

Eur Neurol 2009;62:65–71 DOI: 10.1159/000222775 Received: January 23, 2009 Accepted: March 16, 2009 Published online: June 12, 2009

Refractory Epilepsy: A Clinically Oriented Review

Pedro Beleza

Epilepsy Group, Department of Neurology, São Marcos Hospital, Braga, Portugal

Key Words

Neurology · Refractory epilepsy · Epilepsy surgery

Abstract

About one third of patients with newly diagnosed epilepsy do not achieve seizure control despite medical therapy and should be assessed in detail to confirm the diagnosis, to determine the epilepsy syndrome and to plan treatment strategy. Refractory epilepsy is established when there is inadequate seizure control despite using potentially effective antiepileptic drugs (AEDs) at tolerable levels for 1–2 years, and excluding nonepileptic events and poor compliance. An overview of patient management in everyday clinical practice is given. Risk factors for refractoriness include (1) generalized epilepsy with lesions; (2) focal epilepsy with hippocampal sclerosis, cortical dysplasia or hemorrhages; (3) early epilepsy onset; (4) high seizure frequency; (5) absence of response to the first 2 AEDs; (6) high frequency of interictal spikes, and (7) multifocal spikes. The efficacy of surgery ranges from curative (resection) to palliative (vagus nerve stimulation, callosotomy, multiple subpial transections) depending on the epilepsy syndrome and etiology. Using a new antiepileptic drug benefits about one third of patients. Refractoriness is constitutive in most patients and shows a progressive or remission-relapse course in others. As the transporter and target hypotheses do not entirely explain refractoriness, other hypotheses are emerging. New treatments under investigation are described.

Copyright © 2009 S. Karger AG, Basel

Introduction

In epilepsy, 3 prognostic groups are generally considered: (1) spontaneous remission (20-30%) as seen in benign epilepsy with centrotemporal spikes or childhood absences; (2) remission on antiepileptic drugs (AEDs) (20–30%) as occurs in most focal epilepsy and myoclonic juvenile epilepsy syndromes; (3) persistent seizures under AEDs (30-40%) among which refractory epilepsy is included [1]. Clinical and EEG predictive factors of refractoriness are red flags in the context of epilepsy management and should be thoroughly checked in every epileptic patient. A prompt diagnosis of refractoriness is of paramount importance for a timely selection of patients for surgery. If the epileptogenic zone cannot be resected, palliative procedures should be considered such as vagal nerve stimulation, callosotomy, ketogenic diet or multiple subpial transections. Vagal nerve stimulation and a ketogenic diet achieve a chance of seizure improvement comparable to using a new AED. A better understanding of the mechanisms of refractoriness might contribute to the development of new, more effective AEDs. Refractory patients show an increased risk of psychosocial, psychiatric and medical morbidities that should be readily addressed to ensure a better quality of life [2]. This review aims to be a comprehensive clinically oriented overview of refractory epilepsy, and addresses the following topics: concepts, predictive factors, diagnosis, treatment, natural history, mechanisms and treatments under investigation.

Concepts of Refractoriness

Different definitions of refractoriness emerge depending on the context. All are based on the 3 main components of intractability: number of AEDs previously taken, frequency of seizures and duration of noncontrolled epilepsy [3]. In investigational studies, criteria of refractoriness include: (1) absence of response to 2 AEDs tolerated at reasonable doses; (2) minimum frequency of seizures (e.g. 1 seizure per month) to be considered refractory or the duration of minimum remission (e.g. 6– 12 months) to be qualified as nonrefractory, and (3) duration of 1 year to 1 decade of noncontrolled epilepsy. Depending on the criteria applied, the frequency of refractory epilepsy varies from 10 to 37.5% [4–6]. A flexible scale of refractoriness has been developed for clinical use and classifies epilepsy as potential (no seizure freedom with AEDs taken less than 1 year and predictive factors for refractoriness), probable (no seizure freedom more than 1 year with at least 2 AEDs) or definitely refractory (catastrophic epilepsy or no freedom of seizure for more than 1 year after 5 years of treatment with at least 3 AEDs) depending on the duration of epilepsy and medical treatment, seizure control and number of AEDs used [7]. A subclassification of refractoriness as acceptable or inacceptable was also included, taking into account the patients' impression of the impact of epilepsy (seizures, comorbidity and adverse effects of AEDs) on their quality of life (e.g. a patient with acceptable refractory epilepsy presenting infrequent nocturnal seizures may become definitely refractory with the occurrence of diurnal generalized tonic-clonic seizures affecting employment, education and driving). Potential refractory epilepsy may evolve to probably refractory depending on the duration of AED intake and the influence of predictive factors of refractoriness [7].

