



This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: Mahmmod, Y.S., L. Svennesen, J. Katholm, K. Pedersen, and I.C. Klaas. 2019. "Bayesian Estimation Of Qpcr And Bacterial Culture Accuracy For Detection Of Bovine Coagulase-Negative Staphylococci From Milk And Teat Apex At Different Test Cut-Off Points". *Journal Of Applied Microbiology* 127 (2): 406-417. Wiley. doi:10.1111/jam.14309. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Use of Self-Archived Versions <http://www.wileyauthors.com/self-archiving>.

Document downloaded from:



1 **Bayesian Estimation of qPCR and Bacterial Culture Accuracy for Detection of Bovine**
2 **Coagulase-Negative Staphylococci from Milk and Teat Apex at Different Test Cut-off Points**

3

4 Running headline: **qPCR and Culture for non-aureus *Staphylococci* Diagnosis**

5

6

7 **Y. S. Mahmmod^{1,2,3*}, L. Svennesen¹, J. Katholm⁴, K. Pedersen^{5,6}, I.C. Klaas^{1,7}**

8 ¹ Department of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, University
9 of Copenhagen, DK-1870 Frederiksberg C, Denmark

10 ² Infectious Diseases, Department of Animal Medicine, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Zagazig
11 University, 44511-Zagazig, Sharkia Province, Egypt

12 ³ IRTA, Centre de Recerca en Sanitat Animal (CRESA-IRTA), Campus de la Universitat Autònoma
13 de Barcelona, 08193-Bellaterra, Barcelona, Spain (Current)

14 ⁴ DNA Diagnostic A/S, Voldbjergvej 14, 8240 Risskov, Denmark

15 ⁵ National Veterinary Institute, Technical University of Denmark, 2800 Kongens Lyngby, Denmark

16 ⁶ National Veterinary Institute, SE-751 89 Uppsala, Sweden (Current)

17 ⁷ DeLaval International AB, Tumba, Sweden (Current)

18

19

20 *** Corresponding author**

21 -----

22 Yasser S. Mahmmod

23 IRTA, Centre de Recerca en Sanitat Animal (CRESA-IRTA, UAB), Campus de la Universitat
24 Autònoma de Barcelona, 08193-Bellaterra, Barcelona, Spain (Current)

25 Email: yasser.mahmmod@irta.cat; yasser@sund.ku.dk

26 Mobile: 0034-612567320

27 ORCID: 0000-0002-1975-3454

28 **Abstract**

29 **Aim:** primarily to estimate the sensitivity (Se) and specificity (Sp) of the commercially available
30 Mastit4 qPCR assay and bacterial culture (BC) for diagnosis of intramammary infections (IMI) and
31 teat apex colonization (TAC) with coagulase-negative staphylococci (CNS) at different cut-offs for
32 qPCR cycle threshold (Ct) values using Bayesian latent class analysis (LCA). A secondary objective
33 was to evaluate two cut-offs of BC for diagnosis of IMI and TAC with CNS.

34 **Methods and Results:** we randomly selected 13 to 20 cows with subclinical mastitis from 8 dairy
35 herds. Teat skin samples and aseptically collected foremilk samples were collected from the right
36 hindquarters (n = 149) for BC and qPCR analysis. The Se of qPCR was always higher than BC_{Se} in
37 diagnosis of IMI, however; the Sp of BC was higher than qPCR_{Sp}. BC_{Se} and BC_{Sp} showed no
38 substantial difference between the tested BC cut-offs. In contrast to IMI, estimates of BC and qPCR
39 in diagnosing TAC were different. BC_{Se} was higher than qPCR_{Se} at all tested cut-offs, however;
40 qPCR_{Sp} was higher than BC_{Sp}.

41 **Conclusion:** the overall performance of qPCR is higher than BC in the diagnosis of IMI however;
42 the performance of BC is better than qPCR in diagnosis of TAC. The qPCR and BC are valid
43 diagnostics for bovine IMI with CNS. Whereas for TAC, both techniques require further investigation
44 to reduce the uncertainty of the true status of the quarter and teat skin.

45 **Significance and Impact of the Study:** we reported, for the first time, the diagnostic performance
46 of new mastitis technology (Mastit4 PCR) and culture for detection of CNS in milk and non-milk
47 samples in dairy herds with automatic milking systems. Our findings will improve the interpretation
48 of the test results of culture and qPCR assay and subsequently, will strengthen the control of IMI with
49 CNS in dairy cows.

50

51 **Keywords:** bovine mastitis; non-aureus staphylococci; teat skin colonization; diagnostic test
52 evaluation; sensitivity and specificity; latent class analysis

53

54 **Introduction**

55 Coagulase-Negative Staphylococci (CNS) are considered the most common cause of intramammary
56 infections (IMI) in dairy cows (Piepers *et al.* 2007; De Visscher *et al.* 2016). In Denmark, Katholm
57 *et al.* (2012) found staphylococci species including *Staphylococcus aureus* in 100% of the bulk tank
58 milk samples from all 4,258 Danish dairy herds in 2009 using a commercial real-time qPCR analysis.
59 Traditionally, CNS are considered as minor IMI pathogens in dairy cows. However, CNS that persist
60 in the mammary gland have a high antimicrobial resistance capacity and moderately increase milk
61 somatic cell count (Nobrega *et al.* 2018; Qu *et al.* 2019). Sørensen *et al.* (2010) estimated the
62 economic losses due to IMI with CNS to be € 380. Additionally, Bexiga *et al.* (2011a) found the
63 average cost to be € 38.7 for antimicrobial treated quarters with CNS subclinical mastitis. Beside its
64 important role in causing IMI, CNS have the ability to colonize extra-mammary habitats such as teat
65 apex and teat canal (Vanderhaeghen *et al.* 2014; Souza *et al.* 2016). Therefore, improving control
66 measures including efficient diagnostic methods for identifying CNS IMI is vital for improving of
67 udder health in dairy herds.

68 DNA-based molecular diagnostics such as quantitative PCR (qPCR) assays are increasingly
69 implemented as a routine method for mastitis control programs in the last few years in several
70 countries (Nyman *et al.* 2016; Timonen *et al.* 2017; Soltau *et al.* 2017). However, conventional
71 bacterial culture (BC) is still regarded as the gold standard for mastitis diagnosis and implemented in
72 routine diagnostic by most laboratories worldwide (Persson Waller 2013). Despite that, standard BC
73 may show few limitations such as time-consuming, and limited precision in bacterial species
74 identification (Ruegg 2009). Therefore, genotypic identification systems became an acceptable
75 diagnostic tool for most of the mastitis pathogens to overcome misclassification based on phenotypic
76 patterns.

77 Recent research showed that the different CNS species have different species-specific characteristics
78 in epidemiology and adaptation (Piessens *et al.* 2012). CNS species can be classified according to
79 their different characteristics into udder-adapted, opportunistic and environmental CNS (Supré *et al.*

80 2011; Piessens *et al.* 2012) or as virulent, protective and of no importance (Wilson *et al.* 2004;
81 Schukken *et al.* 2009). CNS species colonizing teat apex could play a role in relation to IMI, e.g. by
82 being a risk factor or having a protective role. Therefore, it is important to have highly accurate
83 diagnostic tools to identify CNS from teat skin as well as milk samples to identify the distribution of
84 CNS species in extramammary and intramammary sites and subsequently, their potential as causative
85 of IMI (Mahmmod *et al.* 2018a, b). In this regard, the application of molecular techniques would
86 provide sensitive, quantitative and large-scale routine mastitis diagnosis tools to evaluate the effect
87 of colonization on the probability of IMI (Svennesen *et al.* 2019).

88 The diagnostic accuracy of PCR-based methods has shown high sensitivity (Se) and specificity (Sp)
89 in detection of bacteria in milk, compared to conventional BC for many udder pathogens such as *S.*
90 *aureus*, *Streptococcus agalactiae* and *Streptococcus uberis* (Cederlof *et al.* 2012; Mahmmod *et al.*
91 2013a,b; Nyman *et al.* 2016; Steele *et al.* 2017; Holmøy *et al.* 2018). Using latent class analysis
92 (LCA) approach, Nyman *et al.* (2016) estimated the Se and Sp of PCR (PathoProof™ PCR) and BC
93 for identification of IMI with CNS in Swedish dairy herds, although that PCR test type did not directly
94 measure CNS but all *Staphylococcus* species that then were evaluated against the *S. aureus* content.
95 However, the estimates of the qPCR assay were based on composite, non-aseptically collected milk
96 samples from milk test day (DHI) with the risk of false positive results due to contamination or carry-
97 over effects (Mahmmod *et al.* 2014, 2017). Using the “Gold standard” approach, Dohoo *et al.* (2011)
98 evaluated several definitions for classifying a quarter as having, or not having an IMI with CNS by
99 comparing the results from a single culture to a gold standard diagnosis based on a set of three milk
100 samples. However, a major drawback of using imperfect reference test is that the index test’s
101 characteristics are subject to selection and information bias (Dohoo 2014; Haine *et al.* 2018).

