

PLANNING MALAYSIA: Journal of the Malaysian Institute of Planners VOLUME 17 ISSUE 2 (2019), Page 302 – 311

### PREDICTABILITY OF POSITIVE RELATIONSHIPS THROUGH PERSONAL EMPOWERMENT

Aisyah Abu Bakar<sup>1</sup>, Mariana Mohamed Osman<sup>2</sup> & Muhammad Faris Abdullah<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1,2,3</sup>Kulliyyah of Architecture and Environmental Design INTERNATIONAL ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY MALAYSIA

### Abstract

Designers have long adopted the knowledge from the field of psychology to expand architectural space's emotional impacts. Appropriate design strategies can improve and sustain well-being through instilling the sense of empowerment, leading to positive relationships among space occupants. *Issue*: A large body of the literature has sought to provide a conclusive empirical assessment on the predictability of attitudes and behaviours in positive relationships (PR) through personal empowerment (PE). *Purpose*: This paper intends to determine the predictability of PR based on PE. *Approach*: Multiple Correlation and Multiple Linear Regression were conducted to estimate linear associations and parameters of linear equations to predict PR components based on PE items. *Findings*: Components of PR were predictable by the majority of the PE items and 'monitoring behaviours to suit with situation' was the strongest predictor of PR.

Keywords: positive relationship, personal empowerment

# **INTRODUCTION**

Human interdependence with other humans (HIH) is one of the agents of subjective sustainable well-being (SSWB). HIH is the extent of individuals' abilities to dedicate themselves in their social context in return for SSWB. Personal empowerment (PE) and positive relationships (PR) are dimensions of HIH. Spatial designs support developmental milestones contributing to enhance PE. Some studies have theoretically justified the widely diverse ends and means of PE. While there are claims of PE as enablers of PR, empirical evidence is still lacking. This paper assesses the statistical predictability of PR based on PE.

### LITERATURE REVIEW

Case studies based on articles from selected Asian Journals from the year 2011 onwards highlight conditional factors and potential determinants of Positive Relations (PR). Table 1 summarises these findings.

 Table 1 Conditional factors and potential determinants for positive relationships

<b>Table 1</b> Conditional factors and potential	determinants for positive rela	tionships
Conditional Factors (keywords)	Potential Determinants	References
Parenting styles and involvement, authorities parenting, work-family balance; economic situation health and safety at home; community involvement; spirituality; density and number of bedrooms.	Involvement, support, resilience, time (ability to spend time with family), and family functioning (fulfilling roles and)	Noraini, Gandhi, Ishak, & Wok (2014)
husband and wife relations, family relationships, achievements, economic situations, standard of living, health, safety, relationship with community, spiritual practices, and basic amenities.	Functioning, involvement, resilience, tolerance (acceptance) and understanding, helpfulness and time with family	Abu Rahim, Ishak, Mohd Shafie, & Shafiai (2013)
Settlement areas (urban area), income (> RM 800), marital status (married) and possess social life skills	Care (feeling concern for), responsibility, and social contact (communication)	Mohamad et al. (2013)
Resilience (strength to cope in stressful situations), financial autonomy (financially independent)	Emotional intimacy, tolerance, responsibility	Shuib et al. (2013)
Gender – women apologize more to the same gender, while men apologize easier to the opposite gender. Women have a 'lower threshold of what constitutes offensive behaviour'. Men tend to apologize when they believe that they have actually offended someone.	Ability to apologise, humility (humbleness), modesty (moderate and unassuming), compassion (empathy and sensitivity)	Turiman, Leong, & Hassan (2013)
Age and number of children negatively correlate with marital satisfaction. Marital satisfaction refers to the perception towards marital relationship in terms of the marriage as a whole, the husband or wife as a spouse, the overall relationship with husband or wife, and the expression of love in the relationship.	Love (deep affection), passion (enthusiasm for someone), intimacy (close familiarity), commitment (sense of obligation), and communication (connecting)	Hoesni, Subhi, Alavi, & Wan Azreena (2013)
Parents' self-esteem (confidence in abilities), family functioning (involvement and communication), and temperament (innate and enduring personality traits)	Conducive (encouraging) and responsive (readily responding) behaviours	Chiah & Baharudin (2013)
Parents' personality factors, parent-child relationship and practices, parental intervention, family sibship size, peer relationship and academic performance	Extraversion (outgoing) emotional stability, and conscientiousness (being careful or vigilant)	Ha & Tam (2013)
Psychosocial well-being (connection between psychological experience and wider social	Problem-solving skills helpfulness, intimacy, tolerance and openness in communication	(Demir et al., 2012)

