EDITORIAL

Journal of Cachexia, Sarcopenia and Muscle 2016; **7**: 239–245 Published online in Wiley Online Library (wileyonlinelibrary.com) **DOI:** 10.1002/jcsm.12124

# Cancer cachexia—when proteasomal inhibition is not enough

#### Jens Fielitz<sup>1,2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Molecular Cardiology, Experimental and Clinical Research Center (ECRC), Charité—Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Max Delbrück Center (MDC) for Molecular Medicine in the Helmholtz Association, Berlin, Germany; <sup>2</sup>Department of Cardiology, Heart Center Brandenburg and Medical School Brandenburg (MHB), Bernau, Germany

#### Keywords Cancer; cachexia; wasting; proteasome

Received: 20 April 2016; Accepted: 29 April 2016 \*Correspondence to: Jens Fielitz, Experimental and Clinical Research Center, Lindenberger Weg 80, 13125 Berlin, Germany. Phone: +49 30 450 540424; Fax: +49 30 450 540928, Email jens.fielitz@charite.de

Cachexia is a life threatening syndrome associated with several diseases, such as end-stage heart failure, end-stage renal disease, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, chronic inflammation (i.e. rheumatoid arthritis), acquired immune deficiency syndrome, and cancer.<sup>1,2</sup> Cachexia is found in 31-87% of cancer patients especially in advanced disease stages.<sup>3</sup> It is characterized by progressive weight loss, metabolic alterations, fatigue, and persistent reduction of body cell mass in response to a malignant tumour.<sup>2,4–6</sup> The incidence of cachexia in cancer patients is dependent on the type and site of the tumour. While patients with non-Hodgkin's lymphoma, breast cancer, and sarcomas show low incidences, rates up to 83% in pancreatic cancer patients, and over 85% in patients with gastric cancer have been found. Additionally, around 60% of small-cell and non-small-cell lung cancer patients develop cachexia.<sup>7–9</sup> Cancer cachexia affects the function of several organs such as muscle, adipose tissue, liver, brain, immune system, and heart, collectively decreasing patients' quality of life and worsening their prognosis. Therefore, cachexia must be considered as a true multi-organ syndrome.<sup>10</sup> Because cancer cachexia leads to a decrease in physical performance and quality of life,<sup>11</sup> and is associated with poor survival (accounting for more than 20% of cancer deaths,<sup>7,12–15</sup>) it is of major clinical relevance. Even more so since cachectic patients show lower response rates to chemotherapy<sup>7</sup> and a reduced tolerance to anticancer treatment.<sup>16</sup> Despite its importance, weight loss in cancer patients is rarely recognized, assessed,<sup>17</sup> or treated actively.18,19 Thus, cancer cachexia represents an important underappreciated clinical syndrome.

### Muscle wasting is a major constituent of cancer cachexia

Cancer cachexia involves similar losses of muscle and adipose tissue and on a simplistic way, one could assume that this assures survival of the general organism. However, this response differs from starvation induced cachexia where the majority of weight loss is from adipose rather than muscle tissue.<sup>5,20,21</sup> In cancer cachexia, skeletal muscle wasting cannot be reversed by nutritional intervention arguing for cancerspecific signals that are involved in its pathogenesis. The loss of muscle mass is accompanied by decreased strength, which is responsible for most of the cancer cachexia-associated symptoms, and increased mortality and morbidity of patients.<sup>22,23</sup> Wasting not only involves skeletal but also chest, diaphragm, and cardiac muscle leading to fatigue and respiratory complications.<sup>3</sup> In fact, the majority of cancer deaths are related to respiratory<sup>24</sup> or cardiac failure.<sup>25</sup> Therefore, treatments capable to slow down, stop or even reverse muscle wasting in cancer cachexia may be beneficial for cancer patients in terms of physical independence, quality of life, tolerance to and sensitivity to anticancer treatments, and possibly reduce morbidity and mortality. However, despite its clinical importance and the foreseen impact for patients, the pathophysiology of cachexia-associated muscle wasting is still poorly understood preventing the development of specific therapies. Because maintenance of skeletal muscle mass and function is assured by a well-regulated balance of protein synthesis and degradation, a disturbed protein homeostasis with increased degradation and or decreased synthesis is a

<sup>© 2016</sup> The Authors. Journal of Cachexia, Sarcopenia and Muscle published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of the Society of Sarcopenia, Cachexia and Wasting Disorders This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.

major contributor to muscle wasting in cancer.<sup>26</sup> Increased protein degradation is caused by an elevated activity of muscular protein degrading systems predominantly the ubiquitin-proteasome system (UPS), which plays an important role in cancer patients experiencing weight loss.<sup>8</sup>

# Protein degradation is important for skeletal muscle wasting in cancer

The UPS is the main protein degrading system in eukaryotic cells.<sup>27</sup> It mediates the degradation of misfolded and mutated proteins as well as many proteins involved in the regulation of development, differentiation, cell proliferation, signal transduction, and apoptosis.<sup>28–30</sup> Activation of the UPS in skeletal muscle leads to degradation of structural and contractile proteins, most notably myosin heavy chain,<sup>31,32</sup> resulting in atrophy and decreased muscle function.<sup>33</sup> Proteins targeted for proteasomal degradation are ubiquitinated by a hierarchical-ordered series of enzymes, including the ubiquitin-activating enzyme E1, ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme E2, and the ubiquitin E3 ligases, which allow the targeted proteins to be recognized by the 26S proteasome, a multi-subunit protease complex composed of the 20S catalytic core and 19S regulatory particles.<sup>28,29,34</sup> Substrate specificity of the UPS is assured by E3 ligases and substrate adaptor proteins.<sup>35,36</sup> In many models of cachexia, including cancer, UPS activation is thought to mediate muscle atrophy.<sup>37</sup> During tumour cachexia, the UPS is up-regulated in skeletal muscle with an increased expression of the E3 ligase muscle-specific RING-finger 1 (MuRF1) and the substrate adaptor protein FBXO32/Atrogin-1.38 In a rat model of cachexia, induced by the Yoshida ascites hepatoma cells, UPS up-regulation was associated with increased Fbxo32/ Atrogin-1 gene expression<sup>39</sup> and increased protein ubiquitination.<sup>39</sup> This UPS activation in tumour-bearing mice is mediated by an increased activity of the transcription factor nuclear factor kB (NF-kB), which stimulates MuRF1 expression and muscle wasting.<sup>40</sup>