Predictive Factors of Refractoriness

Epileptic syndrome, response to AEDs, age and seizure frequency at epilepsy onset are clinical determinants for predicting future refractoriness.

A long follow-up study (30 years) of children with epilepsy showed that only 13% of all patients with idiopathic generalized epilepsy, and no case with idiopathic partial epilepsy, were refractory. In contrast, 78% of patients with altogether rare symptomatic generalized epilepsy and 49% of patients with the much more common symptomatic partial epilepsy were not in remission [8].

Typical refractory generalized epilepsy of pediatric ages are the Ohtahara syndrome, early myoclonic encephalopathy (neonatal period), West syndrome, Dravet syndrome (infancy) and Lennox-Gastaut syndrome (early childhood) [9]. In focal epilepsy, hippocampal sclerosis, cortical dysplasia and hemorrhage are associated with refractoriness [10]. The localization of the epileptogenic zone also seems to play an important role in refractoriness. The temporal lobe is probably the most epileptogenic area as it is the most common of the focal epilepsy syndromes [11] and kindling is easily elicited by stimulation of the amygdala [12]. The striate cortex, namely the fourth layer, was also proved to be highly epileptogenic [13]. The motor (hand and face area) and sensorimotor cortices are other areas with low seizure thresholds [14].

Absence of seizure freedom when 2 past AEDs proved inefficient is a crucial predictor of refractoriness [4]. A recent studied added that refractoriness is a continuum and showed the benefit of adding a previously not used AED even when 2–5 past AEDs were not effective. Seizure-free rates decreased from 61.8% for the first AED to 41.7% and 16.6% after 1 and 2–5 past AEDs proved inefficient. After 6 AEDs, absolute refractoriness (0% seizure free) was found [15].

A younger age at onset of epilepsy predicts refractoriness [16]. Seizures in the immature brain of a child may result in nonpruning of neurons and contribute to high numbers of gap junctions, which leads to abnormal connectivity, the hyperconnected cortex [17].

High seizure frequency (more than 1 seizure per month) occurring soon after the diagnosis of epilepsy either before or after treatment onset correlates with refractoriness in the short term (2–4 years) and long term (30–35 years) [6]. Depression has recently also been associated with lack of response to AEDs [18]. Neurobiological processes that underpin depression may interact with those producing seizures to increase the extent of brain dysfunction and thereby the likelihood of developing pharmacoresistant epilepsy [18].

Electroencephalography is useful for predicting refractoriness. The quantity of interictal spikes is predictive of severity in temporal lobe epilepsy [19]. Oligospikers, patients with temporal lobe epilepsy with less than 1 spike per hour, correlate with less severe epilepsy [20]. In addition, some studies describe the association between multifocal spikes and intractability [21].

66 Eur Neurol 2009;62:65–71 Beleza

Diagnosis of Refractory Epilepsy

Firstly it is mandatory to exclude false refractoriness related to nonepileptic seizures, inadequate AEDs, noncompliance and seizure-precipitating factors. Video-EEG monitoring is an essential tool in this process, aiming to perform a differential diagnosis of paroxysmal events and a correct classification of seizures and epileptic syndromes. An estimated 20% of patients referred to comprehensive epilepsy programs for medically refractory 'seizures' do not have epilepsy [22]. Nonepileptic events more frequently found include cardiovascular syncopes, sleep diseases and psychogenic events [23]. Correct classification of seizure type and epileptic syndrome is mandatory for selecting the adequate AED [24]. Selected generalized forms of epilepsy may be aggravated by some AEDs [24]. Studies have reported that approximately 30– 50% of patients with epilepsy do not comply with their prescribed AED therapy [25]. Nonadherence was proved to be associated with a more than threefold increased risk of mortality, a significantly higher incidence of emergency department visits, hospital admissions, motor vehicle accident injuries, and fractures than during periods of adherence [25]. Finally, sleep irregularities, alcohol abuse and specific factors for reflex epilepsy should be excluded.