Aisyah Abu Bakar, Mariana Mohamed Osman & Muhammad Faris Abdullah Personal Empowerment as Determinants of Organisational Opportunity

experience), and social skills (skills facilitating interaction and communication with others)		
Peer-rejection (exclusion from social interaction), isolation (separation from others), criticism (expression of disapproval), strictness (rigidity and stringency), competitions (act of rivalry and supremacy), and emotional dissatisfaction (intense feelings of discontent or feeling disgruntled).	Interaction skills, flexibility (willingness to compromise) cooperativeness (joint action) and nurturance (love, care and attention given to someone)	Vellymalay (2013)

The findings from the case studies generate three significant components of PR: (i) Tolerance and Compassion (PRa), (ii) Sense of Inclusion (PRb) and (iii) Self-Regulation and Benevolent (PRc).

 Table 2 Components and determinants of positive relationships

Definition of PR	Components	Items	Code
		being flexible to differences in opinions	
Positive sense of	Tolerance and	confident (not shy) in expressing care and affection	- PRa
intimacy,	Compassion	aware and eager to know others' updates	РКа
emotional		offering emotional support whenever it is needed	
responsiveness	Sense of Inclusion	engaging productively in decision making process	
and continuous		ensuring others are engaged in decision making process	
support expressed	Inclusion	forgiving of others' weaknesses and mistakes	
in personal	Self-Regulation and Benevolent	self-conscious of own mistakes and quickly apologies	
relationships		motivating and assisting others to fulfil their life goals	
		expressing appreciations to others regularly	

Personal Empowerment (PE) manifests in the opportunity to exercise control, voice and choice with regards to social surroundings. Qualities adhere to PE include (i) self-motivation with regards to goal orientation, autonomy and self-regulation (Fatimah et al., 2011; Chin et al., 2012; Kok, 2016), (ii) social acceptance and coherence with others (Fatimah, Lukman, Khairudin, Wan Shahrazad, & Halim, 2011; Nesbit, Jepsen, Demirian, & Ho, 2012; Kadir, Omar, Desa, & Yusooff, 2013; Zamani, Khairudin, Sulaiman, Halim, & Nasir, 2013), and (iii) composure, stability and resilience (Song, Cai, Brown, & Grimm, 2011; Sulaiman et al., 2013; Sipon, Nasrah, Nazli, Abdullah, & Othman, 2014).

Table 3 Determinants of personal empowerment

Definition of PE	Items	Code
	setting goals and striving to meet goals	PE1
	striving and working hard even for easy goals	PE2
	monitoring behaviours to suit with situations	PE3
Self-esteem in taking control over	knowing when somebody is offended	PE4
life along with sense of composure	ensuring others are comfortable when making deals	PE5
to progress in the social	able to be friendly with distasteful persons when necessary	PE6
environment	able to work out solutions during stress and difficulties	PE7
	tackling problems efficiently in unexpected conditions	PE8
	feeling energetic for daily routines and activities	PE9
	having hardly distracted and focus mind	PE10

Based on theoretical underpinnings, this research hypothesises that PR components are predictable by PE. The following sections provide empirical evidence the predictability of PRa, PRb and PRc based on PE items.

## **METHOD**

A sample of 4,315 was gathered after the data screening process. The Malaysian respondents were given an 11-point Likert scale to respond to questionnaire items which include the components of PR and the ten (10) PE items. Pearson correlation analyses were conducted to observe if there were linear associations between the PR components and PE items. Ensuing correlation analyses, multiple linear regression analyses were conducted to estimate parameters of the linear equations used to predict values of PRa, PRb and PRc from PE items.

