provided by The International Islamic University Malaysia Reposito

DAMAGES UNDER MALAYSIAN TORILAM CASES AND COMMENTARY

Mohd Altaf Hussain Ahangar

SWEET & MAXWELL ASIA

Damages under Malaysian Tort Law: Cases and Commentary

Mohd Altaf Hussain Ahangar

LLB, LLM, PhD (India)
Professor
Ahmad Ibrahim Kulliyyah of Laws
International Islamic University Malaysia



Published in 2009 by Sweet & Maxwell Asia

a division of 'The Thomson Corporation (M) Sdn Bhd (441723-A)

No 17, Jalan PJS 7/19

46150 Petaling Jaya, Selangor, Malaysia

Affiliated Companies

AUSTRALIA

Thomson Legal and Regulatory Limited 100 Harris Street Pyrmont, Sydney Australia, NSW 2009

CANADA

Carswell
One Corporate Plaza
2075 Kennedy Road
Scarborough, Ontario MIT 3V4

HONG KONG

Sweet & Maxwell Asia a division of The Thomson Corporation Hong Kong Ltd 25/F, Island Place Tower 510 King's Road, North Point Hong Kong

SINGAPORE

Sweet & Maxwell Asia 80 Robinson Road #15-00 Singapore 068898

NEW ZEALAND

Brookers PO Box 6343, Auckland

UNITED KINGDOM/EUROPE

Sweet & Maxwell Ltd 100 Avenue Road London NW3 3PF

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

West Group PO Box 64526 St Paul, Minnesota 55164-0526

ISBN 978-967-5040-22-1

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, or stored in any retrieval system of any nature without prior written permission, except for permitted fair dealing under the Copyright Act 1987. Application for permission for other use of copyright material including permission to reproduce extracts in other published works shall be made to the publishers. Full acknowledgement of the author, publisher and source must be given.

The author has asserted his moral right under the Copyright Act 1987, to be identified as the author of this publication.

© Mohd Altaf Hussain Ahangar

Cover design by Edward Goh

Printed by Swan Printing Sdn Bhd Lot 5249, Jalan BS 7/1, Kawasan Perindustrian Bukit Serdang 43300 Seri Kembangan, Selangor

Contents

Pref	ace				vi		
Tab	le		of	Cases	xii		
Tab	le		of	Statutes	xvi		
Cha	pter 1						
Inti	roduc	tion			1		
1.2	Resti	tutio in i	ntegrum princ	iple	1		
1.3				once and for all"			
1.4				violated two distinct rights of the			
				ive actions in respect of those rights.	5		
1.5				tions of two distinct rights by one			
	act are an abuse of judicial process if the issues could have been						
1.6	Conti	inuing in	jury		10		
Cha	pter 2						
			98		17		
		_					
2.2							
2.3							
2.4					24		
	2.4.1	2.4.1 Oppressive, arbitrary or unconstitutional action by the servants of the government					
	2.4.2			calculated by him to make a profit	∠1		
	2.4.2			y well exceed the compensation			
				ff	29		
2.5	Aggr	avated	e to the plantin	damages			
2.6			ges				
2.7							
	-						
-	pter 3						
Dan	nages	for Per	sonal Injury.		39		
3.2							
			_				
3.3		•					
	3.3.1						
	3.3.2			sia			
		3.3.2.1		e earnings			
		5.5.2.2	Good health		51		

