



Light-microscopy methods in C. elegans research Laura Breimann¹, Friedrich Preusser¹ and Stephan Preibisch

Abstract

Ever since *Caenorhabditis elegans* was introduced as a model system it has been tightly linked to microscopy, which has led to significant advances in understanding biology over the last decades. Developing new technologies therefore is an essential part in the endeavor to gain further mechanistic insights into developmental biology. This review will discuss state-of-the-art developments in quantitative light microscopy in the context of *C. elegans* research as well as the impact these technologies have on the field. We will highlight future developments that currently promise to revolutionize biological research by combining sequencing-based single-cell technologies with high-resolution quantitative imaging.

Addresses

Berlin Institute for Medical Systems Biology (BIMSB), Max Delbrück Center for Molecular Medicine in the Helmholtz Association (MDC), Berlin, Germany

Corresponding author: Preibisch, Stephan (stephan.preibisch@mdcberlin.de)

¹ Equal contribution.

Current Opinion in Systems Biology 2019, 13:82-92

This review comes from a themed issue on Systems biology of model organism (2019)

Edited by Baris Tursun and Denis Dupuy

For a complete overview see the Issue and the Editorial

Available online 24 November 2018

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coisb.2018.11.004

2452-3100/© 2018 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Introduction

Its small size, transparency and an easily accessible genetic system make *Caenorhabditis elegans* a great model organism for imaging, a role that has been shaped by several key properties. Apart from very few exceptions its cell lineage is invariant [1] and all individual cells can be followed optically in wild-type and mutant animals using differential interference contrast (DIC) microscopy. Therefore, the complete cell lineage from zygote to adult was described even before the advent of fluorescent proteins [1,2]. *C. elegans* allows to perform experiments involving large numbers of isogenic animals ensuring statistical robustness and making it a powerful model organism for genetic high-throughput screens. Additionally, it is one of the few model organisms that can be imaged in its entirety using electron microscopy (see *Hall D*. et al. [3] for a comprehensive review), and it was the first animal used to express Green Fluorescent Protein (GFP) [4]. Since these early days, light microscopy has made remarkable advances. This review will discuss the current state-of-the-art of light microscopy in *C. elegans* research published over the last 10–15 years, touch on exciting studies these technologies enabled, and discuss future opportunities arising from current developments in sequencing-based single-cell technologies.

Fluorescent proteins

Fluorescent microscopy is a dynamically evolving field and a key element of *C. elegans* research. New fluorophores are continuously developed and adapted for *C. elegans* [5], while new genetic tools ease endogenous tagging of proteins [6]. Fluorescent protein (FP) tags are used for a wide variety of studies. This includes localization and dynamics of proteins, organelles and anatomical structures [7,8], targeted degradation of tagged proteins [9,10] and monitoring of physiological parameters such as concentration of calcium ions or voltage in muscles and neurons [11], that are often combined with quantitative image analysis [12,13].

FPs are either expressed using extrachromosomal arrays [14] or are stably integrated into the genome. Stable integration can now be mediated using CRISPR [6,15], which, however, can still be challenging. Therefore, random or site-specific integration of constructs using bombardment [16] or the mosSCI system [17,18] continue to be commonplace. Notably, constructs limited to only the promoter region can lack regulatory elements, therefore the use of fosmids that include larger regions around a gene can be advantageous [19,20].

Continuously improved GFP variants [21] represent the most prominent FP tags used by the *C. elegans* community, however, non-GFP-derived FPs such as mScarlet [6] or photoconvertible fluorophores for superresolution imaging [22] are also available [23,24]. Importantly, FPs that show good optical properties *in vitro* or in other model organisms, do not necessarily behave similar in *C. elegans*. Brightness, protein stability, or photostability can be influenced by cellular pH, expression level regulation, maturation times, or silencing of constructs. FP performance can be controlled by a number of factors including the type of expression construct, codon optimization, tissue-specificity and microscopy set-up [25,26]. A collection of FPs commonly used in *C. elegans* is described in Table 1. However, it is important to note that many new developments in fluorescence technology such as HALO [27] or MS2-based transcription imaging [28] yet need to be adapted to *C. elegans*.

FPs are also the basis for more advanced fluorescent microscopy techniques. Fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP) can detect protein dynamics in living cells [29,30] (Figure 1a-c) and is for example used to study dynamics of signaling molecules [31] or to measure tissue- or cell-specific protein synthesis rates [32]. Near-TIRF (total internal reflection fluorescence) microscopy enables tracking of individual proteins [33], and Förster Resonance Energy Transfer (FRET) measures distances between FPs of different wavelength with nanometer precision. FRET-distances can be read out directly or through changes in fluorescent lifetime (FLIM-FRET), which was for example used to study chromatin compaction [34].

Besides FP expression, immunofluorescent (IF) labelling is a straight-forward method that can be easily combined with other assays since it does not require genetic engineering [35]. However, it does rely on the availability of specific antibodies for the target protein. Furthermore, it is often limited to fixed tissues, with the notable exception of newly engineered modificationspecific intracellular antibodies (mintbodies) [36] (Figure 1d). IF labelling gained additional importance as it can be used as basis for super resolution techniques [22,37].

Nucleic acid imaging

DNA can be visualized directly using stains like DAPI (Figure 1e and f) or indirectly through tagged, DNAassociated proteins like histones (Figure 1a). The repressor and binding site system lacO/lacI allows to follow specific DNA sequences *in vivo*, which, for example, led to the discovery of sequences involved in genome organization [38,39]. 3D genome architecture can be visualized using DNA-FISH (fluorescent in-situ hybridization) based approaches on the level of chromosomal sites up to entire chromosomes [40-42].

The quantification of spatio-temporal gene expression patterns is essential for studying gene function in development. In addition to FPs and IF, transcription can be more directly measured using RNA (fluorescent) in-situ hybridization [43]. This powerful technique is for example used to study translational regulation of mRNAs [44] or localization of miRNAs [45]. Like IF, insitu approaches do not require genomic engineering but rely on probes complementary to the DNA/RNA of interest. Detecting individual RNA molecules by singlemolecule RNA-FISH (smFISH) enables a truly quantitative understanding of transcription in single cells [46-49] (Figure 1e), which can be combined with IF to simultaneously detect RNA and protein [50]. Separate probes for intronic and exonic sequences enables detection of nascent transcription to quantify transcription dynamics [51], an aspect that is still understudied in *C. elegans*. Additionally, the possibility to combine a large number of IF and/or FISH measurements on the basis of the fixed lineage holds exciting potential for *in-toto* studies of gene function.

Lineage tracing and lightsheet microscopy

In order to capitalize on the fixed *C. elegans* lineage, approaches aiming for automated lineage tracing and staging using widefield and confocal microscopy have been developed [13,52–54], thereby facilitating the creation of open resources [55,56]. However, variable nuclei positions and division times, dense nuclei packaging, and fast movements during late embryogenesis and larval stages make robust nuclei assignment a challenging problem that has not yet been solved in its entirety and requires manual correction or annotation.

C. elegans images are traditionally acquired using confocal or widefield microscopy with samples mounted on coverslips. These images provide very high spatial resolution in the lateral (xy) dimension, while showing reduced axial (z) resolution and relatively high bleaching rates (Figure 1g). Consequential sample compression additionally creates physical forces that counteract regular cellular forces [57], thereby modifying cell lineage parameters in live acquisitions [58] and activating compensatory mechanisms [7]. Compression can be circumvented using beads enforcing a minimum distance between coverslip and slide, with the additional benefit of immobilizing larvae and adults for imaging [59,60], albeit at the cost of increased bleaching and reduced imaging speed due to the larger sample volume in z.

