## The University of Southern Mississippi **The Aquila Digital Community**

**Faculty Publications** 

9-14-2016

# Condition of Larval Red Snapper (*Lutjanus campechanus*) Relative to Environmental Variability and the Deepwater Horizon Oil Spill

Frank J. Hernandez Jr. University of Southern Mississippi, Frank.Hernandez@usm.edu

J.E. Filbrun University of Southern Mississippi

J. Fang University of Portland

John Timothy Ransom University of Southern Mississippi, john.ransom@usm.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://aquila.usm.edu/fac\_pubs Part of the <u>Marine Biology Commons</u>

#### **Recommended** Citation

Hernandez Jr., F., Filbrun, J., Fang, J., Ransom, J. T. (2016). Condition of Larval Red Snapper (*Lutjanus campechanus*) Relative to Environmental Variability and the Deepwater Horizon Oil Spill. *Environmental Research Letters*, 11. Available at: https://aquila.usm.edu/fac\_pubs/15335

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by The Aquila Digital Community. It has been accepted for inclusion in Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of The Aquila Digital Community. For more information, please contact Joshua.Cromwell@usm.edu.

### **Environmental Research Letters**

#### LETTER

# Condition of larval red snapper (*Lutjanus campechanus*) relative to environmental variability and the Deepwater Horizon oil spill

RECEIVED 16 March 2016

**OPEN ACCESS** 

REVISED 17 August 2016

CrossMark

ACCEPTED FOR PUBLICATION 19 August 2016

PUBLISHED 14 September 2016

Original content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence.

Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI.

CC U

F J Hernandez Jr<sup>1</sup>, J E Filbrun<sup>1,3</sup>, J Fang<sup>2,4</sup> and J T Ransom<sup>1,5</sup>

Division of Coastal Sciences, University of Southern Mississippi, 703 East Beach Road, Ocean Springs, MS 39564, USA

Department of Biology, University of Portland, Swindells Hall 108, MSC 163, 5000 N Willamette Blvd, Portland, OR 97203, USA

Present address: Department of Biology, Southern Arkansas University, 100 E. University, Magnolia, AR 71753, USA.

Present address: School of Public and Environmental Affairs, Indiana University, 1315 E 10th St, Bloomington, IN 47405, USA

Present address: Benzie Conservation District, Beulah, Michigan, USA.

E-mail: frank.hernandez@usm.edu

Keywords: Deepwater Horizon, red snapper, Gulf of Mexico, larval condition

#### Abstract

The Deepwater Horizon oil spill (DWHOS) spatially and temporally overlapped with the spawning of many fish species, including Red Snapper, one of the most economically important reef fish in the Gulf of Mexico. To investigate potential impacts of the DWHOS on larval Red Snapper, data from a long-term ichthyoplankton survey off the coast of Alabama were used to examine: (1) larval abundances among pre-impact (2007–2009), impact (2010), and post-impact (2011, 2013) periods; (2) proxies for larval condition (size-adjusted morphometric relationships and dry weight) among the same periods; and (3) the effects of background environmental variation on larval condition. We found that larval Red Snapper were in poorer body condition during 2010, 2011, and 2013 as compared to the 2007–2009 period, a trend that was strongly (and negatively) related to variation in Mobile Bay freshwater discharge. However, larvae collected during and after 2010 were in relatively poor condition even after accounting for variation in freshwater discharge and other environmental variables. By contrast, no differences in larval abundance were detected during these survey years. Taken together, larval supply did not change relative to the timing of the DWHOS, but larval condition was negatively impacted. Even small changes in condition can affect larval survival, so these trends may have consequences for recruitment of larvae to juvenile and adult life stages.

#### Introduction

The Deepwater Horizon oil spill (DWHOS) released an estimated 700 000 metric tons of oil into the northern Gulf of Mexico (McNutt *et al* 2012) and overlapped temporally and spatially with the spawning period of many fish species (Hernandez *et al* 2010a, 2010b). Most marine fish eggs and larvae are planktonic, therefore these life stages are vulnerable to toxicity related to oil and chemical dispersant exposure, which can often lead to increased mortality (Hjermann *et al* 2007, Fodrie *et al* 2014). For example, larval stages of pelagic fish predators (e.g., tunas, amberjack) exposed in laboratory experiments to polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons at levels observed during the DWHOS developed morphological and cardiac defects (Incardona *et al* 2014). Zebrafish

© 2016 IOP Publishing Ltd

(Danio rerio) larvae hatched from eggs exposed to Macondo oil developed similar defects, as well as a suite of other abnormalities, including deformities in sensory and muscle development and impaired locomotive abilities (de Soysa et al 2012). These findings are similar to those from experiments that followed other historical oil spills (e.g., Torrey Canyon Linden 1975, Argo Merchant Longwell 1978, Ixtoc I Getter et al 1981 and Exxon Valdez Carls et al 2002), which also documented both acute and chronic effects due to oil and/or dispersant exposure. Relatively small changes in natural mortality rates encountered during larval stages can result in orderof-magnitude changes in juvenile recruitment (Houde 1997), therefore additional mortality due to the DWHOS may have a significant impact on yearclass strength.

Red Snapper (Lutjanus campechanus) is one of the most economically important reef fish in the northern Gulf of Mexico, and among the many species with pelagic early life stages at risk during the DWHOS. Mature Red Snapper spawn every 3-5 days over a protracted season ranging from late April through September (Woods 2003). The incubation period for Red Snapper eggs is short (20-27 h), but the pelagic larval duration is approximately 26 days (Szedlmayer and Conti 1999, Lindeman et al 2006). Oil from the DWHOS flowed for 87 days from 20 April to 15 July 2010 (Anderson et al 2014). The size of the surface slick at its peak exceeded 200 000 km<sup>2</sup>, and oil was transported onto the continental shelf where juvenile settlement habitat and natural and artificial reef habitats used by Red Snapper are prevalent (Gallaway et al 2009, Le Hénaff et al 2012). The extensive temporal and spatial overlap of Red Snapper spawning and the DWHOS is cause for concern, because at least some portion of the egg and larval pool was exposed to oil and dispersant.

