

THE EFFECT OF PHOSPHORUS ADDITION ON THE ACTIVITY OF BISMUTH MOLYBDATE CATALYST FOR PARTIAL OXIDATION OF PROPYLENE TO ACROLEIN

Hamzah Fansuri^{1,*}, Gia Hung Pham², Sandra Wibawanta², and Dong-ke Zhang³

¹Department of Chemistry, Institut Teknologi Sepuluh Nopember (ITS), Kampus ITS Sukolilo, Surabaya 60111

²Department of Chemical Engineering, Curtin University of Technology, Kent St Bentley WA 6102, Australia

³UWA Centre for Energy, University of Western Australia, 35 Stirling Highway, Crawley WA 6009, Australia

Received March 31, 2010; Accepted August 27, 2010

ABSTRACT

In order to examine the effect of phosphorus addition on the activity and selectivity of bismuth molybdate catalysts for partial oxidation of propylene to acrolein, three modes of phosphorus addition were performed. The three modes of Preparation were performed by (1) adding phosphorus into α -Bi₂Mo₃O₁₂ to obtain Bi_{2-x}P_xMo₃O_y, (2) inserting phosphorus on bismuth sites to obtain Bi_{2-x}P_xMo₃O_y, and (3) inserting phosphorus on molybdenum sites to obtain Bi₂P_xMo_{3-x}O_y. Four major phases of bismuth phosphomolybdate were detected as the result of the phosphorus addition, namely α -Bi₂Mo₃O₁₂, Bi₉PMo₁₂O₅₂, MoO₃, and BiPO₄. Experimental results showed that the catalysts solely containing BiPO₄ and/or MoO₃ have very low activities for partial oxidation of propylene to acrolein. Meanwhile, catalysts containing α -Bi₂Mo₃O₁₂ and Bi₉PMo₁₂O₅₂, together with either MoO₃ or BiPO₄ showed on average the same activities as α -Bi₂Mo₃O₁₂ and one of them (combination of α -Bi₂Mo₃O₁₂, Bi₉PMo₁₂O₅₂ and MoO₃) has better performance than α -Bi₂Mo₃O₁₂ at lower temperatures. The presence of the oxygen donor phase, i.e. BiPO₄ and MoO₃, are believed to play a key role for the high activities of bismuth-phosphomolybdate catalysts. However, at higher temperatures, the presence of oxygen donor reduces the catalyst selectivity to acrolein.

Keywords: Propylene, Acrolein, Bismuth Molybdate, Partial oxidation

INTRODUCTION

Phosphorus has long been used as an additive for bismuth molybdate catalysts for propylene to acrolein conversion. The first phosphorus containing bismuth molybdate catalyst for this purpose was developed by Sohio in 1957 in the form of Bi₉PMo₁₂O₅₂ supported on SiO₂ [1]. Since then, phosphorus has become an essential additive for bismuth molybdate-based commercial catalysts.

There are numerous reports on the role of phosphorus in bismuth molybdate catalysts for selective oxidation of hydrocarbon. Chang et al. [2] reported that phosphorus additive increases the acidity of molybdate leading to promotion of O²⁻ as the product of O₂ dissociation. The increased acid/base ratio in phosphorus added bismuth molybdate catalysts was also reported by Kaddouri et al. [3].

The increase in acidity of bismuth molybdate catalysts plays an important role in enhancing the catalyst activity because the acid site is the place where the hydrocarbon species were adsorbed by the catalyst. The acid sites, then, capture one hydrogen atom from the gaseous reactant to produce, in the case of propylene partial oxidation, allyl groups. This process is believed to be the rate-determining step in the partial

oxidation of propylene to acrolein over the bismuth molybdate catalysts [4-7].

Meanwhile, Qiu et al. [8] reported that the phosphorus additive in the form of BiPO₄ improved the performance of ZnFe₂O₄ catalyst for butene dehydrogenation to butadiene. They found that BiPO₄ protects the catalysts against deactivation, which was then proved by Weng and co-workers in 1992 [9].

