KRAS-mutation status in relation to colorectal cancer survival: The joint impact of correlated tumor markers

Amanda I. Phipps,¹ Daniel D. Buchanan,² Karen W. Makar,¹ Aung Ko Win,³ John A. Baron,⁴ Noralane M. Lindor,⁵ John D. Potter,^{1,6} Polly A. Newcomb¹

Running title: KRAS-mutation and colorectal cancer survival

¹ Public Health Sciences Division, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, 1100 Fairview Avenue N., Seattle, WA 98109, USA

² Cancer and Population Studies Group, Queensland Institute for Medical Research, 300 Herston Road, Herston, QLD 4006, Australia

³ Centre for Molecular, Environmental, Genetic and Analytic Epidemiology, The University of Melbourne, 207 Bouverie Street, Parkville, VIC 3010, Australia

⁴ Department of Medicine, University of North Carolina, 130 Mason Farm Road, Chapel Hill, NC 27599, USA

⁵ Department of Laboratory Medicine and Pathology, Mayo Clinic, 13400 E. Shea Boulevard, Scottsdale, AZ 85259, USA

⁶ Centre for Public Health Research, Massey University, Wellington, New Zealand

Corresponding author: Amanda I. Phipps, 1100 Fairview Ave. N., M4-B402, Seattle, WA 98109-1024, telephone: (206) 667-7741, fax: (206) 667-7850, email: aphipps@fhcrc.org

ABSTRACT

Background. Mutations in the *KRAS* oncogene are common in colorectal cancer (CRC). The role of *KRAS*-mutation status as a prognostic factor, however, is unclear. We evaluated the relationship between *KRAS*-mutation status and CRC survival, considering heterogeneity in this association by tumor and patient characteristics.

Methods. The population-based study included individuals diagnosed with CRC between 1997-2007 in Western Washington State. Tumor specimens were tested for *KRAS* exon 2 mutations, the *BRAF* p.V600E mutation, and microsatellite instability (MSI). We used Cox regression to estimate hazard ratios (HR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) for the association between *KRAS*-mutation status and disease-specific and overall survival. Stratified analyses were conducted by age, sex, tumor site, stage, and MSI. We conducted additional analyses combining *KRAS*-mutation, *BRAF*-mutation, and MSI status.

Results. Among 1,989 cases, 31% had *KRAS*-mutated CRC. *KRAS*-mutated CRC was associated with poorer disease-specific survival (HR=1.37, 95% CI: 1.13-1.66). This association was not evident in cases who presented with distant-stage CRC. Cases with *KRAS*-wildtype/*BRAF*-wildtype/MSI-high CRC had the most favorable prognosis; those with CRC exhibiting a *KRAS*- or *BRAF*-mutation and no MSI had the poorest prognosis. Patterns were similar for overall survival.

Conclusion. *KRAS*-mutated CRC was associated with statistically significantly poorer survival after diagnosis than *KRAS*-wildtype CRC.

Keywords: colorectal cancer, KRAS, survival, mortality, microsatellite instability, BRAF

INTRODUCTION

The Kirsten Ras (*KRAS*) proto-oncogene encodes for a guanosine triphosphate (GTP) / guanosine diphosphate (GDP) binding protein downstream of the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) in the RAS/RAF/MAPK pathway. Mutations in *KRAS* are evident in 30-40% of colorectal tumors (Andreyev *et al*, 1998; De Roock *et al*, 2010a; De Roock *et al*, 2010b; Gnanasampanthan *et al*, 2001; Hutchins *et al*, 2011; Imamura *et al*, 2012; Inoue *et al*, 2012; Lee *et al*, 2008; Nash *et al*, 2010; Roth *et al*, 2010; Samowitz *et al*, 2000; Wang *et al*, 2003). Based on evidence that the benefits of adjuvant treatment with anti-EGFR chemotherapy for distant-stage metastatic colorectal cancer (CRC) are limited to patients with *KRAS*-wildtype disease (Bokemeyer *et al*, 2012; Lin *et al*, 2011), testing for *KRAS* mutations is increasingly common in clinical practice in order to better direct treatment of CRC (Allegra *et al*, 2009). Although the role of *KRAS*-mutation status as a predictive biomarker for response to anti-EGFR targeted therapy is well supported, the role of *KRAS* as a prognostic biomarker for CRC survival, independent of anti-EGFR therapy, is less clear.

A number of previous studies have evaluated the relationship between *KRAS*-mutation status and survival after CRC diagnosis (Andreyev *et al*, 2001; Andreyev *et al*, 1998; De Roock *et al*, 2010a; Gnanasampanthan *et al*, 2001; Hutchins *et al*, 2011; Imamura *et al*, 2012; Lee *et al*, 2008; Nash *et al*, 2010; Ogino *et al*, 2009a; Roth *et al*, 2010; Samowitz *et al*, 2000; Wang *et al*, 2003). In the largest study to date, Andreyev et al. reported that the presence of a somatic *KRAS* mutation was associated with statistically significantly poorer disease-free and overall survival after CRC diagnosis, but only among patients with Dukes' C CRC and only among those with the *KRAS* p.G12V mutation (Andreyev *et al*, 2001). This latter finding was supported by results from a recent study in which *KRAS* codon 12 mutations, particularly the p.G12V mutation, but not *KRAS* codon 13 mutations were associated with poorer survival (Imamura *et al*, 2012). Thus, observed inconsistencies in the literature regarding the association between

KRAS-mutation status and CRC survival may be related to differences in the distribution of specific KRAS mutations, stage at diagnosis, or other characteristics.

Correlations between *KRAS*-mutation status and other tumor characteristics of prognostic relevance may further complicate the study of this marker in relation to prognosis. In particular, *KRAS*-mutated CRC is less likely to exhibit microsatellite instability (MSI) than *KRAS*-wildtype CRCs (Hutchins *et al*, 2011; Imamura *et al*, 2012; Nash *et al*, 2010; Ogino *et al*, 2009a; Roth *et al*, 2010) and is almost never *BRAF*-mutated (De Roock *et al*, 2010a; Hutchins *et al*, 2011; Imamura *et al*, 2012; Lee *et al*, 2008). The presence of high MSI (MSI-H) is associated with a more favorable prognosis (Guastadisegni *et al*, 2010), whereas *BRAF*-mutated CRC has a poorer prognosis than *BRAF*-wildtype disease (De Roock *et al*, 2010a; Ogino *et al*, 2009b; Roth *et al*, 2010). Failure to account for these attributes of *KRAS*-mutated CRC could thus obscure an association between *KRAS*-mutation status and CRC survival.