To investigate potential impacts of the DWHOS on larval Red Snapper, we used samples collected during a long-term ichthyoplankton survey off the coast of Alabama in a region that was frequently exposed to oil during the summer of 2010 (Graham et al 2010, Szedlmayer and Mudrak 2014). First, we compared larval Red Snapper abundances among pre-impact (2007–2009), impact (2010), and post-impact (2011, 2013) periods to examine larval supply. Second, we compared proxies for larval condition (size-adjusted morphometric relationships and dry weight (DW)) among the same periods. Third, we sought to account for the effects of background environmental variation on larval condition. This study is the first to use a highresolution time series from an ichthyoplankton field survey to examine larval fishes before, during, and after the DWHOS. Thus, it fills a critical information gap and complements both laboratory exposure experiments and efforts to quantify damage based on juvenile and adult surveys.

#### Methods

#### Ichthyoplankton surveys

Ichthyoplankton samples were collected once a month (May through November) along a cross-shelf transect off the coast of Alabama (USA) during years prior (2007–2009) and after (2011, 2013) the DWHOS, and twice a month during the DWHOS (2010). Occasionally, months were not sampled due to gear malfunction or inclement weather, and no samples were available for 2012. Red Snapper larvae were collected primarily at two stations (T20 and T35) on the continental shelf during the time series (figure 1). Both stations were impacted by pulses of oil during the DWHOS (Graham *et al* 2010, Carassou *et al* 2014). Field survey methods are described fully in Hernandez



et al (2011) and Carassou et al (2012). Briefly, plankton samples were collected during daylight hours using a Bedford Institute of Oceanography Net Environmental Sampling System (BIONESS; Open Seas Instrumentation, Inc., Musquodoboit Harbour, Nova Scotia, Canada) with a 0.25 m<sup>2</sup> mouth opening fitted with 0.333 mm (for depth-discrete and oblique samples) and 0.202 mm (for additional oblique samples) mesh nets. Replicate neuston net samples (0.5  $\times$  1 m opening, 0.505 mm mesh) were also collected. Maximum sampling depths at stations T20 and T35 were 18 m and 33 m, respectively. Plankton samples were fixed at sea in buffered formalin, then transferred to ethanol within 48 h. Samples were sorted and fish larvae were identified by taxonomists at the Plankton Sorting and Identification Center (Szczecin, Poland) and at the University of Southern Mississippi (Ocean Springs, MS).

#### Abundance and condition measurements

Larval Red Snapper areal abundance (larvae/10 m<sup>2</sup>) was compared among years (2007-2011) using an independent-sample Kruskal-Wallis test. Abundance estimates were based on oblique tows only (not available for 2013). All larvae identified from oblique and depth-discrete samples were imaged under a dissecting microscope fitted with a high-resolution digital camera (Canon EOS Rebel T3i, Canon Inc., Tokyo, Japan). In addition to notochord length, 6 linear body dimensions of each larva were measured that have been shown in other fishes to vary according to larval feeding success, and hence are related to body condition (body depth at pectoral fin, DPF; body depth at anus, DA; head length, HL; head height, HH; eye diameter, ED; and lower jaw length, LJL) (Koslow et al 1985, Theilacker 1986, Lochmann and Ludwig 2003, Gisbert et al 2004). Body dimensions were measured using i-Solution Lite imaging software (IMT i-Solution, Inc., Rochester, New York), after which the residual of each dimension was calculated from its linear correlation with notochord length to account for size variation among larvae.

To reduce the dimensionality of our 6 body size residuals, and to account for strong pairwise correlations among body dimensions, non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMS) was used to ordinate the relative body shape of each larva (from six-dimensions) using fewer composite, orthogonal dimensions (i.e., NMS axes). NMS was selected as the best ordination technique for these data because it has the least restrictive assumptions and faithfully represents the structure of data sets in their original dimensionality (McCune et al 2002). The NMS was performed using the Sorensen Bray-Curtis distance measured on the residual+1 body dimensions (this distance measure only works with positive integers, so a nominal value of 1 was added to all residuals). All NMS ordinations were performed in PC-ORD 6 (MjM Software Design,

Gleneden Beach, Oregon, USA) using the 'slow and thorough' setting. Once the NMS axes were derived, each axis was correlated with the original body dimension residuals to explain which dimensions drove variation among larvae in body shape. Because NMS axes are orthogonal, they were interpreted and tested independently using univariate statistics (i.e., independent-sample K–W tests) to compare differences among larval body shape explained by each axis through time and space (Rettig *et al* 2006).

After all Red Snapper larvae were imaged and measured, each larva was individually added to a preweighed tin capsule, dried at 60 °C for 24 h, and weighed to the nearest microgram ( $\mu$ g) using a Mettler Toledo XP26 microbalance (Mettler Toledo LLC, Columbus, Ohio, USA). Larval loge-transformed DWs were linearly correlated with loge-transformed notochord lengths, after which DW residuals were calculated to provide an additional, independent proxy of larval feeding success and body condition. Hereafter, this index will be referred to as the DW condition score. Larvae with negative DW condition scores were lighter than average for their given length, whereas those with positive scores were heavier than average and thus in better body condition. DW condition scores were compared through time and space using independent sample K-W tests. The DW condition scores were also correlated with each NMS axis from the body dimension ordination to determine whether each axis was informative of larval body condition.



#### **Environmental analyses**

Observations for 7 oceanographic and climatic variables were collated from online sources (table 1; figure 1) to examine environmental effects on larval body condition. Climatic data (El Nino-Southern Oscillation Index; North Atlantic Oscillation Index) were available at monthly intervals, and water temperature and local wind data were available at hourly intervals. Total freshwater discharge from Mobile Bay was estimated by summing daily river discharge data collected at two gauging stations at the head of Mobile Bay (Dzwonkowski *et al* 2011).

