

Working Paper 97-57  
Economics Series 28  
July 1997

Departamento de Economía  
Universidad Carlos III de Madrid  
Calle Madrid, 126  
28903 Getafe (Spain)  
Fax (341) 624-98-75

## THE ASYMPTOTIC NUCLEOLUS OF LARGE MONOPOLISTIC GAMES\*

Ezra Einy, Diego Moreno and Benyamin Shitovitz<sup>†</sup>

### Abstract

---

We study the asymptotic nucleolus of large differentiable monopolistic games. We show that if  $v$  is a monopolistic game which is a composition of a non-decreasing concave and differentiable function with a vector of measures, then  $v$  has an asymptotic nucleolus. We also provide an explicit formula for the asymptotic nucleolus of  $v$  and show that it coincides with the center of symmetry of the subset of the core of  $v$  in which all the monopolists obtain the same payoff. We apply this result to large monopolistic market games to obtain a relationship between the asymptotic nucleolus of the game and the competitive payoff distributions of the market.

---

Keywords: Monopolistic market games; Asymptotic nucleolus; Core; Competitive payoffs.

<sup>†</sup> Einy, Department of Economics, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Beer Sheva, Israel 84105; Moreno, Departamento de Economía, Universidad Carlos III de Madrid; Shitovitz, Department of Economics, University of Haifa, Israel 31905.

\* Part of this work was done while Einy and Shitovitz visited the Department of Economics at the Universidad Carlos III de Madrid. The support of the Department is gratefully acknowledged. Moreno acknowledges support of the Ministerio de Trabajo y Asuntos Sociales through funds administered by the Cátedra Gumersindo de Azcárate and from DGICYT grand PB94-0378.

## §1 - Introduction

Monopolistic coalitional games (or more generally, mixed games) describe situations in which some of the players are "small," i.e., individually insignificant, whereas others are "large," i.e., individually significant. The main purpose of this work is to study the asymptotic nucleolus in such games. Since Shitovitz's (1973) seminal paper (which analyzed the core of large oligopolistic markets), many works on mixed markets have been written (for a comprehensive survey see Gabszewicz and Shitovitz (1992)). Guesnerie (1977) and Gardner (1977) investigated the asymptotic behavior of the Shapley value in such markets. Legros (1989) deals with the nucleolus of a bilateral market with two complementary commodities. In this work we study the asymptotic nucleolus of large differentiable monopolistic coalitional games.

Mathematically, we shall present the set of players by a measure space in which the small players form a non-atomic part and in which the large players are atoms. We assume that any atom has a monopolistic power, that is, the worth of a coalition which does not contain all the atoms is zero. In the asymptotic approach, a game with an infinite set of players is regarded as a limit of games with a finite set of players.

We first prove (see Section 3) that if  $v$  is a monopolistic game of the form  $v = f \circ \mu$ , where  $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m)$  is a vector of measures and  $f: \mathfrak{R}_+^m \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}_+$  is a non-decreasing concave function which is continuously differentiable in the interior of  $\mathfrak{R}_+^m$ , then the game  $v$  has an asymptotic nucleolus. We also provide an explicit formula for the asymptotic nucleolus. This formula implies that it coincides with the center of symmetry of the subset of the core of  $v$  in which all the atoms receive the same payoff. Actually, we prove a stronger result, namely that every sequence of payoff vectors which belongs to the kernels of any admissible sequence of finite

partition games which approximate the game  $v$  converges to the center of symmetry of the above mentioned subset of the core of  $v$  (see Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.3).

We note that any game of the above-mentioned form can be viewed as a large production game, where  $\mu$  is the distribution of the production factors among the owners and  $f$  is the production function.

In Section 4 we apply the above-mentioned result to large monopolistic market games. We prove that under some mild conditions (on the utility functions of the traders) the asymptotic nucleolus of the transferable utility monopolistic market game which is associated with an economy with a finite number of types exists and coincides on the atomless part of the players' space with half of a competitive payoff distribution of the economy (see Proposition 4.1 and Theorem 4.3).

## §2 - Preliminaries

In this section we define the basic notions which are relevant to our work. Let  $(T, \Sigma)$  be a measurable space, i.e.,  $T$  is a set and  $\Sigma$  is a  $\sigma$ -field of subsets of  $T$ . We refer to the member of  $T$  as *players* and to those of  $\Sigma$  as *coalitions*. A *coalitional game*, or simply a *game* on  $(T, \Sigma)$ , is a function  $v: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$  with  $v(\emptyset) = 0$ . If  $T$  is finite and  $\Sigma = 2^T$  is the set of all subsets of  $T$ , the game  $v$  will be called a *finite game*. A game  $v$  is *superadditive* if  $v(S_1 \cup S_2) \geq v(S_1) + v(S_2)$  whenever  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are disjoint coalitions. A *payoff measure* in a game  $v$  on  $(T, \Sigma)$  is a bounded finitely additive measure  $\lambda: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$  which satisfies  $\lambda(T) \leq v(T)$ .

We denote by  $ba = ba(T, \Sigma)$  the Banach space of all bounded finitely additive measures on  $(T, \Sigma)$  with the variation norm. The subspace of  $ba$  which consists of all bounded countably additive measures on  $(T, \Sigma)$  is denoted by  $ca = ca(T, \Sigma)$ . If  $\lambda$  is a measure in  $ca$  then  $ca(\lambda) = ca(T, \Sigma, \lambda)$  denotes the set of all members of  $ca$  which

are absolutely continuous with respect to  $\lambda$ . If  $A$  is a subset of an ordered vector space we denote by  $A_+$  the set of all non-negative members of  $A$ .

Let  $K$  be a convex subset of an Euclidean space and let  $f: K \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$  be a concave function. A vector  $p$  is a *supergradient* of  $f$  at  $x \in K$  if  $f(y) - f(x) \leq p \cdot (y - x)$  for all  $y \in K$ . The set of all supergradients of  $f$  at  $x$  will be denoted by  $\partial f(x)$ . It is well known that if  $x$  is an interior point of  $K$  then  $\partial f(x) \neq \emptyset$  and  $f$  is differentiable at  $x$  iff it has a unique supergradient at  $x$  which, in this case, coincides with the *gradient* vector.

For two vectors  $x, y$  in  $\mathfrak{R}^m$  we write  $x \geq y$  to mean  $x_i \geq y_i$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq m$ ,  $x > y$  to mean  $x \geq y$  and  $x \neq y$ , and  $x \gg y$  to mean  $x_i > y_i$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . A function  $f$  defined on a set  $A \subset \mathfrak{R}^m$  is called *non-decreasing* if for every  $x, y \in A$  we have  $x \geq y$  implies  $f(x) \geq f(y)$ . It is called *increasing* if, in addition,  $x > y$  implies  $f(x) > f(y)$ .

### §3 - The Asymptotic Behavior of the Kernel and the Nucleolus in Mixed Games

In this section we investigate the asymptotic behavior of the kernel and the nucleolus in a class of mixed games.

Let  $v$  be a finite game (that is,  $T$  is finite and  $\Sigma = 2^T$ ). If  $x \in \mathfrak{R}^{|T|}$  and  $S \subset T$  we define  $x(S) = \sum_{i \in S} x_i$  if  $S \neq \emptyset$ , and  $x(\emptyset) = 0$ . Denote

$$I(v) = \left\{ x \in \mathfrak{R}^{|T|} \mid x_i \geq v(\{i\}) \text{ for every } i \in T \text{ and } x(T) = v(T) \right\}$$

and

$$I^*(v) = \left\{ x \in \mathfrak{R}^{|T|} \mid x(T) = v(T) \right\}.$$

For every  $i, j \in T$ ,  $i \neq j$  and  $x \in \mathfrak{R}^{|T|}$  define

$$s_{ij}(x) = \max\{v(S) - x(S) \mid S \subset T, i \in S \text{ and } j \notin S\}$$

The *prekernel* of the game  $v$  is the set

$$PK(v) = \left\{ x \in I^*(v) \mid s_{ij}(x) = s_{ji}(x) \forall i, j \in T, i \neq j \right\}.$$

The *kernel* of the game  $v$  is the set

$$K(v) = \left\{ x \in I(v) \mid (s_{ij}(x) - s_{ji}(x)) (x_j - v(\{j\})) \leq 0 \forall i, j \in T, i \neq j \right\}.$$

It is well known that if  $v$  is a finite game which is zero monotonic (that is,  $v(S \cup \{i\}) \geq v(S) + v(\{i\})$  for every  $S \subset T$  and  $i \in T \setminus S$ ), then  $PK(v)$  and  $K(v)$  coincide (see Theorem 2.7 in Maschler, Peleg and Shapley (1972)). For a further discussion of the kernel the reader is referred to Maschler (1992).

Let  $v$  be a finite game. For every  $x \in I(v)$ , let  $\theta(x)$  be a  $2^{|T|}$ -tuple whose components are the numbers  $v(S) - x(S)$ ,  $S \subset T$ , arranged in non-increasing order, i.e.,  $\theta_i(x) \geq \theta_j(x)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$ . The *nucleolus* of the game  $v$ , denoted by  $Nv$ , is the payoff vector which is "closest" to  $v$  in the sense that  $\theta(Nv)$  is the minimum in the lexicographic order of the set  $\{\theta(x) \mid x \in I(v)\}$ . It is well known that the nucleolus of a finite game  $v$  always exists when  $I(v) \neq \emptyset$  and it consists of a unique point which belongs to the kernel of  $v$  (e.g., Schmeidler (1969)).

In the rest of the paper we assume that a fixed measure  $\lambda \in ca_+(T, \Sigma)$  is given. We interpret  $\lambda$  as a *population measure*, that is, if  $S$  is a coalition, then  $\lambda(S)$  is the proportion of the total population which is contained in  $S$ . We also assume that  $T$  can be represented in the form  $T = T_o \cup T_l$ , where  $T_o$  and  $T_l$  are non-empty disjoint coalitions, the restriction of  $\lambda$  to  $(T_o, \Sigma_{T_o})$  is non-atomic (where, here and in the

sequel, if  $S$  is a coalition  $\Sigma_S = \{Q \in \Sigma \mid Q \subset S\}$  and  $T_I$  is a finite set of atoms of  $\lambda$  such that every subset of  $T_I$  is in  $\Sigma$ .

Let  $v$  be a game on  $(T, \Sigma)$  and let  $\pi$  be a finite subfield of  $\Sigma$ . The set of all atoms of  $\pi$  is denoted by  $A_\pi$ . The set of all subsets of  $A_\pi$  is identified naturally with  $\pi$ , and thus a finite game with a set of players  $A_\pi$  is identified with a function  $w: \pi \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$  with  $w(\emptyset) = 0$ . The restriction of the game  $v$  to  $\pi$  is denoted by  $v_\pi$ . An *admissible sequence* of finite fields is an increasing sequence  $(\pi_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  of finite subfields of  $\Sigma$  such that every subset of  $T_I$  is in  $\pi_1$  and  $\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty \pi_n$  generates  $\Sigma$ .

Let  $v$  be a superadditive game on  $(T, \Sigma)$ . It is said that  $v$  has an asymptotic nucleolus if there exists a game  $\psi v$  such that, for every admissible sequence of finite fields  $(\pi_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  and every  $S$  in  $\pi_1$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Nv_{\pi_n}(S)$  exists and equals  $\psi v(S)$ . It follows that  $\psi v \in ba$ , and it is called the *asymptotic nucleolus* of the game  $v$ .

The asymptotic approach was introduced in Kannai (1966) in the context of the Shapley value of non-atomic games (see also chapter III of Aumann and Shapley (1974)).

We are now ready to state and prove the main result of this section.

### Theorem 3.1

Let  $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m)$  be a vector of non-trivial measures in  $ca_+(\lambda)$ . Assume that  $f: \mathfrak{R}_+^m \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}_+$  is a non-decreasing concave function which is continuously differentiable in  $\text{int } \mathfrak{R}_+^m$  and satisfies,  $\nabla f(\mu(T)) \gg 0$  and  $f(\mu(T \setminus \{a\})) = 0$  for every  $a \in T_I$ . Then the game  $v = f \circ \mu$  has an asymptotic nucleolus. Moreover, if

$(\pi_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  is an admissible sequence of finite fields and  $x_n \in K(v_{\pi_n})$  for every  $n$ ,

then for every  $S \in \pi_1$  we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n(S) = \frac{1}{2} \nabla f(\mu(T)) \cdot \mu(S \cap T_o) + \frac{f(\mu(T)) - \frac{1}{2} \nabla f(\mu(T)) \cdot \mu(T_o)}{|T_1|} |S \cap T_1|$$

Proof

Let  $(\pi_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  be an admissible sequence of finite fields. We first show that if

$$S \in \pi_1 \cap \Sigma_{T_o} \text{ and } x_n \in K(v_{\pi_n}) \text{ for every } n, \text{ then } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n(S) = \frac{1}{2} \nabla f(\mu(T)) \cdot \mu(S).$$

Note that since  $f$  is non-decreasing the game  $v$  is superadditive. Therefore, for every  $n$ ,

the game  $v_{\pi_n}$  is zero-monotonic, and thus  $K(v_{\pi_n}) = PK(v_{\pi_n})$  for every  $n$ . Let  $n$  be a

fixed natural number and let  $j \in \pi_n \cap \Sigma_{T_o}$ . Assume that  $x_n \in K(v_{\pi_n})$ . Then for

every  $i \in T_1$  we have

$$s_{ji}(x_n) = \max\{v(Q) - x_n(Q) \mid Q \subset \pi_n, j \in Q, \{i\} \notin Q\} = -x_n(j)$$

and

$$s_{ij}(x_n) \geq v(T \setminus j) - x_n(T) + x_n(j) = f(\mu(T \setminus j)) - f(\mu(T)) + x_n(j)$$

Since  $x_n \in PK(v_{\pi_n})$ , we have

$$s_{ij}(x_n) = s_{ji}(x_n).$$

Therefore

$$x_n(j) \leq \frac{1}{2} (f(\mu(T)) - f(\mu(T \setminus j)))$$

Since  $f$  is concave and differentiable,

$$f(\mu(T)) \leq f(\mu(T \setminus j)) + \nabla f(\mu(T \setminus j)) \cdot \mu(j)$$

Thus,

$$(3.1) \quad x_n(j) \leq \frac{1}{2} \nabla f(\mu(T \setminus j)) \cdot \mu(j)$$

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . As  $f$  is continuously differentiable on  $\text{int } \mathfrak{R}_+^m$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$

such that for every  $x \in \mathfrak{R}_+^m$  we have

$$(3.2) \quad \|x - \mu(T)\| < \delta \Rightarrow \nabla f(x) \leq \nabla f(\mu(T)) + \varepsilon e$$

where  $e = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$ . Since  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m$  are absolutely continuous with respect to  $\lambda$  and

the restriction of  $\lambda$  to  $(T_o, \Sigma_{T_o})$  is non-atomic, there exists a natural number  $n_o$  such

that  $\|\mu(j)\| < \delta$  for every  $j \in \pi_{n_o} \cap \Sigma_{T_o}$ . Therefore by (3.1) and (3.2), for every

$n \geq n_o$  and  $j \in \pi_n \cap \Sigma_{T_o}$  we have

$$x_n(j) \leq \frac{1}{2} (\nabla f(\mu(T)) + \varepsilon e) \cdot \mu(j)$$

Let  $S \in \pi_1 \cap \Sigma_{T_o}$ . Then  $S$  is the union of members of  $\pi_n$  for every  $n$ .

