Does folic-acid supplementation prevent or promote colorectal cancer? 1 **Results from model-based predictions** 2 3 4 Luebeck EG, Moolgavkar SH, Liu AY, Boynton A, Ulrich CM. 5 6 7 Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Program in Biostatistics (EGL, SHM) 8 and Cancer Prevention Program (AB, AYL, CMU) 9 Seattle, WA 98109-1024 10 Corresponding Authors: (please list both on publication) 11 Georg Luebeck, PhD 12 Associate Member 13 Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center 14 **Biostatistics and Biomathematics** 15 1100 Fairview Ave N., M2-B500 16 Seattle, WA 98109-1024 17 Phone: (206) 667-4282 18 Fax: (206) 667-7004 19 Email: gluebeck@fhcrc.org 20 21 22 Cornelia Ulrich, PhD Associate Member/Associate Professor 23 24 Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center **Cancer Prevention Program** 25 1100 Fairview Ave N., M4-B402 26 PO Box 19024 27 Seattle, WA 98109-1024 28 Phone: (206) 667-7617 29 Fax: (206) 667-7850 30 31 Email: nulrich@fhcrc.org 32 33 Word Count 4382 34 35 Number of Tables 1 Number of Figures 3 36 37 38 **Funding Sources** NIH R01 CA 105437 and NIH R01 CA 59045 39 and NIH R01 CA 107028 (CRC modeling) 40

41 Abstract

42

Folate is essential for nucleotide synthesis, DNA-replication and methyl-group supply. Lowfolate status has been associated with increased risks of several cancer types, suggesting a chemopreventive role of folate. However, recent findings on giving folic acid (FA) to patients with a history of colorectal polyps raise concerns about the efficacy and safety of folate supplementation and the long-term health effects of folate fortification. Results suggest that undetected precursor lesions may progress under FA supplementation, consistent with folate's role in nucleotide synthesis and cell proliferation.

50

51 To better understand the possible trade-offs between FA's protective effects due to decreased 52 mutation rates and possibly concomitant detrimental effects due to increased cell proliferation, 53 we used a biologically-based mathematical model of colorectal carcinogenesis. We predict 54 changes in cancer risk based on timing of treatment start and the potential impact of FA on cell 55 proliferation and mutation rates. Conclusion: changes in colorectal cancer risk in response to FA 56 supplementation are likely a complex function of treatment start, duration, and impact on cell 57 proliferation and mutations rates. Predicted colorectal cancer incidence rates under 58 supplementation are mostly higher than rates without FA supplementation unless 59 supplementation is initiated early in life (before age 20). To the extent to which this model 60 predicts reality, it indicates that the effect on cancer risk when starting FA supplementation late 61 in life is small, yet mostly detrimental. Experimental studies are needed to provide direct 62 evidence for this dual role of folate in colorectal cancer and to validate and improve the model 63 predictions.

- 64
- 65

66 Introduction

67

68 The B-vitamin folate is essential for the synthesis of nucleotides as well as for the provision of 69 methyl groups for the maintenance of DNA methylation in dividing cells (1). Low intakes of 70 folate have been associated with increased risks of cancers of the colon, pancreas, esophagus, 71 and possibly breast (2-4). The biologic mechanisms ascribed to these associations include higher 72 mutation rates and reduced stability of DNA methylation patterns with a low folate status (1). 73 However, the protective role of folate in carcinogenesis has recently been questioned and may be 74 more complex and dependent on dose and timing of folate administration during the 75 carcinogenic process (2, 3, 5). Animal experiments show that folate supplementation prior to the 76 establishment of early neoplastic lesions reduces carcinogenesis, while administration after pre-77 cancerous lesions are present appears to increase tumor growth (2). Similarly, epidemiological 78 evidence suggests that excessive levels of folate are not beneficial and may actually enhance 79 cancer risk (3, 6). The recent polyp-prevention trial by Cole et al. is a case in point (7). This trial 80 involved the administration of 1mg/day folic acid (the synthetic form of folate with greater 81 bioavailability) to patients with a history of colorectal polyps. It provides first human evidence 82 for a potentially detrimental effect of high dose folate in humans: not only was folic acid not 83 chemopreventive, but an increased risk of advanced and multiple adenoma was observed in the 84 intervention arm at 6-8 years of follow-up (advanced adenoma RR= 1.67; 95% CI: 1.00-2.80; \geq 85 3 adenomas RR=2.32; 95% CI: 1.23-4.35). These results suggest that undetected precursor 86 lesions were more likely to progress with folic acid administration (8).

87

The bimodal role of folate in carcinogenesis is thought to be attributable to its role in nucleotide synthesis. Rapidly proliferating tissues, including tumors, have a greater requirement for folate. Cancers often overexpress folate receptors and genes in nucleotide synthesis to meet their increased need of sustained DNA synthesis and proliferation (9-11). Antifolate drugs have been successfully used in cancer chemotherapy and in the 1940s folate has been described as inducing an "acceleration phenomenon" if provided to children with leukemia, suggestive of increased progression (12).