To better understand the relationship between *KRAS*-mutation status and survival after CRC diagnosis, we used data from two concurrent population-based studies of incident invasive CRC conducted in Western Washington State.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Population

Details of the population-based study samples have been published elsewhere (Newcomb *et al*, 2007a; Newcomb *et al*, 2007b). Briefly, eligible participants included men and women diagnosed with invasive CRC between January 1998 and June 2002 who, at the time of diagnosis, were aged 20-74 years and resided in King, Pierce, or Snohomish counties in Western Washington State. Women who resided in 10 additional Washington counties and were diagnosed during the same time period at ages 50-74 years were also eligible. During a second phase of study recruitment, we identified eligible participants as men and women with invasive

CRC in this 13-county ascertainment area who were diagnosed at ages 18-49 years between April 2002 and July 2007. All cases were identified through the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) cancer registry serving Western Washington State. Study eligibility was limited to English speakers with a publicly available telephone number. Of 3,585 individuals contacted and identified as eligible, 463 (13%) were deceased, 351 (10%) refused participation, 128 (4%) could not be reached, and 24 (0.7%) completed only a partial interview. In total, 76% of eligible cases were enrolled in the study (N=2,708).

At an average of 8.6 months after diagnosis, participants completed a structured telephone interview in which they were asked to provide detailed information on a number of potential risk factors, including smoking history, body mass index (BMI), family history of CRC, and use of selected medications. At the conclusion of the interview, participants were asked for consent to access diagnostic tumor specimens. Adequate tumor specimens were obtained for 78% of enrolled participants (N=2,120).

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Fred Hutchinson

Cancer Research Center in accordance with assurances filed with and approved by the U.S.

Department of Health and Human Services.

KRAS-mutation testing and additional tumor characterization

DNA was extracted from paraffin-embedded formalin-fixed tumor tissue. In cases for whom tumor DNA was successfully extracted (N=1,989), the coding sequence of *KRAS* exon 2 was amplified (Oliner *et al*, 2010). Mutations in exon 2 were identified via forward and reverse sequencing of amplified tumor DNA (Alsop *et al*, 2006). Cases for whom *KRAS* testing failed (N=36) or produced equivocal results (N=30) were classified as having unknown *KRAS*-mutation status. For quality control purposes, sequencing was also conducted on three cell-line controls (one containing the p.G12V mutation, one containing the p.G13D mutation, and one wildtype cell line).

Tumor specimens were also assayed for *BRAF*-mutation status and for the presence of microsatellite instability (MSI). Tumor DNA was tested for the c.1799T>A (p.V600E) *BRAF* mutation using a fluorescent allele-specific PCR assay as described previously (Buchanan *et al*, 2010). With respect to MSI status, testing for cases enrolled in earlier years of recruitment (N=1,430) was based on a 10-gene panel assayed in tumor DNA and in DNA extracted from normal surrounding tissue (BAT25, BAT26, BAT40, MYCL, D5S346, D17S250, ACTC, D18S55, D10S197, and BAT34C4) (Boland *et al*, 1998; Newcomb *et al*, 2007b); tumors were classified as MSI-H if instability was observed in ≥30% of markers, and as MSS if instability was observed in <30% of markers. For more recently enrolled cases (N=470), MSI status was based on immunohistochemistry (IHC) testing of four markers: MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, and PMS2 (Lindor *et al*, 2002; Shia, 2008); cases whose tumor tissue exhibited positive staining for all markers were considered MSS, whereas cases negative for at least one marker were considered MSI-H. High concordance between IHC and PCR-based MSI testing has been demonstrated elsewhere (Cicek *et al*, 2011). Cases for whom test results were equivocal or for whom testing was not completed (N=80) were classified as having unknown MSI status.

Information on tumor site and stage at diagnosis was available from SEER. Tumors located in the cecum through the splenic flexure were grouped together as proximal colon cancers (ICD-O-3 codes C180, C182, C183, C184, and C185) (World Health Organization, 2000). Tumors located in the descending (C186) and sigmoid colon (C187) were classified as distal colon cancer, and tumors in the rectosigmoid junction (C199) and rectum (C209) were grouped together as rectal cancer. Stage at diagnosis was recorded according to SEER summary staging conventions (localized-, regional-, distant-stage).

Survival information

Vital status was determined via linkage to SEER and the National Death Index. For cases who died during study follow-up, information was obtained on the date and cause of

death, classified according to ICD-10 conventions (World Health Organization, 2007). Deaths with an underlying cause attributed to ICD-10 codes C18.0-C20.0 or C26.0 (i.e., CRC) were classified as disease-specific mortality events. Vital-status linkage was performed periodically, with the most recent linkage capturing deaths occurring through September 2010.

Statistical analysis

We used Cox proportional hazards regression to evaluate the association between KRAS-mutation status and survival after CRC diagnosis. The time axis for analysis was defined as days since diagnosis, with left censoring of participants until the date of study enrollment. We conducted separate survival analyses for disease-specific survival and overall survival. In all analyses, participants still alive at their last vital-status assessment were censored at that date. In analyses of disease-specific survival, we also censored persons who died due to causes other than CRC at the time of death. We evaluated associations between KRAS-mutation status and survival outcomes in the full cohort and within strata defined by patient characteristics (age at diagnosis, sex) and tumor characteristics (tumor site, stage, MSI status). In light of the fact that somatic mutations in KRAS and BRAF rarely co-occur (Davies et al. 2002), and given that BRAF-mutated CRC has been shown to have a poorer prognosis than BRAF-wildtype CRC (De Roock et al, 2010a; Ogino et al, 2009b; Roth et al, 2010), we conducted separate analyses: 1) in all cases irrespective of BRAF-mutation status; 2) restricted to BRAF-wildtype cases; and 3) combining information on KRAS and BRAF mutations to evaluate relative differences in survival for cases with a mutation in either versus neither gene. We also evaluated relative differences in survival between case groups defined by joint KRAS/MSI status, and by joint KRAS/BRAF/MSI status. Lastly, we explored associations between different classes of KRAS mutations and survival outcomes, examining associations with specific mutations evident in ≥5% of cases, and, more generally, with codon 12 mutations and codon 13 mutations separately; differences in codon-specific associations were evaluated

via tests for heterogeneity. For all analyses, proportional hazards assumptions were assessed by testing for a non-zero slope of the scaled Schoenfeld residuals on ranked failure times (Therneau & Grambsch, 2000).

Regression models included adjustment terms for age (five-year categories), sex, and study phase. We also assessed potential confounding by several patient and tumor characteristics: cigarette smoking (never, former, current); BMI two years before diagnosis (<25.0, 25.0-29.9, ≥30.0 kilograms / meters²); race (white, non-white); regular use of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs at baseline (no, yes); family history of CRC in first-degree relatives (no, yes); and tumor site (proximal colon, distal colon / rectum). Of these additional factors, only cigarette smoking and BMI were retained in our final analytic model as adjustment for other variables had minimal impact on effect estimates (<5% change).