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

At 95% confidence level, there were statistically significant positive correlations between (i) PRa and each of PE items, (ii) PRb and each of PE items, and (iii) PRc and each of PE items. The null hypotheses claiming there are no statistically significant correlations between (i) PRa and respective PE items, (ii) PRb and respective PE items, and (iii) PRc and respective PE items were all rejected.

	H <sub>0</sub> Th	ere is no s	statisticall	y signific	ant correl	ation bety	ween PRb	and resp	ective PE	items	
	H <sub>0</sub> Th	ere is no s	statisticall	y signific	ant correl	ation betw	ween PRc	and respe	ective PE	items	
			Correlati	on Streng	th Thresh	old (Dan	cey & Rei	idy, 2004)	)		
r	0	.1	.2	.3	.4	.5	.6	.7	.8	.9	1
strengtl	n zero	)	weak			moderate	e		strong		perfec
DV	Stats	PE1	PE2	PE3	PE4	PE5	PE6	PE7	PE8	PE9	PE10
51	r	.494**	.511**	.498**	.470**	.470**	.431**	.442**	.427**	.445**	.405
PRa	р	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.00
-	Ν	4315	4315	4315	4315	4315	4315	4315	4315	4315	431
_	r	.446**	.465**	.461**	.426**	.451**	.382**	.402**	.403**	.419**	.375
PRb	р	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.00
	Ν	4315	4315	4315	4315	4315	4315	4315	4315	4315	431
_	r	.458**	.473**	$.480^{**}$	.433**	.463**	.386**	.412**	.389**	.420**	.351
PRc	р	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.00
	Ν	4315	4315	4315	4315	4315	4315	4315	4315	4315	431
			Statistical	_							

Table 4 Multiple Correlations between PE items and PRa. PRb and PRc

and (i) PE1 (r = .494, p = .000); (ii) PE2 (r = .511, p = .000); (iii) PE3 (r = .498, p = .000); (iv) PE4 (r PRa =.470, p = .000); (v) PE5 (r =.470, p = .000); (vi) PE6 (r =.431, p = .000); (vii) PE7 (r =.442, p = .000); (viii) PE8 (r =.427, p = .000); (ix) PE9 (r =.445, p = .000); (x) PE01 (r =.405, p = .000).

At 95% confidence level, there were statistically significant and moderate correlations between PRb PRb and (i) PE1 (r =.446, p = .000); (ii) PE2 (r =.465, p = .000); (iii) PE3 (r =.461, p = .000); (iv) PE4 (r =.426, p = .000; (v) PE5 (r =.451, p = .000); (vi) PE7 (r =.402, p = .000); (vii) PE8 (r =.403, p = .000); (viii) PE8 (r =.400, p = .000); (viii) PE8 (r =.400, p = .000); (viii) PE8 (r =.400, p =

Aisyah Abu Bakar, Mariana Mohamed Osman & Muhammad Faris Abdullah Personal Empowerment as Determinants of Organisational Opportunity

	.000); (viii) PE9 (r =.419, p = .000). Additionally, there were statistically significant and weak
	correlations between PRb and (ix) PE6 (r = $.382$ , p = $.000$ ); (x) PE10 (r = $.375$ , p = $.000$ ).
	At 95% confidence level, there were statistically significant and moderate correlations between PRc
	and (i) PE1 (r = .458, p = .000); (ii) PE2 (r = .473, p = .000); (iii) PE3 (r = .480, p = .000); (iv) PE4 (r
PRc	=.433, p = .000); (v) PE5 (r =.463, p = .000); (vi) PE7 (r =.412, p = .000); (vii) PE8 (r =.389, p =
	.000); (viii) PE9 ( $r = .420$ , $p = .000$ ); Additionally, there were statistically significant and weak
	correlations between PRc and (ix) PE6 (r = .386, p = .000); (x) PE10 (r = .351, p = .000).

