

## Document details

[< Back to results](#) | 1 of 1[Export](#) [Download](#) [Print](#) [E-mail](#) [Save to PDF](#) [Add to List](#) [More... >](#)[Full Text](#) [View at Publisher](#)International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering [Open Access](#)  
Volume 8, Issue 2, September 2019, Pages 971-979

## Rainfall-runoff model based on ANN with LM , BR and PSO as learning algorithms (Article) [\(Open Access\)](#)

Romlay, M.R.M.<sup>a</sup>, Rashid, M.M.<sup>b</sup>, Toha, S.F.<sup>b</sup>, Ibrahim, A.M.<sup>a</sup><sup>a</sup>International Islamic University Malaysia (IIUM), Malaysia<sup>b</sup>Department of Mechatronics Engineering, International Islamic University Malaysia (IIUM), Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

### Abstract

Rainfall-runoff model requires comprehensive computation as its relation is a complex natural phenomenon. Various inter-related processes are involved with factors such as rainfall intensity, geomorphology, climatic and landscape are all affecting runoff response. In general there is no single rainfall-runoff model that can cater to all flood prediction system with varying topological area. Hence, there is a vital need to have custom-tailored prediction model with specific range of data, type of perimeter and antecedent hour of prediction to meet the necessity of the locality. In an attempt to model a reliable rainfall-runoff system for a flood-prone area in Malaysia, 3 different approach of Artificial Neural Networks (ANN) are modelled based on the data acquired from Sungai Pahang, Pekan. In this paper, the ANN rainfall-runoff models are trained by the Levenberg Marquardt (LM), Bayesian Regularization (BR) and Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO). The performances of the learning algorithms are compared and evaluated based on a 12-hour prediction model. The results demonstrate that LM produces the best model. It outperforms BR and PSO in terms of convergence rate, lowest mean square error (MSE) and optimum coefficient of correlation. Furthermore, the LM approach are free from overfitting, which is a crucial concern in conventional ANN learning algorithm. Our case study takes the data of rainfall and runoff from the year 2012 to 2014. This is a case study in Pahang river basin, Pekan, Malaysia. © BEIESP.

### SciVal Topic Prominence ⓘ

Topic: Artificial neural network | Wavelet | Flood forecasting

Prominence percentile: 99.217 ⓘ

### Author keywords

[Artificial neural network](#) [Bayesian Regularization](#) [Levenberg Marquardt](#) [Particle Swarm Optimization](#) [Rainfall-runoff](#)

### Funding details

Funding sponsor	Funding number	Acronym
International Islamic University Malaysia	RIGS 16-337-0501	IIUM

### Funding text

The author would like to thank Research Initiative Grant Scheme by IIUM (RIGS 16-337-0501) for funding the study and Department of Irrigation and Drainage Malaysia (DID) for the contribution of experimental data of rainfall and runoff information.

[Metrics ⓘ](#) [View all metrics >](#)

### PlumX Metrics

Usage, Captures, Mentions, Social Media and Citations beyond Scopus.

Cited by 0 documents

Inform me when this document is cited in Scopus:

[Set citation alert >](#)[Set citation feed >](#)

### Related documents

Find more related documents in Scopus based on:

[Authors >](#) [Keywords >](#)

© Copyright 2019 Elsevier B.V., All rights reserved.

< Back to results | 1 of 1

^ Top of page

## About Scopus

What is Scopus  
Content coverage  
Scopus blog  
Scopus API  
Privacy matters

## Language

日本語に切り替える  
切换到简体中文  
切换到繁體中文  
Русский язык

## Customer Service

Help  
Contact us

**ELSEVIER**

[Terms and conditions ↗](#) [Privacy policy ↗](#)

Copyright © Elsevier B.V. ↗. All rights reserved. Scopus® is a registered trademark of Elsevier B.V.

We use cookies to help provide and enhance our service and tailor content. By continuing, you agree to the use of cookies.

 RELX