We conducted sensitivity analyses using alternative approaches to assess the potential impact of excluding enrolled cases with unknown *KRAS*-mutation status. Specifically, we replicated analyses: 1) including all cases with missing *KRAS*-mutation status as *KRAS*-wildtype; 2) including cases with missing *KRAS*-mutation status as *KRAS*-mutated; and 3) using multiple imputation for missing *KRAS* status. The multiple imputation model was based on all covariate variables from the multivariate model, as well as family history of CRC, tumor site, MSI status, *BRAF*-mutation status, race, survival time, and the survival outcome of interest (Moons *et al*, 2006; Sterne *et al*, 2009). All analyses were conducted in STATA SE version 12.0 (College Park, Texas).

RESULTS

Characteristics of the study population are presented in Table 1 by *KRAS*-mutation status. Approximately 31% of cases had *KRAS*-mutated CRC. Compared to cases with *KRAS*-wildtype CRC, cases with *KRAS*-mutated disease were statistically significantly less likely to

have MSI-H or *BRAF*-mutated CRC (p-value<0.001). There was no statistically significant difference in the distribution of age at diagnosis, sex, tumor site, or stage according to *KRAS*-mutation status. Overall, 38% (N=728) of cases died during the study follow-up period (mean=6.5 years, range=5.3 months to 13.7 years). Of those cases who died, approximately 62% (N=449) died due to CRC.

Multivariate-adjusted analyses of disease-specific survival yielded estimates nearly identical to those from unadjusted analyses, and provided evidence of statistically significantly poorer survival in cases with *KRAS*-mutated versus *KRAS*-wildtype CRC (Table 2) [hazard ratio (HR)=1.37, 95% confidence interval (CI): 1.13-1.66]. The magnitude of this association was similar when cases with *BRAF*-mutated disease were excluded or combined with the *KRAS*-mutated case group. Interaction terms by age at diagnosis, sex, tumor site, stage, and MSI status were not statistically significant (p>0.05); however, point estimates did vary slightly by stage and age at diagnosis. In particular, *KRAS*-mutation status was not associated with survival in cases who presented with distant-stage disease (p-interaction by stage=0.07). Additionally, *KRAS*-mutated CRC was associated with statistically significantly poorer disease-specific survival in cases aged ≥50 years at diagnosis but not in those aged <50 (p-interaction by age=0.15). These non-significant differences in the strength of association across stage and age strata were diminished in analyses combining cases with *BRAF*-mutated and *KRAS*-mutated CRC. Associations were similar but attenuated in analyses of overall survival (Table 3).

In analyses considering *KRAS* in combination with MSI status (Table 4), disease-specific and overall survival were statistically significantly more favorable in cases with *KRAS*-wildtype/MSI-H CRC (HR=0.35, 95% CI: 0.23-0.55, and HR=0.78, 95% CI: 0.60-1.00, respectively) and statistically significantly poorer in cases with *KRAS*-mutated/MSS CRC (HR=1.24, 95% CI: 1.01-1.52, and HR=1.21, 95% CI: 1.02-1.43, respectively) compared to cases with *KRAS*-wildtype/MSS disease. Results were similar after excluding cases with

BRAF-mutated CRC. Patterns of association also changed very little when combining cases with KRAS- and/or BRAF-mutated disease: cases with KRAS- and BRAF-wildtype/MSI-H disease had the most favorable prognosis, and those with KRAS- or BRAF-mutated/MSS disease had the poorest survival.

Among cases with *KRAS*-mutated CRC, 75% (N=444) had a mutation in codon 12 and 22% (N=132) in codon 13 (Supplemental Table 1). Compared to cases with a codon 12 *KRAS* mutation, those with a codon 13 mutation were statistically significantly more likely to have CRC located in the proximal colon (54% versus 40%) and to have MSI-H disease (19% vs. 7%). We found no statistically significant differences in the association between *KRAS*-mutation status and survival when we evaluated associations with mutated codon 12 versus mutated codon 13 (p-heterogeneity=0.54 and p-heterogeneity=0.30 for disease-specific and overall survival, respectively). The presence of a somatic p.G13D mutation was associated with statistically significantly poorer disease-specific (HR=1.48, 95% CI: 1.04-2.04) and overall survival (HR=1.38, 95% CI: 1.05-1.81) compared to *KRAS*-wildtype; neither p.G12D nor p.G12V mutations were significantly associated with survival outcomes when evaluated separately (Supplemental Table 2).

Compared to cases with known *KRAS*-mutation status, enrolled cases with unknown *KRAS* status were younger at diagnosis (median age=52 versus 60 years), more likely to have distant-stage disease (20% versus 12%), and had a lower five-year overall survival (65% versus 74%) (not shown). In sensitivity analyses, we evaluated the effect of missing information on *KRAS* status (N=728, 29%): in analyses based on our primary analytic model with no exclusion of *BRAF*-mutated cases, including all cases with unknown *KRAS*-mutation status as *KRAS*-mutated cases increased point estimates to HR=1.53 (95% CI: 1.13-1.79) for disease-specific survival and HR=1.39 (95% CI: 1.23-1.57) for overall survival. When we instead included these 728 cases as *KRAS*-wildtype, point estimates fell to HR=1.12 (95% CI: 0.94-1.34) and HR=1.06

(95% CI: 0.92-1.23) for disease-specific and overall survival, respectively. Thus, our point estimates comparing survival in *KRAS*-mutated versus *KRAS*-wildtype cases are subject to some uncertainty due to the exclusion of cases with missing *KRAS* data. However, when we implemented a multiple imputation model to account for missingness in *KRAS*, our results based on the analysis of known and imputed *KRAS* data indicated statistically significantly poorer disease-specific (HR=1.35, 95% CI: 1.12-1.63) and overall survival (HR=1.22, 95% CI: 1.05-1.42) associated with the presence of a *KRAS* mutation.

DISCUSSION

In this large population-based cohort of men and women with incident invasive CRC, the presence of a somatic *KRAS* mutation was associated with statistically significantly poorer survival, specifically in those without distant-stage disease. Patients with *KRAS*-mutated CRC whose tumors were also MSS had the poorest prognosis. These patterns of association were relatively unchanged when limited to *BRAF*-wildtype cases and when grouping *BRAF*-mutated and *KRAS*-mutated cases. Contrary to some previous reports, we did not find the association between *KRAS*-mutation status and survival to be limited to the p.G12V *KRAS*-mutation specific identified mutations.

Activating mutations in *KRAS* are among the most common mutations in human cancers (Ikediobi *et al*, 2006). Mutations in *KRAS* codons 12 and 13 have been shown to result in an altered RAS protein with greater resistance to GTPase activity (Al-Mulla *et al*, 1999; Bollag & McCormick, 1995). By remaining in an active GTP-bound state for longer, mutated RAS contributes to enhanced cellular growth and proliferation (Al-Mulla *et al*, 1999), activating the RAS/RAF/MAPK and the phosphoinositide 3-kinase-AKT pathways. The relationship between constitutive activation of the RAS/RAF/MAPK signaling pathway and CRC prognosis has previously also been supported by studies evaluating the association between the *BRAF*

p.V600E activating mutation and CRC survival (De Roock *et al*, 2010a; Ogino *et al*, 2009b; Roth *et al*, 2010). Mutations in *BRAF* and *KRAS* are both thought to occur early in colorectal carcinogenesis, and are rarely observed together. Here we found that only 1% (N=6) of CRC cases with a somatic *KRAS* mutation harbored a *BRAF* mutation, compared to 18% of *KRAS*-wildtype CRC cases; this is consistent with data from The Cancer Genome Atlas (Cerami *et al*, 2012) and recent reports from other large studies (Hutchins *et al*, 2011; Imamura *et al*, 2012). When we combined information on *KRAS* and *BRAF* status to compare survival in CRC cases with a somatic mutation in at least one versus neither of these genes, we found only modest differences from our analyses where *BRAF*-mutation status was not considered.