Therefore for every  $n \geq n_o$

$$x_n(S) \leq \frac{1}{2} (\nabla f(\mu(T)) + \varepsilon e) \cdot \mu(S)$$

Since  $\varepsilon$  is arbitrary, we have

$$\overline{\lim} x_n(S) \leq \frac{1}{2} \nabla f(\mu(T)) \cdot \mu(S)$$

We now show that  $\underline{\lim} x_n(S) \geq \frac{1}{2} \nabla f(\mu(T)) \cdot \mu(S)$ . Since  $f$  is continuously

differentiable on  $\text{int } \mathfrak{R}_+^m$  and  $\nabla f(\mu(T)) \gg 0$ , there exists  $\hat{\delta} > 0$  such that for every

$x \in \mathfrak{R}_+^m$  we have



$$\|x - \mu(T)\| < \hat{\delta} \Rightarrow \nabla f(x) \leq \frac{3}{2} \nabla f(\mu(T)).$$

Let  $n_1$  be a natural number such that  $\|\mu(j)\| < \hat{\delta}$  for every  $j \in \pi_{n_1} \cap \Sigma_{T_0}$ .

Then

$$\nabla f(\mu(T \setminus j)) \leq \frac{3}{2} \nabla f(\mu(T)).$$

Therefore by (3.1), for every  $n \geq n_1$  and  $j \in \pi_n \cap \Sigma_{T_0}$  we have

$$x_n(j) \leq \frac{3}{4} \nabla f(\mu(T)) \cdot \mu(j).$$

Hence,

$$(3.3) \quad x_n(S) \leq \frac{3}{4} \nabla f(\mu(T)) \cdot \mu(S)$$

Now there exists a natural number  $n_2 \geq n_1$  such that for every  $n \geq n_2$  and

$j \in \pi_n \cap \Sigma_{T_0}$  we have

$$(3.4) \quad x_n(j) < \frac{1}{|T_1|} f\left(\frac{1}{4}\mu(T)\right)$$

(note that since  $f$  is concave,  $f(\frac{1}{4}\mu(T)) \geq \frac{1}{4}f(\mu(T)) > 0$ ).

Let  $n \geq n_2$  be fixed and let  $i \in T_1$  and  $j \in \pi_n \cap \Sigma_{T_0}$ . Choose  $Q_n \subset \pi_n$  such that  $\{i\} \in Q_n$ ,  $j \notin Q_n$  and

$$v_{\pi_n}(Q_n) - x_n(Q_n) = \max\{v_{\pi_n}(Q) - x_n(Q) \mid Q \subset \pi_n, \{i\} \in Q, j \notin Q\}$$

As  $x_n \in K(v_{\pi_n})$ , then  $v_{\pi_n}(Q_n) - x_n(Q_n) = -x_n(j)$ .

Let  $S_n = \bigcup_{l \in Q_n} l$ . We show that  $S_n \supset T_1$ . Assume not. Then  $v(S_n) = 0$ , and

thus  $x_n(j) = x_n(S_n) \geq x_n(\{i\})$ . Since all the players in  $T_1$  are interchangeable in the game  $v_{\pi_n}$  (two players in a finite game are interchangeable if they have the same

marginal contribution to every coalition which does not contain them), they get the

same payoff in every member of  $K(v_{\pi_n})$ . Hence,

$$f(\mu(T)) = x_n(T) = |T_I| x_n(\{i\}) + x_n(T_o).$$

By (3.3),  $x_n(T_o) \leq \frac{3}{4} \nabla f(\mu(T)) \cdot \mu(T_o)$ . Therefore,

$$x_n(\{i\}) \geq \frac{f(\mu(T)) - \frac{3}{4} \nabla f(\mu(T)) \cdot \mu(T_o)}{|T_I|}$$

Since  $f$  is concave and differentiable,

$$f(\mu(T)) - \frac{3}{4} \nabla f(\mu(T)) \cdot \mu(T_o) \geq f(\mu(T) - \frac{3}{4} \mu(T_o)) \geq f(\frac{1}{4} \mu(T))$$

Thus,  $x_n(\{i\}) \geq \frac{1}{|T_I|} f(\frac{1}{4} \mu(T))$ . Since  $x_n(j) \geq x_n(\{i\})$ , this contradicts (3.4).

Therefore  $S_n \supset T_I$ , and thus there exists  $\hat{S}_n \in \Sigma_{T_o}$  such that  $S_n = (T \setminus j) \cup \hat{S}_n$ . Hence,

$$-x_n(j) = v(S_n) - x_n(S_n) = f(\mu(T) - \mu(j) - \mu(\hat{S}_n)) - f(\mu(T)) + x_n(j) + x_n(\hat{S}_n)$$

Thus

$$x_n(j) = \frac{1}{2} [f(\mu(T)) - f(\mu(T) - \mu(j) - \mu(\hat{S}_n)) - x_n(\hat{S}_n)]$$

By (3.3)

$$x_n(\hat{S}_n) \leq \frac{3}{4} \nabla f(\mu(T)) \cdot \mu(\hat{S}_n).$$

Since  $f$  is concave,

$$f(\mu(T)) - f(\mu(T) - \mu(j) - \mu(\hat{S}_n)) \geq \nabla f(\mu(T)) \cdot (\mu(j) + \mu(\hat{S}_n))$$

Therefore,

$$x_n(j) \geq \frac{1}{2} \left[ \nabla f(\mu(T)) \cdot \mu(j) + \frac{1}{4} \nabla f(\mu(T)) \cdot \mu(\hat{S}_n) \right] \geq \frac{1}{2} \nabla f(\mu(T)) \cdot \mu(j).$$

Hence,

$$x_n(S) \geq \frac{1}{2} \nabla f(\mu(T)) \cdot \mu(S) \text{ for every } n \geq n_2.$$

This implies that  $\lim x_n(S) \geq \frac{1}{2} \nabla f(\mu(T)) \cdot \mu(S)$ .

Assume now that  $S \in \pi_I$  is any coalition. Then for every natural number  $n$  we have

$$x_n(S) = x_n(S \cap T_o) + x_n(S \cap T_I)$$

Let  $t_n$  be the payoff which is assigned by  $x_n$  to a player in  $T_I$ . Then

$$v(T) = x_n(T) = |T_I| t_n + x_n(T_o) \Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = \frac{v(T) - \frac{1}{2} \nabla f(\mu(T)) \cdot \mu(T_o)}{|T_I|}$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n(S) = \frac{1}{2} \nabla f(\mu(T)) \cdot \mu(S \cap T_o) + \frac{v(T) - \frac{1}{2} \nabla f(\mu(T)) \cdot \mu(T_o)}{|T_I|} |S \cap T_I| \quad \text{Q.E.D.}$$

Let  $v$  be a game on  $(T, \Sigma)$ . The core of  $v$ , denoted by  $Core(v)$ , is the set of all payoff measures  $\mu \in ba$  such that  $\mu(S) \geq v(S)$  for every  $S \in \Sigma$ .

We want to determine the location in the core of the asymptotic nucleolus of a game which satisfies the conditions of Theorem 3.1. We first state and prove a representation theorem for the core of such games.

### Theorem 3.2

Let  $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m)$  be a vector of non-trivial measures in  $ca_+(\lambda)$ . Assume that

$f: \mathfrak{R}_+^m \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}_+$  is a concave function which is differentiable at  $\mu(T)$  and satisfies

$f(\mu(T \setminus \{a\})) = 0$  for every  $a \in T_I$ . Then the core of the game  $v = f \circ \mu$  is given by

$$Core(v) = \left\{ \xi \in ca_+(\lambda) \mid \xi(T) = f(\mu(T)) \text{ and } \forall S \in \Sigma_{T_o}, \xi(S) \leq \nabla f(\mu(T)) \cdot \mu(S) \right\}$$

Proof

Let

$$M(v) = \left\{ \xi \in ca_+(\lambda) \mid \xi(T) = f(\mu(T)) \text{ and } \forall S \in \Sigma_{T_o}, \xi(S) \leq \nabla f(\mu(T)) \cdot \mu(S) \right\}.$$

We will show that  $M(v) = Core(v)$ . We first show that  $M(v) \subset Core(v)$ . Let  $\xi \in M(v)$  and  $S \in \Sigma$ . Now if  $S$  does not include  $T_I$  then  $v(S) = 0$  and clearly,  $\xi(S) \geq v(S)$ . If  $S \supset T_I$  then  $T \setminus S \subset T_o$ . As  $\xi \in M(v)$ ,

$$\xi(T \setminus S) \leq \nabla f(\mu(T)) \cdot \mu(T \setminus S).$$

Therefore

$$\xi(S) = \xi(T) - \xi(T \setminus S) \geq \xi(T) - \nabla f(\mu(T)) \cdot \mu(T \setminus S) = f(\mu(T)) - \nabla f(\mu(T)) \cdot \mu(T \setminus S).$$

As  $f$  is concave,

$$v(S) = f(\mu(S)) \leq f(\mu(T)) - \nabla f(\mu(T)) \cdot \mu(T \setminus S).$$

Hence,  $\xi(S) \geq v(S)$ , and thus  $\xi \in Core(v)$ .

It remains to show that  $Core(v) \subset M(v)$ . Let  $\xi \in Core(v)$ . Then for every  $S \in \Sigma$  we have

$$(3.4) \quad 0 \leq \xi(S) \leq \xi(T) - v(T \setminus S).$$

As  $f$  is continuous at  $\mu(T)$  and  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m$  are in  $ca_+(\lambda)$ , the inequality in (3.4) implies that

$\xi \in ca_+(\lambda)$ . Since the restriction of  $\lambda$  to  $(T_o, \Sigma_{T_o})$  is non-atomic, the restrictions of

$\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m$  and  $\xi$  to  $(T_o, \Sigma_{T_o})$  are also non-atomic. Let  $S \in \Sigma_{T_o}$ . We will show that

$\xi(S) \leq \nabla f(\mu(T)) \cdot \mu(S)$ . By Lyapunov's theorem, for every  $0 < \alpha < 1$  there exists a coalition

$S_\alpha \in \Sigma_{T_o}$  such that  $\mu(S_\alpha) = \alpha\mu(S)$  and  $\xi(S_\alpha) = \alpha\xi(S)$ . As  $f$  is differentiable at  $\mu(T)$ , for

every  $0 < \alpha < 1$  we have

$$f(\mu(T \setminus S_\alpha)) = f(\mu(T)) - \alpha \nabla f(\mu(T)) \cdot \mu(S) + o(\alpha).$$

As  $\xi \in \text{Core}(v)$ , we have

$$\xi(S_\alpha) = \xi(T) - \xi(T \setminus S_\alpha) \leq f(\mu(T)) - f(\mu(T \setminus S_\alpha)).$$

Hence,

$$\xi(S) \leq \nabla f(\mu(T)) \cdot \mu(S) + g(\alpha),$$

where  $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} g(\alpha) = 0$ . Therefore  $\xi(S) \leq \nabla f(\mu(T)) \cdot \mu(S)$ , and the proof is complete. Q.E.D.

Let  $A$  be a subset of a linear space. A point  $x_o \in A$  is called a *center of symmetry* of  $A$  if for every  $x \in A$ , the point  $2x_o - x$  also belongs to  $A$ . Note that if  $A$  is bounded, there may be at most one center of symmetry.

The following corollary is a direct consequence of Theorems 3.1 and 3.2.

### Corollary 3.3

*Let  $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m)$  be a vector of non-trivial measures in  $ca_+(\lambda)$ . Assume that  $f: \mathfrak{R}_+^m \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}_+$  is a non-decreasing concave function which is differentiable in  $\text{int } \mathfrak{R}_+^m$  and satisfies,  $\nabla f(\mu(T)) \gg 0$  and  $f(\mu(T \setminus \{a\})) = 0$  for every  $a \in T_1$ . Then the asymptotic nucleolus of the game  $v = f \circ \mu$  coincides with the center of symmetry of the subset of the core of  $v$  in which all the members of  $T_1$  receive the same payoff.*

### §4 - Market Games

In this section we apply Theorem 3.1 to games which arise in economic applications.

We consider a pure exchange economy  $E$  in which the commodity space is  $\mathfrak{R}_+^m$ . The traders' space is represented by the measure space  $(T, \Sigma, \lambda)$ . We assume again that  $T = T_o \cup T_1$ , where  $T_o$  and  $T_1$  are non-empty and disjoint coalitions,  $T_1$  is a finite set of

atoms of  $\lambda$  such that every subset of  $T_I$  is in  $\Sigma$ , and the restriction of  $\lambda$  to  $(T_o, \Sigma_{T_o})$  is non-atomic. We will interpret the members of  $T_I$  as monopolists. Every trader  $t \in T$  has a utility function  $u_t: \mathfrak{R}_+^m \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}_+$ . An assignment in  $E$  is an integrable function  $x: T \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}_+^m$ . There is a fixed initial assignment  $\omega$  ( $\omega(t)$  represents the initial bundle density of trader  $t$ ). An allocation is an assignment  $x$  such that  $\int_T x d\lambda \leq \int_T \omega d\lambda$ . A transferable utility competitive equilibrium (t.u.c.e.) of the economy  $E$  is a pair  $(x, p)$ , where  $x$  is an allocation and  $p \in \mathfrak{R}_+^m$ , such that for all  $t \in T$ ,  $u_t(x) - p \cdot (x - \omega(t))$  attains its maximum (over  $\mathfrak{R}_+^m$ ) at  $x = x(t)$ . The measure  $\varphi(S) = \int_S [u_t(x(t)) - p \cdot (x(t) - \omega(t))] d\lambda$  (when the function  $u_t(x(t))$  is integrable) is called the competitive payoff distribution, and  $p$  is the vector competitive prices. We assume the following

$$(4.1) \quad \int_T \omega d\lambda \gg 0$$

(4.2) For every trader  $a \in T_I$  there exists a commodity  $1 \leq k_a \leq m$  such that  $\omega_{k_a}(t) = 0$  for every  $t \in T \setminus \{a\}$  (where  $\omega_{k_a}$  denotes the  $k_a$ -component of  $\omega$ ).

The meaning of (4.2) is that every atom of  $\lambda$  has a corner on one of the commodities in the economy.

We restrict our analysis to two cases: (1) when every trader in  $E$  has the same utility function and (2) when  $E$  has a finite number of types.

Denote by  $U$  the set of all functions  $u: \mathfrak{R}_+^m \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}_+$  which are continuous and concave on  $\mathfrak{R}_+^m$ , continuously differentiable and increasing on the interior of  $\mathfrak{R}_+^m$  and vanish on the boundary of  $\mathfrak{R}_+^m$ . Note that any differentiable neoclassical utility function is in  $U$  (see Definition 1.4.2 in Aliprantis, Brown and Burkinshaw (1989)).