The second step in the diagnosis of refractory epilepsy is to confirm refractoriness. This definition is generally accepted when there is inadequate control of seizures despite at least 2 potentially effective AEDs (mono- or polytherapy) taken in tolerable doses [26]. Refractory patients should be referred to an epilepsy service for further diagnostic evaluation, optimization of pharmacotherapy, and consideration of other therapies such as epilepsy surgery. The evaluation of refractory patients for epilepsy surgery should consider the following clinical issues: (1) adequate control of seizures should be considered by the patient (e.g. some patients with 1-2 seizures/year prefer surgery for professional or social reasons while others do not feel that seizures have a severe impact on their quality of life) and balanced by the doctor in relation to the probability of successful surgery success compared to AED treatment (e.g. temporal lobe epilepsy with hippocampal sclerosis has such a high probability of seizure freedom that surgery should be considered even with low seizure frequency, in contrast to an extratemporal epilepsy with no MRI lesion where only a high frequency of seizures could justify surgery); (2) although refractoriness is generally considered following 1-2 years of noncontrolled epilepsy, epilepsy surgery may be performed earlier in the case of catastrophic epilepsy or a high chance of surgical cure, or later in case of low seizure frequency or a very low chance of successful surgery.

Treatment of Refractory Epilepsy

Defining epilepsy refractory to medical treatment implies considering surgery. The prognosis will differ as a function of the epilepsy syndrome and etiology involved and depending on whether the intervention is curative or palliative. In addition, other interventions such as a ketogenic diet and the contribution of AEDs should not be disregarded.

Surgery

Resective surgery is based on removal of the entire epileptogenic area without causing a permanent neurological deficit. The localization of the epileptogenic zone in focal epilepsy is typically based on seizure semiology, interictal and ictal EEG findings, as well as FDG-PET, SPECT and MRI lesions [27]. Focal epilepsy with a lesion not adjacent to the eloquent cortex and concordant with semiology, ictal EEG, interictal EEG and PET/SPECT may be removed based solely on surface evaluation. In the case of focal epilepsy without a lesion, a lesion adjacent to an eloquent cortex or if there is no concordance between the different zones, invasive monitoring is recommended [27].

More than half of the procedures in surgical epilepsy programs are anterior temporal lobe resections [28]. Mesial temporal lobe epilepsy associated with hippocampal sclerosis is the most common form of focal epilepsy, with around 60% of the patients having temporal resection. 60– 70% of the patients are free of seizures at 1–2 years of follow-up [29, 30] and only 58% are seizure free at 10 years [31]. The outcome of surgery greatly depends on the underlying cause of epilepsy. Patients with vascular malformations, low-grade tumors, dysembryoplastic neuroepithelial tumors, and cystic lesions have surgical outcomes that are as good as or better than those of patients with hippocampal sclerosis [32]. Cortical dysplastic lesions and posttraumatic gliosis have a 3 times higher rate of recurrence, indicating a risk of incomplete resection or additional epileptogenic areas [33]. Predictors of short-term outcome (duration of epilepsy, age at onset, age at surgery, unilateral spikes, preoperative secondarily generalized tonicclonic seizures, and preoperative seizure frequency) do not predict long-term outcome in patients with temporal lobe epilepsy associated with hippocampal sclerosis [34].

Extratemporal lobe surgery for focal epilepsy accounts for less than half of all epilepsy operations [35]. In frontal lobe epilepsy surgery, the probability of becoming seizure free is 55.7% at 1 year, 45.1% at 3 years, and 30.1% at 5 years [36]. The subset of patients with favorable prognostic factors – an MRI lesion restricted to one frontal lobe, complete resection, and a regional or lateralized ictal scalp EEG pattern – show a seizure-free outcome approaching that seen after temporal lobectomy, with 50–60% being seizure free at 3 years. Regarding etiology, patients with low-grade tumors have the best outcome (62%), followed by patients with MRI malformations of cortical development (52%).

Hemispherectomy or hemispherotomy has been reported to be beneficial (improvement of seizure frequency and developmental quotient) in children with catastrophic hemispheric epilepsy of diverse etiologies such as malformations of cortical development, Rasmussen's encephalitis, Sturge-Weber syndrome, and remote vascular insults [37].

Palliative Interventions

Complete seizure control is not a realistic objective for some patients, but useful palliation can sometimes be achieved with techniques such as vagal nerve stimulation, corpus callosotomy, and multiple subpial transections.

