

**WEALTH EFFECT OF ISLAMIC BOND OFFERING
ANNOUNCEMENT**

BY

NASYRA BINTI AB JAMIL

Project Paper Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirement
for the Degree of Master of Business Administration

OPEN UNIVERSITY MALAYSIA

2007

Digital Library OUM



0027857

Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Open University Malaysia in partial fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Masters of Business Administration.

WEALTH EFFECT OF ISLAMIC BOND OFFERING ANNOUNCEMENT

By

NASYRA BINTI AB JAMIL

January 2007

Chairman : Associate Professor Loo Sin Chun
Faculty : Graduate School of Management

In this study the wealth effect of Islamic bond offering announcement was investigated using a firm's data from 2001 to 2005. Three types of Islamic Bonds were examined: Mudharabah, Al-Bai Bithamin Ajil and Ijarah.

The results show that there no significant positive abnormal return occurs following an Islamic bond offering announcement. The findings revealed that Malaysian investors respond positively to these announcements, perceiving them as good, thus creating a positive wealth effect. This positive effect is consistent with the findings of Chang et al (2004) and suggests that Islamic bond financing is a means of minimizing the cost and increasing the opportunity of investment when value and timing are uncertain.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	II
ABSTRAK	III
DEDICATION	IV
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	V
DECLARATION	VI
TABLE OF CONTENTS	VII
LIST OF TABLES	IX
LIST OF FIGURES	X
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	XI

CHAPTER 1

1.0	Introduction	1
1.1	Background of the Study	1
1.2	Definition of Capital Structure	4
1.3	Overview of Islamic Capital Market	5
1.4	Problem Statement	9
1.5	Objective of the Study	9
1.6	Significance of the Study	10
1.7	Limitation of the Study	10

CHAPTER 2

2.0	Literature Review	12
2.1	Introduction	12
2.2	Bond Market	15
2.3	Features of Conventional Bond	17
2.4	Features of Islamic Bonds (Islamic Financings)	17

2.5	Types of Islamic Bonds	18
2.5.1	Ijarah Bonds	19
2.5.2	Istina' Bonds	19
2.5.3	Musharakah Bonds	20
2.6	Structure of Islamic Bond Issuance	20
2.6.1	Bay al-Inah asset securitization	20
2.6.2.	Issuance of bond certificate	21
2.6.3	Bond Trading	21
2.7	Malaysia Global Sukuk Bond	21

CHAPTER 3

3.0	Methodology	23
3.1	Data	23
3.2	Event Study Methodology	24
3.2.1	Market Models	24
3.2.2	Refinement of Beta Measurement	28

CHAPTER 4

4.0	Result and Analysis	29
4.1	Introduction	29
4.2	The Effect of Bonds Announcement	29
4.2.1	All Types of Islamic Bonds	30
4.2.2	Mudharabah Islamic Bonds	31
4.2.3	Al-Bai Bithamin Ajil Islamic Bonds	33
4.2.4	Other types of Islamic Bonds	34

CHAPTER 5

5.0	Conclusion and Recommendation	36
5.1	Conclusion	36
5.2	Recommendations	37

REFERENCES

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDICES

38

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Title	Page
Table 1.1	:Islamic Bonds Announcement from 2001 to 2005	6
Table 4.1	:Summary of the Average Abnormal Return of Islamic Bonds	30
Table 4.2	:Summary of the Cumulative Average Abnormal Return (CAAR) on Day -1 to Day +1 for all types of Islamic Bonds.	31
Table 4.3	:Summary of the Average Abnormal Return (AAR) on Day -1 to Day +1 for Mudharabah Islamic Bonds.	32
Table 4.4	:Summary of the Average Abnormal Return (AAR) on Day -1 to Day +1 for Al-Bai Bithamin types of Islamic Bonds	34
Table 4.5	:Summary of the Average Abnormal Return (AAR) on Day -1 to Day +1 for Other types of Islamic Bonds	35

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Title	Page
Figure 2.1	: Total Outstanding Bonds (2000-2005) – US Billion	15
Figure 2.2	: Islamic Bonds as a Percentage of Corporate Bonds Raised (US \$ Billion)	16
Figure 4.1	: CAAR of 30 Companies-All Types Of Islamic Bonds	30
Figure 4.2	: CAAR for Mudharabah Islamic Bonds (22 Companies)	31
Figure 4.3	: CAAR for Al-Bai Bithamin Ajil Islamic Bonds (13 Companies)	33
Figure 4.4	: CAAR for Othe Types of Islamic Bonds (Ijarah & Commercial Paper - 3 Companies)	34

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ABBA	Al-Bai Bithamin Ajil
AAR	Average Abnormal Return
CAAR	Cumulative Average Abnormal Return
CAPM	Capital Asset Pricing Models
ICM	Islamic Capital Market
IDB	Islamic Development Bank
IPDS	Islamic Private Debt Securities
KLCI	Kuala Lumpur Composite Index
NMBC	National Bond Market Committee
RAM	Rating Agency Malaysia
PN	Primary Notes
SAC	Shariah Advisory Board
SC	Securities Commissions
SN	Secondary Notes

CHAPTER ONE

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the Study

The main goal of a firm is to maximize the value of the firm or to maximize the wealth of the shareholders and this is done through increase in stock prices. Stock prices will only increase if the value of firm has improved. There are two ways to enhance the value of a firm: through investing in new projects, both short and long-term projects and through different financing methods to reduce the cost of capital. The type of long-term financing instrument employs by a firm affects its capital structure. Capital structure refers to the manner in which a firm's assets are financed by debt, preferred stock and common equity. The cost of each capital component together with the their respective weights will determine the cost of capital. The lower the cost of capital, the higher the net present value of the projects. This means that higher value will be added to the firm, which will then enhance the stock prices.

Can capital structure affect the value of the firm? This question has created a lot of interests among the academicians. Modigliani and Miller (MM) (1958) using various assumptions, contented that capital structure is irrelevant to corporate value under perfect capital market and world with no taxes. In another paper by MM (1963), they came out with another proposition that firm should use as much debt as possible to achieve maximum value for the firm. They contended that the debt has advantage over equity because interest on debt is tax deductible which help to reduce cash outflows. The advantage of this tax shield will improve the value of the firm.

The propositions of MM have further created tremendous interests among the researchers. According to their theories, firms should use as much debt as possible to take advantage of the tax shield. However, based on the observations, firms do employ much debts in their financing and in fact there are firms do not use any leverage at all. The empirical evidence appears to be contrary to the theories.