

About IMJM  $\sim$ 

Submission Guidelines ~ Archives

Contact us

Home > About IMJM

## **About IMJM**

**Chief Editor** 

Professor Dr Nasser Muhammad Amjad

**Publication details** 

2 issues per year (semiannual)

Online Archive: From Volume 1, June 2002

Online ISSN: 1823-4631

## Aims and Scope

The International Medical Journal Malaysia is the official journal of the Kulliyyah (Faculty) of Medicine, International Islamic University Malaysia. It serves primarily as a forum for education and intellectual discourse for health professionals namely in clinical medicine but covers diverse issues relating to medical ethics, professionalism as well as medical developments and research in basic medical sciences. It also serves the unique purpose of highlighting issues and research pertaining to the Muslim world. Contributions to the IMJM reflect its international and multidisciplinary readership and include current thinking across a range of specialties, ethnicities and societies.



## Previous Volumes

- Volume 17 No 1 (April 2018)
- Message from
  Chief Editor
- Volume 16 No 2 (Dec 2017)
- Volume 16
  Supplementary
  Issue No 2
- Volume 16
  Supplementary
  Issue No 1
- Volume 16 No 1 (June 2017)
- Volume 15 No 2 (Dec 2016)
- Volume 15
  Supplementary
  Issue No 1
- Volume 15 No 1 (June 2016)

Abstract ID: 89

**Clinical Medicine** 

Oral

## COMPARISON BETWEEN POINT-BASED AND RING-BASED TECHNOLOGIES IN KERATOMETRIC MEASUREMENT AMONG POST MYOPIC LASER REFRACTIVE SURGERY PATIENTS

Md Muziman Syah<sup>1</sup>, Aminul Hakim Sofian Sauri<sup>1</sup>, Khairidzan Mohd Kamal<sup>2</sup>, Mahfuzah Mokhtar<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Ophthalmology, Kulliyyah of Medicine, International Islamic University, Pahang, Malaysia, <sup>2</sup>Department of Optometry and Visual Science, Kulliyyah of Allied Health Sciences, International Islamic University, Pahang, Malaysia.

Presenter: Aminul Hakim Sofian Sauri, aminulhakim.optom91@gmail.com

Introduction: Corneal power or keratometric value represents two-third of total refractive power of the eye. It is an important parameter in intraocular lens calculation. Purpose of the study was to evaluate repeatability and agreement of keratometric measurements obtained from a six points-based keratometry device; IOLMaster 500 (Carl Zeiss Meditec AG, Jena, Germany) and two Placido disc-based keratometry devices; Atlas Topographer 995 and 9000 models (Carl Zeiss Meditec AG, Jena, Germany).

Materials and method: A total of 97 post myopic laser refractive surgery subjects were recruited. Three set of mean corneal power measurements from each device were performed by an examiner in a single visit. The repeatability was evaluated by within-subject standard deviation, coefficient of variation and intraclass correlation coefficient. A one-way repeated measures analysis of variance was conducted to evaluate keratometric measurement differences between the devices. The Bland-Altman analysis was employed to assess inter-device agreement.

Results: There were no significant differences of three repeated keratometric measurements in each device (p > 0.05). IOLMaster showed the lowest coefficient of variations in all meridians (< 0.30%). The intraclass correlations were higher than 0.90 in all devices. For inter-device agreement, there were statistically significant differences between Atlas 9000-IOLMaster and Atlas 995-IOLMaster (p < 0.05). The 95% limit of agreement ranged from 0.94 to 1.68.

Conclusion: The six points-based technology has a higher repeatability compared to ring based technology in measuring postoperative keratometric measurements. Different keratometry technologies cannot be used interchangeably in clinical setting.