Three (3) multiple regression analyses were carried out to predict the values of each of dependent variables (i) PRa, (ii) PRb and (iii) PRc given the set of PE explanatory variables (PE1, PE2, PE3, PE4, PE5, PE6, PE7, PE8, PE9, and PE10).

Table 5 Multiple Linear Regression – PE predicting PRa H There will be no significant prediction of PRa by PE1, PE2, PE3, PE4, PE5, PE6, PE7, PE8, PE9 and PE10 Model Summary Adjusted R Std. Error of Durbin-Model R R Square Square the Estimate Watson .340 .583 1 .339 1.21530 1.645 ANOVA Model Sum of df Mean Square F Sig. Squares 10 327.743 221.905 .000 Regression 3277.434 1.477 Residual 6356.799 4304 Total 9634.232 4314 Coefficients Unstandardised Standardised 95.0% Confidence Coefficients Coefficients Interval for B Model Sig. t Std Lower Upper В β Error Bound Bound 2.993 (Constant) 25.824 .000 .116 2.766 3.220 PE1 104 .018 124 5.661 .000 .068 140 5.383 PE2 127 .113 021 000 072 155 PE3 .107 .019 119 5.736 .000 .070 .143 PF4 .086 020 .091 4.401 .000 048 125 PE5 .047 .019 .053 2.457 .014 .010 .085 PE6 .086 .018 .091 4.745 .000 .050 .121 PE7 .025 .021 .028 1.216 .224 -.015 .065 PE8 -.035 .022 .041 -1.617 .106 -.078 .008 PE9 .062 .021 .072 2.935 .003 .021 .104 **PE10** .050 2.387 .040 .017 .017 .007 .074

A multiple regression was generated to predict PRa based on PE items. R value of .583 indicated an acceptable level of prediction (R > 0.5). The Durbin-Watson statistic was 1.645 which is between 1.5 and 2.5 and therefore the data was not autocorrelated. A significant regression equation was found, F (10, 4304)

= 221.905, p = .000, with an  $R^2$  of .340; indicating that the proportion of variance in PRa that can be explained by PE items was 34%.

At 95% confidence level, PE1 (B = .104, t = 5.661, p = .000), PE2 (B = .113, t = 5.383, p = .000), PE3 (B = .107, t = 5.736, p = .000), PE4 (B = .086, t = 4.401, p = .000), PE5 (B = .047, t = 2.457, p = .014), PE6 (B = .086, t = 4.745, p = .000), PE9 (B = .062, t = 2.935, p = .003) and PE10 (B = .040, t = 2.387, p = .017) were significant predictors of PRa. On the contrary, it was found that PE7 (B = .025, t = 1.216, p = .224) and PE8 (B = -.035, t = -1.617, p = .106) were not significant predictors of PRa.

Personal Empowerment (PE) items significantly account for 34% of Tolerance and Compassion (PRa). Eight (8) of PE items were significant predictors of PRa.

**Table 6** Multiple Linear Regression – PE predicting PRb

$H_0$	

		Model	Summary			
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate	Durbin- Watson	
1	.536	.287	.286	1.31404	1.759	
		AN	OVA			
Model	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.	
Regression	2998.190	10	299.819	173.637	.000	
Residual	7431.725	4304	1.727			
Total	10429.915	4314				

			Coefficients					
Model	Unstandardised Coefficients		Standardised Coefficients		Sig.	95.0% Confidence Interval for B		
	В	Std Error	β	- ι	Sig.	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	
(Constant)	3.226	.125		25.747	.000	2.981	3.472	
PE1	.081	.020	.092	4.062	.000	.042	.120	
PE2	.099	.023	.107	4.335	.000	.054	.143	
PE3	.108	.020	.116	5.362	.000	.068	.147	
PE4	.051	.021	.052	2.406	.016	.009	.093	
PE5	.117	.021	.126	5.637	.000	.076	.158	
PE6	.037	.020	.038	1.912	.056	001	.076	
PE7	006	.022	006	254	.799	049	.038	
PE8	.010	.024	.011	.412	.680	037	.056	
PE9	.070	.023	.078	3.042	.002	.025	.115	
PE10	.035	.018	.042	1.895	.058	001	.071	