95

96 The question arises whether folate intakes in the population may approach levels that could cause

97 harm. Approximately 30-40% of adults in the United States use nutritional supplements that 98 contain folic acid, with a standard multivitamin containing 400 µg (13). Ironically, the group 99 with the highest supplement use is comprised of older individuals, who are also more likely to 100 have precancerous lesions. For example, colorectal polyps are thought to exist in about 30% of 101 adults 60 years and older, but in many fewer younger individuals (14, 15). In addition to 102 supplement use, a number of functional foods are fortified with folic acid, including nutrition 103 bars and drinks (often at 400 µg/serving), as well as fortified breakfast cereals. Finally, the 104 United States initiated folic acid fortification of grain products, allowable in 1996 and mandatory 105 as of Jan 1, 1998, to reduce the incidence of neural tube defects. This public health measure has 106 been highly effective in reducing neural-tube defects (16), yet has also increased the folic acid 107 intake universally in the US in the population (beyond the target group of women of childbearing 108 age) by about 100-200 µg/day (17). Biomarkers of folate intake suggest that a significant fraction 109 of the population has now folate levels that have been previously considered 110 "supraphysiological", presumably due to a combination of fortification and supplement use (17). 111 112 The increase in folate status in the population and its potentially dual role in colorectal 113 carcinogenesis has raised the question whether folic acid fortification will prevent or promote 114 colorectal cancer (18). Considering the multiple, opposing effects on cancer risk, the answer is 115 not straightforward. We approached this complex question by using a mathematical model for 116 colorectal carcinogenesis. The model uses 4 stages to describe the progression to a colorectal

polyp that grows and transforms into a carcinoma. Results from this modeling strategy have
 previously been shown to match Surveillance, Epidemiology and End Results (SEER) incidence

119 data of colorectal cancer (19). We utilize this model to investigate the "net impact" of effects of

120 folate supplementation on mutation rates and cellular proliferation on colorectal cancer rates in

121 the population.

122

123 Model and methods

124

To explore colon cancer risk in response to changes in folate status (e.g. folate supplementation)
we utilize a mathematical model that mirrors the multistage nature of colorectal cancer including
salient features of its pathogenesis. Specifically, we use the multistage clonal expansion model

127 salient features of its pathogenesis. Specifically, we use the multistage clonal expansion model

128 developed by Luebeck and Moolgavkar (19), a model which has been shown to be consistent 129 with the observed incidence of colorectal cancer in the general population. The model stipulates 130 three distinct phases in the process of carcinogenesis. In the first phase, that of initiation, a 131 susceptible stem cell acquires one or more mutations resulting in an initiated cell, which has 132 partially escaped growth control. The second phase, that of promotion, is the clonal expansion of 133 initiated cells. Promotion is an extremely efficient way of bringing about carcinogenesis because 134 clonal expansion results in increased populations of cells that have already acquired some of the 135 genetic alterations on the pathway to malignancy. In the last phase, that of malignant conversion, 136 an initiated cell acquires another genetic change, one required to convert it into a malignant cell. 137 There is considerable evidence that most human malignancies go through these three phases and 138 that environmental agents, such as radiation and tobacco smoke, influence carcinogenesis via 139 their effects on one or more phases of this process (20, 21).

140

141 Fig.1 provides a schematic view of the colon carcinogenesis model. The model assumes that the 142 formation of an adenoma requires biallelic inactivation of a tumor suppressor (or 'gatekeeper') 143 gene, such as the APC gene. It also assumes sustained (asymmetric) stem cell divisions of a 144 mutant stem cell progenitor represented in the model by a high-frequency event. These divisions 145 represent the sustained generation of mutant progeny (via transient amplification) by a mutant 146 stem cell located at the bottom of a colonic crypt. Therefore, according to this model, a mutant 147 stem cell will only undergo clonal expansion (or promotion) once it leaves the protective 148 environment of the stem cell compartment and moves toward the top of the crypt – and the rate 149 of clonal expansion is determined by the net cell proliferation parameter α - β (Fig.1) (22, 23). 150 Finally, a carcinoma develops from an adenoma in a single rate-limiting event (the 'adenoma to 151 carcinoma' transition), which in this context is also referred to as malignant transformation. 152

For the purpose of this study, we have extended the mathematical formulation of this model to accommodate time-dependent model parameters reflecting the changes that might occur in an individual's folate status. For simplicity, we assume that a change in folate intake from one level to another immediately effects constant changes in the model parameters ignoring possible delays in the cellular and enzymatic response to folate. Mathematical details of the model and a derivation of the age-specific hazard function and tumor probabilities can be found in the 159 supporting information of Luebeck and Moolgavkar (19, 24). The extension of the hazard

160 function from constant parameters to piece-wise constant parameters is provided in the

161 Appendix. R-code for computing the hazard function and tumor probabilities can be obtained

162 from the authors upon request.

163

164 First, we use the mathematical model to explore the relative effects of folate-induced changes in 165 mutation rates and cell proliferation rates (of stem cells in adenomas) on colon cancer risk 166 (Figure 1). Here we are interested in quantifying the trade-offs between potentially opposing 167 effects of folate (or folate supplementation) on the carcinogenic process: reduction of mutation 168 rates (e.g., by reduced uracil misincorporation into DNA) versus stimulation of cell proliferation 169 of intermediate (adenomatous) cell populations at risk for malignant transformation. Specifically, 170 we assume that increases in the cell proliferation rate α (see Fig. 1) translate into proportionate 171 increases in the net proliferation (promotion) parameter α - β . This assumption is equivalent to 172 assuming that both cell proliferation and cell death (apoptosis) are equally affected by folate. 173 However, it is possible that folate reduces the rate of cell death yielding even a stronger effect on 174 tumor promotion. Thus, assuming that the percent increase in cell proliferation equals the percent 175 increase in net cell proliferation (or promotion), we make a conservative assumption concerning 176 a possible tumor-promoting effect of folate in carcinogenesis.