Lightsheet microscopy [61-63] is an emerging technology that enables acquisition with high spatial and temporal resolution while minimizing photobleaching and phototoxicity. Additionally, samples are embedded in agarose or glued to coverslips preserving their normal shape. Significantly increased image quality enabled the development of improved lineage tracing tools for *C. elegans* embryogenesis, often taking advantage of the isotropic spatial resolution [64,65] (Figure 1h). Recent approaches further employ lineage-specific nuclei labelling or photo-conversion [66] in addition to ubiquitously expressed nuclei markers to further ease the nuclei matching problem or to constrain it to subsets of nuclei [12,53,67]. However, to achieve said isotropic resolution (Figure 1i), multiple 3D images of the same

Table 1

Fluorescent proteins commonly used in C. elegans research [141-146].

Fluorescent	Excitation max.	Emission max.	Reference/Source	Comment
protoni	(nm)	(nm)		
mTagBFP2	399	456	Addgene; Sands, et al., 2018 [141]	
mCerulean3	433	474	Sands, et al., 2018 [141]	Used in FRET, paired with Venus or Citrine
CFP	433	475	Addgene; Tursun, et al., 2009 [20]	
mTFP	462	492	Addgene; Kelley, et al., 2015 [142]; Sands, et al., 2018 [141]	Used in FRET, paired with Venus or Citrine
EGFP	488	507	Addgene; Frøkjær- Jensen, et al., 2016 [143]	
Dendra2	490/553	507/573	Addgene; Griffin, et al., 2011 [144]	Photoconvertible (green to red)
mNeonGreen	506	517	Dickinson, et al., 2015 [24]; Heppert, et al., 2016 [5]	
YFP	514	527	Addgene; Tursun, et al., 2009 [20]	
Venus	515	528	Addgene; Tursun, et al., 2009 [20]	Used in FRET, paired with Cerulean
mECitrine	514	529	Sands, et al., 2018 [141]	Used in FRET, paired with Cerulean
mYPet	517	530	Addgene; Dickinson, et al., 2015 [24]; Heppert, et al., 2016 [5]	
mKO2	551	565	Sands, et al., 2018 [141]	
dTomato	554	581	Weinheimer, et al., 2015 [145]	
TagRFP-T	556	585	Addgene; Dickinson, et al., 2015 [24]; Heppert, et al., 2016 [5]	
mScarlet	569	592	Addgene; ElMouridi et al., 2017 [6]; Sands, et al., 2018 [141]	
mRuby2	559	594	Addgene; Heppert, et al., 2016 [5]	
mCherry	586	610	Addgene; Tursun, et al., 2009 [20]; Heppert, et al., 2016 [5]	
mKate2	589	634	Addgene; Dickinson, et al., 2015 [146]; Heppert, et al., 2016 [5]	
mNeptune	599	634	Addgene; Sands, et al., 2018 [141]	





Examples of current state-of-the art imaging approaches in C. elegans. (a,b) Example of FRAP analysis on H2B-mCherry signal from C. elegans L1 larvae tail nuclei acquired on a Leica SP8 confocal microscope. Red squares indicate areas used for laser inactivation of fluorophores by bleaching and temporal recording to detect protein dynamics. (c) Example analysis of a FRAP experiment. The mobile and immobile fractions are calculated by fitting of the bleaching-corrected fluorescent signal recovery curve. (d) A single image plane through a live embryo expressing a H4K20me1-mintbody fused to GFP is shown ([36], the mintbody line was a kind gift from Akatsuki Kimura). H4K20me1 is detected at mitotic chromosomes in early C. elegans development as published in Ref. [139]. (e) Maximum intensity projection of a young C. elegans embryo stained with DAPI (blue) and smFISH probes against the W04G3.5 mRNA (grayscale). (f) Maximum intensity projection of a DAPI-stained dauer larva acquired on a scanning laser confocal microscope. Different colors indicate independently acquired, overlapping 3d image tiles, stitched together as described in Ref. [71]. (g) Magnification of a DAPI-stained dauer larva similar to (f), highlighting the physical compaction in z and extension in xy when imaging larva mounted under a coverslip. Single image slices are shown. (h) Maximum intensity projections of lightsheet microscopy images of C. elegans embryo development with a spacing of approximately 30s between each acquisition. Shown are three timepoints 14, 49, and 111, with a relative temporal distance of 17,5 and 31 min, respectively. Nuclei are marked by H2B-mCherry and the cell lineage shown on the bottom was manually extracted using MaMuT [65]. Here, each nucleus is assigned a random color and 10 timepoints (5 min) each are shown to illustrate their trajectories. (i) Multiview lightsheet acquisition of a DAPIstained C. elegans dauer larva. Note the natural, round shape in lateral and axial direction compared to (g). The data was stitched, multiview reconstructed and deconvolved as described in Ref [72]. Single image slices are shown. (j) Maximum intensity projections of three-dimensional neuronal activity recordings of a dauer larvae expressing the nuclear GCaMP6s reporter spanning the larva's head region. A dauer larva anaesthetized with levamisole was imaged under a coverslip on a 10% agarose pad on a spinning-disk confocal microscope for 8 min. The larva's head region was imaged in 3D at 1 Hz with a z-step size of 400 nm. Timesteps (t) correspond to 1s.

sample taken from different orientations (*views*) need to be aligned and multiview deconvolved, for which opensource software packages have been developed [68–70]. Additionally, image stitching is required to reconstruct larvae and adults that do not fit into the field of view of confocal, widefield, or lightsheet microscopes [71] (Figure 1f), which, in combination with multiview reconstruction, yields isotropic images of entire *C. elegans* larvae at single-cell resolution [72] (Figure 1i).

The invariant cell lineage enabled exciting studies. It allowed to identify pathways and the role of chromatin

regulators important for lineage commitment by detecting cell lineage variations [73–75]. Cell typespecific FPs enabled screening for mutants affecting temporal or spatial expression patterns [8,76–78]. The stereotypic polarity of cell divisions makes them interesting targets to study spindle orientation, cell–cell interaction and tissue formation [79–81], which are specifically powerful when combined with automated tools [82], physical modelling [83], or optical manipulation [81,84,85]. Moreover, mapping expression patterns onto the cell lineage identified expression profiles that correlate with cell fate changes [86–89] and lineage tracing enables ablation studies of mechanisms compensating cell loss after induced cell death [59,90,91]. However, since lineaging is not yet fully automatic, these approaches are often only accessible to technology-savvy laboratories. We expect that with the advent of deep learning, fully automated algorithms available through user-friendly open-source software packages such as Fiji [92] will make new and improved, powerful tools more accessible for to the entire *C. elegans* community.

Behavioral phenotyping

C. elegans allows to integrate quantitative fluorescent readouts with behavioral experiments. Importantly, the quantitative description of behavioral phenotypes is crucial in order to determine underlying genetic networks that define the molecular basis of behavior. Lifespan measurements in ageing research are a striking example of behavioral imaging that rely on significantly high numbers of measured individuals to infer

Figure 2

phenotype-genotype correlations. Although robust and technically simple, manual lifespan measurements suffer from low throughput and lack the ability of recording quantitative image features. Recent improvements enable quantitative, fully-automated analyses that allow extraction of geometric features, e.g. intensities of fluorescent marker genes. By combining automated microscopy with image analysis, the automated "Lifespan machine" is for example able to image and annotate 30.000 animals simultaneously to infer individual death events and provides survival curves for a population under controlled conditions [93] (Figure 2a). While global quantification is powerful, it lacks the ability to study more detailed behavioral phenotypes of individuals. Therefore, custom microscopy solutions to follow individual worms (worm trackers) have been developed [94-96] (Figure 2b). The need to interpret these high-resolution behavioral datasets additionally led to the development of new dimensionality reduction algorithms as well as publicly available software packages [97-99].



