

About IMJM v

Submission Guidelines \

Archives

Contact us

Home > About IMJM

## About IMJM

#### Chief Editor

Professor Dr Nasser Muhammad Amjad

#### Publication details

2 issues per year (semiannual)

Online Archive: From Volume 1, June 2002

Online ISSN: 1823-4631

#### Aims and Scope

The International Medical Journal Malaysia is the official journal of the Kulliyyah (Faculty) of Medicine, International Islamic University Malaysia. It serves primarily as a forum for education and intellectual discourse for health professionals namely in clinical medicine but covers diverse issues relating to medical ethics, professionalism as well as medical developments and research in basic medical sciences. It also serves the unique purpose of highlighting issues and research pertaining to the Muslim world. Contributions to the IMJM reflect its international and multidisciplinary readership and include current thinking across a range of specialties, ethnicities and societies.



### Previous Volumes

- Volume 17 Special Issue 2
- Volume 17 No 2 (August 2018)
- Volume 17 Special Issue 1
- Volume 17 No 1 (April 2018)
- Message from Chief Editor
- Volume 16 No 2 (Dec 2017)
- Volume 16
  Supplementary
  Issue No 2
- Volume 16
  Supplementary
  Issue No 1
- Volume 16 No 1 (June 2017)
- Volume 15 No 2 (Dec 2016)

Abstract ID: 83 Clinical Medicine Oral

# COMPARISON OF HIGHER-ORDER ABERRATION VALUES BETWEEN DIFFERENT ABERROMETRY TECHNOLOGIES IN PREOPERATIVE LASER REFRACTIVE SURGERY PATIENTS

Md Muziman Syah<sup>1</sup>, Khairidzan Mohd Kamal<sup>2</sup>, Najwa Munirah Mohd Yusof<sup>1</sup>, Aminul Hakim Sofian Sauri<sup>1</sup>, Noor Atikah Mad<sup>1</sup> and Nurul Hana<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Optometry and Visual Science, Kulliyyah of Allied Health Sciences, International Islamic University Malaysia, <sup>2</sup>Department of Ophthalmology, Kulliyyah of Medicine, International Islamic University Malaysia

Presenter: Najwa Munirah Mohd Yusof, najwamunirah235@gmail.com

Introduction: Purpose of this study was to evaluate the inter-device agreement of ocular and corneal higher-order aberration measurements using various aberrometers.

Materials and method: Ocular and corneal higher-order aberrations were measured from 57 eyes. For ocular aberration, the measurements were obtained from WASCA Analyzer (Carl Zeiss Meditec, Jena, Germany), i-Profiler Plus (Carl Zeiss Meditec, Jena, Germany) and OPD-Scan III (NIDEK Co. Ltd., Tokyo, Japan). Whereas for corneal aberration, an additional measurement was obtained from Atlas Corneal Topographer 9000 (Carl Zeiss Meditec, Jena, Germany). All the measurements were displayed by the Zernike algorithm. Root mean squares of total, 3rd and 4th order of higher-order aberrations were compared between any three devices using repeated measured analysis of variance. The Bland-Altman analysis and Pearson's correlation were employed to assess agreement and strength of relationship between measurements.

Results: For ocular aberrations, there were no significant difference (P > 0.05) in all comparisons except for total higher-order aberration, oblique and horizontal trefoil. Whereas for corneal aberrations, vertical coma, spherical aberration and with-the-rule/against-the-rule secondary astigmatism between devices were found to be significantly different (P < 0.05). The most agreeable device pairs for ocular and corneal aberrations were demonstrated by i-Profiler Plus - OPD Scan III (95% limit of agreement: -0.340 to 0.730) and Atlas Corneal Topographer 9000 - i-Profiler Plus (limit of agreement: 0.196 to 0.520) respectively. The r-values are stronger than 0.70.

Conclusion: There were differences in the value of higher-order aberrations between different aberrometry technologies. Clinical impact due to the differences in wavefront measurement between skiascopy, Placido-based and laser technologies need to be investigated further.