

# Alternatives for Implementing Methods for Finding Agents in a Multi-Agent Systems

Mariano Tucac

mt@cs.uns.edu.ar

Alejandro J. García

ajg@cs.uns.edu.ar

Artificial Intelligence Research and Development Laboratory  
Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Universidad Nacional del Sur,  
Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (CONICET)

## ABSTRACT

Interaction is an essential characteristic of Multi-Agent Systems (MASs). Agents that are part of a MAS usually interact by exchanging messages according to some conversation policy. Therefore, the ability to find other agents and exchange messages with them are features that need to be implemented in agents that are part of a MAS. Finding an agent means been aware of it existence and obtaining the information needed to send to it a message. There exists different alternatives of implementing the capability of finding other agents. In this work we will analyze the alternatives of implementing a discovery mechanism, a centralized Agent Name Server (ANS), a distributed ANS, or several ANSs.

## 1 INTRODUCTION

Interaction is an essential characteristic of Multi-Agent Systems (MASs). Agents that are part of a MAS usually interact by exchanging messages according to some conversation policy. Therefore, the ability to find other agents and exchange messages with them are features that need to be implemented in agents that are part of an open MAS. Finding an agent means been aware of it existence and obtaining the information needed to send to it a message.

There exist different alternatives of implementing MASs. One alternative is to implement the whole MAS ad-hoc. Another way is to use a MultiAgent development framework such as JACK [5], JADEX [7] or 3APL [1]. Finally, another alternative is to implement a MAS is using an extension of a Programming Language that provides the capability of finding other agents and exchanging messages.

The alternative of implementing the whole MAS ad-hoc means that the developer of the system is allowed to choose the architecture of each

agent, the way they interact and also the way they locate each other. Thus, this alternative has the advantage of a great flexibility in the design and implementation of the system. However, the main disadvantage is that the developer may have to implement everything, including the mechanisms used to locate the agents and also the primitives for exchanging messages.

The alternative of using a MultiAgent development framework such as JACK, JADEX or 3APL, will probably bound the developer of the systems to use a specific agent architecture and may also determine the way in which the agents should exchange messages. This alternative has the advantage of reducing the amount of work needed to implement the system.

Finally, the alternative of implementing the MAS using an extension of a Programming Language or a framework that provides the capability of finding other agents and exchanging messages (such as [4], JADE [6] or MadKit [8]) allows a flexible design of the system. This way of implementing the MAS will try to maintain the advantages of the alternatives mentioned before also avoiding their disadvantages.

In [4] we have proposed an extension of the Logic Programming Language Prolog that provides the agents the capability of finding other agents and also allows an easy way of exchanging messages. The proposed extension include some primitives that were motivated by the implementation of multi-agent systems for dynamic and distributed environments, where intelligent agents communicate and collaborate. One of the main goals of these primitives was to hide as much of the implementation details as possible, in order to provide a transparent way of finding agents and also a transparent way of programming agent interaction.

These primitives allow the creation of several independent MASs, where agents communicate

---

Partially supported by CONICET (PIP 5050) and Agencia Nacional de Promoción Científica y Tecnológica (PICT 2002 Nro 13096)

with others by just knowing the other agents' names. These MASs are groups of agents and any agent may join and leave these groups dynamically. To be member of a MAS means that the agent knows which other agents belong to the MAS. Any agent is allowed to be member of several MASs simultaneously, and also to join other existing MASs, create new ones and leave the ones that is connected to.

There exists different alternatives of implementing the capability of finding other agents. In this work we will analyze the following four alternatives:

1. a proper discovery mechanism in the agent code,
2. a centralized Agent Name Server (ANS),
3. a distributed ANS, or
4. several ANSs.

## 2 FINDING AGENTS

As stated before, finding an agent means been aware of it existence and obtaining the information needed to send to it a message. There exists different alternatives of implementing the capability of finding other agents. One alternative is to provide the agents the ability of searching for the existence of agents. This is, to provide a mechanism in which each agent looks for agents that are also trying to interact with other agents.

Another alternative is to allow the agents to find each other by using one or more special agents in which the agents register themselves and obtain information of other registered agents. These kind of agents are often called Agent Name Server (ANS) and they store the information of the registered agents and answer any query made by them.

The ANS is similar to the Agent Management System (AMS) defined by FIPA [3] since both allow the agents to register themselves and also obtain information of other registered agents. However, the AMS is also responsible of the creation and deletion of agents and represents the managing authority of the Agent Platforms (the physical infrastructure in which agents are deployed).

