# [510](http://www.a-pdf.com/?product-split-demo)

# Features of Use of Hypergraphs in the Simulation of Multi-Channel Mesh-Networks IEEE 802.11

Sergiy Garkusha, Olena Garkusha, Ahmed Hassan Abed

*Abstract* - **An approach is presented to the use of hypergraphs for modeling multi-channel multi-radio mesh-networking standard IEEE 802.11, both at the stage of task channels allocation, and when analyzing the results of decisions. This, in turn, allowed for a fuller and describes in detail all the possible configurations of mesh-network as a whole and its individual elements are represented as nodes and edges of the hypergraph. Also acquires a new formalization of the problem of determining connectivity.** 

*Keywords* - **Multi-channel, Multi-radio, Meshnetworking, Hypergraph, Channels allocation.**

#### I. INTRODUCTION

One of the effective ways to improve the performance of mesh-network standard IEEE 802.11 is the use of multi-channel (MC) multi-radio (MR) mode. The productivity of MR-MC WMN IEEE 802.11 standard is largely depends on the mechanism of frequency channels (FC) allocation [1-3].

It should be noted that traditional approaches to the synthesis of structural models based on telecommunications networks mathematical apparatus of the theory of graphs. However, graph representation MR-MC WMN with her characteristic simplicity and clarity involuntarily "calls" the basic elements of the system being simulated. Thus in modeling MR-MC WMN is necessary to use more efficient, though perhaps more complex, ways of presenting the mesh-network using topological ideas. As such, approaches can be used mathematical apparatus of hypergraphs [4, 5].

## II. HYPERGRAPHS REPRESENTATION MULTICHANNEL MESH-NETWORKS

At the stage of allocation problem FC in MR-MC WMN each individual station is assigned a vertex hypergraph. By analogy, each individual transmission range (TR) is associated with an edge of the  $z_j \in Z$ hypergraph. Then the *R* predicate, being incidentors hypergraph *H* determines whether an i-th station zone of *j* -th stable reception. So in case i-th mesh-station participates in the formation *j* -th TR, the predicate

```
E-mail: sv.garkusha@mail.ru, av.garkusha@mail.ru.
```
Ahmed Hassan Abed - Kharkiv National University of Radioelectronics, Lenina street, Kharkiv, 14, 61166, UKRAINE, E-mail: Ahmed\_Hassan1829@yahoo.com

 $R(n_i, z_i)$  – the true, i.e. equal to one, otherwise  $R(n_i, z_i)$  – false, i.e. zero. As a result, the description of the MR-MC WMN can be performed using finite hypergraph  $H = (N,Z;R)$  consisting of a pair of sets of vertices  $N = \{n_i \mid i \in I\}$  and edges  $Z = \{z_j \mid j \in J\}$ with binary predicates  $R \leftrightarrow R(n_i, z_j)$  defined for all  $n_i \in N$  and  $z_j \in Z$ . Based on this, the *i*-th station *j*th belonging stable reception area determined incidence  $i$  -th tops  $j$  -th edge in the hypergraph  $H$  [4, 5].

Within hypergraphs describe uniquely manages to formalize rules for forming the transmission range matrix (TR-matrix), introduced in [1-3], using the incidence matrix of a hypergraph *H* .

$$
A(H) \doteq |a_{z_j,ni}|, \qquad (1)
$$

 $\left| \begin{array}{c} 1, & \text{if } i \text{-th} \text{ station and included in the} \end{array} \right|$  $\overline{1}$ 

where 
$$
a_{z_j, n_i} = \begin{cases} j \text{-th TR}, & i \text{e predicate } R(n_i, z_j) = 1; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}, & i \text{e. predicate } R(n_i, z_j) = 0. \end{cases}
$$

In [1-3] solution of the problem is the calculation of the allocation of the FC boolean variable  $x_{n_i,k_i}$ characterizing the binding channel  $k_t \in K$  for the meshstation  $n_i \in N$ , where  $K$  – the set of non-overlapping channels.

$$
x_{n_i, k_t} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i\text{-th station selected} \\ t\text{-th non-overlapping channels;} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
$$
 (2)

As a result of solving the problem of the channels allocation made fixing t-th channel for i-th station owned by j-th TR. Thus predicate  $P(n_i, k_t, z_i)$  can be calculated from the expression:

$$
P(n_i, k_t, z_j) = x_{n_i, k_t} R(n_i, z_j).
$$
 (3)

It should be noted that as a result of solving the problem of the channels allocation produced formation collision domains stations one TR, using a common channel. Therefore, each individual station  $n_i \in N$  is allocation to a vertex, and each collision domain  $d_u \in D$  edge of the hypergraph  $G(N,D;Q)$ . As a result, use of the *i* -th station in the formation of the  $u$ -th collision domain is defined by a predicate

Sergiy Garkusha, Olena Garkusha - Poltava University of Economics and Trade, Kovalya Str., 3, Poltava, 36014, UKRAINE,

 $Q(n_i, d_u)$ . Predicate  $Q(n_i, d_u)$  in turn uniquely

determined by the correspondence  
\n
$$
Q(n_i, d_u) \Leftrightarrow P(n_i, k_i, z_j)
$$
. (4)

Thus, if the  $i$ -th station, which is part of  $j$ -th TR allocated *t*-th channel ( $P(n_i, k_t, z_j) = 1$ ), the station participates in the formation of  $u$ -th collision domain predicate  $Q(n_i, d_u) = 1$ . Otherwise, if i-th mesh-station is not included in the  $j$ -th TR or she is selected  $t$ -th non-overlapping channel  $(P(n_i, k_t, z_j) = 0)$ , then the predicate  $Q(n_i, d_u) = 0$ .

