Gulf of Mexico Science

Volume 23 Number 2 *Number* 2

Article 11

2005

Microsatellite Markers for Cobia, Rachycentron canadum

M.A. Renshaw Texas A&M University

C.L. Pruett

Texas A&M University

E. Saillant
Texas A&M University

J.C. Patton
Texas A&M University

C.E. Rexroad III
Texas A&M University

et al.

DOI: 10.18785/goms.2302.11

Follow this and additional works at: https://aquila.usm.edu/goms

Recommended Citation

Renshaw, M., C. Pruett, E. Saillant, J. Patton, C. Rexroad III and J. Gold. 2005. Microsatellite Markers for Cobia, *Rachycentron canadum*. Gulf of Mexico Science 23 (2).

Retrieved from https://aquila.usm.edu/goms/vol23/iss2/11

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by The Aquila Digital Community. It has been accepted for inclusion in Gulf of Mexico Science by an authorized editor of The Aquila Digital Community. For more information, please contact Joshua. Cromwell@usm.edu.

SHORT PAPERS AND NOTES

Gulf of Mexico Science, 2005(2), pp. 248–252 © 2005 by the Marine Environmental Sciences Consortium of Alabama

MICROSATELLITE MARKERS FOR COBIA, RACHYCENTRON CANADUM.—Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) primers are reported for 35 nuclear-encoded microsatellites developed from a genomic library of cobia (Rachycentron canadum). All 35 microsatellites were tested for reproducibility and polymorphism using 24 cobia sampled offshore of Ocean Springs, MS. Thirty-three of the microsatellites were found to be polymorphic; genotypes at seven of these differed significantly from Hardy-Weinberg (HW) expectations, possibly because of the presence of null alleles. Levels of allele and gene diversity (expected heterozygosity) were lower on average than values reported previously for other marine fishes. The 26 microsatellites whose genotypes were in HW equilibrium should provide useful tools for future studies of cobia relating to both stock assessment and aquaculture.

Cobia is a migratory coastal pelagic fish distributed in tropical and subtropical warm waters worldwide except for the eastern Pacific (Shaffer and Nakamura, 1989). The species constitutes an important recreational fishery in the Gulf of Mexico (Brown-Peterson et al., 2001) and is caught incidentally in the commercial fishery (Shaffer and Nakamura, 1989). Interest in cobia aquaculture in the United States has spiked recently because of successes in captive spawning and larval rearing (Dodd, 2001), and it has been suggested (Bridger and Costa-Pierce, 2002) that cobia might be an ideal species for offshore cage culture.

In this note, we report optimized PCR primers for 15 nuclear-encoded microsatellites developed from a cobia genomic library. Briefly, microsatellites are short stretches of nuclear DNA composed primarily of di-, tri-, and tetranucleotide repeats inherited in a codominant (Mendelian) fashion and distributed throughout euchromatic regions of chromosomes (Weber and May, 1989; Weber, 1990; Wright and Bentzen, 1994). Microsatellites also accumulate mutations fairly rapidly (Shug et al., 1998), making them ideal genetic markers for a variety of applications ranging from stock structure analysis of "wild" populations (Gold and Turner, 2002; Zatcoff et al., 2004) to parentage assignment and pedigree reconstruction in domesticated populations (Wilson and Ferguson, 2002; Jones and Arden, 2003). Included in this note are summary data for 20 other microsatellites for cobia developed in our laboratory by Pruett et al. (2005). The summary data for all 35 microsatellites include number and size of alleles detected, observed and expected heterozygosity, and results of tests of conformity to Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium expectations at each microsatellite. The summary data are published here to allow convenient access to all PCR primers and other data.

Details regarding genomic library construction, ligation of size-selected (500-2,000 base pairs) fragments into cloning vectors and transformation into competent Escherichia coli cells can be found in Pruett et al. (2005). A total of 19,200 clones were hybridized with cocktails of oligonucleotide probes, and 164 positive clones were sequenced. A total of 54 clones containing microsatellite motifs were identified: 45 primer pairs were designed from sequences flanking the microsatellites with the programs Amplify 1.2 (Engels, 1993) and Netprimer (http://www.premierbiosoft.com/ netprimer). Optimization of PCR protocols was carried out on DNA from eight individuals. PCR amplifications were performed in 10-µl reaction volumes, consisting of 1 μl (~25 ng) of DNA, 1 µl of 10× reaction buffer (500 mM KCl, 100 mM Tris, 10% Triton-X 100), 0.1 U of Taq DNA polymerase (GibcoBRL), 0.5 μM of each primer, 200 µM of each dNTP, and 1 mM MgCl₂. PCR conditions consisted of an initial denaturation of 94 C for 3 min, followed by 30 cycles of denaturation at 94 C for 30 sec, annealing at optimized temperature (Table 1) for 45 sec, extension at 72 C for 1 min, and a final extension at 72 C for 10 min.