In our previous work, we reported that the action of bismuth molybdate catalysts (α -Bi₂Mo₃O₁₂, b-Bi₂Mo₂O₉, and g-Bi₂Mo₆) in catalysing selective partial oxidation of propylene to acrolein was influenced by their lattice oxygen [10]. This paper discusses the effect of phosphorus addition in alpha-Bismuth molybdate (α -Bi₂Mo₃O₁₂) and its effect on the catalyst activities and selectivities to the formation of acrolein from propylene.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION


Materials

All chemicals used in this research are analytical grade. The source of bismuth, molybdenum and phosphorous were Bi(NO₃)₃·5H₂O, (NH₄)₆Mo₇O₂₄·4H₂O and H₃PO₄, respectively. All gasses were High Purity (HP)

* Corresponding author. Tel/Fax : +62-31-5943353/5928314
Email address : h.fansuri@chem.its.ac.id

Table 1. The catalyst formula and chemical composition in the catalyst preparation

Catalyst Formula	Bismuth nitrate (g)	Ammonium hepta molybdate (g)	H ₃ PO ₄ (mL)	H ₂ O (mL)
Bi ₂ PMo ₃ O _x	21.6341	11.8107	1.30	19.5
Bi ₂ P ₃ Mo ₃ O _x	21.6341	11.8107	3.90	19.5
Bi ₂ P _{0.5} Mo _{2.5} O _x	21.6341	9.8519	0.76	17.5
Bi ₂ PMo ₂ O _x	21.6341	7.8744	1.52	14.0
Bi ₂ P _{1.5} Mo _{1.5} O _x	21.6341	5.9058	2.29	12.5
Bi _{1.5} P _{0.5} Mo ₃ O _x	16.2500	11.8112	0.76	16.5
BiPMo ₃ O _x	10.8171	11.8112	1.52	15.0
Bi _{0.5} P _{1.5} Mo ₃ O _x	5.3843	11.8112	2.29	13.0

**Fig 1.** Schematic of the reactor configuration for catalyst activity scanning (Adapted from [10])

grade from BOC gas, Australia. Micromat-14™ (Grace, Cat. No. 19792) was used as standard gas for GC calibration.

Instrumentation

A Siemens D500 X-ray Diffractometer was used in all diffraction analysis. Meanwhile, A Varian 3400 CX Gas Chromatography was used to analyse gas composition.

Procedure

Catalyst Preparation and Characterisation

The catalysts were prepared using the so-called co-precipitation method based on the preparation of α -Bi₂Mo₃O₁₂ [10]. Powders of Bi(NO₃)₃·5H₂O and (NH₄)₆Mo₇O₂₄·4H₂O were dissolved separately in warm water (70 °C). Phosphoric acid, H₃PO₄, was then added into the bismuthyl nitrate solution. The mixture was dropped slowly into the vigorously stirred ammonium hepta-molybdate solution, producing a yellowish suspension. The suspension was kept in the water bath at 70 °C and stirred well to evaporate the liquid slowly until it became a paste. The paste was then put into an oven at 120 °C for 20 h in air. The dried cake, that was formed, was crushed and heated at 250 °C for 2 h in an

air oven. The catalyst was then ground to powder and calcined for 20 h at 480 °C. The final catalysts formula and the composition of the ingredients in the catalyst preparation are listed in Table 1.

All catalysts were characterised by X-ray diffraction using Cu K α source without any filter. The diffractograms were taken from 5° to 70° (2 θ), step size 0.02°, and scan speed 2.4°min⁻¹. The phase analysis was carried out by JADE ver. 6.0 software available at Applied Physics Department, Curtin University of Technology.

Activity and Selectivity tests

Catalyst activity was measured in a single pass fixed-bed stainless steel reactor (10mm ID). The catalyst powder (ca 1.9 g) was sandwiched between two glass wool pads in the reactor. A type J thermocouple was fitted at the end of the catalyst bed. The outlet of the reactor was connected to a scrubber, which contains a sodium hydroxide solution with pH 11 to convert all acrolein being produced to polyacrolein, preventing the harmful acrolein from being released to the environment. The arrangement of the reactor is given in Fig. 1.