102 In the absence of a reasonable reference test or true gold standard for detection of CNS with known
103 Se and Sp, Bayesian LCA provides an invaluable option for the estimation of Se and Sp of two or
104 more tests without any assumption about the underlying true disease status of each subject (Hui and
105 Walter 1980). To the best of our knowledge, there is no available literature that quantifies the

106 diagnostic performance of BC and real-time qPCR, for the detection of CNS from aseptically
107 collected quarter milk (indicator for IMI) and teat apex (indicator for teat apex colonization, TAC) in
108 dairy herds with automatic milking systems (AMS). The primary objective of this cross-sectional
109 field study was to estimate the diagnostic Se and Sp of the commercially available Mastit4 qPCR
110 assay and BC for diagnosis of IMI and TAC with CNS at different cut-offs for cycle threshold (Ct)
111 values within a Bayesian framework. A secondary objective was to evaluate two cut-offs of BC based
112 on colony forming units (CFU) for diagnosis of IMI and TAC with CNS.

113

114 **Materials and Methods**

115 **Study population**

116 During the period from February to May 2017, eight dairy herds with Danish Holstein cows were
117 selected for participating in a project to investigate the epidemiology and diagnostics of mastitis
118 pathogens in Danish dairy herds (Svennesen *et al.* 2019). To be eligible for inclusion in the present
119 study, herds had to have AMS with ≥ 3 milking robots and BTM qPCR Ct-value ≤ 32 for
120 *Streptococcus agalactiae*. Between 30 and 40 lactating dairy cows were selected randomly from each
121 herd. These cows were randomly selected among those with a SCC $> 200,000$ cells/mL at the
122 preceding milk recording, and with no clinical mastitis or antimicrobial treatment four weeks prior to
123 sample collection. From every second sampled cow (odd laboratory running numbers), teat skin swab
124 and aseptic milk samples were taken from right hind quarter for laboratory investigation (Mahmmod
125 *et al.* 2018a).

126

127 **Quarter samples collection**

128 Each herd was visited once to collect teat swab samples and aseptically collected quarter foremilk
129 samples for BC and qPCR. The farmers were asked to separate the selected cows. Cows were fixed
130 in head lockers during sampling. After cleaning the teats with dry paper towel, the teat swab samples
131 were collected followed by the quarter foremilk samples. Teat swab samples were collected according

132 to the modified wet-dry method (Paduch *et al.* 2013). Briefly, the first swab (Dakla Pack[®]) was
133 moistened with ¼ Ringer’s solution (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) and rotated 360° around the teat
134 about 1 cm from the teat canal orifice. The same procedure was carried out with the dry swab.
135 Immediately after sampling, the tips of both swabs were transferred into a tube with 2 mL of sterile
136 Ringer’s solution.

137 Quarter milk samples were collected directly after harvesting the teat swab samples according to
138 National Mastitis Council (NMC 1999) guidelines. Briefly, the teat end was thoroughly disinfected
139 with cotton swabs drenched with ethanol (70%). Individual quarter foremilk samples were then
140 aseptically collected in sterile screw cap plastic tubes. Before sampling procedures, latex gloves were
141 worn and changed after each cow. Tubes containing the teat skin swabs and milk samples were stored
142 at max 5°C until laboratory analysis the following day.

143

144 **Diagnostic procedures**

145 **Phenotypic identification**

146 Bacterial culture of milk samples was conducted in accordance with National Mastitis Council
147 recommendations (NMC 1999). After vortexing, 0.01 mL of the milk sample from each quarter was
148 streaked out with disposable, calibrated bacterial loops on a quarter of a calf blood agar plate.
149 Simultaneously, another 0.01 mL of the same sample was streaked out on chromogenic agar plates
150 selective for staphylococci (SaSelect[™], Bio-Rad, Marnes-la-Coquette, France). Bacterial culture of
151 teat swab samples was performed according to the procedures of Paduch *et al.* (2013). Briefly, the
152 teat swab sample was vortexed before removing the swab tips from the tubes. A total of 0.1 mL of a
153 swab solution was inoculated onto the agar plates and was evenly spread with a sterile Drigalski
154 spatula onto the agar surface of calf blood agar plates and SaSelect[™] media for each quarter.

155 All the inoculated plates were labelled with the laboratory running number and quarter and were then
156 incubated aerobically at 37 °C for 48h. All plates were examined for growth of CNS colonies after
157 24h and 48h. CNS species were identified on blood agar based on the phenotypic characteristics of

158 their colonies including morphology (round, glossy) according to the National Mastitis Council
159 recommendations (NMC 2004) and were confirmed based on their color on the selective media
160 according to the manufacturer's guidelines. The counts (CFU) of CNS species identified on the
161 selective medium "SaSelect™" were recorded at the quarter level for both milk and teat swab
162 samples. Because the inoculum size was standardized, we considered these counts to be approximate
163 counts for choosing the BC cut-offs (Mahmmod et al. 2015). We only regarded quarter milk samples
164 and teat skin swabs having cut-off ≥ 5 CFU of CNS on the selective agar plate (Thorberg *et al.* 2009).
165 Maximum three isolates with different colony colors on the selective agar per sample were regarded
166 for further identification at species level using Matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time of
167 flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF) assay (Mahmmod *et al.* 2018b). The bacteria were prepared
168 for mass spectrometry analysis according to a standard extraction protocol using formic acid (Bizzini
169 *et al.* 2010), as recommended by the manufacturer.

170

171 **Genotypic identification (qPCR assay)**

172 Two qPCR swabs (DNA Diagnostic FLOQ Swabs) were immersed in the corresponding milk and
173 teat skin sample immediately after plating. The swabs were shipped to the laboratory of DNA
174 Diagnostic A/S on the same day that BC was performed, for analysis 1 or 2 days later. A commercial
175 real-time qPCR assay (M4BD, DNA Diagnostic A/S, Risskov, Denmark) was used for qPCR analysis
176 to detect bacterial DNA directly from the milk and teat swab samples. According to the manufacturer,
177 the qPCR assay was developed to target CNS species with a detection limit of 10 CFU/mL at cut-off
178 <40 , 10.000 CFU/mL at cut-off <27 , and 1.000.000 CFU/mL at cut-off <19.5 (Katholm, personal
179 communication). One of the FLOQ Swabs were added directly to the deep well plate and stayed in
180 the deep well for the first 10 min heating step before being removed. This corresponds to around 250
181 μ l sample volume added (Timonen *et al.* 2017). Ct-values were reported for all samples. The Ct-value
182 represents the number of qPCR cycles required to reach the set threshold fluorescence signal level.
183 The thermal cycling protocol for the qPCR assay involved 40 cycles for the reaction where the higher

184 the number of bacteria present in the milk sample, the lower the Ct-value obtained with the assay.
185 The assay included negative DNA extraction controls, internal amplification standard (positive qPCR
186 controls), and non-template control. The laboratory personnel were blinded concerning cow identity
187 and result of the corresponding BC.

188

189 **Test categorization**

190 To evaluate the diagnostic performance of BC, we used two BC cut-offs (≥ 5 and ≥ 10 colonies per
191 quarter) for defining the positivity for CNS IMI and TAC. A quarter was defined as positive in milk
192 (= IMI), if at least 5 colonies or 10 colonies of CNS were identified on the selective medium,
193 corresponding to 500 CFU/ml or 1000 CFU/ml, respectively. Likewise, a quarter was defined as
194 positive on teat apex (= TAC) if at least 5 colonies of CNS were identified, corresponding to 50
195 CFU/mL of the teat swab sample solution. To evaluate the diagnostic performance of qPCR, the LCA
196 analysis was run separately for each of the qPCR cut-off values under investigation (i.e. <40 , ≤ 37 ,
197 ≤ 34 , ≤ 32 and ≤ 29) against the two BC cut-offs for diagnosis of IMI and TAC.