We will explain next the alternatives mentioned before for implementing the capability of finding other agents. In this paper we will assume that an agent is implemented by a process and we will use the term node as usual as any environment capable of executing a process, like a desktop PC or a Laptop

### 2.1 A Discovery Mechanism

The agents may broadcast messages to find each other (see [2] for details). This way of implementing agent discovery allow a great flexibility in the initialization of the system and also allows the agents to dynamically discover different MASs. However, note that using broadcast, some messages may be lost or delivered out of order. Also, losses and misordering may vary by agent, which means that some agents may receive the message as intended while others may experience various losses. Thus, in a domain in which there exists several agents, this mechanism is not allowed to ensure that any agent will discover any other existent agent in the system. However, this alternative may be useful for other purposes.




Figure 1: The mechanism of discovery implemented with broadcast messages.

As an example, in Figure 1, Agent "A" broadcast a discovery message (dark arrows) and the existing agents ("B" and "C") answers this message (dashed arrows). There exists different alternatives of the way of using this kind of messages in order to allow the agents to find each other. One alternative is to use them to locate any existing agent in the system and then, the agent may decide with which other ones it wants to interact with. Another alternative is to specify in these messages certain information that allow the agents receiving the message to decide whether they want to interact with this agent or not. While the first alternative may be useful in specific domains with a small number of agents, the second alternative allows an efficient but difficult way of implementing MultiAgent Systems of large number of agents.

The first alternative is implemented by every agent replying any broadcast message received. Thus, in a system with several agents, this behavior may overload the network. Also note that, in this kind of systems, it is unlikely that the agents need to interact with every other existing agent.

This is, the agents should only know the existence of the agents they may interact with.

The second alternative allow this, by specifying in the broadcasted message certain information that the agents use to detect common interests, creating independent MASs. Thus, only the agents that share the same interests will be member of the same MAS, replying the messages specifying common interests and achieving an efficient use of the network. However, this alternative requires a more detailed implementation and also a standard or shared way of expressing agents interests.

## 2.2 A Centralized Agent Name Server

This centralized approach has the advantage of an easy development of the ANS and, in the case of any other agent, the easy way of obtaining the information of the other registered agents. Note that the ANS is responsible for maintaining the data entries consistent, specially the agent identifiers, in which duplicates are not allowed. Thus, a centralized implementation of this agent reduces its complexity.

However, this approach have some disadvantages. One disadvantage is that the ANS may represent a performance bottleneck when the system has several agents. Also note that this way of implementing the ANS represents a single point of failure in the system. This means that a failure in the ANS may disable the services provided and thus, the agents will not be able to find each other.




Figure 2: A Centralized ANS

In this alternative, the problem of knowing the location of other agents is solved with the existence of the ANS that maintains this information. Thus, the problem of finding other agents is reduced to find only one agent: the ANS. Any agent should know the location of the ANS in order to register itself and also obtain the location

of other registered agents. For example, in Figure 2, Agent “A” (in Node 3) register itself by exchanging messages with the ANS (in Node 2) and it may also query for obtaining the other registered agents. The same happens for Agent “B” in Node 4.

There exists different ways of solving the problem of finding the ANS. One alternative is to hardcode its location to a specific node, as in Figure 2, in which the ANS may be hardcoded to Node 3, and thus, any agent know where to find it. Another alternative is to use a mechanism of agent discovery as explained in the previous subsection. Note that, using the first alternative reduces the flexibility of the system, however, this reduction in the flexibility only affects the ANS, allowing the other agents to be executed in any node. Also note that implementing a discovery mechanism to find a single known agent will avoid the problems present in this alternative.

## 2.3 A Distributed Agent Name Server

This alternative eliminates the single point of failure of the system and also the performance bottleneck present in the centralized approach. However, the main problem with this alternative is the burden of implementing the ANS.

The way in which this alternative is implemented is using only one ANS created by several processes running one in each node of the system. As can be seen in Figure 3, there exists only one logical ANS, implemented by the processes executing on each node. Thus, the agents interact with the ANS through the process running in the same node, solving the problem of finding the ANS present in the alternative mentioned before.




Figure 3: A Distributed ANS

One of the problems presented in the implementation of this alternative is the different ways of storing the information gathered by the ANS. One approach is to replicate all the information in

all the different processes implementing the ANS. Another way of doing this is to distribute all the information without replicating it.

While the first approach allows the agents to obtain the information of other registered agents quickly, the services that modify this information may require the exchange of several messages in order to maintain this information consistent. The other way allows easier modifications of the information stored but may require more time to obtain information of a specific agent.

## 2.4 Several Agent Name Servers

Another alternative is to implement one ANS for each node, achieving most of the advantages of the distributed approach like the easy finding of the ANSs, and also avoiding the disadvantages of the centralized one, like the performance bottleneck and the single point of failure in the system. The problems with this approach are the same as the ones in the distributed approach, with the difference that the agents are aware of the existence of several ANSs.




Figure 4: Several ANSs

Thus, one alternative is to allow the agents to create groups of interests or MASs. Each MAS must be managed by only one ANS. The agents may register themselves in different MASs, also simultaneously. This way of storing the information of the agents registered is similar to the centralized approach, achieving its advantage of an easy implementation.