A multiple regression was generated to predict PRb based on PE items. R value of .536 indicated an acceptable level of prediction (R > 0.5). The Durbin-Watson statistic was 1.759 which is between 1.5 and 2.5 and therefore the data was not autocorrelated. A significant regression equation was found, F (10, 4304)

Aisyah Abu Bakar, Mariana Mohamed Osman & Muhammad Faris Abdullah Personal Empowerment as Determinants of Organisational Opportunity

= 173.637, p = .000, with an  $R^2$  of .287; indicating that the proportion of variance in PRb that can be explained by PE items was 28.7%.

At 95% confidence level, PE1 (B = .081, t = 4.062, p = .000), PE2 (B = .099, t = 4.335, p = .000), PE3 (B = .108, t = 5.362, p = .000), PE4 (B = .051, t = 2.406, p = .000), PE5 (B = .117, t = 3.042, p = .002), and PE9 (B = .070, t = 2.935, p = .003) were significant predictors of PRb. On the contrary, it was found that PE6 (B = .037, t = 1.912, p = .056), PE7 (B = -.066, t = -.254, p = .799), PE8 (B = .010, t = .412, p = .680) and PE10 (B = .035, t = 1.895, p = .058) were not significant predictors of PRb.

Personal Empowerment (PE) items significantly account for 28.7% of Sense of Inclusion (PRb). Six (6) of PE items were significant predictors of PRb.

	Table	7 Multiple	<u>Linear Regre</u> H <sub>0</sub>	ession – PE pi	redicting	, PKC		
There will be n	o significa	nt prediction	0	PE2, PE3, PE4, P	E5, PE6, I	PE7, PE8, PE	9 and PE1	
			Model Sun	nmary				
Model	R		R Square	Adjusted R Square		rror of stimate	Durbin- Watson	
1	.54	49	.301	.299		3381	1.674	
			ANOV	<b>A</b>				
Model	Sum of Squares		df	Mean Square	F		Sig.	
Regression	3055	5.230	10	305.523	185.3	72	.000	
Residual	7093	3.701	4304	1.648				
Total	1014	8.931	4314					
			Coeffici	ents				
Model -	Unstandardised Coefficients		Standardise Coefficient		Sig.		onfidence al for B	
Widdei	В	Std Error	β	t	Sig.	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	
(Constant)	3.361	.122		27.452	.000	3.121	3.601	
PE1	.094	.019	.108	4.814	.000	.056	.132	
PE2	.086	.022	.094	3.845	.000	.042	.129	
PE3	.132	.020	.144	6.726	.000	.094	.170	
PE4	.049	.021	.050	2.366	.018	.008	.090	
PE5	.117	.020	.127	5.757	.000	.077	.157	
PE6	.038	.019	.039	1.982	.048	.000	.075	
PE7	.045	.022	.050	2.066	.039	.002	.088	
PE8	047	.023	053	-2.043	.041	093	002	
PE9	.107	.022	.121	4.767	.000	.063	.151	
PE10	020	.018	024	-1.096	.273	055	.015	

**Table 7** Multiple Linear Regression – PE predicting PRc

A multiple regression was generated to predict PRc based on PE items. R value of .549 indicated an acceptable level of prediction (R > 0.5). The Durbin-Watson statistic was 1.674 which is between 1.5 and 2.5 and therefore the data was not autocorrelated. A significant regression equation was found, F (10, 4304)

= 185.372, p = .000, with an  $R^2$  of .301; indicating that the proportion of variance in PRc that can be explained by PE items was 30.1%.