The feed gases used were industrial-grade O₂, high purity He, and polymer-grade propylene from BOC Australia without further purification. The total flow-rate

was $120 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ min}^{-1}$. The flow-rate was regulated with three MKS mass-flow controllers. The composition of the reactant gas was set to 85% He, 10% O_2 and 5% C_3H_6 . A gas chromatograph was used to analyse gas composition of the reactant and product streams. The GC was fitted with a molecular sieve in series with a Poropak N and equipped with a TCD and FID detectors. Micromat-14™ containing 5% CO , 5% CO_2 , 4% CH_4 , 4% H_2 , 5% O_2 and 5% N_2 in Helium, were used as standard gas for calibration. A mixture of 5% Propylene, 5% acrolein and 5% acetaldehyde were freshly made prior to calibration which was carried in regular basis. Typical GC analysis results of the calibration gasses and retention time of each component are given in Table 2 and Fig. 2.

The gas analysis results were then used to calculate propylene conversion (X), acrolein selectivity (S) and acrolein yield (Y) which was defined in Equations 1–3.

Table 2. The retention time of reactants and products of activity-selectivity analysis using the GC method

Component	Retention time (min)	Detector
CO_2	1.49	TCD
O_2	2.20	TCD
CO	2.56	TCD
C_3H_6	4.54	FID
$\text{C}_2\text{H}_4\text{O}$	8.87	FID
$\text{C}_3\text{H}_4\text{O}$	13.30	FID




Fig 2. Qualitative chromatogram of standard Micromat-14 gas containing CO_2 , O_2 , N_2 , CO , and CH_4 mixed with C_3H_6 detected by a) FID and b) TCD [11]




Fig 3. X-ray diffractograms of bismuth-phosphomolybdates. \diamond = MoO_3 , \blacklozenge = $\text{Bi}_2\text{Mo}_3\text{O}_{12}$ and \square = BiPO_4

Table 3. Symmetry and cell parameters of phases found from the diffraction analysis

Cell Parameters	$\alpha\text{-Bi}_2\text{Mo}_3\text{O}_{12}$	MoO_3	BiPO_4
Symmetry/Point Group	$P1\ 2_1/C1$ (monoclinic)	$Pbnm$ (orthorhombic)	$P2_1/n$ (monoclinic)
a (Å)	7.71	3.96	6.75
b (Å)	11.53	13.86	6.93
c (Å)	11.98	3.70	6.47
α	115.28°	90°	90°
β	115.28°	90°	103.7°
γ	115.28°	90°	90°
Volume (Å ³)	962.89	202.99	294.16

$$X = \left[1 - \frac{\text{Mole}_{\text{C}_3\text{H}_8\text{O}_{12}\text{outlet}}}{\text{Mole}_{\text{C}_3\text{H}_8\text{O}_{12}\text{inlet}}} \right] \times 100\% \quad (1)$$

$$S = \left[\frac{\text{Mole}_{\text{C}_3\text{H}_8\text{O}_{12}\text{outlet}}}{\text{Mole}_{\text{C}_3\text{H}_8\text{O}_{12}\text{inlet}} - \text{Mole}_{\text{C}_3\text{H}_8\text{O}_{12}\text{outlet}}} \right] \times 100\% \quad (2)$$

$$Y = X \cdot S \quad (3)$$

The performance of all bismuth phosphomolybdate catalysts was compared to the performance of $\alpha\text{-Bi}_2\text{Mo}_3\text{O}_{12}$ from our previous work [11].

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Fig. 3 shows the XRD patterns obtained for the bismuth-phosphomolybdate catalysts on which major phases are marked. There are three major phases found from the XRD analysis, namely $\alpha\text{-Bi}_2\text{Mo}_3\text{O}_{12}$, MoO_3 and BiPO_4 in the catalysts. Symmetry and cell parameters of each phase are given in Table 3. No evident is found on the formation of phosphorous substituted $\alpha\text{-Bi}_2\text{Mo}_3\text{O}_{12}$ from the diffraction patterns. If the phase exist, it crystal size might be too small or the concentration is too low so X-ray diffraction can not detect it. Other phase of

bismuth molybdates such as $\beta\text{-Bi}_2\text{Mo}_3\text{O}_{12}$ and $\gamma\text{-Bi}_2\text{Mo}_3\text{O}_{12}$, and Bi_2O_3 might also be formed as there are several peaks on the diffractograms which can not be identified due to their very low intensities.