Vagal nerve stimulation is indicated in adults with focal epilepsy with no indication of surgery or who had undergone surgery without success and in intractable generalized symptomatic epilepsy. It might also be effective in children with drop attacks and Lennox-Gastaut syndrome. On average, a 50% reduction in seizure frequency has been reported in about one third of patients, the same range of expected benefit as in trying a new AED with the advantage of lower adverse effects. However, seizure freedom is rare [38]. Corpus callosotomy is mostly used in patients with Lennox-Gastaut syndrome to reduce the number of disabling drop attacks [39]. Multiple subpial transections use vertical incisions in the gray matter at 4-mm intervals to limit propagation of epileptic activity within eloquent cortex and to reduce seizure spread without disturbing functional integrity. It is reported to achieve a significant seizure reduction [32]. A ketogenic diet, i.e. high in fat and low in carbohydrate, is mainly used in pediatric patients (due to tolerability) as secondline treatment in focal nonsurgical refractory and generalized symptomatic epilepsy. A recent randomized controlled trial showed a reduction in seizure frequency in more than 50% in 38% of children with drug-resistant epilepsy [40].

In chronic epilepsy (more than 5 years), the addition of a new AED provided a seizure freedom of 17% and a 50-99% seizure reduction of 25%. For those who did not respond to the first trial, a similar benefit might be expected for at least 2 more trials. At the end, 28% of the patients were seizure free [41]. The application of a systematic protocol to the treatment of refractory epilepsy using a new AED might improve seizure control in a substantial proportion of cases. The nihilistic view that intractability is inevitable if seizure control is not obtained within a few years of the onset of therapy is incorrect [41]. Zonisamide, 100–400 mg i.d., levetiracetam, 1,000–3,000 mg i.d., lamotrigine, 300-500 mg i.d., topiramate, 300-1,000 mg i.d., and gabapentin, 600-1,800 mg i.d., have demonstrated efficacy (evidence level A) as add-on therapy in patients with refractory focal epilepsy [42]. Even though the methodology was similar in all studies, it is not possible to determine the relative efficacy from comparison of outcomes because the populations differed, and some drugs were not used in maximum doses whereas others appear to have been administered above the ideal dose. For essentially all drugs, efficacy as well as side effects increased with increasing doses [42].

In refractory epilepsy, it is convenient to perform a systematized management of AED: (1) increase until the maximum tolerable dose; (2) if no response, replace the AED, if there is a partial response, add another AED which should be chosen based on the mechanism of action of the first AED (e.g. lamotrigin and valproate are synergic), its efficacy and adverse effects [24].

Temporal Pattern of Refractoriness

First, based on partly prospective and hospital-based observations in adult-onset epilepsy, it has been claimed that in most cases pharmacoresistance is constitutive, i.e. it is fully developed before the first seizure or at least before the start of AED treatment [4].

There is emerging evidence from one retrospective study of a highly selected group of patients undergoing temporal lobe surgery that, at least in some patients with easily treatable epilepsy, pharmacoresistance requiring surgery developed years later in the course of their epilepsy [43]. If prospective studies confirm these findings and the underlying mechanisms behind these associa-

68 Eur Neurol 2009;62:65–71 Beleza

tions are elucidated, interventions may be envisaged that might interrupt such a process and some day prevent some forms of epilepsy from becoming intractable [44].

Finally, the most controversial hypothesis claims that drug resistance may remit and reappear in the course of epilepsy or its treatment. Some authors have described an intermittent pattern where active epilepsy is interrupted by periods of remission [45]. However, a competing explanation is that the improvement after initial failure to respond may also be related to changes in AED use, for example the introduction of newer drugs, over the years [44].

Natural History

Sillanpaa and Schmidt [46] have studied a cohort of childhood-onset epilepsy for 37 years and found that 33% of patients had refractory epilepsy whereas 67% were seizure free. Most of the refractory patients (27/47 patients) presented as claimed in the constitutive hypothesis of refractoriness from the onset of epilepsy. In the remaining refractory patients (20/47 patients), refractoriness developed later in the course of epilepsy, according to the progressive hypothesis of refractoriness. Although it is reassuring that a total of 67% of patients were able to enter terminal remission, it took more than a decade in many patients, particularly in those with mental retardation and symptomatic epilepsy. A relapse-remission course was seen in 20% of patients while a remission course from the onset of epilepsy was seen in 48% of patients either in the first year (16%) or afterwards (32%) [46]. Further, this study showed that in patients with childhood-onset epilepsy, long-term seizure outcome cannot be reliably predicted by the response at the onset of treatment [46]. This suggests that before initiation of therapy many seizures may be the consequence, rather than the cause, of the underlying physiological mechanism responsible for refractoriness in this group of patients and corroborates the notion that antiseizure drugs do not have a true antiepileptic effect.