The presence of a somatic *KRAS* mutation is also inversely associated with the presence of MSI (Imamura *et al.*, 2012; Nash *et al.*, 2010; Ogino *et al.*, 2009a). MSI-H CRC is known to have a statistically significantly more favorable prognosis than MSS CRC (Guastadisegni *et al.*, 2010), and to have a distinct clinicopathology: the distribution of MSI follows a clear gradient of decreasing prevalence from the ascending colon to the rectum (Yamauchi *et al.*, 2012) and is less prevalent in cases diagnosed at later stages (Nash *et al.*, 2010; Ogino *et al.*, 2009b). Although we found that the prevalence of MSI was statistically significantly lower in *KRAS*-mutated versus *KRAS*-wildtype cases, we found no difference in the distribution of tumor site or stage at diagnosis according to *KRAS* status. We also found no statistically significant interaction in the association between *KRAS*-mutation status and survival according to MSI status, tumor site, or stage at diagnosis. However, our results did suggest that *KRAS*-mutation status was not associated with survival in cases who presented with distant-stage disease, as has been suggested by at least two previous studies (Inoue *et al.*, 2012; Nash *et al.*, 2010). Thus, although the prevalence of somatic *KRAS* mutations does not appear to differ by stage at diagnosis, the prognostic role of *KRAS* may differ by stage.

Several studies in the distant-stage, metastatic setting have demonstrated the utility of *KRAS*-mutation status as a predictive marker for response to anti-EGFR therapy (Bokemeyer *et al.* 2012; Lin *et al.* 2011). In a recent meta-analysis, Lin et al. reported that the presence of a *KRAS* mutation had a positive likelihood ratio of 2.0 (95% CI: 1.45-2.76) for predicting non-response to anti-EGFR in distant-stage CRC (Lin *et al.* 2011). However, the role of *KRAS* as a predictive marker has not been demonstrated for less advanced disease: recently published findings from a phase III randomized trial of patients with stage III colon cancer indicated no benefit in three-year disease-free survival with the addition of cetuximab to standard chemotherapy, regardless of *KRAS*-mutation status (HR=1.21, 95% CI: 0.98-1.49 in *KRAS*-wildtype and HR=1.12, 95% CI: 0.86-1.46 in *KRAS*-mutated) (Alberts *et al.* 2012). Results from that trial did, however, provide support for the role of *KRAS*-mutation status as a prognostic factor, independent of anti-EGFR therapy: three-year disease-free survival ranged from 72-75% across treatment arms in participants with *KRAS*-wildtype disease versus 65-67% in participants with *KRAS*-mutated disease (Alberts *et al.* 2012).

Previous studies focused on *KRAS*-mutation status as a potential prognostic factor have been mixed in their findings. In the largest study of *KRAS*-mutation status and survival to date, the Kirsten Ras Colorectal Cancer Collaborative Group study (RASCAL, N=2,721), Andreyev et al. reported statistically significantly poorer overall survival for *KRAS*-mutated versus *KRAS*-wildtype disease at a magnitude similar to that observed here (HR=1.22, 95% CI: 1.07-1.40) (Andreyev *et al*, 1998). The majority of other, smaller studies have also indicated a poorer prognosis in patients with *KRAS*-mutated CRC (De Roock *et al*, 2010a; Hutchins *et al*; Imamura *et al*, 2012; Nash *et al*). Several studies, however, have failed to find an association between *KRAS* and patient outcomes (Gnanasampanthan *et al*; Lee *et al*, 2008; Ogino *et al*, 2009a; Ogino *et al*, 2009b; Roth *et al*, 2010; Samowitz *et al*, 2000; Wang *et al*, 2003). The basis for these inconsistencies is unclear, but may be related to limited sample size and differences in

the distribution and consideration of other factors, such as age at diagnosis, stage, and MSI status.

Prior studies have also differed in their consideration of specific *KRAS* mutations in relation to CRC survival. In an update of the original RASCAL study (RASCAL II, N=4,268), Andreyev et al. found the association between *KRAS*-mutation status and survival was largely confined to the p.G12V mutation (Andreyev *et al*, 2001). Imamura et al. recently reported a similar finding, and found that mutations in *KRAS* codon 13 were not associated with CRC survival (Imamura *et al*, 2012). Unlike these reports, we did not find a statistically significant association between the p.G12V *KRAS* mutation and prognosis. Although experimental evidence has suggested that mutations in *KRAS* codon 12, particularly p.G12V, confer lower GTPase activity (Al-Mulla *et al*, 1999; Bollag & McCormick, 1995) which may translate to greater transforming potential, our data are not consistent with a clear difference in the prognostic significance of somatic *KRAS* mutations by codon.

Results presented here should be interpreted in the context of study limitations. Only limited information on first course of treatment was available and it is possible that treatment could have differed according to *KRAS*-mutation status; however, 95% of cases were diagnosed prior to 2006 at a time before *KRAS*-mutation status might have been used to decide on anti-EGFR therapy. *KRAS*-mutation status does not appear to be associated with differential response to other chemotherapies (Hutchins *et al*, 2011; Ogino *et al*, 2009a; Richman *et al*, 2009). Additionally, *KRAS*-mutation status was not determined for 29% of enrolled cases. Although these cases differed from cases with known *KRAS*-mutation status on several factors that could be related to prognosis, we obtained point estimates similar to those in our primary analyses in sensitivity analyses using multiple imputation to account for these missing data. *KRAS*-mutation status also could not be determined in cases who were not enrolled in the present study due to refusal, death before enrollment, or loss to follow-up. If *KRAS*-mutated

CRC is truly associated with poorer prognosis, the prevalence of *KRAS* mutations is likely to have been higher in those cases who died before they could be enrolled in the study: exclusion of deceased cases would thus have attenuated, rather than inflated our estimates of the strength of association.