The unit cell of $\alpha\text{-Bi}_2\text{Mo}_3\text{O}_{12}$ is closely resembles van den Elzen and Rieck [12] model with slightly larger unit cell volume. The slight difference of the prepared structure with the van den Elzen model is believed to be caused by different preparation method. The structure of van den Elzen model was derived from the single crystalline form of alpha bismuth molybdate. Crystallisation of alpha phase has to be carried out at high temperature, i.e. at its melting point (670 °C). In contrast, the powder form in this report was prepared at low temperature (480 °C). As a result, the powder structure is more relaxed than the single crystalline one and thus resulting larger unit cell volume.

Crystal structure of MoO_3 is indexed to orthorhombic phase (JC-PDF2 Card No. 05-0508). The structure resembles those reported by Galy et al. [13]. In this structure, Mo^{6+} ion is surrounded by oxygen atoms in the form of distorted octahedral symmetry. The octahedra associate by edge-sharing along [010] to form $(\text{MoO}_3)_n$ double layers.

BiPO_4 crystal structure can be indexed to the monoclinic phase (JC-PDF2 Card No. 15-0767) rather than the low temperature, hexagonal phase (JC-PDF2 Card No. 15-0766). The structure is similar to those reported by Xue et al. [14] for nano-cocoons and nanorods BiPO_4 , prepared by solvothermal method.



The results of diffraction analysis do not show any $\text{Bi}_9\text{PMo}_{12}\text{O}_{52}$ phase which, according to the literature [1], is the most active phase of bismuth phosphomolybdate catalyst. According to the empirical formulae, $\text{Bi}_9\text{PMo}_{12}\text{O}_{52}$ shall contain a combination between BiO^+ and phosphomolybdate ions as in the heteropolyacid $\text{P}_2\text{O}_5 \cdot 24\text{MoO}_3 \cdot 30\text{H}_2\text{O}$. The combination is resulting a very good acid catalyst that can enhance the activity of bismuth molybdate catalyst when both phases are coexisted. However, Batist et al. [15] revealed that $\text{Bi}_9\text{PMo}_{12}\text{O}_{52}$ was actually a mixture between BiPO_4 and $\alpha\text{-Bi}_2\text{Mo}_3\text{O}_{12}$. Therefore, the catalyst that contains both BiPO_4 and $\alpha\text{-Bi}_2\text{Mo}_3\text{O}_{12}$ will have higher activity than those that do not contain both phase.

Phase distribution of $\alpha\text{-Bi}_2\text{Mo}_3\text{O}_{12}$, MoO_3 , and BiPO_4 depends on the composition of bismuth, molybdenum and phosphorous in the reaction mixture. Table 4 shows the distribution of the phases among the bismuth-phosphomolybdates based on their X-ray diffraction's Relative Intensity Ratio (RIR). The results reveal that the ratio of Bi:P:Mo determines which phases will be formed in the catalyst preparation. The table also shows that the main products from the catalyst preparation are $\alpha\text{-Bi}_2\text{Mo}_3\text{O}_{12}$ and BiPO_4 . BiPO_4

Table 4. The phase composition of all bismuth-phosphomolybdates

Index	Catalysts	Composition		
		Bi ₂ Mo ₃ O ₁₂	MoO ₃	BiPO ₄
A	Bi ₂ PMo ₃ O _x	xxx	x	x
B	Bi ₂ P ₃ Mo ₃ O _x	-	x	xxx
C	Bi ₂ P _{0.5} Mo _{2.5} O _x	xxx	-	-
D	Bi ₂ PMo ₂ O _x	xxx	-	x
E	Bi ₂ P _{1.5} Mo _{1.5} O _x	xx	-	xxx
F	Bi _{1.5} P _{0.5} Mo ₃ O _x	xxx	x	-
G	BiPMo ₃ O _x	-	xx	xxx
H	Bi _{0.5} P _{1.5} Mo ₃ O _x	-	xx	xx
I	Bi ₂ Mo ₃ O ₁₂	xxx	-	-

x mark a rough composition of each phase

**Figure 4.** The bismuth-phosphomolybdate performance on the partial oxidation of propylene to acrolein**Fig 5.** Allyl formation on bismuth-phosphomolybdate catalyst. a) Hydrogen ion is captured by a carbonyl oxygen and b) Hydrogen ion is captured by the bridge oxygen [18]

is detected when the ratio of Bi:P \geq 0.5. At lower ratio, the phase is not detected may be due to its very low concentration or poor crystallinity. MoO₃ is more likely to be formed if there are elements not reacted to form α -Bi₂Mo₃O₁₂ or BiPO₄.