177

178 Second, we explore the predicted time course of colon cancer risk and its dependency on the age 179 at which folate supplementation begins. Finally, since the magnitude of both folate's effects on 180 mutation rates and cell kinetics are uncertain and likely depend on folate dose and genetic make-181 up of the individual, we explore the sensitivity of the cancer risk to independent variations in 182 both mutation rates and net cell proliferation (promotion) rates. For simplicity, we assume that 183 all three mutation rates (μ 0, μ 1, and μ 2) in the model are equally affected by folate, an 184 assumption that seems plausible for the first two events representing mutations at the APC locus. 185 However, considering that the adenoma-carcinoma transition (rate μ 2) is a more complex 186 process that involves malignant transformation and the dynamics of tumor progression, there is 187 more uncertainty regarding the response of $\mu 2$ to folate. 188

189 **Results**

190

191 Figure 2 (panel A) shows the impact of reduced mutation rates, alone or in combination with 192 increased cell proliferation rates, on the relative risk of colon cancer as a function of age when 193 supplementation commences early in life (age 2). For completeness we also show the risk when 194 cell proliferation is increased without concomitant increases in mutation rates. Panel B shows the 195 same scenarios as panel A, but for the predicted excess number of colon cancers per 100,000 196 individuals at risk. Assuming that folate has no effect on cell proliferation, a 20% reduction in 197 mutation rates translates into an approximately 40% reduction in relative risk about 10-20 years 198 after start of treatment. In contrast, a concomitant 20% increase in cell proliferation is seen to 199 interfere with the protective effect from reduced mutation rates in a complex age-dependent 200 manner. In this scenario, with the exception of an early (transient) reduction of relative risk 201 before age 30, a significant/meaningful reduction in relative risk does not occur until much later 202 in life (solid line). The potentially rapid drop in relative risk soon after start of folate 203 supplementation (age 2 in Fig.2) can be attributed to the reduction in the adenoma-carcinoma 204 transition rate, the rate-limiting event representing malignant transformation and (in the model 205 here) immediate clinical detection of cancer. In spite of temporarily increased relative risks due 206 to possible opposing effects of folate on mutation rates and rates of cell proliferation in 207 colorectal adenoma (as shown in Panel A), the impact on the cumulative cancer risk (as shown in 208 panel B) is much less pronounced but may still lead to several thousand excess cancer cases (per 209 100.000 individuals at risk) for individuals age 60 to 70. This example demonstrates that the 210 effect of genomic protection that folate (or folic acid) is thought to exert on normal and 211 cancerous cells could be canceled (at least partially) by an increase in cell proliferation of similar 212 magnitude.

213

214 **Timing of folate supplementation.**

215

216 Figure 3 shows the predicted number of excess cases of colon cancer (in the absence of

217 competing causes) as a function of current age for 4 different ages at which supplementation

begins (ages 2, 20, 40 and 60) assuming a constant folate dose. All scenarios assume that folate

- 219 supplementation reduces the APC mutation rates (i.e. the rates of the first two rate-limiting
- events) and the malignant transformation rate by 20%, but increases the cell proliferation rate by

221 20% compared to (untreated) controls. It can be seen that the cancer risk is mostly higher than 222 the background risk (without supplementation) unless folate supplementation begins early in life. 223 On the other hand, it is intuitively clear that, when folate is given late in life, the effect on 224 cumulative risk will be small as the majority of cancer cases occur before treatment begins. 225 Qualitatively similar curves are obtained for a 10%/10% scenario (results not shown). The main 226 conclusion drawn here is that the risk of colon cancer would mostly be higher than the 227 background risk (without supplementation) unless folate supplementation is begun early in life 228 (well before age 20). When folate supplementation is started late in life, its effect on the 229 cumulative cancer risk appears to be small and mostly detrimental.

230

231 Sensitivity of colorectal cancer risk to (hypothetical) variations of mutation and cell 232 proliferation rates.

233

234 The magnitude of folate-associated anti-carcinogenic and carcinogenic effects is highly 235 uncertain. They likely depend on folate dose (e.g., fortification versus supplementation) and 236 genetic make-up of the individual (25, 26). To address this uncertainty on the level of the cell 237 (and within the framework of multistage carcinogenesis), we explore the sensitivity of the (life-238 time) cancer risk to variations in both mutation rates and cell proliferation rates (Fig.4). To keep 239 the discussion simple, we assume (see Models and Methods) that the percent increase in cell 240 proliferation equals the percent increase in net cell proliferation (or tumor promotion) which is 241 the main determinant for tumor growth. The predicted cancer risk, again in terms of the expected 242 number of excess cases by age 70, responds almost linearly to moderate reductions (between 0 243 and 40%) in mutation rates and increases in proliferation in the same range, unless 244 supplementation is started early in life. When started early in life (Fig.4 top panel), the risk 245 surface responds exponentially to changes in mutation rates. The enhanced sensitivity of the 246 cancer risk with very early folate exposure reflects the more prolonged folate intake and higher 247 cumulative folate dose compared with later starting points. Comparison of the 3 scenarios shown 248 in Fig.4, especially in regard to the predicted (life-time) reductions in the number of colon cancer 249 cases in response to decreases in mutation rates, show that an efficient reduction in cancer risk -250 in the presence of significant (putative folate-induced) increases in the growth rate of the 251 adenomatous polyps – likely occurs only when the supplementation starts very early in life.