x Contents

		3.3.2.3	Earning by his own labour or gainful activity	53	
		3.3.2.4	Earnings from illegal sources in cases of		
			personal injury	55	
		3.3.2.5	Multiplier		
		3.3.2.6	Living expenses	63	
		3.3.2.7	Increment in salary	68	
		3.3.2.8	Loss of earning capacity	71	
		3.3.2.8	Maintaining the dichotomy between pre-trial		
			loss of earnings and post-trial loss of earnings	76	
3.4	Loss of support				
3.5	Reaso	Reasonable expenses			
	3.5.1	Treatm	ent at a private hospital	81	
	3.5.2	Nursin	g care	84	
3.6	Unde		sums		
3.7	Inflat	ion		89	
Cha	pter 4	!			
Daı	mages	for Dea	th	91	
4.2	Dependency claims			91	
1.2	4.2.1		dant		
	1.2.1	4.2.1.1	Widow whose marriage was a customary		
		2.1.1	marriage and not registered under s 22(4) of the		
			Law Reform (Marriage and Divorce) Act 1976		
		4.2.1.2	Grandparents as dependants		
		4.2.1.3	Adopted child can be a dependant provided		
		7.2.1.3	he is legally adopted	98	
		4.2.1.4	Brother - Not dependant		
		4.2.2.1	Loss of support		
		4.2.2.2	Multiplier		
		4.2.2.3	Multiplicand		
		4.2.2.4	Contingencies of life		
4.3	Hous		g expenses		
4.4		-	g expenses.		
4.5					
4.5	4.5.1		For loss of future earnings for the lost years by	121	
	4.5.1		loyed deceased	121	
	4.5.2	-	or loss of future earnings for the lost years of	141	
	+. J.∠		ed deceased	123	
	4.5.3		d suffering		
	4.5.4		l expenses		
	+.5.4	runcial	I CAPCIISCS	140	

•	oter 5	for Property Destruction/Loss	127		
5.1	_	duction			
5.1	5.1.1	Any damage to property illegally acquired does not			
	3.1.1	entitle a person to claim damages	127		
5.2	Destr	uction of property			
5.3	Damage to property				
3.3	5.3.1 In cases of damage to property, the cost of repairs is to be				
	0.0.1	assessed at the earliest date, when, having regard to all			
		the circumstances, they could reasonably be undertaken,			
		rather than the date when the damage occurred	134		
	5.3.2	While awarding damages for damage to property, the court			
	- 1- 1-	has discretion to award damages on the reinstatement			
		principle or diminution in value principle or both	143		
		principle of diminution in value principle of com			
•	pter 6		1.40		
Dan	nages	for Defamation	149		
6.2	Estimation of damages for defamation depends on the judge's				
	discre	etion but the amount awarded has to be reasonable under			
		rcumstances	149		
6.3	In ord	ler to limit the size of awards, the courts now favour			
		l award	157		
6.4		arding damages, the plaintiff's standing in society is a			
		ant factor which the court takes into consideration	160		
6.5		sment of damages for defamation depends on numerous			
		s	163		
6.6		poration can be awarded damages for loss of goodwill or			
		f income but not for mental distress or illness			
6.7		avated damages for defamation must be reasonable			
6.8		ges in cases of defamation can be quantified	172		
6.9		efamation action, the plaintiff is entitled to the award of	174		
c 10		st on the damages ordered to be paid			
		ll awards in defamation cases.	1 / /		
0.11		al awards in defamation also means one award against all	170		
	tortie	asors	1/9		
Chap	oter 7				
Dan	nages	for Economic Loss	183		
7.2	Conse	equential economic loss	183		
7.3		economic loss			
	7.3.1	Special relationship.	189		
	7.3.2	Pure economic loss can be claimed if there is an			
		assumption of responsibility by the defendant	191		
	7.3.3	Pure economic loss caused by breach of statutory duties			
		by governmental bodies can be claimed provided there is			
		no indeterminacy in relation to amount, time and class.	. 194		

xii Contents

7.3.4	Pure economic loss can also be claimed in deserving	
	cases although there is neither reliance upon the skill of	
	the defendant by the plaintiff nor assumption of	
	responsibility by the defendant to the plaintiff	198
7.3.5	Defective product economic loss	201
7.3.6	Pure economic loss resulting from defective products can be claimed provided there is a special relationship between the plaintiff and the defendant or it is just, fair	
	and reasonable to allow it	. 211
Appendix		217
Index		229