The environmental ordination was performed on a subset of the data that only included conditions experienced by the Red Snapper larvae used in the condition analyses. Based on a cursory examination of daily rings in otoliths of Red Snapper 3.9-6.3 mm long (n = 17), larvae of this size range were 7–14 days old (median = 10 days). Thus, environmental conditions were averaged for the one-week period prior to collection (i.e., during the first or second week of life). To estimate environmental conditions experienced by larvae during this time, water temperature and wind variables (speed, directionality) were averaged over the week prior to each sampling event. Additional lag time was added to water discharge measurements to account for the one-week delay between water moving from the gauge stations upstream of Mobile Bay to the sampling locations on the continental shelf. Monthly averages were used for the NAO and SOI climatic



Figure 1. Locations of ichthyoplankton sampling stations (T20, T35) and environmental data platforms (DPIA1, 42007, 42012) listed in table 1 off the coast of Alabama in the northern Gulf of Mexico. Contour lines are at 20 m intervals.



No. of larvae

available for con-

Table 1. Environmental variables used in NMS analyses, along with their respective units, data sources and observation station names.

Variable	Unit	Source	Observation station(s)
El Nino-Southern Oscillation Index (SOI)	_	NOAA (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administra- tion) (2015a)	_
North Atlantic Oscillation Index (NAO)	—	NOAA (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administra- tion) (2015a)	—
Wind speed	${\rm m~s^{-1}}$	NOAA (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administra- tion) (2015b)	DPIA1
u-wind component	${\rm m~s}^{-1}$	NOAA (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administra- tion) (2015b)	DPIA1
v-wind component	${\rm m~s^{-1}}$	NOAA (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administra- tion) (2015b)	DPIA1
Water temperature	°C	NOAA (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administra- tion) (2015b)	DPIA1; 42007; 42012
Mobile Bay discharge	$m^3 s^{-1}$	USGS (United States Geological Survey) (2015a) USGS (United States Geological Survey) (2015b)	Claiborne Lock & Dam Coffeeville Lock & Dam

indices, because these represent long-term climatic fluctuations. The NMS was performed on the  $\log_e(x + 5)$ -transformed variables using the same settings as those for the body dimensions ordination. Each resulting NMS axis was correlated with the  $\log_e(x + 5)$ -transformed environmental variables. To identify relationships between environmental variation and larval condition, the environmental NMS axis scores were correlated with the DW condition scores and morphometric NMS axes.

Table 2. Station, year, annual mean (larvae/10 m<sup>2</sup>, with standard error, SE) and month of collection for Red Snapper larvae used in the condition analyses. Annual means were estimated from oblique tows (not available for 2013). Larvae used in condition analyses were selected from oblique and depth-discrete tows (see methods for sampling details). Station locations are depicted in figure 1.

Annual

#### Results

Red Snapper larvae were identified in 169 plankton samples collected from July 2007 through June 2013. Larval abundance was highly variable but did not differ statistically among years (P = 0.82, independentsamples K-W test; table 2). In total, 380 larvae were imaged for condition analyses, of which 343 were intact to allow for measurements of all 6 body dimensions (some larvae had damaged or missing eyes). The median notochord length of the intact larvae was 3.9 mm, and larvae ranged from 1.6 to 13.9 mm. To ensure the larvae were Red Snapper and not another lutjanid, larvae <3.7 mm (n = 148) were excluded from all analyses (Drass et al 2000). To account for the potential confounding effects of allometric growth during early life on our condition measurements, larvae >8 mm (n = 9) were also excluded. One additional larva was removed from the analysis because its recorded weight was an extreme outlier relative to its notochord length. The remaining 185 larvae were used to estimate condition using body dimensions and DW (table 2).

The NMS ordination performed on residuals of the 6 linear body dimensions reduced the morphometric data set to two dimensions that explained 98% of the variance in the original data set with extremely low stress (stress = 7.5) (McCune *et al* 2002). Axis 1 explained 93% of the variation in larval body shape, whereas Axis 2 explained an additional 5%. To test

Station	Year	mean (SE)	Month	dition analyses
T20	2007	0.92 (0.51)	July	6
			August	4
	2008	0	October	2
	2009	0.11 (0.11)	June	3
			October	1
	2010	1.17 (0.46)	June	20
			July	2
			August	1
			September	4
	2011	0.83 (0.62)	August	1
			October	1
	2013	_	June	13
T35	2007	0.72 (0.72)	July	3
			August	2
	2008	4.37 (2.19)	October	53
	2009	2.86(1.20)	June	17
			September	2
			October	5
	2010	1.20(0.43)	June	8
2011 2013		July	11	
			August	4
			September	11
			October	2
	2011	3.57 (1.56)	June	1
			September	2
			October	1
	2013	_	June	5

whether each axis was informative of body condition or other variation in measurements, axis scores were correlated with DW condition scores of larvae. Axis 1 scores were strongly positively correlated with DW condition scores, but Axis 2 scores were not (figure 2). Thus, Axis 1 captured larval body condition, but Axis 2 did not. Axis 1 explained variation in body depth





**Figure 2.** Relationships between morphometric NMS axis scores and DW condition scores of larvae. Note that Axis 1 scores, but not Axis 2 scores, were positively correlated with dry weight residuals.

**Table 3.** Correlations between NMS axes and the 6 body size residuals in the original data set. Significant correlations are denoted with asterisks (P < 0.05, after Bonferroni correction to account for multiple comparisons).

	Axis 1		Axis 2	
Larval body measurement	r	Р	r	Р
Depth at pectoral fin	0.94	$< 0.001^{*}$	-0.12	0.11
Depth at anus	0.90	$< 0.001^{*}$	-0.24	$< 0.001^{*}$
Head length	0.69	$< 0.001^{*}$	0.64	$< 0.001^{*}$
Head height	0.94	$< 0.001^{*}$	0.02	0.77
Eye diameter	0.70	$<\!0.001^{*}$	-0.17	0.02
Lower jaw length	0.71	$< 0.001^{*}$	0.16	0.03

among larvae, whereas Axis 2 captured relatively minor variation (5%) in the shape of larvae that was not related to condition (table 3).