Study on the catalyst activity and selectivity on the conversion of propylene to acrolein shows that the phase composition of each bismuth phosphomolybdate seems to have little effects. Fig. 4 shows the propylene conversion, selectivity of acrolein, and acrolein yields as a function of the catalyst phase composition.

Catalysts α -Bi₂Mo₃O₁₂ in its mixture with BiPO₄ and MoO₃ exhibit a higher activity and selectivity than the mixture of just BiPO₄ and MoO₃. The catalysts, which only consist of BiPO₄ and MoO₃ (catalyst B, G and H), have a very poor activity to the formation of acrolein from propylene.

The low activity of the catalysts consisting of only BiPO₄ and MoO₃ is consistent with those reported in literatures [16-17]. According to the literatures, there are two steps involved in the partial oxidation of propylene to acrolein, i.e., allyl formation and oxygen insertion. The allyl formation, as depicted by Fig. 5, requires the existence of bismuth-oxide groups on the catalyst surface while the Mo-O groups supply the oxygen to oxidise the allyl. Catalyst B, G and H do not have a bismuth oxide group adjacent to molybdenum oxide to facilitate those activation reactions. Due to this reason, the absence of Bi-O groups in catalysts B, G and H is believed as the major cause of the poor activities of those catalysts, although one of them (catalyst G) showed a high conversion of propylene.

An interesting trend of activities is shown by catalysts C, D, E and F. Fig. 3 shows that the catalysts, which contain Bi₂Mo₃O₁₂ and Bi₉PMo₁₂O₅₂, are more active than those which do not contain them. Based on this information, the coexistence of Bi₂Mo₃O₁₂ together with Bi₉PMo₁₂O₅₂ is most probably a factor responsible for the high activities of catalysts C, D, E, and F. Fig. 3 and Table 2 also shows the influence of coexistence of a third additive, namely MoO₃ or BiPO₄. The existence of both phases makes the catalysts D, E, and F have higher activity than catalysts C.

All above information proves that both BiPO₄ and MoO₃, although on their own have very low activity toward the acrolein formation, are able to improve the activity of the main catalysts (Bi₂Mo₃O₁₂ and Bi₉PMo₁₂O₅₂). Some literature believes that either MoO₃ or BiPO₄ improves the catalyst activity by acting as an oxygen source for rapid re-oxidation of the active site [8,16-17,19]. The MoO₃ provides oxygen for the reaction by reducing the oxidation number of Mo⁶⁺, to Mo⁵⁺ and/or Mo⁴⁺. On the other hand, phosphorus has unstable p π -p π bonds, weak to moderate d π -p π bonds, and valence expansions. These properties make

phosphorus oxide, which has a $p\pi-p\pi$ bond between phosphorus and oxygen, to easily donate its oxygen for the reaction. Phosphorus could also perform multiple bonding and, as a result, improve the stability of the complexes with transition elements in the catalysts [20].