Important strengths of the present study include the population-based design and large sample size. Our consideration of both MSI and *BRAF*-mutation status in evaluating the relationship between *KRAS*-mutation status and CRC survival also represents an important strength. Here we confirm previous reports that *KRAS*-mutated CRC is less likely to be MSI-H and is very rarely *BRAF*-mutated. When we evaluated these three markers in combination in relation to survival, we found a strong gradient in risk, particularly with respect to disease-specific survival. Those individuals with CRC that was *KRAS*-wildtype, *BRAF*-wildtype, and MSI-H had the most favorable disease-specific survival; individuals with CRC that was *KRAS*- or *BRAF*-mutated and MSS experienced a statistically significantly poorer prognosis than other case groups defined by combinations of these three markers. These results support the prognostic significance of *KRAS*-mutation status beyond its now established role as a predictive marker in distant-stage CRC.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by the National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health under RFA #CA-95-011, and through cooperative agreements with members of the Colon Cancer Family Registry and Principal Investigators. In particular, this work was conducted through the Seattle Colorectal Cancer Family Registry (U01 CA074794) and through NCI grant R01CA076366. Paraffin-embedded tumor tissue for the Seattle Colorectal Cancer Family Registry was provided by The Jeremy Jass Memorial Pathology Bank. This publication was also supported by the NCI training grant R25CA94880 and by NCI grant K05CA152715. The content of this manuscript does not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the National Cancer Institute, nor does mention of trade names, commercial products, or organizations imply endorsement by the US Government or the Cancer Family Registry.

REFERENCES

Al-Mulla F, Milner-White EJ, Going JJ, Birnie GD (1999) Structural differences between valine-12 and aspartate-12 Ras proteins may modify carcinoma aggression. *J Pathol* **187**(4): 433-8

Alberts SR, Sargent DJ, Nair S, Mahoney MR, Mooney M, Thibodeau SN, Smyrk TC, Sinicrope FA, Chan E, Gill S, Kahlenberg MS, Shields AF, Quesenberry JT, Webb TA, Farr GH, Jr., Pockaj BA, Grothey A, Goldberg RM (2012) Effect of oxaliplatin, fluorouracil, and leucovorin with or without cetuximab on survival among patients with resected stage III colon cancer: a randomized trial. *JAMA* **307**(13): 1383-93

Allegra CJ, Jessup JM, Somerfield MR, Hamilton SR, Hammond EH, Hayes DF, McAllister PK, Morton RF, Schilsky RL (2009) American Society of Clinical Oncology provisional clinical opinion: testing for KRAS gene mutations in patients with metastatic colorectal carcinoma to predict response to anti-epidermal growth factor receptor monoclonal antibody therapy. *J Clin Oncol* **27**(12): 2091-6

Alsop K, Mead L, Smith LD, Royce SG, Tesoriero AA, Young JP, Haydon A, Grubb G, Giles GG, Jenkins MA, Hopper JL, Southey MC (2006) Low somatic K-ras mutation frequency in colorectal cancer diagnosed under the age of 45 years. *Eur J Cancer* **42**(10): 1357-61

Andreyev HJ, Norman AR, Cunningham D, Oates J, Dix BR, Iacopetta BJ, Young J, Walsh T, Ward R, Hawkins N, Beranek M, Jandik P, Benamouzig R, Jullian E, Laurent-Puig P, Olschwang S, Muller O, Hoffmann I, Rabes HM, Zietz C, Troungos C, Valavanis C, Yuen ST, Ho JW, Croke CT, O'Donoghue DP, Giaretti W, Rapallo A, Russo A, Bazan V, Tanaka M, Omura K, Azuma T, Ohkusa T, Fujimori T, Ono Y, Pauly M, Faber C, Glaesener R, de Goeij AF, Arends JW, Andersen SN, Lovig T, Breivik J, Gaudernack G, Clausen OP, De Angelis PD, Meling GI, Rognum TO, Smith R, Goh HS, Font A, Rosell R, Sun XF, Zhang H, Benhattar J, Losi L, Lee JQ, Wang ST, Clarke PA, Bell S, Quirke P, Bubb VJ, Piris J, Cruickshank NR, Morton D, Fox JC, Al-Mulla F, Lees N, Hall CN, Snary D, Wilkinson K, Dillon D, Costa J, Pricolo VE, Finkelstein SD, Thebo JS, Senagore AJ, Halter SA, Wadler S, Malik S, Krtolica K, Urosevic N (2001) Kirsten ras mutations in patients with colorectal cancer: the 'RASCAL II' study. *Br J Cancer* **85**(5): 692-6

Andreyev HJ, Norman AR, Cunningham D, Oates JR, Clarke PA (1998) Kirsten ras mutations in patients with colorectal cancer: the multicenter "RASCAL" study. *J Natl Cancer Inst* **90**(9): 675-84

Bokemeyer C, Cutsem EV, Rougier P, Ciardiello F, Heeger S, Schlichting M, Celik I, Kohne CH (2012) Addition of cetuximab to chemotherapy as first-line treatment for KRAS wild-type metastatic colorectal cancer: Pooled analysis of the CRYSTAL and OPUS randomised clinical trials. *Eur J Cancer* **48**(10): 1466-75

Boland CR, Thibodeau SN, Hamilton SR, Sidransky D, Eshleman JR, Burt RW, Meltzer SJ, Rodriguez-Bigas MA, Fodde R, Ranzani GN, Srivastava S (1998) A National Cancer Institute Workshop on Microsatellite Instability for cancer detection and familial predisposition: development of international criteria for the determination of microsatellite instability in colorectal cancer. *Cancer Res* **58**(22): 5248-5257

Bollag G, McCormick F (1995) Intrinsic and GTPase-activating protein-stimulated Ras GTPase assays. *Methods in enzymology* **255**: 161-70

Buchanan DD, Sweet K, Drini M, Jenkins MA, Win AK, English DR, Walsh MD, Clendenning M, McKeone DM, Walters RJ, Roberts A, Pearson SA, Pavluk E, Hopper JL, Gattas MR, Goldblatt J, George J, Suthers GK, Phillips KD, Woodall S, Arnold J, Tucker K, Muir A, Field M, Greening S, Gallinger S, Perrier R, Baron JA, Potter JD, Haile R, Frankel W, de la Chapelle A, Macrae F, Rosty C, Walker NI, Parry S, Young JP (2010) Risk factors for colorectal cancer in patients with multiple serrated polyps: a cross-sectional case series from genetics clinics. *PLoS One* **5**(7): e11636

Cerami E, Gao J, Dogrusoz U, Gross BE, Sumer SO, Aksoy BA, Jacobsen A, Byrne CJ, Heuer ML, Larsson E, Antipin Y, Reva B, Goldberg AP, Sander C, Schultz N (2012) The cBio cancer genomics portal: an open platform for exploring multidimensional cancer genomics data. *Cancer discovery* **2**(5): 401-4

Cicek MS, Lindor NM, Gallinger S, Bapat B, Hopper JL, Jenkins MA, Young J, Buchanan D, Walsh MD, Le Marchand L, Burnett T, Newcomb PA, Grady WM, Haile RW, Casey G, Plummer SJ, Krumroy LA, Baron JA, Thibodeau SN (2011) Quality assessment and correlation of microsatellite instability and immunohistochemical markers among population- and clinic-based colorectal tumors results from the Colon Cancer Family Registry. *J Mol Diagn* **13**(3): 271-81

