypro015CΔ</i>	
	p value	Fold change	p value	Fold change	p value	Fold change
YJR004C	0.0551	0.0323	0.0542	0.0212	0.0604	1.57E-05
YAR075W	0.46	0.0115	0.462	0.00555	0.616	0.000742
YOR237W	0.453	0.00987	0.368	0.00719	0.491	3.57E-05
YGL089C	0.016	0.00357	0.0126	0.000153	0.0206	6.70E-05
YCR040W	0.115	0.00357	0.112	0.0407	0.144	2.60E-08
YLR303W	0.012	0.00281	0.0113	0.000898	0.0147	9.53E-05
YCR097W-A	0.248	0.00193	0.22	0.0484	0.272	1.42E-06
YLR040C	0.119	0.00173	0.0957	0.00222	0.144	1.67E-05
YKLI78C	0.0237	0.000644	0.0228	0.0107	0.0228	3.77E-09
YPLI87W	0.01	0.000439	0.01	0.00029	0.0104	2.80E-12

All reactions were performed in triplicate using a BioRad iCycler. Each reaction contained 12.5 ml SYBR green Supermix (BioRad, 100 mM KCl, 40 mM Tris HCl, pH 8.4, 0.4 mM of each dNTP, 0.5 U iTaq DNA polymerase, 6 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 20 nM fluorescein), 0.5 ml forward and reverse primer (each at 5 mM), 1 ml cDNA, and H<sub>2</sub>O to a final volume of 25 ml. Reaction conditions were 1 cycle of 95°C for 1.5 min and 40 cycles of 95°C for 20 s, 60°C for 1 min.

**Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

**Authors' contributions**

JM drafted the manuscript, contributed to the design of the study, and assisted with array analysis. TH analyzed microarray data and contributed to the writing of the manuscript. MS generated the double mutants and isolated total RNA from the mutants. BK conducted the array hybridizations and participated in the part of data analysis. WA and LR participated in the double mutant generation and part of data organization. YD directed this project and revised the manuscript. JYY helped to revise the manuscript. All authors approved the final manuscript.

**Table 3: Distribution of differentially expressed genes among the single mutants and the double mutants according to MIPS functional categories. Some of the genes are assigned to more than one functional category by MIPS.**

Functional Category	Up-regulated			Down-regulated		
	<i>ypr013CΔ</i>	<i>ypro015CΔ</i>	<i>ypr013CΔ/ypro015CΔ</i>	<i>ypr013CΔ</i>	<i>ypro015CΔ</i>	<i>ypr013CΔ/ypro015CΔ</i>
Metabolism	38.1%	19.2%		20.4	24%	21.0%
Transcription	29.0%	35.9%		42.1	6.0%	9.2%
Protein with Binding	18.1%	26.3%		31.4	6.0%	9.2%
Protein Synthesis	3.63%	10.5%		14.1	2%	7.56%
Cell Cycle & DNA process	7.27%	15.7%		12.2	12%	9.24%
Cellular Transport	16.3%	12.2%		11	10%	8.4%
Energy	14.5%	6.14%			2.0%	7.56%
Protein fate	12.7%	8.77%		11.8	6.0%	5.04%
Unclassified	9.09%	13.1%		11.8	40%	36.1%
Environment Interactions	20%	7.01%		11	20%	8.4%
Cell Rescue, Defense, Virulence	9.09%	7.89%		9.05	20%	14.2%

## Acknowledgements

The authors thanks Dr. George M. Santangelo for scientific advice in this study and Mrs. Sai Majji for helping in real-time PCR. This work was supported by the NIH/NCRR INBRE Program grant RR016476.

This article has been published as part of *BMC Genomics* Volume 9 Supplement 1, 2008: The 2007 International Conference on Bioinformatics & Computational Biology (BIOCOMP'07). The full contents of the supplement are available online at <http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2164/9?issue=S1>.

