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#### Abstract

A hash function usually has two main components: a compression function or permutation function and mode of operation. In this paper, we propose a new concrete novel design of a permutation based hash functions called MOIM. MOIM is based on concatenating two parallel fast wide pipe constructions as a mode of operation designed by Nandi and Paul, and presented at Indocrypt 2010 where the size of the internal state is significantly larger than the size of the output. And the permutations functions used in MOIM are inspired from the SHA-3 finalist Grøstl hash function which is originally inspired from Rijndael design (AES). As a consequence there is a very strong confusion and diffusion in MOIM. Also, we show that MOIM resists all the generic attacks and Joux attack in two defense security levels.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Cryptographic hash functions have indeed proved to be the workhorses for modern cryptographic hash functions. Another name given to cryptographic hash functions is "Swiss knife army" because it can serve many different purposes such as digital signatures, conventional message authentication to secure passwords storage or forensics data identification. Cryptographic hash functions take an unfixed size of input and produce a fixed size of an output. A hash function usually built from two main components: (1) a basic primitive compression function $C$ and (2) an iterative mode of operation $H$, where the symbol $H^{C}$ denotes the hash function $H^{C}$ based on the compression function $C$. Most hash functions in use today are so-called iterated hash functions, i.e. Merkle-Damgård (MD), based on iterating a compression function. Examples of iterated hash functions are MD4 [1], MD5 [2], SHA [3] and RIPEMD-160 [4]. For a cryptographic hash function $H^{C}$, if the compression function $C$ is resistant to the following attacks, then the hash function considered secure:
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1. Preimage: it is computationally infeasible to find $x^{\prime}$ such that $H\left(x^{\prime}\right)=y$, where $y=$ $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{x})$.
2. $2^{\text {nd }}$ preimage: it is computationally infeasible to find $x$ and $y=H(x)$ find $x^{\prime} \neq x$ where $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{y}$.
3. Collision: it is computationally infeasible to find $x$ and $x$ where $x \neq x$ and $H(x)=$ H(x).
Birthday attack (collision) is applicable to all hash functions after about $2^{n / 2}$. Brute force attack preimages and $2^{\text {nd }}$ preimages can be found after about $2^{n}$. It clearly stated that the existence of $2^{\text {nd }}$ preimage implies the existence of collision [5]. At this point, we have to distinguish between theoretical and practical break. To illustrate the theoretical break, if an attack succeeds to prove that a hash function can be exploited (e.g. finding a collision, or preimage, or second pre-image) with work less than required by the birthday or brute force attack, the hash function is considered broken, even if the work required to break it is still infeasible in practice. Indeed, finding such flaws in a hash function is an evidence of structural weaknesses that may be exploited at later stages to turn this theoretical break into a practical one; the primary example is MD5, which was first theoretically broken, then the attacks eventually evolved and today practical collisions can easily be found in MD5 [7]. So, everything started in 2004, when collisions were announced in SHA-0, MD4, MD5, HAVAL-128, and RIPEMD. French researcher Antoine Joux [6] presented the collision in SHA-0, and a group of collisions against MD4, MD5, HAVAL- 128, and RIPEMD were found by the Chinese researcher Xiaoyun Wang with co-authors Dengguo Feng, Lai, and Hongbo Yu [7]. After that, in February 2005, the same Xiaoyun Wang, Lisa Yiqun Yin, and Hongbo Yu found collisions in SHA-1 using $2^{69}$ hash computations [8]. Several strategies were developed to thwart these attacks. Stefan lucks [9] introduced the wide pipe hash construction as an intermediate version of Merkle-Damgård to improve the structural weaknesses of Merkle-Damgård design. The process is similar to Merkle-Damgård algorithm steps except of having a larger internal state size, which means the final hash digest is smaller than the internal state size of bit length. For example, the final compression function compresses the internal state length (for ex, $2 n$ - bit) to output a hash digest of $n$-bit. This simply can be achieved by discarding the last half of $2 n$-bit output. Mridul Nandi and Souradyauti Paul [10] proposed the fast wide pipe (FWP) construction to overcome these attacks. It is twice faster than the wide pipe construction. FWP is used in this paper to construct MOIM keyed hash function. It is used as an operation of mode for MOIM (see section 3). HAsh Iterated FrAmework (HAIFA) is also a patched version Merkle-Damgård construction [11]. HAIFA design solves many of the internal collision problems associated with the classic MD construction design by adding a fixed (optional) salt of s-bits along with a (mandatory) counter $C_{i}$ of $t$-bits to every message block in the iteration $i$ of the hash function. Wide-pipe and HAIFA are very similar designs. Sponge construction is an iterative construction designed by Guido Bertoni, Joan Daemen, Micheal Peeter and Gilles Van Assche to replace Merkle-Damgård construction [12]. It is a construction that maps a variable length input to a variable length output. Keccak (SHA-3 winner) hash function uses sponge construction. Namely, by using a fixed-length transformation (or permutation) $f$ that operates on a fixed number of $b=r+c$ bits. Where $r$ is called the bitrate and $c$ is called the capacity. First, the input is padded with padding algorithm and cut into blocks of $r$ bits. Then, the $b$ bits of the state are initialized to zero. The sponge construction operates in two phases:

- Absorbing phase: The $r$-bit message blocks are XORed with the first $r$ bits of the state of the function $F$. After processing all the message blocks, the squeezing phase starts.
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- Squeezing phase: The first $r$ bits of the state are returned as output blocks of the function $F$. lastly, the number of output blocks is chosen by the user [13].

The sponge construction has been studied by many researchers to prove its security robustness. Bertoni et al. [13] proved that the success probability of any generic attack to a sponge function is upper bound by its success probability for a random oracle plus $N^{2} / 2^{\mathrm{c}-1}$ with $N$ the number of queries to $f$. Aumasson and Meier [14] showed the existence of zerosum distinguishers for 16 rounds of the underlying permutation $f$ of Keccak hash function. Boura, Canteaut and De Cannière [15] showed the existence of zero-sums on the full permutation ( 24 rounds).

