

**OPEN ACCESS**

**Repository of the Max Delbrück Center for Molecular Medicine (MDC)  
in the Helmholtz Association**

<http://edoc.mdc-berlin.de/15749>

**From ultrahigh to extreme field magnetic resonance: where physics,  
biology and medicine meet**

---

Niendorf, T. and Barth, M. and Kober, F. and Trattnig, S.

This is the final version of the accepted manuscript. The original article has been published in final edited form in:

Magnetic Resonance Materials in Physics, Biology and Medicine  
2017 JUN ; 29(3): 309-311  
2016 MAY 24 (first published online)

Publisher: [Springer Verlag](#)

The final publication is available at Springer via : <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10334-016-0564-1>

## Editorial

### ***From ultrahigh to extreme field magnetic resonance: where physics, biology and medicine meet***

Thoralf Niendorf<sup>1</sup>, Markus Barth<sup>2</sup>, Frank Kober<sup>3</sup>, Siegfried Trattnig<sup>4</sup>

1) Berlin Ultrahigh Field Facility (B.U.F.F.)

2) Max-Delbrueck Center for Molecular Medicine in the Helmholtz Association  
Robert Roessle Strasse 10  
13125, Berlin, Germany  
[thoralf.niendorf@mdc-berlin.de](mailto:thoralf.niendorf@mdc-berlin.de)

2) The Centre for Advanced Imaging  
The University of Queensland  
Building 57  
Research Road  
St Lucia, QLD, 4072  
Australia  
[m.barth@uq.edu.au](mailto:m.barth@uq.edu.au)

3) Centre de Résonance Magnétique Biologique et Médicale (CRMBM)  
Aix-Marseille Université, CNRS UMR7339  
13385 Marseille Cedex 05  
France  
[frank.kober@univ-amu.fr](mailto:frank.kober@univ-amu.fr)

4) MR Centre of Excellence (MRCE)  
Medical University of Vienna  
Währinger Gürtel 18-20  
1090 Wien  
Austria  
[siegfried.trattnig@akhwien.at](mailto:siegfried.trattnig@akhwien.at)

The development of ultrahigh field magnetic resonance (UHF-MR) is moving forward at an amazing speed that is breaking through technical barriers almost as fast as they appear. UHF-MR has become an engine for innovation in experimental and clinical research (1-11). With more than 35,000 MR examinations already performed at 7.0 Tesla, the reasons for moving UHF-MR into clinical applications are more compelling than ever. The value of high field MR has already proven itself many times over at lower field strengths; now 7.0 T has opened a window on tissues, organs, and (patho)physiological processes that have been largely inaccessible in the past. Images from these instruments have revealed new aspects of the anatomy, functions and physio-metabolic characteristics of the brain, heart, joints, kidneys, liver, eye, and other organs/tissues, at an unparalleled quality. 35,000 sounds like a large number, but in fact we have barely cracked open the door and have yet to truly assess what lies on the other side.

That makes this a perfect moment for a highly topical special issue of *MAGMA*: those of us who work in UHF-MR can see a clear route forward for resolving technological issues and can outline some of the new opportunities that will accompany even higher field strengths. The issue provides an overview of the state of the art and discusses the clinical relevance of what we have already observed and can clearly foresee. Articles are devoted to development of novel methodology (12-16) , safety topics (17-19), early multi-center trials (20) , frontier human studies (21-28), breakthrough clinical applications (30-36) and future directions of UHF-MR (37,38). At the moment some of these new concepts and clinical applications are merely of proof-of-principle nature and visions, but they are compelling enough to drive the field forward. We hope to engage the interest of clinicians, basic scientists, engineers, translational researchers and applied scientists from many areas, and particularly to attract young scientists and new entrants into the field. In doing so, we hope to convince the MR imaging and spectroscopy communities to throw their weight into the task of solving technical problems and conceiving new clinical applications. UHF MR has a staggering number of potential uses in neuroscience, neurology, radiology, cardiology, internal medicine, oncology, nephrology, ophthalmology and other related clinical fields. As they are developed, we will push the boundaries of MR physics, biomedical engineering and biomedical sciences in many other ways.

