Nuclear Physics in Astrophysics V

Journal of Physics: Conference Series 337 (2012) 012030

# Investigation of $\alpha$ -nuclear potential families from elastic scattering experiments <sup>1</sup>

A. Ornelas<sup>1</sup>, D. Galaviz<sup>1</sup>, Zs. Fülöp<sup>2</sup>, Gy. Gyürky<sup>2</sup>, G. Kiss<sup>2</sup>, Z. Máté<sup>2</sup>, P. Mohr<sup>2,3</sup>, T. Rauscher<sup>4</sup>, E. Somorjai<sup>2</sup>, K. Sonnabend<sup>5</sup> and A. Zilges<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Centro de Física Nuclear, University of Lisbon, 1649-003 Lisbon, Portugal

<sup>2</sup> ATOMKI, H-4001 Debrecen, POB. 51, Hungary

<sup>3</sup> Diakonie-Klinikum, D-74523 Schwäbisch Hall, Germany

<sup>4</sup> Departement für Physik und Astronomie, Universität Basel, CH-4056 Basel, Switzerland

<sup>5</sup> Institut für Angewandte Physik, Goethe-University Frankfurt, D-60438 Frankfurt, Germany

<sup>6</sup> Institut für Kernphysik, Universität zu Köln, D-50937, Köln, Germany

E-mail: ornelas@cii.fc.ul.pt

#### Abstract.

In this work we present the continuation of the reported analysis [1] of the experimentally measured angular distributions of the reaction  ${}^{106}Cd(\alpha, \alpha){}^{106}Cd$  at several different energies around the Coulomb barrier. The difficulties that arise in the study of  ${}^{106}Cd-\alpha$ -nuclear potential and the so called Family Problem are addressed.

## 1. Introduction

The 35 stable elements located on the proton-rich side of the valley of  $\beta$ -stability that cannot be explained in the framework of slow and fast neutron capture are the so called the *p*nuclei. These very low-abundant nuclei present one of the most interesting puzzles in nuclear astrophysics. One of the most accepted mechanisms for the synthesis of the *p*-nuclei is based on photon-disintegration reactions on neutron-rich seed nuclei [2, 3]. Possible scenarios for such nucleosynthesis are the C, O and Ne layers of a Type II SN [2].

### 2. The Family Problem in <sup>106</sup>Cd

The sensitivity of nuclear reaction network calculations to the nuclear physics input has been addressed [3, 4] with particular emphasis to the uncertainties related to the  $\alpha$ -nuclear potentials in the heavy mass region (A>150). The sensitivity of  $\alpha$ -nuclear potentials at high energies (far above the Coulomb barrier) has been extensively studied in the past (see for instance [5, 6]). The present report concentrates on the  $\alpha$ -nuclear potential of the system  $\alpha$ -<sup>106</sup>Cd, starting from the 14 families of the potential previously obtained [1] from the analysis of elastic scattered angular distributions measured at energies around the Coulomb barrier [7, 8]. The analysis was performed within the framework of the Optical Model, considering parameterizations of the Woods-Saxon form for both real and imaginary parts of the nuclear potential.

<sup>1</sup> Work supported by FCT grant PTDC/FIS/103902/2008, ERC StG No. 203175, OTKA NN83261 (EuroGENESIS) and OTKA K68801

### 3. Description of existing $\alpha$ -induced reaction data

Considering as our starting point the 14 families of the real nuclear potential [1], a modified parameterization with an increased surface Woods-Saxon was adopted for the imaginary potential. The astrophysical S-factor for the processes  ${}^{106}Cd(\alpha, \gamma){}^{110}Sn$  and  ${}^{106}Cd(\alpha, n){}^{109}Sn$  [9], was determined for each of the considered potential families using the NON-SMOKER<sup>WEB</sup> [10] application. The default settings for neutron and proton potential [11], default nuclear level density [12] and theoretical masses [13] were used in the calculations.

The results are shown in Figure 1 together with the experimental data from [9]. At this stage, our evaluation of the potential families is limited to these two reaction channels, since they are primarily dependent on the  $\alpha$ -particle width at the considered energies [14].



**Figure 1.** Astrophysical S-factor of the  ${}^{106}Cd(\alpha, \gamma){}^{110}Sn$  and  ${}^{106}Cd(\alpha, n){}^{109}Sn$  reactions [9] together with the results obtained from the 14 different potential families obtained in this study.

