'animal and plant remains are to be discovered in such shelters. These alcoves will prove to be very important paleoecological resources for reconstructing biotic communities of late Pleistocene age from the central Colorado Plateau.

References Cited

Boison, P.J., and P.C. Patton 1985 Sediment Storage and Terrace Formation in Coyote Gulch Basin, South-central Utah. *Geology* 13:31-34.

Davis, O.K., L.D. Agenbroad, P.S. Martin, and J.I. Mead 1984 The Pleistocene Dung Blanket of Bechan Cave, Utah. In *Contributions in Quaternary Vertebrate Paleontology: A Volume in Memorial to John E. Guilday*, edited by Hugh H. Genoways, and Mary R. Dawson, pp. 267-282. Carnegie Museum of Natural History Special Publication 8.

Mead, J.I., L.D. Agenbroad, P.S. Martin, and O.K. Davids 1986 Dung of *Mammuthus* in the Arid Southwest, North America. *Quaternary Research* 24:121-127.

Webb, R.H. 1985 Late Holocene Flooding on the Escalante River, South-Central Utah. Unpublished Ph.D. dissertation, University of Arizona, Tucson.

Biological and Archaeological Information in Coprolites from an Early Site in Patagonia

María José Figuerero Torres

Five dessicated coprolites were recovered among the organic remains at the site Cueva Las Buitreras (51°45′ S. lat., 70°15′ W. long.) in the southern tip of continental South America. They are associated with lithic artifacts and faunal remains of modern and extinct species which together form an interactive context (Caviglia *et al.* 1986). These materials are contained in the lower levels of the site which did not produce material suitable for radiocarbon dating. However, an overlying volcanic ash layer was correlated with Aueís Tephra I, thereby allowing a minimum date of 9,100 yr B.P. for the occupation (Sanguinetti and Borrero 1977).

The coprolites were rehydrated in a solution of trisodium phosphate (Na₃PO₄). External features were registered, chemical reactions during rehydration were noted, and contents separated and identified. Taking these together with known feeding habits and comparisons with a reference collection, we were able to identify the originators of the specimens. Of these only one was human and contained charcoal and as yet unidentified fragmentary plant remains (Figuerero Torres 1982a, 1982b). The other four belonged to an omnivorous carnivore and contained digested beetles, small rodent molars, and identifiable plant fragments.

Considering an arbitrary time period between 10,000 and 8,500 yr B.P., we studied the faunal contents of the coprolites to see if they reflected the available

María José Figuerero Torres, Programa de Estudios Prehistóricos (CONICET), Bartolomé Mitre 1970 5"A", 1039 Buenos Aires, Argentina

CRP 3, 1986 FIGUERERO TORRES

75

paleoenvironmental information for this portion of South America (Figuerero Torres 1982a, 1982b). This evidence is of a varied nature (pollen, glaciology, plant macrofossils, mollusks, micromammals) differentially sensitive to temperature, precipitation, and local and regional variations. However, for the time period considered, there is a general consensus that temperature was lower than now and that rainfall, while higher, was increasing. Geographically, the site was farther from the sea than now due to lowered sea levels, and nearer to the eastern limits of the subantarctic forest.

The insects identified were *Taurocerastes patagonicus* (a coprophage) and *Caenorhynus lineatus* (a herbivore). Not enough is known of their biology to say if they are particularly sensitive to temperature or rainfall, but they are to be found both in the rainforest and steppe of southern Patagonia. The two rodent molars belong to *Akodon xanthorhinus*, a field mouse common in Patagonia with two subspecies in rainforest and steppe. The molars were insufficient for taxonomic identification down to subspecies level.

The extinct fauna at the site includes two juvenile ground sloths (*Mylodon listai*), fox (*Dusicyon avus*), and horse (*Hippidion-Onohippidion*). Their habits are for the most part unknown (although see Moore 1978 on ground sloth), and cannot profitably be used in the present study. The association of coprolites pointing to an omnivorous-carnivore diet together with fox remains could be indicative of the latter's ecological niche. *D. avus* survived into late Holocene times in the Pampa region (Tonni and Politis 1981), and the causes for its extinction could be sought in competition with other successful present day foxes with similar diets.

The modern fauna consists of gaunaco (*Lama guanicoe*), small rodents (*Reithrodon* and *Ctenomys*), and dolphin (Delphinidae). All these species are within their normal distribution, past and present.

As can be seen, the coprolite and faunal remains from Cueva Las Buitreras do not confirm nor contradict the broad environmental evidence for southern Patagonia 10,000 to 8,500 yr B.P. More information on the biology and habits of some of the species could aid in defining the local conditions surrounding the site.

References Cited

Caviglia, Sergio E., Hugo D. Yacobaccio, and Luis A. Borrero 1986 Las Buitreras: Convivencia del Hombre con Fauna Extinta en Patagonia Meridional. In *New Evidence for the Pleistocene Peopling of the Americas*, edited by Alan L. Bryan. Center for the Study of Early Man, Orono, Maine, in press. Figuerero Torres, María J. 1982a Análisis de los Coprolitos: El Caso de Cueva Las Buitreras. *VII Congreso Nacional de Arqueología, Colonia, Uruguay 1980*: 46-49, Montevideo.

Figuerero Torres, María J. 1982b Los Coprolitos como Indicadores Paleoambientales en Arqueología. Paper presented at the Primera Reunión Nacional de Ciencias del Hombre en Zonas Aridas, Mendoza.

Moore, David M. 1978 Post-glacial Vegetation in the South Patagonian Territory of the Giant Ground Sloth. *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society* 77:177-202.

Sanguinetti, Amalia C., and Luis A. Borrero 1977 Niveles con Fauna Extinta de la Cueva de Las Buitreras (Santa Cruz). *Relaciones de la Sociedad Argentina de Antropología* XI N.S.:167-175.

Tonni, Eduardo, P., and Gustavo G. Politis 1981 Un Gran Cánido del Holoceno de la Provincia de Buenos Aires y El Registro Prehispánico de *Canis (Canis) familiaris* en las Áreas Pampeana y Patagónica. *Ameghiniana* XVIII:251-265.