To examine differences in larval body condition through time and space, NMS Axis 1 scores from the morphometric analysis and DW condition scores were compared using independent-sample Kruskal–Wallis tests with pairwise comparisons by period (before, during, and after the DWHOS), year, month, and station (figure 3). Larvae collected during and after the DWHOS were in poorer body condition (i.e., were skinnier and weighed less at length) as compared to those collected before the spill (P < 0.001). This trend was driven by especially poor larval body condition in 2010 and 2013, but not in 2011 when few larvae were collected (n = 6). Averaged across years, larval body condition improved as the summer progressed by month (P < 0.001), and larvae collected at the more offshore Station T35 were in better body condition than those collected at the more nearshore Station T20 (P < 0.001).

The NMS performed on the environmental variables experienced by Red Snapper larvae reduced the data set to two dimensions that explained 95% of the variance in the original data set with extremely low stress (stress = 9.0) (McCune *et al* 2002). NMS Axis 1 captured 80% of variation in the data set and was driven by differences in Mobile Bay discharge, the u-wind component, and wind speed (table 4). NMS Axis 2 captured an additional 15% of variation and was also correlated with the u-wind component. Red Snapper larvae DW body condition scores were correlated with both NMS axes from the environmental data set, such that larval condition was poorer during high discharge and low-speed wind events (figure 4). Although variation in east-west wind directionality



**Figure 3.** Comparisons of DW condition scores (left) and morphometric NMS Axis 1 scores (right) for larvae grouped by period, year, month, and station. Boxes represent the interquartile ranges with medians, whiskers represent 10th and 90th percentiles, and circles represent larvae with more extreme values. Different letters represent significant pairwise difference between groups after independent sample Kruskal–Wallis tests.

(u-wind component) drove both NMS axes, the axis scores were correlated with larval body condition in opposing directions, suggesting the impacts of this variable on larvae are yet unresolved. Given NMS Axis 1 explained 80% of environmental variation and was most strongly correlated with discharge from Mobile Bay, this variable clearly impacts larval condition at the coastal sampling stations in this study.

Letters

To examine variation in Red Snapper larval body condition not explained by the environmental conditions examined in this study, residuals from the correlations between DW condition scores and environmental NMS axes were compared among

**Table 4.** Correlations between NMS axes and 7 environmental variables in the original data set for the ordination based on weekly environmental patterns experienced by Red Snapper larvae. Significant correlations are denoted with asterisks (P < 0.05, after Bonferroni correction to account for multiple comparisons).

	Axis 1		Axis 2	
Environmental variable	r	Р	r	Р
SOI	-0.48	0.02	0.42	0.05
NAO	-0.48	0.02	-0.49	0.02
Wind speed	-0.62	$0.002^{*}$	-0.45	0.03
u-wind component	0.65	$< 0.001^{*}$	0.68	$< 0.001^{*}$
v-wind component	0.50	0.02	-0.53	0.01
Water temperature	0.26	0.23	-0.39	0.06
Mobile Bay discharge	0.81	$< 0.001^{*}$	-0.22	0.31

years. Condition residuals from the correlation with Axis 1, which again explained 80% of environmental variation, revealed a trend of relatively better larval condition during 2007–2009 as compared to poorer condition during 2010, 2011, and 2013 (figure 4). This trend was not observed for residuals from the correlation with Axis 2, which captured only 15% of environmental variation. Thus, Red Snapper larvae were in relatively poorer body condition during and after 2010, even after accounting for physical environmental conditions experienced by each larva.

#### Discussion

Larval Red Snapper were in poorer body condition during 2010, 2011, and 2013 as compared to the 2007-2009 period. This trend was strongly related to variation in discharge from Mobile Bay, such that high discharge periods generally coincided with poorer larval body condition. However, larvae collected during and after 2010 were in relatively poor condition even after accounting for variation in several physical variables, including wind speed and directionality, water temperature, and freshwater discharge. This study does not provide evidence to directly attribute this pattern to release of oil and dispersants during the DWHOS, although the findings do suggest that some combination of conditions related to this event negatively impacted larval Red Snapper condition. By contrast, no differences in larval abundance were detected during these survey years. Taken together, larval supply did not change relative to the timing of the DWHOS, but larval condition was negatively impacted. Even small changes in larval condition can dramatically alter lifetime growth and fitness, so this trend may have important consequences for recruitment of larvae to juvenile and adult life stages.

The negative impact of freshwater discharge from Mobile Bay on larval body condition was further supported by patterns we observed through time and space. Within years, poor body condition in the early months of the Red Snapper spawning season



coincided with peak discharge events from Mobile Bay. High discharge years were also matched by relatively poor larval body condition. In space, larvae collected at the sampling station closer to Mobile Bay were in poorer condition as compared to those collected further offshore. Although freshwater discharge is related to relatively poor larval body condition, the mechanisms driving this pattern are yet unclear. Increased river discharge onto the continental shelf likely coincides with decreased water temperature and salinity. Larval fish growth has been shown to vary positively with water temperature (Houde 1989), but a clear effect of temperature on larval quality was not observed in this study. However, condition of larvae collected in late summer was better as compared to those collected in early summer, which may be indicative of higher growth. Changes in salinity have been shown to affect growth rates (Lankford and Targett 1994, Peterson et al 1999) and growth efficiency (Wuenschel et al 2004) in juvenile fish, but primarily for estuarine species that encounter variable salinity conditions. Red Snapper is a temperate reef fish species that spends its entire life in offshore waters. Little is known about in situ salinity tolerance of Red Snapper larvae (Jones 2013), although the mean salinity observed for Red Snapper larvae collected during NOAA Southeast Area Monitoring and Assessment Program (SEAMAP) surveys in the northern Gulf of (Lyczkowski-Shultz 34 ppt Mexico is and Hanisko 2007). Salinity was not explicitly addressed in this study, but it is possible that reduced salinity associated with relatively high discharge may have resulted in sub-optimal physiological habitat for Red Snapper larvae at the sampling site nearest the coast.