Examples of automated imaging set-ups for *C. elegans*. (a) The *C. elegans* lifespan machine. An array of scanners acquires images of living worms on petri dishes over multiple weeks. The image data is processed online and survival curves, specific for different conditions or genetic backgrounds (here represented by different colors) can be extracted [93]. (b) Overview schemes recapitulating microscopy set-ups capable of monitoring freely moving *C. elegans*. (I) Worm tracking devices, recording behavior of individual worms [95,96] (II) Example of two set-ups capable of optogenetically controlling neural circuits within freely moving individuals [130,131]. (III) and (IV) depict two set-ups developed to acquire volumetric neuronal activity data in freely moving worms. (c) Set-up for characterizing behavior of isolated worms across development. An array of cameras captures movies of individuals from different genetic backgrounds (represented by color) over a period of 60 hours within a controlled environment. After acquisition, worm trajectories can be extracted, staged and comparisons between different lines can be drawn [104]. (d) Microfluidic chip for characterizing neuronal activity in response to somotic stimuli. A single worm can be rapidly exposed to two different stimuli under controlled conditions and at a constant flow rate. By comparing neuronal activity (in either all, or only a subset of neurons) before and after stimulus exposure, neuronal activity can be quantitatively described [140]. (e) Microfluidic chip for transient immobilization of *C. elegans* larvae of any stage enabling high-resolution imaging over time. Automated on-chip valves immobilize individual worms only for the period of imaging, allowing investigation of post-embryonic development [111]. All schemes were adapted from the respective publications and are not to scale.

Whereas worm trackers are usually designed to follow individuals over the course of minutes to hours, following individual animals throughout their entire development is also of interest. For instance, solutions that restrain single larvae within compartments (e.g. microwells) allow following individual developmental trajectories over the entire course of development [100-103]. By comparing behavioral phenotypes of isogenic animals at high spatiotemporal resolution, Stern et al., could for example describe trajectories of spontaneous foraging behaviours at all developmental stages and quantify consistent, non-genetic behavioral biases at the single animal level [104] (Figure 2c).

Microfluidics

While live imaging and tracking of C. elegans in their natural laboratory environment is a powerful approach, it complicates to create controlled environments while sample movement limits high-resolution acquisitions. Although anaesthetics [105], glue [106] or polystyrene nanoparticles [59] are commonly used to immobilize worms for the relatively short period of imaging, microfluidic chips represent a powerful alternative. Their flexible layout enables designs specifically adapted to the experiment in terms of worm positioning and controlled supply of stimuli (Figure 2d). Earlier designs were focused on adult worms [107], but more recent adaptations allow imaging of larvae [108,109] and embryos [110]. While most chip designs rely on static physical confinement, Keil et al. recently proposed a design that only periodically immobilizes worms making use of an on-chip pressure trap, which can for example be used to quantify cell divisions during vulval development [111] (Figure 2e). Since more and more laboratories gain access to 3D printing and microfabrication facilities, we are expecting an increase in the number of specific designs adapted not only for specific stages of C. elegans, but also more complex experimental frameworks. Ideally, sharing such designs and related results in between labs would be highly beneficial for generating common standards in terms of fabrication and precision, but also for accessing potential biological artifacts caused by immobilization [112].

Neuronal activity imaging

C. elegans is an ideal model to study neuronal activity due to its small, stereotyped, yet relatively complex nervous system, which is mapped for adults in hermaphrodites [113] and, more recently, males [114]. To link neuronal activity to behavior, live imaging of increasingly optimized versions of GCaMP [115], a synthetic GFP variant that increases its fluorescence upon calcium concentration increase, are used to capture neuronal activity patterns (Figure 1j). More recently, fluorescent

voltage reporters capable of capturing postsynaptic responses have also been developed [116]. Imaging the fluorescent GCaMP signal over time allows insights into four-dimensional activity patterns and the underlying neuronal circuitry. Similar to embryo lineaging, robust assignment of individual cellular identities over time in living animals has not yet been solved in its entirety [53,54].

While GCaMP expression in a limited set of neurons is an elegant solution, nervous system-wide imaging enables studies without *a priori* knowledge, but requires fast acquisition of image volumes for which spinning disk confocal [117], two-photon microscopy [118] and light field deconvolution microscopy [119] were proposed. They currently enable identification of up to 67% of all head neurons over imaging intervals of up to 18 min [117]. In combination with dimensionality reduction techniques, unknown mechanisms underlying sleep-like and exploratory behaviour [120,121] as well as locomotion [117] could be uncovered. However, since these techniques use physical constriction during imaging, they are often correlated with observations from freely moving animals.

Complementary efforts that approach imaging neuronal activity in freely moving animals additionally require tracking of individual worms. Focussing on subsets of neurons, studies uncovered the role of specific neurons in locomotion [122] as well as mechanisms underlying chemosensory [123] and olfactory response [124]. Recent advances image the entire nervous system of the freely behaving worms [125,126], assigning up to 156 neurons over 8 min of imaging [127].

Moreover, the stereotypic, mapped nervous system of *C. elegans* enables targeted (in-)activation of specific neurons. Laser ablation can be used for irreversible inactivation [90] and behavioural manipulation using optogenetics was first performed in *C. elegans* [128]. Optogenetic activation of individual neurons allows *in vivo* characterization of neural circuitry [129], an approach that has also been extended to freely behaving worms [130,131]. A recently presented method for randomized expression of opsins now also enables hypothesis-free approaches for neuronal network analysis [132].

The field of neuronal activity imaging is currently limited by a lack of tools for robust assignment of all cells over time, a problem that modern microscopy (e.g. lightsheet) in combination with more powerful image analysis could solve. New quantitative assays that automatically correlate light-induced activation of neurons with behavior could then provide a crucial tool to mechanistically characterize neuronal wiring in *C. elegans* and provide significant improvements in our understanding *C. elegans* neuronal circuitry.

Discussion & outlook

Current imaging techniques are of exceptional importance to study dynamic cellular processes in space and time, but they are limited by the total number of biological components (e.g. proteins or mRNAs) that can be recorded in each experiment. This constraint mainly arises from the limited size of the wavelength spectrum, available fluorophores, and capabilities to distinguish multiple colors (typically up to 5 different fluorophores can be resolved). Moreover, since the fluorescent probes have to be chosen prior to any experiment, observed factors are determined *a priori*, which limits the possibility for identification of new targets significantly. Although efforts in the field of RNA imaging illustrate that by multiplexing it is possible to extend smFISH to detect several thousands of mRNAs in one experiment [133,134], they remain technically challenging and were not applied to C. elegans, yet. Therefore, current developments in sequencing-based single-cell technologies [135,136] are gaining momentum and challenge microscopy as state-of-the-art method for individually probing all cells in a sample. But although single-cell sequencing technologies are applicable to many species and are able to capture information on a genomewide level, temporal resolution is limited to static timepoints and there are only first efforts for reconstructing spatial information [137,138]. However, the combination of both technologies potentially enables intoto, spatio-temporally resolved measurements at cellular level providing an extremely powerful tool for exploratory as well as targeted studies, thus promising to be one of the key technologies in the future. C. elegans as a model should contribute to these exciting new developments by focusing more on its unique properties such as its fully-mapped nervous system, opportunity for whole-organism imaging throughout its entire lifespan at single-cell resolution, or the possibilities of computational analysis that its fixed lineage offers.