At 95% confidence level, PE1 (B = .094, t = 4.814, p = .000), PE2 (B = .086, t = 3.845, p = .000), PE3 (B = .132, t = 6.726, p = .000), PE4 (B = .049, t = 2.366, p = ..018), PE5 (B = .117, t = 5.757, p = .000), PE6 (B = .038, t = 1.982, p = .048), PE7 (B = .045 t = 2.066, p = .039), PE8 (-.047, t = -2.043, p = .041) and PE9 (B = .107, t = 4.767, p = .000) were significant predictors of PRc. It was found that PE10 (B = -.020, t = -1.096, p = .273) was not significant predictor of PRc.

Personal Empowerment (PE) items significantly account for 30.1% of Self-Regulation and Benevolent (PRc). Nine (9) of PE items were significant predictors of PRc.

Table 8 Summary of findings												
-					IV (P	redict	or Va	riable	s) - β			
		PE1	PE2	PE3	PE4	PE5		PE6	PE7	PE8	PE9	PE10
DV	PRa	.124 🗸	.127 🗸	.119 √	.091 🗸	.053	. 🗸	)91 🗸	.028 🗙	041 🗙	.072 🗸	.050 🗸
(Outcome	PRb	.092 🗸	.107 🗸	.116 🗸	.052 🗸	.126	. V	)38 <b>X</b>	006 🗙	.011 🗙	.078 🗸	.042 🗙
Variables)	PRc	.108 🗸	.094 🗸	.144 √	.050 🗸	.127	. V	)39 🗸	.050 🗸	053 🗸	.121 🗸	024 🗙
$\checkmark$ = statistically significant predictor; $X$ = not statistically significant predictor												
										•		
DV	Indic	ators					IV	Top 3	3 Stronge	st Predict	ors	β
PRa		-			opinions		PE2 striving and working hard even for easy goals					.127
Tolerance and	affe	affection • aware and eager to know others' updates						PE1 setting goals and striving to meet goals				
Compassion	6 1						PE3		toring b situatior	ehaviour: 1s	s to suit	.119
PRb		• engaging productively in decision making					PE5 ensuring others are comfortable when making deals				.126	
Sense of Inclusion	• ens	<ul> <li>process</li> <li>ensuring others are engaged in decision making process</li> <li>forgiving of others' weaknesses and mistakes</li> </ul>						PE3 monitoring behaviours to suit with situations			.116	
Inclusion											.107	
PRc Self-			us of own	is of own mistakes and quickly			PE3	moni with	toring b situatior	ehaviour: Is	s to suit	.144
Regulation	• mo	0	nd assisti	ng others	s to fulfil 1	their	PE5		ing other making	s are com deals	fortable	.127
and Benevolent		goals pressing a	ppreciatio	ons to oth	ners regula	arly	PE9		ig energe ies and a	tic for dai ctivities	ly	.121

The empirical assessments indicate that the majority of PE items significantly account for PRa, PRb and PRc. PE3 which denoted '*monitoring behaviours to suit with situations*' was in the top three strongest predictors for all components of PR. Thus implying that the ability to 'fit in' in the social sphere highly encourage and enable more positive behaviours in relational well-being. Manoeuvring emotions and behaviours mindfully and rationally demands the commitment to continually watch over personal thoughts and feelings as well as

Aisyah Abu Bakar, Mariana Mohamed Osman & Muhammad Faris Abdullah Personal Empowerment as Determinants of Organisational Opportunity

reactions of others. Architectural design can enhance attentive communications and receptive interactions through space sizes and layouts, furniture organisations, colour choices and many other design strategies. Designers indirectly enrich positive relationships through empowering space occupants, therefore leading to positive relationships and sustained well-being.

### CONCLUSION

HIH in SSWB deems well-being that is achievable through a supportive and congruent interaction system. This paper proves that positive relationships are achievable through personal empowerment. The future direction of this research involves statistical modelling on the constructs described in this paper.