The primer-pair sequences (forward on top, reverse on bottom), microsatellite motifs (repeat sequence), size of cloned alleles, and optimized annealing temperatures (ATs) are given in Table 1. The suite of 35 microsatellites includes 26 di-, one tri-, and four tetranucleotide repeat motifs; four of the microsatellites contain complex repeats (i.e., a combination of different repeat motifs). Genotypes for all 35 microsatellites were acquired from 24 cobia sampled offshore of Ocean Springs, MS. The number of assayed individuals (N), the number of alleles (A_N) , and the range in size of detected alleles for each microsatellite also are given in Table 1. Thirty-three of the microsatellites were found to be polymorphic; the av-

TABLE 1. Summary data for 35 microsatellites developed from a cobia (Rachycentron canadum) genomic library. PCR primer sequences are forward (top) and reverse (bottom). Primers Rca 1B-E08A and Rca 1B-E08B were developed from a single clone. Sequences of clones are listed under GenBank accession numbers AY721664—AY721682 and AY850008—AY850022. Significant deviations from Hardy—Weinberg expected proportions are in bold.

Microsatellite	PCR primer sequences $(5' \rightarrow 3')$	Repeat sequence of cloned allele	Size of cloned allele (base pairs)	AT	N	A_N	Range in allele size (base pairs)	${ m H_O/H_E}$	P _{HW}
Rca 1B-A10 Rca 1B-C06	GCAGCCCAATGCTAACAAGCC	(GTT) ₆	180	60	24	6	169–187	0.417/0.723	0.000
	CATGTAGTCAAGCGAGCCACG CCAGCATATCTCCTCTCAAGA	(GATA) ₂₉	346	50	23	13	340-404	0.870/0.904	0.370
	GGCTTGAACTTAACTACAGCTCCT	(01111)29	310	50		10	010 101	0.070/ 0.501	0.070
Rca 1B-D09	CAGCCTGCTTAGCCTATCA	$(GT)_{9}(CTGT)_{9}(CT)_{9}(GT)_{9}$	167	60	23	1	168	0.000/0.000	1.000
	GAAGGATGGACCACTTGTGAC	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,							
Rca 1B-D10	GCAACTGCCTCCACCAATCA	$(CTAT)_{15}$	191	50	24	17	143-223	1.000/0.943	0.597
	CATGTGCATCGAAAGACAGAGA								
Rca 1B-E02	GTGTTGCAGCCAAATGCTA	$(CT)_{18}$	308	60	24	7	297–313	0.667/0.598	0.503
	CTCCCTAGTGCCACTACAGCTC								
Rca 1B-E06	GGATCAGTGTGTTGCAGCCA	$(TC)_{18}$	314	45	24	8	305-327	0.625/0.695	0.000
	CCCTAGTGCCACTACAGCTCCCT								
Rca 1B-E08A	CATATCAAGTCAATATCACAGACC	$(CA)_3GA(CA)_5A(CA)_{16}$	227	55	24	5	181–225	0.458/0.582	0.028
	CCACGGAATAGCAGACTTTCTC								
Rca 1B-E08B	GCAGTTGATTCTGATTGCTACAC	$(CA)_8GA(CA)_3$	122	60	24	2	121–123	0.458/0.510	0.692
	CTAATGCCAGCTCATTATGTCC	(557.177)	0.00				000 000	0 = 10 10 = 00	
Rca 1B-F06 Rca 1B-F07	CAAGCAAATGCGTGGCCGA	$(CTAT)_{15}$	268	55	24	11	260–300	0.542/0.796	0.000
	CGTTAGCAACCACACGAGCTTG	(CACA) (CA)	1.40		0.4		101 100	0.009 /0.000	1.000
	GGAATCTGGTGGTGAGTCAT	$(GACA)_6(CA)_{12}$	140	55	24	3	131–139	0.083/0.082	1.000
Rca 1B-G10	CTGTGGCTGAAGCGTGTGTT GGAAACTCTATAACAGCATGTC	$(CT)_5TT(CT)_4$	154	55	23	2	153–155	0.043/0.043	1.000
Rca 1B-G10	GTAGACAGAGCAACACATGAG	(CI) ₅ II (CI) ₄	154	99	23	Z	155–155	0.043/0.043	1.000
Rca 1-H09	CATGTTATTCTCCAACTCATGG	(GATA) ₃₁	220	48	23	12	176–224	0.957/0.910	0.343
	GTGTATCCGCATACTTTCAG	$(GAIII)_{31}$	220	10	43	14	170-224	0.337/ 0.310	0.515
Rca 1-A04	CACGCACATGCACTACTTTAACC	$(CA)_{9}(CACT)_{4}$	202	60	24	6	196–206	0.625/0.722	0.107
	GCTGTTGATGTGGCGAAGCAAC	(3.2/9(3.20.2)4	202	00		Ŭ	150 200	0.023/ 0.122	0.107
Rca 1-A08	GGATCATAAGGGATTGTGCTA	(GT) ₁₂ GCAT(GT) ₅	289	48	24	8	287-321	0.208/0.575	0.000
	CCTCGAGCCATATCATCAT	(1.713 - 1.75	7			-		,	
Rca 1-A11	CTACAGTGGTGTTCCCTGTTAG	(GT) ₉₄	187	55	24	15	167-201	0.792/0.889	0.265
	CAGTACATAGAGAAACAGGAGG	· / 23			•			,	
Rca 1-B12	GCTTCAGGCAAGTGAGACC	$(AC)_9$	181	55	24	9	176-196	0.500/0.808	0.000
	GGGAGGTAATTATGTCCTGT							•	
Rca 1-C04	GACATCAAGTGGCACTTTG	$(GT)_{17}$	219	48	24	10	223-253	0.667/0.641	0.185
	CACTAAACTTGTTCCTCCTG								