198

199 **Statistical analysis**

200 Out of 150 eligible cows, one cow was excluded for the reason of having a dry right hind quarter. A
201 total of 149 cows with complete observations for both qPCR and BC tests from milk and teat skin
202 samples from right hind quarters were subjected to the LCA. We followed the guidelines for reporting
203 of diagnostic accuracy in studies that use Bayesian LCA (Kostoulas *et al.* 2017). We used the results
204 of qPCR assay and BC to implement in Bayesian LCA model to estimate the Se and Sp of each test
205 for diagnosing IMI and TAC of CNS in dairy cattle. Essentially, Bayesian LCA models (Branscum
206 *et al.* 2005) are based on the paradigm described by Hui and Walter (1980), which includes three key
207 assumptions; 1) the target population should consist of two or more subpopulations with differing
208 prevalences, 2) there should be a constant Se and Sp of the index tests across the subpopulations, and
209 3) the tests under evaluation should be conditionally independent given the disease status. We divided

210 our study population into two subpopulations of herds with different densities according to
211 geography/location, because we assumed that geography would not influence Se and Sp. Population
212 1 refers to herds (H) located in Northern Denmark including H1, H2, H6, H7 and H8, while population
213 2 refers to herds located in Southern Denmark including H3, H4, and H5. The Hui-Walter 2-test 2-
214 populations model was used to simultaneous estimate Se and Sp of qPCR and BC, as well as the
215 prevalence of the investigated disease in each of the two subpopulations.

216

217 The Bayesian model was implemented in OpenBUGS software, version 3.2.3 rev 1012 (Thomas *et*
218 *al.* 2006) to estimate the test parameters and population prevalence. This software uses a Markov
219 Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) sampling algorithm to obtain a Monte Carlo sample from the posterior
220 distribution of all model parameters. The first 10.000 samples were discarded as burn-in to allow
221 convergence. The following 20,000 iterations of the model were used for posterior inference.
222 Convergence of the MCMC chain was assessed by visual inspection of the time-series plots of
223 selected variables as well as by inspecting Gelman-Rubin diagnostic plots and autocorrelation plots
224 using three sample chains with different initial values (Toft *et al.* 2007). There is no published
225 evidence of the diagnostic properties for the tests under comparison, when applied to identify, the
226 target condition IMI and TAC with CNS in dairy cows from AMS herds. Thus, we have chosen to
227 use uninformative priors in the shape of uniform distributions on the interval between zero and one,
228 using the Beta (1,1) distribution to fit the model. The posterior distribution of the test properties (Se
229 and Sp for qPCR and BC) and the prevalence in the two subpopulations were reported as the median
230 and corresponding 95% posterior credibility interval (PCI, the Bayesian analog of a confidence
231 interval).

232

233 **Results**

234 No marked difference was detected in the distribution of CNS at qPCR cut-offs ≤ 40 and ≤ 37
235 therefore, we presented the distribution and results at qPCR cut-offs ≤ 37 , ≤ 34 , ≤ 32 and ≤ 29 . Results

236 of cross-tabulated dichotomous outcome of qPCR and BC for detection of CNS at the different cut-
237 offs are displayed in Table 1 (IMI) and Table 2 (TAC). The estimates of posterior median and 95%
238 PCI of true prevalence and Se and Sp of qPCR and BC for detection of CNS at the different cut-offs
239 for two tests are displayed in Table 3 and 4.

240 As for the target condition “IMI with CNS”, $qPCR_{Se}$ was always higher than BC_{Se} , however; BC_{Sp}
241 was higher than $qPCR_{Sp}$ at all tested cut-offs (Table 3). BC estimates were changed by changing the
242 BC cut-off. Estimates of BC_{Se} and BC_{Sp} showed no substantial variations across the high qPCR cut-
243 offs, whereas at cut-off ≤ 29 an obvious increase in BC_{Se} was prominent, Table 3. BC_{Sp} was decreasing
244 by decreasing qPCR cut-offs, whereas $qPCR_{Sp}$ was increasing. Estimates of BC_{Se} and BC_{Sp} showed
245 no substantial difference between the tested BC cut-offs.

246 In contrast to IMI condition, estimates of BC and qPCR in diagnosing TAC with CNS were different
247 and characterized by very wide PCIs. BC_{Se} was higher than $qPCR_{Se}$ at all tested cut-offs, however;
248 $qPCR_{Sp}$ was higher than BC_{Sp} (Table 4). At higher qPCR cut-offs (≤ 37), the difference between
249 $qPCR_{Se}$ and BC_{Se} was lower than the difference between their estimates at low qPCR cut-offs (≤ 29),
250 (Table 4). For example, at BC cut-off ≥ 5 , the BC_{Se} estimate was 85% and higher than the estimate at
251 cut-off ≥ 10 (78%), whereas for BC_{Sp} , it was the other way around. BC and qPCR estimates were
252 affected with changing cut-offs of BC and qPCR, indicating that IMI and TAC definition is associated
253 with the chosen cut-off. The range of 95% PCI of the estimates of qPCR and BC for diagnosis of
254 TAC was obviously wider than those ranges for estimates of qPCR and BC for diagnosis of IMI.
255 Moreover, the range of 95% PCI of BC estimates was wider than the 95% PCI range of qPCR
256 estimates for diagnosis of TAC.

257

258 **Discussion**

259 **Estimates of qPCR and BC for IMI**

260 Our findings showed that both tests are equally highly specific for detection of CNS from milk,
261 whereas qPCR has a higher Se than BC at all the tested cut-offs for qPCR and BC. This is consistent

262 with previous studies, which found that PCR shows a higher Se than BC for detecting bacteria in milk
263 samples (Taponen *et al.* 2009; Shome *et al.* 2011; Keane *et al.* 2013).

264 The Se and Sp estimates of qPCR (94% and 77%) are comparable with estimates of Nyman *et al.*
265 (2016), whereas our estimates of BC were higher than their estimates. That variation could be argued
266 by the difference in the study design, inclusion criteria and sample size of study population, definition
267 of IMI with CNS in milk, and sample type for BC and qPCR (composite Vs quarter). In their research,
268 Nyman *et al.* (2016) used cut-off ≤ 37 for qPCR and ≥ 3 CFU/mL for BC to define IMI with CNS.
269 Furthermore, a different PCR test, the PathoProof test kit (Finnzymes Oy, ThermoFischer, Finland)
270 was used, which may have a different DNA purification method and/or identification system for
271 staphylococci species compared to the CNS in the Mastit4 qPCR test. Hiitiö *et al.* (2015) concluded
272 that when using PCR as the only microbiological method for mastitis diagnostics **in normally**
273 **aseptically collected quarter samples**, low amounts of minor pathogens such as CNS should be
274 ignored. In our study, on aseptically taken quarter milk samples, we found that Se estimate of qPCR
275 was higher than that of BC. This finding may be applicable to low and high SCC cows, but keeping
276 in mind our selection criteria (cows with high SCC >200.000 cell/ml), the findings could be more
277 relevant for those cows that meet such criterion. Using a conjoint analysis approach, Andersen *et al.*
278 (2010) reported that 20% of the times when CNS were isolated on the test day, the quarter was
279 considered IMI-negative according to the consensus standard rules (i.e., a- the organism of interest
280 was isolated on the test day with 10 colonies or more, and b- the organism of interest was isolated at
281 least twice out of 3 consecutive weekly tests). This finding could have different reasons such as a)
282 culture with staphylococci in quarters with subclinical IMI, often have less than 10 colonies, b) CNS
283 clear spontaneously from the quarter (Ruegg 2009; Taponen and Pyörälä 2009), and c) CNS are not
284 growing/ detected at every sampling time point. The finding of Andersen *et al.* (2010) and subsequent
285 explanations could explain the difference between our study findings (quarter level, cross-sectional)
286 and those of Hiitiö *et al.* (2015) at quarter level and Nyman *et al.* (2016) at cow level. Dohoo *et al.*
287 (2011) evaluated several definitions for classifying a quarter as having, or not having an IMI with

288 CNS by comparing the results from a single bacterial culture to a gold standard diagnosis based on a
289 set of three weekly taken milk samples. Our Sp estimates of BC at the two BC cut-offs (≥ 5 and ≥ 10)
290 were 92%, and 94%, while those estimates reported by Dohoo *et al.* (2011) at cut-off definitions ≥ 2 ,
291 and ≥ 10 were all above 99% for those quarters with minimum SCC threshold 200,000 cells/mL,
292 which are the same selection criteria as in the current study. Meanwhile, our Se estimates of BC,
293 regardless the BC cut-offs, were higher than those estimates obtained by Dohoo *et al.* (2011) based
294 on the gold standard approach. This difference could be explained by the use of an imperfect reference
295 test that result in misclassification bias (Toft *et al.* 2005). Using traditional diagnostic test evaluation,
296 Reyher and Dohoo (2011) reported Se (60%) and Sp (83%) estimates for CNS IMI based on
297 composite milk samples at cut-off ≥ 1 CFU for IMI. Our BC estimates at the tested cut-offs showed
298 higher Se (above 63%) but also higher Sp (above 92%).