### Communication between tumour and host

It remains uncertain how tumour cells communicate with the host to induce cancer cachexia. The often anatomically distant locations between the tumour and the wasting musculature are suggestive for a signal released into the hosts' circulation by the tumour to cause muscle wasting in cachexia. Especially, chronic inflammation with elevated levels of circulating inflammatory cytokines is consistently observed in cachectic cancer patients.<sup>40</sup> Because chronic inflammation

affects the function of several tissues (i.e. skeletal muscle, fat, brain, and liver) it is an important cause of cancer cachexia.<sup>41</sup> Among the best studied inflammatory cytokines promoting cachexia are tumour necrosis factor alpha (TNFa), interleukin-6 (IL-6),<sup>42</sup> interleukin-1 (IL-1), and interferon gamma.<sup>43</sup> These cytokines are elevated in cancer<sup>44</sup> and may together trigger muscle wasting, probably by increasing NF-kB or by causing the release of other cytokines.<sup>45,46</sup> For example, TNF $\alpha$ , initially named cachectin,<sup>47</sup> promotes anorexia<sup>48</sup> and skeletal muscle wasting mainly through activation of the NF-kB pathway.<sup>49</sup> Therefore, it has been tested if TNF $\alpha$  blockade is beneficial to prevent cancer cachexia. Indeed, TNF $\alpha$ blockade improved cachexia-associated fatigue in a small group of cancer patients.<sup>50</sup> However, in recent randomized controlled clinical trials anti-TNF $\alpha$  therapies using either the TNF $\alpha$  receptor-blocker etanercept in patients with incurable malignancies<sup>51</sup> or the TNF $\alpha$ -specific monoclonal antibody infliximab in patients with metastatic non-small-cell lung cancer<sup>52</sup> did not prevent or palliate weight loss or muscle atrophy. Instead, infliximab increased fatigue and adversely affected patients' quality of life.<sup>52</sup> These data suggest that targeting TNF $\alpha$  alone is not sufficient to prevent cachexia. IL-6 and IL-1 are up-regulated in animal models of cancer cachexia,53 and IL-6 levels correlate with weight loss in certain human cancers.<sup>54</sup> Interestingly, cancer cachexia can be attenuated in an adenocarcinoma mouse model treated with anti-IL-6 antibodies.<sup>55</sup> However, if this strategy prevents muscle wasting in cancer patients needs to be shown.

As mentioned earlier, NF-kB is a key regulator of inflammatory responses and involved in muscle atrophy. 40,56,57 In the majority of cells, NF-kB exists in an inactive form in the cytoplasm bound to the inhibitory protein IkB. When stimulated with inflammatory cytokines (i.e. TNF $\alpha$ , IL-1, and IL-6), NF-kB is activated by degradation of IkB proteins. This occurs primarily via activation of IkB kinase, which phosphorylates IkB. IkB phosphorylation leads to its ubiquitination and degradation by the proteasome.<sup>58</sup> This allows free NF-kB to translocate to the nucleus and to stimulate the expression of its target genes.<sup>59</sup> Because NF-kB activation is important for muscle atrophy, many approaches were undertaken to reduce its activity. In mouse muscles, miss-expression of IkB to inhibit NF-kB reduced neurogenic atrophy in tumour-bearing mice.<sup>40</sup> In mice lacking IkB kinase- $\beta$ , neurogenic atrophy attenuated.<sup>56</sup> Synthetic double-stranded was also oligodeoxynucleotides, which block NF-kB binding to promoter regions has been shown to inhibit cachexia in a mouse tumour model.<sup>60</sup> Importantly, proteasome inhibitors interfere with the NF-kB pathway because they inhibit IkB degradation, which in turn prevents NF-kB activation.<sup>61</sup> Because MuRF1 and FBXO32/Atrogin-1 are NF-kB target genes, proteasome inhibition is expected to prevent muscle atrophy by maintaining NF-kB in an inactive state, and thus preventing up-regulation of MuRF-1 and FBXO32/Atrogin-1. Indeed, the proteasome inhibitor MG132 was shown to attenuate immobilization-induced atrophy. Likewise, the proteasome inhibitor bortezomib has been shown to reduce neurogenic atrophy.<sup>62</sup>