Acknowledgments

We thank Lennon Matchett-Oates for providing example FRAP data, Klim Kolyvanov for providing example embryo tracking data, Akatsuki Kimura and Hiroshi Kimura for providing the *C. elegans* mintbody line, and the Caenorhabditis Genetics Center at the University of Minnesota for providing *C. elegans* strains. We would like to apologize to those scientists whose work could not be cited owing to space constrains. L.B., F.P. and S.P. were funded by Max Delbrück Center for Molecular Medicine in the Helmholtz Association (MDC) Berlin, Germany.

References

Papers of particular interest, published within the period of review, have been highlighted as:

- * of special interest
- ** of outstanding interest
- Sulston JE, Horvitz HR: Post-embryonic cell lineages of the nematode, *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Dev Biol 1977, 56: 110–156.
- Sulston JE, Schierenberg E, White JG, Thomson JN: The embryonic cell lineage of the nematode *Caenorhabditis* elegans. Dev Biol 1983, 100:64–119.

- Hall DH, Hartwieg E, Nguyen KCQ: Modern electron microscopy methods for C. elegans. In Methods in cell biology. Edited by Rothman JH, Singson A, Elsevier Inc.; 2012:93–149.
- Chalfie M, Tu Y, Euskirchen G, Ward WW, Prasher DC: Green fluorescent protein as a marker for gene expression. Science 1994, 263:802–805.
- 5. Heppert JK, Dickinson DJ, Pani AM, Higgins CD, Steward A,
- ** Ahringer J, Kuhn JR, Goldstein B: Comparative assessment of fluorescent proteins for in vivo imaging in an animal model system. *Mol Biol Cell* 2016, 27:3385–3394.

A quantitative *in vivo* assessment of quality parameters for common fluorescent proteins in *C. elegans* embryos. This resource gives important hints for selecting the most suitable fluorescent proteins when designing experiment involving protein tags.

 Mouridi S EI, Lecroisey C, Tardy P, Mercier M, Leclercqblondel A, Zariohi N, Boulin T, Claude U, Lyon B, Umr- C, et al.: Reliable CRISPR/Cas9 genome engineering in *Caeno-rhabditis elegans* using a single efficient sgRNA and an easily recognizable phenotype. *G3* 2017, 7:1429–1437.

A recent practical guide for using CRISPR/Cas9 genome engineering in *C. elegans*. Additionally, this study introduces a *C. elegans* optimized version of the red fluorescent protein mScarlet, showing increased signal-to-noise ratio compared to tagRFP.

- Jelier R, Kruger A, Swoger J, Zimmermann T, Lehner B: Compensatory cell movements confer robustness to mechanical deformation during embryonic development. *Cell Syst* 2016, 3:160–171.
- Vidal B, Aghayeva U, Sun H, Wang C, Glenwinkel L, Bayer EA, Hobert O: An atlas of *Caenorhabditis elegans* chemoreceptor expression. *PLoS Biol* 2018, 16, e2004218.
- Tanji T, Nishikori K, Haga S, Kanno Y, Kobayashi Y, Takaya M, Gengyo-Ando K, Mitani S, Shiraishi H, Ohashi-Kobayashi A: Characterization of HAF-4- and HAF-9-localizing organelles as distinct organelles in *Caenorhabditis elegans* intestinal cells. *BMC Cell Biol* 2016, 17:1–9.
- Wang S, Tang NH, Lara-Gonzalez P, Zhao Z, Cheerambathur DK, Prevo B, Chisholm AD, Desai A, Oegema K: A toolkit for GFP-mediated tissue-specific protein degradation in *C. elegans. Development* 2017, 144: 2694–2701.
- Kerr RA, Schafer WR: Intracellular Ca2+ imaging in C. elegans. In Methods in molecular biology. Edited by Clifton NJ, Strangex K, Humana Press; 2006:253–264.
- Christensen RP, Bokinsky A, Santella A, Wu Y, Marquina-Solis J, Guo M, Kovacevic I, Kumar A, Winter PW, Tashakkori N, *et al.*: Untwisting the *Caenorhabditis elegans* embryo. *Elife* 2015, 4: 1–37.
- Bao Z, Murray JI, Boyle T, Ooi SL, Sandel MJ, Waterston RH:
 ** Automated cell lineage tracing in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Proc Natl Acad Sci 2006, 103:2707–2712.

This publication is a milestone in automated analysis of the *C. elegans* embryonic lineage. For the first time, it enabled almost fully automatic lineaging of *C. elegans* embryos that express a fluorescent histone marker acquired with confocal microscopy.

- Mello CC, Kramer JM, Stinchcomb D, Ambros V: Efficient gene transfer in C. elegans: extrachromosomal maintenance and integration of transforming sequences. *Trends Genet* 1992, 8: 50.
- Paix A, Folkmann A, Rasoloson D, Seydoux G: High efficiency, homology-directed genome editing in *Caenorhabditis* elegans using CRISPR-cas9 ribonucleoprotein complexes. *Genetics* 2015, 201:47–54.
- Praitis V, Casey E, Collar D, Austin J: Creation of low-copy integrated transgenic lines in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Genetics* 2001, 157:1217–1226.
- Robert V, Bessereau JL: Targeted engineering of the Caenorhabditis elegans genome following Mos1-triggered chromosomal breaks. EMBO J 2007, 26:170–183.
- Frøkjær-Jensen C, Davis MW, Ailion M, Jorgensen EM: Improved mos1-mediated transgenesis in C. elegans. Nat Methods 2012, 9:117–118.

- Sarov M, Schneider S, Pozniakovski A, Roguev A, Ernst S, Zhang Y, Hyman AA, Stewart AF: A recombineering pipeline for functional genomics applied to *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Nat Methods* 2006, 3:839–844.
- Tursun B, Cochella L, Carrera I, Hobert O: A toolkit and robust pipeline for the generation of fosmid-based reporter genes in C. elegans. *PLoS One* 2009, 4:e4625.
- Lam AJ, St-Pierre F, Gong Y, Marshall JD, Cranfill PJ, Baird MA, McKeown MR, Wiedenmann J, Davidson MW, Schnitzer MJ, et al.: Improving FRET dynamic range with bright green and red fluorescent proteins. Nat Methods 2012, 9:1005–1012.
- Köhler S, Wojcik M, Xu K, Dernburg AF: Superresolution microscopy reveals the three-dimensional organization of meiotic chromosome axes in intact *Caenorhabditis elegans* tissue. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 2017, 114:E4734–E4743.
- Shaner NC, Lambert GG, Chammas A, Ni Y, Cranfill PJ, Baird MA, Sell BR, Allen JR, Day RN, Israelsson M, *et al.*: A bright monomeric green fluorescent protein derived from Branchiostoma lanceolatum. Nat Methods 2013, 10:407–409.
- Dickinson DJ, Pani AM, Heppert JK, Higgins CD, Goldstein B: Streamlined genome engineering with a self-excising drug selection cassette. *Genetics* 2015, 200:1035–1049.
- Green RA, Audhya A, Pozniakovsky A, Dammermann A, Pemble H, Monen J, Portier N, Hyman A, Desai A, Oegema K: Expression and imaging of fluorescent proteins in the C. elegans gonad and early embryo. *Methods Cell Biol* 2008, 85: 179–218.
- Redemann S, Schloissnig S, Ernst S, Pozniakowsky A, Ayloo S, Hyman AA, Bringmann H: Codon adaptation-based control of protein expression in C. elegans. Nat Methods 2011, 8: 250–252.
- Los GV, Encell LP, McDougall MG, Hartzell DD, Karassina N, Zimprich C, Wood MG, Learish R, Ohana RF, Urh M, *et al.*: HaloTag: a novel protein labeling technology for cell imaging and protein analysis. ACS Chem Biol 2008, 3:373–382.
- Bertrand E, Chartrand P, Schaefer M, Shenoy SM, Singer RH, Long RM: Localization of ASH1 mRNA particles in living yeast. Mol Cell 1998, 2:437–445.
- Daniels BR, Dobrowsky TM, Perkins EM, Sun SX, Wirtz D: MEX-5 enrichment in the C. elegans early embryo mediated by differential diffusion. *Development* 2010, 137: 2579–2585.
- Goehring NW, Hoege C, Grill SW, Hyman AA: PAR proteins diffuse freely across the anterior-posterior boundary in polarized C. elegans embryos. J Cell Biol 2011, 193:583–594.
- 31. Walser M, Hajnal A, Escobar-Resrepo JM: FRAP analysis of LET-23::GFP in the vulval epithelial cells of living *Caeno-rhabditis elegans* larvae. *Bio-Protocol* 2015, 5:1–6.
- Kourtis N, Tavernarakis N: Protein synthesis rate assessment by fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP). Bio-Protocol 2017, 7:1–9.
- Robin FB, McFadden WM, Yao B, Munro EM: Single-molecule analysis of cell surface dynamics in *Caenorhabditis elegans* embryos. *Nat Methods* 2014, 11:677–682.
- Llères D, Bailly AP, Perrin A, Norman DG, Xirodimas DP,
 Feil R: Quantitative FLIM-FRET microscopy to monitor nanoscale chromatin compaction in vivo reveals structural roles of condensin complexes. *Cell Rep* 2017, 18: 1791–1803.