For the reasons discussed earlier, most of modern hash functions are permutationbased hash function due to the weaknesses of Merkle-Damgård construction. Permutation is a mathematical term for a function that rearranges the elements of its domain so that exactly one input is mapped to each output. Some examples are permutation-based hash functions are PHOTON [16], Quark [17], Grøstl [18], Luffa [19] and Keccak [20]. Also, Keccak is the winner of SHA-3 competition and it is permutation-based sponge construction. Our designed concrete hash function MOIM presented in this paper is permutation-based hash function. This paper is organized as follows, In Section 2, we give a high-level summary of the MOIM proposal, and state the design goals. In Section 3, we present the details of the proposal and in Section 4, we describe the features specific to MOIM and motivate our design choices. Section 5 introduces some alternative descriptions of MOIM and Section 6 describes some cryptanalysis notations of MOIM. Finally, we conclude in Section 7.

## 2. DESIGN GOALS

In this section, we give a brief motivation of the MOIM proposal. Particularly, we aim to have security margins at several layers of abstraction in the design.

### 2.1 Overall goals for the hash

Here we state overall design goals for MOIM. Details of these goals are discussed in the next sections.

1. Simplicity of design analysis since MOIM is based on a small number of two permutations $P$ and $Q$ in each side of the two parallel FWPs of MOIM keyed hash function.
2. Simplicity of proving properties of the design.
3. Cryptanalysis of the design is straightforward since a well known construction and permutation functions are used.
4. Prevention of generic attacks, Joux attack and length-extension attacks.

### 2.2 Design Features

MOIM is a failure tolerant design due to the following feature:

1. The internal state is $2 n$-bits where the final output is $n$-bits, hence all known generic attacks are thwarted.
2. Attacks on the compression function are not transferable to MOIM keyed hash function.
3. Joux attack are thwarted in two levels:
a. By using FWP construction in each side of MOIM design
b. Mixing the two parallel FWPs results intermediate chaining values with the other side of MOIM after five compression functions.
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## 3. SPECIFICATION OF MOIM

MOIM is a concrete hash function, takes an input of length up to $2^{64}$ bits and returns a hash digest with length 1024 -bits. We now specify the MOIM keyed hash function.

### 3.1 The hash function construction

The MOIM keyed hash functions iterate the compression function $C$ which uses two parallel FWP constructions. The fast wide pipe (FWP) construction is the faster version of the wide pipe construction designed by Lucks as mentioned earlier. The secret key and the input message of the wide pipe compression function are $2 n$ and $m$ bits. The initial value 1 (IV1) and the initial value 2 (IV2) poses the secret keys to FWP. Once these two values iterated into the FWP construction, then, we can call them the chaining values. The wide pipe compression function can be expressed in as $C:\{0,1\}^{\mathrm{m}+2 \mathrm{n}} \rightarrow\{0,1\}^{2 \mathrm{n}}$. But since the FWP is twice faster than the wide pipe construction, its compression function can be expressed as $C$ : $\{0,1\}^{\mathrm{m}+\mathrm{n}} \square\{0,1\}^{\mathrm{n}}$ where the message and the chaining input to the compression function are $m+n$ and $n$ bits; thus, speeding up the hashing operation by allowing $m+n$ bits of message instead of just $m$ bits per compression function invocation. As Figure 1 describe MOIM keyed hash function design, firstly, the message M is padded and divide into $l$-bit message blocks $\mathrm{m}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{L}}$, and each message block is processed sequentially (in both sides of the two parallel FWP constructions). Two initial $l$-bit values $h_{0}=i v_{l}$ and $h_{0}=i v_{2}$ are defined, and subsequently the message blocks $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{i}}$ are processed. Algorithm 1 describes FWP construction in more details.


Figure 1. The MOIM keyed hash function
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Again, algorithm 1 is performed in parallel fashion in both sides of the FWP MOIM keyed hash function construction but with different permutation functions in each side (see section 3.2 for the compression function construction). To illustrate algorithm 1, two initial $l$ bit values $h_{0}=i v_{1}=h_{0}=i v_{2}=0^{\mathrm{n}}$ are defined, then, the message M is padded by the padding rule $\operatorname{pad}(M)$. The padding rule $\operatorname{pad}(M)$ is the execution of the following operation: append $t$ zero bits and a 64-bit encoding of $\mid \mathrm{Ml}$ to the message M [18]. Select the least integer $t \geq 0$ such that $|\mathrm{M}|+t+n+64=0 \bmod l$. The length of the original message M is encoded in the last block of message M. $\mathrm{FWP}_{t}^{C}$ denotes all the compressions functions $C$ used in FWP except for the last compression function. In particular, the subfunction in algorithm 1 processes these values ( $h_{0}=h^{\prime}{ }_{0}, M_{0}, M_{1}, \ldots \ldots, M_{k-2}$ ) starting from the first compression function and ending in the one before the last compression function. Then, algorithm 1 returns the final truncated hash digest by using the last compression function denoted by (final) as shows in Figure 1 and line 4 of algorithm 1 (See section 3.3 for the final output compression function).