## Proteasome inhibition as strategy to treat cancer cachexia

Several groups investigated if UPS inhibition is beneficial to prevent muscle atrophy in different mouse models. For this approach, mainly two-specific, potent and reversible proteasome inhibitors, MG132 and bortezomib, were used. Both compounds inhibit degradation of ubiquitin-conjugated IkBa resulting in suppression of the NF-kB signalling pathway.<sup>63</sup> In addition, bortezomib and MG132 reduce proteolysis in skeletal muscle in vitro<sup>64,65</sup> and prevented muscle mass loss in an in vivo rat model of skeletal muscle wasting induced by denervation and cast immobilization of the hind limb.<sup>62,66</sup> MG132 was shown to preserve muscle and myofiber crosssectional area by down-regulating MuRF-1 and Fbxo32/ Atrogin-1 mRNA in a mouse model of hind limb-immobilization resulting in a diminished rehabilitation period.<sup>67</sup> In addition, an increased proteasome activity was found in a mouse model for Laminin-deficient congenital muscular dystrophy type 1A (MDC1A). Administration of MG132 increased lifespan, enhanced locomotive activity and enlarged muscle fibre diameter in MDC1A mice.<sup>68</sup> Bortezomib had also beneficial effects in the MDC1A mouse model and in MDC1A patient cells.<sup>69</sup> To this end, it was feasible to assume that proteasomal inhibition could be useful to block muscle wasting in cancer cachexia. This hypothesis was subsequently tested by Penna et al. who report their findings in this issue of the Journal.<sup>70</sup> Penna et al. investigated if bortezomib attenuates skeletal muscle wasting in two different and wellestablished animal models of tumour-induced muscle wasting.<sup>70</sup> Cancer cachexia was induced by intraperitoneal injection of Yoshida AH-130 ascites hepatoma cells in rats and by subcutaneous inoculation of C26 carcinoma cells in mice. As expected, bortezomib reduced proteasome activity on day 7 after transplantation of AH-130 tumour cells in the skeletal muscle, which was accompanied by a decreased NF-kB DNA-binding activity indicating that animals were effectively treated. However, bortezomib administration did not prevent body weight loss and muscle wasting in the AH-130 host rats. It also did not affect MuRF1 and Fbxo32/Atrogin-1 expression. Likewise, bortezomib did not prevent body and muscle weight loss 12 days after tumour implantation in C26-bearing mice. These data together with the published body of evidence indicate that the pathophysiology of cancer cachexia possibly involves additional NF-kB- and proteasome-independent protein degrading systems, such as autophagy and calpain proteases. For example, the autophagy pathway is activated in atrophying muscle of cancer patients.71-73 In a

small cohort, lung cancer patients presented increased levels of the autophagy mediators BCL2/adenovirus E1B 19kDa interacting protein 3 and light chain 3B, and the transcription factor FOXO1, which promotes autophagy.<sup>71</sup> Similarly, in another study performed on esophageal cancer patients vs. weight-stable non-cancerous control patients, autophagy was identified as the main promoter of skeletal muscle proteolysis.<sup>74</sup> Also calpain proteases have been proposed to initiate protein degradation during cachexia;75,76 however, limited information concerning their role in muscle wasting is available.<sup>39</sup> Of note, in contrast to the data of Penna et al., the proteasome inhibitor MG132 was found to attenuate weight loss and muscle atrophy, and increased spontaneous activity and survival time in a C26-tumour-induced cancer cachexia mouse model.<sup>77</sup> Together with other studies in which treatment with MG132 was shown to be effective in preventing muscle dystrophy,<sup>78</sup> disuse-induced atrophy,<sup>67</sup> and immobilization-mediated skeletal muscle atrophy,79 these data indicate that MG132 could be useful to prevent cancer cachexia. However, MG132 also inhibits cathepsin and calpain proteases,<sup>80</sup> which might partially explain its favourable treatment effects and the differences between MG132 and bortezomib used by Penna et al.. Therefore, the individual contribution of specific protein degrading systems and proteases in cancer cachexia as well as the effect of their inhibition as treatment option needs to be defined. In addition, MG132 also reduced the tumour burden with a reduction in tumour volume and weight.<sup>77</sup> Because tumours seem to interact with the host by factors that induce muscle wasting a reduction in tumour size will also lead to their decrease, which may result in less wasting. Therefore, it is difficult to decide if proteasome inhibition by MG132 in muscle or in the tumour or both together reduced the occurrence of cancer cachexia in this mouse model. A side-by-side comparison of bortezomib and MG132 in C26-bearing mice could show if this accounts for the differences of both studies.

In addition, despite the large body of evidence supporting the UPS as a major driver of muscle atrophy in animal models investigations of UPS components or activity in patient material are non-conclusive. Studies including patients with critical illness, following bed rest, limb immobilization, COPD, and ageing have demonstrated both increased and decreased expression of MuRF1 and FBXO32/Atrogin-1.<sup>81–84</sup> Of note, there is no convincing evidence for increased UPS-mediated proteolysis in skeletal muscle biopsies of cachectic cancer patients.<sup>71,85</sup> Investigations of UPS activity in biopsies of the quadriceps muscle have shown similar levels to healthy controls in patients with lung cancer and weight loss below 10%.<sup>85</sup> Another study in lung cancer patients with low weight loss found no changes in UPS components.<sup>86</sup> In contrast, in gastric cancer patients with average weight loss of 5%, increased UPS activity was measured compared with controls.<sup>87</sup> These data implicate that there are certain forms of muscle atrophy and forms of cancer cachexia, respectively,

where UPS-mediated proteolysis is not the main pathway in the disease process and proteasome inhibition will therefore not be able to attenuate the atrophy response. This hypothesis is strengthened by data from non-cancer patients and animal models. For example, the UPS is activated in muscle of patients with intensive care unit-acquired weakness<sup>81</sup> and mice with polymicrobial sepsis.<sup>88,89</sup> Accordingly, mice exposed to endotoxin, a cell wall component of gram-negative bacteria, display enhanced proteolytic activity in the diaphragm leading to a reduction in muscle mass, force, and protein content. However, proteasome inhibition of endotoxin-treated mice did not prevent reductions in diaphragm-specific force generation indicating that inhibition of proteasome-mediated proteolysis alone does not prevent endotoxin-induced reductions in diaphragm force generation.<sup>90</sup> These data indicate that proteasome inhibition is not effective in each and every model of muscle atrophy.