Davies H, Bignell GR, Cox C, Stephens P, Edkins S, Clegg S, Teague J, Woffendin H, Garnett MJ, Bottomley W, Davis N, Dicks E, Ewing R, Floyd Y, Gray K, Hall S, Hawes R, Hughes J, Kosmidou V, Menzies A, Mould C, Parker A, Stevens C, Watt S, Hooper S, Wilson R, Jayatilake H, Gusterson BA, Cooper C, Shipley J, Hargrave D, Pritchard-Jones K, Maitland N, Chenevix-Trench G, Riggins GJ, Bigner DD, Palmieri G, Cossu A, Flanagan A, Nicholson A, Ho JW, Leung SY, Yuen ST, Weber BL, Seigler HF, Darrow TL, Paterson H, Marais R, Marshall CJ, Wooster R, Stratton MR, Futreal PA (2002) Mutations of the BRAF gene in human cancer. *Nature* **417**(6892): 949-54

De Roock W, Claes B, Bernasconi D, De Schutter J, Biesmans B, Fountzilas G, Kalogeras KT, Kotoula V, Papamichael D, Laurent-Puig P, Penault-Llorca F, Rougier P, Vincenzi B, Santini D,

Tonini G, Cappuzzo F, Frattini M, Molinari F, Saletti P, De Dosso S, Martini M, Bardelli A, Siena S, Sartore-Bianchi A, Tabernero J, Macarulla T, Di Fiore F, Gangloff AO, Ciardiello F, Pfeiffer P, Qvortrup C, Hansen TP, Van Cutsem E, Piessevaux H, Lambrechts D, Delorenzi M, Tejpar S (2010a) Effects of KRAS, BRAF, NRAS, and PIK3CA mutations on the efficacy of cetuximab plus chemotherapy in chemotherapy-refractory metastatic colorectal cancer: a retrospective consortium analysis. *Lancet Oncol* **11**(8): 753-62

De Roock W, Jonker DJ, Di Nicolantonio F, Sartore-Bianchi A, Tu D, Siena S, Lamba S, Arena S, Frattini M, Piessevaux H, Van Cutsem E, O'Callaghan CJ, Khambata-Ford S, Zalcberg JR, Simes J, Karapetis CS, Bardelli A, Tejpar S (2010b) Association of KRAS p.G13D mutation with outcome in patients with chemotherapy-refractory metastatic colorectal cancer treated with cetuximab. *JAMA* **304**(16): 1812-20

Gnanasampanthan G, Elsaleh H, McCaul K, Iacopetta B (2001) Ki-ras mutation type and the survival benefit from adjuvant chemotherapy in Dukes' C colorectal cancer. *J Pathol* **195**(5): 543-8

Guastadisegni C, Colafranceschi M, Ottini L, Dogliotti E (2010) Microsatellite instability as a marker of prognosis and response to therapy: A meta-analysis of colorectal cancer survival data. *Eur J Cancer* **46**(15): 2788-98

Hutchins G, Southward K, Handley K, Magill L, Beaumont C, Stahlschmidt J, Richman S, Chambers P, Seymour M, Kerr D, Gray R, Quirke P (2011) Value of mismatch repair, KRAS, and BRAF mutations in predicting recurrence and benefits from chemotherapy in colorectal cancer. *J Clin Oncol* **29**(10): 1261-70

Ikediobi ON, Davies H, Bignell G, Edkins S, Stevens C, O'Meara S, Santarius T, Avis T, Barthorpe S, Brackenbury L, Buck G, Butler A, Clements J, Cole J, Dicks E, Forbes S, Gray K, Halliday K, Harrison R, Hills K, Hinton J, Hunter C, Jenkinson A, Jones D, Kosmidou V, Lugg R, Menzies A, Mironenko T, Parker A, Perry J, Raine K, Richardson D, Shepherd R, Small A, Smith R, Solomon H, Stephens P, Teague J, Tofts C, Varian J, Webb T, West S, Widaa S, Yates A, Reinhold W, Weinstein JN, Stratton MR, Futreal PA, Wooster R (2006) Mutation analysis of 24 known cancer genes in the NCI-60 cell line set. *Mol Cancer Ther* **5**(11): 2606-12

Imamura Y, Morikawa T, Liao X, Lochhead P, Kuchiba A, Yamauchi M, Qian ZR, Nishihara R, Meyerhardt J, Haigis K, Fuchs CS, Ogino S (2012) Specific Mutations in KRAS Codons 12 and 13, and Patient Prognosis in 1075 BRAF-wild-type Colorectal Cancers. *Clin Cancer Res*

Inoue Y, Saigusa S, Iwata T, Okugawa Y, Toiyama Y, Tanaka K, Uchida K, Mohri Y, Kusunoki M (2012) The prognostic value of KRAS mutations in patients with colorectal cancer. *Oncology reports* **28**(5): 1579-84

Lee S, Cho NY, Choi M, Yoo EJ, Kim JH, Kang GH (2008) Clinicopathological features of CpG island methylator phenotype-positive colorectal cancer and its adverse prognosis in relation to KRAS/BRAF mutation. *Pathol Int* **58**(2): 104-13

Lin AY, Buckley NS, Lu AT, Kouzminova NB, Salpeter SR (2011) Effect of KRAS mutational status in advanced colorectal cancer on the outcomes of anti-epidermal growth factor receptor monoclonal antibody therapy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Colorectal Cancer* **10**(1): 63-9

Lindor NM, Burgart LJ, Leontovich O, Goldberg RM, Cunningham JM, Sargent DJ, Walsh-Vockley C, Petersen GM, Walsh MD, Leggett BA, Young JP, Barker MA, Jass JR, Hopper J, Gallinger S, Bapat B, Redston M, Thibodeau SN (2002) Immunohistochemistry versus microsatellite instability testing in phenotyping colorectal tumors. *J Clin Oncol* **20**(4): 1043-1048

Moons KG, Donders RA, Stijnen T, Harrell FE, Jr. (2006) Using the outcome for imputation of missing predictor values was preferred. *J Clin Epidemiol* **59**(10): 1092-101

Nash GM, Gimbel M, Cohen AM, Zeng ZS, Ndubuisi MI, Nathanson DR, Ott J, Barany F, Paty PB (2010) KRAS mutation and microsatellite instability: two genetic markers of early tumor development that influence the prognosis of colorectal cancer. *Ann Surg Oncol* **17**(2): 416-24

Newcomb PA, Baron J, Cotterchio M, Gallinger S, Grove J, Haile R, Hall D, Hopper JL, Jass J, Le Marchand L, Limburg P, Lindor N, Potter JD, Templeton AS, Thibodeau S, Seminara D (2007a) Colon Cancer Family Registry: an international resource for studies of the genetic epidemiology of colon cancer. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* **16**(11): 2331-43