We first study the case in which all the traders in the economy  $E$  has the same utility function  $u: \mathfrak{R}_+^m \rightarrow R_+$ . We assume that  $u \in U$  and that  $u$  is homogeneous of degree one on  $\mathfrak{R}_+^m$  (note that, for example, any Cobb-Douglas utility function satisfies these assumptions). The *Aumann-Shapley Shubik market game* which is associated with the economy  $E$  (see Shapley and Shubik (1969) and Section 30 of Aumann and Shapley (1974)) in this special case is defined by

$$(4.3) \quad v(S) = \sup \left\{ \int_S u(x(t)) d\lambda \mid x \text{ is an assignment such that } \int_S x d\lambda = \int_S \omega d\lambda \right\}$$

Proposition 4.1

*Assume that the economy  $E$  satisfies (4.1) and (4.2) and that every trader in  $E$  has the same utility function  $u \in U$  which is also homogeneous of degree one. Then the market game  $v$  which is defined in (4.3) has an asymptotic nucleolus  $\psi v$  which is given by*

$$(4.4) \quad \psi v(S) = \frac{1}{2} \nabla u \left( \int_T \omega d\lambda \right) \cdot \int_{S \cap T_0} \omega d\lambda + \frac{u \left( \int_T \omega d\lambda \right) - \frac{1}{2} \nabla u \left( \int_T \omega d\lambda \right) \cdot \int_{T_0} \omega d\lambda}{|T_1|} |S \cap T_1|$$

*Moreover, there exists a competitive payoff distribution  $\phi$  which corresponds to a t.u.c.e. of  $E$  such that  $\psi v(S) = \frac{1}{2} \phi(S)$  for every  $S \in \Sigma_{T_0}$ .*

Proof

We first note that for every  $S \in \Sigma$ ,  $v(S) = u \left( \int_S \omega d\lambda \right)$ . Indeed, let  $S \in \Sigma$ . Then by the definition of  $v$ , we have  $v(S) \geq u \left( \int_S \omega d\lambda \right)$ . Since  $u$  is concave and homogeneous of degree one, by Jensen's inequality, for every assignment  $x$  such that  $\int_S x d\lambda = \int_S \omega d\lambda$  we have  $\int_S u(x(t)) d\lambda \leq u \left( \int_S \omega d\lambda \right)$ . Therefore  $v(S) = u \left( \int_S \omega d\lambda \right)$ . Now, since  $u$  vanishes on the boundary of  $\mathfrak{R}_+^m$ , by (4.2), for every  $a \in T_1$  we have  $v(T \setminus \{a\}) = u \left( \int_{T \setminus \{a\}} \omega d\lambda \right) = 0$ .

Also the assumption that  $u$  is increasing in the interior of  $\mathfrak{R}_+^m$  implies that  $\nabla u(\int_T \omega d\lambda) \gg 0$ .

Thus the game  $\nu$  satisfies the requirements of Theorem 3.1 and therefore (4.4) is satisfied. Let

$b = \int_T \omega d\lambda$ . Since  $u$  is homogeneous of degree one, by Euler's theorem  $\nabla u(b) \cdot b = u(b)$ . As

$u$  is concave, for every  $x \in \mathfrak{R}_+^m$  we have

$$u(x) \leq u(b) + \nabla u(b) \cdot (x - b) = \nabla u(b) \cdot x.$$

Therefore  $\max_{x \in \mathfrak{R}_+^m} (u(x) - \nabla u(b) \cdot x) = 0$ . Consequently, for every  $t \in T$  we have

$$\max_{x \in \mathfrak{R}_+^m} (u(x) - \nabla u(b) \cdot (x - \omega(t))) = \nabla u(b) \cdot \omega(t).$$

Let  $\varphi = \nabla u(b) \cdot \int \omega d\lambda$ . Then  $\varphi$  is a competitive payoff distribution in  $E$  and  $\psi \nu(S) = \frac{1}{2} \varphi(S)$

for every  $S \in \Sigma_{T_0}$ . Q.E.D.

We now analyze the case when there is a finite number of traders' types in the economy  $E$ . Two traders are of the *same type* if they have identical initial bundles and identical utility functions. We assume that the number of different types of traders in  $T_0$  is  $n$ . For every  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , we denote by  $S_i$  the set of traders in  $T_0$  which are of type  $i$ . We assume that  $S_i$  is measurable (i.e.,  $S_i \in \Sigma$ ) and  $\lambda(S_i) > 0$ . The utility function of the traders of type  $i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) is denoted by  $u_i$ , and their initial bundle by  $\omega_i$ . We assume that for every  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $u_i \in U$  and in addition  $u_i$  is homogeneous of degree one. We also assume that for every  $a \in T_1$  the utility function  $u_a$  of the trader  $a$  is in  $U$  (but not necessarily homogeneous of degree one). The Aumann-Shapley-Shubik market game which is associated with the economy  $E$  in this case of finite number of types is



$$(4.5) \quad v(S) = \sup \left\{ \sum_{a \in S \cap T_1} \lambda(\{a\}) u_a(x(a)) + \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{S \cap S_i} u_i(x(t)) d\lambda \mid x \in X(S) \right\}$$

where,  $X(S) = \left\{ x \mid x \text{ is an assignment such that } \int_S x d\lambda = \int_S \omega d\lambda \right\}$ .

Define a function  $f: \mathfrak{R}_+^m \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}_+$  by

$$(4.6) \quad f(y) = \max \left\{ \sum_{a \in T_1} \lambda(\{a\}) u_a(x_a) + \sum_{i=1}^n u_i(x_i) \mid x_a, x_i \in \mathfrak{R}_+^m, \sum_{a \in T_1} \lambda(\{a\}) x_a + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \leq y \right\}$$

Since the utility functions of the traders are continuous and concave, it is easy to see that  $f$  is well defined and concave on  $\mathfrak{R}_+^m$ .

#### Lemma 4.2

Let  $v$  be the market game in (4.5), then  $v(S) = f(\int_S \omega d\lambda)$  for every  $S \in \Sigma$ ,

where  $f$  is given by (4.6).

#### Proof

Let  $S \in \Sigma$ . Assume first that  $S$  does not include  $T_1$ . Then by (4.2),  $\int_S \omega d\lambda$  belongs to the boundary of  $\mathfrak{R}_+^m$ . Since the utility functions of the traders in  $T$  vanish on the boundary of  $\mathfrak{R}_+^m$ , we have  $v(S) = 0$  and  $f(\int_S \omega d\lambda) = 0$ . So assume that  $S \supset T_1$ .

We first show that  $v(S) \geq f(\int_S \omega d\lambda)$ . Let  $(x_a)_{a \in T_1}$  and  $(x_i)_{i=1}^n$  such that

$$f(\int_S \omega d\lambda) = \sum_{a \in T_1} \lambda(\{a\}) u_a(x_a) + \sum_{i=1}^n u_i(x_i).$$

Define an assignment  $x$  by  $x(t) = x_t$  if  $t \in T_1$  and for every  $t \in S_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ )

$$x(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\lambda(S \cap S_i)} x_i & \text{if } \lambda(S \cap S_i) > 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\int_S x \, d\lambda = \sum_{a \in T_1} \lambda(\{a\}) x_a + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \leq \int_S \omega \, d\lambda$$

Therefore  $v(S) \geq \int_S u_t(x(t)) \, d\lambda$ . Since the  $u_i$  are homogeneous of degree one,

$$\int_S u_t(x(t)) \, d\lambda = \sum_{a \in T_1} \lambda(\{a\}) u_a(x_a) + \sum_{i=1}^n u_i(x_i) = f\left(\int_S \omega \, d\lambda\right)$$

It remains to show that  $v(S) \leq f\left(\int_S \omega \, d\lambda\right)$ . Let  $x$  be an assignment such that

$\int_S x \, d\lambda = \int_S \omega \, d\lambda$ . For every  $a \in T_1$  let  $x_a = x(a)$  and for every  $1 \leq i \leq n$  let

$x_i = \int_{S \cap S_i} x \, d\lambda$ . Then

$$\sum_{a \in T_1} \lambda(\{a\}) x_a + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = \int_S x \, d\lambda = \int_S \omega \, d\lambda$$

Therefore by the definition of  $f$ , we have

$$f\left(\int_S \omega \, d\lambda\right) \geq \sum_{a \in T_1} \lambda(\{a\}) u_a(x_a) + \sum_{i=1}^n u_i(x_i)$$

Since the  $u_i$  are concave and homogeneous of degree one,

$$\sum_{a \in T_1} \lambda(\{a\}) u_a(x_a) + \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{S \cap S_i} u_i(x(t)) \, d\lambda \leq \sum_{a \in T_1} \lambda(\{a\}) u_a(x_a) + \sum_{i=1}^n u_i(x_i)$$

As  $x$  was an arbitrary assignment which satisfies  $\int_S x \, d\lambda = \int_S \omega \, d\lambda$ , we obtain that

$$v(S) \leq f\left(\int_S \omega \, d\lambda\right).$$

Lemma 4.3

The function  $f$  which is defined in (4.6) is continuously differentiable on  $\text{int } \mathfrak{R}_+^m$  and  $\nabla f(\int_T \omega d\lambda) \gg 0$ .

Proof

We first show that  $f$  is differentiable at every point in the interior of  $\mathfrak{R}_+^m$ . Let  $y^* \in \text{int } \mathfrak{R}_+^m$ . Then from the definition of  $f$  it is clear that  $f(y^*) > 0$ . Since  $f$  is concave on  $\mathfrak{R}_+^m$ , it is sufficient to show that  $\partial f(y^*)$  consists of a unique point. Let

$(x_a^*)_{a \in T_1}$  and  $(x_i^*)_{i=1}^n$  be such that

$$f(y^*) = \sum_{a \in T_1} \lambda(\{a\}) u_a(x_a^*) + \sum_{i=1}^n u_i(x_i^*)$$

Since the utility functions of the traders are non-decreasing, we have

$$\sum_{a \in T_1} \lambda(\{a\}) x_a^* + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^* = y^*$$

Since  $f(y^*) > 0$ , the assumption that the utility functions of the traders vanish on the boundary of  $\mathfrak{R}_+^m$  implies that there exists  $j \in T_1 \cup \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $x_j^* \in \text{int } \mathfrak{R}_+^m$ .

Assume first that  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . We will show that  $\partial f(y^*) \subset \partial u_j(x_j^*)$ . Let  $p \in \partial f(y^*)$ .

Then for every  $x \in \mathfrak{R}_+^m$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
u_j(x) - u_j(x_j^*) &= u_j(x) + \sum_{a \in T_I} \lambda(\{a\}) u_a(x_a^*) + \sum_{i \neq j} u_i(x_i^*) \\
&\quad - u_j(x_j^*) - \sum_{a \in T_I} \lambda(\{a\}) u_a(x_a^*) - \sum_{i \neq j} u_i(x_i^*) \leq \\
f(x + \sum_{a \in T_I} \lambda(\{a\}) x_a^* + \sum_{i \neq j} x_i^*) - f(y^*) &\leq p \cdot (x - x_j^*).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus  $p \in \partial u_j(x_j^*)$  and  $\partial f(y^*) \subset \partial u_j(x_j^*)$ . Since  $u_j$  is differentiable at  $x_j^*$ , we have

$$\partial u_j(x_j^*) = \{\nabla u_j(x_j^*)\}. \text{ As } \partial f(y^*) \neq \emptyset, \text{ we have } \partial f(y^*) = \{\nabla u_j(x_j^*)\}. \text{ If } j \in T_I,$$

for every  $x \in \mathfrak{R}_+^m$  we define  $\bar{u}_j(x) = \lambda(\{j\}) u_j(x)$ . Then the above argument implies

$$\text{that } \partial f(y^*) = \{\nabla \bar{u}_j(x_j^*)\}. \text{ Thus, in any case } \partial f(y^*) \text{ consists of a unique point, and}$$

therefore  $f$  is differentiable at  $y^*$ . The assumption that the utility functions of the

traders are increasing in  $\text{int } \mathfrak{R}_+^m$  implies that  $\nabla f(\int_T \omega d\lambda) \gg 0$ . Now since  $f$  is

concave on  $\mathfrak{R}_+^m$ , it is continuous on  $\text{int } \mathfrak{R}_+^m$ . Moreover, since the utility functions of

the traders vanish on the boundary of  $\mathfrak{R}_+^m$  it is easy to see that  $f$  is also continuous on

the boundary of  $\mathfrak{R}_+^m$ . Now Proposition 39.1 of Aumann and Shapley (1974) asserts

that any continuous concave function on  $\mathfrak{R}_+^m$  which is differentiable on  $\text{int } \mathfrak{R}_+^m$  is

continuously differentiable in  $\text{int } \mathfrak{R}_+^m$ . Therefore  $f$  is continuously differentiable on

$\text{int } \mathfrak{R}_+^m$ . Q.E.D.

We are now ready to state and prove the main result of this section.

Theorem 4.4

Assume that the economy  $E$  satisfies (4.1), (4.2) and also

- (1) There is a finite number  $n$  of traders' types in  $T_0$ .
- (2) The utility functions  $u_1, \dots, u_n$  of the traders in  $T_0$  are in  $U$  and in addition they are homogeneous of degree one on  $\mathfrak{R}_+^m$ .
- (3) The utility functions  $\{u_a\}_{a \in T_1}$  of the traders in  $T_1$  are in  $U$ .

Let  $f$  be the function which is given by (4.6). Then the market game  $v$  which is defined in (4.5) has an asymptotic nucleolus  $\psi v$  which is given by

$$(4.7) \quad \psi v(S) = \frac{1}{2} \nabla f \left( \int_T \omega d\lambda \right) \cdot \int_{S \cap T_0} \omega d\lambda + \frac{f \left( \int_T \omega d\lambda \right) - \frac{1}{2} \nabla f \left( \int_T \omega d\lambda \right) \cdot \int_{T_0} \omega d\lambda}{|T_1|} |S \cap T_1|.$$

Moreover, there exists a competitive payoff distribution  $\varphi$  which corresponds to a

t.u.c.e. in the economy  $E$  such that  $\psi v(S) = \frac{1}{2} \varphi(S)$  for every  $S \in \Sigma_{T_0}$ .