In this paper a FRET- based microscopic approach was adapted to *C. elegans* to monitor nanoscale chromatin compaction in the germline of living worms. This study reveals the role of HP1 and condensing in the compaction degreee of pachytene chromosomes.

- Shakes DC, Miller DM, Nonet ML: Immunofluorescence microscopy. Methods Cell Biol 2012, 107:35–66.
- Sato Y, Kujirai T, Arai R, Asakawa H, Ohtsuki C, Horikoshi N, Yamagata K, Ueda J, Nagase T, Haraguchi T, *et al.*: A genetically encoded probe for live-cell imaging of H4K20 monomethylation. *J Mol Biol* 2016, 428:3885–3902.

- Vangindertael J, Beets I, Rocha S, Dedecker P, Schoofs L, Vanhoorelbeke K, Hofkens J, Mizuno H: Super-resolution mapping of glutamate receptors in C. elegans by confocal correlated PALM. Sci Rep 2015, 5:13532.
- Meister P, Towbin BD, Pike BL, Ponti A, Gasser SM: The spatial dynamics of tissue-specific promoters during C. elegans development. *Genes Dev* 2010, 24:766–782.
- Towbin BD, González-Aguilera C, Sack R, Gaidatzis D, Kalck V, Meister P, Askjaer P, Gasser SM: Step-wise methylation of histone H3K9 positions heterochromatin at the nuclear periphery. *Cell* 2012, 150:934–947.
- Nabeshima K, Mlynarczyk-Evans S, Villeneuve AM: Chromosome painting reveals asynaptic full alignment of homologs and HIM-8-dependent remodeling of X chromosome territories during Caenorhabditis elegans meiosis. PLoS Genet 2011, 7, e1002231.
- Lanctôt C: Analysis of the C. elegans nucleolus by immuno-DNA FISH. In Methods in molecular biology. Edited by Németh A, Springer Science+Business Media; 2016:15–27.
- Fields B, Nguyen SC, Nir G, Kennedy S: A multiplexed DNA FISH strategy for assessing genome architecture in C. elegans. *bioRxiv* 2018, https://doi.org/10.1101/397471.
- Broitman-Maduro G, Maduro MF: In situ hybridization of embryos with antisense RNA probes. In Methods in cell biology. Edited by Rothman JH, Singson A, Elsevier Inc.; 2011: 253–270.
- Lee MH, Schedl T: Translation repression by GLD-1 protects its mRNA targets from nonsense-mediated mRNA decay in C. elegans. Genes Dev 2004, 18:1047–1059.
- McEwen TJ, Yao Q, Yun S, Lee CY, Bennett KL: Small RNA in situ hybridization in *Caenorhabditis elegans*, combined with RNA-seq, identifies germline-enriched microRNAs. *Dev Biol* 2016, 418:248–257.
- Raj A, van den Bogaard P, Rifkin SA, van Oudenaarden A, Tyagi S: Imaging individual mRNA molecules using multiple singly labeled probes. Nat Methods 2008, 5:877–879.
- Ji N, van Oudenaarden A: Single molecule fluorescent in situ hybridization (smFISH) of C. elegans worms and embryos. WormBook 2012, 7:1–16.
- Ni JZ, Kalinava N, Mendoza SG, Gu SG: The spatial and temporal dynamics of nuclear RNAi-targeted retrotransposon transcripts in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Development* 2018, 145. dev.167346.
- Bolková J, Lanctôt C: Quantitative gene expression analysis in Caenorhabditis elegans using single molecule RNA FISH. Methods 2016, 98:42–49.
- Yoon DS, Pendergrass DL, Lee MH: A simple and rapid method for combining fluorescent in situ RNA hybridization (FISH) and immunofluorescence in the C. elegans germline. *MethodsX* 2016, 3:378–385.
- 51. Lee C, Seidel H, Lynch T, Sorensen E, Crittenden S, Kimble J:
 Single-molecule RNA fluorescence in situ hybridization (smFISH) in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Bio-Protocol* 2017, 7: 1–12.

The latest update for the smFISH protocol in *C. elegans* with a focus on extruded gonads. The use of intronic probes to detect nascent transcription as well as the use of automated, high-throughput quantitation allowed *Lee* et al. to study transcription activation in response to intercellular signaling with high precision and without perturbing signaling networks through expressed reporters.

- Schnabel R, Hutter H, Moerman D, Schnabel H: Assessing normal embryogenesis in *Caenorhabditis elegans* using a 4D microscope: variability of development and regional specification. *Dev Biol* 1997, 184:234–265.
- Long F, Peng H, Liu X, Kim SK, Myers E: A 3D digital atlas of C. elegans and its application to single-cell analyses. Nat Methods 2009, 6:667–672.
- Kainmueller D, Jug F, Rother C, Myers G: Active graph matching for automatic joint segmentation and annotation of C. elegans. *Med Image Comput* 2014:1–8.

- Santella A, Kovacevic I, Herndon LA, Hall DH, Du Z, Bao Z: Digital development: a database of cell lineage differentiation in C. elegans with lineage phenotypes, cell-specific gene functions and a multiscale model. Nucleic Acids Res 2016, 44: D781–D785.
- Murray JI, Bao Z, Boyle TJ, Boeck ME, Mericle BL, Nicholas TJ, Zhao Z, Sandel MJ, Waterston RH: Automated analysis of embryonic gene expression with cellular resolution in C. elegans. Nat Methods 2008, 5:703–709.
- Fickentscher R, Struntz P, Weiss M: Mechanical cues in the early embryogenesis of *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Biophys J* 2013, 105:1805–1811.
- Hench J, Henriksson J, Lüppert M, Bürglin TR: Spatio-temporal reference model of *Caenorhabditis elegans* embryogenesis with cell contact maps. *Dev Biol* 2009, 333:1–13.
- Kim E, Sun L, Gabel CV, Fang-Yen C: Long-Term imaging of Caenorhabditis elegans using nanoparticle-mediated immobilization. PLoS One 2013, 8:1–6.
- Dong L, Cornaglia M, Krishnamani G, Zhang J, Mouchiroud L, Lehnert T, Auwerx J, Gijs MAM: Reversible and long-term immobilization in a hydrogel-microbead matrix for highresolution imaging of *Caenorhabditis elegans* and other small organisms. *PLoS One* 2018, 13:1–20.
- Huisken J, Swoger J, Del Bene F, Wittbrodt J, Stelzer EHK: Optical sectioning deep inside live embryos by selective plane illumination microscopy. Science 2004, 305:1007–1009.
- Chen BC, Legant WR, Wang K, Shao L, Milkie DE, Davidson MW, Janetopoulos C, Wu XS, Hammer JA, Liu Z, et al.: Lattice light-sheet microscopy: imaging molecules to embryos at high spatiotemporal resolution. Science 2014, 346.
- Kumar A, Wu Y, Christensen R, Chandris P, Gandler W,
 McCreedy E, Bokinsky A, Colón-Ramos DA, Bao Z, McAuliffe M, et al.: Dual-view plane illumination microscopy for rapid and spatially isotropic imaging. Nat Protoc 2014, 9: 2555–2573.