Newcomb PA, Zheng Y, Chia VM, Morimoto LM, Doria-Rose VP, Templeton A, Thibodeau SN, Potter JD (2007b) Estrogen plus progestin use, microsatellite instability, and the risk of colorectal cancer in women. *Cancer Res* **67**(15): 7534-9

Ogino S, Meyerhardt JA, Irahara N, Niedzwiecki D, Hollis D, Saltz LB, Mayer RJ, Schaefer P, Whittom R, Hantel A, Benson AB, 3rd, Goldberg RM, Bertagnolli MM, Fuchs CS (2009a) KRAS mutation in stage III colon cancer and clinical outcome following intergroup trial CALGB 89803. *Clin Cancer Res* **15**(23): 7322-9

Ogino S, Nosho K, Kirkner GJ, Kawasaki T, Meyerhardt JA, Loda M, Giovannucci EL, Fuchs CS (2009b) CpG island methylator phenotype, microsatellite instability, BRAF mutation and clinical outcome in colon cancer. *Gut* **58**(1): 90-6

Oliner K, Juan T, Suggs S, Wolf M, Sarosi I, Freeman DJ, Gyuris T, Baron W, Bakker A, Parker A, Patterson SD (2010) A comparability study of 5 commercial KRAS tests. *Diagnostic* pathology **5**: 23

Richman SD, Seymour MT, Chambers P, Elliott F, Daly CL, Meade AM, Taylor G, Barrett JH, Quirke P (2009) KRAS and BRAF mutations in advanced colorectal cancer are associated with poor prognosis but do not preclude benefit from oxaliplatin or irinotecan: results from the MRC FOCUS trial. *J Clin Oncol* **27**(35): 5931-7

Roth AD, Tejpar S, Delorenzi M, Yan P, Fiocca R, Klingbiel D, Dietrich D, Biesmans B, Bodoky G, Barone C, Aranda E, Nordlinger B, Cisar L, Labianca R, Cunningham D, Van Cutsem E, Bosman F (2010) Prognostic role of KRAS and BRAF in stage II and III resected colon cancer: results of the translational study on the PETACC-3, EORTC 40993, SAKK 60-00 trial. *J Clin Oncol* 28(3): 466-74

Samowitz WS, Curtin K, Schaffer D, Robertson M, Leppert M, Slattery ML (2000) Relationship of Ki-ras mutations in colon cancers to tumor location, stage, and survival: A population-based study. *Cancer Epidem Biomar* **9**(11): 1193-1197

Shia J (2008) Immunohistochemistry versus microsatellite instability testing for screening colorectal cancer patients at risk for hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer syndrome. Part I. The utility of immunohistochemistry. *J Mol Diagn* **10**(4): 293-300

Sterne JA, White IR, Carlin JB, Spratt M, Royston P, Kenward MG, Wood AM, Carpenter JR (2009) Multiple imputation for missing data in epidemiological and clinical research: potential and pitfalls. *BMJ* **338**: b2393

Therneau TM, Grambsch PM (2000) *Modeling survival data: Extending the Cox model.* New York: Springer

Wang C, van Rijnsoever M, Grieu F, Bydder S, Elsaleh H, Joseph D, Harvey J, Iacopetta B (2003) Prognostic significance of microsatellite instability and Ki-ras mutation type in stage II colorectal cancer. *Oncology* **64**(3): 259-65

World Health Organization (2000) *International Classification of Diseases for Oncology* Geneva: WHO.

World Health Organization (2007) International Classification of Diseases Geneva: WHO.

Yamauchi M, Morikawa T, Kuchiba A, Imamura Y, Qian ZR, Nishihara R, Liao X, Waldron L, Hoshida Y, Huttenhower C, Chan AT, Giovannucci E, Fuchs C, Ogino S (2012) Assessment of colorectal cancer molecular features along bowel subsites challenges the conception of distinct dichotomy of proximal versus distal colorectum. *Gut* **61**(6): 847-854

TABLE 1. Study population characteristics by *KRAS*-mutation status

	KRAS- wildtype (N=1330)	KRAS- mutated (N=593)	p-value*
Age at diagnosis <50 50-59 60-69 70-74	346 (26) 291 (22) 415 (31) 278 (21)	147 (25) 143 (24) 188 (32) 115 (19)	0.65
Sex Male Female	609 (46) 721 (54)	264 (45) 329 (55)	0.61
Tumor site Proximal colon Distal colon Rectal Unknown	505 (39) 364 (28) 424 (33) 37	255 (44) 147 (25) 183 (31) 89	0.10
Stage at diagnosis [†] Localized Regional Distant Unknown	553 (42) 610 (46) 144 (11) 23	220 (37) 293 (50) 75 (13) 5	0.12
MSI status [†] MSS/MSI-L MSI-H Unknown	1042 (82) 236 (18) 52	509 (90) 56 (10) 28	<0.001
BRAF mutation status [†] Wildtype Mutated Unknown	1083 (82) 232 (18) 15	580 (99) 6 (1) 7	<0.001
Vital status Alive Deceased Mean years of follow-up (SD)	843 (63) 487 (37) 6.7 (3.9)	352 (59) 241 (41) 6.3 (4.1)	0.09

^{*} p-value for chi-square.

† % distribution excludes cases with unknown value of characteristic

TABLE 2. KRAS-mutation status and disease-specific survival after colorectal cancer diagnosis by patient and tumor characteristics, with and without consideration of BRAF-mutation status

		All cases			BRAF-wildtype CRC only			Joint KRAS / BRAF mutation status		
		KRAS	KRAS		KRAS	KRAS		KRAS &	KRAS or	
		wildtype	mutated		wildtype	mutated		BRAF wt	BRAF mut	
		Deaths /	Deaths /		Deaths /	Deaths /		Deaths /	Deaths /	
		Cases	Cases	HR (95% CI)*	Cases	Cases	HR (95% CI)*	Cases	Cases	HR (95% CI)*
Overall (unad	• ,	287/1330	162/593	1.36 (1.12-1.65)	238/1098	161/587	1.39 (1.14-1.70)	234/1083	214/834	1.31 (1.09-1.58)
Overall (adjus	sted)	287/1330	162/593	1.37 (1.13-1.66)	238/1098	161/587	1.40 (1.14-1.72)	234/1083	214/834	1.34 (1.11-1.63)
By age at	<50 years	79/346	34/147	1.03 (0.69-1.54)	67/320	34/147	1.14 (0.76-1.73)	65/317	48/176	1.40 (0.96-2.03)
diagnosis:	≥50 years	208/984	128/446	1.48 (1.18-1.85)	171/778	127/440	1.49 (1.18-1.88)	169/766	166/658	1.33 (1.07-1.66)
By sex:	Male	134/609	74/264	1.35 (1.02-1.79)	119/545	73/261	1.37 (1.03-1.85)	118/542	89/329	1.36 (1.03-1.79)
	Female	153/721	88/329	1.38 (1.06-1.81)	119/553	88/326	1.43 (1.07-1.89)	116/541	125/505	1.35 (1.04-1.75)
By tumor site:	Proximal	104/505	72/255	1.44 (1.06-1.95)	68/322	71/252	1.45 (1.04-2.04)	67/315	109/444	1.25 (0.92-1.71)
	Distal / rectal	178/788	87/330	1.29 (1.00-1.68)	166/745	87/327	1.33 (1.02-1.73)	163/737	101/376	1.35 (1.04-1.73)
By stage at	Localized	29/553	19/220	1.55 (0.85-2.82)	28/463	19/216	1.38 (0.75-2.54)	28/458	20/311	1.06 (0.58-1.93)
diagnosis:	Regional	146/610	83/293	1.35 (1.03-1.78)	111/487	82/291	1.47 (1.10-1.96)	109/480	121/424	1.50 (1.15-1.96)
	Distant	111/144	59/75	1.02 (0.73-1.41)	98/129	59/75	1.06 (0.76-1.49)	96/126	72/90	1.11 (0.80-1.53)
By MSI:	MSS	257/1042	143/509	1.24 (1.00-1.52)	221/943	142/504	1.33 (1.07-1.65)	217/933	180/613	1.40 (1.15-1.72)
-	MSI-H	22/236	11/56	2.06 (0.93-4.52)	10/115	11/55	2.17 (0.89-5.31)	10/112	25/181	1.67 (0.77-3.61)