299

300 Several factors could explain the variation between our estimates of Se and Sp for BC and qPCR tests
301 for IMI and TAC. For example, time of sampling for BC and qPCR (test day versus early lactation
302 sampling), sampling type (quarter versus composite sampling), and study design could have a
303 substantial impact on the test performance. Additionally, udder health management practices and
304 milking system type (conventional versus automatic) as well as the choice of qPCR Ct-value cut-off,
305 type of PCR, and IMI definitions on BC may have an effect. Previous research demonstrated that
306 management of udder health under conventional milking systems differs from AMS (Dohmen *et al.*
307 2010; Sørensen *et al.* 2016). Cows in AMS can be milked up to 5 times daily leading to frequent
308 exposure of the teat skin to the teat milking preparations and disinfectants, which may affect the teat
309 apex microbiota. Furthermore, application of teat spray in the AMS is imperfect compared to routine
310 teat dipping in conventional system. Hovinen and Pyörälä (2011) showed that CNS was reported the
311 most common cause of IMI in cows milked in AMS. In this study, only cows with elevated SCC were
312 included, which could have increased the probability of CNS detection by qPCR and BC and thus,
313 increased Se estimates compared to Dohoo *et al.* (2011). Svennesen *et al.* (2018) stated that sampling

314 cows only with SCC > 200,000 cells/mL may have increased the frequency of IMI and the test
315 performance due to an increased chance of a high concentration of bacteria in IMI quarters with an
316 active infection. In line with this conclusion, Condas *et al.* (2017a) reported that *S. chromogenes*, *S.*
317 *simulans*, *S. xylosum*, *S. haemolyticus*, *S. epidermidis*, *S. agnetis*, *S. arlettae*, *S. capitis*, *S. gallinarum*,
318 *S. sciuri*, and *S. warneri* were more prevalent in high than in low SCC udder quarters.

319
320 IMI with CNS are less severe and/ or mild in comparison to contagious pathogens (e.g., *S. aureus* and
321 *Streptococcus agalactiae*). Furthermore, most of research studies consider the presence of a single
322 CFU of contagious mastitis pathogens or major pathogens as an acceptable definition of IMI, whereas
323 the presence of a single or double CFU of CNS may not be considered as an indicator of IMI with
324 CNS, which requires urgent interventions. Therefore, higher cut-offs are reported to define IMI with
325 CNS, such as ≥ 5 CFU/mL for CNS (Thorberg *et al.* 2009; Bexiga *et al.* 2011b) and ≥ 10 CFU/mL
326 (Tomazi *et al.* 2015; Dolder *et al.* 2017; Condas *et al.* 2017b). Additionally, TAC with CNS species
327 increases the risk of false positive samples (Friman *et al.* 2017) and therefore, finding of few CFU in
328 milk can be labelled as “contamination” rather than true IMI and thus, be disregarded. Consequently,
329 higher CFU cut-offs to increase Sp at the cost of lower Se may be preferable in udder health
330 management.

331

332 **Estimates of qPCR and BC for TAC**

333 Our findings showed that both tests succeeded in detecting CNS from the teat apex. BC showed a
334 higher Se than qPCR, but lower Sp across the tested cut-offs in diagnosis of TAC with CNS. The
335 detectable high Se of BC and low Se of qPCR in detection of CNS from teat skin can be argued by
336 many factors. For instance, a) the inoculum volume submitted for the diagnostic test was 0.1 mL of
337 a swab solution for BC, and around 0.25 mL for the qPCR, but in the extraction and lysis process the
338 test volume is reduced 12 times so this is compared to 0.02 mL, and b) the sampling technique, which
339 seems to influence largely the amount of extracted DNA. To test the latter assumption, a set of 73

340 CNS isolates from teat skin were preserved in glycerol at -80°C (data not shown) and identified to
341 species level using MALDI-TOF assay. These isolates were subjected to the same qPCR assay at
342 DNA Diagnostic's laboratory for validation. The results showed that the qPCR assay identifies
343 successfully all the submitted CNS isolates with high accuracy indicating that the reported low Se is
344 probably due to effect of sampling technique. We reported the full description of 15 CNS species
345 isolated from the teat skin samples in Mahmmod *et al.* (2018b) including *S. arlettae*, *S. capitis*, *S.*
346 *chromogenes*, *S. cohnii*, *S. epidermidis*, *S. equorum*, *S. haemolyticus*, *S. hominis*, *S. piscifermentans*,
347 *S. saprophyticus*, *S. sciuri*, *S. succinus*, *S. vitulinus*, *S. warneri*, and *S. xylosus*. Using the same study
348 design, Skjølstrup *et al.* (2018) reported that Se of qPCR on teat skin was 30% for the identification
349 of *S. aureus* compared with 91% for a sampling method where special qPCR swabs "FLOQ swabs"
350 were directly applied on the teat skin for swabbing. FLOQ swabs differ from the ordinary cotton
351 swabs by consisting of short nylon fibers, which do not absorb material but facilitates uptake of fluid
352 while keeping material close to the surface to allow rapid elution. This indicates that sampling
353 technique has an important impact on qPCR test results and that collecting the teat swab samples for
354 qPCR using FLOQ swabs will considerably improve the Se of qPCR. According to the manufacturer,
355 the detection of bacteria isolates directly in water samples is inefficient with the Mastit4 qPCR. We
356 therefore, think that testing of the fluid from the teat swab samples, despite being collected with the
357 FLOQ swab, is still a water sample, which may contain too little cells or other debris that make the
358 bacterial detection from the pellet in the two centrifugation steps of the Mastit4 test inefficient for the
359 water samples. This argument gives a plausible explanation to the obtained low Se of qPCR for TAC
360 with CNS. Previous studies concluded that the sampling technique based on an experimental set-up
361 using needle puncture (Hiitiö *et al.* 2016) or through cannula (Friman *et al.* 2017) has an obvious
362 effect on the results of microbiological methods and PCR-based techniques for bovine mastitis
363 diagnosis. A huge variety of bacterial communities are sharing the teat skin habitat and form the
364 normal microbiota system (Falentin *et al.* 2016). Therefore, identification of CNS species using
365 phenotypic methods from the teat skin is a challenge.

366 In our recent study, Svennesen *et al.* (2018) reported a different pattern of the Se and Sp estimates
367 for qPCR and BC of *Streptococcus agalactiae* (qPCR: 0.97 and 0.96 – BC: 0.33 and 1.00) and *S.*
368 *aureus* (qPCR: 0.94 and 0.98 – BC: 0.44 and 0.74) in teat skin samples, respectively. The remarkable
369 variation between our estimates in this study and our previous study (Svennesen *et al.* 2018) could
370 be argued by difference in the target pathogen (contagious versus environmental), and cut-off
371 detection limit for qPCR (Ct value ≤ 37 versus multiple Ct value cut-offs) and BC (single colony
372 versus ≥ 5 colonies).

373

374 The Se and Sp of the selective agar (SaSelect™) for staphylococci species was 98% and 99.9%
375 according to the manufacturer manual but could perform differently for teat skin samples than milk.
376 According to the manufacturer's instructions, the majority of microorganisms, other than
377 staphylococci, are inhibited. However, *Corynebacterium*, bacillus, certain gram-negative rods, and
378 yeasts can sometimes grow on SaSelect™ agar. In this regard, MALDI-TOF identified 6% (25/396)
379 of the submitted teat skin isolates, which were originally identified as CNS on culture as *Bacillus*
380 *pumilis*, *Aerococcus viridans*, or *Corynebacterium stationis* (Mahmmod *et al.* 2018b). We, therefore,
381 think that some of the colonies identified as CNS on BC could be other bacterial species, which were
382 misidentified as CNS.