Algorithm 1. The FWP mode of operation with the compression function $C$ (i.e., $\mathrm{FWP}^{C}$ )
[10]

```
Input: Message \(M\)
Output: Hash output \(h\) of size \(n\) bits
Initialize: \(h_{0}=h_{0}=0^{\mathrm{n}}\)
1: \(M_{0}\left\|M_{l}\right\| \ldots . . \| M_{k-1}=\operatorname{pad}(M)\) where \(\mathrm{I} M_{i} \mathrm{I}=l\) for all \(i<k-1\) and \(\mathrm{I} M_{k-I} \mathrm{I}=l-n\);
2: \(\left(h_{k-2}=h_{k-2}\right)=\mathrm{FWP}_{t}^{C}\left(h_{0}=h_{0,}^{\prime} M_{0}, M_{1}, \ldots \ldots, M_{k-2}\right)\); /*See Subfunction below*/
3: \(C\left(h_{k-2}\left\|h_{k-2}\right\| M_{k-1}\right)=h_{k-1} \| h_{k-1}^{\prime}\);
4: return hash output \(h=h_{k-1}\);
Subfunction \(\mathrm{FWP}_{t}^{C}\left(h_{0}=h_{0,}^{\prime} M_{0}, M_{1}, \ldots \ldots, M_{k-2}\right)\)
5: for \(i=0\) to \(k-2 d o\)
6: \(C\left(h_{i-1} \| M_{i}\right)=h_{i}{ }^{\prime \prime} \| h_{i}^{\prime}\);
7: \(h_{i}=h_{i}{ }^{\prime} \oplus h_{i-1}\);
8: end for
9: return \(\left(h_{k-2}=h_{k-2}\right)\);
```


### 3.2 The compression function construction

MOIM has two different compression functions $C$ in each side of the design. These compression functions are based on the underlying $l$-bit permutations $P$ and $Q$ inspired from Grøstl hash function. Hence, the two permutations $P$ and $Q$ in each side of MOIM keyed hash function are defined as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
f_{l}(h, m)=P(h \oplus m) \oplus h & \text { (one side of MOIM) } \\
f_{2}(h, m)=Q(h \oplus m) \oplus h & \text { (one side of MOIM) }
\end{array}
$$

The constructions of $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ are illustrated in Figure 2a and 2 b respectively. In section 3.3, we describe how $P$ and $Q$ are defined.
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Figure 2. The two compression functions $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ of $P$ and $Q$ permutations respectively

### 3.3 The output transformation (final)

Let $\varphi$ be the truncation operation that discards from $2 n$-bits to $n$-bits. The output transformation $\mathrm{MAC}_{1}$ illustrated in Figure 3 is then defined by

$$
\mathrm{MAC}_{1}=\operatorname{trunc}_{n} P \oplus h_{i-2}
$$



Figure 3. The output transformation $\varphi$ computes $\operatorname{trunc}_{n} P$
To obtain $\mathrm{MAC}_{2}$, the same process is performed with replacing $Q$ instead of $P$ as Figure 3 shown.

### 3.4 Concatenation of $\boldsymbol{M A C}$ and $\boldsymbol{Y}_{2}$

As Figure 3 shows that the two MAC values of $\mathrm{MAC}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{MAC}_{2}$ in each side of the two parallel FWP hash constructions are concatenated together to form $\mathrm{MAC}_{1} \mathrm{IIMAC} 2$. $\mathrm{MAC}_{1}$ IIMAC 2 are 1024-bits long which imply that $\mathrm{MAC}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{MAC}_{2}$ are 512-bits long each.

### 3.5 The design of $P$ and $Q$

The design of $P$ and $Q$ were inspired from Grøstl [18] which originally inspired by the Rijndael block cipher algorithm [21, 22]. Rijndael design consists of a number of rounds R, which consists of a number of round transformations. Since $P$ and $Q$ are much larger than the 128-bit state size of Rijndael, most round transformations have been redefined. In MOIM, a total of four round transformations are defined for each permutation [18]. These are
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- AddRoundConstant
- SubBytes
- ShiftBytesWide
- MixBytes.

A round R consists of these four round transformations applied in the above order as illustrated in Figure 4. Hence,

$$
\mathrm{R}=\text { MixBytes } \bullet \text { SubBytes } \bullet \text { SubBytes } \bullet \text { AddRoundConstant }
$$

We note that all rounds follow this definition. We denote by $r$ the number of rounds. Concrete recommendations for $r$ will be given in Section 3.5.6.

The transformations operate on a state, which is represented as a matrix $A$ of bytes (of 8 bits each). The matrix has 8 rows and 16 columns. For the simplicity of exposure, we describe a matrix that has 8 rows and 8 columns, where MOIM is the large variant of this concept $(8 \times 16)$ [18].

### 3.5.1 Mapping from a byte sequence to a state matrix and vice versa

Since MOIM operates on bytes, it is generally endianness neutral. However, we need to specify how a byte sequence is mapped to the matrix $A$, and vice versa. This mapping is done in


Figure 4. One round of the MOIM permutations for each $P$ and $Q$ is a composition of four basic transformations [18].
similar way as in Rijndael. Hence, the 64-byte sequence $000102 \ldots 3 \mathrm{f}$ is mapped to an $8 \times 8$ matrix as [18]
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$$
\left[\begin{array}{cccccccc}
00 & 08 & 10 & 18 & 20 & 28 & 30 & 38 \\
01 & 09 & 11 & 19 & 21 & 29 & 31 & 39 \\
02 & 0 \mathrm{a} & 12 & 1 \mathrm{a} & 22 & 2 \mathrm{a} & 32 & 3 \mathrm{a} \\
03 & 0 \mathrm{~b} & 13 & 1 \mathrm{~b} & 23 & 2 \mathrm{~b} & 33 & 3 \mathrm{~b} \\
04 & 0 \mathrm{c} & 14 & 1 \mathrm{c} & 24 & 2 \mathrm{c} & 34 & 3 \mathrm{c} \\
05 & 0 \mathrm{~d} & 15 & 1 \mathrm{~d} & 25 & 2 \mathrm{~d} & 35 & 3 \mathrm{~d} \\
06 & 0 \mathrm{e} & 16 & 1 \mathrm{e} & 26 & 2 \mathrm{e} & 36 & 3 \mathrm{e} \\
07 & 0 \mathrm{f} & 17 & 1 \mathrm{f} & 27 & 2 \mathrm{f} & 37 & 3 \mathrm{f}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

For MOIM, we used $8 \times 16$ matrix, this method is extended in the natural way. Mapping from a matrix to a byte sequence is simply the reverse operation. From now on, we do not explicitly mention this mapping [18].