252

253 Of particular interest is the nullcline (vellow curve in Fig.4), the curve for which reductions in 254 mutation rates and concomitant increases in tumor promotion cancel each other out yielding no 255 change in cancer risk. In addition, we also highlight two specific 'trade-off' scenarios (labeled 'A' 256 and 'B' on the surfaces shown in Fig.4) for which the percentage decrease in mutation rates 257 equals the percentage increase in net cell proliferation (or promotion). Specifically, the point 'A' 258 represents a 20/20 modulation, and the point 'B' a 30/30 modulation. Inspection of the respective 259 distances of points 'A' and 'B' from the nullclines (for the 3 scenarios shown in Fig.4) reinforces 260 the notion that, unless folate supplementation is begun very early in life, the risk of colon cancer 261 may well be increased if folate promotes premalignant lesions on the pathway to cancer. 262 Furthermore, the point 'B', which represents a higher sensitivity, is pushed toward higher risks (away from the nullcline) compared to point 'A' when the onset of folate supplementation is 263 264 delayed for 2 or more decades. 265 266 Table 1 provides direct estimates for this sensitivity analysis, giving predicted rates of colorectal 267 cancer in the population depending on multiple scenarios. It illustrates how the expected number 268 of colorectal cancer cases changes depending on proliferation rate, mutation rate, and starting 269 age of folic acid supplementation use. For example, compared to the "baseline" of 3,319/100,000 270 cases per year, there are substantial reductions in the number of cases if the mutation rate is 271 reduced by 20%, independent of age group. If concomitantly proliferation increases (scenario: 272 +10% proliferation / -20% mutation rate), then only the youngest age group still benefits (-455 273 cases) whereas individuals who were 20 or 30 years old at the initiation of supplementation 274 would show increased cancer rates. If the proliferation increase is more substantial (scenario: 275 +20% proliferation / -20% mutation rate) then increases in cancer rates are expected in all age 276 groups (+419/100,000 for those who were 2 years old at supplementation begin, + 1053/100,000 277 for those who were 40-years old).

278

279 **Discussion**

280

- 281 Here we use a mathematical modeling strategy to investigate the "net effect" of folate
- administration on colorectal cancer incidence in the general population, varying the age at which

283 supplementation is started as well as the putative effects of folate on mutation rates and net cell 284 proliferation. Naturally, this model can only provide predictions that need to be tested further in 285 experimental and epidemiologic settings. However, in consideration of potential harmful effects 286 of folate in subsets of the human population, many study designs may not be ethical or feasible. 287 The specific examples proffered here are clearly hypothetical, but construed to allow an 288 assessment of uncertainty and sensitivity of cancer risk in response to specific folate effects. Our 289 examples address two questions, (1) what are the relative biological effects of folate-induced 290 reductions in mutation rates versus increases in cell proliferation, either in isolation or 291 concomitantly, and (2), how does the cancer risk associated with folate supplementation vary 292 with age at the initiation of supplementation?

293

294 Results from our modeling suggest that the age of initiation of folate supplementation is critical. 295 Unless folate supplementation begins very early in life, there appears little benefit of folate 296 supplementation and possible harm, if one assumes equal effect sizes (e.g. 20% mutation 297 reduction and a 20% proliferation increase). How guickly any potential (population-level) 298 benefit may be lost, when folate supplementation does not start very early in life, can be gleaned 299 from Fig.3. When the start occurs between ages 20 and 40, the excess number of cancer cases 300 reaches about 2000/100000 at age 80, and is still at about 1000/100000 excess cases at age 80 301 when folate supplementation starts at age 60.

302

303 The sensitivity analyses that explore various effect sizes for folate on mutation reduction and on 304 cell proliferation illustrate the potency of a promotional effect of folate on proliferation. Even 305 when folate supplementation is started in young adulthood (around age 20) our model 306 calculations suggest that a 10-15% promotional effect of folate is sufficient to eliminate any 307 protective effect associated with a 40% reduction of all three critical mutation rates in the model 308 (i.e. the rates of both APC mutations and the rate-limiting event defining the adenoma-carcinoma 309 transition). These results should raise concerns, even if there is significant uncertainty in our 310 knowledge of the tumor- or growth-promoting effects of folate. Considering that a very modest 311 increase in cellular proliferation with folate may have significant adverse effects on colorectal 312 cancer rates in the population, we really need better quantitative data to establish the extent of 313 such effects. It is conceivable that such effects only occur at an overall excess dose, or that they

are more pronounced with folic acid rather than natural folates. It is unlikely that such data can
be generated from human studies, but may be generated from animal studies under controlled
environments and exposures (2).

317

318 Our model suggests that, in individuals who are older when supplementation is initiated, an 319 increase in colorectal cancer rates is expected to be seen after a period of about 10 years (see Fig. 320 3). These data appear consistent with recent data from the SEER cancer registries in the United 321 States and Canada presented by Mason et al. (27). In this ecological study, shortly after the 322 initiation of fortification, colorectal cancer rates increased in both countries resulting in an 323 annual excess of ~4 to 6 additional CRC cases per 100,000 individuals at risk. This abrupt 324 increase in cancer risk may simply reflect the accelerated development and growth of a nascent 325 malignancy into a clinically detectable or symptomatic cancer. Although our model does not 326 explicitly describe this process (which may be considered part of the adenoma-carcinoma 327 transition described by the last step in our model), it allows for accelerated growth of benign 328 adenomas on the pathway to cancer (promotion). Our model predicts an excess CRC risk that 329 increases with time about 10 years after supplementation is initiated (Fig. 3). Note, however, 330 Mason et al. only studied a period of 7 years (1996-2002) of folate fortification (27) and to 331 answer the question whether or not the observed increase in CRC risk is transient, constant, or 332 will eventually increase as predicted by our model will require more follow up data.

