ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION Law & Practice

Edited by

Adnan Yaakob Ashgar Ali Ali Mohamed Arun Kasi Mohammad Naqib Ishan Jan Muhamad Hassan Ahmad

CLJ Publication

ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION Law & Practice

Edited by

Adnan Yaakob Ashgar Ali Ali Mohamed Arun Kasi Mohammad Naqib Ishan Jan Muhamad Hassan Ahmad



CLJ Publication

Perpustakaan Negara Malaysia

Cataloguing-in-Publication Data

ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION : Law & Practice / Edited by: Adnan Yaakob, Ashgar Ali Ali Mohamed, Arun Kasi, Mohammad Naqib Ishan Jan, Muhamad Hassan Ahmad.
ISBN 978-967-457-144-3

Dispute resolution (Law).
Dispute resolution (Islamic law).
Adnan Yaakob.
Adnan Yaakob.
Ashgar Ali Ali Mohamed.
III. Arun Kasi.
IV. Mohammad Naqib Ishan Jan.
Muhamad Hassan Ahmad.

Published by

The Malaysian Current Law Journal Sdn Bhd Unit E1-2, BLK E, Jln Selaman 1 Dataran De Palma, 68000 Ampang, Selangor Darul Ehsan, Malaysia Co No 197901006857 (51143 M) Tel: 603-42705400 Fax: 603-42705401

2020 © The Malaysian Current Law Journal Sdn Bhd ('CLJ').

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any material form or by any means, including photocopying and recording, or storing in any medium by electronic means and whether or not transiently or incidentally to some other use of this publication, without the written permission of the copyright holder, application for which should be addressed to the publisher. Such written permission must also be obtained before any part of this publication is stored in a retrieval system of any nature.

Although every effort has been made to ensure accuracy of this publication, The Malaysian Current Law Journal accepts no responsibility for errors or omissions, if any.

> Kumitha Abd Majid (*Publications Editor*) Suhainah Wahiduddin (*Indexing*) Nurhamimi Mohamad (*Cover Design*) Afrihidayati Asep Hidayat (*Typesetting*)

Printed by

VIVAR PRINTING SDN BHD Lot 25, Rawang Integrated Industrial Park, 48000 Rawang, Selangor Darul Ehsan

CONTENTS

Foreword	i
Preface	iii
Contributors' Profile	vii
Table Of Cases	xix
Table Of Legislation	xlvii
Solvally Tiles	
CHAPTER 1	
DISPUTE RESOLUTION: ADVERSARIAL SYSTEM AND INQUISITORIAL SYSTEM	
Civil Law System	1
Common Law System	3
Adversarial System v. Inquisitorial System	6
Adversarial Procedure Of Civil Cases With Reference	
To The Rules Of Court 2012	10
Pleading FOR ACADEMIC	11
Service Of Documents	11
Discovery And Interrogation	12
Case Management	13
Hearing In Open Court	14
Evidence PURPOSES	15
Examination Of Witness	16
Judgment	17
Written Judgment	17
Costs	20
Decision Is Subsequently Open To Appeal	21
Stay Of Execution	21
Enforcement Of Judgment	24

CHAPTER 2

ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION: DEFINITION AND ITS DEVELOPMENT

Introduction	27
Why ADR?	29
Conceptual Delineation	32
Historical Development	36
Religious Aspects	37
Past Traditions Still In Use	42
Conclusion	48
CHAPTER 3	
ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION IN ISLAM	
Introduction	49
Clarification Of Terms	53
ADR Processes In Islam	60
Sulh (Negotiation, Mediation/Conciliation And Compromise Of Action)	60
Formula FOR ACADEMIC	66
Parties	66
The Substitute	66
The Subject-Matter EPOS TORY	67
Tahkim (Arbitration)	69
Med-Arb Muhtasib PURPOSES	72
Muhtasib FORPOSES	73
Informal Justice By Wali Al-Mazalim Or Chancellor	75
Fatawa Of Mufti Or Expert Determination	76
Overview Of The Practice Of ADR In The Formative Period Of Islam	76
Conclusion	78

CHAPTER 4

CONFIDENTIALITY AND PUBLIC POLICY IN ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION

Introduction	79
Confidentiality: Privilege	80
Why Confidentiality?	84
Law And Court	86
Position In India	87
Position In The United Kingdom	88
Position In Singapore	89
Position In Other Countries	92
Position In International ADR Institutions	96
Conclusion	100
*	

CHAPTER 5 NEGOTIATION: TYPES AND ETHICAL ISSUES

Introduction	101
Types Of Negotiation RACADENC	101
Competitive Negotiation	102
Accommodative Negotiation	103
Collaborative Negotiation	105
Ethics In Negotiation	106
Self-Determination Of The Parties (Party Autonomy)	107
Negotiation Skills ONPOSES	107
Confidentiality Of Information	107
Impartiality Of Negotiator	108
Conflicts Of Interest	108
Process Of Negotiation	108
Fees For Negotiation	109
Conclusion	110

CHAPTER 6

WITHOUT PREJUDICE NEGOTIATIONS

Introduction	111
Policy Behind The Rule	118
Setting Aside The Veil Of 'Without Prejudice Negotiations'	121
Conclusion	122