Mechanisms of Refractoriness

The transporter and target hypotheses are the most commonly cited mechanisms of refractoriness, although they cannot yet fully explain refractoriness.

According to the drug transporter hypothesis, restricted access of AEDs to the seizure focus is the result

of either locally increased expression of drug transporter proteins, most notably P-glycoprotein (P-gp), encoded by the *ABCB1* gene, or a genetic variation in *ABCB1* resulting in increased transporter activity.

The proposed mechanism suffers from a lack of evidence that many clinically used AEDs are substrates for human P-gp or any other known human blood-brain barrier efflux transporter [47]. The transporter hypothesis has also failed to receive support from recent genetic association studies, including a meta-analysis that failed to replicate early reports of an association between polymorphisms in the *ABCB1* gene and drug resistance [48].

According to the target hypothesis, epilepsy pharmacoresistance occurs when intrinsic (genetic) or acquired (disease related) changes in drug targets make them less sensitive to AEDs. Recent studies have provided evidence of reduced sensitivity to carbamazepine in brain tissue from patients who were clinically unresponsive to carbamazepine and underwent resective surgery [49]. However, it is unknown whether pharmacodynamic insensitivity in these tissues extended to AEDs with different mechanisms of action or even to other AEDs that target sodium channels. A polymorphism in the SCN1A gene encoding Nav1.1 sodium channels has been described, which associates with the use of higher doses of carbamazepine and phenytoin, suggesting that there is reduced sensitivity to these drugs [50]. However, this polymorphism is unlikely to influence sensitivity to AEDs that do not act through sodium channels. The acquired version of the target hypothesis proposes that the pharmacodynamic sensitivity of the AED target is modified by the disease state [51]. There are many examples of changes in the activity of voltage-gated and neurotransmitter-activated ion channels in acquired epilepsy models, some of which lead to reduced responsiveness to AEDs [52]. However, there is no evidence that the efficacy of AEDs acting on different targets is similarly affected.

An alternative mechanism for explaining refractoriness was suggested – the intrinsic disease severity [53]. This hypothesis claims that there are differences in inherent epilepsy severity reflected in the frequency of seizures in the early phase of epilepsy (the single most important factor associated with prognosis). Possibly, common neurobiological factors may underlie both epilepsy severity and drug refractoriness. These authors suggest that to advance in the understanding and therapeutic management of refractory epilepsy, it is crucial to identify biomarkers which define the most severe forms of epilepsy. Unfortunately, there are few studies on the contribution of genetics to the severity of epilepsy [53].

Treatments under Investigation

Polymers, electrical brain stimulation and prediction of seizures may be available in the future for treating patients with refractory epilepsy. Cell transplantation and gene therapy, although holding great promise, are still far from routine clinical use [54].

Polymers containing AEDs consist of 2- to 3-mm microspheres that might be placed near the epileptogenic zone. Advantages include: (1) new AEDs could be used including those which do not cross the blood-brain barrier or show systemic toxicity; (2) they may be useful when the epileptogenic zone is near eloquent cortex; (3) they prevent noncompliance [55]. Implanting wafers impregnated with chemotherapeutic agents into the resection cavity results in prolongation of survival without an increased incidence of adverse events [56]. Studies in animals have been promising as the application of polymers containing phenitoin to the epileptogenic zone in mice has reduced epileptogenic indexes [57].

Electrical brain stimulation is still not accepted as a routine treatment for epilepsy, partly because there is no consensus regarding the better region to stimulate and in what type of seizure it is most effective. The epileptogenic zone and the centromedian or anterior nuclei of the thalamus seem to be the most effective targets for electrical stimulation [58]. The efficacy seems to be similar to vagal nerve stimulation which has a lower risk and less comorbidity [58]. This intervention is thus unlikely to be routinely used in the future.

Finally, a seizure detector coupled with a trigger AED infusion pump has been developed with success in the mouse [59]. Research has also been done in predicting seizures [60]. Hopefully, in the future a device may predict seizures and automatically administer AEDs to prevent them from occurring.

Acknowledgment

The author would like to thank José Armando Leitão for linguistic and spelling corrections.