Microsatellite	PCR primer sequences $(5' \rightarrow 3')$	Repeat sequence of cloned allele	Size of cloned allele (base pairs)	АТ	N	A_N	Range in allele size (base pairs)	H_{O}/H_{E}	P _{HW}
Rca 1-D04	GCTGAACTTGTCGCCGCT	$(TG)_9AC(TG)_5$	127	60	24	3	125–129	0.667/0.551	0.733
	GGACTGAACCTCCCTATCCTC								
Rca 1-D07	CCATGGCTACAATCTGGTTCATC	$(GT)_9TTT(GT)_3$	157	60	23	4	154–162	0.130/0.128	1.000
	CGAATGCTGTGGAGAACAGG								
Rca 1-D08	GCTTGACTCCAGCTCAAAC	$(CA)_{10}$	172	55	23	4	172–178	0.261/0.274	0.074
	CACAAGGACGAGCCTCCA								
Rca 1-D11	CGTAACACCTTTGGAAGACATC	$(GT)_8$	208	55	24	4	204–212	0.333/0.295	1.000
	CTCCATTGAGGCTGACTAGTG								
Rca 1-E04	CCAAGAACAGGCGGGCAAC	$(CA)_88bp(CA)_5$	220	55	23	4	215–237	0.391/0.336	1.000
	GCCACCATTGTGTGTGGGTGA								
Rca 1-E05	GCAGTCGAGACGTGACTGAACGA	$(CA)_{20}(CGCA)_4(CA)_7(CGCA)_4$	248	55	24	8	241–259	0.542/0.768	0.000
7 7700	CGTGGAGCTGCTCTGCAGGA	(5.1)				_			
Rca 1-E06	GGCACCAATCACTCACTACTG	(CA) ₃₉	180	48	24	9	144–186	0.458/0.826	0.000
D 1711	TGTTGAGGTCTATCAGTGCC	(61)	7.50		0.0	_	10W 101	0 200 /0 222	
Rca 1-E11	GTCCCAGCTCCAGCCCAAAC	$(CA)_{12}$	173	55	23	7	167–181	0.783/0.757	0.236
D., 1 F01	GACACTGGCTGCGTGAGCA	(TPC)	100	60	0.4	0	207 205	0.105 (0.100	1.000
Rca 1-F01	GCTCATTTCACTAAGTGTGTTGTAGC	$(\mathrm{TG})_{12}$	198	60	24	2	201–205	0.125/0.120	1.000
Rca 1-F07	CCATGAATCTACATTCACCTGCCA GCATCGGGTTGAGTTGTACT	(CA) ₆ CG(CA) ₃	235	60	23	1	235	0.000/0.000	1.000
Rea 1-F07	CGTTGCCTGTCAATCTGTGCT	$(CA)_6CG(CA)_3$	255	00	43	1	433	0.000/0.000	1.000
Rca 1-F10	CCGTTCTGTACAGACGTGAAC	(CA) ₂ CG(CA) ₁₂ CG(CA) ₄	287	55	23	5	287–297	0.261/0.423	0.004
Rea 1-F 10	GCCTGTTGCTGTTTCCTGTCA	$(CA)_2CG(CA)_{12}CG(CA)_4$	201	33	23	5	201-291	0.201/0.423	0.004
Rca 1-F11	GTTGCCATGGCGACCGAGA	(GA) ₈ AA(GA) ₅	122	55	24	2	119–121	0.000/0.082	0.022
11.00 1-111	GCCCTATGTCTCGTTCCATC	(GA)8AA(GA)5	122	33	27	4	113-121	0.000/ 0.082	0.044
Rca 1-G02	GGGACCATGTGAACTCATGCT	$(GT)_{14}$	238	60	23	2	240–244	0.043/0.043	1,000
100 1 002	CCAGACATGGACTGGTACACCT	(01)14	430	00	20	-	410-411	0.043/ 0.043	1.000
Rca 1-G05	GGGCTGTCTGCTGGCTGTAA	(GT) ₁₇	280	60	24	5	275–283	0.667/0.651	0.185
100 1 000	GCATCTGTGTCCTGGTGAGAGTC	(01)17	200	00	4.1	9	273-203	0.007/ 0.051	0.103
Rca 1-H01	GTCCCAAGGGAATAGCGAAG	(CA) ₃₇	298	48	23	12	275-311	0.826/0.885	0.129
	CCTCCAGACCAGACAGCAGA	(312)3/	250	10	~0		2,3 311	0.020/ 0.003	0.143
Rca 1-H04A	GGGAGCCATGTGGTACAGACT	$(GT)_{18}$	161	60	24	3	156–162	0.667/0.550	0.269
	GGGCTTTACGAAAGATAGCTGA	(~~/18	202			•	100 102	0.007, 0.000	0.400
Rca 1-H08	GAGACCTACATGGCAGAAGGT	(GT) ₃₀	278	60	24	9	273-299	0.708/0.696	0.984
	GACCACTCCTTTGAGGTCTCT	V =750				-			*****
Rca 1-H10	GCACCGCACTGCACAACAC	$(CA)_{16}$	121	60	24	8	119–139	0.583/0.777	0.145
	GCTGTGCATACTCACACTGCT	10	•		*	-		,,	