CHAPTER 7

MEDIATION: DEFINITION, ORIGINS AND PROCESSESS

Introduction	123
Definition Of Mediation	124
Traditional Mediation	125
Modern Mediation	130
Historical Background Of Mediation In Malaysia	136
Cornerstone Of Mediation	139
Voluntariness	139
Impartiality	140
Confidentiality OR ACADEMIC	140
Flexibility	141
Process Of Mediation	142
Process Of Mediation Pre-Mediation Process EPOSITORY	142
Preliminary Stage	143
Joint Session DIIDDOCEC	143
Caucus (Separate Meeting) And Further Joint Meeting	144
Agreement Stage	145
Types Of Mediation	145
Facilitative Mediation	145
Evaluative Mediation	145
Transformative Mediation	146
Western Culture v. Asian Culture	146
Prevalence Of Face-Saving	147
Mediators Should Be Authoritative Figures	148
Mediators Should Assume Leadership Role	148
Communication Should Be At An Appropriate Level Of Formality	149
Base Of Trust In The Asian Context	149
Conclusion	151

Contents

CHAPTER 8

MEDIATORS: ACCREDITATION AND TRAINING REQUIREMENTS

Introduction	153
Accreditation Of Mediators	155
Accreditation Of Mediators In Selected Jurisdictions	161
Australia	161
United States	172
Canada	173
Singapore	174
United Kingdom	175
International Mediation Institute	176
Accreditation Of Mediators: Optional Or Obligatory?	180
Conclusion	182

CHAPTER 9

CHAPTER 9 MEDIATION: STANDARDS OF CONDUCT

Introduction	183
Self-Determination RACADENIC	184
Competence	185
Confidentiality DEDOCITODV	187
Impartiality REPOSITORY	189
Conflicts Of Interest	190
Quality Of Process PURPOSES	192
Fees For Service	195
Advertising And Solicitation	196
Advancement Of Mediation Practice	197
Conclusion	198

CHAPTER 10 MEDIATION: COURT ANNEXED

Introduction	199
Mediation Act 2012	200
Practice Direction No. 5 Of 2010	200
Practice Direction No. 2 Of 2013	203
Practice Direction No. 4 Of 2016	204

Referrals To Mediation 205 Judge-led Mediation 205 Mediation By The AIAC 206 Mediator Chosen By Parties 207 Mediation Agreement 209 Confidentiality 210 Period And Results Of Mediation 210 Settlement Agreement 211 Practice Direction To Be Followed Closely 212 Conclusion 213 Arahan Amalan Bil. 2 Tahun 2013 214 Practice Direction No. 4 Of 2016 219 **CHAPTER 11 MEDIATION: COMMUNITY DISPUTES** Introduction 226 Position In Malaysia 229 **PRACADEMIC** Position In India 236 Position In Singapore 240 **EPOSITOR** Conclusion 244 CHAPTER 12 **MEDIATION: RELIGIOUS AND CULTURAL DISPUTES Religious And Cultural Conflicts** 245 Tolerance Of Other Religious And Cultural Practices 261 Mediation Of Religious And Cultural Conflicts 265 Conclusion 270

Contents

Content	ts
---------	----

CHAPTER 13

MEDIATION: NATIVE DISPUTES

Introduction	271
A Brief History Of Sabah And Sarawak	272
Natives Of Sabah And Sarawak	273
Native Courts In Sabah	276
Native Court Of Appeal	277
District Native Court	278
Native Court	278
Representation In Native Courts Of Sabah	281
Native Courts In Sarawak	282
Native Court Of Appeal	284
Resident's Native Court	284
District Native Court	286
Chief's Superior Court	286
Chief's Court	288
Headman's Court	289
Power Of Sarawak Native Courts To Impose Penalties	289
Imprisonment In Default Of Penalty	289
Mediation In Native Courts	290
Interview Of Respondents POSITORY	292
Sabah	293
Sarawak DLIDDOCEC	294
Conclusion PURPOSES	297
CHAPTER 14	
MEDIATION: MEDICAL DISPUTES	
Inter dustion	200
Introduction	299