This paper describes the most commonly used lightsheet setup in C. elegans, the diSPIM (dual inverted selective plane illumination microscope). Using two fixed views at 0 and 90° it is able to acquire C. elegans embryogenesis at high spatio-temporal resolution.

- 64. Katzman B, Tang D, Santella A, Bao Z, AceTree: A major update and case study in the long term maintenance of open-source scientific software. *BMC Bioinf* 2018, **19**:1–7.
- 65. Wolff C, Tinevez J-Y, Pietzsch T, Stamataki E, Harich B, Guignard L, Preibisch S, Shorte S, Keller PJ, Tomancak P, et al.: Multi-view light-sheet imaging and tracking with the MaMuT software reveals the cell lineage of a direct developing arthropod limb. *Elife* 2018, 7, e34410.
- Rosu S, Cohen-Fix O: Live-imaging analysis of germ cell proliferation in the C. elegans adult supports a stochastic model for stem cell proliferation. Dev Biol 2017, 423:93–100.
- Insley P, Shaham S, Automated C: Elegans embryo alignments reveal brain neuropil position invariance despite lax cell body placement. PLoS One 2018, 13:1–22.
- Preibisch S, Amat F, Stamataki E, Sarov M, Singer RH, Myers E, Tomancak P: Efficient Bayesian-based multiview deconvolution. Nat Methods 2014, 11:645–648.
- Wu Y, Wawrzusin P, Senseney J, Fischer RS, Christensen R, Santella A, York AG, Winter PW, Waterman CM, Bao Z, et al.: Spatially isotropic four-dimensional imaging with dual-view plane illumination microscopy. Nat Biotechnol 2013, 31: 1032–1038.
- Amat F, Höckendorf B, Wan Y, Lemon WC, McDole K, Keller PJ: Efficient processing and analysis of large-scale light-sheet microscopy data. Nat Protoc 2015, 10:1679–1696.
- Preibisch S, Saalfeld S, Tomancak P: Globally optimal stitching of tiled 3D microscopic image acquisitions. *Bioinformatics* 2009. 25:1463–1465.

This paper describes an easy-to-use Fiji (http://fiji.sc) plugin to stitch overlapping image acquisitions, which is essential to acquire images of entire C. elegans larvae or adults.

 Hörl D, Rusak FR, Preusser F, Tillberg P, Randel N, Chhetri RK, ^{*} Cardona A, Keller PJ, Harz H, Leonhardt H, *et al.*: BigStitcher: reconstructing high-resolution image datasets of cleared and expanded samples. *bioRxiv* 2018, https://doi.org/10.1101/ 343954

This versatile software for stitiching and multiview reconstruction of lightsheet, confocal and widefield microscopy data enables processing of fixed and time-lapse image data; virtual image loading enables smooth processing of even terabyte sized acquisitions such as the entire *C. elegans* embryogenesis

- 73. Wang J, Chitturi J, Ge Q, Laskova V, Wang W, Li X, Ding M, Zhen M, Huang X: The C . elegans COE transcription factor UNC-3 activates lineage- specific apoptosis and affects neurite growth in the RID lineage. Development 2015, 142: 1447–1457.
- Doitsidou M, Minevich G, Kroll JR, Soete G, Gowtham S, Korswagen HC, Sebastiaan van Zon J, Hobert O:
 A Caenorhabditis elegans zinc finger transcription factor, ztf-6, required for the specification of a dopamine neuronproducing lineage. G3 2018, 8:17–26.
- Krüger AV, Jelier R, Dzyubachyk O, Zimmerman T: Comprehensive single cell-resolution analysis of the role of chromatin regulators in early C. elegans embryogenesis. *Dev Biol* 2015, 398:153–162.
- 76. Hunt-Newbury R, Viveiros R, Johnsen R, Mah A, Anastas D, Fang L, Halfnight E, Lee D, Lin J, Lorch A, et al.: Highthroughput in vivo analysis of gene expression in Caenorhabditis elegans. PLoS Biol 2007, 5:1981–1997.
- Murray JI, Bao Z: Automated lineage and expression profiling in live Caenorhabditis elegans embryos. Cold Spring Harb Protoc 2012, 7:887–899.
- Kolundzic E, Ofenbauer A, Bulut SI, Uyar B, Baytek G, Sommermeier A, Seelk S, He M, Hirsekorn A, Vucicevic D, et al.: FACT sets a barrier for cell fate reprogramming in *Caeno-rhabditis elegans* and human cells. *Dev Cell* 2018, https:// doi.org/10.1016/i.devcel.2018.07.006.
- Rabilotta A, Amini R, Labbé J: Live imaging for studying asymmetric cell division in the C. elegans embryo. In *Methods* in molecular biology. Edited by Mace KA, Braun KM, Humana Press; 2012:111–125.
- Müller A, Winkler J, Fiedler F, Sastradihardja T, Binder C, Schnabel R, Kungel J, Rothemund S, Hennig C, Schöneberg T, *et al.*: Oriented cell division in the C. elegans embryo is coordinated by G-protein signaling dependent on the adhesion GPCR LAT-1. *PLoS Genet* 2015, 11:1–20.
- Shah PK, Santella A, Jacobo A, Siletti K, Hudspeth AJ, Bao Z: An in toto approach to dissecting cellular interactions in complex tissues. Dev Cell 2017, 43:530–540. e4.
- Jaensch S, Decker M, Hyman AA, Myers EW: Automated tracking and analysis of centrosomes in early Caenorhabditis elegans embryos. Bioinformatics 2010, 26:13–20.
- Mayer M, Depken M, Bois JS, Jülicher F, Grill SW: Anisotropies in cortical tension reveal the physical basis of polarizing cortical flows. *Nature* 2010, 467:617–621.

Using laser ablation to measure physical forces in C. elegans embryos combined with physical simulations this paper illustrates that cortical forces are responsible for embryo polaritzation.

- Xu S, Chisholm AD: Highly efficient optogenetic cell ablation in C. Elegans using membrane-targeted miniSOG. Sci Rep 2016, 6:1–13.
- Mittasch M, Gross P, Nestler M, Fritsch AW, Iserman C, Kar M, Munder M, Voigt A, Alberti S, Grill SW, *et al.*: Non-invasive perturbations of intracellular flow reveal physical principles of cell organization. *Nat Cell Biol* 2018, 20:344–351.

The paper introduces the concept of focused-light-induced cytoplasmic streaming, which allows to actively change cytoplasmic flows in C. elegans larva in wildtype animals.

 Liu X, Long F, Peng H, Aerni SJ, Jiang M, Sánchez-Blanco A, Murray JI, Preston E, Mericle B, Batzoglou S, *et al.*: Analysis of cell fate from single-cell gene expression profiles in C. elegans. *Cell* 2009, 139:623–633.