333

334 There are several uncertainties to our modeling. Although folate deficiency induces decreases in 335 cellular proliferation, there is no direct support for increased cell replication *in vivo* in the 336 presence of excess folate. However, in vitro studies show that cellular growth arrest induced by 337 folate deficiency can be reverted (after some delay) under acute folate repletion (28). Moreover, 338 possible changes in the rate of cell differentiation and apoptosis, which have been only poorly 339 studied in this context, may also play a decisive role in promoting tumor growth. Note that 340 accelerated tumor growth may result either from increases in the rate of cell replication, or from 341 decreases in the rate of apoptosis, or delays in cell differentiation. A recent study of folate 342 supplementation on mucosal cell proliferation in high risk patients for colon cancer is of interest 343 regarding the latter possibility (29). In this study it was found that folate supplementation mainly 344 decreased cell proliferation in the luminal part of the colorectal crypts, reflecting defective cell

345 differentiation control and delayed onset of normal cell differentiation and, ultimately, apoptosis.
346 Such delays may effectively increase tumor size.

347

The effects of folate on mutation rates are also not well quantified. Folate deficiency increases the misincorporation of uracil into DNA damage, which can cause DNA strand breaks during repair. However, because methylated CpG sites are mutational hotspots for C>T transitions, moderate folate deficiency, which reduces, albeit slightly, genomic DNA methylation, may also protect against this type of mutation, as has been suggested for the MTHFR 677 TT genotype (30). Thus, the relationship between folate and mutation rates still needs to be better defined.

354

355 We are well aware of the hypothetical nature of this investigation. For one, the model used here, 356 although broadly consistent with important biological processes involved in colorectal cancer 357 and numerically consistent with the observed incidence of colorectal cancer in SEER (19), is 358 fraught with considerable uncertainty. For example, not all biological parameters of the model 359 can be estimated from incidence data alone making it necessary to fix one or the other model 360 parameter [see Appendix]. Furthermore, the number of clonogenic (transformable) stem cells in 361 colon remains elusive (with estimates from a few stem cells to hundreds of stem cells per colonic 362 crypt (31-33). More concerning, however, is the uncertainty due to multiple 'modes of action' of 363 folate on cancer initiation, cell proliferation and tumor progression. Much experimental and clinical work remains to be done to define and to quantify the beneficial and detrimental effects 364 365 of folate on cancer risk, in particular how these effects are mediated on the cellular level. Our 366 calculations suggest that a focus be given to a careful study of possible proliferative effects of 367 folate on precursor lesions such as the adenomatous polyps in colon. We propose that this 368 question first be studied in a rodent model where the number and sizes of premalignant and 369 malignant lesions can be readily measured and cell proliferation kinetics ascertained with 370 immuno-histochemical techniques. Mouse endoscopy techniques provide now tools to evaluate 371 the impact of folate on existing polyps. As noted above, these cancer precursors are very 372 common (14), yet often go undetected due to a lack of appropriate colorectal cancer screening. 373 Only about 30% of US adults over age 50 have had a screening colonoscopy within the previous 374 5 years (34). As noted previously, the Aspirin/Folate Prevention Trial (7) only enrolled 375 individuals with prior resected adenoma and full colonoscopies. Thus, it does not provide

answers regarding the effects of folate on existing polyps, which may potentially be much
stronger than those on newly arising (or undetected) polyps, that were observed within the trial
during follow-up colonoscopy (8).

379

380 In the United States, some have suggested that a further increase in the amount of folic acid in 381 fortified foods is warranted. Similarly, several European countries are considering the 382 introduction of folic acid fortification for the prevention of neural tube defects. Our results add 383 another important piece to inform this public health policy debate. A key message is that – if 384 excessive folate has tumor-promoting effects, then those are likely to outweigh any beneficial 385 effects of folic acid supplementation on mutation rates with cancer rates predicted to rise in all 386 but those treated at a very young age. These results suggest caution when considering the 387 implementation of fortification until we have better data on the effects of folate on cell kinetics, 388 tumor promotion, and their quantitative effects by dose and type of folate. 389