2))
300
301
304
309

CHAPTER 15

MEDIATION: REAL ESTATE AND LAND DISPUTES

Types Of Real Estate Disputes312Existing Dispute Resolution Mechanism316Homebuyers Tribunal317ADR Processes318Arbitration320Mediation321Med-Arb321Mini Trial321Negotiation322Facilitation322Rationale For Proposing Alternative Dispute Resolution For323Cost Saving326Speedier327Representation Of Lawyers In Mediation327Making A Binding Decision327Able To Preserve Relationship Between Disputing Parties328
Homebuyers Tribunal317ADR Processes318Arbitration320Mediation321Med-Arb321Mini Trial321Negotiation322Facilitation322Rationale For Proposing Alternative Dispute Resolution For323Cost Saving326Speedier327Representation Of Lawyers In Mediation327Making A Binding Decision327
ADR Processes318Arbitration320Mediation321Med-Arb321Mini Trial321Negotiation322Facilitation322Rationale For Proposing Alternative Dispute Resolution For323Cost Saving326Speedier327Representation Of Lawyers In Mediation327Making A Binding Decision327
Arbitration 320 Mediation 321 Med-Arb 321 Mini Trial 321 Negotiation 322 Facilitation 322 Rationale For Proposing Alternative Dispute Resolution For 323 Cost Saving 326 Speedier 327 Representation Of Lawyers In Mediation 327 Making A Binding Decision 327
Mediation 321 Med-Arb 321 Mini Trial 321 Negotiation 322 Facilitation 322 Rationale For Proposing Alternative Dispute Resolution For 323 Cost Saving 326 Speedier 327 Representation Of Lawyers In Mediation 327 Making A Binding Decision 327
Med-Arb 321 Mini Trial 322 Negotiation 322 Facilitation 322 Rationale For Proposing Alternative Dispute Resolution For 323 Cost Saving 326 Speedier 327 Representation Of Lawyers In Mediation 327 Making A Binding Decision 327
Mini Trial 321 Negotiation 322 Facilitation 322 Rationale For Proposing Alternative Dispute Resolution For 323 Cost Saving 326 Speedier 327 Representation Of Lawyers In Mediation 327 Making A Binding Decision 327
Negotiation322Facilitation322Rationale For Proposing Alternative Dispute Resolution For323Resolving Real Estate Disputes326Speedier327Representation Of Lawyers In Mediation327Making A Binding Decision327
Facilitation322Rationale For Proposing Alternative Dispute Resolution For Resolving Real Estate Disputes323Cost Saving326Speedier327Representation Of Lawyers In Mediation327Making A Binding Decision327
Rationale For Proposing Alternative Dispute Resolution For 323 Resolving Real Estate Disputes 326 Cost Saving 326 Speedier 327 Representation Of Lawyers In Mediation 327 Making A Binding Decision 327
Resolving Real Estate Disputes323Cost Saving326Speedier327Representation Of Lawyers In Mediation327Making A Binding Decision327
Cost Saving326Speedier327Representation Of Lawyers In Mediation327Making A Binding Decision327
Speedier327Representation Of Lawyers In Mediation327Making A Binding Decision327327
Representation Of Lawyers In Mediation327Making A Binding Decision327
Making A Binding Decision ACADENIC 327
5 55
Able To Preserve Relationship Between Disputing Parties 328
Benefiting From The Valuable Procedural Characteristics Of Mediation 328
Important Roles Of A Mediator Unavailable To A Judge In Court Of Law 331
Establishing Communication Between Parties 332
Transmitter Of Information RPOSES 332
Distinguisher Between Wants From Needs Of Parties 332
Generator Of Options 332
Organiser And Guide 333
Reality Check 333
Absorbing Negativity 333
Experienced And Ability To Drive The Discussion 334
Predictor Of Future Issues 334
Challenges In Promoting Mediation 334
Appointment Of A Suitable Mediator 334
Incorporation Of Clauses To Mediate Disputes In Agreements 336

Liberty To Find Other Means Of Dispute Resolution If	
Mediation Does Not Resolve The Dispute	336
Authority To Make Decisions	336
Settlement Is Not Binding Unless Parties Reach An Agreement	337
Communications During Mediation Are Confidential	337
Preparing A Mediation Brief	337
Finalise Settlement Agreement At Mediation	338
Limitations Of Mediation	338
Conclusion	339

CHAPTER 16 MEDIATION: SPORTS DISPUTES

	2.41
Introduction	341
Sports Law And Mediation	341
Trend In Mediating Sports Disputes	344
Value Of Mediation In Sports Disputes	348
Notion Of Mediation D ACADEMIC	349
Common Misconceptions About Mediation	350
Relevance And Application Of Mediation To Sport Disputes	352
Conclusion REPOSITORY	353

CHAPTER 17 MEDIATION: PLEA BARGAINING IN CRIMINAL CASES

Introduction	355
Mediation: Meaning And Scope	356
Power Of Public Prosecutor To Institute, Substitute	
And Discontinue Charge	357
Pre-Trial Conference	358
Case Management	359
Plea Bargaining	359
Sentencing Arising In Plea Bargaining	365
Conclusion	368

CHAPTER 18 MEDIATION INSTITUTIONS

Introduction 369 Financial Mediation Bureau (FMB) 370 Malaysian Mediation Centre (MMC) 372 Asian International Arbitration Centre (AIAC) 376 Legal Aid Bureau (LAB) 378 Conclusion 380

CHAPTER 19

SINGAPORE MEDIATION CONVENTION: WHETHER RULE OF LAW INTACT?

Introduction	381
Bird's Eye View Of The Convention	383
Observations	386
Conclusion	387
Appendix	389
FOR ACADEMIC	
CHAPTER 20	
CONCILIATION v. MEDIATION: SIMILARITIES AND DIFFEREN	CES
Introduction REPOSITORY	401
Conciliation: Meaning	402
Conciliation And Mediation: Similarities And Differences	404
Differences: An Analysis From The Definition	404

Differences: An Analysis From The Definition

CHAPTER 21

CONCILIATION: LABOUR DISPUTES

Introduction	407
Labour Disputes	409
Conciliation At Industrial Relations Department (IRD)	414
Enhancing Conciliator's Skills And Knowledge On Negotiation Process	422
Conclusion	424

Contents

CHAPTER 22

CONCILIATION: MATRIMONIAL DISPUTES

Reconciliation In Matrimonial Disputes	425
Reconciliation: A Prerequisite For Filing Of Divorce Petition	427
Conclusion	438