CONCLUSION

Phosphorus addition in the preparation of α - $\text{Bi}_2\text{Mo}_3\text{O}_{12}$ precursor produced four major phases of bismuth phosphomolybdate under the preparation condition mentioned in the experimental method, namely α - $\text{Bi}_2\text{Mo}_3\text{O}_{12}$, $\text{Bi}_9\text{PMo}_{12}\text{O}_{52}$, MoO_3 , and BiPO_4 . The BiPO_4 was formed when the Bi:P ≥ 0.5 while other phases will be formed if there were elements not reacted to form either α - $\text{Bi}_2\text{Mo}_3\text{O}_{12}$ or BiPO_4 . The catalysts activity and selectivity test show that those that solely containing BiPO_4 and MoO_3 have very low activities for partial oxidation of propylene to acrolein. Meanwhile, catalysts containing α - $\text{Bi}_2\text{Mo}_3\text{O}_{12}$ and $\text{Bi}_9\text{PMo}_{12}\text{O}_{52}$, together with either MoO_3 or BiPO_4 showed on average the same activities as α - $\text{Bi}_2\text{Mo}_3\text{O}_{12}$. One of the catalysts which contain a combination of α - $\text{Bi}_2\text{Mo}_3\text{O}_{12}$, $\text{Bi}_9\text{PMo}_{12}\text{O}_{52}$ and MoO_3 has even better performance than α - $\text{Bi}_2\text{Mo}_3\text{O}_{12}$ at lower temperatures. The presence of the oxygen donor phase, i.e. BiPO_4 and MoO_3 , are believed to play a key role for the high activities of bismuth-phosphomolybdate catalysts. However, at higher temperatures, the presence of the oxygen donor reduces the catalyst selectivity to acrolein.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The writing of this manuscript was funded by Indonesian Ministry of Education under 2009 PAR-B project.

REFERENCES

- Ohara, T., Sato, T., and Shimizu, N., 2000, "Acrolein and Methacrolein", in Handbook of Commercial Catalysis: Heterogeneous Catalysts, ed. Rase, H.F., CRC Press, Boca Raton.
- Chang, T.-S., Cho, D.-H., Lee, D.-K., and Yun, S.-S., 2001, *Bull. Korean Chem. Soc.*, 22, 1, 117-119.
- Kaddouri, A., Mazzocchia, C., and Tempesti, E., 1999, *Appl. Catal., A*, 180, 1-2, 271-275.
- Ressler, T., 2009, *Catal. Today*, 145, 3-4, 258-266.
- Krylov, O.V., 2002, *Kinet. Catal.*, 43, 2, 284-289.
- Bordes-Richard, E., 2008, *Top. Catal.*, 50, 1-4, 82-89.
- Hanna, T.A., 2004, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 248, 5-6, 429-440.
- Qiu, F.Y., Weng, L.T., Sham, E., Ruiz, P., and Delmon, B., 1989, *Appl. Catal.*, 51, 1, 235-253.
- Weng, L.T., Cadus, L., Ruiz, P., and Delmon, B., 1992, *Catal. Today*, 11, 4, 455-464.
- Fansuri, H., Pham, G.H., Wibawanta, S., Radford, R., and Zhang, D.K., 2004, *Dev. Chem. Eng. Miner. Process.*, 12, 3-4, 333-340.
- Fansuri, H., 2005, *Catalytic Partial Oxidation of Propylene to Acrolein: The Catalyst Structure, Reaction Mechanisms and Kinetics*, PhD Thesis, Department of Chemical Engineering, Curtin University of Technology, Perth, Australia.
- Ono, T., Utsumi, K., Kataoka, M., Tanaka, Y., and Noguchi, F., 2004, *Catal. Today*, 91-92, 181-184.
- Galy, J., Ratusznac, A., Iglesias, J.E., and Castro, A., 2006, *Solid State Sci.*, 8, 1438-1442.
- Xue, F., Li, H., Zhu, Y., Xiong, S., Zhang, X., Wang, T., Liang, X., and Qian, Y., 2009, *J. Solid State Chem.*, 182, 1396-1400.
- Batist, Ph. A., Bouwens, J.F.H., and Matsuura, I., 1974, *J. Catal.*, 32, 362-368.
- Wang, Y., Zheng, W., and Chen, F., 2008, *Appl. Catal. A*, 351, 1, 75-81.
- Zhao, C., and Wachs, I.E., 2006, *Catal. Today*, 118, 3-4, 332-343.
- Fansuri, H., Pham, G.H., Wibawanta, S., French, D., and Zhang, D.K., 2003, *Surf. Rev. Lett.*, 10, 2-3, 549-553.
- Qiu, F.-Y., Weng, L.-T., Ruiz, P., and Delmon, B., 1989, *Appl. Catal.*, 47, 115-123.
- Scheer, M., Schiffer, M., Leiner, E., Johnson, B.P., Haindl, C., and Umbarkar, S., 2002, *Phosphorus, Sulfur Silicon Relat. Elem.*, 177, 6-7, 1617-1620.