390

391

392 References 393 394 Choi, S. W., and Mason, J. B. Folate status: effects on pathways of colorectal 1. 395 carcinogenesis. J Nutr, 132: 2413S-2418S, 2002. 396 397 Kim, Y. I. Folate and colorectal cancer: an evidence-based critical review. Mol Nutr Food 2. 398 Res, 51: 267-92, 2007. 399 400 Ulrich, C. M. Folate and cancer prevention: a closer look at a complex picture. Am J Clin 3. 401 Nutr, 86: 271-3, 2007. 402 403 Larsson, S. C., Giovannucci, E., and Wolk, A. Folate Intake, MTHFR Polymorphisms, 4. 404 and Risk of Esophageal, Gastric, and Pancreatic Cancer: A Meta-analysis. Gastroenterology, 405 131: 1271-83, 2006. 406 407 Ulrich, C. M., and Potter, J. D. Folate supplementation: too much of a good thing? Cancer 5. 408 Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev, 15: 189-93, 2006. 409 410 Stolzenberg-Solomon, R. Z., Chang, S. C., Leitzmann, M. F., Johnson, K. A., Johnson, 6. 411 C., Buys, S. S., Hoover, R. N., and Ziegler, R. G. Folate intake, alcohol use, and postmenopausal 412 breast cancer risk in the Prostate, Lung, Colorectal, and Ovarian Cancer Screening Trial. Am J 413 Clin Nutr, 83: 895-904, 2006. 414 415 7. Cole, B. F., Baron, J. A., Sandler, R. S., Haile, R. W., Ahnen, D. J., Bresalier, R. S., 416 McKeown-Eyssen, G., Summers, R. W., Rothstein, R. I., Burke, C. A., Snover, D. C., Church, T. 417 R., Allen, J. I., Robertson, D. J., Beck, G. J., Bond, J. H., Byers, T., Mandel, J. S., Mott, L. A., 418 Pearson, L. H., Barry, E. L., Rees, J. R., Marcon, N., Saibil, F., Ueland, P. M., and Greenberg, E. 419 R. Folic acid for the prevention of colorectal adenomas: a randomized clinical trial. Jama, 297: 420 2351-9, 2007. 421 422 Ulrich, C. M., and Potter, J. D. Folate and cancer--timing is everything. Jama, 297: 2408-8. 423 9, 2007. 424 425 Weitman, S. D., Lark, R. H., Coney, L. R., Fort, D. W., Frasca, V., Zurawski, V. R., Jr., 9. 426 and Kamen, B. A. Distribution of the folate receptor GP38 in normal and malignant cell lines 427 and tissues. Cancer Research., 52: 3396-401, 1992. 428 429 Hough, C. D., Cho, K. R., Zonderman, A. B., Schwartz, D. R., and Morin, P. J. 10. 430 Coordinately up-regulated genes in ovarian cancer. Cancer Research, 61: 3869-76, 2001. 431 432 11. Gates, S. B., Mendelsohn, L. G., Shackelford, K. A., Habeck, L. L., Kursar, J. D., 433 Gossett, L. S., Worzalla, J. F., Shih, C., and Grindey, G. B. Characterization of folate receptor 434 from normal and neoplastic murine tissue: influence of dietary folate on folate receptor 435 expression. Clin Cancer Res, 2: 1135-41, 1996. 436 437 Farber, S. Some observations on the effect of folic acid antagonists on acute leukemia and 12.

438 other forms of incurable cancer. Blood, 4: 160-167, 1949. 439 440 Radimer, K., Bindewald, B., Hughes, J., Ervin, B., Swanson, C., and Picciano, M. F. 13. 441 Dietary supplement use by US adults: data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination 442 Survey, 1999-2000. Am J Epidemiol, 160: 339-49, 2004. 443 444 14. Cannon-Albright, L. A., Bishop, D. T., Samowitz, W., DiSario, J. A., Lee, R., and Burt, 445 R. W. Colonic polyps in an unselected population: prevalence, characteristics, and associations. 446 American Journal of Gastroenterology, 89: 827-31, 1994. 447 448 Rutter, C. M., Yu, O., and Miglioretti, D. L. A hierarchical non-homogenous Poisson 15. 449 model for meta-analysis of adenoma counts. Stat Med, 26: 98-109, 2007. 450 451 Honein, M. A., Paulozzi, L. J., Mathews, T. J., Erickson, J. D., and Wong, L. Y. Impact 16. 452 of folic acid fortification of the US food supply on the occurrence of neural tube defects. JAMA, 453 285: 2981-6, 2001. 454 455 Pfeiffer, C. M., Caudill, S. P., Gunter, E. W., Osterloh, J., and Sampson, E. J. 17. 456 Biochemical indicators of B vitamin status in the US population after folic acid fortification: 457 results from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 1999-2000. Am J Clin Nutr, 458 82: 442-50, 2005. 459 460 Kim, Y. I. Will mandatory folic acid fortification prevent or promote cancer? Am J Clin 18. 461 Nutr, 80: 1123-8, 2004. 462 463 19. Luebeck, E. G., and Moolgavkar, S. H. Multistage carcinogenesis and the incidence of 464 colorectal cancer. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of 465 America., 99: 15095-100, 2002. 466 467 Hazelton, W. D., Luebeck, E. G., Heidenreich, W. F., and Moolgavkar, S. H. Analysis of 20. 468 a historical cohort of Chinese tin miners with arsenic, radon, cigarette smoke, and pipe smoke 469 exposures using the biologically based two-stage clonal expansion model. Radiat Res, 156: 78-470 94, 2001. 471 472 Little, M. P., Haylock, R. G., and Muirhead, C. R. Modelling lung tumour risk in radon-21. 473 exposed uranium miners using generalizations of the two-mutation model of Moolgavkar, 474 Venzon and Knudson. Int J Radiat Biol, 78: 49-68, 2002. 475 476 22. van de Wetering, M., Sancho, E., Verweij, C., de Lau, W., Oving, I., Hurlstone, A., van der Horn, K., Batlle, E., Coudreuse, D., Haramis, A. P., Tjon-Pon-Fong, M., Moerer, P., van den 477 478 Born, M., Soete, G., Pals, S., Eilers, M., Medema, R., and Clevers, H. The beta-catenin/TCF-4 479 complex imposes a crypt progenitor phenotype on colorectal cancer cells. Cell, 111: 241-50, 480 2002. 481 482 23. Batlle, E., Henderson, J. T., Beghtel, H., van den Born, M. M., Sancho, E., Huls, G., 483 Meeldijk, J., Robertson, J., van de Wetering, M., Pawson, T., and Clevers, H. Beta-catenin and