As it can be seen in the figures, both the  $(\alpha, \gamma)$  and  $(\alpha, n)$  processes are well reproduced by almost all of the considered potential families. In the case of the  $(\alpha, \gamma)$  reaction, almost all families present a local minimum at the threshold energy for the  $(\alpha, p)$  process, which needs to be further investigated. Any of these potentials could be thus used to calculate the reaction cross section at the energy range relevant for p-process calculations: T<sub>9</sub>=2-3, with  $\alpha$ -energies corresponding to 5.4 and 8.1 MeV [14].



Figure 2. Normalized  $\chi^2$  obtained from the analysis of the elastic scattering data by all potential families considered in this work.

The results shown in Figure 2 provide a summary of the description of the different families of the experimental data of the  ${}^{106}Cd(\alpha, \alpha){}^{106}Cd$  at energies around the Coulomb barrier,

presenting the normalized  $\chi^2$  obtained for each of the measured energies. A local minimum is observed around family number 6<sup>\*</sup>, with another minima located on family 1<sup>\*</sup>. The nature of these minima, as well as the particularities of these two families need to be further studied before any definite conclusions can be drawn.



**Figure 3.** Astrophysical S-factor of the  ${}^{106}$ Cd $(\alpha, \gamma)$  ${}^{110}$ Sn and  ${}^{106}$ Cd $(\alpha, n)$  ${}^{109}$ Sn reactions [9] together with the results obtained from families 1<sup>\*</sup>, 6<sup>\*</sup> and from the global potential of [15].

#### 4. Conclusions

At this stage, we compare the two selected families with the standard global  $\alpha$  nuclear potential from [15]. The results are shown in Figure 3. Analysis and comparison to further global and local  $\alpha$  nuclear potentials will be the topic of a dedicated paper. The results from this work, combined with those previously presented [1], highlight the processes that are more sensitive to each part of the considered nuclear potential. While the real part of the potential presents a clear sensitivity to elastic scattering data, induced  $\alpha$ -particle capture reactions show a higher sensitivity to the imaginary part of the potential. When analyzing both processes in a coupled way, a minimum of  $\chi^2/F$  in the description of the elastic scattering data appears. The reaction channels  $(\alpha, n)$  and up to some extent  $(\alpha, \gamma)$  at low energies, and elastic scattering reaction data at energies above the Coulomb barrier are necessary to achieve a full description of the potential.

## 5. Bibliography

- Ornelas A, Galaviz D, Fülöp Z, Gyürky G, Kiss G, Máté Z, Mohr P, Rauscher T, Somorjai E, Sonnabend K and Zilges A 2010 PoS (NIC XI) 241
- [2] Arnould M and Goriely S 2003 Phys. Rep. 384 1
- [3] Rauscher T 2006 Phys. Rev. C 73 015804
- [4] Rapp W, Görres J, Wiescher M, Schatz H and Käppeler F 2006 Astrophys. J. 653 474
- [5] Batty C J, Friedman E, Gils H J and Rebel H 1989 Advances in Nuclear Physics 19 1
- [6] Atzrott U, Mohr P, Abele H, Hillenmayer C and Staudt G 1996 Phys. Rev. C 53 1336
- [7] Galaviz D 2004 Systematic study of  $\alpha$ -nucleus potentials for neutron-deficient nuclei and its astrophysical applications Ph.D. thesis Technische Universität Darmstadt
- [8] Kiss G, Zs F, Gyürky G, Máté Z, Somorjai E, Galaviz D, Kretschmer A, Sonnabend K and Zilges A 2006 European Physics Journal 27 197
- [9] Gyürky G, Kiss G G, Elekes Z, Fülöp Z, Somorjai E, Palumbo A, Gorres J, Lee H Y, Rapp W, Wiescher M, Özkan N, Guray R T, Efe G and Rauscher T 2006 *Phys. Rev. C* **74** 025805
- [10] Rauscher T code NON-SMOKER<sup>WEB</sup>, version v5.8.1w URL http://nucastro.org/websmoker.html
- [11] Jeukenne J P, Lejeune A and Mahaux C 1977 Phys. Rev. C 15(1) 10–29
- [12] Rauscher T, Thielemann F K and Kratz K L 1997 Phys. Rev. C 56(3) 1613-1625
- [13] Moller P, Nix J, Myers W and Swiatecki W 1995 Atomic Data and Nuclear Data Tables 59 185 381 ISSN 0092-640X
- [14] Rauscher T 2010 Phys. Rev. C 81(4) 045807
- [15] McFadden L and Satchler G R 1966 Nucl. Phys. 84 177