Proof

(4.7) follows from Theorem 3.1 and Lemmata 4.2 and 4.3. Denote

$b = \int_T \omega d\lambda$ . Let  $(x_a^*)_{a \in T_1}$  and  $(x_i^*)_{i=1}^n$  be such that

$$f(b) = \sum_{a \in T_1} \lambda(\{a\}) u_a(x_a^*) + \sum_{i=1}^n u_i(x_i^*). \text{ For every } t \in T, \text{ let } x^*(t) = \begin{cases} x_t^* & t \in T_1 \\ x_i^* & t \in S_i \end{cases}.$$

Then by a similar argument to that which was used in the proof of Lemma 4.3, we

obtain that for every  $t \in T$  and  $x \in \mathfrak{R}_+^m$

$$(4.8) \quad u_t(x) \leq u_t(x^*(t)) + \nabla f(b) \cdot (x - x^*(t)).$$

Since  $f$  is non-decreasing on  $\mathfrak{R}_+^m$ ,  $\nabla f(b) \geq 0$ . Let  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . Now if  $x_i^*$  is on the boundary of  $\mathfrak{R}_+^m$ , then  $u_i(x_i^*) = 0$ , and thus by (4.8),  $u_i(x) - \nabla f(b) \cdot x \leq 0$  for every  $x \in \mathfrak{R}_+^m$ . If  $x_i^* \in \text{int } \mathfrak{R}_+^m$ , then  $\nabla f(b) = \nabla u_i(x_i^*)$ . Since  $u_i$  is homogeneous of degree one,  $\nabla u_i(x_i^*) \cdot x_i^* = u_i(x_i^*)$ . Therefore we again have by (4.8),

$u_i(x) - \nabla f(b) \cdot x \leq 0$  for every  $x \in \mathfrak{R}_+^m$  and thus

$$\max_{x \in \mathfrak{R}_+^m} (u_i(x) - \nabla f(b) \cdot x) = 0$$

This implies that for every  $t \in T$

$$\max_{x \in \mathfrak{R}_+^m} (u_i(x) - \nabla f(b) \cdot (x - \omega(t))) = \nabla f(b) \cdot \omega(t).$$

Now by (4.8), for every  $a \in T_1$  and  $t \in T$  we have

$$\max_{x \in \mathfrak{R}_+^m} (u_a(x) - \nabla f(b) \cdot (x - \omega(t))) = u_a(x_a^*) - \nabla f(b) \cdot (x_a^* - \omega(t)).$$

For every  $t \in T$  let

$$g(t) = \begin{cases} u_t(x^*(t)) - \nabla f(b) \cdot (x^*(t) - \omega(t)) & t \in T_1 \\ \nabla f(b) \cdot \omega(t) & t \in T_0 \end{cases}$$

For every  $S \in \Sigma$  define  $\varphi(S) = \int_S g d\lambda$ . Then  $\varphi$  is a competitive payoff distribution in

the economy  $E$  and for every  $S \in \Sigma_{T_0}$  we have  $\psi v(S) = \frac{1}{2} \varphi(S)$ . Q.E.D.

## References

1. Aliprantis, C.D., Brown, D.J., Burkinshaw, O.: Existence and optimality of competitive equilibria. Heidelberg: Springer Verlag (1989).
2. Aumann, R.J., Shapley L.S.: *Values of Non Atomic Games*, Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press (1974).
3. Gabszewicz, J.J., Shitovitz, B.: The core in imperfectly competitive economies, in R.J. Aumann and S. Hart, eds. *Handbook of Game Theory*, Vol. 1, Elsevier Science Publishers, Amsterdam (1992).
4. Gardner, R.: Shapley value and disadvantageous monopolies, *Journal of Economic Theory* 16, 513-517 (1977).
5. Kannai, Y.: Values of games with a continuum of players, *Israel Journal of Mathematics* 4, 54-58 (1966).
6. Legros, P.: Disadvantageous syndicates and stable cartels: The case of the nucleolus, *Journal of Economic Theory* 42, 30-49 (1987).
7. Maschler, M.: The bargaining set, kernel, and nucleolus, in R.J. Aumann and S. Hart, eds. *Handbook of Game Theory*, Vol. 1, Elsevier Science Publishers, Amsterdam (1992).
8. Maschler, M., Peleg, B. and Shapley, L.S.: The kernel and bargaining set of convex games, *International Journal of Game Theory* 1, 73-93 (1972).
9. Schmeidler, D.: The nucleolus of a characteristic function game, *SIAM Journal of Applied Mathematics* 17, 1163-1170 (1969).
10. Shapley, L.S., Shubik, M.: On market games, *Journal of Economic Theory* 1, 9-25 (1969).
11. Shitovitz, B.: Oligopoly in markets with a continuum of traders, *Econometrica* 41, 467-505 (1973).

## WORKING PAPERS 1997

### **Business Economics Series**

- 97-18 (01) Margarita Samartín  
“Optimal allocation of interest rate risk”
- 97-23 (02) Felipe Aparicio and Javier Estrada  
“Empirical distributions of stock returns: european securities markets, 1990-95”
- 97-24 (03) Javier Estrada  
“Random walks and the temporal dimension of risk”
- 97-29 (04) Margarita Samartín  
“A model for financial intermediation and public intervention”
- 97-30 (05) Clara-Eugenia García  
“Competing through marketing adoption: a comparative study of insurance companies in Belgium and Spain”
- 97-31 (06) Juan-Pedro Gómez and Fernando Zapatero  
“The role of institutional investors in international trading: an explanation of the home bias puzzle”
- 97-32 (07) Isabel Gutiérrez, Manuel Núñez Niekel and Luis R. Gómez-Mejía  
“Executive transitions, firm performance, organizational survival and the nature of the principal-agent contract”
- 97-52 (08) Teresa García and Carlos Ocaña  
“The role of banks in relaxing financial constraints: some evidence on the investment behavior of spanish firms”

### **Economics Series**

- 97-04 (01) Iñigo Herguera and Stefan Lutz  
“Trade policy and leapfrogging”
- 97-05 (02) Talitha Feenstra and Noemi Padrón  
“Dynamic efficiency of environmental policy: the case of intertemporal model of emissions trading”
- 97-06 (03) José Luis Moraga and Noemi Padrón  
“Pollution linked to consumption: a study of policy instruments in an environmentally differentiated oligopoly”
- 97-07 (04) Roger Feldman, Carlos Escribano and Laura Pellisé  
“The role of government in competitive insurance markets with adverse selection”
- 97-09 (05) Juan José Dolado and Juan F. Jimeno  
“The causes of Spanish unemployment: a structural VAR approach”



- 97-10 (06) Juan José Dolado, Florentino Felgueroso and Juan F. Jimeno  
“Minimum wages, collective bargaining and wage dispersion: the Spanish case”
- 97-12 (07) Víctor Aguirregabiria and César Alonso-Borrego  
“Employment occupational structure, technological capital and reorganization of production”
- 97-14 (08) Alfonso Alba-Ramírez  
“How temporary is temporary employment in Spain?”
- 97-17 (09) Laurence Kranich  
“Equalizing opportunities through public education when innate abilities are unobservable”
- 97-21 (10) Michael Florig  
“On the irreducibility of a competitive economy”
- 97-22 (11) H. Ben-El-Mechaiekh, S. Chebbi, J.V. Llinares and Monique Florenzano  
“A fixed point theorem without convexity”
- 97-34 (12) María Angeles de Frutos and Rober W. Rosenthal  
“On some myths about sequenced common-value auctions”
- 97-35 (13) Ezra Einy, Diego Moreno and Benyamin Shitovitz  
“The core of a class of non-atomic games which arise in economic applications”
- 97-36 (14) Paula Corcho and José L. Ferreira  
“Credible equilibria in non-finite games and in games without perfect recall”
- 97-37 (15) Javier Ruiz-Castillo  
“A simplified model for social welfare analysis. An application to Spain, 1973-74 to 1980-81”
- 97-38 (16) Coral del Río and Javier Ruiz-Castillo  
“Intermediate inequality and welfare. The case of Spain, 1980-81 to 1990-91”
- 97-39 (17) Alfonso Alba-Ramírez  
“Labor force participation and transitions of older workers in Spain”
- 97-40 (18) Juan J. Dolado, José M. González-Páramo and José Viñals  
“A cost-benefit analysis of going from low inflation to price stability in Spain”
- 97-42 (19) Coral del Río and Javier Ruiz-Castillo  
“An inequality decomposition method which minimizes equivalence scales ‘contamination’ problems”
- 97-43 (20) Raouf Boucekkine, Marc Germain, Omar Licandro and Alphonse Magnus  
“Creative destruction, investment volatility and the average age of capital”
- 97-44 (21) Raouf Boucekkine, Fernando del Río and Omar Licandro  
“How do echo effects affect the dynamics of job creation and job destruction?”

- 97-45 (22) José Luis Moraga and Emmanuel Petrakis  
“Promoting sales through coupons in oligopoly under imperfect price information”
- 97-46 (23) José Luis Moraga  
“Quality uncertainty and informative advertising”
- 97-53 (24) Juan J. Dolado, J. David López-Salido and Juan L. Vega  
“Spanish unemployment and inflation persistence: are there phillips trade-offs?”
- 97-54 (25) Carmen Arguedas and Laurence Kranich  
“Allocating environmental costs among heterogeneous sources: the linear damage equivalent mechanism”
- 97-55 (26) Michele Boldrin, Sergi Jiménz and Franco Peracchi  
“Social security and retirement in Spain”
- 97-56 (27) Amrita Dhillon and Emmanuel Petrakis  
“Bertrand competition, employment rationing and collusion through centralized negotiations”
- 97-57 (28) Ezra Einy, Diego Moreno and Benyamin Shitovitz  
“The asymptotic nucleolus of large monopolistic games”
- 97-58 (29) Coral del Río y Javier Ruiz-Castillo  
“TIPs for poverty analysis. The case of Spain, 1980-81 to 1990-91”

#### **Statistics and Econometrics Series**

- 97-01 (01) Jesús Gonzalo and Jean-Yves Pitarakis  
“Lag length estimation in large dimensional systems”
- 97-02 (02) Francesc Marmol  
“Fractional integration versus trend stationary in time series analysis”
- 97-03 (03) Francesc Marmol  
“Spurious regression theory with nonstationary fractionally integrated processes”
- 97-08 (04) Daniel Peña and Francisco J. Prieto  
“Robust covariance matrix estimation and multivariate outlier detection”
- 97-11 (05) Juan José Dolado and Francesc Marmol  
“On the properties of the Dickey-Pantula test against fractional alternatives”
- 97-12 (06) Víctor Aguirregabiria and César Alonso-Borrego  
“Employment occupational structure, technological capital and reorganization of production”
- 97-13 (07) Jesús Juan and Francisco Javier Prieto  
“Identification of point-mass contaminations in multivariate samples”
- 97-15 (08) Víctor Gómez, Agustín Maravall and Daniel Peña

- “Missing observations in ARIMA models: skipping strategy versus additive outlier approach”
- 97-16 (09) José Mira and Daniel Peña  
“BA statistical prediction of computer experiments: modelling of dynamic non linear multivariate functions”
- 97-19 (10) Anindya Banerjee, Juan J. Dolado and Ricardo Mestre  
“ECM tests for cointegration in a single equation framework”
- 97-20 (11) Santiago Velilla  
“Assessing the number of linear components in a general regression problem”
- 97-25 (12) Alvaro Escribano and Santiago Mira  
“Nonlinear cointegration with mixing errors”
- 97-26 (13) Alvaro Escribano and Santiago Mira  
“Nonlinear error correction models”
- 97-27 (14) Felipe Aparicio and Alvaro Escribano  
“Information-theoretic analysis of serial correlation and cointegration”
- 97-28 (15) Francesc Marmol and Juan C. Reboredo  
“Monte Carlo evidence on the power of the Durbin-Watson test against nonsense relationships”
- 97-33 (16) Marta Moreno and Juan Romo  
“Bootstrap tests for unit roots based on *LAD* estimation”
- 97-41 (17) Miguel A. Delgado and Manuel A. Domínguez  
“Consistent specification testing of quantile regression models”
- 97-47 (18) Víctor M. Guerrero, Daniel Peña and Pilar Poncela  
“Measuring intervention effects on multiple time series subjected to linear restrictions: a banking example”
- 97-48 (19) Víctor M. Guerrero, Rodrigo Juárez and Pilar Poncela  
“Data graduation based on statistical time series methods”
- 97-49 (20) Jesús Gonzalo and Jean-Yves Pitarakis  
“Dimensionality effect in cointegration analysis”
- 97-50 (21) Martín González and Jesús Gonzalo  
“Threshold unit root models”
- 97-51 (22) Martín González and Jesús Gonzalo  
“A non-exact present value model for farmland price behavior”

## DOCUMENTOS DE TRABAJO 1997

### **Serie de Economía**

- 97-01 (01) Juan José Dolado y Florentino Felgueroso  
“Los efectos del salario mínimo: evidencia empírica para el caso español”
- 97-05 (02) Juan José Dolado, Carlos García-Serrano y Ramón Gómez  
“Creación y destrucción de empleo: una panorámica con nuevos resultados para España”

### **Serie de Economía de la Empresa**

- 97-02 (01) Carlo Gallucci-Esade y Jaime Rivera Camino  
“La implementación del concepto de marketing: un estudio exploratorio en empresas españolas”
- 97-03 (02) Víctor Molero y Jaime Rivera Camino  
“Evaluación del desempeño de la fuerza de ventas”
- 97-04 (03) Jaime Rivera Camino  
“Análisis y expansión de la OM: una validación empírica en empresas españolas”
- 97-07 (04) Teresa García  
“Liquidez, costes financieros e inversión de las empresas españolas: un análisis empírico”
- 97-08 (05) Teresa García y Carlos Ocaña  
“Restricciones financieras a la inversión causadas por el riesgo de quiebra”

### **Serie de Estadística y Econometría**

- 97-06 (01) Alvaro Escribano  
“Análisis comparativo y predictivo de recientes funciones de exportación e importación en España”
- 97-09 (02) Daniel Peña  
“La mejora de la calidad en la educación: reflexiones y experiencias”

## WORKING PAPERS 1996

### **Business Economics Series**

- 96-10 (01) David Camino  
"The role of information and trading volume on intraday and weekly returns patterns in the Spanish stock market"
- 96-11 (02) David Camino  
"A transaction cost approach to strategic alliances in telecommunications"
- 96-13 (03) Clara Cardone  
"A single european union deposit insurance scheme? An overview"
- 96-20 (04) Jaime Rivera Camino  
"Reexamining the adoption of the marketing concept"
- 96-32 (05) M<sup>a</sup> José Alvarez and Juan Ceron  
"How well is Telefonica de España performing? An international comparison of telecom operators' productivity"
- 96-33 (06) Nora Lado Cousté and Jaime Rivera Camino  
"Forms of market strategies in the european insurance sector"
- 96-34 (07) Nora Lado, Albert Maydeu-Olivares and Jaime Rivera Camino  
"Modelling market orientation: a structural equation approach"
- 96-35 (08) Jaime Rivera Camino and Gérard Verna  
"The spanish management style: an exploratory comparison with the french managers"
- 96-52 (09) Isabel Gutiérrez, Francisco J. Llorens and J. Alberto Aragón  
"The board of director's composition: agency-dependence links between firms and banks"
- 96-56 (10) Salvador Carmona  
"A red queen approach to management accounting: an experiential study of a spanish hotel group"
- 96-67 (11) Luis R. Gómez-Mejía and M<sup>a</sup>. Dolores Saura  
"The importance of various work aspects and their organizational consequences using hofstede's cultural dimensions"
- 96-68 (12) M<sup>a</sup>. Dolores Saura and Luis R. Gómez-Mejía  
"The effectiveness of organization-wide compensation strategies in technology intensive firms"
- 96-69 (13) M<sup>a</sup>. Dolores Saura and Luis R. Gómez-Mejía  
"The linkages between business strategies and compensation policies using miles and snow's framework"
- 96-72 (14) G. Rubio and M. Tapia  
"The liquidity premium in equity pricing under a continuous auction system"
- 96-73 (15) Jaime Bonache and Zulima Fernández  
"Expatriate compensation and its link to subsidiary strategic role: a theoretical analysis"