484 TCF mediate cell positioning in the intestinal epithelium by controlling the expression of 485 EphB/ephrinB. Cell, 111: 251-63, 2002. 486 487 24. Meza, R., Luebeck, E. G., and Moolgavkar, S. H. Gestational mutations and 488 carcinogenesis. Math Biosci, 197: 188-210, 2005. 489 490 25. Ulrich, C. Genetic variability in folate-mediated one-carbon metabolism and cancer risk. 491 In: S. W. Choi and S. Friso (eds.), Nutrient-Gene Interactions in Cancer, pp. 75-91. Boca Raton, 492 FL: Taylor & Francis Group, 2006. 493 494 26. Ulrich, C. M. Nutrigenetics in cancer research--folate metabolism and colorectal cancer. J 495 Nutr, 135: 2698-702, 2005. 496 497 Mason, J. B., Dickstein, A., Jacques, P. F., Haggarty, P., Selhub, J., Dallal, G., and 27. 498 Rosenberg, I. H. A temporal association between folic acid fortification and an increase in 499 colorectal cancer rates may be illuminating important biological principles: A hypothesis. Cancer 500 Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev, 16: 1325-9, 2007. 501 502 28. Melnyk, S., Pogribna, M., Miller, B. J., Basnakian, A. G., Pogribny, I. P., and James, S. J. 503 Uracil misincorporation, DNA strand breaks, and gene amplification are associated with 504 tumorigenic cell transformation in folate deficient/repleted Chinese hamster ovary cells. Cancer 505 Letters., 146: 35-44, 1999. 506 507 Khosraviani, K., Weir, H. P., Hamilton, P., Moorehead, J., and Williamson, K. Effect of 29. 508 folate supplementation on mucosal cell proliferation in high risk patients for colon cancer. Gut, 509 *51*: 195-9, 2002. 510 511 Ulrich, C. M., Curtin, K., Samowitz, W., Bigler, J., Potter, J. D., Caan, B., and Slattery, 30. 512 M. L. MTHFR variants reduce the risk of G:C->A:T transition mutations within the p53 tumor 513 suppressor gene in colon tumors. J Nutr, 135: 2462-7, 2005. 514 515 31. Bach, S. P., Renehan, A. G., and Potten, C. S. Stem cells: the intestinal stem cell as a 516 paradigm. Carcinogenesis, 21: 469-76, 2000. 517 518 32. Potten, C. S., Booth, C., Tudor, G. L., Booth, D., Brady, G., Hurley, P., Ashton, G., 519 Clarke, R., Sakakibara, S., and Okano, H. Identification of a putative intestinal stem cell and 520 early lineage marker; musashi-1. Differentiation, 71: 28-41, 2003. 521 522 33. Yatabe, Y., Tavare, S., and Shibata, D. Investigating stem cells in human colon by using 523 methylation patterns. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 98: 10839-44, 2001. 524 525 34. American Cancer Society. Colorectal Cancer Facts and Figures Special Edition 2005. 526 Atlanta: American Cancer Society, 2005. 527 528 35. Parzen, E. Stochastic Processes: Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, 1999. 529

- 530 Figure 1. CRC model: biallelic inactivation of the APC gene is assumed to occur in colonic stem
- cells in two rate-limiting steps with rates $\mu 0$ (for the first allele) and $\mu 1$ (for the second allele).
- 532 After the first step the crypt may contain a mixture of APC-wild-type and APC+/- cells (nuclei
- represented by filled and open circles, respectively). Transient amplification with production rate populates the proliferative zone above the stem cell compartment with APC-/- progeny (grey
- 535 cells with square-shaped nuclei). Unresponsive to changes in Wht-signaling, this progeny
- 536 remains in a proliferative state as it enters the differentiation zone near the top of the crypt
- 537 leading to rapid accumulation of APC-/- cells. Subsequent clonal expansion of APC-/- cells,
- signal which divide with rate α and die or differentiate with rate β , describes the growth of an adenoma.
- 539 The final event in the model represents the adenoma-carcinoma transition, which occurs with
- 540 rate μ 2. A reduction in the mutation rates with folate supplementation is modeled by a %
- decrease of μ 0, μ 1 and μ 2. Effects on replication rates by folate supplementation are modeled
- 542 with a % increase in the replication rate α . Folate supplementation is assumed to decrease the
- 543 mutation rates $\mu 0$, $\mu 1$ and $\mu 2$, but increases the cell division rate α and the transient amplification
- 544 rate ρ . The ratio β/α is assumed constant, thus only the net cell proliferation rate $\alpha \beta$ increases 545 with folate supplementation.



546 547 **Figure 2. A)** Relative risk of colon cancer as a function of current age for when supplementation begins at age 2 with different combinations of reduced mutation rates and increased cell proliferation rates. **B)** Predicted excess number of colon cancers per 100,000 individuals at risk as a function of current age for when supplementation begins at age 2 with different combinations of reduced mutation rates and increased cell proliferation rates.



Figure 3. Predicted number of excess cases of colon cancer as a function of current age for different ages at which supplementation begins.



Current age

Figure 4. Predicted number of excess cases of colon cancer as a function of both mutation rates and cell proliferation rates for different ages at which supplementation begins.



Supplementation starting at age 2

Supplementation starting at age 20



Supplementation starting at age 40



Table 1. Expected number of colorectal cancer cases depending on proliferation rate, mutation

 rate, and starting age of folic acid supplementation use.