References

- 1 Kwan P, Sander JW: The natural history of epilepsy: an epidemiological view. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2004;75:1376–1381.
- 2 Schuele SU, Luders HO: Intractable epilepsy: management and therapeutic alternatives. Lancet Neurol 2008;7:514–524.
- 3 Hauser WA, Hesdorffer DC: Epidemiology of intractable epilepsy; in Luders H (ed): Epilepsy Surgery. New York, Raven Press, 1992, pp 55–59.
- 4 Kwan P, Brodie MJ: Early identification of refractory epilepsy. N Engl J Med 2000;342:
- 5 Callaghan BC, Anand K, Hesdorffer D, Hauser WA, French JA: Likelihood of seizure remission in an adult population with refractory epilepsy. Ann Neurol 2007;62:382–389.
- 6 Berg AT, Shinnar S, Levy SR, Testa FM, Smith-Rapaport S, Beckerman B: Early development of intractable epilepsy in children: a prospective study. Neurology 2001; 56:1445–1452.
- 7 Starreveld E, Guberman A: The spectrum of intractable epilepsy: a proposed scale. Adv Neurol 2006;97:493–496.
- 8 Sillanpaa M, Jalava M, Shinnar S: Epilepsy syndromes in patients with childhood-onset seizures in Finland. Pediatr Neurol 1999;21: 533–537.

- 9 Mohamed IS, Minassian BA: What intractability information is there in the type of generalized seizure? Adv Neurol 2006;97:141– 147
- 10 Blume WT: Focal seizures: intractability and semiology. Adv Neurol 2006;97:17–25.
- 11 Hauser WA, Kurland LT: The epidemiology of epilepsy in Rochester, Minnesota, 1935 through 1967. Epilepsia 1975;16:1–66.
- 12 Cain DP: Kindling and the amygdala; in Aggleton JP (ed): The Amygdala: Neurobiological Aspects of Emotion, Memory and Mental Dysfunction. New York, Wiley-Liss, 1992, pp 539–560.
- 13 Ebersole J, Chatt A: Spread and arrest of seizures: the importance of layer 4 in laminar interactions during neocortical epileptogenesis; in Delgado-Escueta AV, Ward AA Jr, Woodbury DM, Porter RJ (eds): Basic Mechanisms of the Epilepsies: Molecular and Celullar Approaches. Advances in Neurology. New York, Raven Press, 1986, vol 44, pp 515–558.
- 14 Williamson P, Wieser HG, Delgado-Escueta AV: Clinical characteristics of partial seizures; in Engel J Jr (ed): Surgical Treatment of the Epilepsies. New York, Raven Press, 1987, pp 101–120.

- 15 Schiller Y, Najjar Y: Quantifying the response to antiepileptic drugs: effect of past treatment history. Neurology 2008;70:54–65.
- 16 Ko TS, Holmes GL: EEG and clinical predictors of medically intractable childhood epilepsy. Clin Neurophysiol 1999;110:1245–1251.
- 17 Mikuni N, Nishiyama K, Babb TL, Ying Z, Najm I, Okamoto T, Luders HO, Wylie C: Decreased calmodulin-NR1 co-assembly as a mechanism for focal epilepsy in cortical dysplasia. Neuroreport 1999;10:1609–1612.
- 18 Hitiris N, Mohanraj R, Norrie J, Sills GJ, Brodie MJ: Predictors of pharmacoresistant epilepsy. Epilepsy Res 2007;75:192–196.
- 19 Kim WJ, Park SC, Lee SJ, Lee JH, Kim JY, Lee BI, Kim DI: The prognosis for control of seizures with medications in patients with MRI evidence for mesial temporal sclerosis. Epilepsia 1999;40:290–293.
- 20 Rosati A, Aghakhani Y, Bernasconi A, Olivier A, Andermann F, Gotman J, Dubeau F: Intractable temporal lobe epilepsy with rare spikes is less severe than with frequent spikes. Neurology 2003;60:1290–1295.
- 21 Wada K, Kiryu K, Kawata Y, Chiba T, Mizuno K, Okada M, Tasaki H, Fukushima Y, Saito F, Kaneko S: Prognosis and clinical features of intractable epilepsy: a prospective study. Psychiatry Clin Neurosci 1997;51: 233–235.