383 Typically, molecular methods such as qPCR can target the CNS species for which they have been
384 designed based on their relevance for udder health, whereas in BC most species able to grow- whether
385 it is pathogenic or commensal - can potentially be identified (Koskinen *et al.* 2010). Although Se of
386 BC was higher than Se of qPCR, it was noted that the range of 95% PCI of the estimates of BC was
387 wider than 95% PCI range of qPCR estimates for diagnosis of TAC, which makes our reliance on
388 qPCR is preferable. Moreover, BC at its best performance, is still a phenotypic identification method
389 that may have some shortcomings such as need for experienced laboratory personnel, and subjectivity
390 despite the standardized procedures (Sears and McCarthy 2003). Additionally, other confirmatory
391 tests (e.g., biochemical identification) are needed for definite identification of colonies are necessary.

392 **Test properties and cut-offs**

393 The Se and Sp estimates of BC within the frame of each BC cut-off were not affected or changed
394 much by changing the qPCR cut-offs confirming that BC is measuring the same target (i.e., viable
395 cells of CNS), unlike the target of qPCR. The same pattern was also noted for qPCR estimates where
396 Se and Sp estimates of qPCR within the frame of each BC cut-off were not proportionally changed
397 by changing the BC cut-offs confirming that qPCR is measuring the same target (i.e., DNA of CNS),
398 apart from the detection target of BC. This comes in agreement with the findings of previous studies
399 (Mahmmod *et al.* 2013a; Soltau *et al.* 2017). One of the main different aspects between the qPCR
400 analysis and BC is that qPCR analysis detects DNA, whereas BC detects only viable bacteria. Thus,
401 the detected DNA by qPCR can come from bacteria that are viable or killed by the immune system,
402 or, in case of TAC, by teat spray applied after milking. Cressier and Bissonnette (2011) added that,
403 unlike BC, qPCR detects bacterial DNA, which means that it is not dependent on the viability of
404 bacteria which creates difficulty when interpreting whether a positive qPCR result is significant.
405 However, the Mastit4 qPCR test is not detecting free DNA in the milk sample. Only DNA inside
406 viable cells or intact dead cells that still house the DNA is centrifuged to a pellet and then later
407 released from the cells in the lysis process.

408

409 **Model assumptions**

410 When applying LCA to estimate test performance of diagnostic tests, there are model assumptions
411 and conditions to consider. The first implied assumption of LCA model is that the two tests (qPCR
412 and BC) are conditionally independent given disease status. This assumption is fulfilled because
413 qPCR and BC have different biological identification mechanisms. Furthermore, no culturing was
414 involved in the qPCR procedure. The second assumption that the test characteristics (Se and Sp)
415 should be constant across the tested populations was fulfilled as herd geographical location would
416 not affect test characteristics. The final assumption is that prevalence of infection/disease status
417 should differ between populations. We assumed a priori that the apparent prevalences of CNS IMI

418 and/or TAC differs among the eight herds due to different herd management. That assumption was
419 verified, as posterior estimates of prevalences in our study were markedly different (Table 3 and Table
420 4).

421 In conclusion, we estimated the Se and Sp of both the conventional BC and the qPCR assay for
422 diagnosis of IMI and TAC with CNS at different cut-offs for qPCR and BC using latent class analysis
423 approach. The qPCR showed a higher Se than BC across the tested cut-offs and, hence holds better
424 promise for routine use in diagnosis of IMI with CNS. BC showed a higher Se than qPCR but lower
425 Sp across the tested cut-offs in diagnosis of TAC with CNS. However, it appears that BC and qPCR
426 are partially detecting the same CNS from teat skin. Further research may be necessary to investigate
427 the sampling technique for qPCR on teat skin. To conclude, qPCR and BC are valid diagnostics for
428 identification of CNS in milk. However, the overall performance of qPCR was better than BC
429 suggesting its usefulness for diagnosis of IMI with CNS. For detection of CNS in teat skin, BC
430 showed a higher performance than qPCR however, both techniques require further investigation to
431 reduce the uncertainty of the true status of the quarter and teat skin.

432

433 **Acknowledgments**

434 The study was part of the research project 'STOPMAST' financed by Milk Levy Foundation. DNA
435 diagnostic A/S supported the PCR analysis. We gratefully acknowledge the efforts of Nanna
436 Skjølstrup (University of Copenhagen), Louise Mathiasen (University of Copenhagen), and Bettina
437 Nonnemann (Technical University of Denmark) for helping with laboratory procedures. Thanks to
438 the Danish farmers for making their cows available for our study. Thanks to the laboratory technicians
439 for their technical and logistic support.

440

441 **Declaration of Interests**

442 Our co-author Jørgen Katholm is affiliated with DNA Diagnostic A/S, which provided us with the
443 PCR swabs and ran the qPCR analyses in their laboratory. We confirm that the laboratory personnel

444 was blinded to the sample identification and results of bacterial culture, and that the company had no
445 impact on the data handling or statistical analysis. Therefore, DNA Diagnostic A/S could not bias the
446 contents of this paper.

447

448 **References**

449 Andersen, S., Dohoo, I.R., Olde Riekerink, R. and Stryhn, H. (2010) Mastitis Research Workers'
450 Conference. Diagnosing intramammary infections: evaluating expert opinions on the definition of
451 intramammary infection using conjoint analysis. *J Dairy Sci* **93**, 2966-2975.

452 Bexiga, R., Ellis, K.A., Vilela, C.L. and Mellor, D.J. (2011a). Deterministic model to evaluate the
453 impact of lactational treatment of subclinical mastitis due to coagulase-negative staphylococci. *J*
454 *Dairy Res* **78**, 318-25.

455 Bexiga, R., Koskinen, M.T., Holopainen, J., Carneiro, C., Pereira, H., Ellis, K.A. and Vilela, C.L.
456 (2011b) Diagnosis of intramammary infection in samples yielding negative results or minor
457 pathogens in conventional bacterial culturing. *J Dairy Res* **78**, 49-55.

458 Bizzini, A., Durussel, C., Bille, J., Greub, G. and Prodhom, G. (2010) Performance of matrix-assisted
459 laser desorption/ ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry for identification of bacterial strains
460 routinely isolated in a clinical microbiology laboratory. *J Clin Microbiol* **48**, 1549–1554.

461 Branscum, A.J., Gardner, I.A. and Johnson, W.O. (2005) Estimation of diagnostic-test sensitivity and
462 specificity through Bayesian modeling. *Prev. Vet. Med.* **68**, 145–163.

463 Cederlof, S.E., Toft, N., Aalbaek, B. and Klaas, I.C. (2012) Latent class analysis of the diagnostic
464 characteristics of PCR and conventional bacteriological culture in diagnosing intramammary
465 infections caused by *Staphylococcus aureus* in dairy cows at dry off. *Acta Vet Scand* **54**, 65.

466 Condas, L.A.Z., De Buck, J., Nobrega, D.B., Carson, D.A., Naushad, S., De Vliegher, S., Zadoks,
467 R.N., Middleton, J.R., Dufour, S., Kastelic, J.P. and Barkema, H.W. (2017b) Prevalence of non-
468 aureus staphylococci species causing intramammary infections in Canadian dairy herds. *J Dairy*
469 *Sci* **100**, 5592-5612.

470 Condas, L.A.Z., De Buck, J., Nobrega, D.B., Carson, D.A., Roy, J.P., Keefe, G.P., DeVries, T.J.,
471 Middleton, J.R., Dufour, S. Barkema, H.W. (2017a) Distribution of non-aureus staphylococci
472 species in udder quarters with low and high somatic cell count, and clinical mastitis. *J Dairy Sci*
473 **100**, 5613-5627.

474 Cressier, B. and Bissonnette, N. (2011) Assessment of an extraction protocol to detect the major
475 mastitis-causing pathogens in bovine milk. *J Dairy Sci* **94**, 2171–2184.

476 De Visscher, A., Piepers, S., Haesebrouck, F. and De Vliegher, S. (2016) Intramammary infection
477 with coagulase-negative staphylococci at parturition: Species-specific prevalence, risk factors, and
478 effect on udder health. *J Dairy Sci* **99**, 6457-6469.

479 Dohmen, W., Neijenhuis F. and Hogeveen, H. (2010) Relationship between udder health and hygiene
480 on farms with an automatic milking system. *J Dairy Sci* **93**, 4019-33.