erage number of alleles per polymorphic microsatellites was 7.1 (range 2–17). Estimates of observed (H_O) and expected (H_E) heterozygosity were computed with the Genetic Data Analysis (GDA) program (Lewis and Zaykin, 2001) and are given in Table 1. For the polymorphic microsatellites, average observed heterozygosity was 0.496 (range 0.000-1.000), whereas the average expected heterozygosity was 0.563 (range 0.043-0.943). The average number of alleles and average expected heterozygosity (also called gene diversity) per microsatellite are lower than averages reported previously by DeWoody and Avise (2000) for several species of marine fishes. Probabilities of departure from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium expectations (P_{HW}) were computed by exact tests, as implemented in GDA (Lewis and Zaykin, 2001) and are given in Table 1. Genotypes at seven of the microsatellites differed significantly from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium expectations following (sequential) Bonferroni correction for multiple tests performed simultaneously (Rice, 1989). Results of analysis by Microchecker (Van Oosterhout et al., 2004) indicated that six of these seven microsatellites (all but Rea 1B-E06) had a general excess of homozygotes for most allele size classes, suggesting the presence of null alleles. The 26 microsatellites whose genotypes were in HW equilibrium should prove extremely useful in future studies of cobia relating to both stock assessment and aquaculture. The use of microsatellites as selectively neutral genetic markers to assess geographic boundaries and genetic diversity of "wild" stocks is well reviewed in Wright and Bentzen (1994) and Carvalho and Hauser (1995); the use of microsatellites in aquaculture includes parentage assignment, pedigree reconstruction, mapping of quantitative trait loci, and marker-assisted selection and is well reviewed in Liu and Cordes (2004).

Acknowledgments.—We thank J. Franks of the Gulf Coast Research Laboratory and J. Graves of the Virginia Institute of Marine Science for assistance in obtaining samples and C. Bradfield and P. Berry for technical assistance in the laboratory. Work was supported by the Saltonstall–Kennedy Program of the U.S. Department of Commerce (grant NA17FD2371) and by the Texas Agricultural Experiment Station (Project H-6703). We thank Blackwell Publishing for permission to use material in the publication authored by Pruett et al. (2005). This paper is number 45 in the series "Genetic Studies in Marine Fishes" and Contribution

132 of the Center for Biosystematics and Biodiversity at Texas A&M University.