### 3.5.2 AddRoundConstant

The AddRoundConstant transformation adds a round-dependent constant to the state matrix $A$. By addition we mean exclusive-or (XOR). To be precise, the AddRoundConstant transformation in round $i$ (starting from zero) updates the state $A$ as

$$
A \leftarrow A C[i] ;
$$

where $C[i]$ is the round constant used in round $i . P$ and $Q$ have different round constants. The round constants for $P_{1024}$ and $Q_{1024}$ are [18]
$P_{1024}: C[i]=\left[\begin{array}{llllllllll}00 \oplus i & 10 \oplus i & 20 \oplus i & 30 \oplus i & 40 \oplus i & 50 \oplus i & 60 \oplus i & 70 \oplus i & \cdots & f 0 \oplus i \\ 00 & 00 & 00 & 00 & 00 & 00 & 00 & 00 & \cdots & 00 \\ 00 & 00 & 00 & 00 & 00 & 00 & 00 & 00 & \cdots & 00 \\ 00 & 00 & 00 & 00 & 00 & 00 & 00 & 00 & \cdots & 00 \\ 00 & 00 & 00 & 00 & 00 & 00 & 00 & 00 & \cdots & 00 \\ 00 & 00 & 00 & 00 & 00 & 00 & 00 & 00 & \cdots & 00 \\ 00 & 00 & 00 & 00 & 00 & 00 & 00 & 00 & \cdots & 00 \\ 00 & 00 & 00 & 00 & 00 & 00 & 00 & 00 & \cdots & 00\end{array}\right]$
and

$$
Q_{1024}: C[i]=\left[\begin{array}{llllllllll}
\mathrm{ff} & \mathrm{ff} & \mathrm{ff} & \mathrm{ff} & \mathrm{ff} & \mathrm{ff} & \mathrm{ff} & \mathrm{ff} & \cdots & \mathrm{ff} \\
\mathrm{ff} & \mathrm{ff} & \mathrm{ff} & \mathrm{ff} & \mathrm{ff} & \mathrm{ff} & \mathrm{ff} & \mathrm{ff} & \cdots & \mathrm{ff} \\
\mathrm{ff} & \mathrm{ff} & \mathrm{ff} & \mathrm{ff} & \mathrm{ff} & \mathrm{ff} & \mathrm{ff} & \mathrm{ff} & \cdots & \mathrm{ff} \\
\mathrm{ff} & \mathrm{ff} & \mathrm{ff} & \mathrm{ff} & \mathrm{ff} & \mathrm{ff} & \mathrm{ff} & \mathrm{ff} & \cdots & \mathrm{ff} \\
\mathrm{ff} & \mathrm{ff} & \mathrm{ff} & \mathrm{ff} & \mathrm{ff} & \mathrm{ff} & \mathrm{ff} & \mathrm{ff} & \cdots & \mathrm{ff} \\
\mathrm{ff} & \mathrm{ff} & \mathrm{ff} & \mathrm{ff} & \mathrm{ff} & \mathrm{ff} & \mathrm{ff} & \mathrm{ff} & \cdots & \mathrm{ff} \\
\mathrm{ff} & \mathrm{ff} & \mathrm{ff} & \mathrm{ff} & \mathrm{ff} & \mathrm{ff} & \mathrm{ff} & \mathrm{ff} & \cdots & \mathrm{ff} \\
\mathrm{ff} \oplus i & \mathrm{ff} \oplus i & \mathrm{df} \oplus i & \mathrm{cf} \oplus i & \mathrm{bf} \oplus i & \mathrm{ff} \oplus i & \mathrm{ff} \oplus i & \mathrm{8f} \oplus i & \cdots & \mathrm{ff} \oplus i
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $i$ is the round number viewed as an 8 -bit value.

### 3.5.3 SubBytes

The SubBytes transformation substitutes each byte in the state matrix by another value, taken from the s-box S . This s-box is the same as the one used in Rijndael as Figure 5 shown. Hence, if $a_{i, j}$ is the element in row $i$ and column $j$ of $A$, then SubBytes performs the following transformation [18]:

$$
a_{i, j} \leftarrow S\left(a_{i, j}\right), 0 \leq i<8,0 \leq j<v .
$$

International Journal of Computer Engineering and Technology (IJCET), ISSN 09766367(Print), ISSN 0976 - 6375(Online) Volume 4, Issue 4, July-August (2013), © IAEME


Figure 5. SubBytes substitutes each byte of the state by its image under the s-box S [18].

### 3.5.4 ShiftBytesWide

ShiftBytesWide cyclically shift the bytes within a row to the left by a number of positions. Let $\left[\sigma_{0}, \sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{7}\right]$ be a list of distinct integers in the range from 0 to $v-1$. Then, ShiftBytesWide moves all bytes in row $i$ of the state matrix $\sigma_{i}$ positions to the left, wrapping around as necessary. For ShiftBytes in $P$ and $Q$, we use $\sigma=[0,1,2,3,4,5,6,11]$ and $\sigma=[1,3,5,11,0,2,4,6]$ respectively as illustrated in Figure 6 [18].


Figure 6. The ShiftBytesWide transformation of permutation $P_{1024}($ top $)$ and $Q_{1024}$ (bottom) [18].