#### REFERENCES

- Abu Rahim, M. A. R., Ishak, I., Mohd Shafie, S. A., & Shafiai, R. M. (2013). Factors influencing family life satisfaction among parents in Malaysia: The Structural Equation Modeling Approach (SEM). *Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences*, 17(4), 78-85.
- Chiah, W. Y., & Baharudin, R. (2013). Parenting behaviour of mothers, adolescents' social emotional adjustments and their correlates in intact and non-intact Malay families in Kuala Lumpur. *Pertanika Journal of Social Science and Humanities*, 21(4), 1431-1446.
- Dancey, C., & Reidy, J. (2004) Statistics without maths for psychology: Ssing SPSS for Windows. London: Prentice Hall.
- Fatimah, O., Lukman, Z. M., Khairudin, R., Wan Shahrazad, W. S., & Halim, F. W. (2011). Procrastination's relation with fear of failure, competence expectancy and intrinsic motivation. *Pertanika Journal of Social Science and Humanities*, 19(Special), 123-127.
- Ha, T. S., & Tam, C. L. (2013). Relationships of birth order, parent-child relationship, personality, and academic performance. *Pertanika Journal of Social Science and Humanities*, 21(1), 44-52.
- Hoesni, S. M., Subhi, N., Alavi, K., & Wan Azreena, W. J. (2013). Exploring love and marital satisfaction among married Malay males. *Pertanika Journal of Social Science and Humanities*, 21(December), 59-68.
- Kadir, N. B. A., Omar, F., Desa, A., & Yusooff, F. (2013). Secure style, PWB-related gratitude and SWB-related engagement as predictors of affect balance among social science students in Malaysia: A pilot study. *Pertanika Journal of Social Science and Humanities*, 21, 85-98.
- Mohamad, M. S., Hoesni, S. M., Subhi, N., Chong, S. T., Sarnon, N., & Nen, S. (2013). Family experiences of caring among caregivers of schizophrenia patients. *Pertanika Journal of Social Science and Humanities*, 21(4), 1633-1644.
- Nesbit, P. L., Jepsen, D., Demirian, S., & Ho, J. (2012). Extending self-leadership research to the East: Measurement equivalence of the Chinese and English versions of the MSLQ. *Asian Journal of Social Psychology*, *15*(2), 101-111.
- Noraini, M. N., Gandhi, A. D., Ishak, I., & Wok, S. (2014). Development of indicators for family well- being in Malaysia. *Social Indicators Research*, 115(1), 279-318.
- Shuib, R., Endut, N., Ali, S. H., Osman, I., Abdullah, S., Oon, S. W., ...& Shahrudin, S. S. H. (2013). Domestic violence and women's well-being in Malaysia: issues and

challenges conducting a national study using the WHO multi-country questionnaire on women's health and domestic violence against women. *Procedia* - *Social and Behavioral Sciences*, *91*, 475–488.

- Sipon, S., Nasrah, S. K., Nazli, N. N. N. N. Abdullah, S., & Othman, K. (2014). Stress and religious coping among flood victims. *Proceedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 140, 605-608.
- Song, H., Cai, H., Brown, J. D., & Grimm, K. J. (2011). Differential item functioning of the Rosenberg Self-Esteem Scale in the US and China: Measurement bias matters. *Asian Journal of Social Psychology*, 14(3), 176–188.
- Sulaiman, W. S. W., Kadir, N. B. A., Halim, F. W., Omar, F., Latiff, R. A., & Sulaiman, W. S. W. (2013). Structural relations between personality traits, coping strategy, social support and well-being among adolescents. *Pertanika Journal of Social Science and Humanities*, 21(December), 121-134.
- Turiman, S., Leong, A., & Hassan, F. (2013). Are men more apologetic than women? *Pertanika Journal of Social Science and Humanities*, 21(3), 953-964.
- Vellymalay, S. K. N. (2013). A case study on friendship, loneliness and social dissatisfaction among preschool children. *Pertanika Journal of Social Science and Humanities*, 21(4), 1379-1393.

Zamani, Z. A., Khairudin, R., Sulaiman, W. S. W., Halim, F. W., & Nasir, R. (2013). Relationship between achievement motivation and personality among pre and post-independence Malaysian Malays. *Pertanika Journal of Social Science and Humanities*, 21(December), 135-142

Received: 12th January 2019. Accepted: 2nd August 2019