### **Economics Series**

- 96-01 (01) Praveen Kujal and Roland Michelitsch  
"Market power, inelastic elasticity of demand, and terms of trade"
- 96-02 (02) Emmanuel Petrakis and Minas Vlassis  
"Endogenous wage-bargaining institutions in oligopolistic industries"

- 96-03 (03) Coral del Río and Javier Ruiz-Castillo  
"Intermediate inequality and welfare. The case of Spain, 1980-81 to 1990-91"
- 96-04 (04) Javier Ruiz-Castillo  
"A simplified model for social welfare analysis. An application to Spain, 1973-74 to 1980-81"
- 96-08 (05) Juan José Ganuza  
"Optimal procurement mechanism with observable quality"
- 96-09 (06) Emmanuel Petrakis and Amrita Dhillon  
"On centralized bargaining in a symmetric oligopolistic industry"
- 96-12 (07) Ezra Einy, Ron Holzman, Dov Monderer and Benyamin Shitovitz  
"Core equivalence theorems for infinite convex games"
- 96-15 (08) Praveen Kujal  
"The impact of regulatory controls on industry structure: study of the car and scooter industry in India"
- 96-17 (09) Olga AlonsoVillar  
"Configuration of cities: the effects of congestion cost and government"
- 96-18 (10) Olga Alonso Villar  
"Spatial distribution of production and international trade"
- 96-21 (11) Javier Estrada and Santos Pastor  
"The distribution of sentences in tax-related cases: evidence from spanish courts of appeals"
- 96-22 (12) Monique Florenzano and Emma Moreno  
"Linear exchange economies with a continuum of agents"
- 96-23 (13) Jean-François Fagnart, Omar Licandro and Franck Portier  
"Idiosyncratic uncertainty, capacity utilization and the business cycle"
- 96-24 (14) Jean-François Fagnart, Omar Licandro and Henri Sneessens  
"Capacity utilization and market power"
- 96-25 (15) Raouf Boucekkine, Cuong Le Van and Katheline Schubert  
"How to get the Blanchard-Kahn form from a general linear rational expectations model"
- 96-27 (16) Raouf Boucekkine and Jean-François Fagnart  
"Solving recent RBC models using linearization: further reserves"
- 96-28 (17) César Alonso Borrego  
"Demand for labour inputs and adjustment costs: evidence from Spanish manufacturing firms"
- 96-29 (18) Emmanuel Petrakis and Minas Vlassis  
"Endogenous scope of bargaining in oligopoly"
- 96-36 (19) Paula I. Corcho  
"Generalized externality games: economic applications"
- 96-38 (20) Raouf Boucekkine, Marc Germain and Omar Licandro  
"Replacement echoes on the vintage capital growth model"
- 96-39 (21) James Simpson  
"An essay in bibliography and criticism: economic development in Spain 1850-1936"
- 96-40 (22) Ezra Einy, Dov Monderer and Diego Moreno  
"The least core, kernel, and bargaining sets of large games"
- 96-53 (23) Javier Ruiz-Castillo y Carmen Vargas

- “A social welfare model for the evaluation of the spanish income tax system”
- 96-57 (24) Carlos Hervés, Emma Moreno and Carmelo Núñez  
“Some discrete approaches to continuum economies”
- 96-59 (25) Celia Costa Cabral, Praveen Kujal and Emmanuel Petrakis  
“Incentives for cost reducing innovations under quantitative import restraints”
- 96-60 (26) Alfonso Alba-Ramirez  
“Labor market effects of fixed-term employment contracts in Spain”
- 96-61 (27) Alfonso Alba-Ramirez  
“Employment transitions of young workers in Spain”
- 96-62 (28) Emmanuel Petrakis and Santanu Roy  
“Cost reducing investment, competition and industry dynamics”
- 96-71 (29) Alfonso Alba  
“Explaining the transitions out of unemployment in Spain: the effect of unemployment insurance”
- 96-74 (30) Laura Pellisó  
“Assessing Muface’s managed competition experiment in the spanish health care system”
- 96-75 (31) Alejandro Balbás and María José Muñoz  
“Measuring the degree of fulfillment of the law of one price. Applications to financial markets integration”

#### Statistics and Econometrics Series

- 96-05 (01) Tom Engsted, Jesús Gonzalo and Niels Haldrup  
“Multicointegration and present value relations”
- 96-06 (02) Jerome Adda and Jesús Gonzalo  
“P-values for non-standard distributions with an application to the DF test”
- 96-07 (03) Jesús Gonzalo and Tae-Hwy Lee  
“On the robustness of cointegration tests when series are fractionally integrated”
- 96-14 (04) Cristina Martínez and Santiago Velilla  
“Trimming frequencies in log-periodogram regression of long-memory time series”
- 96-16 (05) Jesús Gonzalo and Serena Ng  
“A systematic framework for analyzing the dynamic effects of permanent and transitory shocks”
- 96-19 (06) Santiago Velilla  
“On the bootstrap in misspecified regression models”
- 96-26 (07) Antoni Espasa, J. Manuel Revuelta and J. Ramón Cancelo  
“Automatic modelling of daily series of economic activity”
- 96-28 (08) César Alonso-Borrego  
“Demand for labour inputs and adjustment costs: evidence from Spanish manufacturing firms”
- 96-30 (09) Constantinos Goutis  
“Nonparametric estimation of a mixing density via the Kernel method”
- 96-31 (10) José Ramón Cancelo and Antoni Espasa  
“Using high-frequency data and time series models to improve yield management”
- 96-37 (11) L.F. Escudero, J.L. de la Fuente, C. García and F.J. Prieto  
“A parallel computation approach for solving stochastic network problems”

- 96-41 (12) Albert Maydeu-Olivares, Thomas J. D'Zurrilla and Osvaldo Morera  
"Assessing measurement invariance in questionnaires within latent trait models using item response theory"
- 96-42 (13) Santiago Velilla  
"On the cumulated periodogram goodness-of-fit test in ARMA models"
- 96-43 (14) Miguel A. Delgado and Javier Hidalgo  
"Nonparametric inference on structural breaks"
- 96-44 (15) Luis R. Pericchi, Inmaculada Fiteni and Eva Presa  
"The intrinsic bayes factor described by an example"
- 96-45 (16) César Alonso and Manuel Arellano  
"Symmetrically normalized instrumental-variable estimation using panel data"
- 96-46 (17) Daniel Peña  
"Measuring service quality by linear indicators"
- 96-47 (18) Ana Justel and Daniel Peña  
"Bayesian unmasking in linear models"
- 96-48 (19) Daniel Peña and Víctor Yohai  
"A procedure for robust estimation and diagnostics in regression"
- 96-49 (20) Daniel Peña and Rubén Zamar  
"A simple diagnostic tool for local prior sensitivity"
- 96-50 (21) Martín González and Jesús Gonzalo  
"Non exact present value models"
- 96-51 (22) Esther Ruiz and Fernando Lorenzo  
"Which univariate time series model predicts quicker a crisis? The Iberia case"
- 96-54 (23) Alvaro Escribano and Santiago Mira  
"Nonlinear cointegration and nonlinear error correction models"
- 96-55 (24) Alvaro Escribano  
"Nonlinear error correction: the case of money demand in the U.K. (1878-1970)"
- 96-58 (25) Felipe Aparicio and Javier Estrada  
"Empirical Distributions of Stock Returns: Scandinavian Securities Markets, 1990-95"
- 96-63 (26) Daniel Peña and Pilar Poncela  
"Improving prediction with dynamic factor analysis"
- 96-64 (27) José R. Berrendero and Juan Romo  
"Stability under contamination of robust regression estimators based on differences of residuals"
- 96-65 (28) Ricardo Cao, Miguel A. Delgado and Wenceslao González Manteiga  
"An unified approach to nonparametric curve estimation"
- 96-66 (29) Begoña Alvarez and Miguel A. Delgado  
"Nonparametric checks for count data models: an application to demand for health care in Spain"
- 96-70 (30) Rosario Roniera (ed.)  
Session in memoriam of Costas Goutis
- 96-76 (31) Alberto Muñoz  
"Compound key word generation from document databases using a hierarchical clustering ART model"



## DOCUMENTOS DE TRABAJO 1996

### **Serie de Economía**

- 96-01(01) Emma Moreno y Carlos Hervés  
"Algunas consideraciones sobre el mecanismo del veto"
- 96-05 (02) Olga Alonso Villar  
"El papel de la educación en la aglomeración urbana"
- 96-06 (03) José Luis Ferreira  
"Solidaridad social y responsabilidad individual. Segunda parte: La economía de la discriminación y el II Plan de Igualdad de Oportunidades para las Mujeres"
- 96-08 (04) Fidel Castro, Pedro Delicado y José M. Da Rocha  
"Buscando  $\theta$ 's desesperadamente"
- 96-09 (05) Fidel Castro  
"La demanda de electricidad de largo plazo para el sector residencial español"
- 96-11 (06) Carlos Hervés y Emma Moreno  
"Coaliciones y competencia perfecta"
- 96-16 (07) Eduardo L. Giménez-Fernández  
"Valoración del dinero en un contexto de restricciones de reserva"

### **Serie de Economía de la Empresa**

- 96-03 (01) Salvador Carmona, José Céspedes y Donato Gómez  
"Inercia contable y organización burocrática: la actividad salinera pública (1749-1869)"
- 96-14 (02) Rosa Rodríguez  
"Modelos intertemporales de valoración de activos: análisis empírico para el caso español"

### **Serie de Estadística y Econometría**

- 96-02 (01) Antoni Espasa y Diego Moreno  
"Empleo, crecimiento y política económica"
- 96-04 (02) Daniel Peña  
"El futuro de los métodos estadísticos"
- 96-07 (03) Antoni Espasa, J. Manuel Revuelta y J. Ramón Cancelo  
"Modelización automática de series diarias de actividad económica"
- 96-10 (04) Alvaro Escribano  
"Funciones de exportación e importación en España: una evaluación econométrica"
- 96-12 (05) Antoni Espasa  
"Inflación y política económica"
- 96-13 (06) Fernando Lorenzo y José M. Revuelta  
"TRAMO Y SEATS: un marco completo para el análisis univariante y la extracción de señales de series temporales"
- 96-15 (07) Antoni Espasa  
"Inflación, política económica, tipos de interés y expectativas"

## REPRINT 1996

Antoni Espasa y José Manuel Martínez  
"Fundamentos para la recuperación del crecimiento económico en 1996"

Working Paper 97-57  
Economics Series 28  
July 1997

Departamento de Economía  
Universidad Carlos III de Madrid  
Calle Madrid, 126  
28903 Getafe (Spain)  
Fax (341) 624-98-75

## THE ASYMPTOTIC NUCLEOLUS OF LARGE MONOPOLISTIC GAMES\*

Ezra Einy, Diego Moreno and Benyamin Shitovitz<sup>†</sup>

### Abstract

---

We study the asymptotic nucleolus of large differentiable monopolistic games. We show that if  $v$  is a monopolistic game which is a composition of a non-decreasing concave and differentiable function with a vector of measures, then  $v$  has an asymptotic nucleolus. We also provide an explicit formula for the asymptotic nucleolus of  $v$  and show that it coincides with the center of symmetry of the subset of the core of  $v$  in which all the monopolists obtain the same payoff. We apply this result to large monopolistic market games to obtain a relationship between the asymptotic nucleolus of the game and the competitive payoff distributions of the market.

---

Keywords: Monopolistic market games; Asymptotic nucleolus; Core; Competitive payoffs.

<sup>†</sup> Einy, Department of Economics, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Beer Sheva, Israel 84105; Moreno, Departamento de Economía, Universidad Carlos III de Madrid; Shitovitz, Department of Economics, University of Haifa, Israel 31905.

\* Part of this work was done while Einy and Shitovitz visited the Department of Economics at the Universidad Carlos III de Madrid. The support of the Department is gratefully acknowledged. Moreno acknowledges support of the Ministerio de Trabajo y Asuntos Sociales through funds administered by the Cátedra Gumersindo de Azcárate and from DGICYT grand PB94-0378.

## §1 - Introduction

Monopolistic coalitional games (or more generally, mixed games) describe situations in which some of the players are "small," i.e., individually insignificant, whereas others are "large," i.e., individually significant. The main purpose of this work is to study the asymptotic nucleolus in such games. Since Shitovitz's (1973) seminal paper (which analyzed the core of large oligopolistic markets), many works on mixed markets have been written (for a comprehensive survey see Gabszewicz and Shitovitz (1992)). Guesnerie (1977) and Gardner (1977) investigated the asymptotic behavior of the Shapley value in such markets. Legros (1989) deals with the nucleolus of a bilateral market with two complementary commodities. In this work we study the asymptotic nucleolus of large differentiable monopolistic coalitional games.

Mathematically, we shall present the set of players by a measure space in which the small players form a non-atomic part and in which the large players are atoms. We assume that any atom has a monopolistic power, that is, the worth of a coalition which does not contain all the atoms is zero. In the asymptotic approach, a game with an infinite set of players is regarded as a limit of games with a finite set of players.

We first prove (see Section 3) that if  $\nu$  is a monopolistic game of the form  $\nu = f \circ \mu$ , where  $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m)$  is a vector of measures and  $f: \mathfrak{R}_+^m \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}_+$  is a non-decreasing concave function which is continuously differentiable in the interior of  $\mathfrak{R}_+^m$ , then the game  $\nu$  has an asymptotic nucleolus. We also provide an explicit formula for the asymptotic nucleolus. This formula implies that it coincides with the center of symmetry of the subset of the core of  $\nu$  in which all the atoms receive the same payoff. Actually, we prove a stronger result, namely that every sequence of payoff vectors which belongs to the kernels of any admissible sequence of finite

partition games which approximate the game  $v$  converges to the center of symmetry of the above mentioned subset of the core of  $v$  (see Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.3).

We note that any game of the above-mentioned form can be viewed as a large production game, where  $\mu$  is the distribution of the production factors among the owners and  $f$  is the production function.

In Section 4 we apply the above-mentioned result to large monopolistic market games. We prove that under some mild conditions (on the utility functions of the traders) the asymptotic nucleolus of the transferable utility monopolistic market game which is associated with an economy with a finite number of types exists and coincides on the atomless part of the players' space with half of a competitive payoff distribution of the economy (see Proposition 4.1 and Theorem 4.3).