In general, bortezomib is well tolerated by patients. Nevertheless, it is associated with some toxicity, such as nausea, diarrhoea, fatigue, and generalized weakness. Indeed, proteasome inhibition is a double-edged sword as the proteasome mediates degradation of a multitude of proteins involved in critical biological processes and its inhibition possibly promotes protein accumulation that may cause proteotoxic effects. Penna *et al.* described that bortezomib exerted a transient toxicity, which led to a reduced food intake in their animals.<sup>70</sup> Because food deprivation increases MuRF1 and Fbxo32/Atrogin-1 expression and causes muscle atrophy,<sup>89</sup> this side effect is important for data interpretation. Even if bortezomib would have inhibited cachexia, the treatment-associated reduced food intake could have counteracted this effect.

Finally, caution is needed when interpreting animal models of cancer cachexia to the true cachexia phenotype in patients. Penna *et al.* used well-established models of cancer cachexia. However, these models do have their limitations; the young age and rapid progression of inoculated tumour cells are only few of them. Furthermore, analysis 7 days after injection of AH-130 cells and 12 days after C26 transplantation, respectively, argues for an acute cachexia model. These points do not reflect the clinical situation in tumour patients where cachexia develops over a longer period in mainly older patients. Further studies also need to consider that cancer cachexia is a continuum with at least three stages of clinical relevance including pre-cachexia, cachexia and refractory cachexia.<sup>91</sup>

### Cancer cachexia is a multifactorial syndrome

In conclusion, we need to realize that not a single cytokine or signalling pathway is responsible for cancer cachexia; it is rather caused by a multitude of factors and signalling

pathways that we only begin to understand. Therefore, it is unlikely that treatments targeting only one aspect of the syndrome, such as the proteasome or individual cytokines, will effectively block its pathogenesis or progression. Cachexia itself is a multifactorial syndrome that might phenotypically appear similar. However, the appearance of a patient does not provide mechanistic information. The path towards the cachectic phenotype is most likely different for various tumour types. When the pathway responsible for the cachectic phenotype is uncertain, it is difficult to develop or apply the right treatment. The data from Penna et al. should encourage us to look into proteasome- and NF-kB-independent signalling pathways involved in cancer cachexia and identify novel targets to treat this syndrome. Further studies are needed to elucidate precise signalling pathways involved in cancer cachexia; and first steps towards this direction are already being taken.<sup>92,93</sup> Some of the factors increased in cancer cachexia, such as angiotensin II,<sup>94</sup> and the transforming growth factor beta family members myostatin<sup>95</sup> and activin A,<sup>96</sup> have already been identified. Especially, myostatin and activin A are up-regulated in patients with various types of malignancies (for a review, see<sup>97</sup>). Myostatin inhibits muscle growth and its overexpression promotes it.<sup>98</sup> Therefore, inhibition of myostatin-activin A signalling is an attractive therapeutic target for treatment of cancer-associated muscle wasting. Indeed, blockade of activin receptor IIB (ActRIIB), the receptor for activin A and myostatin and other transforming growth factor beta family members, was sufficient to prevent cachexia, increased muscle function and even prolonged survival in several cancer cachexia mouse models.99,100 This study showed that inhibition of cachexia has direct impact on cancer death.<sup>99</sup> If myostatin inhibitors are beneficial as therapies for cancer, associated muscle wasting is currently being tested in clinical trials. Further mechanisms, which are involved in the pathogenesis of cancer cachexia include growth differentiation factor-15, macrophage inhibitory cytokine-1,<sup>101,102</sup> leukaemia inhibitory factor,<sup>103</sup> Fn14,<sup>104</sup> signal transducer and activator of transcription 3,<sup>105</sup> parathyroid hormone-related protein,<sup>106</sup> and histone deacetylases;<sup>107</sup> and this list is steadily increasing.92,93 Future studies need to be performed in a tumour-specific and disease stage-dependent manner to answer the question why certain cancers are more prone to cause cachexia than others, and to identify tumour-specific differences in cachexia pathways. Information gained by those studies will be useful to develop target and disease stage-specific treatments. Although animal models are useful in this regard they may or may not reflect the situation in patients at advanced tumour stages, and we need to appreciate this limitation cautiously. Results from treatment studies on cachexia animal models that could not be successfully confirmed in patients should encourage us to intensify collaboration between clinicians and basic scientists to promote patient-based research and to tackle this life-threatening syndrome.

#### Acknowledgements

The author certifies that he complies with the ethical guidelines for publishing in the Journal of Cachexia, Sarcopenia and Muscle: update 2015.<sup>108</sup>

#### Conflict of interest

None declared.