The abundance of Red Snapper larvae in this study region was not impacted by the DWHOS. Larval densities were not different across our time series and were about equal or higher in 2010 and 2011 as compared to years prior to the spill. A similar pattern was observed in larval Red Snapper frequency of occurrence from the SEAMAP, a large-scale fisheries-independent survey (Rester et al 2000). Model fits of the SEAMAP larval index for the eastern Gulf of Mexico used in the Red Snapper stock assessment also indicated no decrease in abundance during and after 2010 (Cass-Calay et al 2015). The survey used in this study (monthly sampling across the Alabama continental shelf) and the SEAMAP survey (annual sampling across the northern Gulf of Mexico) are the only longterm time series data for ichthyoplankton in the northern Gulf of Mexico region (Love et al 2015). That similar findings have been observed from these surveys which vary in spatial and temporal scale is compelling, and suggests that any impact on larval Red Snapper abundance in the eastern Gulf of Mexico was minimal.

Pelagic fish eggs and larvae are potentially the most vulnerable life stages to the toxic effects of oil and chemical dispersant (Anderson *et al* 2014). Thus, the



pattern of relatively abundant Red Snapper larvae during and after 2010 may be interpreted as counterintuitive. One explanation is that the DWHOS had no impact on larval survivorship, provided that egg supply, hatching success, and larval predation rates and feeding rates were constant through time. More realistically, changes in each or all of these factors (and their interactions) during the DWHOS may have altered larval abundances aside from simple, direct exposure to oil and dispersants. First, a large-scale fishery closure was imposed during the spill and its aftermath, which at its peak excluded over 36% of the Gulf of Mexico Exclusive Economic Zone (>229 000 km<sup>2</sup>) from fisheries harvest (NOAA (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration) 2015c). The fishery closure potentially explains observed fish population increases during and after the spill for several species in the northern Gulf of Mexico (Fodrie and Heck 2011). Likewise, this unprecedented release of fishing pressure may have led to increased spawning output by adult Red Snapper which would have otherwise been removed from the population by the recreational and commercial fishery. Second, it is possible that mobile adult fish like Red Snapper moved away from the most heavily impacted areas during the DWHOS towards less impacted areas. Our sampling stations were clearly impacted during 2010 (Graham et al 2010, Carassou et al 2014), but less so than other regions closer to the wellhead blowout (Le Hénaff

et al 2012). Although Red Snapper exhibit relatively high site fidelity, this species is capable of large movements (Strelcheck et al 2007), and thus it is reasonable to assume that spawning-capable adults would be able to leave areas with highly degraded environmental conditions. For example, larval abundance and distribution data suggest that large pelagics (e.g., blue marlin, mahi mahi) shifted their spawning distribution away from areas impacted by the DWHOS, possibly in direct response to the oil spill, or in response to prey species leaving the impacted area (Rooker et al 2013). An analogy to this scenario is the documented avoidance of large swaths of coastal areas impacted by seasonal hypoxia off the coast of Louisiana (Craig et al 2001). Data on adult movements of Red Snapper during the DWHOS are lacking, so it is unknown whether an influx of spawning adults to peripheral reaches of the oil spill impacts may have contributed to increased larval abundances in our region in 2010.

Letters

Other hypotheses that may explain our observed variability in larval condition include bottom-up and top-down trophic interactions in the planktonic food web. In general, increased nutrient concentrations associated with riverine discharge often stimulates primary production, and the subsequent enrichment of secondary productivity theoretically provides increased zooplankton prey for larval fishes (Denman and Powell 1984). Several studies have examined the supposed benefits of the 'short-food-chain' hypothesis, particularly in relation to larval fish assemblages associated with larger river plumes (e.g., Mississippi River, Amazon River), but evidence for growth and condition advantages for larval fish in these regions has been equivocal (Grimes and Kingsford 1996). In this study, however, Red Snapper larvae were in better condition in waters farther offshore and during periods of relatively low discharge. A previous study from our sampling region found significant (albeit weak) short-term changes in mesozooplankton assemblage structure during the DWHOS (in May and June), but relatively higher densities of many zooplankton groups (e.g., calanoid and cyclopoid copepods) during 2010 compared to years prior (Carassou et al 2014). Therefore, although we have not completed gut content analyses on our Red Snapper larvae, we do not anticipate a limitation in available prey. Alternately, a top-down explanation for our observed differences in larval Red Snapper condition may be related to temporal, spatial, and environment-related variability in planktonic predators. Selective removal of poor-condition larvae by predators in our offshore (T35), early season (May), or low discharge years would result in inflated mean condition values (Hauff 2012). In general, certain piscivorous larval types (e.g., scombrids, sphyraenids, coryphaenids) are more common in offshore waters (Lyczkowski-Shultz et al 2013), but we have yet to describe the predator assemblages from our collections. Our ongoing research into variability associated with larval Red Snapper condition will include characterizations of predator and prey fields to address these alternate hypotheses.

Baseline data and plankton collections prior to the oil spill are generally lacking (Love et al 2015), therefore our collections offered a unique opportunity to examine before, during, and after impact conditions related to the DWHOS. Because the historic survey samples were initially preserved in formalin, our choice of methods for examining condition were relatively limited, and we acknowledge that morphometric analyses of preserved larvae may be plagued by issues such as shrinkage (Theilacker 1980). Formalin preservation precluded the use of more sophisticated measures of larval condition and growth (e.g., DNA/ RNA ratios, otolith increment analysis). To minimize the effects of shrinkage and allometric growth in our analyses, we limited our selection of Red Snapper to a relatively narrow size range and used a relative (lengthstandardized DW) measure of condition. These two proxies correlated well, and we are therefore confident our observations are measuring real differences in condition.