- Moore JL, Du Z, Bao Z: Systematic quantification of developmental phenotypes at single-cell resolution during embryogenesis. *Development* 2013, 140:3266–3274.
- Mendenhall AR, Tedesco PM, Sands B, Johnson TE, Brent R: Single cell quantification of reporter gene expression in live adult *Caenorhabditis elegans* reveals reproducible cellspecific expression patterns and underlying biological variation. *PLoS One* 2015, 10:1–21.
- Du Z, Santella A, He F, Shah PK, Kamikawa Y, Bao Z: The regulatory landscape of lineage differentiation in a metazoan embryo. *Dev Cell* 2015, 34:592–607.
- Fang-Yen C, Gabel CV, Samuel ADT, Bargmann CI, Avery L: Laser microsurgery in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. In *Methods in cell biology*. Edited by Rothman JH, Singson A, Elsevier Inc.; 2012:177–206.
- Pohl C, Tiongson M, Moore JL, Santella A, Bao Z: Actomyosinbased Self-organization of cell internalization during C. elegans gastrulation. BMC Biol 2012, 10:94.
- Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, Kaynig V, Longair M, Pietzsch T, Preibisch S, Rueden C, Saalfeld S, Schmid B, et al.: Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. Nat Methods 2012, 9:676–682.
- Stroustrup N, Ulmschneider BE, Nash ZM, López-Moyado IF, Apfeld J, Fontana W: The Caenorhabditis elegans lifespan machine. Nat Methods 2013, 10:665–670.

A method for fully-automated survival assays in *C. elegans*, making use of an array of flatbed scanners and automated image analysis software. This method allows lifespan imaging with minimal manual intervention.

- Tsibidis GD, Tavernarakis N: Nemo: a computational tool for analyzing nematode locomotion. BMC Neurosci 2007, 8:86.
- Swierczek NA, Giles AC, Rankin CH, Kerr RA: High-throughput behavioral analysis in C. elegans. Nat Methods 2011, 8: 592–602.
- Yemini El, Brown AEX: Tracking single C. elegans using a USB microscope on a motorized stage. In *Methods in molecular biology*. Edited by Biron D, Haspel G, Humana Press; 2015: 181–197.
- Schwarz RF, Branicky R, Grundy LJ, Schafer WR, Brown AEX: Changes in postural syntax characterize sensory modulation and natural variation of C. elegans locomotion. *PLoS Comput Biol* 2015, 11:1–16.
- Hakim A, Mor Y, Toker IA, Levine A, Neuhof M, Markovitz Y, Rechavi O: WorMachine: machine learning-based phenotypic analysis tool for worms. *BMC Biol* 2018, 16:1–11.
- Javer A, Currie M, Lee CW, Hokanson J, Li K, Martineau CN, Yemini E, Grundy LJ, Li C, Ch'ng Q, et al.: An open-source platform for analyzing and sharing worm-behavior data. Nat Methods 2018, 15:645–646.
- 100.Bringmann H: Agarose hydrogel microcompartments for imaging sleep- and wake-like behavior and nervous system development in *Caenorhabditis elegans* larvae. J Neurosci Methods 2011, 201:78–88.
- Gritti N, Kienle S, Filina O, van Zon JS: Long-term time-lapse microscopy of C. elegans post-embryonic development. Nat Commun 2016, 7:12500.
- 102. Pittman WE, Sinha DB, Zhang WB, Kinser HE, Pincus Z: A simple culture system for long-term imaging of individual C. elegans. Lab Chip 2017, 17:3909–3920.
- 103. Churgin MA, Jung S-K, Yu C-C, Chen X, Raizen DM, Fang-Yen C: Longitudinal imaging of *Caenorhabditis elegans* in a microfabricated device reveals variation in behavioral decline during aging. *Elife* 2017, 6:1–25.
- 104. Stern S, Kirst C, Bargmann CI: Neuromodulatory control of * long-term behavioral patterns and individuality across development. Cell 2017, 0:1–14.

Using a camera array capable of quantifying *C. elegans* behavior of single animals over their full developmental course, the authors characterize reproducible trajectories of foraging behaviors. Importantly, these behaviors differ between different developmental stages and genetic backgrounds. Taking advantage of the single animal resolution, the

authors further quantify non-genetic behavioral biases within isogenic populations.

- 105. Chai Y, Li W, Feng G, Yang Y, Wang X, Ou G: Live imaging of cellular dynamics during *Caenorhabditis elegans* post-embryonic development. *Nat Protoc* 2012, 7:2090–2102.
- 106. Faumont S, Miller AC, Lockery SR: Chemosensory behavior of semi-restrained Caenorhabditis elegans. J Neurobiol 2005, 65: 171–178.
- 107. Kopito RB, Levine E: Durable spatiotemporal surveillance of Caenorhabditis elegans response to environmental cues. Lab Chip 2014, 14:764–770.
- Zhuo W, Lu H, McGrath PT: Microfluidic platform with spatiotemporally controlled micro-environment for studying longterm: C. elegans developmental arrests. Lab Chip 2017, 17: 1826–1833.
- 109. Berger S, Lattmann E, Aegerter-Wilmsen T, Hengartner M, Hajnal A, DeMello A, Casadevall i Solvas X: Long-term C. elegans immobilization enables high resolution developmental studies in vivo. Lab Chip 2018, 18:1359–1368.
- 110. Cornaglia M, Mouchiroud L, Marette A, Narasimhan S, Lehnert T, Jovaisaite V, Auwerx J, Gijs MAM: An automated microfluidic platform for C. elegans embryo arraying, phenotyping, and long-term live imaging. Sci Rep 2015, 5:1–13.
- 111. Keil W, Kutscher LM, Shaham S, Siggia ED: Long-Term highresolution imaging of developing C. elegans larvae with microfluidics. *Dev Cell* 2017, 40:202–214.

A microfluidics setup, enabling high-resolution timelapse microscopy of up to ten *C. elegans* larvae for up to 72 h. The device transiently traps larvae by applying pressure to the chip, minimizing physical constriction of the larva.

- 112. Scholz AM, Linder AN, Randi F, Sharma AK, Yu X, Shaevitz JW, Leifer AM: Predicting natural behavior from whole-brain neural dynamics. *bioRxiv* 2018, 445643.
- 113. White JG, Southgate E, Thomson JN, Brenner S: The structure of the nervous system of the nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans.* Phil Trans Roy Soc Lond 1986, 314:1–340.
- 114. Jarrell TA, Wang Y, Bloniarz AE, Brittin CA, Xu M, Thomson JN, Albertson DG, Hall DH, Emmons SW: The connectome of a decision-making neural network. *Science* 2012, 337:437–445.
- 115. Chen TW, Wardill TJ, Sun Y, Pulver SR, Renninger SL, Baohan A, Schreiter ER, Kerr RA, Orger MB, Jayaraman V, et al.: Ultrasensitive fluorescent proteins for imaging neuronal activity. Nature 2013, 499:295–300.
- 116. Piatkevich KD, Jung EE, Straub C, Linghu C, Park D, Suk H-J, Hochbaum DR, Goodwin D, Pnevmatikakis E, Pak N, *et al.*: A robotic multidimensional directed evolution approach applied to fluorescent voltage reporters. *Nat Chem Biol* 2018, 14:352–360.
- 117. Kato S, Kaplan HS, Schrödel T, Skora S, Lindsay TH, Yemini E, ** Lockery S, Zimmer M: Global brain dynamics embed the motor command sequence of *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Cell* 2015, 163:656–669.

This paper correlates for the first time activities of a large number of neurons across the *C. elegans* nervous system with the animal's major motor commands. The authors find that most of the neurons engage in coordinated cyclical dynamics in response to a sensory input.