Another reason this special issue is timely is because physicists, engineers, and pioneers from related disciplines have already taken an even further step into the future, in their minds, with something they are calling Extreme Field MR (EF-MR). This envisions human MR at 20 Tesla (37, 38), and it is an important leap of the imagination because it aims to fill a crucial "resolution gap" in our understanding of human biology (39, 40). While discoveries are pouring in on the molecular and cellular level every day, it is extremely difficult to integrate these findings into a coherent picture of the functions of tissues and pathological processes at a mesoscopic level above that of the cell. There is a wide gap between the view of biologists and clinicians that is begging to be filled. Extreme field MR is probably an ideal technology that will reach between these levels *in vivo* by bridging a crucial gap in resolution in space and time.

Achieving this goal will certainly require extra resources – and the will to go there. While the first 20 Tesla class MR instruments will likely be devoted to discovery and to proof-of-principle, the findings will be crucial guides to making the best use of lower-resolution imaging techniques. The only thing that could keep the dream of human MR at 20 T from becoming reality would be a failure to

follow the path and see what develops. Will the clinic eventually be able to follow us to even higher fields? It always does, if a whole community of experts devotes their creative efforts to the task. Currently we have only the roughest sense of what we will find. But even that glimpse has made some of us believers. We hope that this issue will convey the seeds of this vision and inspire you – as it has us – to become pioneers in these amazingly promising new areas of biomedical research: ultrahigh field and extreme field MR.

## References:

1. Ugurbil K (2012) The road to functional imaging and ultrahigh fields. *Neuroimage* 62(2):726–735
2. Moser E, Stahlberg F, Ladd ME, Trattnig S (2012) 7-T MR—from research to clinical applications? *NMR Biomed* 25(5):695–716
3. Niendorf T, Graessl A, Thalhammer C, Dieringer MA, Kraus O, Santoro D, Fuchs K, Hezel F, Waiczies S, Ittermann B, Winter L (2013) Progress and promises of human cardiac magnetic resonance at ultrahigh fields: a physics perspective. *J Magn Reson* 229:208–222
4. Ugurbil K (2014) Magnetic resonance imaging at ultrahigh fields. *IEEE Trans Biomed Eng* 61(5):1364–1379
5. Kraff O, Fischer A, Nagel AM, Monninghoff C, Ladd ME (2015) MRI at 7 Tesla and above: demonstrated and potential capabilities. *J Magn Reson Imaging* 41(1):13–33
6. Sinnecker T, Kuchling J, Dusek P, Dorr J, Niendorf T, Paul F, Wuerfel J (2015) Ultrahigh field MRI in clinical neuroimmunology: a potential contribution to improved diagnostics and personalised disease management. *EPMA J* 6(1):16
7. Trattnig S, Bogner W, Gruber S, Szomolanyi P, Juras V, Robinson S, Zbyn S, Haneder S. (2015) Clinical applications at ultrahigh field (7 T). Where does it make the difference? *NMR Biomed*. doi: [10.1002/nbm.3272](https://doi.org/10.1002/nbm.3272) (**epub ahead of print**)
8. Niendorf T, Paul K, Oezerdem C, Graessl A, Klix S, Huelnhagen T, Hezel F, Rieger J, Waiczies H, Frahm J, Nagel A, Oberacker E, Winter L. (2015) W(h)ither human cardiac and body magnetic resonance at ultrahigh fields? Technical advances, practical considerations, applications, and clinical opportunities. *NMR Biomed*. doi: [10.1002/nbm.3268](https://doi.org/10.1002/nbm.3268) (**epub ahead of print**)
9. van der Kolk AG, Hendrikse J, Zwanenburg JJ, Visser F, Luijten PR (2013) Clinical applications of 7 T MRI in the brain. *Eur J Radiol* 82(5):708–718
10. Webb AG, Van de Moortele PF. (2015) The technological future of 7 T MRI hardware. *NMR Biomed*. doi: [10.1002/nbm.3315](https://doi.org/10.1002/nbm.3315). (**Epub ahead of print**)