#### References

- Cohen S, Nathan JA, Goldberg AL. Muscle wasting in disease: molecular mechanisms and promising therapies. *Nat Rev Drug Discov* 2015;14:58–74.
- von Haehling S, Anker SD. Prevalence, incidence and clinical impact of cachexia: facts and numbers-update 2014. J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle 2014;5:261–3.
- Muscaritoli M, Bossola M, Aversa Z, Bellantone R, Rossi FF. Prevention and treatment of cancer cachexia: new insights into an old problem. *Eur J Cancer* 2006;42:31–41.
- 4. Tisdale MJ. Cancer anorexia and cachexia. *Nutrition* 2001;**17**:438–42.
- Brennan MF. Uncomplicated starvation versus cancer cachexia. *Cancer Res* 1977;**37**:2359–64.
- Mantovani G, Maccio A, Madeddu C, Gramignano G, Serpe R, Massa E, et al. Randomized phase III clinical trial of five different arms of treatment for patients with cancer cachexia: interim results. *Nutrition* 2008;24:305–13.
- Dewys WD, Begg C, Lavin PT, Band PR, Bennett JM, Bertino JR, et al. Prognostic effect of weight loss prior to chemotherapy in cancer patients. Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group. Am J Med 1980;69:491–7.
- 8. Tisdale MJ. Mechanisms of cancer cachexia. *Physiol Rev* 2009;**89**:381–410.
- Bruera E. ABC of palliative care. Anorexia, cachexia, and nutrition. BMJ 1997;315:1219–22.
- Argiles JM, Busquets S, Stemmler B, Lopez-Soriano FJ. Cancer cachexia: understanding the molecular basis. *Nat Rev Cancer* 2014;14:754–62.
- Moses AW, Slater C, Preston T, Barber MD, Fearon KC. Reduced total energy expenditure and physical activity in cachectic patients with pancreatic cancer can be modulated by an energy and protein dense oral supplement enriched with n-3 fatty acids. Br J Cancer 2004;90:996–1002.
- Loberg RD, Bradley DA, Tomlins SA, Chinnaiyan AM, Pienta KJ. The lethal phenotype of cancer: the molecular basis of death due to malignancy. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2007;**57**:225–41.
- Fearon KC. Cancer cachexia: developing multimodal therapy for a multidimensional problem. *Eur J Cancer* 2008;44:1124–32.
- Inui A. Cancer anorexia-cachexia syndrome: current issues in research and management. CA Cancer J Clin 2002;52:72–91.

- Fearon KC, Voss AC, Hustead DS. Cancer cachexia study G. Definition of cancer cachexia: effect of weight loss, reduced food intake, and systemic inflammation on functional status and prognosis. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2006;83:1345–50.
- Bachmann J, Heiligensetzer M, Krakowski-Roosen H, Buchler MW, Friess H, Martignoni ME. Cachexia worsens prognosis in patients with resectable pancreatic cancer. J Gastrointest Surg: Official J Society Surg Alimentary Tract 2008;12:1193–201.
- Churm D, Andrew IM, Holden K, Hildreth AJ, Hawkins C. A questionnaire study of the approach to the anorexia-cachexia syndrome in patients with cancer by staff in a district general hospital. Support Care Canc: Official J Multinational Assoc Supportive Care Cancer 2009;17:503–7.
- Spiro A, Baldwin C, Patterson A, Thomas J, Andreyev HJ. The views and practice of oncologists towards nutritional support in patients receiving chemotherapy. Br J Cancer 2006;95:431–4.
- Pacelli F, Bossola M, Rosa F, Tortorelli AP, Papa V, Doglietto GB. Is malnutrition still a risk factor of postoperative complications in gastric cancer surgery? *Clin Nutr* 2008;27:398–407.
- Tisdale MJ. Cancer cachexia: metabolic alterations and clinical manifestations. *Nutrition* 1997;13:1–7.
- Thomas DR. Distinguishing starvation from cachexia. Clin Geriatr Med 2002;18:883–91.
- McMillan DC. An inflammation-based prognostic score and its role in the nutrition-based management of patients with cancer. *Proc Nutr Soc* 2008;67:257–62.
- Fearon K, Arends J, Baracos V. Understanding the mechanisms and treatment options in cancer cachexia. Nat Rev Clin Oncol 2013;10:90–9.
- Houten L, Reilley AA. An investigation of the cause of death from cancer. J Surg Oncol 1980;13:111–6.
- Kalantar-Zadeh K, Rhee C, Sim JJ, Stenvinkel P, Anker SD, Kovesdy CP. Why cachexia kills: examining the causality of poor outcomes in wasting conditions. J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle 2013;4:89–94.
- Boddaert MS, Gerritsen WR, Pinedo HM. On our way to targeted therapy for cachexia in cancer? *Curr Opin Oncol* 2006;**18**:335–40.
- Orlowski RZ, Kuhn DJ. Proteasome inhibitors in cancer therapy: lessons from the first decade. *Clin Canc Res: Official J Am Assoc Canc Res* 2008;14:1649–57.

- Ciechanover A. The ubiquitin-proteasome proteolytic pathway. *Cell* 1994;**79**:13–21.
- Hochstrasser M. Ubiquitin, proteasomes, and the regulation of intracellular protein degradation. *Curr Opin Cell Biol* 1995;**7**:215–23.
- Goldberg AL. Protein degradation and protection against misfolded or damaged proteins. *Nature* 2003;426:895–9.
- Fielitz J, Kim MS, Shelton JM, Latif S, Spencer JA, Glass DJ, et al. Myosin accumulation and striated muscle myopathy result from the loss of muscle RING finger 1 and 3. J Clin Invest 2007;117:2486–95.
- Lodka D, Pahuja A, Geers-Knörr C, Scheibe R, Nowak M, Hamati J, et al. Muscle RINGfinger 2 and 3 maintain striated-muscle structure and function. J Cachexia, Sarcopenia Muscle 2015.
- Glass DJ. Signalling pathways that mediate skeletal muscle hypertrophy and atrophy. *Nat Cell Biol* 2003;5:87–90.
- Goldberg AL, Akopian TN, Kisselev AF, Lee DH, Rohrwild M. New insights into the mechanisms and importance of the proteasome in intracellular protein degradation. *Biol Chem* 1997;**378**:131–40.
- Powell SR. The ubiquitin-proteasome system in cardiac physiology and pathology. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol 2006;291:H1–H19.
- Ciechanover A. The ubiquitin proteolytic system: from a vague idea, through basic mechanisms, and onto human diseases and drug targeting. *Neurology* 2006;**66**:S7–19.
- Bodine SC, Latres E, Baumhueter S, Lai VK, Nunez L, Clarke BA, et al. Identification of ubiquitin ligases required for skeletal muscle atrophy. *Science* 2001;294:1704–8.
- Johns N, Stephens NA, Fearon KC. Muscle wasting in cancer. Int J Biochem Cell Biol 2013;45:2215–29.
- Baracos VE, DeVivo C, Hoyle DH, Goldberg AL. Activation of the ATP-ubiquitin-proteasome pathway in skeletal muscle of cachectic rats bearing a hepatoma. *Am J Physiol* 1995;268:E996–1006.
- Cai D, Frantz JD, Tawa NE Jr, Melendez PA, Oh BC, Lidov HG, et al. IKKbeta/NFkappaB activation causes severe muscle wasting in mice. *Cell* 2004;**119**:285–98.
- Argiles JM, Lopez-Soriano FJ, Busquets S. Counteracting inflammation: a promising therapy in cachexia. *Crit Rev Oncog* 2012;17:253–62.
- Baltgalvis KA, Berger FG, Pena MM, Davis JM, Muga SJ, Carson JA. Interleukin-6 and cachexia in ApcMin/+ mice. Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol 2008;294: R393–401.