## §2 - Preliminaries

In this section we define the basic notions which are relevant to our work. Let  $(T, \Sigma)$  be a measurable space, i.e.,  $T$  is a set and  $\Sigma$  is a  $\sigma$ -field of subsets of  $T$ . We refer to the member of  $T$  as *players* and to those of  $\Sigma$  as *coalitions*. A *coalitional game*, or simply a *game* on  $(T, \Sigma)$ , is a function  $v: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$  with  $v(\emptyset) = 0$ . If  $T$  is finite and  $\Sigma = 2^T$  is the set of all subsets of  $T$ , the game  $v$  will be called a *finite game*. A game  $v$  is *superadditive* if  $v(S_1 \cup S_2) \geq v(S_1) + v(S_2)$  whenever  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are disjoint coalitions. A *payoff measure* in a game  $v$  on  $(T, \Sigma)$  is a bounded finitely additive measure  $\lambda: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$  which satisfies  $\lambda(T) \leq v(T)$ .

We denote by  $ba = ba(T, \Sigma)$  the Banach space of all bounded finitely additive measures on  $(T, \Sigma)$  with the variation norm. The subspace of  $ba$  which consists of all bounded countably additive measures on  $(T, \Sigma)$  is denoted by  $ca = ca(T, \Sigma)$ . If  $\lambda$  is a measure in  $ca$  then  $ca(\lambda) = ca(T, \Sigma, \lambda)$  denotes the set of all members of  $ca$  which

are absolutely continuous with respect to  $\lambda$ . If  $A$  is a subset of an ordered vector space we denote by  $A_+$  the set of all non-negative members of  $A$ .

Let  $K$  be a convex subset of an Euclidean space and let  $f: K \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$  be a concave function. A vector  $p$  is a *supergradient* of  $f$  at  $x \in K$  if  $f(y) - f(x) \leq p \cdot (y - x)$  for all  $y \in K$ . The set of all supergradients of  $f$  at  $x$  will be denoted by  $\partial f(x)$ . It is well known that if  $x$  is an interior point of  $K$  then  $\partial f(x) \neq \emptyset$  and  $f$  is differentiable at  $x$  iff it has a unique supergradient at  $x$  which, in this case, coincides with the *gradient* vector.

For two vectors  $x, y$  in  $\mathfrak{R}^m$  we write  $x \geq y$  to mean  $x_i \geq y_i$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq m$ ,  $x > y$  to mean  $x \geq y$  and  $x \neq y$ , and  $x \gg y$  to mean  $x_i > y_i$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . A function  $f$  defined on a set  $A \subset \mathfrak{R}^m$  is called *non-decreasing* if for every  $x, y \in A$  we have  $x \geq y$  implies  $f(x) \geq f(y)$ . It is called *increasing* if, in addition,  $x > y$  implies  $f(x) > f(y)$ .

### §3 - The Asymptotic Behavior of the Kernel and the Nucleolus in Mixed Games

In this section we investigate the asymptotic behavior of the kernel and the nucleolus in a class of mixed games.

Let  $\nu$  be a finite game (that is,  $T$  is finite and  $\Sigma = 2^T$ ). If  $x \in \mathfrak{R}^{|T|}$  and  $S \subset T$  we define  $x(S) = \sum_{i \in S} x_i$  if  $S \neq \emptyset$ , and  $x(\emptyset) = 0$ . Denote

$$I(\nu) = \left\{ x \in \mathfrak{R}^{|T|} \mid x_i \geq \nu(\{i\}) \text{ for every } i \in T \text{ and } x(T) = \nu(T) \right\}$$

and

$$I^*(\nu) = \left\{ x \in \mathfrak{R}^{|T|} \mid x(T) = \nu(T) \right\}.$$

For every  $i, j \in T$ ,  $i \neq j$  and  $x \in \mathfrak{R}^{|T|}$  define

$$s_{ij}(x) = \max\{v(S) - x(S) \mid S \subset T, i \in S \text{ and } j \notin S\}$$

The *prekernel* of the game  $v$  is the set

$$PK(v) = \left\{ x \in I^*(v) \mid s_{ij}(x) = s_{ji}(x) \forall i, j \in T, i \neq j \right\}.$$

The *kernel* of the game  $v$  is the set

$$K(v) = \left\{ x \in I(v) \mid (s_{ij}(x) - s_{ji}(x)) (x_j - v(\{j\})) \leq 0 \quad \forall i, j \in T, i \neq j \right\}.$$

It is well known that if  $v$  is a finite game which is zero monotonic (that is,  $v(S \cup \{i\}) \geq v(S) + v(\{i\})$  for every  $S \subset T$  and  $i \in T \setminus S$ ), then  $PK(v)$  and  $K(v)$  coincide (see Theorem 2.7 in Maschler, Peleg and Shapley (1972)). For a further discussion of the kernel the reader is referred to Maschler (1992).

Let  $v$  be a finite game. For every  $x \in I(v)$ , let  $\theta(x)$  be a  $2^{|T|}$ -tuple whose components are the numbers  $v(S) - x(S)$ ,  $S \subset T$ , arranged in non-increasing order, i.e.,  $\theta_i(x) \geq \theta_j(x)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$ . The *nucleolus* of the game  $v$ , denoted by  $Nv$ , is the payoff vector which is "closest" to  $v$  in the sense that  $\theta(Nv)$  is the minimum in the lexicographic order of the set  $\{\theta(x) \mid x \in I(v)\}$ . It is well known that the nucleolus of a finite game  $v$  always exists when  $I(v) \neq \emptyset$  and it consists of a unique point which belongs to the kernel of  $v$  (e.g., Schmeidler (1969)).

In the rest of the paper we assume that a fixed measure  $\lambda \in ca_+(T, \Sigma)$  is given. We interpret  $\lambda$  as a *population measure*, that is, if  $S$  is a coalition, then  $\lambda(S)$  is the proportion of the total population which is contained in  $S$ . We also assume that  $T$  can be represented in the form  $T = T_0 \cup T_1$ , where  $T_0$  and  $T_1$  are non-empty disjoint coalitions, the restriction of  $\lambda$  to  $(T_0, \Sigma_{T_0})$  is non-atomic (where, here and in the

sequel, if  $S$  is a coalition  $\Sigma_S = \{Q \in \Sigma \mid Q \subset S\}$  and  $T_I$  is a finite set of atoms of  $\lambda$  such that every subset of  $T_I$  is in  $\Sigma$ .

Let  $v$  be a game on  $(T, \Sigma)$  and let  $\pi$  be a finite subfield of  $\Sigma$ . The set of all atoms of  $\pi$  is denoted by  $A_\pi$ . The set of all subsets of  $A_\pi$  is identified naturally with  $\pi$ , and thus a finite game with a set of players  $A_\pi$  is identified with a function  $w: \pi \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$  with  $w(\emptyset) = 0$ . The restriction of the game  $v$  to  $\pi$  is denoted by  $v_\pi$ . An *admissible sequence* of finite fields is an increasing sequence  $(\pi_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  of finite subfields of  $\Sigma$  such that every subset of  $T_I$  is in  $\pi_I$  and  $\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty \pi_n$  generates  $\Sigma$ .

Let  $v$  be a superadditive game on  $(T, \Sigma)$ . It is said that  $v$  has an asymptotic nucleolus if there exists a game  $\psi v$  such that, for every admissible sequence of finite fields  $(\pi_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  and every  $S$  in  $\pi_I$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Nv_{\pi_n}(S)$  exists and equals  $\psi v(S)$ . It follows that  $\psi v \in ba$ , and it is called the *asymptotic nucleolus* of the game  $v$ .

The asymptotic approach was introduced in Kannai (1966) in the context of the Shapley value of non-atomic games (see also chapter III of Aumann and Shapley (1974)).

We are now ready to state and prove the main result of this section.

### Theorem 3.1

Let  $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m)$  be a vector of non-trivial measures in  $ca_+(\lambda)$ . Assume that  $f: \mathfrak{R}_+^m \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}_+$  is a non-decreasing concave function which is continuously differentiable in  $\text{int } \mathfrak{R}_+^m$  and satisfies,  $\nabla f(\mu(T)) \gg 0$  and  $f(\mu(T \setminus \{a\})) = 0$  for every  $a \in T_I$ . Then the game  $v = f \circ \mu$  has an asymptotic nucleolus. Moreover, if

$(\pi_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  is an admissible sequence of finite fields and  $x_n \in K(v_{\pi_n})$  for every  $n$ ,

then for every  $S \in \pi_1$  we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n(S) = \frac{1}{2} \nabla f(\mu(T)) \cdot \mu(S \cap T_o) + \frac{f(\mu(T)) - \frac{1}{2} \nabla f(\mu(T)) \cdot \mu(T_o)}{|T_1|} |S \cap T_1|$$

### Proof

Let  $(\pi_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  be an admissible sequence of finite fields. We first show that if

$$S \in \pi_1 \cap \Sigma_{T_o} \text{ and } x_n \in K(v_{\pi_n}) \text{ for every } n, \text{ then } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n(S) = \frac{1}{2} \nabla f(\mu(T)) \cdot \mu(S).$$

Note that since  $f$  is non-decreasing the game  $v$  is superadditive. Therefore, for every  $n$ , the game  $v_{\pi_n}$  is zero-monotonic, and thus  $K(v_{\pi_n}) = PK(v_{\pi_n})$  for every  $n$ . Let  $n$  be a

fixed natural number and let  $j \in \pi_n \cap \Sigma_{T_o}$ . Assume that  $x_n \in K(v_{\pi_n})$ . Then for

every  $i \in T_1$  we have

$$s_{ji}(x_n) = \max\{v(Q) - x_n(Q) \mid Q \subset \pi_n, j \in Q, \{i\} \notin Q\} = -x_n(j)$$

and

$$s_{ij}(x_n) \geq v(T \setminus j) - x_n(T) + x_n(j) = f(\mu(T \setminus j)) - f(\mu(T)) + x_n(j)$$

Since  $x_n \in PK(v_{\pi_n})$ , we have

$$s_{ij}(x_n) = s_{ji}(x_n).$$

Therefore

$$x_n(j) \leq \frac{1}{2} (f(\mu(T)) - f(\mu(T \setminus j)))$$

Since  $f$  is concave and differentiable,



$$f(\mu(T)) \leq f(\mu(T \setminus j)) + \nabla f(\mu(T \setminus j)) \cdot \mu(j)$$

Thus,

$$(3.1) \quad x_n(j) \leq \frac{1}{2} \nabla f(\mu(T \setminus j)) \cdot \mu(j)$$

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . As  $f$  is continuously differentiable on  $\text{int } \mathfrak{R}_+^m$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$

such that for every  $x \in \mathfrak{R}_+^m$  we have

$$(3.2) \quad \|x - \mu(T)\| < \delta \Rightarrow \nabla f(x) \leq \nabla f(\mu(T)) + \varepsilon e$$

where  $e = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$ . Since  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m$  are absolutely continuous with respect to  $\lambda$  and

the restriction of  $\lambda$  to  $(T_o, \Sigma_{T_o})$  is non-atomic, there exists a natural number  $n_o$  such

that  $\|\mu(j)\| < \delta$  for every  $j \in \pi_{n_o} \cap \Sigma_{T_o}$ . Therefore by (3.1) and (3.2), for every

$n \geq n_o$  and  $j \in \pi_n \cap \Sigma_{T_o}$  we have

$$x_n(j) \leq \frac{1}{2} (\nabla f(\mu(T)) + \varepsilon e) \cdot \mu(j)$$

Let  $S \in \pi_l \cap \Sigma_{T_o}$ . Then  $S$  is the union of members of  $\pi_n$  for every  $n$ .

Therefore for every  $n \geq n_o$

$$x_n(S) \leq \frac{1}{2} (\nabla f(\mu(T)) + \varepsilon e) \cdot \mu(S)$$

Since  $\varepsilon$  is arbitrary, we have

$$\overline{\lim} x_n(S) \leq \frac{1}{2} \nabla f(\mu(T)) \cdot \mu(S)$$

We now show that  $\underline{\lim} x_n(S) \geq \frac{1}{2} \nabla f(\mu(T)) \cdot \mu(S)$ . Since  $f$  is continuously

differentiable on  $\text{int } \mathfrak{R}_+^m$  and  $\nabla f(\mu(T)) \gg 0$ , there exists  $\hat{\delta} > 0$  such that for every

$x \in \mathfrak{R}_+^m$  we have

$$\|x - \mu(T)\| < \hat{\delta} \Rightarrow \nabla f(x) \leq \frac{3}{2} \nabla f(\mu(T)).$$

Let  $n_1$  be a natural number such that  $\|\mu(j)\| < \hat{\delta}$  for every  $j \in \pi_{n_1} \cap \Sigma_{T_o}$ .

Then

$$\nabla f(\mu(T \setminus j)) \leq \frac{3}{2} \nabla f(\mu(T)).$$

Therefore by (3.1), for every  $n \geq n_1$  and  $j \in \pi_n \cap \Sigma_{T_o}$  we have

$$x_n(j) \leq \frac{3}{4} \nabla f(\mu(T)) \cdot \mu(j).$$

Hence,

$$(3.3) \quad x_n(S) \leq \frac{3}{4} \nabla f(\mu(T)) \cdot \mu(S)$$

Now there exists a natural number  $n_2 \geq n_1$  such that for every  $n \geq n_2$  and

$j \in \pi_n \cap \Sigma_{T_o}$  we have

$$(3.4) \quad x_n(j) < \frac{1}{|T_I|} f\left(\frac{1}{4} \mu(T)\right)$$

(note that since  $f$  is concave,  $f\left(\frac{1}{4} \mu(T)\right) \geq \frac{1}{4} f(\mu(T)) > 0$ ).

Let  $n \geq n_2$  be fixed and let  $i \in T_I$  and  $j \in \pi_n \cap \Sigma_{T_o}$ . Choose  $Q_n \subset \pi_n$  such that  $\{i\} \in Q_n, j \notin Q_n$  and

$$v_{\pi_n}(Q_n) - x_n(Q_n) = \max\left\{v_{\pi_n}(Q) - x_n(Q) \mid Q \subset \pi_n, \{i\} \in Q, j \notin Q\right\}$$

As  $x_n \in K(v_{\pi_n})$ , then  $v_{\pi_n}(Q_n) - x_n(Q_n) = -x_n(j)$ .

Let  $S_n = \bigcup_{l \in Q_n} l$ . We show that  $S_n \supset T_I$ . Assume not. Then  $v(S_n) = 0$ , and

thus  $x_n(j) = x_n(S_n) \geq x_n(\{i\})$ . Since all the players in  $T_I$  are interchangeable in the game  $v_{\pi_n}$  (two players in a finite game are interchangeable if they have the same

marginal contribution to every coalition which does not contain them), they get the

same payoff in every member of  $K(v_{\pi_n})$ . Hence,

$$f(\mu(T)) = x_n(T) = |T_I| x_n(\{i\}) + x_n(T_o).$$

By (3.3),  $x_n(T_o) \leq \frac{3}{4} \nabla f(\mu(T)) \cdot \mu(T_o)$ . Therefore,

$$x_n(\{i\}) \geq \frac{f(\mu(T)) - \frac{3}{4} \nabla f(\mu(T)) \cdot \mu(T_o)}{|T_I|}$$

Since  $f$  is concave and differentiable,

$$f(\mu(T)) - \frac{3}{4} \nabla f(\mu(T)) \cdot \mu(T_o) \geq f(\mu(T) - \frac{3}{4} \mu(T_o)) \geq f(\frac{1}{4} \mu(T))$$

Thus,  $x_n(\{i\}) \geq \frac{1}{|T_I|} f(\frac{1}{4} \mu(T))$ . Since  $x_n(j) \geq x_n(\{i\})$ , this contradicts (3.4).