CHAPTER 23

CONCILIATION: APPLICATION IN SYARIAH COURT

Introduction	439
Sulh: Definition	440
Sulh: In Qur'an And Sunnah	441
Process Of Conciliation (Sulh)	444
Conciliation: Practice In Syariah Courts	446
Process Of Hakam For Dissolution Of Marriage	451
Ensuring Effectiveness Of Sulh	455
Sulh Work Manual	455
Practice Direction Of JKSM On Practice Of Sulh	457
Continuous Training For Sulh Officers	459
Conclusion	460
REPOCHAPTER 24	
ARBITRATION IN MALAYSIA: AN OVERVIEW	
Introduction PURPOSES	461
Arbitration Agreement	466
	471
Arbitrator Appointment Of Arbitrator	473
Seat Of Arbitration And Choice Of Law	475
Arbitral Procedure	478
Conclusion	482

CHAPTER 25

INTERNATIONAL ARBITRATION

Introduction	483
The 1958 Convention	487
Arbitral Proceedings, Model Law And The Arbitration Act 2005: A Bird's Eye View	492
Appointment Of Arbitrator	493
Respondent's Objection To Arbitration Proceedings	494
Preliminary Conference	495
Powers Of The Arbitrator	498
Determination Of Rules Of Procedure	499
Challenge And/Or Termination Of Arbitrator	500
Award	500
Challenges In Arbitral Proceedings And Award	501
for 18	
CHAPTER 26	
ARBITRATION AGREEMENT	
	503
FUR AL ADENIL	504
	515
Permissive And Unilateral Arbitration Agreements	520
	7
CHAPTER 27 C C C	
ARBITRATOR: QUALIFICATION AND TRAINING	
_	
	523
	523
	524
I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	529
Other Grades Of CIArb Memberships	530

CHAPTER 28

ARBITRATORS: APPOINTMENT AND REMOVAL

Arbitrator: An Overview	531
Appointment Of Arbitrator	533
Revoking Authority Of Arbitrator: Application By Disputants	535
Revoking Authority Of Abitrator: Application By Co-Arbitrator	543
Revoking Arbitrator's Authority: Required Challenge Procedure	551
Appointment Of Substitute Arbitrator	553
Conclusion	554

CHAPTER 29

ARBITRATORS: IMPARTIALITY AND INDEPENDENCE

Introduction	555
Impartiality And Independence	557
Duty Of Disclosure	558
Test For Apparent Bias	562
IBA Guidelines On Conflict Of Interest In International Arbitration	572
	580

CHAPTER 30 STAY OF ARBITRAL PROCEEDINGS

Introduction	581
The Arbitration Act 1952 URPOSES	582
The Arbitration Act 2005 (Prior To The Amendment In 2011)	585
The Arbitration Act 2005 (As Amended In 2011)	588
Stay Under The Arbitration Act 2005: Arbitrability Of Subject Matter	591
Requirements Under The Arbitration Act 2005	603
Requirement As To The Seat Of Arbitration	604

CHAPTER 31

Introduction	615
Anti-Suit Injunctions In Non-Contractual Context	618
Anti-Suit Injunctions To Prevent Breach Of Contract	622
The Narrow Approach	624
The Wide Approach	626
Considerations In Granting Anti-Suit Injunction	630
Conclusion	638

CHAPTER 32

ARBITRAL AWARD AND ENFORCEMENT	
Arbitral Award	639
Setting Aside Award	642
Enforcement Of Award	650
169 <u>13 NO</u>	
CHAPTER 33	
ARBITRAL AWARDS: GROUNDS FOR REVIEW	
Introduction	655
Jurisdiction Of Supervisory Courts	658
Preconditioned Grounds For Review	663
Provable Grounds For Review	663
Judicially Discoverable Grounds For Review SES	667
Involvement Of Fraud Or Corruption	669
Breach Of Rules Of Natural Justice	669
Discretionary Power Of Courts And Its Criteria	672
Jurisdiction Of Enforcement Courts	675
Judicial Review In Ex Parte Proceedings	677
Validity Of Agreement	680
Form Of Agreement	680
Parties To The Agreement	682
Judicial Review In Inter Partes Proceedings	683
Arbitrability Of Dispute	687
Determination Of The Applicable Law	687
Validity Of The Arbitration Agreement	688
The Award Has Not Yet Become Binding	689
Conclusion	690

CHAPTER 34

INTERNATIONAL ARBITRAL INSTITUTIONS

Introduction	691
Evolution Of International Arbitration And Tribunals	693
Jay Treaty Arbitration	694
Alabama Claims Arbitration	695
Permanent Court Of Arbitration	697
Usage Of International Arbitration	701
Investment Arbitration	702
International Arbitration Rules	703
Conclusion	704
CHAPTER 35	

CHAPTER 35 ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION IN FINTECH

Allow B	
Introduction	706
Blockchain Revolution	708
Blockchain In Financial Transactions	709
Blockchain In Law RACADEM	710
Blockchain-Based Smart Contracts	711
Blockchain Platform For Dispute Resolution	712
Cryptocurrency Debate POSITORY	713
Decentralised Dispute Resolution	719
Online Dispute Resolution DDOCEC	721
Private ODR Platforms	724
Codelegit — Blockchain Arbitration Library	724
Kleros — Crypto Dispute Arbitration	725
Blockchain Arbitration Forum (BAF)	727
Jury.Online	729
Public Solutions	730
Conclusion	731