Any agent that wants to interact with other agent may first interact with the local ANS in order to register itself and obtain information of a specific MAS. The local ANS may be the manager of the MAS that the agent is looking for, in which case it register the agent. However, the local ANS may not be the responsible of the MAS, and thus, it may inform the agent which ANS is managing the determined MAS.

The creation of independent MASs may be implicit whenever an agent requires to register itself to an inexistent MAS. In this case, the local ANS asks the other ANSs for the existence of the specified MAS obtaining no positive answers. Thus, it decides to create the MAS and informs this creation to the rest of the ANSs. Note that the process of creating a MAS should avoid the creation of MASs with the same interests managed by different ANSs.

## 3 COMPARING THE ALTERNATIVES

In the previous section we have explained four different alternatives of implementing the capability of finding other agents. There exists several differences between these alternatives as we will show next. These differences will allow the developer to choose between them also considering the domain in which the alternative will be used.

The alternative of using a discovery mechanism allows a great flexibility in the initialization of the system and also allows the agents to dynamically discover different MASs. Also note that this alternative avoids relying in the existence of one or more specific agents as the other approaches does, representing an important disadvantage.

However, note that using broadcast, some messages may be lost or delivered out of order. Also, losses and misordering may vary by agent, which means that some agents may receive the message as intended while others may experience various losses. Thus, this mechanism is not allowed to ensure the discovery of every existent agent in the system.

This problem is avoided in the other alternatives by the existence of the ANS. The centralized approach has the advantage of an easy development of this agent and, in the case of any other agent, the easy way of obtaining the information of the other registered agents. However, this approach have the disadvantage that the ANS may represent a performance bottleneck when the system has several agents. Also note that this way of implementing the ANS represents a single point of failure in the system.

The distributed approach eliminates the single point of failure of the system and also the performance bottleneck present in the centralized one. However, the main problem with this alternative is the burden of implementing the ANS. Finally, implementing several ANSs has the advantage of an easy implementation of each agent like the centralized approach, also avoiding its disadvantages of single point of failure and performance bottleneck with the existence of several ANSs.



A discovery mechanism may be used in the implementation of these alternatives. It may be used by the agents to locate the centralized ANS. It may also be used by the distributed ANSs to discover themselves dynamically. Note that using a discovery mechanism in these particular cases will probably avoid the disadvantages of using this alternative to find every agent in the system.

Considering the domains of application of these approaches, in systems with a small number of agents, a centralized ANS may solve the problem of finding agents obtaining good results and also allowing an easy implementation of the system. In this domains, implementing a discovery mechanism may be a good alternative whenever the developer wants to avoid the existence of centralized information that means the existence of a single point of failure.

In domains in which the number of agents is important, the centralized approach will probably result in a performance bottleneck. Thus, the alternative of implementing several ANSs and allowing the creation of independent MASs avoids this problem and also the complexity of implementing the other alternative, a distributed ANS. In the case of the discovery mechanism, the losses and misordering of the messages in such domain will be greater also increasing the time needed to find other existent agents, turning this alternative less feasible.

#### 4 CONCLUSION

In this paper we have mentioned different alternatives of implementing MASs. One of the features that must be implemented in the development of any MAS is the way the agents find or locate each other. We explained four different alternatives of implementing this capability. We have also shown the differences existing between these alternatives.

We compared these alternatives, finding that the alternative of implementing a centralized

ANS allows an easy development of small MAS. However, in larger domains, the approach of implementing several ANSs may allow a better performance of the whole system also avoiding the complexity of implementing a distributed ANS. A discovery mechanism may be used in the implementation of these alternatives. A discovery mechanism may be also used whenever the developer prefers to avoid the existence of centralized information, at the cost of a more time consuming location of the agents.

#### References

- [1] 3APL. An Abstract Agent Programming Language. <http://www.cs.uu.nl/3apl/>.
- [2] B. Langley, M. Paolucci, and K. Sycara. Discovery of Infrastructure in Multi-Agent Systems. In *Workshop on Infrastructure for Agents, MAS, and Scalable MAS*, 2001.
- [3] FIPA. Foundation for intelligent physical agents. <http://www.fipa.org>.
- [4] Alejandro J. García, Mariano Tucut, and Guillermo R. Simari. Interaction Primitives for Implementing Multi-agent Systems. In *VII Argentine Symposium on Artificial Intelligence*, Rosario, Argentina, August 2005.
- [5] JACK. JACK Intelligent Agents Framework. <http://www.agent-software.com/>.
- [6] JADE. Java Agent DEvelopment Framework. <http://www.lpa.co.uk/atk.htm>.
- [7] JADEx. Jadex BDI Agent System. <http://vsis-www.informatik.uni-hamburg.de/projects/jadex/>.
- [8] The MadKit Project. Multi-Agent Development Kit. <http://www.madkit.org/>.