481 Dohoo, I.R. (2014) Bias--is it a problem, and what should we do? *Prev Vet Med* **113**, 331-337.

482 Dohoo, I.R., Smith, J., Andersen, S., Kelton, D.F. and Godden, S. (2011) Diagnosing intramammary
483 infections: evaluation of definitions based on a single milk sample. *J Dairy Sci* **94**, 250–261.

484 Dolder, C., van den Borne, B.H.P., Traversari, J., Thomann, A., Perreten, V. and Bodmer, M. (2017)
485 Quarter- and cow-level risk factors for intramammary infection with coagulase-negative
486 staphylococci species in Swiss dairy cows. *J Dairy Sci* **100**, 5653-5663

487 Falentin, H., Rault, L., Nicolas, A., Bouchard, D.S., Lassalas, J., Lambertson, P., Aubry, J-M., Marnet,
488 P-G., Le Loir, Y. and Even, S. (2016) Bovine teat microbiome analysis revealed reduced alpha
489 diversity and significant changes in taxonomic profiles in quarters with a history of mastitis. *Front*
490 *Microbiol* **7**, 480.

491 Friman, M., Hiitiö, H., Niemi, M., Holopainen, J., Pyörälä, S. and Simojoki, H. (2017) The effect of
492 a cannula milk sampling technique on the microbiological diagnosis of bovine mastitis. *Vet J* **226**,
493 57-61.

494 Haine, D., Dohoo, I., Scholl, D. and Dufour, S. (2018) Diagnosing intramammary infection:
495 Controlling misclassification bias in longitudinal udder health studies. *Prev Vet Med* **150**, 162-
496 167.

497 Hiitiö, H., Riva, R., Autio, T., Pohjanvirta, T., Holopainen, J., Pyörälä, S. and Pelkonen, S. (2015)
498 Performance of a real-time PCR assay in routine bovine mastitis diagnostics compared with in-
499 depth conventional culture. *J Dairy Res* **82**, 200-208.

500 Hiitiö, H., Simojoki, H., Kalmus, P., Holopainen, J., Pyörälä, S. and Taponen, S. (2016) The effect
501 of sampling technique on PCR-based bacteriological results of bovine milk samples. *J Dairy Sci*
502 **99**, 6532-6541.

503 Holmøy, I.H., Toft, N., Jørgensen, H.J., Mørk, T., Søilverød, L. and Nødtvedt, A. (2018) Latent class
504 analysis of real time qPCR and bacteriological culturing for the diagnosis of *Streptococcus*
505 *agalactiae* in cow composite milk samples. *Prev Vet Med* **154**, 119-123.

506 Hovinen, M. and Pyörälä, S. (2011) Invited review: udder health of dairy cows in automatic milking.
507 *J Dairy Sci* **94**, 547-62.

508 Hui, S.L. and Walter, S.D. (1980) Estimating the error rates of diagnostic tests. *Biometrics* **36**, 167-
509 171.

510 Katholm, J., Bennedsgaard, T.W., Koskinen, M.T. and Rattenborg, E. (2012) Quality of bulk tank
511 milk samples from Danish dairy herds based on real-time polymerase chain reaction identification
512 of mastitis pathogens. *J Dairy Sci* **95**, 5702-5708.

513 Keane, O.M., Budd, K.E., Flynn, J. and McCoy, F. (2013) Increased detection of mastitis pathogens
514 by real-time PCR compared to bacterial culture. *Vet Rec* **173**, 268-273.

515 Koskinen, M.T., Wellenberg, G.J., Sampimon, O.C., Holopainen, J., Rothkamp, A., Salmikivi, L.,
516 van Haeringen, W.A., Lam, T.J. and Pyörälä, S. (2010) Field comparison of real-time polymerase
517 chain reaction and bacterial culture for identification of bovine mastitis bacteria. *J Dairy Sci* **93**,
518 5707-5715.

519 Kostoulas, P., Nielsen, S.S., Branscum, A.J., Johnson, W.O., Dendukuri, N., Dhand, N.K., Toft, N.
520 and Gardner, I.A. (2017) STARD-BLCM: Standards for the Reporting of Diagnostic accuracy
521 studies that use Bayesian Latent Class Models. *Prev Vet Med* **138**, 37-47.

522 Mahmmod, Y.S., Klaas, I.C. and Enevoldsen, C. (2017) DNA carryover in milk samples from routine
523 milk recording used for PCR-based diagnosis of bovine *Staphylococcus aureus* mastitis. *J Dairy*
524 *Sci* **100**, 5709-5716.

525 Mahmmod, Y.S., Klaas, I.C., Katholm, J., Lutton, M. and Zadoks, R.N. (2015) Molecular
526 epidemiology and strain-specific characteristics of *Streptococcus agalactiae* at the herd and cow
527 level. *J Dairy Sci* **98**, 6913-6924.

528 Mahmmod, Y.S., Klaas, I.C., Svennesen, L., Pedersen, K. and Ingmer, H. (2018a) Communications
529 of *Staphylococcus aureus* and non-aureus *Staphylococcus* species from bovine intramammary
530 infections and teat apex colonization. *J Dairy Sci* **101**, 7322-7333.

531 Mahmmod, Y.S., Mweu, M.M., Nielsen, S.S., Katholm, J. and Klaas, I.C. (2014) Effect of carryover
532 and presampling procedures on the results of real-time PCR used for diagnosis of bovine
533 intramammary infections with *Streptococcus agalactiae* at routine milk recordings. *Prev Vet Med*
534 **113**, 512–521.

535 Mahmmod, Y.S., Nonnemann, B., Svennesen, L., Pedersen, K. and Klaas, I.C. (2018b) Typeability
536 of MALDI-TOF assay for identification of non-aureus *Staphylococci* associated with bovine
537 intramammary infections and teat apex colonization. *J Dairy Sci* **101**, 9430-9438.

538 Mahmmod, Y.S., Toft, N., Katholm, J., Grønbaek, C. and Klaas, I.C. (2013b) Bayesian estimation of
539 test characteristics of real-time PCR, bacteriological culture and California mastitis test for
540 diagnosis of intramammary infections with *Staphylococcus aureus* in dairy cattle at routine milk
541 recordings. *Prev Vet Med* **112**, 309-317.

542 Mahmmod, Y.S., Toft, N., Katholm, J., Grønbaek, C. and Klaas, I.C. (2013a) Estimation of test
543 characteristics of real-time PCR and bacterial culture for diagnosis of subclinical intramammary

544 infections with *Streptococcus agalactiae* in Danish dairy cattle in 2012 using latent class analysis.
545 *Prev Vet Med* **109**, 264-70.

546 National Mastitis Council (2004) Microbiological Procedures for the Diagnosis of Bovine Udder
547 Infections and Determination of Milk Quality, 4th ed. NMC, Madison, WI.

548 Nobrega DB, Naushad, S., Naqvi, S.A., Condas, L.A.Z., Saini, V., Kastelic, J.P., Luby, C., De Buck,
549 J. and Barkema, H.W. (2018) Prevalence and genetic basis of antimicrobial resistance in non-
550 *aureus* staphylococci isolated from Canadian dairy herds. *Front Microbiol* **9**, 256.

551 Nyman, A.K., Persson Waller, K., Emanuelson, U. and Frössling, J. (2016) Sensitivity and specificity
552 of PCR analysis and bacteriological culture of milk samples for identification of intramammary
553 infections in dairy cows using latent class analysis. *Prev Vet Med* **135**, 123-131.

554 Paduch, J. H., Mohr, E. and Kromker, V. (2013) The association between bedding material and the
555 bacterial counts of *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Streptococcus uberis* and coliform bacteria on teat skin
556 and in teat canals in lactating dairy cattle. *J Dairy Res* **80**, 159-164.

557 Persson Waller, K. (2013) “Microbiological diagnostics of udder infections” The 29th NKVet
558 Symposium “New knowledge on diagnostics and control on modern dairy farms”.

559 Piepers, S., De Meulemeester, L., de Kruif, A., Opsomer, G., Barkema, H.W. and De Vliegher, S.
560 (2007) Prevalence and distribution of mastitis pathogens in subclinically infected dairy cows in
561 Flanders, Belgium. *J Dairy Res* **74**, 478-83.

562 Piessens, V., De Vliegher, S., Verbist, B., Braem, G., Van Nuffel, A., De Vuyst, L., Heyndrickx, M.
563 and Van Coillie, E. (2012) Intra-species diversity and epidemiology varies among coagulase-
564 negative *Staphylococcus* species causing bovine intramammary infections. *Vet Microbiol* **155**, 62-
565 71.