### 3.5.5 MixBytes

In the MixBytes transformation, each column in the matrix is transformed independently. To describe this transformation we first need to introduce the finite field $\mathrm{F}_{256}$. This finite field is defined in the same way as in Rijndael via the irreducible polynomial $\mathrm{x}^{8} \oplus$ $\mathrm{x}^{4} \oplus \mathrm{x}^{3} \oplus \mathrm{x} \oplus 1$ over $\mathrm{F}_{2}$. The bytes of the state matrix $A$ can be seen as elements of $\mathrm{F}_{256}$, i.e., as polynomials of degree at most 7 with coefficient in $\{0,1\}$. The least significant bit of each byte determines the coefficient of $\mathrm{x}^{0}$, etc [18].
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MixBytes multiplies each column of $A$ by a constant $8 \times 8$ matrix $B$ in $\mathrm{F}_{256}$. Hence, the transformation on the whole matrix $A$ can be written as the matrix multiplication
$A \leftarrow B \times A$.
The matrix $B$ is specified as [18]

$$
B=\left[\begin{array}{llllllll}
02 & 02 & 03 & 04 & 05 & 03 & 05 & 07 \\
07 & 02 & 02 & 03 & 04 & 05 & 03 & 05 \\
05 & 07 & 02 & 02 & 03 & 04 & 05 & 03 \\
03 & 05 & 07 & 02 & 02 & 03 & 04 & 05 \\
05 & 03 & 05 & 07 & 02 & 02 & 03 & 04 \\
04 & 05 & 03 & 05 & 07 & 02 & 02 & 03 \\
03 & 04 & 05 & 03 & 05 & 07 & 02 & 02 \\
02 & 03 & 04 & 05 & 03 & 05 & 07 & 02
\end{array}\right] .
$$

This matrix is circulant, which means that each row is equal to the row above rotated right by one position. In short, we may write $B=\operatorname{circ}(02,02,03,04,05,03,05,07)$ instead. See also Figure 7 [18].


Figure 7. The MixBytes transformation left-multiplies each column of the state matrix treated as a column vector over $\mathrm{F}_{256}$ by a circulant matrix $B$ [18].

### 3.5.6 Number of rounds

Table 4.1 shows the recommended value for the two permutations $P_{1024}$ and $Q_{1024}$.
Table 1.Recommended value of number of round for MOIM

| Permutations | Digest Size | Recommended value of r |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $P_{1024}$ and $Q_{1024}$ | 512 | 14 |

### 3.6 Initial values

The initial value $i v_{n}$ of MOIM-n is the $l$-bit which is 1024 -bits long.
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### 3.7 Padding

As mentioned earlier, the length of each message block is $l$. To be able to operate on inputs of varying length, a padding function pad is defined. This padding function takes a string $x$ of length $N$ bits and returns a padded string $x^{*}=\operatorname{pad}(x)$ of a length which is a multiple of $l$.

The padding function does the following. First, it appends the bit ' 1 ' to x . Then, it appends $w=-\mathrm{N}-65 \bmod l$ ' 0 ' bits, and finally, it appends a 64-bit representation of $(N+w+$ $65) / l$. This number is an integer due to the choice of $w$, and it represents the number of message blocks in the final, padded message.

Since it must be possible to encode the number of message blocks in the padded message within 64 bits, the maximum message length is 65 bits short of $2^{64}-1$ message blocks. So, the maximum message length in bits is therefore is $1024 \cdot\left(2^{64}-1\right)-65=2^{74}-1089$ [18].

### 3.8 Summary

This section summarizes the complete process of MOIM keyed hash function. First, a message M which is to be digested by MOIM is padded using the padding function pad. Then, the message $M$ is sent into two parallel FWP construction functions. In one side of the two FWPs, the hash function iterates a compression function $C:\{0,1\}^{l} \times\{0,1\}^{l} \rightarrow\{0,1\}^{l}$ (where $l=1024$-bits), which is based on one permutation $P$. The last compression function $C$ is called final which truncates the output $\mathrm{MAC}_{1}$ from 1024-bits to 512-bits. On the other side of MOIM keyed hash function, the same process done as the first half of MOIM to produce $\mathrm{MAC}_{2}$ with different permutation $Q$. Finally, the outputs of $\mathrm{MAC}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{MAC}_{2}$ are concatenated together to form $\mathrm{MAC}_{\text {final }}$ which is 1024 -bits long.

## 4. Design decisions and design features

In this section, we explain the design decisions made for $M O I M$ and some design features of the MOIM. Some advantages of MOIM keyed hash function compared to other hash functions are listed below.

- FWP construction used in MOIM is proved to be indifferentiable from a random oracle model [10]. Also, the compression functions used in MOIM is provably collision resistant and preimage resistant assuming that the permutations $P$ and $Q$ are ideal [23].
- Flexibility of MOIM is due to the fact that the algorithm can be implemented in different application. The parameters used in MOIM, i.e. security parameters $r$, the number of rounds can be adjusted to fit application's purposes.
- Familiarity of MOIM due to the familiarity of Rijndael design. Rijndael design proved its advantageous through cryptographers analysis. Since, MOIM compression function is inspired from Grøstl which is originally inspired by Rijndael design, consequently, MOIM gained the familiarity feature from Rijndael design.


### 4.1 The security of the construction

Generally, the estimation of the security level of hash functions can be measured with respect to the standard properties such as collision resistance and (second) preimage resistance. Also, this estimation includes the indifferentiability from the random oracle or the random sponge. The compression functions used in MOIM is provably collision resistant and (second) preimage resistant assuming that the permutations $P$ and $Q$ are ideal [23]. The
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security proof states that at least $2^{l / 4}$ evaluations of $P$ and/or $Q$ are required to find a collision for the hash function that iterates $C$, and that at least $2^{1 / 2}$ evaluations are required to find a preimage. Note that these levels are the square root of the security levels for an ideal compression function. However, since $l \geq n / 2$ internal collision and preimage attacks on the hash functions have complexities of at least $2^{n / 2}$ and $2 n$. This analysis assumes that the $l$ output bits of the last call to $C$ are the final output of the hash function [18]. However, in MOIM, an output transformation is applied. We discuss this output transformation in Section 4.8.