As an example, consider the MR-MC WMN, shown in Fig. 1, consisting of the eight stations are grouped into three TR. Said mesh-network corresponds to the hypergraph  $H = (N, Z; R)$  in Fig. 2, with the set of vertices  $N = \{n_1, n_2, ..., n_8\}$ , the set of edges  $Z = \{z_1, z_2, z_3\}$  and a predicate R that determines membership of a particular station to any TR. For example the predicates  $R(n_1, z_1)$ ,  $R(n_2, z_1)$ ,  $R(n_3, z_1), \quad R(n_3, z_2), \quad R(n_4, z_1), \quad R(n_4, z_2),$  $R(n_4, z_3), \qquad R(n_5, z_2), \qquad R(n_6, z_1), \qquad R(n_6, z_3),$  $R(n_7, z_3)$ ,  $R(n_8, z_3)$ , are the true, i.e.  $a_{z_j, n_i} = 1$ , and in other cases, the predicates are false, i.e.  $a_{z_j, n_i} = 0$ .



Fig. 2 Hypergraphs Fig. 2 Hypergraphs configuration mesh-network representation mesh-network Mesh-network presented in Fig. 1 can be described by the following matrix of incidence (TR-matrix):<br> $\begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{vmatrix}$ 

$$
A(H) = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{vmatrix}.
$$

As a result of solving the problem of the distribution of the three non-overlapping FC  $(K = \{k_1, k_2, k_3\})$ using the model balances the number of stations created by collision domain [1-4], was obtained mesh-network presented in Fig. 3. Mesh-network is shown in Fig. 3 corresponds hypergraph  $G = (N, D; Q)$  is shown in Fig. 4, with a set of vertices  $N = \{n_1, n_2, ..., n_8\}$ , the set of collision domains  $D = \{d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4\}$  and predicate  $Q(n_i, d_u)$ .

As the performed in [1] analysis, reducing the number of stations included in each TR results in better performance mesh-network because of solving the problem of the channels allocation. Number of stations included in the reception area of sustainable meshnetwork, using a mathematical apparatus of the theory of hypergraphs can be assessed by determining the set of vertices incident to each edge  $z_j \in Z$  [4, 5]:



Fig. 3 Example of solving the problem of the distribution three non-overlapping FC

Fig. 4 Hypergraphs representation distribution problem solutions FC

In addition, each station mesh-network can simultaneously be members of multiple TR, then each vertex  $n_i \in N$  of a hypergraph *H* can be attributed set of all incident edges represented as

ident edges represented as  
\n
$$
Z(n_i) = Z_H(n_i) = \left\{ z_j \in Z/R(n_i, z_j) \right\}.
$$
 (6)

As an example, consider a mesh-network shown in Fig. 1, as well as its hypergraphs representation (Fig. 2). Stations in the example mesh-network correspond to the following degrees of the vertices of the hypergraph:  $|Z(n_1)|=1, \quad |Z(n_2)|=1, \quad |Z(n_3)|=2, \quad |Z(n_4)|=3,$  $|Z(n_5)|=1, |Z(n_6)|=2, |Z(n_7)|=1, |Z(n_8)|=1.$  As can be seen from the above example, the definition of the hypergraph vertices degree is determined as the location of particular station in the entire configuration mesh-network. So station №1, №2, №5, №7 and №8 have value equal to one degree of a vertex, the station №3 and №6 – two and station №4 – three. Degree of a vertex determines the number of TR, which includes the station, and also determines the importance of the station, while ensuring connectivity mesh-network.

As for determining the degrees of edges, for this example, they take the following values:  $|N(z_1)| = 5$ , <sup>2</sup> *N z* 3

$$
|N(z_2)| = 3
$$
 and  $|N(z_3)| = 4$ .

The physical meaning of the degree edges for meshnetwork is that it shows the number of stations forming a particular zone of stable reception. For example, a TR-1 is formed by five mesh- stations, TR-2 – the three meshstations, and TR-3 – four mesh stations. In this pair of mesh-stations belonging to the same TR, by analogy with the vertices of the hypergraph, united by an edge is called adjacent.