11. Benjamin P, Viessmann O, MacKinnon AD, Jezzard P, Markus HS (2015) 7 Tesla MRI in cerebral small vessel disease. *Int J Stroke* 10(5):659–664
12. Huettner AM, Nencka AS (2016) Radiofrequency pulse design with numerical optimization in the Fourier domain. *Magn Reson Mater Phy* 29:313–317
13. Yarach U, Luengviriya C, Stucht D, Godenschweger F, Schulze P, Speck O (2016) Correction of B<sub>0</sub>-induced geometric distortion variations in prospective motion correction for 7T MRI. *Magn Reson Mater Phy* 29:319–332
14. Desmond HY, Wiggins CJ, Ivanov D, Brenner D, Hoffmann J, Mirkes C, Poser BA (2016) Volumetric imaging with homogenised excitation and static field at 9.4 T. *Magn Reson Mater Phy* 29:333–345
15. Eggenschwiler F, O'Brien KR, Gallichan D, Gruetter R, Marques JP (2016) 3D T<sub>2</sub>-weighted imaging at 7T using dynamic kTpoints on single-transmit MRI systems. *Magn Reson Mater Phy* 29:347–358
16. Larson PE, Han M, Krug R, Jakary A, Nelson SJ, Vigneron DB, Kelley DA (2015) Ultrashort echo time and zero echo time MRI at 7T. *Magn Reson Mater Phy* 29:359–370
17. Weidemann G, Seifert F, Hoffmann W, Pfeiffer H, Seemann R, Ittermann B (2016) Measurements of RF power reflected and radiated by multichannel transmit MR coils at 7T. *Magn Reson Mater Phy* 29:371–378
18. Fatahi M, Demenescu LR, Speck O (2016) Subjective perception of safety in healthy individuals working with 7 T MRI scanners: a retrospective multicenter survey. *Magn Reson Mater Phy* 29:379–387
19. Chen B, Schoemberg T, Kraff O, Dammann P, Bitz AK, Schlamann M, Wrede KH (2016) Cranial fixation plates in cerebral magnetic resonance imaging: a 3 and 7 Tesla in vivo image quality study. *Magn Reson Mater Phy* 29:389–398
20. Voelker MN, Kraff O, Brenner D, Wollrab A, Weinberger O, Berger MC, Stöcker T (2016) The traveling heads: multicenter brain imaging at 7 Tesla. *Magn Reson Mater Phy* 29:399–415
21. de Boer A, Hoogduin JM, Blankestijn PJ, Li X, Luijten PR, Metzger GJ, Leiner T (2016) 7 T renal MRI: challenges and promises. *Magn Reson Mater Phy* 29:417–433
22. Cardoso PL, Fischmeister FPS, Dymerska B, Geißler A, Wurnig M, Trattnig S, Robinson SD (2016) Improving the clinical potential of ultra-high field fMRI using a model-free analysis method based on response consistency. *Magn Reson Mater Phy* 29:435–449
23. Bianciardi M, Toschi N, Eichner C, Polimeni JR, Setsompop K, Brown EN, Hämäläinen MS, Rosen BR, Wald LL (2016) In vivo functional connectome of human brainstem nuclei of the ascending arousal, autonomic, and motor systems by high spatial resolution