An additional concern of sampling highly variable plankton in the field is that the resulting collections of organisms are uneven in time and space, potentially confounding broad-scale differences in target species. For example, over or under-sampling larvae with different body condition at particular months, years, or



stations could be misinterpreted as more general differences at broader scales. To address this issue, the body condition analyses (by morphometrics and DW) were completed using a resampled subset of the data to examine the robustness of our results to variation in sampling by month, year, and station. The reduced data set was generated by excluding all larvae collected in September and October (to specifically address the high number of larvae collected in October 2008 at Station T35). The reduced data set removed 84 larvae in total from different months, years, and stations. The body condition results for the reduced data set were similar to results for the full data set, suggesting the observed patterns in condition were robust to uneven sampling in time and space. Thus, although we cannot fully eliminate the issue of uneven sampling, we feel confident that our results are generally robust to this phenomenon.

The DWHOS was a major environmental perturbation in the northern Gulf of Mexico during 2010, yet direct long-term negative effects on larval fishes are unlikely, and the zooplankton community (larval fish prey) in general appeared resilient to the oil spill impacts (Carassou et al 2014). In regards to adult Red Snapper, changes in trophic ecology (Tarnecki and Patterson 2015) and exposure to polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons likely derived from the DWHOS (Murawski et al 2014) have been reported, so it is possible these and other possible stressors manifested in poorer condition larvae through maternal effects (Green 2008). Evidence of a DWHOS impact on the recruitment of Red Snapper juveniles to natural and artificial reefs in the northcentral Gulf of Mexico has been mixed. Szedlmayer and Mudrak (2014) used diver observations in 2010 and 2011 to examine age-0 and age-1 abundances of Red Snapper on artificial reefs off the coast of Alabama, and reported recruitment rates similar to those observed during the previous 10 years. However, a recent Red Snapper stock assessment found evidence of relatively poor recruitment in the eastern Gulf of Mexico (including Alabama waters) based on trawl surveys conducted in 2010 and 2011 (Cass-Calay et al 2015). The discrepancy between these observations may be based on differences in data collection methods (visual observations versus bottom trawls) and spatial scales (small structure habitats versus larger swaths of trawlable bottom). Ultimately, it is possible that any increase in larval mortality that resulted from the relatively poor condition we observed in our study may have been masked by compensatory processes during later life stages. Red Snapper are long-lived, highly fecund reef fish, a life history strategy that relies on spreading reproductive output over many years to offset occasional poor recruitment events (Rose et al 2001). Further monitoring of these post-DWHOS cohorts will be needed to determine if these were average or weak year-classes, and whether recruitment was impacted by the DWHOS.

In conclusion, condition of Red Snapper larvae collected during 2007-2013 was negatively related to Mobile Bay discharge and positively related to distance from shore and later months during the spring-summer spawning season. Although river discharge and other environmental factors seem to heavily influence condition, larvae were still in relatively poorer condition during 2010, 2011, and 2013 as compared to the 2007-2009 period. The mechanisms driving these trends are yet unresolved, although the timing of the shift in larval condition implicates the negative impacts of some suite of factors that coincided with the DWHOS event. Moving forward, our observations naturally lead to a series of testable hypotheses that can be addressed using our current plankton collections (e.g., characterization of prey and predator assemblages), as well as the SEAMAP plankton collections (e.g., large-scale spatial and temporal analyses) to address variability in larval Red Snapper condition.

#### Acknowledgments

Ichthyoplankton surveys were supported by the Fisheries Oceanography of Coastal Alabama program at the Dauphin Island Sea Laboratory (DISL) during 2007-2011, and by the Gulf of Mexico Research Initiative (GoMRI) during 2013. We would like to thank field and laboratory personnel from the USM Fisheries Oceanography and Ecology lab, especially S Muffelman, J Herrmann, and C Culpepper; the captains of the R/V EO Wilson (R Collier, T Guoba, C Lollar, and R Wilson); the DISL technical support team (M Dardeau, A Gunter, and K Weiss); and L Hu (DISL) for database management support. We are grateful to M Konieczna and the scientific staff at the Plankton Sorting and Identification Center for larval fish identifications. Comments from R Leaf (USM) greatly improved earlier versions of this paper. We thank the NOAA Northern Gulf Institute for supporting undergraduate co-author J Fang through its Diversity Internship Program. This research was made possible by a grant from The Gulf of Mexico Research Initiative. Data used in this study are available through the Gulf of Mexico Research Initiative Information and Data Cooperative (GRIIDC), Harte Research Institute, Texas A&M University-Corpus Christi (doi: 10.7266/N7J964CB).

#### References

- Anderson S S et al 2014 Understanding and properly Interpreting the 2010 Deepwater Horizon blowout Oil Spill Remediation: Colloid Chemistry-Based Principles and Solutions ed P Somasundarian et al (Hoboken, NJ: Wiley) pp 19–57
- Carassou L, Hernandez F J and Graham W M 2014 Change and recovery of coastal mesozooplankton community structure during the Deepwater Horizon oil spill *Environ. Res. Lett.* 9 124003
- Carassou L, Hernandez F J, Powers S P and Graham W M 2012 Cross-shore, seasonal, and depth-related structure of



ichthyoplankton assemblages in coastal Alabama *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.* **141** 1137–50