## References

1. Aouida M, et al.: **A genome-wide screen in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* reveals altered transport as a mechanism of resistance to the anticancer drug bleomycin.** *Cancer Res* 2004, **64**:1102-1109.
2. Goffeau A, Barrell BG, Bussey H, Davis RW, Dujon B, Feldmann H, Galibert F, Hoheisel JD, Jacq C, Johnston M, et al.: **Life with 6000 genes.** *Science* 1996, **274**:546-563.
3. Winzeler EA, Shoemaker DD, Astromoff A, Liang H, Anderson K, Andre B, Bangham R, Benito R, Boeke JD, et al.: **Functional characterization of the *S. cerevisiae* genome by gene deletion and parallel analysis.** *Science* 1999, **285**:901-906.
4. Giaever G, Chu AM, Ni L, Connelly C, Riles L, Veronneau S, Dow S, Lucau-Danila A, Anderson K, Andre B, et al.: **Functional profiling of the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* genome.** *Nature* 2002, **418**:387-391.
5. Hoovers JM, Mannens M, John R, Blik J, van Heyningen V, Porteous DJ, Leschot NJ, Westerveld A, Little PF: **High-resolution localization of 69 potential human zinc finger protein genes: a number are clustered.** *Genomics* 1992, **12**:254-2637.
6. Klug, A: **Zinc finger peptides for the regulation of gene expression.** *J Mol Biol* 1999, **293**:215-218.
7. Becker KG, et al.: **Rapid isolation and characterization of 118 novel C2H2-type zinc finger cDNAs expressed in human brain.** *Hum Mol Genet* 1995, **4**:685-691.
8. Berg, JM, Shi Y: **The galvanization of biology: a growing appreciation for the roles of zinc.** *Science* 1996, **271**:1081-1085.
9. Böhm S, Frishman D, Mewes HW: **Variations of the C2H2 zinc finger motif in the yeast genome and the classification of yeast zinc finger proteins.** *Nucleic Acids Res* 1997, **25**:2464-2469.
10. Bussereau F, Lafay JF, Bolotin-Fukuhara M: **Zinc finger transcriptional activators of yeasts.** *FEMS Yeast Res* 2003, **4**:445-458.
11. de Lichtenberg U, Wernersson R, Jensen TS, Nielsen HB, Fausboll A, Schmidt P, Hansen FB, Knudsen S, Brunak S, et al.: **New weakly expressed cell cycle-regulated genes in yeast.** *Yeast* 2005, **22**:1191-1201.
12. Tong AH, Lesage G, Bader GD, Ding H, Xu H, Xin X, Young J, Berriz GF, Brost RL, Chang M, et al.: **Global mapping of the yeast genetic interaction network.** *Science* 2004, **303**(5659):808-13.
13. Aneta Kaniak, Zhixiong Xue, Daniel Macool, Jeong-Ho Kim, Mark Johnston: **Regulatory Network Connecting Two Glucose Signal Transduction Pathways in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*.** *Eukaryot Cell* 2004, **3**(1):221-31.
14. Jeong-Ho Kim, Valérie Brachet, Hisao Moriya, Mark Johnston: **Integration of Transcriptional and Posttranslational Regulation in a Glucose Signal Transduction Pathway in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*.** *Eukaryot Cell* 2006, **5**(1):167-173.
15. Ho Y, Grubler A, Hellbut A, Bader GD, Moore L, Adams S-L, Millar A, Taylor P, Bennett K, Boutilier K, et al.: **Systematic identification of protein complexes in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* by mass spectrometry.** *Nature*. 2002, **415**:180-183.
16. Ptacek J, Dvegan G, Michaud G, Zhu H, Zhu X, Fasolo J, Guo H, Jona G, Breitkreutz A, Sopko R, et al.: **Global analysis of protein phosphorylation in yeast.** 2005, **438**:679-684.
17. Ren B, Robert F, Wyrick JJ, Aparicio O, Jennings EG, Simon I, Zeitlinger J, Schreiber J, Hannett N, Kanin E, et al.: **Genome-wide location and function of DNA binding proteins.** *Science* 2000, **290**:2306-2309.
18. Tong AH, Evangelista M, Parsons AB, Xu H, Bader GD, Page N, Robinson M, Raghibizadeh S, Hogue CW, Bussey H, et al.: **Systematic genetic analysis with ordered arrays of yeast deletion mutants.** *Science* 2001, **294**:2364-2368.
19. Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y: **Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful approach to multiple testing.** *J R Stat Soc Ser B* 1995, **57**:289-300.

Publish with **BioMed Central** and every scientist can read your work free of charge

"BioMed Central will be the most significant development for disseminating the results of biomedical research in our lifetime."

Sir Paul Nurse, Cancer Research UK

Your research papers will be:

- available free of charge to the entire biomedical community
- peer reviewed and published immediately upon acceptance
- cited in PubMed and archived on PubMed Central
- yours — you keep the copyright

Submit your manuscript here:  
[http://www.biomedcentral.com/info/publishing\\_adv.asp](http://www.biomedcentral.com/info/publishing_adv.asp)