- Mantovani G, Maccio A, Mura L, Massa E, Mudu MC, Mulas C, et al. Serum levels of leptin and proinflammatory cytokines in patients with advanced-stage cancer at different sites. J Mol Med (Berl) 2000;78:554–61.
- Reid MB, Li YP. Tumor necrosis factor-alpha and muscle wasting: a cellular perspective. *Respir Res* 2001;2:269–72.
- Yamaki T, Wu CL, Gustin M, Lim J, Jackman RW, Kandarian SC. Rel A/p65 is required for cytokine-induced myotube atrophy. Am J Physiol Cell Physiol 2012;303:C135–42.
- Zhang L, Pan J, Dong Y, Tweardy DJ, Dong Y, Garibotto G, et al. Stat3 activation links a C/EBPdelta to myostatin pathway to stimulate loss of muscle mass. *Cell Metab* 2013;18:368–79.
- Torti FM, Dieckmann B, Beutler B, Cerami A, Ringold GM. A macrophage factor inhibits adipocyte gene expression: an in vitro model of cachexia. *Science* 1985;**229**:867–9.
- Jakubowski AA, Casper ES, Gabrilove JL, Templeton MA, Sherwin SA, Oettgen HF. Phase I trial of intramuscularly administered tumor necrosis factor in patients with advanced cancer. J Clin Oncol: Official J Am Soc Clin Oncol 1989;7:298–303.
- 49. Han Y, Weinman S, Boldogh I, Walker RK, Brasier AR. Tumor necrosis factor-alphainducible IkappaBalpha proteolysis mediated by cytosolic m-calpain. A mechanism parallel to the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway for nuclear factor-kappab activation. J Biol Chem 1999;**274**:787–94.
- Monk JP, Phillips G, Waite R, Kuhn J, Schaaf LJ, Otterson GA, et al. Assessment of tumor necrosis factor alpha blockade as an intervention to improve tolerability of dose-intensive chemotherapy in cancer patients. J Clin Oncol: Official J Am Soc Clin Oncol 2006;24:1852–9.
- 51. Jatoi A, Dakhil SR, Nguyen PL, Sloan JA, Kugler JW, Rowland KM Jr, et al. A placebo-controlled double blind trial of etanercept for the cancer anorexia/ weight loss syndrome: results from N00C1 from the North Central Cancer Treatment Group. Cancer 2007:110:1396–403.
- Jatoi A, Ritter HL, Dueck A, Nguyen PL, Nikcevich DA, Luyun RF, et al. A placebocontrolled, double-blind trial of infliximab for cancer-associated weight loss in elderly and/or poor performance non-small cell lung cancer patients (N01C9). Lung Cancer 2010;68:234–9.
- Catalano MG, Fortunati N, Arena K, Costelli P, Aragno M, Danni O, et al. Selective up-regulation of tumor necrosis factor receptor I in tumor-bearing rats with cancer-related cachexia. *Int J Oncol* 2003;23:429–36.
- Scott HR, McMillan DC, Crilly A, McArdle CS, Milroy R. The relationship between weight loss and interleukin 6 in nonsmall-cell lung cancer. Br J Cancer 1996;73:1560–2.
- 55. Strassmann G, Fong M, Kenney JS, Jacob CO. Evidence for the involvement of

interleukin 6 in experimental cancer cachexia. *J Clin Invest* 1992;**89**:1681–4.