CHAPTER 36

ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION IN ISLAMIC BANKING AND FINANCE

Introduction	735
Arbitration	736
Arbitration From Western And Islamic Perspective	736
Arbitration For Islamic Banking And Finance Disputes	741
Challenges In Implementation Of Arbitration Laws	742
Choice Of Laws	745
Qualifications Of Arbitrators For Islamic Banking And	
	747
	749
Harmonisation Of Syariah And Civil Law On Arbitration	752
Mediation	754
Syariah Principles In Mediation Process	756
Mediation In Islamic Banking And Finance Disputes	757
Ombudsman	758
Ombudsman In Islamic Banking And Finance Disputes	760
Scope Of Ombudsman KACADEVIC	761
Ombudsman: A Case Study	763
Conclusion DEDOCITODV	766
Conclusion REPOSITORY	
CHAPTER 37	
ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION	/
IN CONSTRUCTION DISPUTES	
Introduction	767
	767
	769
Dispute Avoidance Mechanisms In Construction Industry	771
Major Processes Of Construction Dispute Resolution	773
On-Site Dispute Resolution and Avoidance Mechanisms	773
Dispute Review Board	774
Roles Of The Dispute Review Board	776
Dispute Adjudication Board	778
Other Dispute Resolution Mechanisms	780

Off-Site Dispute Resolution And Avoidance Mechanisms	782
Expert Determination	783
Enforcement Of Expert Determination Decision	784
Statutory Adjudication	787
Construction Court	789
Conclusion	790

CHAPTER 38

STATUTORY ADJUDICATION UNDER CIPAA 2012

Statutory Adjudication		791
Appointment Of Adjudicator	Tto A	796
Adjudication Process		798
Setting Aside Adjudication	n Decision	803
Enforcing Adjudication D	ecision	806
	Stord B	

CHAPTER 39

BIRD'S EYE VIEW OF CIPAA 2012

Introduction CIPAA 2012

807 809

CHAPTER 40

EARLY NEUTRAL EVALUATION IN INDUSTRIAL COURT

Introduction PURPUSES	815
Industrial Court Of Malaysia	817
Industrial Court's Early Evaluation Process	819
Early Evaluation Of Cases: Illustrations From Industrial Court Awards	825
Conclusion	829

CHAPTER 41 OMBUDSMAN

Introduction	831
Origin And Evolution Of Ombudsman	832
Ombudsman In Chinese Legal Tradition	833
The Greek/Roman Agoranomos	835
Ombudsman In Islamic Legal Tradition	837
Scandinavian Ombudsman	844
Contemporary Ombudsman And The Ottoman Turks	848
Modern Ombudsman: Definitions And Institutionalisation	849
Ombudsman, Ombudswoman, Ombudsperson, Ombudet And Others	854
Ombudsman: ADR Institution Or Mechanism	856
Trends In Ombudsman Classification	857
Classical/Traditional Ombudsman	860
Legislative Or Parliamentary Ombudsman	860
Executive Ombudsman	862
Organisational Ombudsman	863
Public Sector And Private Sector Ombudsman	865
Public Sector And Private Sector Ombudsman Essential Characteristics Of Ombudsman Institutions	866
Litabilitinent	869
Accessibility REPOSITORY	869
Independence	870
Confidentiality/Reporting	871
Effect Of Decision/Enforcement RPOSES	872
Jurisdiction And Scope	872
Reporting And Accountability	873
Review Process	873
Role Of Ombudsman In Modern Business Regulation	874
Conclusion	876

CHAPTER 42

EXPERT DETERMINATION: CONVENTIONAL AND ISLAMIC APPROACH

Introduction	877
Expert Determination: Conventional Approach	878
Qualifications Of The Expert	879
A Named Individual, Firm Or Company	880
An Individual, Firm Or Company Holding A Particular Position	881
Qualification By Profession Or Experience	881
Criteria For The Expert's Suitability	882
The Expert Clause	883
Appointing The Expert	885
Absence Of Effective Appointment Mechanism	886
Challenging Decision Of The Experts	888
Bringing A Claim Against Expert	889
Limitation	890
Grounds Of Challenge	890
Lack Of Independence	891
Unfairness In The Decision Procedure	892
Unfairness In The Decision	894
Expert Determination: Islamic Approach	896
Fatwa And Its Significance	898
Principles of Fatwa Issuance	899
Fatwa Issuance URPOSES	900
Scholarly Aptitude And Character	900
Single Juristic View	900
Multiple Juristic View	900
Reliance On Authentic Juristic Work	901
Prioritisation	901
Competing Juristic Views	901
Adopting The View Of Zahir Al-Riwayah	902
Mafhum-Mukhalif As Principle Of Interpretation Of Juristic Text	902
Similarities And Differences Between Al-Qadha' And Al-Fatwa	902
Appointment Of Qadhi And Mufti	903
Application Of Fatwa	904

Multiple Views	904
Approved Verdicts In <i>Fatwa</i> Sentence	905
The Preferred Verdict	905
The Verdict Which Is Equal To Another	906
Conclusion	906