70

- 22 Binnie CD, Rowan AJ, Overweg J, Meinardi H, Wisman T, Kamp A, Lopes da Silva F: Telemetric EEG and video monitoring in epilepsy. Neurology 1981;31:298–303.
- 23 Cascino GD: Video-EEG monitoring in adults. Epilepsia 2002;43(suppl 3):80–93.
- 24 Brodie MJ: Diagnosing and predicting refractory epilepsy. Acta Neurol Scand Suppl 2005;181:36–39.
- 25 Faught E, Duh MS, Weiner JR, Guerin A, Cunnington MC: Nonadherence to antiepileptic drugs and increased mortality: findings from the RANSOM Study. Neurology 2008;71:1572–1578.
- 26 Bourgeois BFD: General concepts of medical intractability; in Lüders HO (ed): Epilepsy Surgery. Philadelphia, Lippincott, Williams & Wilkins, 2001, pp 63–68.
- 27 Rosenow F, Luders H: Presurgical evaluation of epilepsy. Brain 2001;124:1683–1700.
- 28 Engel J, Cascino G, Shields W: Surgically remediable syndromes; in Engel J, Pedley TA (eds): Epilepsy: A Comprehensive Textbook. Philadelphia, Lippincot-Raven, 1997, pp 1687–1696.
- 29 Wiebe S, Blume WT, Girvin JP, Eliasziw M: A randomized, controlled trial of surgery for temporal-lobe epilepsy. N Engl J Med 2001; 345:311–318.
- 30 Duncan JS: Epilepsy surgery. Clin Med 2007; 7:137–142.
- 31 Jeha LE, Najm IM, Bingaman WE, Khandwala F, Widdess-Walsh P, Morris HH, Dinner DS, Nair D, Foldvary-Schaeffer N, Prayson RA, Comair Y, O'Brien R, Bulacio J, Gupta A, Luders HO: Predictors of outcome after temporal lobectomy for the treatment of intractable epilepsy. Neurology 2006;66: 1938–1940.
- 32 Spencer S, Huh L: Outcomes of epilepsy surgery in adults and children. Lancet Neurol 2008;7:525–537.
- 33 McIntosh AM, Kalnins RM, Mitchell LA, Fabinyi GC, Briellmann RS, Berkovic SF: Temporal lobectomy: long-term seizure outcome, late recurrence and risks for seizure recurrence. Brain 2004;127:2018–2030.
- 34 Aull-Watschinger S, Pataraia E, Czech T, Baumgartner C: Outcome predictors for surgical treatment of temporal lobe epilepsy with hippocampal sclerosis. Epilepsia 2008; 49:1308–1316.
- 35 Mosewich RK, So EL, O'Brien TJ, Cascino GD, Sharbrough FW, Marsh WR, Meyer FB, Jack CR, O'Brien PC: Factors predictive of the outcome of frontal lobe epilepsy surgery. Epilepsia 2000;41:843–849.
- 36 Jeha LE, Najm I, Bingaman W, Dinner D, Widdess-Walsh P, Luders H: Surgical outcome and prognostic factors of frontal lobe epilepsy surgery. Brain 2007;130:574–584.