LITERATURE CITED

Bridger, C. J., and B. A. Costa-Pierce. 2002. Sustainable development of offshore aquaculture in the Gulf of Mexico. Gulf Carib. Fish. Inst. 53:255–265.

Brown-Peterson, N. J., R. M. Overstreet, J. M. Lotz, J. S. Franks, and K. M. Burns. 2001. Reproductive biology of cobia, *Rachycentron canadum*, from coastal waters of the southern United States. Fish. Bull. 99:15–28.

Carvalho, G. R., and L. Hauser. 1995. Molecular genetics and the stock concept in fisheries, p. 55–79. *In:* Molecular genetics in fisheries. G. R. Carvalho and T. J. Pitcher (eds.). Chapman and Hall, London.

DeWoody, J. A., AND J. C. AVISE. 2000. Microsatellite variation in marine, freshwater, and anadromous fishes compared with other animals. J. Fish. Biol. 56:461–473.

DODD, Q. 2001. US cobia culture meets early success. Hatch. Int. 2:14–17.

ENGELS, W. R. 1993. Contributing software to the internet: the Amplify program. Trends Biochem. Sci. 18:448–450.

Gold, J. R., and T. F. Turner. 2002. Population structure of red drum (*Sciaenops ocellatus*) in the northern Gulf of Mexico, as inferred from variation in nuclear-encoded microsatellites. Mar. Biol. 140:249–265.

JONES, A. G., AND W. R. ARDEN. 2003. Methods of parentage analysis in natural populations. Mol. Ecol. 12:2511–2523.

Lewis, P. O., AND D. D. ZAYKIN. 2001. Genetic Data Analysis (GDA): computer program for the analysis of allelic data. Vers. 1.0 (d16c). Available at http://lewis.eeb.uconn.edu/lewishome/software.html.

LIU, Z. J., and J. F. Cordes. 2004. DNA marker technologies and their applications in aquaculture genetics. Aquaculture 238:1–37.

PRUETT, C. L., E. SAILLANT, M. A. RENSHAW, J. C. PATTON, C. E. REXROAD III, AND J. R. GOLD. 2005. Microsatellite DNA markers for parentage assignment and population-genetic studies in cobia, *Rachycentron canadum*. Mol. Ecol. Notes 5:84–86.

RICE, W. R. 1989. Analyzing tables of statistical tests. Evolution 43:223–225.

SHAFFER, R. V., AND E. L. NAKAMURA. 1989. Synopsis of biological data on the cobia *Rachycentron can-adum* (Pisces: Rachycentridae). U.S. Department of Commerce, NOAA Technical Report NMFS 82, FAO Fisheries Synopsis 153, Rome, Italy.

SHUG, M. D., C. M. HUTTER, K. A. WETTERSTRAND, M. S. GAUDETTE, T. F. C. MACKAY, AND C. F. AQUADRO. 1998. The mutations rates of di-, tri-, and tetranucleotide repeats in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Mol. Biol. Evol. 15:1751–1760.

VAN OOSTERHOUT, C., W. F. HUTCHINSON, D. P. M. WILLIS, AND P. SHIPLEY. 2004. Micro-Checker: software for identifying and correcting genotyping er-

GULF OF MEXICO SCIENCE, 2005, VOL. 23(2)

- rors in microsatellite data. Mol. Ecol Notes 4:535–538.
- Weber, J. L. 1990. Informativeness of human (dC dA)_n (dG dT)_n polymorphisms. Genomics 7:524–530.
- , AND P. E. MAY. 1989. Abundant class of human DNA polymorphisms which can be typed using the polymerase chain reaction. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 44:388–396.
- WILSON, A. J., AND M. M. FERGUSON. 2002. Molecular pedigree analysis in natural populations of fishes: approaches, applications, and practical considerations. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 59:1696–1707.
- Wright, A. J., and P. Bentzen. 1994. Microsatellites:

- genetic markers for the future. Rev. Fish Biol. Fish. 4:384–388.
- ZATCOFF, M. S., A. O. BALL, AND G. R. SEDBERRY. 2004. Population genetic analysis of red grouper, *Epine-phelus morio*, and scamp, *Mycteroperca phenax*, from the southeastern U.S. Atlantic and Gulf of Mexico. Mar. Biol. 144:769–777.
- M. A. RENSHAW, C. L. PRUETT, E. SAILLANT, J. C. PATTON, C. E. REXROAD III, AND J. R. GOLD, Center for Biosystematics and Biodiversity, Department of Wildlife and Fisheries Sciences, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas 77843-2258. May 11, 2005.