CHAPTER 43 ONLINE DISPUTE RESOLUTION AND ITS APPLICATION IN FINANCIAL DISPUTES

Introduction			907
Concept Of ODR	SP A No		908
Permutations Of ODR			912
Online Ombudsman			914
Online Negotiation			914
Online Mediation	flood /3		915
Online Arbitration			915
Online Hybrid Processes			915
Best Practices Of ODR In Financial	Dispute Resolution	C	916
eBay/Square Trade Experiment	ACADEMI		917
Cybersettle.com, SettlementOnlin	ie and clickNsettle		919
ODR In Credit Reporting Dispu		r	920
Conclusion	U JIIUNI		923

CHAPTER 44

UNIVERSITY ARBITRATION

Introduction	925
Universities As Arbitration Centres	926
Emergence Of Modern Commercial Arbitration	927
Significance Of Arbitration	930
Empowering Universities As Arbitration Centres	932
Implementing University Arbitration	933
Benefit To Stakeholders	934
The 'Feeder' To University Arbitration	935
Regimes Of Islamic Finance And One Belt, One Road (OBOR)	938
Conclusion	939

ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION

Law & Practice

Alternative Dispute Resolution: Law and Practice is divided into 44 chapters which cover alternative dispute resolution (ADR) mechanisms in all their varieties, including negotiation, mediation, conciliation, ombudsman, arbitration, and court adjudication. These ADR mechanisms can be used alongside existing court systems and have gained widespread acceptance because of its speedy resolution of disputes and outcomes that preserve and sometimes even improve relationships.

The primary objective of this book is to enhance reader's understanding of the various regulatory framework governing ADR on diverse issues at both national and international levels. This includes the application of ADR to fintech, Islamic banking and finance, labour, and construction disputes among others. Online dispute resolution, Singapore Mediation Convention, and university arbitration are also featured in this book.

All those concerned, both the legal and non-legal community such as legal practitioners, arbitrators, mediators, academicians, and students, will find this book as a valuable aid for a good understanding of matters pertaining to ADR without having to refer to several other sources.



CHAPTER

5

NEGOTIATION: TYPES AND ETHICAL ISSUES*

Introduction

Negotiation is an alternative and yet peaceful means of resolving a dispute, which can be utilised by people or entities to settle their differences through compromise or agreement that would allow the parties to continue to maintain their mutually beneficial relationship after the resolution of their differences. Negotiation is a nonadjudicative, flexible, informal and confidential method that may be conducted bilaterally or multilaterally. An effective negotiation necessitates observance of certain ethical values by the negotiators including honesty, trust, fairness, etc., that would enable them to resolve their differences justly and fairly, and at the same time make sure that the relationship between the parties continue to flourish. The scope of this chapter does not cover all aspects of negotiation as it focuses only on the types of negotiation and its ethics.

Types Of Negotiation

Basically, negotiation can be categorised into three major types such as competitive negotiation, accommodative negotiation, and collaborative negotiation. Each of these types has its own methods, skills and style; its own advantages and disadvantages; and wanting to reach an agreement that would settle differences in a given dispute. These three types of negotiation are discussed further in the following discourse.

^{*} This chapter is contributed by Mohammad Naqib Ishan Jan and Muhamad Hassan Ahmad.

Competitive Negotiation

The approach in competitive negotiation is to treat the process as a competition that is to be won or lost. A competitive negotiator is a competitor who, like a football player, thrives on the cut and thrust and wants the biggest slice of the pie. He wants to win everything and he strives purposefully towards that until he makes sure he achieves his objectives, i.e., to get the biggest share of the pie and give the smallest to the other party to the negotiation. Albeit it seems that he has some advantages in the process of negotiation, a competitor negotiator may lose his temper agitating the other party and thus cause delay or a stalemate and may even escalate the dispute especially when the other side attacks his position. A good negotiator who would like to maintain a future relationship with the other side of the negotiation would normally avoid a stalemate or escalation of the dispute and make sure that he does not lose his temper and that his actions at least causes no delay to a negotiated settlement of the disputes.

A competitive negotiator is assertive and basically follows the distributive negotiation style. In a distributive negotiation or also known as zero-sum negotiation, the negotiator applies the competitive bargaining tactic in which one party gains something only when the other party loses. This tactic is used in negotiating in the distribution of fixed resources, i.e., money, assets, etc., between the parties. It does not provide a win-win solution but rather makes one side win as much as they can and one side loses, *vice versa*, depending on their negotiation power, strength and skill. In this type of negotiation, each party will try their best to gain the maximum share out of the resources.

Therefore, the attitude of a competitive negotiator is so assertive, that he would expect his opponent to either concede or cease negotiation. This negotiator does not give much importance to the relationship with the other side, as he would normally display certain behaviour like suspicion, hostility, coercion and perhaps the use of tough language *vis-à-vis* the opponents. Generally, this type of negotiator talks more, does not listen to the opposite party and he does all these with the sole desire to win over the opponent to obtain the bigger share.¹

¹ K Shonk 'What is Distributive Negotiation?' Harvard Law School 12 February 2019 at https://www.pon.harvard.edu/daily (accessed 27 July 2019).

A competitor is less worried about the future relationship. This type of negotiator is an individual who loves conflict and enjoys being assertive to win at all costs, thereby he suffers from the 'competitive arousal' or the 'illogical desire' to win. The determination to win and the love of conflict is so strong on the part of the competitive negotiator that he risks alienating his negotiating partner, team and colleagues. When the other side of the negotiating party is agitated by the irrational assertiveness of the competitive negotiator, he may then alienate himself from the negotiation and this may cause the collapse of the negotiation process or the negotiation may come to an end without a desired outcome. Accordingly, this competitive negotiation strategy may not be suitable to employ and certainly, the parties cannot afford to ignore each other with regard to the subject matter of the dispute between them. At least, the option for further negotiation will still be available between the parties.