- Carls M G, Marty G D and Hose J E 2002 Synthesis of the toxicological impacts of the Exxon Valdez oil spill on Pacific herring (*Clupea pallasi*) in Prince William Sound, Alaska, USA *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* **59** 153–72
- Cass-Calay S L, Porch C E, Goethel D R, Smith M W, Matter V and McCarthy K J 2015 Stock Assessment of Red Snapper in the Gulf of Mexico, 1872–2013, with Provisional 2014 Landings SEDAR Update Assessment
- Craig J K, Crowder L B, Gray C D, McDaniel C J, Kenwood T A and Hanifen J G 2001 Ecological effects of hypoxia on fish, sea turtles, and marine mammals in the northwestern Gulf of Mexico *Coastal Hypoxia: Consequences for Living Resources and Ecosystems* ed N N Rabalais and R E Turner (Washington, DC: American Geophysical Union) pp 269–91
- de Soysa T Y *et al* 2012 Macondo crude oil from the Deepwater Horizon oil spill disrupts specific developmental processes during zebrafish embryogenesis *BMC Biol.* **10** 40
- Denman K L and Powell T M 1984 Effects of physical processes on planktonic ecosystems in the coastal ocean *Oceanogr. Mar. Biol. Ann. Rev.* **22** 125–68
- Drass D M, Bootes K L, Lyczkowski-Shultz J, Comyns B H, Holt G J, Riley C M and Phelps R P 2000 Larval development of red snapper, *Lutjanus campechanus*, and comparisons with cooccurring snapper species *Fish. Bull.* **98** 507–27 (http:// fishbull.noaa.gov/983/05.pdf)
- Dzwonkowski B, Park K, Ha H K, Graham W M, Hernandez F J and Powers S P 2011 Hydrographic variability on a coastal shelf directly influenced by estuarine outflow *Cont. Shelf Res.* **31** 939–50
- Fodrie F J, Able K W, Galvez F, Heck K L, Jensen O P, Lopez-Duarte P C, Martin C W, Turner R E and Whitehead A 2014 Integrating organismal and population responses of estuarine fishes in Macondo spill research *Bioscience* 64 778–88
- Fodrie F J and Heck K L Jr 2011 Response of coastal fishes to the Gulf of Mexico oil disaster *PLoS One* 6 e21609
- Gallaway B J, Szedlmayer S T and Gazey W J 2009 A life history review for red snapper in the Gulf of Mexico with an evaluation of the importance of offshore petroleum platforms and other artificial reefs *Rev. Fish. Sci.* **17** 48–67
- Getter C D, Scott G I and Thebau L C 1981 Biological studies *The IXTOC I Oil Spill: the Federal Scientific Response* ed C H Hooper (Boulder, CO: NOAA Hazardous Materials Response Project) pp 119–74
- Gisbert E, Conklin D B and Piedrahita R H 2004 Effects of delayed first feeding on the nutritional condition and mortality of California halibut *J. Fish Biol.* **64** 116–32
- Graham W M, Condon R H, Carmichael R H, D'Ambra I, Patterson H K, Linn L J and Hernandez F J Jr 2010 Oil carbon entered the coastal planktonic food web during the Deepwater Horizon oil spill *Environ. Res. Lett.* **5** 045301
- Green B S 2008 Maternal effects in fish populations *Adv. Mar. Biol.* 54 1–105
- Grimes C B and Kingsford M J 1996 How do riverine plumes of different sizes influence fish larvae: Do they enhance recruitment? *Mar. Freshw. Res.* **47** 191–208
- Hauff M J 2012 An investigation of the nutritional condition of lowlatitude fish larvae: growth, transport, and implications for population connectivity *PhD Dissertation* University of Miami
- Hernandez F J, Carassou L, Muffelman S, Powers S P and Graham W M 2011 Comparison of two plankton net mesh sizes for ichthyoplankton collection in the northern Gulf of Mexico *Fish. Res.* **108** 327–35
- Hernandez F J, Powers S P and Graham W M 2010a Detailed examination of ichthyoplankton seasonality from a highresolution time series in the northern Gulf of Mexico during 2004–2006 *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.* **139** 1511–25
- Hernandez F J, Powers S P and Graham W M 2010b Seasonal variability in ichthyoplankton abundance and assemblage composition in the northern Gulf of Mexico off Alabama



*Fish. Bull.* **108** 193–207 (http://fishbull.noaa.gov/1082/hernandez.pdf)

- Hjermann D Ø, Melsom A, Dingsør G E, Durant J M, Eikeset A M, Røed L P, Ottersen G, Storvik G and Stenseth N C 2007 Fish and oil in the Lofoten–Barents Sea system: synoptic review of the effect of oil spills on fish populations *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* **339** 283–99
- Houde E D 1989 Comparative growth, mortality, and energetics of marine fish larvae: temperature and implied latitudinal effects *Fish. Bull.* **87** 471–95 (http://fishbull.noaa.gov/873/ houde.pdf)
- Houde E D 1997 Patterns and consequences of selective processes in teleost early life histories *Early Life History and Recruitment in Fish Populations* ed R C Chambers and E A Trippel (London: Chapman and Hall) pp 173–95
- Incardona J P *et al* 2014 Deepwater Horizon crude oil impacts the developing hearts of large predatory pelagic fish *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 111 E1510–8
- Jones C M 2013 Growth and mortality of pre and post-settlement age-0 Red Snapper *Lutjanus campechanus* (Poey 1860), in the Gulf of Mexico *PhD Dissertation* University of South Alabama
- Koslow J A, Brault S, Dugas J, Fournier R O and Hughes P 1985 Condition of larval cod (*Gadus morhua*) off southwest Nova Scotia in 1983 in relation to plankton abundance and temperature *Mar. Biol.* **86** 113–21
- Lankford T E J and Targett T E 1994 Suitability of estuarine nursery zones for juvenile weakfish (*Cynoscion regalis*): effects of temperature and salinity on feeding, growth and survival *Mar. Biol.* **119** 611–20
- Le Hénaff M, Kourafalou V H, Paris C B, Helgers J, Aman Z M, Hogan P J and Srinivasan A 2012 Surface evolution of the deepwater horizon oil spill patch: combined effects of circulation and wind-induced drift *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 46 7267–73
- Lindeman K C, Richards W J, Lyczkowski-Shultz J, Drass D M, Paris C B, Leis J M, Lara M and Comyns B H 2006 Lutjanidae: snappers *Early Stages of Atlantic Fishes: An Identification Guide for the Western Central North Atlantic* ed W J Richards vol II (Boca Raton, FL: CRC) pp 1549–86
- Linden O 1975 Acute effects of oil and oil/dispersant mixture on larvae of Baltic herring *Ambio* **4** 130–3
- Lochmann S E and Ludwig G M 2003 Relative triacylglycerol and morphometric measures of condition in sunshine bass fry *North Am. J. Aquac.* **65** 191–202
- Longwell A C 1978 Field and laboratory measurements of stress responses at the chromosome and cell levels in planktonic fish eggs and the oil problem *In the Wake of the Argo Merchant Symp. Proc.* (Kingston, RI: Center for Ocean Management Studies, University of Rhode Island) pp 116–25
- Love M, Baldera A, Robbins C, Spies R B and Allen J R 2015 *Charting* the Gulf: Analyzing the Gaps in Long-Term Monitoring of the Gulf of Mexico (New Orleans, LA: Ocean Conservancy)
- Lyczkowski-Shultz J and Hanisko D S 2007 A time series of observations on red snapper larvae from SEAMAP surveys, 1982–2003: seasonal occurrence, distribution, abundance, and size *American Fisheries Society Symp., No. 60* ed W F Patterson III (Bethesda, MD: American Fisheries Society)
- Lyczkowski-Shultz J, Hanisko D S, Sulak K J, Konieczna M and Bond P J 2013 Characterization of ichthyoplankton in the northeastern Gulf of Mexico from SEAMAP plankton surveys, 1982–1999 *Gulf Caribb. Res.* **25** 43–98
- McCune B, Grace J B and Urban D L 2002 Analysis of Ecological Communities vol 28 (Gleneden Beach, Oregon: MjM Software Design)
- McNutt M K, Chu S, Lubchenco J, Hunter T, Dreyfus G, Murawski S A and Kennedy D M 2012 Applications of science and engineering to quantify and control the Deepwater Horizon oil spill *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 109 20222–8
- Murawski S A, Hogarth W T, Peebles E B and Barbeiri L 2014 Prevalence of external skin lesions and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon concentrations in Gulf of Mexico fishes, post-Deepwater Horizon *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.* **143** 1084–97