^{*}Adjusted for age at diagnosis, sex, study population, body mass index, and history of cigarette smoking. All associations are relative to the *KRAS* wildtype case group. All p-values for tests of interaction across strata indicate a lack of statistically significant interaction (p>0.05).

TABLE 3. KRAS-mutation status and overall survival after colorectal cancer diagnosis by patient and tumor characteristics, with and without consideration of BRAF-mutation status

		All cases		ses	BRAF-wildtype CRC only			Joint KRAS/BRAF mutation status		
		KRAS	KRAS		KRAS	KRAS		KRAS &	KRAS or	
		wildtype	mutated		wildtype	mutated		<i>BRAF</i> wt	BRAF mut	
		Deaths /	Deaths /		Deaths /	Deaths /		Deaths /	Deaths /	
		Cases	Cases	HR (95% CI)*	Cases	Cases	HR (95% CI)*	Cases	Cases	HR (95% CI)*
Overall (una	djusted)	487/1330	241/593	1.22 (1.05-1.43)	391/1098	239/587	1.28 (1.09-1.51)	386/1083	341/834	1.27 (1.10-1.47)
Overall (adju	sted)	487/1330	241/593	1.24 (1.06-1.45)	391/1098	239/587	1.27 (1.08-1.50)	386/1083	341/834	1.24 (1.07-1.44)
By age at	<50 years	98/346	41/147	1.00 (0.70-1.45)	86/320	41/147	1.07 (0.74-1.55)	84/317	55/176	1.22 (0.87-1.72)
diagnosis:	≥50 years	389/984	200/446	1.31 (1.10-1.56)	305/778	198/440	1.33 (1.11-1.60)	293/766	286/658	1.24 (1.05-1.47)
By sex:	Male	238/609	115/264	1.20 (0.96-1.50)	207/545	114/261		205/542	146/329	1.25 (1.00-1.55)
	Female	249/721	126/329	1.30 (1.04-1.61)	184/553	125/326	1.32 (1.05-1.67)	181/541	195/505	1.25 (1.01-1.53)
By tumor site	: Proximal	195/505	109/255	1.21 (0.95-1.54)	121/322	107/252	1.24 (0.95-1.62)	120/315	185/444	1.13 (0.90-1.43)
	Distal / rectal	276/788	128/330	1.22 (0.99-1.51)	258/745	128/327	1.23 (0.99-1.53)	254/737	148/376	1.21 (0.99-1.49)
By stage at	Localized	130/553	60/220	,	107/463	59/216		106/458	83/311	1.05 (0.78-1.42)
diagnosis:	Regional	227/610	117/293	1.24 (0.99-1.55)	171/487	116/291	1.33 (1.05-1.69)	169/480	177/424	1.36 (1.09-1.68)
	Distant	118/144	62/75	1.01 (0.73-1.38)	104/129	62/75	1.05 (0.75-1.45)	102/126	76/90	1.09 (0.80-1.49)
By MSI:	MSS	391/1042	213/509	1.21 (1.02-1.43)	344/943	212/504	1.26 (1.06-1.51)	340/933	261/613	1.28 (1.09-1.51)
	MSI-H	79/236	17/56	1.20 (0.68-2.12)	32/115	16/55	1.20 (0.63-2.30)	32/112	67/181	1.05 (0.67-1.64)

^{*}Adjusted for age at diagnosis, sex, study population, body mass index, and history of cigarette smoking. All associations are relative to the *KRAS* wildtype case group. All p-values for tests of interaction across strata indicate a lack of statistically significant interaction (p>0.05).

TABLE 4. KRAS-mutation status, in combination with MSI and BRAF-mutation status, in relation to disease-specific and overall survival after colorectal cancer diagnosis

	Disease-Sp	ecific Survival	Overall Survival						
	Deaths / Cases	HR (95% CI)*	Deaths / Cases	HR (95% CI)*					
Joint KRAS and MSI status	S								
KRAS wt / MSI-H	22 / 236	0.35 (0.23-0.55)	79 / 236	0.78 (0.60-1.00)					
KRAS mut / MSI-H	11 / 56	0.77 (0.42-1.41)	17 / 56	0.87 (0.53-1.42)					
KRAS wt / MSS	257 / 1042	1.00 (ref)	391 / 1042	1.00 (ref)					
KRAS mut / MSS	143 / 509	1.24 (1.01-1.52)	213 / 509	1.21 (1.02-1.43)					
Joint KRAS and MSI status (BRAF-wildtype only)									
KRAS wt / MSI-H	10 / 112	0.34 (0.18-0.65)	32 / 112	0.74 (0.51-1.07)					
KRAS mut / MSI-H	11 / 53	0.87 (0.47-1.60)	16 / 53	0.92 (0.55-1.52)					
KRAS wt / MSS	217 / 933	1.00 (ref)	340 / 933	1.00 (ref)					
KRAS mut / MSS	141 / 501	1.36 (1.09-1.68)	210 / 501	1.27 (1.07-1.52)					
Joint KRAS, BRAF, and MSI status									
KRAS & BRAF wt / MSI-H	10 / 112	0.34 (0.18-0.65)	32 / 112	0.75 (0.52-1.08)					
KRAS or BRAF mut / MSI-	H 25 / 181	0.60 (0.39-0.91)	67 / 181	0.91 (0.69-1.20)					
KRAS & BRAF wt / MSS	217 / 933	1.00 (ref)	340 / 933	1.00 (ref)					
KRAS or BRAF mut / MSS	180 / 613	1.41 (1.15-1.73)	261 / 613	1.28 (1.08-1.51)					

^{*} Adjusted for age at diagnosis, sex, study population, body mass index, and history of cigarette smoking.