Therefore  $S_n \supset T_I$ , and thus there exists  $\hat{S}_n \in \Sigma_{T_o}$  such that  $S_n = (T \setminus j) \cup \hat{S}_n$ . Hence,

$$-x_n(j) = v(S_n) - x_n(S_n) = f(\mu(T) - \mu(j) - \mu(\hat{S}_n)) - f(\mu(T)) + x_n(j) + x_n(\hat{S}_n)$$

Thus

$$x_n(j) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ f(\mu(T)) - f(\mu(T) - \mu(j) - \mu(\hat{S}_n)) - x_n(\hat{S}_n) \right]$$

By (3.3)

$$x_n(\hat{S}_n) \leq \frac{3}{4} \nabla f(\mu(T)) \cdot \mu(\hat{S}_n).$$

Since  $f$  is concave,

$$f(\mu(T)) - f(\mu(T) - \mu(j) - \mu(\hat{S}_n)) \geq \nabla f(\mu(T)) \cdot (\mu(j) + \mu(\hat{S}_n))$$

Therefore,

$$x_n(j) \geq \frac{1}{2} \left[ \nabla f(\mu(T)) \cdot \mu(j) + \frac{1}{4} \nabla f(\mu(T)) \cdot \mu(\hat{S}_n) \right] \geq \frac{1}{2} \nabla f(\mu(T)) \cdot \mu(j).$$

Hence,

$$x_n(S) \geq \frac{1}{2} \nabla f(\mu(T)) \cdot \mu(S) \text{ for every } n \geq n_2.$$

This implies that  $\lim x_n(S) \geq \frac{1}{2} \nabla f(\mu(T)) \cdot \mu(S)$ .

Assume now that  $S \in \pi_I$  is any coalition. Then for every natural number  $n$  we have

$$x_n(S) = x_n(S \cap T_o) + x_n(S \cap T_I)$$

Let  $t_n$  be the payoff which is assigned by  $x_n$  to a player in  $T_I$ . Then

$$v(T) = x_n(T) = |T_I| t_n + x_n(T_o) \Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = \frac{v(T) - \frac{1}{2} \nabla f(\mu(T)) \cdot \mu(T_o)}{|T_I|}$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n(S) = \frac{1}{2} \nabla f(\mu(T)) \cdot \mu(S \cap T_o) + \frac{v(T) - \frac{1}{2} \nabla f(\mu(T)) \cdot \mu(T_o)}{|T_I|} |S \cap T_I| \quad \text{Q.E.D.}$$

Let  $v$  be a game on  $(T, \Sigma)$ . The core of  $v$ , denoted by  $Core(v)$ , is the set of all payoff measures  $\mu \in ba$  such that  $\mu(S) \geq v(S)$  for every  $S \in \Sigma$ .

We want to determine the location in the core of the asymptotic nucleolus of a game which satisfies the conditions of Theorem 3.1. We first state and prove a representation theorem for the core of such games.

### Theorem 3.2

Let  $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m)$  be a vector of non-trivial measures in  $ca_+(\lambda)$ . Assume that

$f: \mathfrak{R}_+^m \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}_+$  is a concave function which is differentiable at  $\mu(T)$  and satisfies

$f(\mu(T \setminus \{a\})) = 0$  for every  $a \in T_I$ . Then the core of the game  $v = f \circ \mu$  is given by

$$Core(v) = \left\{ \xi \in ca_+(\lambda) \mid \xi(T) = f(\mu(T)) \text{ and } \forall S \in \Sigma_{T_o}, \xi(S) \leq \nabla f(\mu(T)) \cdot \mu(S) \right\}$$

Proof

Let

$$M(v) = \left\{ \xi \in ca_+(\lambda) \mid \xi(T) = f(\mu(T)) \text{ and } \forall S \in \Sigma_{T_0}, \xi(S) \leq \nabla f(\mu(T)) \cdot \mu(S) \right\}.$$

We will show that  $M(v) = \text{Core}(v)$ . We first show that  $M(v) \subset \text{Core}(v)$ . Let  $\xi \in M(v)$  and  $S \in \Sigma$ . Now if  $S$  does not include  $T_I$  then  $v(S) = 0$  and clearly,  $\xi(S) \geq v(S)$ . If  $S \supset T_I$  then  $T \setminus S \subset T_0$ . As  $\xi \in M(v)$ ,

$$\xi(T \setminus S) \leq \nabla f(\mu(T)) \cdot \mu(T \setminus S).$$

Therefore

$$\xi(S) = \xi(T) - \xi(T \setminus S) \geq \xi(T) - \nabla f(\mu(T)) \cdot \mu(T \setminus S) = f(\mu(T)) - \nabla f(\mu(T)) \cdot \mu(T \setminus S).$$

As  $f$  is concave,

$$v(S) = f(\mu(S)) \leq f(\mu(T)) - \nabla f(\mu(T)) \cdot \mu(T \setminus S).$$

Hence,  $\xi(S) \geq v(S)$ , and thus  $\xi \in \text{Core}(v)$ .

It remains to show that  $\text{Core}(v) \subset M(v)$ . Let  $\xi \in \text{Core}(v)$ . Then for every  $S \in \Sigma$  we have

$$(3.4) \quad 0 \leq \xi(S) \leq \xi(T) - v(T \setminus S).$$

As  $f$  is continuous at  $\mu(T)$  and  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m$  are in  $ca_+(\lambda)$ , the inequality in (3.4) implies that

$\xi \in ca_+(\lambda)$ . Since the restriction of  $\lambda$  to  $(T_0, \Sigma_{T_0})$  is non-atomic, the restrictions of

$\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m$  and  $\xi$  to  $(T_0, \Sigma_{T_0})$  are also non-atomic. Let  $S \in \Sigma_{T_0}$ . We will show that

$\xi(S) \leq \nabla f(\mu(T)) \cdot \mu(S)$ . By Lyapunov's theorem, for every  $0 < \alpha < 1$  there exists a coalition

$S_\alpha \in \Sigma_{T_0}$  such that  $\mu(S_\alpha) = \alpha\mu(S)$  and  $\xi(S_\alpha) = \alpha\xi(S)$ . As  $f$  is differentiable at  $\mu(T)$ , for

every  $0 < \alpha < 1$  we have

$$f(\mu(T \setminus S_\alpha)) = f(\mu(T)) - \alpha \nabla f(\mu(T)) \cdot \mu(S) + o(\alpha).$$

As  $\xi \in \text{Core}(v)$ , we have

$$\xi(S_\alpha) = \xi(T) - \xi(T \setminus S_\alpha) \leq f(\mu(T)) - f(\mu(T \setminus S_\alpha)).$$

Hence,

$$\xi(S) \leq \nabla f(\mu(T)) \cdot \mu(S) + g(\alpha),$$

where  $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} g(\alpha) = 0$ . Therefore  $\xi(S) \leq \nabla f(\mu(T)) \cdot \mu(S)$ , and the proof is complete. Q.E.D.

Let  $A$  be a subset of a linear space. A point  $x_o \in A$  is called a *center of symmetry* of  $A$  if for every  $x \in A$ , the point  $2x_o - x$  also belongs to  $A$ . Note that if  $A$  is bounded, there may be at most one center of symmetry.

The following corollary is a direct consequence of Theorems 3.1 and 3.2.

### Corollary 3.3

Let  $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m)$  be a vector of non-trivial measures in  $ca_+(\lambda)$ . Assume that  $f: \mathfrak{R}_+^m \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}_+$  is a non-decreasing concave function which is differentiable in  $\text{int } \mathfrak{R}_+^m$  and satisfies,  $\nabla f(\mu(T)) \gg 0$  and  $f(\mu(T \setminus \{a\})) = 0$  for every  $a \in T_1$ . Then the asymptotic nucleolus of the game  $v = f \circ \mu$  coincides with the center of symmetry of the subset of the core of  $v$  in which all the members of  $T_1$  receive the same payoff.

### §4 - Market Games

In this section we apply Theorem 3.1 to games which arise in economic applications.

We consider a pure exchange economy  $E$  in which the commodity space is  $\mathfrak{R}_+^m$ . The traders' space is represented by the measure space  $(T, \mathcal{S}, \lambda)$ . We assume again that  $T = T_o \cup T_1$ , where  $T_o$  and  $T_1$  are non-empty and disjoint coalitions,  $T_1$  is a finite set of

atoms of  $\lambda$  such that every subset of  $T_I$  is in  $\Sigma$ , and the restriction of  $\lambda$  to  $(T_o, \Sigma_{T_o})$  is non-atomic. We will interpret the members of  $T_I$  as monopolists. Every trader  $t \in T$  has a utility function  $u_t: \mathfrak{R}_+^m \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}_+$ . An assignment in  $E$  is an integrable function  $x: T \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}_+^m$ . There is a fixed initial assignment  $\omega$  ( $\omega(t)$  represents the initial bundle density of trader  $t$ ). An allocation is an assignment  $x$  such that  $\int_T x d\lambda \leq \int_T \omega d\lambda$ . A transferable utility competitive equilibrium (t.u.c.e.) of the economy  $E$  is a pair  $(x, p)$ , where  $x$  is an allocation and  $p \in \mathfrak{R}_+^m$ , such that for all  $t \in T$ ,  $u_t(x) - p \cdot (x - \omega(t))$  attains its maximum (over  $\mathfrak{R}_+^m$ ) at  $x = x(t)$ . The measure  $\varphi(S) = \int_S [u_t(x(t)) - p \cdot (x(t) - \omega(t))] d\lambda$  (when the function  $u_t(x(t))$  is integrable) is called the competitive payoff distribution; and  $p$  is the vector competitive prices. We assume the following

$$(4.1) \quad \int_T \omega d\lambda \gg 0$$

(4.2) For every trader  $a \in T_I$  there exists a commodity  $1 \leq k_a \leq m$  such that  $\omega_{k_a}(t) = 0$  for every  $t \in T \setminus \{a\}$  (where  $\omega_{k_a}$  denotes the  $k_a$ -component of  $\omega$ ).

The meaning of (4.2) is that every atom of  $\lambda$  has a corner on one of the commodities in the economy.

We restrict our analysis to two cases: (1) when every trader in  $E$  has the same utility function and (2) when  $E$  has a finite number of types.

Denote by  $U$  the set of all functions  $u: \mathfrak{R}_+^m \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}_+$  which are continuous and concave on  $\mathfrak{R}_+^m$ , continuously differentiable and increasing on the interior of  $\mathfrak{R}_+^m$  and vanish on the boundary of  $\mathfrak{R}_+^m$ . Note that any differentiable neoclassical utility function is in  $U$  (see Definition 1.4.2 in Aliprantis, Brown and Burkinshaw (1989)).

We first study the case in which all the traders in the economy  $E$  has the same utility function  $u: \mathfrak{R}_+^m \rightarrow R_+$ . We assume that  $u \in U$  and that  $u$  is homogeneous of degree one on  $\mathfrak{R}_+^m$  (note that, for example, any Cobb-Douglas utility function satisfies these assumptions). The *Aumann-Shapley Shubik market game* which is associated with the economy  $E$  (see Shapley and Shubik (1969) and Section 30 of Aumann and Shapley (1974)) in this special case is defined by

$$(4.3) \quad v(S) = \sup \left\{ \int_S u(x(t)) d\lambda \mid x \text{ is an assignment such that } \int_S x d\lambda = \int_S \omega d\lambda \right\}$$

Proposition 4.1

*Assume that the economy  $E$  satisfies (4.1) and (4.2) and that every trader in  $E$  has the same utility function  $u \in U$  which is also homogeneous of degree one. Then the market game  $v$  which is defined in (4.3) has an asymptotic nucleolus  $\psi v$  which is given by*

$$(4.4) \quad \psi v(S) = \frac{1}{2} \nabla u \left( \int_T \omega d\lambda \right) \cdot \int_{S \cap T_0} \omega d\lambda + \frac{u \left( \int_T \omega d\lambda \right) - \frac{1}{2} \nabla u \left( \int_T \omega d\lambda \right) \cdot \int_{T_0} \omega d\lambda}{|T_I|} |S \cap T_I|$$

*Moreover, there exists a competitive payoff distribution  $\phi$  which corresponds to a t.u.c.e. of*

*$E$  such that  $\psi v(S) = \frac{1}{2} \phi(S)$  for every  $S \in \Sigma_{T_0}$ .*

Proof

We first note that for every  $S \in \Sigma$ ,  $v(S) = u \left( \int_S \omega d\lambda \right)$ . Indeed, let  $S \in \Sigma$ . Then by the definition of  $v$ , we have  $v(S) \geq u \left( \int_S \omega d\lambda \right)$ . Since  $u$  is concave and homogeneous of degree one, by Jensen's inequality, for every assignment  $x$  such that  $\int_S x d\lambda = \int_S \omega d\lambda$  we have  $\int_S u(x(t)) d\lambda \leq u \left( \int_S \omega d\lambda \right)$ . Therefore  $v(S) = u \left( \int_S \omega d\lambda \right)$ . Now, since  $u$  vanishes on the boundary of  $\mathfrak{R}_+^m$ , by (4.2), for every  $a \in T_I$  we have  $v(T \setminus \{a\}) = u \left( \int_{T \setminus \{a\}} \omega d\lambda \right) = 0$ .



Also the assumption that  $u$  is increasing in the interior of  $\mathfrak{R}_+^m$  implies that  $\nabla u(\int_T \omega d\lambda) \gg 0$ .

Thus the game  $v$  satisfies the requirements of Theorem 3.1 and therefore (4.4) is satisfied. Let

$b = \int_T \omega d\lambda$ . Since  $u$  is homogeneous of degree one, by Euler's theorem  $\nabla u(b) \cdot b = u(b)$ . As

$u$  is concave, for every  $x \in \mathfrak{R}_+^m$  we have

$$u(x) \leq u(b) + \nabla u(b) \cdot (x - b) = \nabla u(b) \cdot x.$$

Therefore  $\max_{x \in \mathfrak{R}_+^m} (u(x) - \nabla u(b) \cdot x) = 0$ . Consequently, for every  $t \in T$  we have

$$\max_{x \in \mathfrak{R}_+^m} (u(x) - \nabla u(b) \cdot (x - \omega(t))) = \nabla u(b) \cdot \omega(t).$$

Let  $\varphi = \nabla u(b) \cdot \int \omega d\lambda$ . Then  $\varphi$  is a competitive payoff distribution in  $E$  and  $\psi v(S) = \frac{1}{2} \varphi(S)$

for every  $S \in \Sigma_{T_0}$ . Q.E.D.