Accommodative Negotiation

The accommodative negotiation style is one of sacrifice, selflessness and harmonising in nature. An accommodative negotiator basically does not love conflict and tries to avoid it at all costs. He loves compromise and this makes him a nice individual negotiator that finds satisfaction in assisting others to get their needs met. He is not seeking to get the biggest slice of the pie but would rather search for a reasonable solution to the dispute that all parties are on par. He prefers cooperation over competition and his character is shaped by harmonious values, goodwill and reciprocity. By applying the tactic of the accommodative attitude, the negotiator may attract his opponents to give in to compromise. Therefore, the accommodative negotiator often feels that he gets more than he gives by taking the accommodative approach. This approach is best for the parties if their long-term relationship is more significant than the short-term gain.

The accommodative tactic is a proper form of negotiation to be used in the following situations:

(1) When preserving or building the relationship is more important than winning the issue at hand.

- 104 Negotiation: Types And Ethical Issues
 - (2) When supporting the needs of the other party is feasible, appropriate and does not come at significant personal cost.
 - (3) When a person realises that they are wrong and that the alternate position is better.
 - (4) When competing will produce a negative outcome, like the other person is in a position of authority or power.
 - (5) When a positive outcome is unlikely and it is better to end the dispute and move on.
 - (6) When assisting someone to learn and grow from the outcome of their decision, even though it may differ from their own.
 - (7) When restoring harmony during a tense moment or after a disagreement; and satisfying a complaint when in the process of providing customer service.²

Generally, an accommodative negotiator simply gives the other side too many concessions with the intention of strengthening the relationship between them in a short period of a negotiation. In doing so, he is neglecting his own needs in favour of helping the other side in getting their way. This type of negotiator also tends to avoid stress of conflict and appeases others. These attitudes put him in a weak position in the negotiation and is thus unable to achieve an outcome that is acceptable or fair to all parties. The inability to achieve a fair outcome emanates from lack of confidence, bargaining power and skills. It is essential for a successful negotiator to have the necessary knowledge, skill and confidence to argue and negotiate successfully with others and confront them if necessary, in order to attain a fair outcome.³

3 Ibid.

² D Eilerman 'Give and Take — The Accommodating Style in Managing Conflict' Resourceful Internet Solutions Inc August 2006 at https://www.mediate.com/ articles/eilerman (accessed 27 July 2019).

Collaborative Negotiation

Collaborative negotiation is a constructive and interest-based negotiation that treats the relationship between the disputing parties as an important and valuable element while seeking an equitable and fair agreement. A collaborative negotiator focuses on using problem solving methods to create value and discover mutually satisfactory solutions to a given dispute. This type of negotiator basically negotiates about 'what the problem is; how negotiating parties are going to work together; how the solution might be; and the final agreed goals for action'. In a collaborative negotiation, the negotiator basically takes an adversarial approach. A mediator can achieve his desired result successfully only when he knows well about the subject matter or issues involved in the dispute and the sensitivity of the relationship between the parties. A collaborative negotiator will not always compromise in order to sustain the relationship as in the case of accommodative negotiation, instead he generates new ideas and options in order to make sure a win-win solution is possible.

Collaborative negotiation, as opposed to confrontational negotiation, uses the win-win negotiation tactic and the collaborative negotiator may succeed in the negotiation if both disputing parties are also cooperative and join hands to come up with several possible solutions and later select the best solution. Generally, a collaborative negotiator focuses on finding a compromise that will make all disputing parties feel as if they got what they wanted, even if it is not exactly what they thought they wanted. Thus, it is crucial for a collaborative negotiator to listen to the wants, needs, fears, and concerns of all parties in the dispute. In any situation, a collaborative negotiator should avoid confrontation with any party to the negotiation.

In a collaborative negotiation, the negotiator also takes an integrative approach that allows parties with different opinions and interests to 'work together to develop and share information, analyse facts, develop common assumptions and use this information to reach an informed decision'. The main advantage of collaborative negotiation is that it makes all disputing parties feel valued and understood. In this way, they can avoid escalation of tension and misunderstanding; and feel free to express themselves and listen to each other's viewpoints. One of the most common factors that make the negotiation fail is that negotiating parties are not ready to listen to each other, thereby leading to further misunderstanding.⁴

Albeit the collaborative negotiation gives room to the parties to merge their different ideas, formulate a win-win solution and maintain relationship between them, this approach is not free from shortcomings. The key disadvantage of this approach involves the amount of time required to collaborate effectively in order to obtain the desired result. This is because a successful collaborative negotiation requires effective listening, paraphrasing and communication and all of these are time consuming but, in spite of this, the outcome would be a fair, win-win solution to the problem that can make all the parties to the dispute content.⁵

Ethics In Negotiation

Ethics can be defined as 'moral principles that govern a person's behaviour or the conducting of an activity'.⁶ Fundamentally, a person has to observe ethical principles relevant to a particular act or behaviour that he does. In view of that, there are ethics for almost all types of conduct known to mankind including negotiation. Ethics is the foundation of doing the right thing, being honest and being fair to the parties in a negotiation. Although there are no established model standards of ethics in negotiating a dispute, the authors suggest that a profound person who acts as a third party negotiator in a dispute should possess the following ethical and moral values in order to conduct a fair and successful negotiation.