- Mourkioti F, Kratsios P, Luedde T, Song YH, Delafontaine P, Adami R, et al. Targeted ablation of IKK2 improves skeletal muscle strength, maintains mass, and promotes regeneration. J Clin Invest 2006;116:2945–54.
- Hunter RB, Kandarian SC. Disruption of either the Nfkb1 or the Bcl3 gene inhibits skeletal muscle atrophy. J Clin Invest 2004;114:1504–11.
- Karin M, Yamamoto Y, Wang QM. The IKK NF-kappa B system: a treasure trove for drug development. *Nat Rev Drug Discov* 2004;**3**:17–26.
- Ghosh S, May MJ, Kopp EB. NF-kappa B and Rel proteins: evolutionarily conserved mediators of immune responses. *Annu Rev Immunol* 1998;16:225–60.
- Kawamura I, Morishita R, Tomita N, Lacey E, Aketa M, Tsujimoto S, et al. Intratumoral injection of oligonucleotides to the NF kappa B binding site inhibits cachexia in a mouse tumor model. *Gene Ther* 1999;6:91–7.
- Traenckner EB, Wilk S, Baeuerle PA. A proteasome inhibitor prevents activation of NF-kappa B and stabilizes a newly phosphorylated form of I kappa B-alpha that is still bound to NF-kappa B. EMBO J 1994;13:5433–41.
- Beehler BC, Sleph PG, Benmassaoud L, Grover GJ. Reduction of skeletal muscle atrophy by a proteasome inhibitor in a rat model of denervation. *Exp Biol Med* 2006;**231**:335–41.
- Inoue S, Nakase H, Matsuura M, Mikami S, Ueno S, Uza N, et al. The effect of proteasome inhibitor MG132 on experimental inflammatory bowel disease. *Clin Exp Immunol* 2009;**156**:172–82.
- Tawa NE Jr, Odessey R, Goldberg AL. Inhibitors of the proteasome reduce the accelerated proteolysis in atrophying rat skeletal muscles. J Clin Invest 1997;100:197–203.
- Fischer D, Gang G, Pritts T, Hasselgren PO. Sepsis-induced muscle proteolysis is prevented by a proteasome inhibitor in vivo. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 2000;**270**:215–21.
- Krawiec BJ, Frost RA, Vary TC, Jefferson LS, Lang CH. Hindlimb casting decreases muscle mass in part by proteasome-dependent proteolysis but independent of protein synthesis. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab 2005;289:E969–80.
- Caron AZ, Haroun S, Leblanc E, Trensz F, Guindi C, Amrani A, et al. The proteasome inhibitor MG132 reduces immobilizationinduced skeletal muscle atrophy in mice. BMC Musculoskelet Disord 2011;12:185.
- Carmignac V, Quere R, Durbeej M. Proteasome inhibition improves the muscle of laminin alpha2 chain-deficient mice. *Hum Mol Genet* 2011;**20**:541–52.
- Korner Z, Fontes-Oliveira CC, Holmberg J, Carmignac V, Durbeej M. Bortezomib partially improves laminin alpha2 chain-deficient muscular dystrophy. *Am J Pathol* 2014;**184**:1518–28.

- Penna F, Bonetto A, Aversa Z, Minero VG, Rossi Fanelli F, Costelli P, et al. Effect of the specific proteasome inhibitor bortezomib on cancer-related muscle wasting. J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle 2015; DOI:10.1007/jcsm.12057.
- 71. Op den Kamp CM, Langen RC, Snepvangers FJ, de Theije CC, Schellekens JM, Laugs F, et al. Nuclear transcription factor kappa B activation and protein turnover adaptations in skeletal muscle of patients with progressive stages of lung cancer cachexia. Am J Clin Nutr 2013;98:738–48.
- Guo Y, Gosker HR, Schols AM, Kapchinsky S, Bourbeau J, Sandri M, et al. Autophagy in locomotor muscles of patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2013;**188**:1313–20.
- Penna F, Baccino FM, Costelli P. Coming back: autophagy in cachexia. Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care 2014;17:241–6.
- Tardif N, Klaude M, Lundell L, Thorell A, Rooyackers O. Autophagic-lysosomal pathway is the main proteolytic system modified in the skeletal muscle of esophageal cancer patients. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2013;**98**:1485–92.
- Costelli P, Reffo P, Penna F, Autelli R, Bonelli G, Baccino FM. Ca(2+)-dependent proteolysis in muscle wasting. *Int J Biochem Cell Biol* 2005;**37**:2134–46.
- Smith IJ, Aversa Z, Hasselgren PO, Pacelli F, Rosa F, Doglietto GB, et al. Calpain activity is increased in skeletal muscle from gastric cancer patients with no or minimal weight loss. *Muscle Nerve* 2011;43:410–4.
- Zhang L, Tang H, Kou Y, Li R, Zheng Y, Wang Q, et al. MG132-mediated inhibition of the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway ameliorates cancer cachexia. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol 2013;139:1105–15.
- Lipscomb L, Angela Parkin C, Juusola M, Winder SJ. The proteasomal inhibitor MG132 prevents muscular dystrophy in zebrafish. *PLoS Currents Muscular Dystrophy* 2011;DOI:10.1371/currents.RRN1286.
- Jamart C, Raymackers JM, Li An G, Deldicque L, Francaux M. Prevention of muscle disuse atrophy by MG132 proteasome inhibitor. *Muscle Nerve* 2011;43:708–16.
- Kisselev AF, Goldberg AL. Proteasome inhibitors: from research tools to drug candidates. *Chem Biol* 2001;8:739–58.
- Wollersheim T, Woehlecke J, Krebs M, Hamati J, Lodka D, Luther-Schroeder A, et al. Dynamics of myosin degradation in intensive care unit-acquired weakness during severe critical illness. *Intensive Care Med* 2014;40:528–38.
- Klaude M, Mori M, Tjader I, Gustafsson T, Wernerman J, Rooyackers O. Protein metabolism and gene expression in skeletal muscle of critically ill patients with sepsis. *Clin Sci (Lond)* 2012;122:133–42.
- Edstrom E, Altun M, Hagglund M, Ulfhake B. Atrogin-1/MAFbx and MuRF1 are downregulated in aging-related loss of skeletal muscle. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 2006;61:663–74.