The MOIM construction (FWP) was also proved to be indifferentiable from random oracle up to bound to $2 n / 3$ bits (up to an additive constant in one side of MOIM) [10]. This result states that when permutations $P$ and $Q$ are assumed ideal and independent from each other, MOIM behaves like a random oracle up to $\mathrm{O}(2 n / 3+2 n / 3)$ queries.

### 4.2 Mixing between $\mathrm{FWP}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{F W P}_{\mathbf{2}}$ constructions

MOIM mixes the intermediate chaining values between the two parallel FWP constructions as Figure 2 shows. After obtaining the fifth intermediate chaining value in each side, MOIM exchange these intermediate chaining values with each other. This means, the fifth intermediate chaining value obtained by $\mathrm{FWP}_{1}$ compression function is exchanged with the fifth intermediate chaining value obtained by $\mathrm{FWP}_{2}$ compression function. The idea behind mixing (or exchanging) process is to thwart Joux attack. More particularly, Joux stated that finding multicollisions, i.e. $r$-tuples of messages that all hash to the same value, is not much harder than finding ordinary collisions, i.e. pairs of collisions, even for extremely large values of $r$ [6]. To illustrate the idea of MOIM mixing process, we assume the exchange of the two intermediate chaining values occurs after the fifth output of the compression function in each side of MOIM. At this point, Joux attack in not applicable since we cannot obtain multicollisions more than five consecutive messages due to the mixing process performed between the two parallel FWP constructions. This is the first defense line of MOIM against Joux attack, where another defense line for the same attack is presented in section 4.3.

### 4.3 Concatenation of $\mathrm{MAC}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{MAC}_{2}$

In the past few years, hash functions designers does not prefer to design concatenated hash functions due to Joux attack presented in Crypto 2004 [6]. Basically, Joux stated that multicollisions attack in one of hash functions of a concatenated hash function can be extended to a collision on the overall design, i.e. $F \mathrm{II} G$ is not really secure than $F$ or $G$ itself. MOIM design is based on concatenating two parallel FWP constructions. FWP construction adopts the idea of widening the size of the internal state, i.e. $2 n$, of hash functions and truncates the final output with output transformation function, i.e. $n$ as stated in section 3.3. Accordingly, the design of FWP thwarts Joux attack [10]. So, this is the second defense line of MOIM against Joux attack. However, the final output of MOIM is obtained by concatenating two independent outputs of the two parallel FWP constructions which is 1024 bits long. In cryptography folklore, the longer hash digests the more secure hash function. But, unfortunately, there is a price to pay for this security. The tradeoff between security and efficiency is the most important issue in the design of cryptographic algorithms. Cryptographic algorithms should be suitable to implement in a variety of platforms and have reasonable performance with an adequate security margin. Most of functions designed that way need longer digests to achieve the desired level of security. With MOIM design, we

International Journal of Computer Engineering and Technology (IJCET), ISSN 09766367(Print), ISSN 0976 - 6375(Online) Volume 4, Issue 4, July-August (2013), © IAEME
preferred to increase the security margin by having a longer hash digest, at the same time, we used two constructions of the fast wide pipe (FWP) to balance the gap between the performance and security margin. As Figure 2 shows that the two MACs values of $\mathrm{MAC}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{MAC}_{2}$ in each side of the two parallel FWP hash constructions are concatenated together to form $\mathrm{MAC}_{1}$ II $\mathrm{MAC}_{2}$. $\mathrm{MAC}_{1}$ II $\mathrm{MAC}_{2}$ are 1024-bits long which imply that $\mathrm{MAC}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{MAC}_{2}$ are 512-bits long each.

### 4.4 AddRoundConstant

The purpose of adding round constants is to make each round different and at the same time this provides a natural opportunity to make $P$ and $Q$ independent from each other [18]. Hence, MOIM uses each of these permutations in each side of the design to achieve the independency of the two parallel FWP constructions. In addition, by having different round constants for AddRoundConstant in $P$ and $Q$, the internal differential attack, which considers differences between the permutations $P$ and $Q$, can be made infeasible [18].

### 4.5 SubBytes

The SubBytes transformation is the only non-linear transformation in MOIM. It uses the same s-box as used in Rijndael. For a walk-through of its properties, we refer to one of [18, 21, 22]. The choice for this particular transformation was driven by the following reasoning:

- Size: 8-bit s-boxes are a convenient trade-off between implementation aspects (smallest word size on popular platforms) and cryptanalytic considerations. On the other hand, there are $2^{8}$ ! different permutations to choose from [18].
- Single s-box rather than many different s-boxes: this is again a trade-off between implementation and cryptanalytic considerations [18].
- No random s-box: A structured s-box allows for significantly more efficient hardware implementation than random s-box [18].
- The particular structure of the chosen s-box was already proposed in 1993 [24] and has therefore undergone a long period of study [18].
- Since the s-box is inherited from the AES, implementation aspects (especially in hardware) are well studied [18].


### 4.6 ShiftBytesWide

The ShiftBytesWide used in MOIM is the same used in Grøstl hash function. To illustare the idea of this transformation, we needed shift values which result in optimal diffusion. Let $v_{\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{c}}\left(a_{i, j}\right)$ be the number of times that a state byte $a_{i, j}$ affects every state byte of column $c$ after $t$ rounds. In detail, $v_{\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{c}}\left(a_{i, j}\right)$ defines how often (or in how many ways) every state byte of column $c$ depends on $a_{i, j}$. Hence, we have full diffusion after $t$ rounds if $v_{\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{c}}\left(a_{i, j}\right) \geq$ 1 for all columns $c$ and state bytes $a_{i, j}$. In other words, each state byte is affected by every state byte $a_{i, j}$ at least once. Let $t^{*}$ be the value of $t$ for which this happens. Then we get optimal diffusion, if $\min \left(v_{\mathrm{t}^{*}, \mathrm{c}}\left(a_{i, j}\right)\right)$ is maximal for a specific geometry [18]. Second, to make $P$ and $Q$ more independent form each other, they use different shift values in $P$ and $Q$. In more detail, we use shift values in $Q$ such that no row is shifted by the same amount as in $P$, and such that the resulting states in $P$ and $Q$ are not simply shifted versions of each other. This way, it becomes much more differences or any other pattern in $P$ and $Q$ may line-up or cancel each other. We achieve this property using shift values in $Q$ with a different (halved or doubled) slope than in $P$. For $P_{1024}$ and $Q_{1024}$ (ShiftBytesWide) we have searched for shift values with
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optimal diffusion after three rounds (two rounds is not possible) and get optimal diffusion if $\min \left(v_{3, \mathrm{c}}\left(a_{i, j}\right)\right)=2$. For $P_{1024}$, we have chosen the first set of such values when sorted in lexicographical order. Again for $Q_{1024}$, we used the same shift values as in $P_{1024}$ in a different order to get optimal independence (see Figure 6) [18].