24. Zimmer F, O'Brien K, Bollmann S, Pfeuffer J, Heberlein K, Barth M (2016) Pulsed arterial spin labelling at ultra-high field with a B<sub>1+</sub>-optimised adiabatic labelling pulse. Magn Reson Mater Phy 29: 463–473
25. Marrakchi-Kacem L, Vignaud A, Sein J, Germain J, Henry TR, Poupon C, Hertz-Pannier L, Lehericy S, Colliot P, Moortele P-F, Chupin M (2016) Robust imaging of hippocampal inner structure at 7T: in vivo acquisition protocol and methodological choices. Magn Reson Mater Phy 29: 475–489
26. Donadieu M, Le Fur Y, Confort-Gouny S, Le Troter A, Guye M, Ranjeva JP (2016) Evidencing different neurochemical profiles between thalamic nuclei using high resolution 2D-PRESS semi-LASER 1H-MRSI at 7 T. Magn Reson Mater Phy 29: 491–501
27. Kraff O, Lazik-Palm A, Heule R, Theysohn JM, Bieri O, Quick HH (2016) 7 Tesla quantitative hip MRI: a comparison between TESS and CPMG for T<sub>2</sub> mapping. Magn Reson Mater Phy 29: 503–512
28. Schreiner MM, Zbýň Š, Schmitt B, Weber M, Domayer S, Windhager R, Mlynárik V (2016) Reproducibility and regional variations of an improved gagCEST protocol for the in vivo evaluation of knee cartilage at 7 T. Magn Reson Mater Phy 29: 513–521
29. Li Y, Jakary A, Gillung E, Eisendrath S, Nelson SJ, Mukherjee P, Luks T (2016) Evaluating metabolites in patients with major depressive disorder who received mindfulness-based cognitive therapy and healthy controls using short echo MRSI at 7 Tesla. Magn Reson Mater Phy 29: 523–533
30. Schumacher S, Pache F, Bellmann-Strobl J, Behrens J, Dusek P, Harms L, Kister I (2016) Neuromyelitis optica does not impact periventricular venous density versus healthy controls: a 7.0 Tesla MRI clinical study. Magn Reson Mater Phy 29: 535–541
31. Cronin MJ, Wharton S, Al-Radaideh A, Constantinescu C, Evangelou N, Bowtell R, Gowland PA (2016) A comparison of phase imaging and quantitative susceptibility mapping in the imaging of multiple sclerosis lesions at ultrahigh field. Magn Reson Mater Phy 29: 543–557
32. Zhu C, Haraldsson H, Tian B, Meisel K, Ko N, Lawton M, Saloner D (2016) High resolution imaging of the intracranial vessel wall at 3 and 7 T using 3D fast spin echo MRI. Magn Reson Mater Phy 29: 559–570
33. Beenakker JWM, Ferreira TA, Soemarwoto KP, Genders SW, Teeuwisse WM, Webb AG, Luyten GP (2016) Clinical evaluation of ultra-high-field MRI for three-dimensional visualisation of tumour size in uveal melanoma patients, with direct relevance to treatment planning. Magn Reson Mater Phy 29: 571–577
34. Mirkes C, Shajan G, Chadzynski G, Buckenmaier K, Bender B, Scheffler K (2016) 31P CSI of the human brain in healthy

subjects and tumor patients at 9.4 T with a three-layered multinuclear coil: initial results. *Magn Reson Mater Phy* 29:579–589

35. Compter I, Peerlings J, Eekers DB, Postma AA, Ivanov D, Wiggins CJ, Schijns OE (2016) Technical feasibility of integrating 7 T anatomical MRI in image-guided radiotherapy of glioblastoma: a preparatory study. *Magn Reson Mater Phy* 29:591–603

36. Grouiller F, Jorge J, Pittau F, van der Zwaag W, Iannotti GR, Michel CM, Lazeyras F (2016) Presurgical brain mapping in epilepsy using simultaneous EEG and functional MRI at ultrahigh field: feasibility and first results. *Magn Reson Mater Phy* 29:605–616

37. Budinger T, Bird M, Frydman L, Long J, Mareci T, Rooney W, Rosen B, Schenck J, Schepkin V, Sherry D, Sodickson D, Springer C, Thulborn K, Uğurbil K, Wald L (2016) Toward 20 tesla magnetic resonance for human brain studies: opportunities for discovery and neuroscience rationale. *Magn Reson Mater Phy* 29:617–639

38. Winter L, Niendorf T (2016) Electrodynamics and radiofrequency antenna concepts for human magnetic resonance at 23.5 T (1 GHz) and beyond. *Magn Reson Mater Phy* 29:641–656

39. Polenova T, Budinger TF (2016) Ultrahigh field NMR and MRI: Science at a crossroads. Report on a jointly-funded NSF, NIH and DOE workshop, held on November 12-13, 2015 in Bethesda, Maryland, USA. *J Magn Reson*. doi: [10.1016/j.jmr.2016.01.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmr.2016.01.008).

**(Epub ahead of print)**

40. Winter L, Oezerdem C, Hoffmann W, van de Lindt T, Periquito J, Ji Y, Ghadjar P, Budach V, Wust P, Niendorf T (2015) Thermal magnetic resonance: physics considerations and electromagnetic field simulations up to 23.5 Tesla (1 GHz). *Radiat Oncol* 10:201