- Doucet M, Russell AP, Leger B, Debigare R, Joanisse DR, Caron MA, et al. Muscle atrophy and hypertrophy signaling in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2007;176:261–9.
- 85. Op den Kamp CM, Langen RC, Minnaard R, Kelders MC, Snepvangers FJ, Hesselink MK, et al. Pre-cachexia in patients with stages I-III non-small cell lung cancer: systemic inflammation and functional impairment without activation of skeletal muscle ubiquitin proteasome system. Lung Cancer 2012;**76**:112–7.
- 86. Jagoe RT, Redfern CP, Roberts RG, Gibson GJ, Goodship TH. Skeletal muscle mRNA levels for cathepsin B, but not components of the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway, are increased in patients with lung cancer referred for thoracotomy. *Clin Sci* (*Lond*) 2002;**102**:353–61.
- Bossola M, Muscaritoli M, Costelli P, Grieco G, Bonelli G, Pacelli F, et al. Increased muscle proteasome activity correlates with disease severity in gastric cancer patients. *Ann Surg* 2003;**237**:384–9.
- Langhans C, Weber-Carstens S, Schmidt F, Hamati J, Kny M, Zhu X, et al. (2014) Inflammation-Induced Acute Phase Response in Skeletal Muscle and Critical Illness Myopathy. *PLoS One*: 9(3);e92048. DOI:10.1371/journal.pone.0092048.
- Schmidt F, Kny M, Zhu X, Wollersheim T, Persicke K, Langhans C, et al. The E3 ubiquitin ligase TRIM62 and inflammation-induced skeletal muscle atrophy. *Crit Care* 2014;18:545.
- Supinski GS, Vanags J, Callahan LA. Effect of proteasome inhibitors on endotoxin-induced diaphragm dysfunction. Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol 2009;296:L994– L1001.
- Fearon K, Strasser F, Anker SD, Bosaeus I, Bruera E, Fainsinger RL, et al. Definition and classification of cancer cachexia: an

international consensus. *Lancet Oncol* 2011;**12**:489–95.

- Schafer M, Oeing CU, Rohm M, Baysal-Temel E, Lehmann LH, Bauer R, et al. Ataxin-10 is part of a cachexokine cocktail triggering cardiac metabolic dysfunction in cancer cachexia. *Mol Metabol* 2016;5:67–78.
- McLean JB, Moylan JS, Horrell EM, Andrade FH. Proteomic analysis of media from lung cancer cells reveals role of 14-3-3 proteins in cachexia. *Frontiers Physiol* 2015;6:136.
- Yoshida T, Delafontaine P. Mechanisms of cachexia in chronic disease states. Am J Med Sci 2015;350:250–6.
- Lokireddy S, Wijesoma IW, Bonala S, Wei M, Sze SK, McFarlane C, et al. Myostatin is a novel tumoral factor that induces cancer cachexia. *Biochem* J 2012;**446**:23–36.
- Loumaye A, de Barsy M, Nachit M, Lause P, Frateur L, van Maanen A, et al. Role of activin A and myostatin in human cancer cachexia. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2015;100:2030–8.
- Han HQ, Zhou X, Mitch WE, Goldberg AL. Myostatin/activin pathway antagonism: molecular basis and therapeutic potential. *Int J Biochem Cell Biol* 2013;45:2333–47.
- McPherron AC, Lawler AM, Lee SJ. Regulation of skeletal muscle mass in mice by a new TGF-beta superfamily member. *Nature* 1997;**387**:83–90.
- Zhou X, Wang JL, Lu J, Song Y, Kwak KS, Jiao Q, et al. Reversal of cancer cachexia and muscle wasting by ActRIIB antagonism leads to prolonged survival. *Cell* 2010;142:531–43.
- 100. Busquets S, Toledo M, Orpi M, Massa D, Porta M, Capdevila E, et al. Myostatin blockage using actRIIB antagonism in mice bearing the Lewis lung carcinoma results in the improvement of muscle

wasting and physical performance. J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle 2012;3:37-43.

- Johnen H, Lin S, Kuffner T, Brown DA, Tsai VW, Bauskin AR, et al. Tumor-induced anorexia and weight loss are mediated by the TGF-beta superfamily cytokine MIC-1. Nat Med 2007;13:1333–40.
- 102. Tsai VW, Husaini Y, Manandhar R, Lee-Ng KK, Zhang HP, Harriott K, et al. Anorexia/ cachexia of chronic diseases: a role for the TGF-beta family cytokine MIC-1/ GDF15. J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle 2012;**3**:239–43.
- Seto DN, Kandarian SC, Jackman RW. A key role for leukemia inhibitory factor in C26 cancer cachexia. J Biol Chem 2015;290:19976–86.
- 104. Johnston AJ, Murphy KT, Jenkinson L, Laine D, Emmrich K, Faou P, et al. Targeting of Fn14 prevents cancer-induced cachexia and prolongs survival. *Cell* 2015;**162**:1365–78.
- 105. Silva KA, Dong J, Dong Y, Dong Y, Schor N, Tweardy DJ, et al. Inhibition of Stat3 activation suppresses caspase-3 and the ubiquitin-proteasome system, leading to preservation of muscle mass in cancer cachexia. J Biol Chem 2015;290:11177–87.
- 106. Kir S, White JP, Kleiner S, Kazak L, Cohen P, Baracos VE, et al. Tumour-derived PTH-related protein triggers adipose tissue browning and cancer cachexia. *Nature* 2014;**513**:100–4.
- 107. Tseng YC, Kulp SK, Lai IL, Hsu EC, He WA, Frankhouser DE, et al. Preclinical investigation of the novel histone deacetylase inhibitor AR-42 in the treatment of cancer-induced cachexia. J Natl Cancer Inst 2015;107: DOI:10.1093/jnci/ djv274.
- von Haehling S, Morley JE, Coats AJS, Anker SD. Ethical guidelines for publishing in the journal of cachexia, sarcopenia and muscle: update 2015. J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle 2015;6:315–6.