- 37 Gonzalez-Martinez JA, Gupta A, Kotagal P, Lachhwani D, Wyllie E, Luders HO, Bingaman WE: Hemispherectomy for catastrophic epilepsy in infants. Epilepsia 2005; 46:1518–1525.
- 38 Morris GL 3rd, Mueller WM: Long-term treatment with vagus nerve stimulation in patients with refractory epilepsy. The Vagus Nerve Stimulation Study Group E01-E05. Neurology 1999;53:1731–1735.
- 39 Spencer SS, Spencer DD, Williamson PD, Sass K, Novelly RA, Mattson RH: Corpus callosotomy for epilepsy. I. Seizure effects. Neurology 1988;38:19–24.
- 40 Neal EG, Chaffe H, Schwartz RH, Lawson MS, Edwards N, Fitzsimmons G, Whitney A, Cross JH: The ketogenic diet for the treatment of childhood epilepsy: a randomised controlled trial. Lancet Neurol 2008;7:500–506.
- 41 Luciano AL, Shorvon SD: Results of treatment changes in patients with apparently drug-resistant chronic epilepsy. Ann Neurol 2007;62:375–381.
- 42 French JA, Kanner AM, Bautista J, Abou-Khalil B, Browne T, Harden CL, Theodore WH, Bazil C, Stern J, Schachter SC, Bergen D, Hirtz D, Montouris GD, Nespeca M, Gidal B, Marks WJ Jr, Turk WR, Fischer JH, Bourgeois B, Wilner A, Faught RE Jr, Sachdeo RC, Beydoun A, Glauser TA: Efficacy and tolerability of the new antiepileptic drugs. II. Treatment of refractory epilepsy: report of the Therapeutics and Technology Assessment Subcommittee and Quality Standards Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology and the American Epilepsy Society. Neurology 2004;62:1261–1273.
- 43 Berg AT, Langfitt J, Shinnar S, Vickrey BG, Sperling MR, Walczak T, Bazil C, Pacia SV, Spencer SS: How long does it take for partial epilepsy to become intractable? Neurology 2003;60:186–190.
- 44 Schmidt D, Loscher W: Drug resistance in epilepsy: putative neurobiologic and clinical mechanisms. Epilepsia 2005;46:858–877.
- 45 Goodridge DM, Shorvon SD: Epileptic seizures in a population of 6,000. II. Treatment and prognosis. Br Med J (Clin Res Ed) 1983; 287:645–647.
- 46 Sillanpaa M, Schmidt D: Natural history of treated childhood-onset epilepsy: prospective, long-term population-based study. Brain 2006;129:617–624.
- 47 Anderson GD, Shen DD: Where is the evidence that P-glycoprotein limits brain uptake of antiepileptic drug and contributes to drug resistance in epilepsy? Epilepsia 2007; 48:2372–2374.
- 48 Leschziner GD, Andrew T, Leach JP, Chadwick D, Coffey AJ, Balding DJ, Bentley DR, Pirmohamed M, Johnson MR: Common *ABCB1* polymorphisms are not associated with multidrug resistance in epilepsy using a gene-wide tagging approach. Pharmacogenet Genomics 2007;17:217–220.

- 49 Jandova K, Pasler D, Antonio LL, Raue C, Ji S, Njunting M, Kann O, Kovacs R, Meencke HJ, Cavalheiro EA, Heinemann U, Gabriel S, Lehmann TN: Carbamazepine-resistance in the epileptic dentate gyrus of human hippocampal slices. Brain 2006;129:3290–3306.
- 50 Tate SK, Depondt C, Sisodiya SM, Cavalleri GL, Schorge S, Soranzo N, Thom M, Sen A, Shorvon SD, Sander JW, Wood NW, Goldstein DB: Genetic predictors of the maximum doses patients receive during clinical use of the anti-epileptic drugs carbamazepine and phenytoin. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2005;102:5507–5512.
- 51 Lazarowski A, Czornyj L, Lubienieki F, Girardi E, Vazquez S, D'Giano C: ABC transporters during epilepsy and mechanisms underlying multidrug resistance in refractory epilepsy. Epilepsia 2007;48(suppl 5):140–149.
- 52 Remy S, Beck H: Molecular and cellular mechanisms of pharmacoresistance in epilepsy. Brain 2006:129:18–35.
- 53 Rogawski MA, Johnson MR: Intrinsic severity as a determinant of antiepileptic drug refractoriness. Epilepsy Curr 2008;8:127–130.
- 54 Nilsen KE, Cock HR: Focal treatment for refractory epilepsy: hope for the future? Brain Res Brain Res Rev 2004;44:141–153.
- 55 Kwan P, Brodie MJ: Refractory epilepsy: mechanisms and solutions. Expert Rev Neurother 2006;6:397–406.
- 56 Hart MG, Grant R, Garside R, Rogers G, Somerville M, Stein K: Chemotherapeutic wafers for high grade glioma. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2008;CD007294.
- 57 Tamargo RJ, Rossell LA, Kossoff EH, Tyler BM, Ewend MG, Aryanpur JJ: The intracerebral administration of phenytoin using controlled-release polymers reduces experimental seizures in rats. Epilepsy Res 2002;48: 145–155.
- 58 Chabardès S, Minotti L, Chassagnon S, Piallat B, Torres N, Seigneuret E, Vercueil L, Carron R, Hirsch E, Kahane P, Benabid AL: Basal ganglia deep-brain stimulation for treatment of drug-resistant epilepsy: review and current data (in French). Neurochirurgie 2008;54:436–440.
- 59 Stein AG, Eder HG, Blum DE, Drachev A, Fisher RS: An automated drug delivery system for focal epilepsy. Epilepsy Res 2000;39: 103–114.
- 60 Mormann F, Andrzejak RG, Elger CE, Lehnertz K: Seizure prediction: the long and winding road. Brain 2007;130:314–333.