Proliferation	Mutation	Folic acid supplementation starting at age		
Increase (%)	Reduction (%)			
		2	20	40
		Expected number of colorectal		
		cancer cases per 100,000		
		individuals at risk		
0	0	3319	3319	3319
		Change in colorectal cancer cases		
0	10	-687	-298	-193
0	20	-1274	-583	-397
10	0	+1286	+1223	+732
10	10	+353	+826	+511
10	20	-455	+449	+275
20	0	+2631	+2565	+1571
20	10	+1451	+2051	+1320
20	20	+419	+1570	+1053

Appendix

For the class of models where initiation of a premalignant lesion (such as an adenomatous polyp in the colon) requires a number of rate-limiting events to occur in specific order, as for the model presented in Fig.1, the tumor survival function is readily derived from the probability generating function of a filtered Poisson process (35). Specifically, let $S_k(s,t)$ represent the survival function of a filtered (non-homogeneous) Poisson process that starts off at time *s* for a model with k steps. Assume that cells of type 0 (normal cells) emit cells of type 1 with rate $\mu_{0,k}$ cells of type 1 emit cells of type 2 with rate $\mu_1, ...,$ until cells of type k-2 emit cells of type k-1, which may undergo clonal expansion before giving rise to malignant progeny (type k). For this model class, it is easy to show that the survival function can be computed recursively:

$$S_{j}(s_{k,j},t) = \begin{cases} \exp\left[-\int_{s_{k-j}}^{t} ds_{k-j+1} \mu_{k-j}(1+X\delta_{j,k})(1-S_{j-1}(s_{k-j+1},t))\right] & \text{if } 2 < j \le k \\ \left[(q-p)/(qe^{-p(t-s_{k-j})} - pe^{-q(t-s_{k-j})})\right]^{\mu_{k-j}/\alpha} & \text{if } j = 2 \end{cases}$$

Here, δ_{ik} is the Kronecker symbol, *X* is the number of normal stem cells in the tissue, and the parameters p and q are related to the biological parameters α (the cell division rate), β (the cell death rate), and the malignant transformation rate μ_{k-1} via

$$p = \frac{1}{2} \left(\left(-\alpha + \beta + \mu_{k-1} \right) - \sqrt{\left(\alpha + \beta + \mu_{k-1} \right)^2 - 4\alpha\beta} \right)$$
$$q = \frac{1}{2} \left(\left(-\alpha + \beta + \mu_{k-1} \right) + \sqrt{\left(\alpha + \beta + \mu_{k-1} \right)^2 - 4\alpha\beta} \right)$$

Note, $g \equiv -(p + q) = (\alpha - \beta - \mu_{k-1})$, is approximately equal to the net cell proliferation rate for the clonal stage (stage *k*-1) of the multistage process. The parameter *q* is approximately equal to μ_{k-1} /(1- β/α), which may be viewed as an upper bound for the malignant transformation rate. See Heidenreich et al. (1997) for more details.

The age-specific hazard function $h_k(t)$, required for the analysis of cancer incidence in populations, can now be derived from the survival function by computing

$$h_k(s_0,t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \ln S_k(s_0,t),$$

which readily yields

$$h_k(s_0,t) = \int_{s_0}^t ds_1 \mu_0 X S_{k-1}(s_1,t) h_{k-1}(s_1,t).$$

Again, the first time argument of (s, t) makes explicit the time origin of the stochastic process in question. Thus, for a 4-stage model, we have (now dropping the argument $s_0 = 0$)

$$h_4(t) = \int_0^t ds_1 \mu_0 X \ S_3(s_1, t) \int_{s_1}^t ds_2 \mu_1 S_2(s_2, t) h_2(s_2, t),$$

where h_2 and S_2 are the hazard function and survival function of the two-stage clonal expansion (TSCE) model, respectively. See Heidenreich, et al. (1997) for explicit formulas for h_2 and S_2 for the case of constant or piecewise constant parameters. For constant parameters, setting $\mu_0=\mu_1=v$ (i.e. equality of the two APC mutation rates), the hazard function for our colon cancer model (see Fig.1) simplifies to

$$h_4(t) = vX \left(1 - \exp \left\{ \int_0^t du \, v \left(S_2(u, t) - 1 \right) \right\} \right).$$

When the parameters are time-dependent, as may be the case with drug treatment or exposures to chemo-preventions such as NSAIDs or folate, then we use the more general formula for $h_4(t)$ and evaluate the time integrals numerically by integrating from time *t* to 0 for efficiency.

Note, *X*, the number of normal stem cells, always appears in combination with $\mu_0(=v)$, and therefore cannot be determined without further assumptions or constraints on the parameters. For more details on parameter identifiability see e.g. Hanin and Yakovlev (1996) and Heidenreich et al. (1997).

We have fitted the 4-stage model to the incidence of colorectal cancers reported in the SEER registry (1973-2000) following the approach first described in Luebeck and Moolgavkar (2002). Our parameter estimates differ only slightly from those of the earlier analysis. We now include 4 additional calendar years (1997-2000). Specifically, for the calculations presented here, we use the estimates v=1.4910⁻⁶, $\rho(=\mu_2)/\alpha=1.84$, $p \approx (\alpha-\beta)=0.155$, and $q =1.205 \ 10^{-5}$. As in the earlier analysis, we assume a constant number of stem cells in the colon, $X = 10^8$, which is also similar to the value provided in Potten et al. (2002).