### 4.7 MixBytes

The main design goal of the MixBytes transformation is to follow the wide trail strategy. Hence, the MixBytes transformation is based on an error-correcting code with the MDS (maximum distance separable) property. This ensures that both the differential and linear branch number is 9 . In other words, a difference in $\mathrm{k}>0$ bytes of a column will result in a difference of at least $9-k$ bytes after one MixBytes application [18].

### 4.8 The output transformation (final)

The output transformation is the last compression function denoted with final which truncates the large size of the chaining variables, i.e. 1024-bits, to the required output size, i.e. 512-bits. Inside the final compression function, let $\varphi$ be the operation that discards all but the trailing $n$ bits. The output transformation $\mathrm{MAC}_{1}$ illustrated in Figure 3 is then defined by

$$
\mathrm{MAC}_{1}=\operatorname{trunc}_{n} P
$$

On the other side of MOIM, the same aforementioned process will occur in parallel fashion to produce $\mathrm{MAC}_{2}$ except of using $Q$ permutation on this half of MOIM where we used $P$ permutation on the first half. The output transformation $\mathrm{MAC}_{2}$ illustrated in Figure 3 is then defined by

$$
\mathrm{MAC}_{2}=\operatorname{trunc}_{n} Q
$$

### 4.9 Number of rounds

The choice of the number of rounds is primarily based on the cryptanalysis results described in section 6 . These results were originally obtained from the cryptanalysis of $P$ and $Q$ permutations of Grøstl hash function. The square/integral attack indicates that the permutations might be distinguishable from ideal if the number of rounds is 9 or less in the MOIM keyed hash function. The final choice of number of rounds to be 14 provides a reasonably large security margin for MOIM keyed hash function.

## 5. ALTERNATIVE DESCRIPTIONS OF MOIM

This section provides alternative descriptions of MOIM which serve multiple purposes. It helps cryptanalysists to analysis MOIM to lead for better implementation. In the standard description of MOIM, the hash function iterates a permutation-based compression function $P$ and $Q$ in two parallel FWP constructions, and then applies an output transformation in each side to form the final hash of a message $\mathrm{MAC}_{1} \mathrm{II} \mathrm{MAC}_{2}$. However, in next sections, we describe MOIM in different manner.

### 5.1 The mixing process of $\mathbf{F W P}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{F W P}_{2}$

Mixing (or exchanging) the two output chaining variables after every five outputs of the two parallel FWPs construction increases the avalanche effect property. For example, if a one single bit changes in the input that will change the output significantly, i.e. half the output bits flip. As explained in section 4.2, also the mixing process thwarts Joux attack since an adversary cannot generate a multicollisions attack more than five consecutive messages.
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### 5.2 The output transformations

The output transformations are defined as $\mathrm{MAC}_{1}=\operatorname{trunc}_{n} P \oplus h_{i-2}$ and $\mathrm{MAC}_{2}=$ $\operatorname{trunc}_{n} Q \oplus h_{i-2}$. The truncation process performed in MOIM clearly protects against length extension attack as described in section 6.7. Basically, this attack is based on the observation of the truncation from $l$ to $n$ bits. Since at least $n$ bits are dropped in each side of MOIM, the probability of correctly guessing those bits is about $2^{-n}+2^{-n}$. The alternative description can also be seen as an indication that MOIM is in fact an instance of the FWP construction, which prevents length extension attacks [10]. Indeed, we can strictly state that the output transformations of MOIM improves the security of the hash function.

## 6. CRYPTANALYSIS NOTATIONS

In this section, we describe some cryptanalysis notations on MOIM, and we state our security claims.

### 6.1 Attacks exploiting properties of the permutations

We first consider well known attack methods that aim to exploit potential weaknesses in the permutations $P$ and $Q$.

### 6.1.1 Differential cryptanalysis

The permutations $P$ and $Q$ have diffusion properties according to the wide trail design strategy. Since the MixBytes transformation has branch number 9, and ShiftWideBytes is diffusion optimal (moves the bytes in each column to sixteen different columns), it is guaranteed that for MOIM in each side, there are at least $9^{2}=81$ active s-boxes in any fourround differential trail [22, Theorem 9.5.1]. Hence, there are at least $3 \cdot 81=243$ active sboxes in any twelve-round differential trail. This, combined with the maximum difference propagation probability of the s-box of $2^{-6}$, means that the probabilities of any differential trail (assuming independent rounds) over twelve rounds (for either $P$ or $Q$ ) are expected to be at most $2^{-6.243}=2^{-1458}[18]$. Therefore, in a classical differential attack where one specifies a differential trail for every round for both $P$ and $Q$, there is only a very small chance that this would lead to a successful attack for MOIM [18].