We now analyze the case when there is a finite number of traders' types in the economy  $E$ . Two traders are of the *same type* if they have identical initial bundles and identical utility functions. We assume that the number of different types of traders in  $T_0$  is  $n$ . For every  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , we denote by  $S_i$  the set of traders in  $T_0$  which are of type  $i$ . We assume that  $S_i$  is measurable (i.e.,  $S_i \in \Sigma$ ) and  $\lambda(S_i) > 0$ . The utility function of the traders of type  $i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) is denoted by  $u_i$ , and their initial bundle by  $\omega_i$ . We assume that for every  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $u_i \in U$  and in addition  $u_i$  is homogeneous of degree one. We also assume that for every  $a \in T_1$  the utility function  $u_a$  of the trader  $a$  is in  $U$  (but not necessarily homogeneous of degree one). The Aumann-Shapley-Shubik market game which is associated with the economy  $E$  in this case of finite number of types is

$$(4.5) \quad v(S) = \sup \left\{ \sum_{a \in S \cap T_I} \lambda(\{a\}) u_a(x(a)) + \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{S \cap S_i} u_i(x(t)) d\lambda \mid x \in X(S) \right\}$$

where,  $X(S) = \left\{ x \mid x \text{ is an assignment such that } \int_S x d\lambda = \int_S \omega d\lambda \right\}$ .

Define a function  $f: \mathfrak{R}_+^m \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}_+$  by

$$(4.6) \quad f(y) = \max \left\{ \sum_{a \in T_I} \lambda(\{a\}) u_a(x_a) + \sum_{i=1}^n u_i(x_i) \mid x_a, x_i \in \mathfrak{R}_+^m, \sum_{a \in T_I} \lambda(\{a\}) x_a + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \leq y \right\}$$

Since the utility functions of the traders are continuous and concave, it is easy to see that  $f$  is well defined and concave on  $\mathfrak{R}_+^m$ .

#### Lemma 4.2

Let  $v$  be the market game in (4.5), then  $v(S) = f(\int_S \omega d\lambda)$  for every  $S \in \mathcal{S}$ ,

where  $f$  is given by (4.6).

#### Proof

Let  $S \in \mathcal{S}$ . Assume first that  $S$  does not include  $T_I$ . Then by (4.2),  $\int_S \omega d\lambda$  belongs to the boundary of  $\mathfrak{R}_+^m$ . Since the utility functions of the traders in  $T$  vanish on the boundary of  $\mathfrak{R}_+^m$ , we have  $v(S) = 0$  and  $f(\int_S \omega d\lambda) = 0$ . So assume that  $S \supset T_I$ .

We first show that  $v(S) \geq f(\int_S \omega d\lambda)$ . Let  $(x_a)_{a \in T_I}$  and  $(x_i)_{i=1}^n$  such that

$$f(\int_S \omega d\lambda) = \sum_{a \in T_I} \lambda(\{a\}) u_a(x_a) + \sum_{i=1}^n u_i(x_i).$$

Define an assignment  $x$  by  $x(t) = x_i$  if  $t \in T_I$  and for every  $t \in S_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ )

$$x(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{t}{\lambda(S \cap S_i)} x_i & \text{if } \lambda(S \cap S_i) > 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\int_S x \, d\lambda = \sum_{a \in T_1} \lambda(\{a\}) x_a + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \leq \int_S \omega \, d\lambda$$

Therefore  $v(S) \geq \int_S u_t(x(t)) \, d\lambda$ . Since the  $u_i$  are homogeneous of degree one,

$$\int_S u_t(x(t)) \, d\lambda = \sum_{a \in T_1} \lambda(\{a\}) u_a(x_a) + \sum_{i=1}^n u_i(x_i) = f\left(\int_S \omega \, d\lambda\right)$$

It remains to show that  $v(S) \leq f\left(\int_S \omega \, d\lambda\right)$ . Let  $x$  be an assignment such that

$\int_S x \, d\lambda = \int_S \omega \, d\lambda$ . For every  $a \in T_1$  let  $x_a = x(a)$  and for every  $1 \leq i \leq n$  let

$x_i = \int_{S \cap S_i} x \, d\lambda$ . Then

$$\sum_{a \in T_1} \lambda(\{a\}) x_a + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = \int_S x \, d\lambda = \int_S \omega \, d\lambda$$

Therefore by the definition of  $f$ , we have

$$f\left(\int_S \omega \, d\lambda\right) \geq \sum_{a \in T_1} \lambda(\{a\}) u_a(x_a) + \sum_{i=1}^n u_i(x_i)$$

Since the  $u_i$  are concave and homogeneous of degree one,

$$\sum_{a \in T_1} \lambda(\{a\}) u_a(x_a) + \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{S \cap S_i} u_i(x(t)) \, d\lambda \leq \sum_{a \in T_1} \lambda(\{a\}) u_a(x_a) + \sum_{i=1}^n u_i(x_i)$$

As  $x$  was an arbitrary assignment which satisfies  $\int_S x \, d\lambda = \int_S \omega \, d\lambda$ , we obtain that

$$v(S) \leq f\left(\int_S \omega \, d\lambda\right).$$

Lemma 4.3

The function  $f$  which is defined in (4.6) is continuously differentiable on  $\text{int } \mathfrak{R}_+^m$  and  $\nabla f(\int_T \omega d\lambda) \gg 0$ .

Proof

We first show that  $f$  is differentiable at every point in the interior of  $\mathfrak{R}_+^m$ . Let  $y^* \in \text{int } \mathfrak{R}_+^m$ . Then from the definition of  $f$  it is clear that  $f(y^*) > 0$ . Since  $f$  is concave on  $\mathfrak{R}_+^m$ , it is sufficient to show that  $\partial f(y^*)$  consists of a unique point. Let

$(x_a^*)_{a \in T_I}$  and  $(x_i^*)_{i=1}^n$  be such that

$$f(y^*) = \sum_{a \in T_I} \lambda(\{a\}) u_a(x_a^*) + \sum_{i=1}^n u_i(x_i^*)$$

Since the utility functions of the traders are non-decreasing, we have

$$\sum_{a \in T_I} \lambda(\{a\}) x_a^* + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^* = y^*$$

Since  $f(y^*) > 0$ , the assumption that the utility functions of the traders vanish on the boundary of  $\mathfrak{R}_+^m$  implies that there exists  $j \in T_I \cup \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $x_j^* \in \text{int } \mathfrak{R}_+^m$ .

Assume first that  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . We will show that  $\partial f(y^*) \subset \partial u_j(x_j^*)$ . Let  $p \in \partial f(y^*)$ .

Then for every  $x \in \mathfrak{R}_+^m$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
u_j(x) - u_j(x_j^*) &= u_j(x) + \sum_{a \in T_I} \lambda(\{a\}) u_a(x_a^*) + \sum_{i \neq j} u_i(x_i^*) \\
&\quad - u_j(x_j^*) - \sum_{a \in T_I} \lambda(\{a\}) u_a(x_a^*) - \sum_{i \neq j} u_i(x_i^*) \leq \\
f(x + \sum_{a \in T_I} \lambda(\{a\}) x_a^* + \sum_{i \neq j} x_i^*) - f(y^*) &\leq p \cdot (x - x_j^*).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus  $p \in \partial u_j(x_j^*)$  and  $\partial f(y^*) \subset \partial u_j(x_j^*)$ . Since  $u_j$  is differentiable at  $x_j^*$ , we have

$$\partial u_j(x_j^*) = \{\nabla u_j(x_j^*)\}. \text{ As } \partial f(y^*) \neq \emptyset, \text{ we have } \partial f(y^*) = \{\nabla u_j(x_j^*)\}. \text{ If } j \in T_I,$$

for every  $x \in \mathfrak{R}_+^m$  we define  $\bar{u}_j(x) = \lambda(\{j\}) u_j(x)$ . Then the above argument implies

$$\text{that } \partial f(y^*) = \{\nabla \bar{u}_j(x_j^*)\}. \text{ Thus, in any case } \partial f(y^*) \text{ consists of a unique point, and}$$

therefore  $f$  is differentiable at  $y^*$ . The assumption that the utility functions of the

traders are increasing in  $\text{int } \mathfrak{R}_+^m$  implies that  $\nabla f(\int_T \omega d\lambda) \gg 0$ . Now since  $f$  is

concave on  $\mathfrak{R}_+^m$ , it is continuous on  $\text{int } \mathfrak{R}_+^m$ . Moreover, since the utility functions of

the traders vanish on the boundary of  $\mathfrak{R}_+^m$  it is easy to see that  $f$  is also continuous on

the boundary of  $\mathfrak{R}_+^m$ . Now Proposition 39.1 of Aumann and Shapley (1974) asserts

that any continuous concave function on  $\mathfrak{R}_+^m$  which is differentiable on  $\text{int } \mathfrak{R}_+^m$  is

continuously differentiable in  $\text{int } \mathfrak{R}_+^m$ . Therefore  $f$  is continuously differentiable on

$\text{int } \mathfrak{R}_+^m$ . Q.E.D.

We are now ready to state and prove the main result of this section.

Theorem 4.4

Assume that the economy  $E$  satisfies (4.1), (4.2) and also

- (1) There is a finite number  $n$  of traders' types in  $T_0$ .
- (2) The utility functions  $u_1, \dots, u_n$  of the traders in  $T_0$  are in  $U$  and in addition they are homogeneous of degree one on  $\mathfrak{R}_+^m$ .

- (3) The utility functions  $\{u_a\}_{a \in T_1}$  of the traders in  $T_1$  are in  $U$ .

Let  $f$  be the function which is given by (4.6). Then the market game  $v$  which is defined in (4.5) has an asymptotic nucleolus  $\psi v$  which is given by

$$(4.7) \quad \psi v(S) = \frac{1}{2} \nabla f \left( \int_T \omega d\lambda \right) \cdot \int_{S \cap T_0} \omega d\lambda + \frac{f \left( \int_T \omega d\lambda \right) - \frac{1}{2} \nabla f \left( \int_T \omega d\lambda \right) \cdot \int_{T_0} \omega d\lambda}{|T_1|} |S \cap T_1|.$$

Moreover, there exists a competitive payoff distribution  $\varphi$  which corresponds to a t.u.c.e. in the economy  $E$  such that  $\psi v(S) = \frac{1}{2} \varphi(S)$  for every  $S \in \Sigma_{T_0}$ .

Proof

(4.7) follows from Theorem 3.1 and Lemmata 4.2 and 4.3. Denote

$b = \int_T \omega d\lambda$ . Let  $(x_a^*)_{a \in T_1}$  and  $(x_i^*)_{i=1}^n$  be such that

$$f(b) = \sum_{a \in T_1} \lambda(\{a\}) u_a(x_a^*) + \sum_{i=1}^n u_i(x_i^*). \text{ For every } t \in T, \text{ let } x^*(t) = \begin{cases} x_t^* & t \in T_1 \\ x_i^* & t \in S_i \end{cases}.$$

Then by a similar argument to that which was used in the proof of Lemma 4.3, we

obtain that for every  $t \in T$  and  $x \in \mathfrak{R}_+^m$

$$(4.8) \quad u_t(x) \leq u_t(x^*(t)) + \nabla f(b) \cdot (x - x^*(t)).$$

Since  $f$  is non-decreasing on  $\mathfrak{R}_+^m$ ,  $\nabla f(b) \geq 0$ . Let  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . Now if  $x_i^*$  is on the boundary of  $\mathfrak{R}_+^m$ , then  $u_i(x_i^*) = 0$ , and thus by (4.8),  $u_i(x) - \nabla f(b) \cdot x \leq 0$  for every  $x \in \mathfrak{R}_+^m$ . If  $x_i^* \in \text{int } \mathfrak{R}_+^m$ , then  $\nabla f(b) = \nabla u_i(x_i^*)$ . Since  $u_i$  is homogeneous of degree one,  $\nabla u_i(x_i^*) \cdot x_i^* = u_i(x_i^*)$ . Therefore we again have by (4.8),

$u_i(x) - \nabla f(b) \cdot x \leq 0$  for every  $x \in \mathfrak{R}_+^m$  and thus

$$\max_{x \in \mathfrak{R}_+^m} (u_i(x) - \nabla f(b) \cdot x) = 0$$

This implies that for every  $t \in T$

$$\max_{x \in \mathfrak{R}_+^m} (u_i(x) - \nabla f(b) \cdot (x - \omega(t))) = \nabla f(b) \cdot \omega(t).$$

Now by (4.8), for every  $a \in T_I$  and  $t \in T$  we have

$$\max_{x \in \mathfrak{R}_+^m} (u_a(x) - \nabla f(b) \cdot (x - \omega(t))) = u_a(x_a^*) - \nabla f(b) \cdot (x_a^* - \omega(t)).$$

For every  $t \in T$  let

$$g(t) = \begin{cases} u_t(x^*(t)) - \nabla f(b) \cdot (x^*(t) - \omega(t)) & t \in T_I \\ \nabla f(b) \cdot \omega(t) & t \in T_0 \end{cases}$$

For every  $S \in \Sigma$  define  $\varphi(S) = \int_S g d\lambda$ . Then  $\varphi$  is a competitive payoff distribution in

the economy  $E$  and for every  $S \in \Sigma_{T_0}$  we have  $\psi \nu(S) = \frac{1}{2} \varphi(S)$ . Q.E.D.

## References

1. Aliprantis, C.D., Brown, D.J., Burkinshaw, O.: Existence and optimality of competitive equilibria. Heidelberg: Springer Verlag (1989).
2. Aumann, R.J., Shapley L.S.: *Values of Non Atomic Games*, Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press (1974).
3. Gabszewicz, J.J., Shitovitz, B.: The core in imperfectly competitive economies, in R.J. Aumann and S. Hart, eds. *Handbook of Game Theory*, Vol. 1, Elsevier Science Publishers, Amsterdam (1992).
4. Gardner, R.: Shapley value and disadvantageous monopolies, *Journal of Economic Theory* 16, 513-517 (1977).
5. Kannai, Y.: Values of games with a continuum of players, *Israel Journal of Mathematics* 4, 54-58 (1966).
6. Legros, P.: Disadvantageous syndicates and stable cartels: The case of the nucleolus, *Journal of Economic Theory* 42, 30-49 (1987).
7. Maschler, M.: The bargaining set, kernel, and nucleolus, in R.J. Aumann and S. Hart, eds. *Handbook of Game Theory*, Vol. 1, Elsevier Science Publishers, Amsterdam (1992).
8. Maschler, M., Peleg, B. and Shapley, L.S.: The kernel and bargaining set of convex games, *International Journal of Game Theory* 1, 73-93 (1972).
9. Schmeidler, D.: The nucleolus of a characteristic function game, *SIAM Journal of Applied Mathematics* 17, 1163-1170 (1969).
10. Shapley, L.S., Shubik, M.: On market games, *Journal of Economic Theory* 1, 9-25 (1969).
11. Shitovitz, B.: Oligopoly in markets with a continuum of traders, *Econometrica* 41, 467-505 (1973).