566 Qu, Y., Zhao, H., Nobrega, D.B., Cobo, E.R., Han, B., Zhao, Z., Li, S., Li, M., Barkema, H.W. and
567 Gao, J. (2019) Molecular epidemiology and distribution of antimicrobial resistance genes of
568 *Staphylococcus* species isolated from Chinese dairy cows with clinical mastitis. *J Dairy Sci* **102**,
569 1571-1583.

570 Reyher, K.K. and Dohoo, I.R. (2011) Diagnosing intramammary infections: evaluation of composite
571 milk samples to detect intramammary infections. *J Dairy Sci* **94**, 3387-3396.

572 Ruegg, P.L., 2009. The quest for the perfect test: phenotypic versus genotypic identification of
573 coagulase-negative staphylococci associated with bovine mastitis. *Vet. Microbiol.* **134**, 15-9.

574 Schukken, Y. H., Gonzalez, R.N., Tikofsky, L.L., Schulte, H.F., Santisteban, C.G., Welcome, F.L.,
575 Bennett, G.J., Zurakowski, M.J. and Zadoks, N. (2009) CNS mastitis: Nothing to worry about?
576 *Vet Microbiol* **134**, 9–14.

577 Sears, P.M. and McCarthy, K.K. (2003) Diagnosis of mastitis for therapy decisions. *Vet Clin North*
578 *Am Food Anim Pract* **19**, 93–108.

579 Shome, B.R., Das Mitra, S., Bhuvana, M., Krithiga, N., Velu, D., Shome, R., Isloor, S., Barbuddhe,
580 S.B. and Rahman, H. (2011) Multiplex PCR assay for species identification of bovine mastitis
581 pathogens. *J Appl Microbiol* **111**, 1349-56.

582 Skjølstrup, N.K., Mathiasen, L.R., Klaas, I.C., Svennesen, L., Mahmmod, Y. and Pedersen, K. (2018)
583 Validation of real-time PCR and bacteriological culture for identification of *Streptococcus*
584 *agalactiae* and *Staphylococcus aureus* in milk and on teat skin in herds with automatic milking
585 system. National Mastitis Council 57th Annual Meeting, 31st January to 2nd February 2018, Tucson,
586 Arizona, US.

587 Soltau, J.B., Einax, E., Klengel, K., Katholm, J., Failing, K., Wehrend, A. and Donat, K. (2017)
588 Within-herd prevalence thresholds for herd-level detection of mastitis pathogens using multiplex
589 real-time PCR in bulk tank milk samples. *J Dairy Sci* **100**, 8287-8295.

590 Sørensen, L.P., Bjerring, M. and Løvendahl, P. (2016) Monitoring individual cow udder health in
591 automated milking systems using online somatic cell counts. *J Dairy Sci* **99**, 608-620

592 Sørensen, L.P., Mark, T., Sørensen, M.K. and Ostergaard, S. (2010) Economic values and expected
593 effect of selection index for pathogen-specific mastitis under Danish conditions. *J Dairy Sci* **93**,
594 358–369.

595 Souza, F.N., Piepers, S., Della Libera, A.M.M.P., Heinemann, M.B., Cerqueira, M.M.O.P. and De
596 Vlieghe, S. (2016) Interaction between bovine-associated coagulase-negative staphylococci
597 species and strains and bovine mammary epithelial cells reflects differences in ecology and
598 epidemiological behavior. *J Dairy Sci* **99**, 2867-2874.

599 Steele, N.M., Williamson, J.H., Thresher, R., Laven, R.A. and Hillerton, J.E. (2017) Evaluating a
600 commercial PCR assay against bacterial culture for diagnosing *Streptococcus uberis* and
601 *Staphylococcus aureus* throughout lactation. *J Dairy Sci* **100**, 3816-3824.

602 Supré, K., Haesebrouck, F., Zadoks, R.N., Vaneechoutte, M., Piepers, S. and De Vlieghe, S. (2011)
603 Some coagulase-negative *Staphylococcus* species affect udder health more than others. *J Dairy*
604 *Sci* **94**, 2329–2340.

605 Svenes, L., Mahmmod, Y.S., Skjølstrup, N.K., Mathiasen, L.R., Katholm, J., Pedersen, K., Klaas,
606 I.C. and Nielsen, S.S. (2018) Accuracy of qPCR and bacterial culture for the diagnosis of bovine
607 intramammary infections Taponen colonisation with *Streptococcus agalactiae* and
608 *Staphylococcus aureus* using Bayesian analysis. *Prev Vet Med* **161**, 69–74.

609 Svenes, L., Nielsen, S.S., Mahmmod, Y.S., Krömker, V., Pedersen, K. and Klaas, I.C. (2019)
610 Association between teat skin colonization and intramammary infection with *Staphylococcus*
611 *aureus* and *Streptococcus agalactiae* in herds with automatic milking systems. *J Dairy Sci* **102**,
612 629-639.

613 Taponen, S. and Pyörälä, S. (2009) Coagulase-negative staphylococci as cause of bovine mastitis-
614 not so different from *Staphylococcus aureus*? *Vet Microbiol* **134**, 29-36.

615 Taponen, S., Salmikivi, L., Simojoki, H., Koskinen, M.T. and Pyörälä, S. (2009) Real-time
616 polymerase chain reaction-based identification of bacteria in milk samples from bovine clinical
617 mastitis with no growth in conventional culturing. *J Dairy Sci* **92**, 2610–2617.

618 Thomas, A., O’Hara, B., Ligges, U. and Sturtz, S. (2006) Making BUGS open. *R News* **6**, 12–17.

- 619 Thorberg, B.M., Danielsson-Tham, M.L., Emanuelson, U. and Persson Waller, K. (2009) Bovine
620 subclinical mastitis caused by different types of coagulase-negative staphylococci. *J Dairy Sci* **92**,
621 4962-4970.
- 622 Timonen, A.A.E., Katholm, J., Petersen, A., Mötus, K. and Kalmus, P. (2017) Within-herd prevalence
623 of intramammary infection caused by *Mycoplasma bovis* and associations between cow udder
624 health, milk yield, and composition. *J Dairy Sci* **100**, 6554-6561.
- 625 Toft, N., Innocent, G.T., Gettinby, G. and Reid, S.W.J. (2007) Assessing the convergence of Markov
626 Chain Monte Carlo methods: an example from evaluation of diagnostic tests in absence of a gold
627 standard. *Prev Vet Med* **79**, 244–256.
- 628 Toft, N., Jørgensen, E. and Højsgaard, S. (2005) Diagnosing diagnostic tests: evaluating the
629 assumptions underlying the estimation of sensitivity and specificity in the absence of a gold
630 standard. *Prev Vet Med* **68**, 19-33.
- 631 Tomazi, T., Gonçalves, J.L., Barreiro, J.R., Arcari, M.A. and dos Santos, M.V. (2015) Bovine
632 subclinical intramammary infection caused by coagulase-negative staphylococci increases somatic
633 cell count but has no effect on milk yield or composition. *J Dairy Sci* **98**, 3071-3078.
- 634 Vanderhaeghen, W., Piepers, S., Leroy, F., Van Coillie, E., Haesebrouck, F. and De Vliegher, S.
635 (2014) Invited review: Effect, persistence, and virulence of coagulase-negative *Staphylococcus*
636 species associated with ruminant udder health. *J Dairy Sci* **97**, 5275–5293.
- 637 Wilson, D.J., González, R.N., Hertl, J., Schulte, H.F., Bennett, G.J., Schukken, Y.H. and Gröhn, Y.T.
638 (2004) Effect of clinical mastitis on the lactation curve: a mixed model estimation using daily milk
639 weights. *J Dairy Sci* **87**, 2073-84.