- NOAA (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration) 2015a National Weather Service, Climate Prediction Center, NOAA NWS CPC (www.cpc.noaa.gov) (Accessed: July 2015)
- NOAA (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration) 2015b National Data Buoy Center. NOAA NDBC (www.ndbc.noaa. gov) (Accessed: July 2015)

NOAA (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration) 2015c NOAA Fisheries, Southeast Regional Office (http://sero. nmfs.noaa.gov/deepwater\_horizon/closure\_info/index. html) (Accessed: December 2015)

- Peterson M S, Comyns B H, Rakocinski C F and Fulling G L 1999 Does salinity affect somatic growth in early juvenile Atlantic croaker, *Micropogonias undulatus* (L.)? *J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol.* 238 199–207
- Rester J K, Sanders N, Hanisko D S and Pellegrin B 2000 SEAMAP Environmental and Biological Atlas of the Gulf of Mexico, 1998. No 75 (Ocean Springs, MS, USA: Gulf States Marine Fisheries Commission)
- Rettig J E, Shuman L S and McCloskey J K 2006 Seasonal patterns of abundance: Do zooplankton in small ponds do the same thing every spring–summer? *Hydrobiologia* 556 193–207
- Rooker J R, Kitchens L L, Dance M A, Wells R J D, Falterman B and Cornic M 2013 Spatial, temporal, and habitat-related variation in abundance of pelagic fishes in the Gulf of Mexico: potential implications of the Deepwater Horizon oil spill *PLoS One* **8** e76080
- Rose K A, Cowan J H, Winemiller K O, Myers R A and Hilborn R 2001 Compensatory density dependence in fish populations: importance, controversy, understanding and prognosis *Fish Fisheries* 2 293–327
- Strelcheck A J, Cowan J H and Patterson W F 2007 Site fidelity, movement, and growth of red snapper: implications for artificial reef management *American Fisheries Society Symp.* vol 60 (Bethesda, MD: American Fisheries Society)
- Szedlmayer S T and Conti J 1999 Nursery habitats, growth rates, and seasonality of age-0 red snapper, *Lutjanus campechanus*, in the northeast Gulf of Mexico *Fish. Bull.* **97** 626–35 (http:// fishbull.noaa.gov/18szedlm.pdf)
- Szedlmayer S T and Mudrak P A 2014 Influence of age-1 conspecifics, sediment type, dissolved oxygen, and the Deepwater Horizon oil spill on recruitment of age-0 red snapper in the northeast Gulf of Mexico during 2010 and 2011 North Am. J. Fish. Manage. **34** 443–52
- Tarnecki J H and Patterson W F III 2015 Changes in red snapper diet and trophic ecology following the Deepwater Horizon oil spill *Mar. Coast. Fish.* **7** 135–47
- Theilacker G H 1980 Changes in body measurements of larval northern anchovy, *Engraulis mordax*, and other fishes due to handling and preservation *Fish. Bull.* **78** 685–92 (http:// fishbull.noaa.gov/78-3/theilacker.pdf)
- Theilacker G H 1986 Starvation-induced mortality of young seacaught jack mackerel, *Trachurus symmetricus*, determined with histological and morphological methods *Fish. Bull.* **84** 1–17 (http://fishbull.noaa.gov/841/theilacker.pdf)
- USGS (United States Geological Survey) 2015a Alabama River at Clairborne Lock and Dam near Monroeville, Alabama. National Water Information System, USGS 02428400 (http://waterdata.usgs.gov/usa/nwis/uv?site\_ no=02428400) (Accessed: January 2015)
- USGS (United States Geological Survey) 2015b Tombigbee River at Coffeeville Lock and Dam, near Coffeeville, Alabama, National Water Information System, USGS 02469761 (http://waterdata.usgs.gov/usa/nwis/uv?site\_ no=02469761) (Accessed: January 2015)
- Woods M K 2003 Demographic differences in reproductive biology of female red snapper (Lutjanus campechanus) in the northern Gulf of Mexico *MSc Thesis* University of South Alabama
- Wuenschel M J, Jugovich A R and Hare J A 2004 Effect of temperature and salinity on the energetics of juvenile gray snapper (*Lutjanus griseus*): implications for nursery habitat value J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. **312** 333–47