In order to determine the inhomogeneity TR meshnetwork, described using the theory of hypergraphs can be used concept *h* -uniformity. So if in hypergraph *H* degree of any *j*-th edge is equal to h ( $|N(z_j)| = h$ ), the

hypergraph *H* called homogeneous (h-uniformly) [4]. It follows that if the mesh-network can be provided in the form of h-homogeneous hypergraph, such as meshnetwork is h-homogeneous, which parameter h indicates the number of stations included in each TR. When evaluating network mesh-connected sets *N*UZ elements of the corresponding hypergraphs  $H = (N, Z; R)$  can be divided into parts, called components. The number of components will be denoted as  $\chi(H)$ . In the case when the hypergraph is present only one component, for example,  $\chi(H) = 1$ , is called connected hypergraph [4, 5]. Otherwise, hypergraph is disconnected. Therefore, if mesh-network is presented in the form of a connected hypergraph, it is also connected.

In order to determine connectivity, consider meshnetwork configuration shown in Fig. 1. Mesh network shown in Fig. 1 is 2-connected, since the emergence of several components is the result of removing the station №4, as well as any of the stations №3 or №6. Removal of the stations №4 and №3 formed two components, the first of which consists of a number of  $N<sub>2</sub>1$ ,  $N<sub>2</sub>2$ ,  $N<sub>2</sub>6$ ,  $N<sub>2</sub>7$ , №8, and the second part of the station №5. If you delete stations №4 and №6 formed two components, one of which consists of №1, №2, №3, №5, and the second of stations  $N$ <sup>o</sup> 7 and  $N$ <sup>o</sup><sup>8</sup>.

Deeper analysis of mesh-connected network can be achieved by determining the degree of overlap of paired (connected) TRs. Since the composition of the two individual TRs at  $J > 2$ , included only a portion of mesh-station network, we use the notion subhypergraph. In this subhypergraph generated by the set of vertices *N*', called hypergraph  $H' = (N', Z'; R')$ , where  $Z' = \left\{ z_j : z_j = z_j \cap N' \neq 0, z_j \in Z \right\}.$  Since the degree of overlap is determined for the two TRs subhypergraph can then be represented as  $H_{c,v} = (N', Z_{c,v}; R')$ , where  $c, v \in Z$ ,  $c \neq v$ . By analogy with the definition of the entire mesh-connected network, any two TRs  $b+1$  - are connected if they retain this property by removing *b* stations.

As an example, consider the possible configuration of mesh-network shown in Fig. 1. Subhypergraph  $H_{1,2} = (N', Z_{1,2}; R')$  where  $N' = \{n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4, n_5, n_6\}$ ,  $Z_{1,2} = \{z_1, z_2\}$ , formed stable TR-1 and TR-2 is 2connected, since the formation of several (two) component occurs in the case of removal in meshnetwork station №3 and №4. By analogy, were defined the degree of connectedness of the other pairs of TR. So subhypergraph  $H_{1,3} = (N', Z_{1,3}; R')$  is 2-connected and subhypergraph  $H_{2,3} = (N', Z_{2,3}; R')$  - 1-connected.

### III. CONCLUSION

An approach to use of hypergraphs for modeling MR MC mesh-networking standard IEEE 802.11 is presented. This, in turn, allows for a fuller and describes in detail all the possible configurations of mesh-network as a whole and its individual elements are represented as nodes and edges of the hypergraph. Also acquires a new formalization of the problem of determining connectivity. Compared to use graph representation of a possible configuration mesh-network, is no need to search for independent paths between all pairs of vertices. When using a solution approach hypergraphs connectivity problem reduces to finding the maximum number of stations whose removal would lead to the division of mesh-network into several disconnected components. Using hypergraphs also determine a location of the station with the mesh-network, unlike a graph representation, which spontaneously "equalizes" the main elements of the system.

#### **REFERENCES**

- [1] Garkusha S. Analysis the Results of Frequency Planning in Mesh Networking Standard IEEE 802.11 // *Modern Problems of Radio Engineering, Telecommunications and Computer Science. Proceedings of the international Conference TCSET'2012.* – Lviv-Slavske, Ukraine, 21-24 February 2012: Publishing House of Lviv Polytechnic, 2012. – P. 285-286.
- [2] Lemeshko А., Garkusha S., Abed A.H. Two-index Mathematical Model of Channels Distribution in Multichannel Mesh Networks 802.11 // *Modern Problems of Radio Engineering, Telecommunications and Computer Science. Proceedings of the international Conference TCSET'2012.* – Lviv-Slavske, Ukraine, 21-24 February 2012: Publishing House of Lviv Polytechnic, 2012. – P. 279-280.
- [3] Garkusha S., Yevdokimenko M.A. Classification and Analysis of Methods of the Distribution Channels in Multichannel Mesh Networks IEEE 802.11// *Modern Problems of Radio Engineering, Telecommunications and Computer Science. Proceedings of the international Conference TCSET'2012.* – Lviv-Slavske, Ukraine, 21-24 February 2012: Publishing House of Lviv Polytechnic, 2012. – P. 273-274.
- [4] Berge C. Graphs and Hypergraphs. *New York: Elsevier*, 1973. – 528 p.
- [5] Berge C. Hypergraphs: The Theory of Finite Sets. *Amsterdam, Netherlands: North-Holland*, 1989. – 256 p.