640

641 Table 1. Cross-tabulated results for combinations of qPCR at different qPCR Ct-values cut-offs, and
642 BC at two CFU cut-offs for diagnosis of IMI with CNS in the right hind quarter from 149 cows in 8
643 Danish dairy herds with AMS stratified based on the location of herd to two subpopulations (Pop 1=
644 north “5 herds” and Pop 2= south “3 herds”)

BC cutoff	qPCR cutoff	Population	Test combinations (T1; qPCR and T2; BC) for IMI				Total (%)	
			T1+/T2+	T1+/T2-	T1-/T2+	T1-/T2-		
≥ 5 colonies (=500 CFU/ml)	≤ 37	Pop 1 (north)	31	22	4	34	91	
		Pop 2 (south)	29	18	2	9	58	
	≤ 34	Pop 1 (north)	31	22	4	34	91	
		Pop 2 (south)	29	18	2	9	58	
	≤ 32	Pop 1 (north)	29	18	6	38	91	
		Pop 2 (south)	27	17	4	10	58	
	≤ 29	Pop 1 (north)	23	9	12	47	91	
		Pop 2 (south)	25	9	6	18	58	
	≤ 26	Pop 1 (north)	12	5	23	51	91	
		Pop 2 (south)	21	5	10	22	58	
	≥ 10 colonies (=1000 CFU/ml)	≤ 37	Pop 1 (north)	25	28	3	35	91
			Pop 2 (south)	27	20	1	10	58
≤ 34		Pop 1 (north)	25	28	3	35	91	
		Pop 2 (south)	27	20	1	10	58	
≤ 32		Pop 1 (north)	24	23	4	40	91	
		Pop 2 (south)	25	19	3	11	58	
≤ 29		Pop 1 (north)	19	13	9	50	91	
		Pop 2 (south)	24	10	4	20	58	
≤ 26		Pop 1 (north)	11	6	17	57	91	
		Pop 2 (south)	20	6	8	24	58	

645

646 Table 2. Cross-tabulated results for combinations of qPCR at different qPCR Ct-values cut-offs, and
647 BC at two cut-offs for diagnosis of CNS in teat skin colonization (TAC) in the right hind quarter from
648 149 cows in 8 Danish dairy herds with AMS stratified based on the location of herd to two
649 subpopulations (Pop 1= north “5 herds” and Pop 2= south “3 herds”)

BC cutoff	qPCR cutoff	Population	Test combinations (T1; qPCR and T2; BC) for TAC				Total (%)	
			T1+/T2+	T1+/T2-	T1-/T2+	T1-/T2-		
≥ 5 colonies (=50 CFU/ml)	≤ 37	Pop 1 (north)	38	9	35	9	91	
		Pop 2 (south)	25	0	29	4	58	
	≤ 34	Pop 1 (north)	37	8	36	10	91	
		Pop 2 (south)	25	0	29	4	58	
	≤ 32	Pop 1 (north)	27	4	46	14	91	
		Pop 2 (south)	25	0	29	4	58	
	≤ 29	Pop 1 (north)	11	1	62	17	91	
		Pop 2 (south)	12	0	42	4	58	
	≤ 26	Pop 1 (north)	0	1	73	17	91	
		Pop 2 (south)	3	0	51	4	58	
	≥ 10 colonies (=100 CFU/ ml)	≤ 37	Pop 1 (north)	35	12	31	13	91
			Pop 2 (south)	23	2	27	6	58
		≤ 34	Pop 1 (north)	34	11	32	14	91
			Pop 2 (south)	23	2	27	6	58
≤ 32		Pop 1 (north)	25	6	41	19	91	
		Pop 2 (south)	23	2	27	6	58	
≤ 29		Pop 1 (north)	10	2	56	23	91	
		Pop 2 (south)	10	2	40	6	58	
≤ 26		Pop 1 (north)	0	1	66	24	91	
		Pop 2 (south)	2	1	48	7	58	

650

651 Table 3. Posterior median and 95% posterior credibility interval (PCI) of true prevalence of CNS in
 652 milk (IMI) in the right hind quarter from 149 cows in 8 Danish dairy herds with AMS diagnosed by
 653 qPCR at different qPCR Ct-values cut-offs and BC at two CFU cut-offs

654

BC cutoff	Parameter	Test estimates at different qPCR cut-offs for IMI								
		≤ 37		≤ 34		≤ 32		≤ 29		
		Med	95% PCI	Med	95% PCI	Med	95% PCI	Med	95% PCI	
≥ 5 colonies (=500 CFU/ml)	SeqPCR ¹	0.94	0.84-1.00	0.94	0.84-1.00	0.90	0.78-0.99	0.86	0.67-0.99	
	SeBC ²	0.67	0.53-0.90	0.67	0.53-0.90	0.67	0.54-0.88	0.79	0.64-0.97	
	SpqPCR ³	0.77	0.52-0.99	0.77	0.52-0.99	0.83	0.59-0.99	0.90	0.75-1.00	
	SpBC ⁴	0.92	0.78-1.00	0.92	0.78-1.00	0.90	0.75-0.99	0.85	0.72-0.98	
	Prevalance	0.51	0.30-0.68	0.51	0.30-0.68	0.48	0.29-0.65	0.35	0.20-0.53	
	Pop1 (north)									
	Prevalance	0.79	0.54-0.93	0.79	0.54-0.93	0.78	0.53-0.93	0.61	0.41-0.79	
	Pop2 (south)									
	≥ 10 colonies (=1000 CFU/ ml)	SeqPCR	0.96	0.86-1.00	0.96	0.86-1.00	0.91	0.79-0.99	0.89	0.70-0.99
		SeBC	0.63	0.47-0.90	0.63	0.47-0.90	0.62	0.47-0.87	0.76	0.58-0.97
SpqPCR		0.69	0.47-0.97	0.69	0.47-0.97	0.78	0.55-0.98	0.86	0.71-0.99	
SpBC		0.94	0.82-1.00	0.94	0.82-1.00	0.93	0.80-1.00	0.89	0.78-0.99	
Prevalance		0.43	0.24-0.64	0.43	0.24-0.64	0.43	0.23-0.62	0.30	0.15-0.48	
Pop1 (north)										
Prevalance		0.75	0.48-0.90	0.75	0.48-0.90	0.75	0.48-0.92	0.57	0.37-0.76	
Pop2 (south)										

655 ¹SeqPCR= sensitivity of qPCR; ²SeBC= sensitivity of culture; ³SpqPCR= specificity of qPCR; ⁴SpBC=
 656 specificity of culture

657

658 Table 4. Posterior median and 95% posterior credibility interval (PCI) of true prevalence of CNS in
659 teat skin colonization (TAC) in the right hind quarter from 149 cows in 8 Danish dairy herds with
660 AMS diagnosed by qPCR at different qPCR Ct-values cut-offs, and BC at two CFU cut-offs

BC cutof	Parameter	Test estimates at different qPCR cut-offs for TAC							
		≤ 37		≤ 34		≤ 32		≤ 29	
		Med	95% PCI	Med	95% PCI	Med	95% PCI	Med	95% PCI
≥ 5 colonies (=50 CFU/ml)	SeqPCR ¹	0.48	0.15-0.81	0.47	0.14-0.79	0.36	0.04-0.76	0.17	0.01-0.52
	SeBC ²	0.85	0.27-0.99	0.85	0.25-0.99	0.83	0.24-1.00	0.86	0.29-1.00
	SpqPCR ³	0.52	0.20-0.85	0.53	0.21-0.86	0.62	0.23-0.95	0.84	0.49-0.99
	SpBC ⁴	0.15	0.01-0.72	0.15	0.01-0.75	0.13	0.004-0.75	0.15	0.01-0.69
	Prevalance	0.49	0.04-0.96	0.51	0.05-0.95	0.50	0.09-0.91	0.49	0.05-0.95
	Pop1 (north)								
	Prevalance	0.49	0.01-0.99	0.49	0.02-0.99	0.47	0.02-0.98	0.50	0.02-0.98
	Pop2 (south)								
≥ 10 colonies (=100 CFU/ ml)	SeqPCR	0.48	0.14-0.82	0.47	0.12-0.80	0.36	0.05-0.78	0.17	0.02-0.51
	SeBC	0.77	0.21-0.98	0.78	0.20-0.98	0.76	0.19-0.99	0.78	0.26-0.98
	SpqPCR	0.52	0.18-0.86	0.53	0.20-0.86	0.62	0.21-0.94	0.84	0.47-0.98
	SpBC	0.23	0.02-0.79	0.23	0.02-0.81	0.20	0.01-0.80	0.23	0.02-0.74
	Prevalance	0.50	0.04-0.96	0.50	0.04-0.95	0.50	0.07-0.93	0.50	0.04-0.97
	Pop1 (north)								
	Prevalance	0.50	0.02-0.98	0.50	0.02-0.98	0.48	0.03-0.97	0.51	0.02-0.98
	Pop2 (south)								

661 ¹SeqPCR= sensitivity of qPCR; ²SeBC= sensitivity of culture; ³SpqPCR= specificity of qPCR; ⁴SpBC=
662 specificity of culture

663