- 118. Schrödel T, Prevedel R, Aumayr K, Zimmer M, Vaziri A: Brainwide 3D imaging of neuronal activity in Caenorhabditis elegans with sculpted light. Nat Methods 2013, 10:1013–1020.
- 119. Prevedel R, Yoon YG, Hoffmann M, Pak N, Wetzstein G, Kato S, Schrödel T, Raskar R, Zimmer M, Boyden ES, *et al.*: Simultaneous whole-animal 3D imaging of neuronal activity using light-field microscopy. *Nat Methods* 2014, 11:727–730.
- 120. Nichols ALA, Eichler T, Latham R, Zimmer M: A global brain state underlies C. elegans sleep behavior. Science 2017, 356. eaam6851.
- 121. Skora S, Mende F, Skora S, Mende F, Zimmer M: Energy scarcity promotes a brain-wide sleep state modulated by insulin signaling in C. elegans. *Cell Rep* 2018, 22:953–966.

- 122. Ben Arous J, Tanizawa Y, Rabinowitch I, Chatenay D, Schafer WR: Automated imaging of neuronal activity in freely behaving Caenorhabditis elegans. J Neurosci Methods 2010, 187:229–234.
- 123. Larsch J, Ventimigli D, Bargmann CI, Albrecht DR: Highthroughput imaging of neuronal activity in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2013, 110:E4266–E4273.
- 124. Gordus A, Pokala N, Levy S, Flavell SW, Bargmann CI: Feedback from network states generates variability in a probabilistic olfactory circuit. *Cell* 2015, 161:215–227.
- 125. Nguyen JP, Shipley FB, Linder AN, Plummer GS, Liu M, Setru SU, Shaevitz JW, Leifer AM: Whole-brain calcium imaging with cellular resolution in freely behaving *Caenorhabditis elegans. Proc Natl Acad Sci* 2016, 113:E1074–E1081.
- 126. Venkatachalam V, Ji N, Wang X, Clark C, Mitchell JK, Klein M, Tabone CJ, Florman J, Ji H, Greenwood J, et al.: Pan-neuronal imaging in roaming *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Proc Natl Acad Sci 2016, 113:E1082–E1088.
- 127. Nguyen JP, Linder AN, Plummer GS, Shaevitz JW, Leifer AM: Automatically tracking neurons in a moving and deforming brain. PLoS Comput Biol 2017, 13, e1005517.
- 128. Nagel G, Brauner M, Liewald JF, Adeishvili N, Bamberg E, Gottschalk A: Report light activation of channelrhodopsin-2 in excitable cells of *Caenorhabditis elegans* triggers. *Rapid Behavioral Responses* 2005, 15:2279–2284.
- 129. Guo ZV, Hart AC, Ramanathan S: Optical interrogation of neural circuits in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Nat Methods 2009, 6:891–896.
- 130. Leifer AM, Fang-Yen C, Gershow M, Alkema MJ, Samuel ADT: Optogenetic manipulation of neural activity in freely moving *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Nat Methods 2011, 8: 147–152.
- 131. Stirman JN, Crane MM, Husson SJ, Wabnig S, Schultheis C, Gottschalk A, Lu H: Real-time multimodal optical control of neurons and muscles in freely behaving *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Nat Methods* 2011, 8:153–158.
- 132. Aoki W, Matsukura H, Yamauchi Y, Yokoyama H, Hasegawa K, Shinya R, Ueda M: Cellomics approach for high-throughput functional annotation of *Caenorhabditis elegans* neural network. *Sci Rep* 2018, 8:10380.
- 133. Lubeck E, Coskun AF, Zhiyentayev T, Ahmad M, Cai L: Singlecell in situ RNA profiling by sequential hybridization. Nat Methods 2014, 11:360–361.
- 134. Moffitt JR, Hao J, Wang G, Chen KH, Babcock HP, Zhuang X: High-throughput single-cell gene-expression profiling with multiplexed error-robust fluorescence in situ hybridization. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 2016, 113:11046–11051.
- 135. Cao J, Packer JS, Ramani V, Cusanovich DA, Huynh C, Daza R,
 ** Qiu X, Lee C, Furlan SN, Steemers FJ, et al.: Comprehensive single-cell transcriptional profiling of a multicellular organism. Science 2017, 357:661–667.

The first application of organism-wide single-cell mRNA sequencing to *C. elegans* larvae. By profiling nearly 50,000 cells from staged L2 larvae, the authors could successfully identify 27 cell types including rare neuronal cell types. By profiling cell types with frequencies as rare as a single cell per individual (e.g. ASE neurons), the study highlights the use of single-cell mRNA sequencing for obtaining datasets recapitulating gene expression at high-resolution.

- 136. Svensson V, Vento-Tormo R, Teichmann SA: Exponential scaling of single-cell RNA-seq in the past decade. Nat Protoc 2018, 13:599–604.
- 137. Diag A, Schilling M, Klironomos F, Ayoub S, Rajewsky N: Spatiotemporal m(i)RNA architecture and 3' UTR regulation in the C. elegans germline. Dev Cell 2018, 0:1–16.
- 138. Ebbing A, Vértesy Á, Betist MC, Spanjaard B, Junker JP, Berezikov E, van Oudenaarden A, Korswagen HC: Spatial transcriptomics of C. elegans males and hermaphrodites identifies sex-specific differences in gene expression patterns. Dev Cell 2018, https://doi.org/10.1016/ i.devcel.2018.10.016.
- 139. Arai R, Sugawara T, Sato Y, Minakuchi Y, Toyoda A, Nabeshima K, Kimura H, Kimura A: Reduction in chromosome mobility accompanies nuclear organization during early embryogenesis in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Sci Rep 2017, 7: 1–10.
- 140. Chronis N, Zimmer M, Bargmann CI: Microfluidics for in vivo imaging of neuronal and behavioral activity in *Caeno*rhabditis elegans. Nat Methods 2007, 4:727–731.
- 141. Sands B, Burnaevskiy N, Yun SR, Crane MM, Kaeberlein M, Mendenhall A: A toolkit for DNA assembly, genome engineering and multicolor imaging for C. elegans. *Transl Med Aging* 2018, 2:1–10.
- 142. Kelley M, Yochem J, Krieg M, Calixto A, Heiman MG, Kuzmanov A, Meli V, Chalfie M, Goodman MB, Shaham S, et al.: FBN-1, a fibrillin-related protein, is required for resistance ofthe epidermis to mechanical deformation during c. Elegans embryogenesis. *Elife* 2015, 2015:1–71.
- 143. Frøkjær-Jensen C, Jain N, Hansen L, Davis MW, Li Y, Zhao D, Rebora K, Millet JRRM, Liu X, Kim SK, *et al.*: An abundant class of non-coding DNA can prevent stochastic gene silencing in the C. elegans germline. *Cell* 2016, 166:343–357.
- 144. Griffin EE, Odde DJ, Seydoux G: Regulation of the MEX-5 gradient by a spatially segregated kinase/phosphatase cycle. *Cell* 2011, 146:955–958.
- 145. Weinheimer I, Jiu Y, Rajamäki ML, Matilainen O, Kallijärvi J, Cuellar WJ, Lu R, Saarma M, Holmberg CI, Jäntti J, et al.: Suppression of RNAi by dsRNA-degrading RNaselll enzymes of viruses in animals and plants. PLoS Pathog 2015, 11:1–25.
- 146. Dickinson DJ, Ward JD, Reiner DJ, Goldstein B: Engineering the Caenorhabditis elegans genome using Cas9-triggered homologous recombination. Nat Methods 2013, 10: 1028–1034.