### 6.1.2 Rebound attacks

The rebound attack [23,24] is a new attack method for the cryptanalysis of hash functions. It gives the best known results for a number of AES-based hash functions and many SHA-3 candidates $[25,26,27,28,29,30,31]$. In general, the rebound attack works with any differential or truncated differential. However, the diffusion properties of AES based hash functions allow a very simple construction of good truncated differential paths, which facilitates the analysis. The rebound attack is most successful if a high number of degrees of freedom is available. Therefore, attacks on hash functions with a key schedule to the underlying block cipher or other sources of freedom are more likely to succeed (see the attacks on ECHO [32], LANE [33] or Whirlpool [34]). However, MOIM has been designed to limit the degrees of freedom available to an attacker. Moreover, in attacks on the hash function, much fewer degrees of freedom are available (compared to an attack on the compression function or permutation). The best attack on the hash function for MOIM is for 3 rounds 14 [18].
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### 6.1.3 Linear cryptanalysis

Linear and differential trails propagate in a very similar way. Since the MixBytes transformation has linear branch number 9 , it is guaranteed that for MOIM in each side, there are at least $9^{2}=81$ active s-boxes in any four-round linear trail [22, Theorem 9.5.1]. Hence, there are at least $3 \cdot 81=243$ active s-boxes in any twelve-round linear trail. Since the s-box has maximum correlation of $2^{-3}$, the maximum correlation for any four-round linear trial is $2^{-}$ ${ }^{3.81}=2^{-243}$. This means that the correlation of any linear trail over twelve rounds (for either $P$ or $Q$ ) is expected to be at most $2^{-279}[18]$.

### 6.2 Collision attacks on the compression function

This attack has complexity $2^{l / 3}$, and hence is faster than a birthday attack on the compression function. Note that this is still above the proven bound of $2^{l / 4}$ and above the complexity of a birthday attack on the hash function, since $\mathrm{n} \leq l / 2$. The attack does not provide the attacker with much control over the chaining input, and hence we do not see any methods to extend the attack to the full hash function [18].

### 6.3 Collision attacks on the hash function

The construction of Figure 1 is provably collision resistant up to the level of $2^{1 / 4}$ permutation calls. Still, no collision attack of this complexity is known when the permutations are assumed to be ideal. The best known collision attack requires $2^{3 / 1 / 8}$ permutation calls, but the true complexity in terms of compression function call equivalents is higher than $2^{I / 2}$. Hence, a large security margin remains [18].

### 6.4 Generic attacks on the iteration

The internal state being at least twice the size of the hash value for MOIM, generic attacks applying to the Merkle-Damgård construction cannot be applied to MOIM directly via brute force or birthday attacks. However, since the construction used for MOIM does not achieve security comparable to an ideal iterated hash function with the same internal state size, we do not claim that generic attacks do not apply using some other methods than the standard brute force and birthday attacks [18].

### 6.5 Multicollision attack

Joux stated that finding multicollisions, i.e. $r$-tuples of messages that all hash to the same value, is not much harder than finding ordinary collisions, i.e. pairs of collisions, even for extremely large values of $r$ [6]. Joux attack is not applicable in MOIM since we cannot obtain multicollisions more than five consecutive messages due to the mixing process performed between the two parallel FWP constructions. This is the first defense line of MOIM against Joux attack. Also, FWP construction adopts the idea of widening the size of the internal state, i.e. $2 n$, of hash functions and truncates the final output with output transformation function, i.e. $n$ as stated in section 3.3. Accordingly, the design of FWP thwarts Joux attack.

### 6.6 Second preimage attack

The second preimage attack of Kelsey and Schneier [35] on the Merkle-Damgård construction also seems to be complicated by the large internal state size. For an $n$-bit iterated hash function based on an $n$-bit compression function, given a first preimage of length $2^{\mathrm{k}}$ message blocks this attack finds a second preimage of the same length in $2^{n-\mathrm{k}}$ evaluations of the compression function. A variant of this attack was published in [36]. Using the techniques of [35, 36], the complexity of carrying out the second preimage attack on MOIM given a $2^{64}$ -
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block first preimage is about $2^{l-64}$. For all the message digest sizes of MOIM, this complexity is well above $2^{\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{k}}$. Hence, our claimed security level for the second preimage resistance is at least $2^{\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{k}}$ for any first message of at most $2^{\mathrm{k}}$ blocks. However, we do not know of an attack with complexity below $2^{\mathrm{n}}$ [18].

### 6.7 Length extension attack

The length extension attack on Merkle-Damgård hash functions works as follows. Let $\left(M, M^{*}\right)$ be a collision for the hash function $H$, with $|M|=\left|M^{*}\right| . H$ pads $M$ and $M^{*}$ to $\bar{M}$ and $\bar{M} *$ before hashing, and by choosing any message suffix $y$, we have that $B=\bar{M}$ II $y$ and $B=$ $\bar{M}$ II y also collide. Hence, a single collision gives rise to many new collisions that "come for free". The length extension method is not trivial to carry out in MOIM, unless the messages collide before the output transformation. Finding a collision before the output transformation takes time $2^{l / 2} \geq 2^{n}$ by the birthday attack. A related weakness of the Merkle-Damgård transformation is the following. Assume the two values $H(M)$ and $|M|$ are known, but $M$ itself is not. Knowing $|M|$, one also knows how $M$ was padded, and hence for any suffix $y$, one may compute $H(\bar{M} I I y)$, where $\bar{M}$ is the padded version of $M$, without knowing $M$. This weakness leads to attacks when Merkle-Damgård hash function underlies a secret prefix MAC. In MOIM, this attack does not seem possible due to the output transformation [18].

## 7. CONCLUSION

This paper gives a proposal for new concrete novel design based on permutation hash function named MOIM. MOIM is based on concatenating two FWP constructions and the permutations $P$ and $Q$ used in SHA-3 finalist Grøstl hash function. We claimed that it is hard to attack MOIM with complexities significantly less than brute force. MOIM has two defense level of security to thwarts generic and Joux attacks. We leave the software implementation of MOIM as a future work.
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