⁴ JR Ehrmann, BL Stinson 'Joint Fact-finding and the Use of Technical Experts' in L Susskind, S McKearnan *The Consensus Building Handbook: A Comprehensive Guide to Reaching Agreement* (1999) pp. 375-398.

⁵ S Quain 'The Advantages & Disadvantages of Collaborating Conflict Management' at https://smallbusiness.chron.com (accessed 27 July 2019).

⁶ Lexico at https://www.lexico.com/en (accessed 27 July 2019).

Self-Determination Of The Parties (Party Autonomy)

A negotiator should make sure that the parties to a negotiation can freely exercise self-determination in which the parties are allowed to seek for a voluntary solution to the dispute without any form of coercion from anybody. From the beginning of a negotiation, a third party negotiator should inform the parties that negotiation is consensual in nature and that he is just an impartial facilitator. Thus, any party to the dispute may withdraw from the negotiation at any time and the negotiator may not impose or force any settlement on the parties.

Negotiation Skills

Generally, every human has some sort of inherent negotiation skills that are used daily in solving day-to-day challenges in life. However, a complex dispute may require a person who is more than just someone who knows basic negotiation. Therefore, a third party negotiator who negotiates complex disputes, should have the additional skills to negotiate the dispute to meet the reasonable expectations of the parties. During the course of negotiation, if a negotiator finds that he cannot conduct the negotiation competently, he should withdraw from it after informing the parties accordingly.

Confidentiality Of Information

A third party negotiator should not compromise the confidentiality of all information available to him in the process of negotiation under any circumstances. Prior to the commencement of negotiation, a negotiator should explain to the parties the information that he would obtain in the process of negotiation, is completely confidential. He should not disclose any information on how the parties conducted the negotiation to any other person. He should not use confidential information obtained in the negotiation outside for any other purposes.

Impartiality Of Negotiator

A third party negotiator must always conduct negotiation in an utmost impartial manner. He has to decline to negotiate between the parties if he cannot conduct the negotiation impartially. During the negotiation, he must always be careful about prejudice or lack of impartiality due to the personal characteristics, backgrounds, beliefs, or any other reason. It would be best for a negotiator to avoid any manner that appears to be either favouring or disfavouring any party in the negotiation. A negotiator should also withdraw from the process, at any time, if he is unable to conduct the negotiation in an impartial manner.

Conflicts Of Interest

The question of conflict of interest may arise from the involvement of a third party negotiator either in the subject matter of the dispute or in any kind of relationship between him and any party to the dispute. A negotiator should decline to negotiate if his involvement in the subject matter of the dispute or relationship with any party to the dispute makes him incapable of conducting the negotiation in an impartial manner.

Process Of Negotiation

A third party negotiator must conduct the process of negotiation in accordance with the principle of self-determination, confidentiality and impartiality. During the process, he should promote honesty and mutual respect among all the parties concerned. He should provide enough opportunity for each party to participate fully in the discussions during the course of negotiation. He should also allow them to decide freely on the outcome of the negotiation. At the same time, he should not allow any party to conduct in an unethical manner or breach any law. If the negotiation fails for any reason or parties cannot reach a solution by way of negotiation, the negotiator may suggest to the parties to resolve the dispute via alternative dispute resolution mechanisms, i.e., mediation, arbitration, counselling, neutral evaluation, or any other process as appropriate, or even a court of law.

Fees For Negotiation

If there is any fee charged for the negotiation service, it should be reasonable by taking into account, the complexity of the subject matter; the expertise of the negotiator; the time required; and the rates customary for such negotiation services in the field. All fees charged in connection with the negotiation should be in written form and explained to the parties for which the particular fee is being charged. A third party negotiator should not opt for a fee which is contingent upon the result of the negotiation or the financial amount of the settlement. Albeit a negotiator may accept unequal fees from the parties, it should not affect the ability of the negotiator to conduct the negotiation in an impartial manner on the basis of such difference in fees.⁷

Moral values can also be considered a quality that negotiators should possess. These values are important in negotiation as they help in enhancing the reputation of a negotiator, enabling him to gain the confidence of the parties and thereby, assisting the parties to reach an amicable settlement.



7 See the 'Model Standards of Conduct for Mediators 2005' prepared by the American Arbitration Association (AAA); the American Bar Association's Section of Dispute Resolution (ABA), and the Association for Conflict Resolution (ACR). See also the 'Standards of Conduct for Mediators in Court-Connected Programs 2000', adopted by the New Jersey Supreme Court. 110 Negotiation: Types And Ethical Issues

Conclusion

Negotiators, regardless of what types of negotiation they follow, i.e., competitive, accommodative or collaborative, should observe certain ethical values to ensure a just solution to their differences and, at the same time, maintain a good relationship between the parties that they would be able to continue to deal with one another in future. This is possible if negotiators follow some of the well established ethical or moral values in alternative dispute resolution mechanisms⁸ discussed above as guidance with the intention to achieve a just, fair and amicable solution to the dispute.

